Exhibit B



NEW ENGLAND FOUNDATION FOR OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Connecticut:

Norman S. Roome, D.O.

Maine:

Harrison Aldrich, D.O.
Roswell P. Bates, D.O.
President
William F. Bergen, D.O.
Secretary
Charles DiPerri, D.O.
David M. Johnson, D.O.
Philip Johnson
Lawrence M. Newth, D.O.

Massachusetts:

Robert R. Brown, D.O. Chairman of Board John G. Goulding, D.O. Stewart K. Partridge, D.O. William Gardner Perrin Charles Sauter, D.O.

New Hampshire: Osmond Strong, D.O.

Rhade Island:

Stuart Brodsky, D.O.
J. Jerry Rodos, D.O.
Treasurer
J. Brandon Wynne, D.O.

Vermont:

Thomas Dunleavy, D.O.

N. E. Osteopathic Hospital Administrators:

Jack Fecteau Joseph O'Neill, Jr.

N. E. Academy of Ostoopathy: Edward G. Stiles, D.O. Executive Director Richard Spavins, D.O. OFFICE OF THE TREASURER 1660 Broad Street Cranston, Rhode Island 02905

REPORT OF SUB-COMMITTEE
April 24, 1975

Drs. Rodos, Brown & Spavins met with Dr. Loring Thompson, Vice President for Development of Northeastern University at Northeastern University.

To present the issues in their simplest possible forms can be divided into two groups.

The first group has to do with the simple mechanics of space, costs, and faculty needs,

This group of items, which is within the perview of Dr. Thompson, has already been negotiated and reported by Dr. Spavins at a previous meeting of the Board.

The second group is a more complex set and has to deal with items such as faculty support from involved departments; evaluation of response of allopathic institutions currently supplying clinical services to existing programs; the necessary education of faculty and administrators to the osteopathic educational needs and, more acutely, orientation to family practice education.

This second group of problems is further accentuated by the fact that within the next few months there will be a new President, a new Dean of the Faculty, and a new Chairman of the Biology Department. President Knowles' idea of funding (and funds are available outside NEFOM) a group of faculty to undertake the problems as presented in Group 2 is reasonable but would require time, and it appears totally unreasonable that a class could be admitted in view of the scope of the problems present in Group 2 before September of 1977.

It is also clear to me, and this is a <u>personal</u> observation, that at the present time neither the faculty nor the University is "hungry".

In short, as I see it, the advantages of Northeastern are:

1) Established university affiliation

- 2) Faculty experienced in graduate education and in the health field
- 3) Central location and with it the availability of Countway Library and other services available and the availability of cultural activities.
- 4) Simple contractural relationship which will commit us to nothing but purchasing services

The disadvantages are:

- 1) If all proceeds well, class will not be admitted until September of 1977
- 2) There will be no firm college of osteopathic medicine identity; i.e. campus, dormitory, etc.
- 3) Charter for degree granting by NECOM might be dependent upon its affiliation with Northeastern University
- 4) Physical location of Northeastern University (personal bias)

Respectfully submitted,

J. Jerry Rodos, D. O. Chairman

JJR/drb