1 Climate change and cetacean health: impacts and future directions

- 2 Anna Kebke¹, Filipa Samarra², Davina Derous^{1*}
- 3 1. School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK
- 4 2. University of Iceland's Institute of Research Centres, Vestmannaeyjar, Iceland
- 5 *Corresponding author:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 6 DD: Email: davina.derous@abdn.ac.uk
- 7 Tel: +44 (0)1224 273255
- 8 Keywords: climate change, cetaceans, metabolism, health, marine mammals

Abstract (max 200 words)

Climate change directly impacts the foraging opportunities of cetaceans (e.g., lower prey availability), leads to habitat loss, and forces cetaceans to move to other feeding grounds. The rise in ocean temperature, low prey availability, and loss of habitat can have severe consequences for cetacean survival, particularly those species that are already threatened or those with a limited habitat range. In addition, it is predicted that the concentration of contaminants in aquatic environments will increase due to Arctic meltwater and increased rainfall events leading to higher rates of land-based runoff in downstream coastal areas. These persistent and mobile contaminants (PMCs) can bioaccumulate in the ecosystem, and lead to ecotoxicity with potentially severe consequences on the reproductive organs, immune system, and metabolism of marine mammals. There is a need to measure and assess the cumulative impact of multiple stressors, given that climate change, habitat alteration, low prey availability and contaminants do not act in isolation. Human-caused perturbations to cetacean foraging abilities are becoming a pervasive and prevalent threat to many cetacean species on top of climate change associated stressors. We need to move to a greater understanding of how multiple stressors impact the metabolism of cetaceans and ultimately their population trajectory.

Introduction

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

The change in climate during the past decades is having a devastating effect on our ecosystem with a gradual change in temperature, ocean circulation, ice coverage, sea level, and acidity and with more sudden increases in extreme weather events. In their 1996 paper, MacGravin & Simmonds predicted that climate change will affect cetaceans by both a reduction in prey availability and a shift in the distribution of prey species. They speculated that this will be caused by changes in water temperature, turbulence, and surface salinity of our oceans [1]. Over the last two decades, Arctic surface air temperature has indeed increased by more than double the global average, resulting in loss of sea ice but also a disproportionate increase in global ocean heat [2]. For example, in 2014, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation changed to a positive phase with a rise in sea surface temperatures, and coastal upwellings weakened as a result [3]. Coinciding with this climate event, a massive lens of warm water developed in the North East Pacific and moved east in the summer of 2014, spreading along the shelf of North America and coastal Alaska [4]. This led to sea surface temperature increases greater than +3°C in certain areas [5]. This marine heatwave had detrimental impacts on the marine ecosystem, recording not only mass strandings of marine mammals and seabirds but also a geographical shift of species [5]. Extreme climatic events, which are predicted to increase in frequency as a result of climate change [6], can induce ecosystem change and alter patterns of resource availability, as observed in a habitat shift of bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) following seagrass die-off from the 2011 La Niña event [7]. Using quantitative models to estimate global terrestrial, freshwater, and marine diversity scenarios containing information on extinctions, changes in species abundance, habitat loss, and distribution shifts indicate that there will be a continuous decline in biodiversity over the 21st century [8]. For example, the overexploitation of important fish stocks to top marine predators in combination with this rise in ocean temperature can cause a decline in the availability of fish. In Europe, a shift has been observed in the tropic-web of fish communities and a decline in mean trophic level [9]. In addition, the increase in harmful algal blooms is associated with climate change [10–12]. These algal blooms can produce biotoxins and can further bioaccumulate in filter-feeding shellfish, transferring toxins to higher trophic levels [13]. Recurring harmful algal blooms are also linked to loss of foraging fish and a decline in

plankton dynamics [14], leading to an overall decline in prey availability for marine top

predators. In addition, these biotoxins produced by the harmful algal blooms can impact physiological functions and lead to an overall decline in health and body condition of marine mammals [15,16].

Changes in ocean temperature and prey availability can have particularly dramatic consequences for marine mammals [17] and trait-based approaches show that threatened species or local resident populations are the most vulnerable to climate change [18, Sousa et al. 2020]. Species may be impacted, for example, by the loss of suitable habitat for functional behaviors. For example, many currently occupied humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) breeding grounds are predicted to be unsuitable (>28°C) by the end of the 21st century [19]. Genetic data combined with predictive habitat models for the year 2100 also predicts that gray whales (Eschrichtius robustus) will expand beyond their habitat to the Atlantic, potentially via Arctic migration routes [20]. Similarly, beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas*) habitats are predicted to continue to decline for the year 2100, resulting in a distribution shift northwards and leading to a population decline in some populations [21]. Shifts in distribution ranges appear to have been successfully employed in the past in response to climate change, at least in some species. For example, ancient DNA revealed that the bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) lineage survived the change in the Late Pleistocene climate by shifting habitats northwards [22]. Foote et al. predict that the response to climate change will be speciesspecific and suitable habitat for bowhead whales will likely be halved by the end of this century. The combination of the rise in ocean temperature, low prey availability, and the loss of habitat can have severe consequences for the survival of many cetacean species, particularly those that are already threatened or those with a limited habitat range [23]. Here, we will discuss the consequences of climate change on cetacean health by looking at impacts on distribution, abundance, phenology and behavior, reproductive success, and pollutant burden. Finally, we will discuss how health is currently being measured to assess environmental impacts and the novel approaches taken to increase our knowledge of the physiological constraints limited prey availability might cause.

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

Consequences on distribution, abundance, phenology, and behaviour

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

Changes in habitat usage/diversity and abundance are one of the most common responses of marine biota to the rise in ocean temperature. Similarly, the distributions of many cetacean species are expected to shift towards the poles, resulting in range contraction for polar species and range expansion for warm water species, as well as changes in population size [24–27]. Although effects on Arctic species are of particular concern (e.g., [28–30]), climatedriven impacts on cetaceans are projected to be global (e.g., [18,31,32]). Indeed, a recent systematic review (58 articles, 29 species) on climate change and distribution, migration, and habitat use showed a poleward shift for many species [33]. Habitat usage is species-specific, meaning that whilst some species may move between different temperature zones, others might be more constrained. For example, the white-beaked dolphin (Lagenorhynchus albirostris) is a cold-water species whose relative abundance appears to be declining in northwest Scotland (UK), based on both frequencies of strandings between 1992-2003 and sighting surveys from 2002 and 2003 [34]. Indeed, statistical models show a negative relationship between white-beaked dolphin distribution and increasing water temperature [35]. On the other hand, the common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) has increased in abundance (both stranding and sightings), reflecting increased habitat usage of the warmer waters in northwest Scotland [34]. In addition, striped dolphins (Stenella coeruleoalba) are now regularly sighted in Scottish waters, despite never being recorded before 1988 [36]. Similar observations were documented in St. Mary's Bay (Canada), which historically had few sightings of cetaceans. Due to an increase in the local water temperature, this became a feeding ground for humpback whales in 2016 [37]. Changes in species abundance have also been reported in the North Atlantic, where sightings reported over the last 14 years showed an increase in humpback and fin (Balaenoptera physalus) whale abundance, but a significant decrease in common minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) abundance [38,39].

Similar shifts in distribution and abundance were observed in bowhead whales tracked by satellite between 2001-2011 in West Greenland. Bowhead whales showed a change in movement pattern, and were found at higher latitudes during spring and summer [40], likely due to the decrease in ice covering and the need to change their habitat usage. Bowhead whales feed on krill (*Euphasia spp.*) and the sea ice edge provides shelter from predators for krill and contains critical food resources (i.e., sea ice algae). As such, the receding of the sea

ice edge results in a decline in krill availability [41]. On the other hand, the Pacific-Arctic bowhead whale was reported to be thriving during a period of rapid sea ice loss (longer duration of summer open water) and changes in upwelling potential (wind stress) with increased population size, body condition, and calf counts over the last 25 years [42,43]. This was in combination with a substantial shift in habitat usage over those 25 years and reflects the findings of the bowhead whale lineage that survived the Late Pleistocene climate by shifting habitats [22]. Changes in habitat usage and abundance are not limited to colder regions and were also observed in cetaceans inhabiting tropical and subtropical regions of the Pacific Ocean. For example, the abundance of Bryde's whales (Balaenoptera edeni) increased between 2000 and 2010 in the Southern California Bight (USA), which was likely driven by prey availability [44]. Similarly, unusual sightings of Clymene dolphins (Stenella clymene) have been recorded on the northern coast of Spain [45], the Patagonian Coast (Argentina) [46], and the Brazilian coast [47], outside their usual habitat in the tropical waters of the Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico. Range shifts are believed to correlate with habitat expansion, leading to a functional feeding response of cetacean species to changes in the marine environment [39]. If climate-change impacts accelerate, such regime shifts are thought to become more widespread [48]. In general, range shifts are believed to be an indirect effect of climate change, as cetaceans adapt to changes in the distribution of prey (e.g., Víkingsson et al. 2015). However, a recent study showed that pilot whales shifted polewards at a strikingly high rate that correlated with thermal niche, rather than shifts in prey distribution, thus suggesting a direct response to warming waters [49]. This decoupling between predator and prey distribution may potentially influence trophic interactions, reshaping marine communities and affecting cetacean populations. Future studies quantifying the rate of distribution shift in other populations and species will be crucial towards our understanding of the direct effects of climate change on cetaceans and the ecosystem-level consequences of these range shifts.

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

Environmental changes can impact food-web dynamics and may change entire marine ecosystems. They may lead, for example, to the introduction or increased occurrence of top predators, with consequences propagating through the entire food chain. For example, as the Arctic sea ice cover diminishes as a result of warming waters, killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) are expanding to ice-free areas of Hudson Bay (Canada) [50]. These animals are reported to feed

on other marine mammals including seals and other whales (e.g. narwhal (*Monodon monoceros*) and bowhead whales) [51,52]. The expansion of inhabitable Arctic water is likely to continue to lead to an increasing presence of those killer whales that prey on marine mammals, putting severe pressure on marine mammals stocks and the Arctic marine ecosystem [51]. Behavioural studies of belugas, narwhals and bowhead whales show that in the presence of killer whales, these species change their habitat usage which can lead to increased stress and decreased fitness [53–55]. Such nonconsumptive effects on prey species may cause cumulative consequences for energy acquisition in habitat that may already be of poorer quality due to climate change effects on resource availability. In addition, the shift of cetaceans to new feeding grounds can have an impact on the body condition of other noncetacean species (e.g., Adelie (*Psygoscelis adeliae*) and Emperor penguins (*Aptendytes forsteri*)) [56].

Phenology and behavioural changes have also been reported as a result of climate changes. For migratory species, the impacts of climate change can be particularly challenging as these species time their migration to maximise exploitation of prey in feeding areas, which is only available temporarily. Changes in the period of prey availability will lead to mismatches between the arrival of migrants and the availability of prey, leading predators to shift their migration timing. However, there may be limits to the degree to which such timings can be adjusted before other important functional behaviours are affected. Baleen whales that migrate between feeding and breeding grounds provide an excellent example of these impacts. For example, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, fin and humpback whales were shown to shift arrival date over a period of 30 years, arriving and leaving earlier to and from their feeding grounds (Ramp et al. 2015). While both species were initially able to maintain temporal niche separation, there were indications of increasing temporal overlap, leading to higher competition for prey resources. The high rate of change in migration timing observed also suggested that if environmental changes continued at the same pace, both species would need to substantially change their annual life cycle to adapt to the timing of prey availability. Similar changes in migration timing have also been observed in other species [33]. Additionally, changes in behaviour as a result of climate change have also been reported, such as in group size. For example, bottlenose dolphins in the Moray Firth (UK) and killer whales in Johnstone Strait (Canada), seemed to change their group size in relation to changes in ocean climate over a period of 9-11 years [57]. Climate indicators correlated with local prey abundance and smaller groups were observed during periods of lower salmon availability in both areas. This seemed to recur each 2 years after a low phase of North Atlantic and Pacific Decadal Oscillations [57].

Clearly, climate change can impact not only the distribution range and abundance of cetaceans but also impact migration timing and behaviour, all of which may eventually lead to poorer health due to decreased access to preferred prey or decreased foraging success. Those cetacean species that are limited by their habitat usage may face a greater challenge to cope with the temperate change, and thus may lead to extinction events. In contrast, those that can change their habitats, such as the bowhead whale, may ensure the survival of the species as demonstrated by historical climate events. Shifts in habitat usage will lead to increased sightings of uncommon species in some areas, which could have repercussions across the food chain, and ultimately alter whole ecosystems. As such, monitoring schemes and policy makers should take into account the predicted trajectory of habitat usage and those species that have a limited range when managing Marine Protected Areas.

Consequences on reproductive success

The rise in ocean temperature can impact the metabolism of marine mammals and their overall health. For example, following a heat wave, Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus*) inhabiting Shark Bay (Western Australia) showed a significant decline in female reproductive rates [58]. Those that use tools for foraging had a higher survival rate compared to those that did not. The lower survival rate persisted post-heatwave and Wild *et al.* speculate that habitat loss may prolong negative impacts on higher trophic level marine predators. Wild *et al* also speculate that the decline in population numbers is likely due to (1) females spending more time foraging leaving calves open to predators; (2) a trade-off between energy available and reproduction; and 3) suppression of the reproductive system due to low body weight.

The amount of energy available (e.g., fat) is tightly linked to the ability to invest in reproduction, and blubber thickness is linked to reproductive success [59–63]. When in poorer body condition (e.g., due to low prey availability) early term abortion or less

investment in foetus growth has been observed in cetaceans as a measure to save energy and protect the mother's survival [60,61,64]. In addition, suppressed ovulation or delayed sexual maturity may also occur when females are below a certain threshold of body weight [65]. As such, the impact of climate change may have long-term effects on reproduction rates and lead to severe population declines over longer periods. For example, coinciding with the changes in the Pacific Decadal Oscillation and other climate events, the mother-calf rates of humpback whales sighted at Au'Au Channel Maui (Hawaii) dropped by 76.5% between 2013-2018, showing a rapid decline in reproductive rates [66]. There has been a decline in abundance and apparent survival rates of fin whales over the last 35 years [67] and a reduction in the reproductive success of humpback whales at the Gulf of St Lawrence (Canada) [68]. In humpback whales observed between 2004-2018, 39% of the identified pregnancies were unsuccessful over this 15 year period [68]. Both species also displayed changes in their migratory timing [69]. One of the likely drivers for these changes is the reduced prey availability caused by environmental shifts as a result of reduced sea ice extent [67].

Continued increasing water temperatures and sea ice reduction may also have major effects on ecosystem energy flux altering the ratio of phytoplankton and zooplankton species production [70]. With a gradual shift in the composition of Atlantic zooplankton species, lipid rich prey species such as amphipods may contain less energy [71]. Marine predators such as common minke whales feeding on these less-energy rich species then fail to build up energy reserves for migration to breeding areas and may lead to a decline in producing offspring [72]. Similar patterns are observed with the southern right whale (*Eubalaena australis*) preying on krill [73]. Data collected between 1997 and 2013 showed a strong correlation between whale breeding success in southern Brazil and krill density. During that period, krill density was correlated with global climate indices and thus reduced krill is likely to slow down the current recovery rate of these historically overexploited species [73].

For some cetacean predators, consequences of environmental changes may be stronger due to low flexibility for dietary shifts. For example, population trends of killer whales in the north eastern Pacific Ocean are strongly correlated with the availability of their principle prey, the Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) [74]. The lack of this key prey is associated with low reproductive success and high rates of unsuccessful pregnancies in the Southern Resident

killer whale population [75]. Although other prey exists in the environment, the strong preference for this specific prey species can lead to population demographic consequences in killer whales. This dependence on a single prey species stems from fixed behavioural traditions within a pod, acting as important social isolating mechanisms which ultimately lead to the evolution of genetically distinct populations [76]. Thus, genetic diversity is also threatened under climate change. The decline in population size allows for inbreeding depression by reducing the genetic diversity and increasing recessive homozygotes created by consanguineous mating [77–79]. That would lead to a weakened resilience of populations against climate change effects and other anthropogenic pressures [80].

Prey switching to provide sufficient energy when other sources are low may not be an optimal response either, as it can lead to a decrease in body condition if suboptimal prey are consumed. Beluga whales in the eastern Beaufort Sea (Arctic region) primarily prey on Arctic cod, which is a fish species sensitive to climate change. Data collected between 2011-2014 showed that the diet of belugas containing cod declined and a prey switch occurred to capelin. The lowest body condition (maximum girth and blubber thickness) measured in 2014 coincided with the lowest consumption of cod and the highest of capelin, and this predominantly affected females and juveniles [81,82].

Climate change impacts reproductive success indirectly by reducing the food availability and thus worsening body condition. Given the trade-off between survival and reproducing when fat stores are low, climate change can lead to less offspring being born and thus could lead over-time to an overall population decline. In addition, those species that migrate to their breeding grounds may encounter a loss of those grounds, change their migratory patterns, or fail to reproduce as migration can be energetically costly.

Consequences on pollutant burden

Climate change has the potential to impact the current environmental distribution of chemical toxicants and their associated biological effects on the marine ecosystem (see review [83]). With the increase in arctic ice melting and change in regional precipitation patterns, the concentration of contaminants in the meltwater and aquatic environments will increase [84,85]. As a warmer atmosphere can hold more water vapor, climate change models

predict an intensification and an increase of rainfall events in certain areas [86–89]. This can lead to higher rates of land-based runoff in downstream coastal areas, elevating pollutant concentrations. Over time, this could contribute to increased contaminant exposure on cetaceans and affect survival rates of entire populations [90,91]. For example, model forecasts predict that >50% of world killer whale populations are threatened by PCB-mediated effects [92]. This is likely to be more severe in coastal populations, that are and will be exposed to higher concentrations of pollutants than those with an oceanic habitat. Persistent and mobile contaminants (PMCs) are globally distributed, persist long after their emissions (most banned in 1960s) and can bioaccumulate in the ecosystem, leading to ecotoxicity [93]. Environmental exposure to these PMCs can continue for years and decades, with long-lasting adverse health effects on many organisms including marine species [94]. These effects include immunotoxicity and endocrine disruptions, which leads to changes in reproductive success [94–96].

Cetaceans are particularly vulnerable to these pollutants as they are apex predators, feed on top of the food chain and have a thick blubber layer where these chemicals bioaccumulate [97]. These contaminants then recirculate in periods of low prey availability (using energy stores), exposing vital organs to toxic risks [98]. Further, the immunosuppressive effects of PCBs make it more likely for an individual to die from infectious disease [99]. Although there has been a general decline in POPs since the 1980s in blubber samples of cetaceans [100,101], the current change in climate could lead to a resuspension and reintroduction of these contaminants into the aquatic environment. Especially those species inhabiting coastal and Arctic regions may be most at risk of an increased contaminant exposure and there is evidence of PMCs transferring maternally in several species [102–105]. For example, following a severe weather event in 2011 resulting in an influx of contaminated freshwater into coastal waters in Queensland (Australia), unusually high mortality was observed in several dolphin species [90]. A rise in DDT, PCB and HCB levels (i.e. POPs) in blubber samples was also recorded between 2011-2015 following this event in coastal Australian humpback dolphins (Sousa sahulensis) and Australian snubfin dolphins (Orcaella heinsohni) [106]. Further, sustained periods of elevated freshwater discharge may contribute to a higher mortality of resident inshore cetaceans [90]. This could potentially impact their health and reproductive success

due to the increased exposure to infectious pathogens [107] and contaminants with immunosuppressive effects [108], making the animal more vulnerable to disease.

Shifts in habitat usage and prey, due to the low availability of preferred prey, may also result in higher exposure to pollutants [109]. Pollutant burdens are strongly influenced by geographical distribution, [110], and the shift of species to new feeding grounds/habitats could expose cetaceans to higher levels of pollutants.

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

300

301

302

303

304

305

Measuring health in cetaceans: current and novel approaches

The ability of an animal to reproduce is highly dependent on the amount of energy that is available to invest in reproduction. When fat reserves are low, one's own survival is prioritised with a shut-down of processes related to reproduction. Therefore, the amount of fat stores is widely used in mammals to assess body condition or used as a health proxy [111-113], including in cetaceans (i.e. blubber thickness) [114]. However, using blubber thickness alone to infer body condition has led to inconclusive results (see review [63]). In some cetacean species, blubber thickness may not reflect whether individuals are in negative energy status or not [115–120]. This is likely because blubber also serves other functions than an energy storage, such as buoyancy aid, insulator and gives structural support. For example, bowhead whale blubber thickness did not vary with seasons or life stages but showed an increase in structural fibre density within the blubber layers [121]. As such, blubber thickness did not change but the morphology of the blubber did, with a reduced fat cell size. In addition, dolphins exhibit a unique fasting profile after 24h, with a rapid switch to lipids and amino acids as fuel [122]. This is in agreement with Kershaw et al. (2017) who argued that muscle mass may be used as fuel during periods of starvation to protect the blubber's other functions [123]. As such, novel approaches are being developed that consider other metrics than blubber thickness to infer health/body condition in cetaceans (see reviews [124,125]). As Castrillon et al. (2020) extensively reviewed traditional and other approaches in evaluating cetacean body condition, we will here focus on the recent developments in molecular approaches.

We currently do not fully understand how the physiological system in cetaceans responds to low food availability and new insights in this complex system wide response are emerging

[122]. For example, nucleic acid-derived indices have recently been successfully applied as ecophysiological indicators in bottlenose dolphins and pilot whales, showing differences between species and animals with different residency patterns (Alves et al. 2020). This approach shows promise and may have wide future applications, as it can be used in samples obtained via biopsying, a technique widely used for tissue sampling of several cetacean species. With recent advances in technology, we are also now able to characterize many thousands of genes, metabolites, lipids and proteins associated with phenotypic traits and this is key to the discovery of health biomarkers in for example human diseases (see reviews [126–130]). Omics technologies are now emerging as novel methods in the field of cetacean health research and to unravel how their metabolism may cope with stressors. For example, evidence for a Dynamic Network Marker (DNM), originally created for early human disease detection, emerged within the plasma metabolomic network of 24h fasted dolphins [122]. This DNM hinted that dolphins may enter a "fat conservation" state more rapidly than expected and shows a tipping point is emerging in energy state transitions [122]. Managed or stranded cetaceans can be used as "model species" to create a more comprehensive understanding of cetacean health and the physiological/metabolic response to stressors as a way to create biomarkers to assess wild populations [122,131–137]. For example, in managed whales, a correlation was found between lipidomics (i.e. lipid profile) and blood parameters related to metabolism [133]. As such, lipidomics shows great promise to assess the change in energy body reserves and thus body condition in free ranging cetaceans [98,131,138,139]. Other omics approaches such as proteomics and metabolomics are also emerging as novel methods to increase our understanding of cetacean metabolism [135,140–143]. Finally, we also lack an understanding of how cetacean metabolism and nutrient requirements influence feeding ecology. For example, selective feeding, whereby only certain portions of the prey are eaten or only certain prey are targeted, may be driven by nutrient balancing, rather than simple maximisation of energy intake [144]. Lipid-rich or protein-rich prey or parts of prey may be preferred, depending on the nutrient needs of predators. Climate change may impact not only prey availability but also prey nutrient composition, thus affecting the nutrient balance required by cetacean predators. Thus, a shift in the focus of future studies away from only caloric measurements and applying a nutrient geometry framework may be useful to further our understanding of the impacts of climate change on feeding decision-making of cetacean predators and its consequences to their health.

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

Conclusion

The impacts of climate change on cetaceans are species or population-specific, with some being able to expand their habitat while others are forced to constrain their habitat range. Those with a limited habitat range may suffer from declining population sizes mainly caused by a range shift in prey availability across the food chain. Impacts may also differ depending on habitat type, however knowledge on the effects of climate change in populations inhabiting oceanic or remote areas is still lacking. However, conclusive to most cetaceans is that with rising ocean temperature, food availability is declining and thus so is body condition. This will lead to a change in metabolism with a negative energy status and thus lead to a decline in reproductive rates. This is attributed to the trade-off between survival and reproduction as cetaceans cannot invest in reproduction when energy reserves are already low. Finally, changes in precipitation and sea ice loss caused by a warming and changing climate can resuspend or introduce contaminants in the water column, potentially causing adverse effects on cetacean metabolism (e.g., endocrine disruption). The worsened body condition resulting from low food availability and the pollutants bioaccumulated in the thick blubber layer can impact cetacean health.

There is a need to measure and assess the cumulative impact of multiple stressors, given that climate change, habitat alteration, low prey availability and contaminants do not act in isolation. Human-caused perturbations to cetacean foraging abilities are becoming a pervasive and prevalent threat to many cetacean species on top of climate change associated stressors. Multiple stressors can lead to a decline in population growth by reducing the amount of energy that is available to invest in reproduction, which may lead to extinction events. Approaches such as modelling and/or trait-based methods for assessment of climate change vulnerability can be helpful in identifying local or regional management units that are at particular risk. As such, monitoring schemes and policy makers should take into account the predicted trajectory of habitat usage and those species that have a limited range when managing Marine Protected Areas and their exposure to anthropogenic stressors. We need to begin to address the knowledge gaps regarding the interactions between multiple stressors and unravel the complex physiological mechanisms regulating cetacean metabolism, reproduction, and body condition to better understand the consequences of future

environmental changes. With approximately 25% of cetacean species classified as threatened (IUCN December 2020), it is critical to understand the physiological effects of climate change on these apex predators to protect vulnerable cetacean species. The field of omics is showing great potential for biological markers to assess health in free ranging cetaceans.

Lastly, the majority of the papers focus on the impact of climate change on prey availability and cascading through the food-web, but little work has been done to discuss the direct consequences of oceanic acidification for cetaceans. It is important to point out that with an increase in atmospheric CO₂ levels, the ocean plays an increasing role in the carbon cycle with a higher biological uptake of CO₂ per unit area [145]. This can have indirect effects on cetaceans via their food chain by altering the quality of food available for cetaceans. However, very little is known what the direct impacts of increased CO₂ levels may be on cetacean's metabolism. There is indication from experimental work on other species that ocean acidification directly impacts metabolism. For example, molluscs show a substantial change to their energy metabolism with a shift in metabolic pathways when exposed to parameters mimicking ocean acidification [146]. In large pelagic fish, elevated CO₂ increased resting oxygen uptake rates compared to fish with normal conditions [147]. Other work on notothenioid fish suggests that some species may require a physiological trade-off to compensate for the energetic costs of acclimations to both temperature increase and CO₂ changes [147]. If the rise in ocean acidity requires cetaceans to increase their resting oxygen uptake levels with potentially extra energetic costs, this could lead to cetaceans having to rely more on their stored energy reserves. The change in acidification could lead to a reduced body condition, reduced reproductive success and an increased susceptibility to diseases. As mentioned earlier, climate change associated impacts such as change in temperature, increased ocean acidification and a decline in prey availability are cumulative. We need to move to a greater understanding how multiple stressors impact the metabolism of cetaceans and ultimately their population trajectory.

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

420	Au	thor contributions
421	DD	contributed to the design and concept of the manuscript. DD and AK wrote the
422	manuscript. FS provided intellectual input. All authors contributed to manuscript revision	
423	read, and approved the submitted version.	
424		
425	Acknowledgments	
426	We would like to thank Dr Jo Kershaw for paper recommendations and insightful comments	
427	We would also like to thank two anonymous reviewers for very helpful feedback on the	
428 429	ma	inuscript that helped improve it.
430	Со	nflict of Interest
431	The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial o	
432	financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.	
433		
434	References	
435 436	1.	MacGarvin M, Simmonds MP. 1996 Whales and climate change. <i>The conservation of whales and dolphins: Science and practice. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex</i> , 321–332.
437 438	2.	Pörtner HO et al. 2019 IPCC, Summary for Policymakers. In IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate,
439 440	3.	Newman M <i>et al.</i> 2016 The Pacific Decadal Oscillation, Revisited. <i>Journal of Climate</i> 29 , 4399–4427. (doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0508.1)
441 442 443	4.	Bond NA, Cronin MF, Freeland H, Mantua N. 2015 Causes and impacts of the 2014 warm anomaly in the NE Pacific. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> 42 , 3414–3420. (doi:10.1002/2015GL063306)
444 445	5.	Cavole LM <i>et al.</i> 2016 Biological Impacts of the 2013–2015 Warm-Water Anomaly in the Northeast Pacific: Winners, Losers, and the Future. <i>Oceanography</i> 29 , 273–285.
446 447 448	6.	Mann ME, Rahmstorf S, Kornhuber K, Steinman BA, Miller SK, Coumou D. 2017 Influence of Anthropogenic Climate Change on Planetary Wave Resonance and Extreme Weather Events. <i>Sci Rep</i> 7 , 45242. (doi:10.1038/srep45242)
449 450 451	7.	Nowicki R, Heithaus M, Thomson J, Burkholder D, Gastrich K, Wirsing A. 2019 Indirect legacy effects of an extreme climatic event on a marine megafaunal community. <i>Ecological Monographs</i> 89 , e01365. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1365)

- 452 8. Pereira HM et al. 2010 Scenarios for Global Biodiversity in the 21st Century. Science 330, 1496-453 1501. (doi:10.1126/science.1196624)
- 454 9. Heath MR. 2005 Changes in the structure and function of the North Sea fish foodweb, 1973— 455 2000, and the impacts of fishing and climate. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62, 847–868.
- 456 (doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.01.023)
- 457 10. Anderson D. 2014 HABs in a changing world: a perspective on harmful algal blooms, their
- 458 impacts, and research and management in a dynamic era of climactic and environmental
- 459 change. Harmful Algae 2012 (2012) 2012, 3-17.
- 460 11. Gobler CJ, Doherty OM, Hattenrath-Lehmann TK, Griffith AW, Kang Y, Litaker RW. 2017 Ocean
- 461 warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the North Atlantic and
- North Pacific oceans. *PNAS* **114**, 4975–4980. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1619575114) 462
- 463 12. Glibert PM, Allen JI, Artioli Y, Beusen A, Bouwman L, Harle J, Holmes R, Holt J. 2014 Vulnerability
- 464 of coastal ecosystems to changes in harmful algal bloom distribution in response to climate
- 465 change: projections based on model analysis. Global Change Biology 20, 3845-3858.
- 466 (doi:10.1111/gcb.12662)
- 467 13. Hégaret H, Wikfors GH, Shumway SE. 2009 2 - Biotoxin contamination and shellfish safety. In
- 468 Shellfish Safety and Quality (eds SE Shumway, GE Rodrick), pp. 43–80. Woodhead Publishing.
- 469 (doi:10.1533/9781845695576.1.43)
- 14. Smit T, Lemley DA, Adams JB, Strydom NA. 2021 Preliminary insights on the fine-scale responses 470
- 471 in larval Gilchristella aestuaria (Family Clupeidae) and dominant zooplankton to estuarine
- 472 harmful algal blooms. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 249, 107072.
- 473 (doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2020.107072)
- 15. Burek KA, Gulland FMD, O'Hara TM. 2008 Effects of Climate Change on Arctic Marine Mammal 474 475 Health. Ecological Applications 18, S126–S134. (doi:10.1890/06-0553.1)
- 476 16. Broadwater MH, Dolah FMV, Fire SE. 2018 Vulnerabilities of Marine Mammals to Harmful Algal
- 477 Blooms. In Harmful Algal Blooms, pp. 191–222. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 478 (doi:10.1002/9781118994672.ch5)
- 479 Serpetti N, Baudron AR, Burrows MT, Payne BL, Helaouët P, Fernandes PG, Heymans JJ. 2017
- 480 Impact of ocean warming on sustainable fisheries management informs the Ecosystem
- 481 Approach to Fisheries. Scientific Reports 7, 13438. (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13220-7)
- 482 18. Albouy C, Delattre V, Donati G, Frölicher TL, Albouy-Boyer S, Rufino M, Pellissier L, Mouillot D,
- 483 Leprieur F. 2020 Global vulnerability of marine mammals to global warming. Scientific Reports
- 484 **10**, 548. (doi:10.1038/s41598-019-57280-3)
- 485 19. Derville S et al. 2019 Whales in warming water: Assessing breeding habitat diversity and
- adaptability in Oceania's changing climate. Global Change Biology 25, 1466–1481. 486
- 487 (doi:10.1111/gcb.14563)
- 488 20. Alter SE et al. 2015 Climate impacts on transocean dispersal and habitat in gray whales from the Pleistocene to 2100. *Molecular Ecology* **24**, 1510–1522. (doi:10.1111/mec.13121) 489
- 490 21. Skovrind M et al. 2019 Circumpolar phylogeography and demographic history of beluga whales 491 reflect past climatic fluctuations. *Molecular Ecology* (doi:10.1111/mec.15915)

- 492 22. Foote AD et al. 2013 Ancient DNA reveals that bowhead whale lineages survived Late
- 493 Pleistocene climate change and habitat shifts. *Nature Communications* **4**, 1677.
- 494 (doi:10.1038/ncomms2714)
- 495 23. Simmonds MP, Isaac SJ. 2007 The impacts of climate change on marine mammals: early signs of significant problems. *Oryx* **41**, 19–26. (doi:10.1017/S0030605307001524)
- 497 24. Learmonth JA, Mcleod CD, Santos MB, Pierce GJ, Crick HQP, Robinson RA. 2006 Potential effects
- 498 of climate change on marine mammals. In Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual
- 499 *Review*, pp. 431–464. CRC Press.
- 500 25. MacLeod CD. 2009 Global climate change, range changes and potential implications for the
- conservation of marine cetaceans: a review and synthesis. Endangered Species Research 7, 125–
- 502 136. (doi:10.3354/esr00197)
- 26. Kaschner K, Tittensor DP, Ready J, Gerrodette T, Worm B. 2011 Current and Future Patterns of Global Marine Mammal Biodiversity. *PLOS ONE* **6**. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019653)
- 505 27. Silber GK *et al.* 2017 Projecting Marine Mammal Distribution in a Changing Climate. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **4**, 413. (doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00413)
- 28. Laidre KL, Stirling I, Lowry L, Wiig O, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Ferguson SH. 2008 QUANTIFYING THE
- 508 SENSITIVITY OF ARCTIC MARINE MAMMALS TO CLIMATE-INDUCED HABITAT CHANGE. Ecological
- 509 *Applications* **18**. (doi:10.1890/06-0546.1)
- 510 29. Laidre KL et al. 2015 Arctic marine mammal population status, sea ice habitat loss, and
- conservation recommendations for the 21st century. *Conservation Biology* **29**, 724–737.
- 512 (doi:10.1111/cobi.12474)
- 30. Kovacs KM, Lydersen C, Overland JE, Moore SE. 2011 Impacts of changing sea-ice conditions on
- 514 Arctic marine mammals. *Mar Biodiv* **41**, 181–194. (doi:10.1007/s12526-010-0061-0)
- 515 31. Schumann N, Gales NJ, Harcourt RG, Arnould JPY, Schumann N, Gales NJ, Harcourt RG, Arnould
- 516 JPY. 2013 Impacts of climate change on Australian marine mammals. Aust. J. Zool. **61**, 146–159.
- 517 (doi:10.1071/ZO12131)
- 32. Bestley S et al. 2020 Marine Ecosystem Assessment for the Southern Ocean: Birds and Marine
- 519 Mammals in a Changing Climate. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 8, 338.
- 520 (doi:10.3389/fevo.2020.566936)
- 33. van Weelden C, Towers JR, Bosker T. 2021 Impacts of climate change on cetacean distribution,
- habitat and migration. Climate Change Ecology 1, 100009. (doi:10.1016/j.ecochg.2021.100009)
- 34. MacLeod CD, Bannon SM, Pierce GJ, Schweder C, Learmonth JA, Herman JS, Reid RJ. 2005
- 524 Climate change and the cetacean community of north-west Scotland. *Biological Conservation*
- 525 **124**, 477–483. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.02.004)
- 526 35. Waggitt JJ et al. 2020 Distribution maps of cetacean and seabird populations in the North-East
- 527 Atlantic. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **57**, 253–269. (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13525)
- 528 36. Reid RJ, Kitchener A, Ross HM, Herman J. 1993 First records of the Striped Dolphin, Stenella
- 529 coeruleoalba, in Scottish Waters. *The Glasgow Naturalist* **22**, 243–245.

- 37. Askin N, Belanger M, Wittnich C. 2017 Humpback Whale Expansion and Climate Change-Evidence of Foraging Into New Habitats. *Journal of Marine Animals and Their Ecology* **9**, 13–17.
- 38. Víkingsson GA, Pike DG, Desportes G, Øien N, Gunnlaugsson T, Bloch D. 2009 Distribution and
- abundance of fin whales (Balaenoptera physalus) in the Northeast and Central Atlantic as
- inferred from the North Atlantic Sightings Surveys 1987-2001. NAMMCO Scientific Publications
- 535 **7**, 49–72. (doi:10.7557/3.2705)
- 39. Víkingsson GA et al. 2015 Distribution, abundance, and feeding ecology of baleen whales in
- Icelandic waters: have recent environmental changes had an effect? Front. Ecol. Evol. 3.
- 538 (doi:10.3389/fevo.2015.00006)
- 40. Chambault P, Albertsen CM, Patterson TA, Hansen RG, Tervo O, Laidre KL, Heide-Jørgensen MP.
- 540 2018 Sea surface temperature predicts the movements of an Arctic cetacean: the bowhead
- 541 whale. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 9658. (doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27966-1)
- 41. Atkinson A, Siegel V, Pakhomov E, Rothery P. 2004 Long-term decline in krill stock and increase
- in salps within the Southern Ocean. *Nature* **432**, 100–103. (doi:10.1038/nature02996)
- 42. George JC, Druckenmiller ML, Laidre KL, Suydam R, Person B. 2015 Bowhead whale body
- condition and links to summer sea ice and upwelling in the Beaufort Sea. *Progress in*
- 546 Oceanography **136**, 250–262. (doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.001)
- 43. Druckenmiller ML, Citta JJ, Ferguson MC, Clarke JT, George JC, Quakenbush L. 2018 Trends in
- sea-ice cover within bowhead whale habitats in the Pacific Arctic. Deep Sea Research Part II:
- *Topical Studies in Oceanography* **152**, 95–107. (doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.10.017)
- 44. Kerosky SM, Širović A, Roche LK, Baumann-Pickering S, Wiggins SM, Hildebrand JA. 2012 Bryde's
- 551 whale seasonal range expansion and increasing presence in the Southern California Bight from
- 552 2000 to 2010. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 65, 125–132.
- 553 (doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2012.03.013)
- 45. Pis-Millán JA, Roselló E, Morales-Muñiz A, Nores C. 2019 First record of Clymene dolphin
- 555 (Stenella clymene Gray, 1846) in European waters. *Galemys* **31**, 83–88.
- 556 (doi:10.7325/Galemys.2019.N3)
- 46. Hernández-Orts JS, Hernández-Mena DI, Pantoja C, Kuchta R, García NA, Crespo EA, Loizaga R.
- 558 2021 A Visitor of Tropical Waters: First Record of a Clymene Dolphin (Stenella clymene) Off the
- 559 Patagonian Coast of Argentina, With Comments on Diet and Metazoan Parasites. Front. Mar. Sci.
- **8**. (doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.658975)
- 47. Mayorga LFSP et al. 2020 Strandings of cetaceans on the Espírito Santo coast, southeast Brazil,
- 562 1975–2015. ZooKeys **948**, 129–152. (doi:10.3897/zookeys.948.50468)
- 48. Evans, Peter. 2019 European Whales, Dolphins, and Porpoises Marine Mammal Conservation in
- 564 Practice. 1st edn. Academic Press. See https://www.elsevier.com/books/european-whales-
- dolphins-and-porpoises/evans/978-0-12-819053-1.
- 49. Thorne LH, Nye JA. 2021 Trait-mediated shifts and climate velocity decouple an endothermic
- 567 marine predator and its ectothermic prey. *Sci Rep* **11**, 18507. (doi:10.1038/s41598-021-97318-z)
- 50. Dyke AS, Hooper J, Savelle JM. 1996 A History of Sea Ice in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago
- Based on Postglacial Remains of the Bowhead Whale (Balaena mysticetus). Arctic 49, 235–255.

- 51. Ferguson SH, Higdon JW, Chmelnitsky EG. 2010 The Rise of Killer Whales as a Major Arctic
- 571 Predator. In A Little Less Arctic: Top Predators in the World's Largest Northern Inland Sea,
- 572 Hudson Bay (eds SH Ferguson, LL Loseto, ML Mallory), pp. 117–136. Dordrecht: Springer
- 573 Netherlands. (doi:10.1007/978-90-481-9121-5_6)
- 574 52. Higdon JW, Hauser DDW, Ferguson SH. 2012 Killer whales (Orcinus orca) in the Canadian Arctic:
- 575 Distribution, prey items, group sizes, and seasonality. *Marine Mammal Science* **28**, E93–E109.
- 576 (doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2011.00489.x)
- 53. Breed GA, Matthews CJD, Marcoux M, Higdon JW, LeBlanc B, Petersen SD, Orr J, Reinhart NR,
- Ferguson SH. 2017 Sustained disruption of narwhal habitat use and behavior in the presence of
- Arctic killer whales. *PNAS* **114**, 2628–2633. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1611707114)
- 580 54. Matthews CJD, Breed GA, LeBlanc B, Ferguson SH. 2020 Killer whale presence drives bowhead
- whale selection for sea ice in Arctic seascapes of fear. *PNAS* **117**, 6590–6598.
- 582 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1911761117)
- 583 55. Westdal KH, Davies J, McPherson A, Orr J, Ferguson SH. 2016 Behavioural Changes in Belugas
- 584 (Delphinapterus leucas) During a Killer Whale (Orcinus orca) Attack in Southwest Hudson Bay.
- 585 *The Canadian Field-Naturalist* **130**, 315–319. (doi:10.22621/cfn.v130i4.1925)
- 56. Ainley D et al. 2010 Impacts of cetaceans on the structure of Southern Ocean food webs. Marine
- 587 *Mammal Science* **26**, 482–498. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2009.00337.x)
- 588 57. Lusseau D, Williams R, Wilson B, Grellier K, Barton TR, Hammond PS, Thompson PM. 2004
- Parallel influence of climate on the behaviour of Pacific killer whales and Atlantic bottlenose
- dolphins. *Ecology Letters* **7**, 1068–1076. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00669.x)
- 591 58. Wild S, Krützen M, Rankin RW, Hoppitt WJE, Gerber L, Allen SJ. 2019 Long-term decline in
- survival and reproduction of dolphins following a marine heatwave. Current Biology 29, R239–
- 593 R240. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.02.047)
- 59. Miller CA, Reeb D, Best PB, Knowlton AR, Brown MW, Moore MJ. 2011 Blubber thickness in right
- 595 whales Eubalaena glacialis and Eubalaena australis related with reproduction, life history status
- and prey abundance. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **438**, 267–283.
- 597 60. Christiansen F, Víkingsson GA, Rasmussen MH, Lusseau D. 2014 Female body condition affects
- foetal growth in a capital breeding mysticete. *Functional Ecology* **28**, 579–588.
- 599 (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12200)
- 600 61. Christiansen F, Víkingsson GA, Rasmussen MH, Lusseau D. 2013 Minke whales maximise energy
- storage on their feeding grounds. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **216**, 427–436.
- 602 (doi:10.1242/jeb.074518)
- 603 62. Irvine LG, Thums M, Hanson CE, McMahon CR, Hindell MA. 2017 Quantifying the energy stores
- of capital breeding humpback whales and income breeding sperm whales using historical
- whaling records. *R Soc Open Sci* **4**, 160290. (doi:10.1098/rsos.160290)
- 606 63. Derous D, Ten Doeschate M, Brownlow AC, Davison NJ, Lusseau D. 2020 Towards new
- ecologically relevant markers of health for cetaceans. Front. Mar. Sci. 7.
- 608 (doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.00367)

- 609 64. Knowlton AR, Kraus SD, Kenney RD. 1994 Reproduction in North Atlantic right whales (Eubalaena glacialis). *Can. J. Zool.* **72**, 1297–1305. (doi:10.1139/z94-173)
- 65. Boyd IL, Lockyer CH, Marsh HD. 1999 Reproduction in marine mammals. In *Biology of Marine Mammals*, pp. 218–286. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- 66. Cartwright R, Venema A, Hernandez V, Wyels C, Cesere J, Cesere D. In press. Fluctuating
- reproductive rates in Hawaii's humpback whales, Megaptera novaeangliae, reflect recent
- climate anomalies in the North Pacific. Royal Society Open Science 6, 181463.
- 616 (doi:10.1098/rsos.181463)
- 67. Schleimer A, Ramp C, Delarue J, Carpentier A, Bérubé M, Palsbøll PJ, Sears R, Hammond PS. 2019
- Decline in abundance and apparent survival rates of fin whales (Balaenoptera physalus) in the
- 619 northern Gulf of St. Lawrence. *Ecology and Evolution* **9**, 4231–4244.
- 620 (doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5055)
- 621 68. Kershaw JL, Ramp CA, Sears R, Plourde S, Brosset P, Miller PJO, Hall AJ. 2021 Declining
- reproductive success in the Gulf of St. Lawrence's humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae)
- reflects ecosystem shifts on their feeding grounds. *Global Change Biology* **27**, 1027–1041.
- 624 (doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15466)
- 625 69. Ramp C, Delarue J, Palsbøll PJ, Sears R, Hammond PS. 2015 Adapting to a Warmer Ocean—
- Seasonal Shift of Baleen Whale Movements over Three Decades. PLOS ONE 10, e0121374.
- 627 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121374)
- 70. Søreide JE, Leu E, Berge J, Graeve M, Falk-Petersen S. 2010 Timing of blooms, algal food quality
- and Calanus glacialis reproduction and growth in a changing Arctic. Global Change Biology 16,
- 630 3154–3163. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02175.x)
- 71. Wassmann P et al. 2006 Food webs and carbon flux in the Barents Sea. Progress in
- 632 Oceanography **71**, 232–287. (doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.003)
- 72. Bogstad B, Gjøsæter H, Haug T, Lindstrøm U. 2015 A review of the battle for food in the Barents
- 634 Sea: cod vs. marine mammals. Front. Ecol. Evol. 3. (doi:10.3389/fevo.2015.00029)
- 635 73. Seyboth E, Groch KR, Dalla Rosa L, Reid K, Flores PAC, Secchi ER. 2016 Southern Right Whale (
- Eubalaena australis) Reproductive Success is Influenced by Krill (Euphausia superba) Density
- and Climate. *Sci Rep* **6**, 28205. (doi:10.1038/srep28205)
- 638 74. Ford JKB, Ellis GM, Olesiuk PF, Balcomb KC. 2010 Linking killer whale survival and prey
- abundance: food limitation in the oceans' apex predator? *Biology Letters* **6**, 139–142.
- 640 (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0468)
- 75. Wasser SK, Lundin JI, Ayres K, Seely E, Giles D, Balcomb K, Hempelmann J, Parsons K, Booth R.
- 642 2017 Population growth is limited by nutritional impacts on pregnancy success in endangered
- 643 Southern Resident killer whales (Orcinus orca). PLOS ONE 12, e0179824.
- 644 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0179824)
- 76. Hoelzel AR, Hey J, Dahlheim ME, Nicholson C, Burkanov V, Black N. 2007 Evolution of Population
- Structure in a Highly Social Top Predator, the Killer Whale. Molecular Biology and Evolution 24,
- 647 1407–1415. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msm063)

- 77. Xue Y *et al.* 2015 Mountain gorilla genomes reveal the impact of long-term population decline and inbreeding. *Science* **348**, 242–245. (doi:10.1126/science.aaa3952)
- 78. Wade PR, Reeves RR, Mesnick SL. 2012 Social and Behavioural Factors in Cetacean Responses to Overexploitation: Are Odontocetes Less "Resilient" Than Mysticetes? *Journal of Marine Biology*
- 652 **2012**, e567276. (doi:10.1155/2012/567276)
- 79. Hooper R, Excoffier L, Forney KA, Gilbert MTP, Martin MD, Morin PA, Wolf JBW, Foote AD. 2020
 Runs of homozygosity in killer whale genomes provide a global record of demographic histories.
 bioRxiv , 2020.04.08.031344. (doi:10.1101/2020.04.08.031344)
- 80. Lacy RC *et al.* 2017 Evaluating anthropogenic threats to endangered killer whales to inform effective recovery plans. *Sci Rep* **7**, 14119. (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-14471-0)
- 81. Choy ES, Rosenberg B, Roth JD, Loseto LL. 2017 Inter-annual variation in environmental factors affect the prey and body condition of beluga whales in the eastern Beaufort Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **579**, 213–225. (doi:10.3354/meps12256)
- 82. Choy ES *et al.* 2020 Variation in the diet of beluga whales in response to changes in prey
 availability: insights on changes in the Beaufort Sea ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 647, 195–210. (doi:10.3354/meps13413)
- 83. Noyes PD, McElwee MK, Miller HD, Clark BW, Van Tiem LA, Walcott KC, Erwin KN, Levin ED. 2009
 The toxicology of climate change: Environmental contaminants in a warming world. *Environment International* 35, 971–986. (doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.02.006)
- 84. Macdonald RW, Mackay D, Li Y-F, Hickie B. 2003 How Will Global Climate Change Affect Risks
 from Long-Range Transport of Persistent Organic Pollutants? *Human and Ecological Risk* Assessment: An International Journal 9, 643–660. (doi:10.1080/713609959)
- 85. Meyer T, Wania F. 2008 Organic contaminant amplification during snowmelt. *Water Research* 42, 1847–1865. (doi:10.1016/j.watres.2007.12.016)
- 86. Richter I, Xie S-P. 2008 Muted precipitation increase in global warming simulations: A surface evaporation perspective. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 113.
 (doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010561)
- 87. Pattnayak KC, Kar SC, Dalal M, Pattnayak RK. 2017 Projections of annual rainfall and surface
 temperature from CMIP5 models over the BIMSTEC countries. *Global and Planetary Change* 152,
 152–166. (doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.03.005)
- 88. Almazroui M, Saeed F, Saeed S, Nazrul Islam M, Ismail M, Klutse NAB, Siddiqui MH. 2020
 Projected Change in Temperature and Precipitation Over Africa from CMIP6. *Earth Syst Environ* 4, 455–475. (doi:10.1007/s41748-020-00161-x)
- 89. Dosio A, Fischer EM. 2018 Will Half a Degree Make a Difference? Robust Projections of Indices of Mean and Extreme Climate in Europe Under 1.5°C, 2°C, and 3°C Global Warming. *Geophysical Research Letters* **45**, 935–944. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076222)
- 90. Meager JJ, Limpus C. 2014 Mortality of Inshore Marine Mammals in Eastern Australia Is
 Predicted by Freshwater Discharge and Air Temperature. *PLOS ONE* 9, e94849.
 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094849)

- 91. Jepson PD *et al.* 2016 PCB pollution continues to impact populations of orcas and other dolphins in European waters. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 18573. (doi:10.1038/srep18573)
- 92. Desforges J-P *et al.* 2018 Predicting global killer whale population collapse from PCB pollution.
 Science 361, 1373–1376. (doi:10.1126/science.aat1953)
- 691 93. Cousins IT, Ng CA, Wang Z, Scheringer M. 2019 Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern? *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* **21**, 781–792. (doi:10.1039/C8EM00515J)
- 693 94. Reijnders PJ. 1986 Reproductive failure in common seals feeding on fish from polluted coastal waters. *Nature* **324**, 456–457. (doi:10.1038/324456a0)
- 95. DeLong RL, Gilmartin WG, Simpson JG. 1973 Premature Births in California Sea Lions: Association
 with High Organochlorine Pollutant Residue Levels. *Science* 181, 1168–1170.
 (doi:10.1126/science.181.4105.1168)
- 96. Helle E, Olsson M, Jensen S. 1976 DDT and PCB [polychlorinated biphenyls] levels and
 reproduction in ringed seal [Pusa hispida Schreb.] from the Bothnian Bay [Baltic Sea]. undefined.
 See /paper/DDT-and-PCB-%5Bpolychlorinated-biphenyls%5D-levels-and-Helle Olsson/c49b366c5a6a8cdb3de107105b3566919fa6bb3c (accessed on 4 January 2021).
- 702 97. Reif JS. 2011 Animal Sentinels for Environmental and Public Health. *Public Health Rep* 126, 50–
 703 57. (doi:10.1177/00333549111260S108)
- 98. Bernier-Graveline A, Lesage V, Cabrol J, Lair S, Michaud R, Rosabal M, Verreault J. 2021 Lipid
 metabolites as indicators of body condition in highly contaminant-exposed belugas from the
 endangered St. Lawrence Estuary population (Canada). *Environ Res* 192, 110272.
 (doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.110272)
- 99. Desforges J-PW, Sonne C, Levin M, Siebert U, De Guise S, Dietz R. 2016 Immunotoxic effects of
 environmental pollutants in marine mammals. *Environment International* 86, 126–139.
 (doi:10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.007)
- 711 100. Bolton JL *et al.* 2020 Multi-year assessment (2006–2015) of persistent organic pollutant
 712 concentrations in blubber and muscle from Western Arctic bowhead whales (Balaena
 713 mysticetus), North Slope, Alaska. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 151, 110857.
 714 (doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.110857)
- Bjurlid F, Dam M, Hoydal K, Hagberg J. 2018 Occurrence of polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins, dibenzofurans (PBDD/Fs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in pilot whales (Globicephala melas) caught around the Faroe Islands. *Chemosphere* 195, 11–20. (doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.044)
- Hart K, Kannan K, Isobe T, Takahashi S, Yamada TK, Miyazaki N, Tanabe S. 2008 Time Trends
 and Transplacental Transfer of Perfluorinated Compounds in Melon-Headed Whales Stranded
 Along the Japanese Coast in 1982, 2001/2002, and 2006. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 7132–7137.
 (doi:10.1021/es801224v)
- 103. Haraguchi K, Hisamichi Y, Endo T. 2009 Accumulation and mother-to-calf transfer of
 anthropogenic and natural organohalogens in killer whales (Orcinus orca) stranded on the
 Pacific coast of Japan. Science of The Total Environment 407, 2853–2859.
 (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.01.003)

- 727 104. Pedro S, Boba C, Dietz R, Sonne C, Rosing-Asvid A, Hansen M, Provatas A, McKinney MA.
- 728 2017 Blubber-depth distribution and bioaccumulation of PCBs and organochlorine pesticides in
- 729 Arctic-invading killer whales. *Science of The Total Environment* **601–602**, 237–246.
- 730 (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.193)
- 731 105. Andvik C, Jourdain E, Lyche JL, Karoliussen R, Borgå K. In press. High Levels of Legacy and
- The Figure 2015 to 2017. Emerging Contaminants in Killer Whales (Orcinus orca) from Norway, 2015 to 2017.
- 733 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry n/a. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5064)
- 734 106. Cagnazzi D, Harrison PL, Parra GJ, Reichelt-Brushett A, Marsili L. 2020 Geographic and
- temporal variation in persistent pollutants in Australian humpback and snubfin dolphins.
- 736 *Ecological Indicators* **111**, 105990. (doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105990)
- 737 107. Bowater RO, Norton J, Johnson S, Hill B, O'donoghue P, Prior H. 2003 Toxoplasmosis in Indo-
- 738 Pacific humpbacked dolphins (Sousa chinensis), from Queensland. Australian Veterinary Journal
- 739 **81**, 627–632. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.2003.tb12509.x)
- 740 108. Bressem M-FV et al. 2009 Emerging infectious diseases in cetaceans worldwide and the
- possible role of environmental stressors. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **86**, 143–157.
- 742 (doi:10.3354/dao02101)
- 743 109. Mckinney MA, Pedro S, Dietz R, Sonne C, Fisk AT, Roy D, Jenssen BM, Letcher RJ. 2015 A
- review of ecological impacts of global climate change on persistent organic pollutant and
- mercury pathways and exposures in arctic marine ecosystems. *Current Zoology* **61**, 617–628.
- 746 (doi:10.1093/czoolo/61.4.617)
- 747 110. Pinzone M et al. 2015 POPs in free-ranging pilot whales, sperm whales and fin whales from
- the Mediterranean Sea: Influence of biological and ecological factors. Environ. Res. 142, 185–
- 749 196. (doi:10.1016/j.envres.2015.06.021)
- 750 111. Barnes BM. 1984 Influence of energy stores on activation of reproductive function in male
- 751 golden-mantled ground squirrels. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* **154**, 421–425.
- 752 (doi:10.1007/BF00684449)
- 753 112. Markusfeld O, Galon N, Ezra E. 1997 Body condition score, health, yield and fertility in dairy
- 754 cows. *Veterinary Record* **141**, 67–72. (doi:10.1136/vr.141.3.67)
- 755 113. Morfeld KA, Meehan CL, Hogan JN, Brown JL. 2016 Assessment of Body Condition in African
- 756 (Loxodonta africana) and Asian (Elephas maximus) Elephants in North American Zoos and
- 757 Management Practices Associated with High Body Condition Scores. PLOS ONE 11, e0155146.
- 758 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155146)
- 759 114. Víkingsson GA. 1995 Body condition of fin whales during summer off Iceland. In
- 760 Developments in Marine Biology, pp. 361–369. (doi:10.1016/S0163-6995(06)80037-5)
- 761 115. Read AJ. 1990 Estimation of body condition in harbour porpoises, Phocoena phocoena.
- 762 *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **68**, 1962–1966. (doi:10.1139/z90-276)
- 763 116. Caon G, Fialho CB, Danilewicz D. 2007 Body Fat Condition in Franciscanas (Pontoporia
- blainvillei) in Rio Grande do Sul, Southern Brazil. Journal of Mammalogy (doi:10.1644/06-
- 765 mamm-a-364r.1)

- Total Times
 Total Evans K, Hindell MA, Thiele D. 2003 Body fat and condition in sperm whales, Physeter
 macrocephalus, from southern Australian waters. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 134, 847–62. (doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(03)00045-X)
- 769 118. Gómez-Campos E, Borrell A, Aguilar A. 2011 Assessment of nutritional condition indices
 770 across reproductive states in the striped dolphin (Stenella coeruleoalba). *Journal of Experimental* 771 *Marine Biology and Ecology* 405, 18–24. (doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2011.05.013)
- T19. Koopman HN. 2007 Phylogenetic, ecological, and ontogenetic factors influencing the
 biochemical structure of the blubber of odontocetes. *Marine Biology* (doi:10.1007/s00227-006-0489-8)
- Ruchonnet D, Boutoute M, Guinet C, Mayzaud P. 2006 Fatty acid composition of
 Mediterranean fin whale Balaenoptera physalus blubber with respect to body heterogeneity
 and trophic interaction. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* (doi:10.3354/meps311165)
- 121. Ball HC, Stavarz M, Oldaker J, Usip S, Londraville RL, George JC, Thewissen JGM, Duff RJ.
 2015 Seasonal and Ontogenetic Variation in Subcutaneous Adipose Of the Bowhead Whale
 (Balaena mysticetus). The Anatomical Record 298, 1416–1423. (doi:10.1002/ar.23125)
- Houser DS, Derous D, Douglas A, Lusseau D. 2021 Metabolic response of dolphins to short term fasting reveals physiological changes that differ from the traditional fasting model. *Journal* of Experimental Biology 224. (doi:10.1242/jeb.238915)
- 784 123. Kershaw JL, Sherrill M, Davison NJ, Brownlow A, Hall AJ. 2017 Evaluating morphometric and metabolic markers of body condition in a small cetacean, the harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena). *Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 3494–3506. (doi:10.1002/ece3.2891)
- 787 124. Castrillon J, Nash SB. 2020 Evaluating cetacean body condition; a review of traditional approaches and new developments. *Ecology and Evolution* **10**, 6144–6162. (doi:10.1002/ece3.6301)
- Hunt KE *et al.* 2013 Overcoming the challenges of studying conservation physiology in large
 whales: a review of available methods. *Conservation Physiology* 1.
 (doi:10.1093/conphys/cot006)
- 793 126. Hasin Y, Seldin M, Lusis A. 2017 Multi-omics approaches to disease. *Genome Biology*. **18**. (doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1215-1)
- 795 127. Karczewski KJ, Snyder MP. 2018 Integrative omics for health and disease. *Nature Reviews* 796 *Genetics.* **19**, 299–310. (doi:10.1038/nrg.2018.4)
- 797 128. Abu-Asab MS, Chaouchi M, Alesci S, Galli S, Laassri M, Cheema AK, Atouf F, Vanmeter J, Amri
 798 H. 2011 Biomarkers in the age of omics: Time for a systems biology approach. *OMICS A Journal* 799 of Integrative Biology. 15, 105–112. (doi:10.1089/omi.2010.0023)
- Peña-Bautista C, Baquero M, Vento M, Cháfer-Pericás C. 2018 Omics-based Biomarkers for
 the Early Alzheimer Disease Diagnosis and Reliable Therapeutic Targets Development. *Current* Neuropharmacology 17, 630–647. (doi:10.2174/1570159x16666180926123722)
- 803 130. Blencowe, Karunanayake, Wier, Hsu, Yang. 2019 Network Modeling Approaches and
 804 Applications to Unravelling Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Genes* 10, 966.
 805 (doi:10.3390/genes10120966)

- Monteiro JP, Maciel E, Melo T, Flanagan C, Urbani N, Neves J, Domingues MR. 2021 The plasma phospholipidome of Tursiops truncatus: From physiological insight to the design of prospective tools for managed cetacean monitorization. *Lipids* (doi:10.1002/lipd.12307)
- Champagne CD, Kellar NM, Trego ML, Delehanty B, Boonstra R, Wasser SK, Booth RK,
 Crocker DE, Houser DS. 2018 Comprehensive endocrine response to acute stress in the
 bottlenose dolphin from serum, blubber, and feces. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 266, 178–193.
- 812 (doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2018.05.015)
- Tang C-H, Lin C-Y, Tsai Y-L, Lee S-H, Wang W-H. 2018 Lipidomics as a diagnostic tool of the
 metabolic and physiological state of managed whales: A correlation study of systemic
 metabolism. Zoo Biol 37, 440–451. (doi:10.1002/zoo.21452)
- Jung J-L, Simon G, Alfonsi E, Thoraval D, Kervarec N, Salem DB, Hassani S, Domergue F. 2017
 Qualitative and quantitative study of the highly specialized lipid tissues of cetaceans using HR MAS NMR and classical GC. *PLOS ONE* 12, e0180597. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0180597)
- 819 135. Kershaw JL, Botting CH, Brownlow A, Hall AJ. 2018 Not just fat: investigating the proteome of cetacean blubber tissue. *Conserv Physiol* **6**. (doi:10.1093/conphys/coy003)
- 136. Misra BB, Ruiz-Hernández IM, Hernández-Bolio GI, Hernández-Núñez E, Díaz-Gamboa R,
 Colli-Dula RC. 2019 1H NMR metabolomic analysis of skin and blubber of bottlenose dolphins
 reveals a functional metabolic dichotomy. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genomics Proteomics* 30, 25–32. (doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2019.02.004)
- Suzuki M, Yoshioka M, Ohno Y, Akune Y. 2018 Plasma metabolomic analysis in mature
 female common bottlenose dolphins: profiling the characteristics of metabolites after overnight
 fasting by comparison with data in beagle dogs. *Sci Rep* 8, 12030. (doi:10.1038/s41598-018-30563-x)
- Bories P, Rikardsen AH, Leonards P, Fisk AT, Tartu S, Vogel EF, Bytingsvik J, Blévin P. 2021 A
 deep dive into fat: Investigating blubber lipidomic fingerprint of killer whales and humpback
 whales in northern Norway. *Ecology and Evolution* 11, 6716–6729. (doi:10.1002/ece3.7523)
- 139. Groß J, Virtue P, Nichols PD, Eisenmann P, Waugh CA, Bengtson Nash S. 2020 Interannual
 variability in the lipid and fatty acid profiles of east Australia-migrating humpback whales (
 Megaptera novaeangliae) across a 10-year timeline. *Sci Rep* 10, 18274. (doi:10.1038/s41598 020-75370-5)
- 140. Bergfelt DR *et al.* 2018 Preliminary Analysis of the Proteome of Exhaled Breath Condensate
 in Bottlenose Dolphins (Tursiops truncatus). *Aquatic Mamm* 44, 256–266.
 (doi:10.1578/AM.44.3.2018.256)
- Miller BA *et al.* 2017 Plasma Proteome and Clinical Biochemistry Associated with
 Performance-Based Physical Activity in Bottlenose Dolphins (Tursiops truncatus). *Aquat Mamm* 43, 453–464. (doi:10.1578/AM.43.5.2017.453)
- Zamuruyev KO *et al.* 2016 Enhanced non-invasive respiratory sampling from bottlenose
 dolphins for breath metabolomics measurements. *J. Breath Res.* 10, 046005. (doi:10.1088/1752-7155/10/4/046005)
- Aksenov AA et al. 204 ADMetabolite Content Profiling of Bottlenose Dolphin Exhaled Breath.
 Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 86, 10616–10624. (doi:10.1021/ac5024217)

847 Machovsky-Capuska GE, Raubenheimer D. 2020 The Nutritional Ecology of Marine Apex Predators. Ann Rev Mar Sci 12, 361–387. (doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095411) 848 DONEY SC, BALCH WM, FABRY VJ, FEELY RA. 2009 OCEAN ACIDIFICATION: A CRITICAL 849 850 EMERGING PROBLEM FOR THE OCEAN SCIENCES. Oceanography 22, 16–25. Liao H, Yang Z, Dou Z, Sun F, Kou S, Zhang Z, Huang X, Bao Z. 2019 Impact of Ocean 851 146. 852 Acidification on the Energy Metabolism and Antioxidant Responses of the Yesso Scallop (Patinopecten yessoensis). Front Physiol 9, 1967. (doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01967) 853 854 147. Laubenstein TD, Rummer JL, Nicol S, Parsons DM, Pether SMJ, Pope S, Smith N, Munday PL. 855 2018 Correlated Effects of Ocean Acidification and Warming on Behavioral and Metabolic Traits of a Large Pelagic Fish. Diversity 10, 35. (doi:10.3390/d10020035) 856 857 858