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During the 1972 waterfowl season at Carlyle Lake a total car count and sample bag check revealed that 9,362 hunters harvested 7,849 ducks for a success ratio of . 84 . Hunters came from 54 counties and 6 states and represeated approximately 3,000 individuals. Some 67 percent of the hunters came From St. Chat, Madison, and Clinton counties. Hunters, harvest and success for each major hunting area are: subimpoundment-4, 127 hunters, 3,297 ducks and . S0 success ratio; flooded dead tinber-4,244 hunters, 3,490 ducks and . 82 suecess ratio, open water area-991 hunters, 1.062 ducks and 3.07 success ratio. Major species in the harvest were 72 percent mallards, 8 percent wood ducks and 6 percent green wing teal. The peak duck population of 240,000 came on November 20 th.
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Development and management of a relatively new area requires considerable knowledge of waterfowl use, hunting pressure and harvest in order to properly fit the human need for recreation to the resource. The purpose of this study was to provide these pieces of information to form a sound basis for future planning and evaluation.

The Carlyle Lake Wildlife Management Area is a cooperative project between the U. S, Corps of Engineers and the Illinois Department of Conservation and offers approximately 18,000 huntable acres of water in Fayette and Clinton counties.

The following division personnel contributed many hours of effort and Enthusiasm: Floyd Kringer, Paul Moore, Jack Golden, Bill Boyd, Merrill Collins, Don Wright, Jon Lake and Darrel Sims.

Dr. Ronde Lewis deserves special credit for assisting in sampling design and developing the prediction equations for estimating the harvest.

## METHODS AND MATERIAL.

Hunter use was determined by driving to all access points on the lake at or slightly after the opening of shooting hours. Cars were recorded for each
access point: 'lhe number of hunters per car was decermined at the tine af bag checks or from windshield cards.

On the two upper areas, access points were ramdonly selected for bag checks each day with one man on the subimpoundment area and one man on the flooded dead timber area. Each hunter was checked for number and kincts of ducks harvested. Each hunter was asked to fill out an address card to provide origin and the number of individuals using the area. Bag check data was gathered differently for the open water area. As cars were counted, information cards were placed on the windshield of each car. These were to be returned upon completion of the hunt to seceptacles provided at the open water access lots:

Hunter use figures were estimated daily from the number of hunters per car multiplied by the number of cars.

Projections on harvest were done by three different methods. Dr, Ernie Lewis, a statistician from SIU analyzed the field data and made harvest projections (to limit the size of this report, procedures for these projections have been omitted but are on file at the Union County Field Office).

Duck use figures were obtained by five aerial inventories throughout the season.

## RESULTS AND DISĆUSSION

For ease in discussion the results are broken into sections: Funting Pressure, Hunter Success, Species Composition and Harvest.

Hunting Pressure
The total number of hunters using Carlyle Lake during the 1972 waterfowl season was 9,362. The flooded dead timber area received the heaviest use with 4,244 man days effort (Table 1). The subimpoundment was next with 4,127 man days effort followed by the open water area with 991. The flooded dead timber and the subimpoundment accounted for 89 percent of the efforts, 45 percent and 44 percent respectively. The complete breakdown of use by access points is found in Table 1.

A problem with the access point data is that we do not know the percentage of people who use the flooded dead timber access points but actually hunt in the subimpoundment area. In reality all figures for the subimpoundment area are somewhat low and the figures for the flooded dead timber area are proportionally... high.

Daily hunting was much heavier on weekends than weekdays and particularly on opening weekend when 1,267 hunters used the area (Fig. 1). The daily distribution for the two upper areas is found in Figure 2.

Weather factors tended to decrease the expected hunting pressure. Extreme high water made much of the subimpoundment inaccessible after Novemier 5 th. Boats were permitted on November the 16 th which increased the pressure somewhat. An unusually early freeze the first few days of December sharply reduced pressure from the 4 th until the end of the season.

The address cards collected at bag checks provided the hunter's origin, the frequency of his hunts at Carlyle and the other places he hunts.

There were 2,970 address cards collected, of which 883 wére duplicates, leaving 2,087 individuals. Although each access point was not bag checked each day, it was fele that $2 / 3$ of the individuals using the area were checked sometime during the season. Although subjective, this suggests that approximately. 3,000 individuals hunted the lake an average of three times each.

A question on the address card requested what other areas does each hunter use. Resulto showed that 32 percent hunted only Carlyle, 33 percent hunted one other area, 12 percent hunted two other areas and only 4 percent hunted more than two other areas. Some 18 reccent failed to answer the quation.

The 2,970 hunters checked cane from 54 counties all over the state (Fig. 3) and 5 other states. Dominant counties were St. Clair accounting for 30 percent and Madison with 25 percent. Other counties in the 5 to 10 percent range were Clinton, Fayette, Marion and Bond. Cook county accounted for 2 percent. Missouri was the biggest out of state representative with 4 percent. Figures 4, 5, and 6 show similar breakdown for the three major hunting areas. Some 39 counties were represented in the subimpoundment area, 35 for flooded dead timber and 13 for open water areas.

## Hunter Success

The average ducks bagged per hunter effort at Carlyle was . 84 (Table 1). The subimpoundment offered success of .80 while the average on the flooded dead timber was .82. There was low hunting pressure in the open water area, but success was good, averaging 1.07 ducks per trip.

Figures 7 and 8 provide the daily distribution of success ratios throughout the season. Characteristically the daily success figures fluctuate violently with generally better and more consistant harvest coming the last of November and first of December. Success became non-existent around December the 10th when freezing weather drove birds out of the area.

In comparing the subimpoundment to the flooded dead timber "good days" and "bad days" did not come at the same time (Fig. 8). For example, on November 12 th and 13 th the success in the flooded dead timber was .00 while on the 13 th the subimpoundment was over 3.00. Also interesting was that on eight days of above harvest in the flooded dead timber there was a substantialy lower harvest in the subimpoundment. The day following each of these eight "good days" there were "good days" recorded in the subimpoundment (Fig. 8). This suggests a directional relationship of duck movement from the flooded dead timber to the subimpoundment.

The access points offering the best success ratio were Tamalco with . 98 and parking lot 3 with .92. The complete breakdown of harvest by access points is found in Table 1.

Species Composition
The waterfowl harvest at Carlyle lake is primarily mallards making up 72 percent of the total. Wood ducks are next with 8 percent, followed by green wing teal at 6 percent. Eight other species were harvested in lessor amounts (Table 2).

There were noticeable differences in the species composition between the major areas (Table 2). The percentage of mallaxds was lowest in the subimpoundment
(64 percent) higher in the flooded dead timber ( 74 perocnt) and highest hathe open water (82 percent). Wood duck hatvest was best in the flooded dead tinber (11 percent) but other species of puddle ducks showed up higher in the subinpoundment barvest (fable 2).

Pirds available for harvest varied greatly throughout the season (Eig. 9). On November 20 th there was a peak of 240,000 birds of wida 191,000 vere tatlards. These birds remained until early Decemor when a cold speli drove thea south.

Species composition for the subinpoundment and the flooded dead timber area
 of species composition anioits the lack of matiands on an treceased setectage pressure on the low point species early in the season and a shift back to mallards the first week in November (Fig. 10). Afcer November 20th thexe was no wood duck harvest in the subimpoundment (Fig. 10). In the flooded dead timber area the wood duck harvest was initially larger and was sustained throughout the season (Fig. II). The flooded dead timber area stayed open and sustained a harvest longer into the freezing weather than did the subimpoundment (Fig. 10 and 11).

## Harvest

The total duck harvest in all areas was 7,649 (Table 1). This figure was derived from a weighted prediction equation (sun (predicced iunters x predicted success ratio) and seemed to be the most reliable of three predictions made, All three predictions were very close. Using the mean number of durks chected $x$ the number of days yielded a harvest Eigure of 7,531 . Using umbighted daily success ratios $x$ daily hunters for each area yielded a harvest figure of 7,736 ducks. At the 99 percent confidence interval the upper and lower 1 imits of the harvest were calculated to be 9,740 and 5,761 respectively.

The flooded dead timber area accounted for 44 percent of the harvest on 3,490.ducks. An unknown percentage of these ducks were teken fron the subimpoundment. The subimpoundment took 42 percent or 3,297 birds. The open water area hatvested 1,062 ducks or 14 percent (Table 1 ). Tanalco was the most proninant access poiat taking 33 percent of the total or 2,535 ducks. Harvests and percentages for ali access points are found in Table 1.

| Area | Hunting Pressure |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hunter | Percent | Percent of | Duck | Percent | Success | Percent Kill |
|  | Number | of Area | Total trea | Harvested | of Area | R3:50 | QETotal Area |
| Subimpoundment Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lot ind | 1,192 | . $29 \%$ | . $13 \%$ | 967 | . $29 \%$ | . 81 | . 12 |
| Lot W $^{\text {2 }}$ | 1,230 | . 30 | . 13 | 1,016 | . 31 | . 83 | . 13 |
| Lot 揓3 | 794 | .19 | . 08 | - 729 | . 22 | . 92 | . 09 |
| Cox's Bridge | 911 | . 22 | +10 | 585 | . 18 | -64 | . 07 |
| Total | 4,127 | 100\% | $44 \%$ | . 3,297 | 100\% | . .80 | . 42 |
| Flooded Dead Timber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tamalco | 2,651 | . $62 \%$ | . $28 \%$ | 2,585 | . 74 | . .98 | . 33 |
| Patako | 1,593 | . 38 | . 17 | -905 | . 26 | -. 57 | . 12 |
| Total | 4,244 | 100\% | 45\% | 3,490 | 100\% | . 82 | . 44 |
| Open Water Total | 991 | 100\% | 11\% | 1,062 | 100\% | $\pm .07$ | . 14 |
| Grand Total | 9,362 | 100\% | 100\% | 7,849 | 100\% | . 84 | 100\% | Carlyle Lake during the waterfowl season 1972.


| Species | SUB-IMPOUNDMENY |  | FLOODED DEAD TMBER |  | OPEN WATER AREA* |  | ROTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Samp |  | Sample |  | Samp |  |  |  |
|  | Size | \% | Size | \% | Size | \% |  |  |
| Mallard | 702 | . 64 | 1078 | . 74 | 399 | . 82 | 2179 | . 72 |
| Green wing teal | 99 | . 09 | 52 | . 04 | 18 | . 04 | 169 | . 06 |
| Wood duck | 89 | . 08 | 158 | . 11 | 4 | . 01 | 25. | . 08 |
| Baldpate | 51 | . 05 | 31 | . 02 | 15 | . 03 | 97 | . 03 |
| Gadwall | 40 | . 04 | 20 | . 01 | 11 | . 02 | i1 | . 02 |
| Ringneck | 36 | . 03 | 22 | . 02 | 8 | . 02 | 66 | . 02 |
| Pintail | 35 | . 03 | 19 | . 01 | 5 | . 01 | 59 | . 02 |
| L. Scaup | 21 | . 02 | 17 | . 01 | 15 | . 03 | 53 | . 02 |
| Black duck | 14 | . 01 | 26 | . 02 | 6 | . 01 | 45 | . 02 |
| Shoveller | 12 | . 01 | 12 | . 01 | 1 | T | 25 | . 01 |
| Blue wing teal |  | T | $\underline{15}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{5}{7}$ | $\stackrel{.01}{100}$ | - 24 | $\stackrel{.01}{1010}$ |
| Total | $1 \overline{103}$ | 99\% | 1455 | 100\% | $\overline{487}$ | 100\% | 340 | $10.1 \%$ |

Tabie 2. Species composition of the bag at Carlyle during the 1972 season.
area
Beginning of high water in the subimpoundment
Boats permitted in the subimpoundment area
Darkened area indicates weekends and holidays
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Figure 2. A progression of hunting pressure in the subimpoundment area and the flooded dead timber area at Carlyle Lake during the 1972 waterforv season.



Mo. 61
.05
Wisc. 1
Ohio 5
5
T
Tenn. 2
T
Okla. 1

Figure 4. Distribution of hunting effort by counties from subimpoundment access points during the 1972 waterfowl season at Carlyle Lake.
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