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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The goal of Project $\mathrm{F}-69-\mathrm{R}$ is to provide researchers and managers with the information necessary to manage, sustain, and improve the health of fisheries resources in Illinois lakes and streams. As such, there were three primary objectives identified during Segment 15: (1) conduct annual creel surveys on selected lakes; (2) provide programming support for the Fisheries Analysis System (FAS); (3) incorporate FAS databases to aid in the analysis of ongoing research projects and pertinent management questions.

Creel surveys were conducted on 8 lakes and 2 streams in Illinois during Segment 15, bringing the total to 266 total creel surveys on Illinois lakes since 1987. Segment 15 marked the first time that a stream creel was conducted under $F-69-R$. All of these lake and stream creels were funded by Project F-69$R$ with additional financial support from IDNR Division of Fisheries. In compliance with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources Green Initiative, graphical analyses (e.g., length frequency histograms) typically presented in past reports are not presented here. Such analyses are available upon request from the authors.

The conversion of FAS from DOS to Win32 was completed with the exception of the IBI module, for which a full description
will not be available until the summer of 2002. Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs) were evaluated for suitability as data entry devices in the field. FAS software and website support continued.

Creel survey estimates were used to evaluate quality and stunted bluegill populations in Illinois lakes based on a unique size index (PCF.180) developed for use in Project F-128-R. Analysis of creel survey data collected during Segments 13-15 showed that quality bluegill lakes produced a significantly better fishery than stunted lakes in terms of total number caught, total biomass caught, average size caught, and size distribution of caught fish (using PCF.180).

Evaluation of fish stocking programs in Illinois lakes was identified as an important objective of Project F-69-R. These evaluations are generally lake-specific, and little has been done to evaluate stocking on a statewide level. Analyses regarding effects of stocking largemouth bass are still underway using the creel results for the $\mathrm{F}-135-\mathrm{R}$ study lakes.

This report serves as a final project report covering segments 13-15 for Project F-69-R (1999-2001). Previous reports for segment 13 (1999) and segment 14 (2000) were published as annual reports (Benjamin et al., 2000; Miller-Ishmael et al. 2001) which, combined with this report, comprise a comprehensive
three year reporting of activities and findings for Project F-69-R.

Creel data collected during segments 13-15 (Table 1) are significant additions to existing creel data for Illinois Lakes and provide important information to researchers working on related fisheries projects. In future segments, the cumulative creel data set will be examined and long-term trends will be analyzed to provide fisheries managers with additional perspective for making management decisions. Additionally, creel data will be coupled with other statewide fisheries databases to develop important research topics relevant to fisheries management in Illinois.

## OBJECTIVE

Conduct annual creel surveys on selected lakes within Illinois (including one of the four large reservoirs each year). Manage (i.e., coordinate and supervise personnel, analyze and report data) the creel surveys conducted on these lakes.

## PROCEDURES

Creel surveys were conducted on the following lakes and streams during Segment 15: Coffeen; Channel, Catherine, Marie and Bluff on the Fox Chain of Lakes; Gages Lake; Little Grassy; Washington County Lake (Appendix B). Surveys on Channel and Catherine were analyzed as a single creel; surveys for Marie and Bluff were combined into a single creel survey for analysis as well. Creel surveys were also conducted on the Fox River at the Montgomery and Yorkville dams as well as on the Kankakee River at the Wilmington and Kankakee Dams (Appendix B).

Lakes were chosen to be surveyed based upon (1) needs identified by IDNR-Fisheries biologists, (2) the recognized value of long-term data on select lakes, and (3) study lakes related to projects $\mathrm{F}-128-\mathrm{R}$ Quality Management of Bluegill and F-135-R Factors Influencing Largemouth Bass Recruitment: Implications for the Illinois Management and Stocking Program.

## FINDINGS

Results for effort, harvest and catch are summarized here and in Appendix B. In compliance with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources Green Initiative, graphical analyses (e.g., length frequency histograms) typically presented in past reports are not presented here. Such analyses are available upon request from the authors.

Angler Effort. Total estimated fishing pressure was highest in Lake Marie and Bluff Lake at a combined 86,036 angler-hours, Lake Marie and Lake Catherine at a combined 81,841 angler-hours, and Coffeen Lake at 63,609 angler-hours. The lowest fishing efforts among the creeled lakes were estimated in Gages Lake at 9,372 angler-hours.

For the streams, total estimated fishing pressure was highest at Montgomery Dam on the Fox River at 32,279 anglerhours and Wilmington Dam on the Kankakee River at 30,526 anglerhours. The lowest fishing efforts among creeled streams was the Kankakee Dam on the Kankakee River at 22,823 angler-hours and the Yorkville Dam on the Fox River at 21,276 angler-hours.

Although Coffeen Lake had one of the highest total fishing pressures among lakes, it had the second lowest fishing pressure per acre at 58 angler-hours/acre. Little Grassy Lake had the lowest fishing pressure per acre at 32 angler-hours/acre. Channel and Catherine Lakes on the Fox Chain had the highest
fishing pressure per acre at a combined 164 angler-hours/acre followed by Marie and Bluff Lakes, also on the Fox Chain, at 127 angler-hours/acre.

The Montgomery and Yorkville Dams on the Fox River had the highest angler pressure per acre at 2181 angler-hours/acre and 2160 angler-hours/acre respectively. The Kankakee and Wilmington Dams on the Kankakee River followed with 1769 anglerhours/acre and 1447 angler-hours/acre, respectively. Results for angler effort and angler effort per acre for both lakes and streams is summarized in Table Bl in Appendix B.

Harvest. The lowest estimated harvest levels among the lakes were seen in Gages Lake (1,253 fish; 1,161 pounds) and Washington County Lake (4,455 fish; 2,435 pounds). The highest harvest levels were out of Marie and Bluff Lakes (52,400 fish; 22,216 pounds) and Channel and Catherine Lakes (51,744 fish; 18,549 pounds). While Coffeen Lake ranked fourth in number of fish harvested $(26,849$ fish), it ranked first in pounds of fish harvested ( 22,285 pounds) for an average harvested fish of 0.83 pounds.

Estimated harvest levels for the streams reveal that the Kankakee River had the highest harvest rates at both the Kankakee Dam (5630 fish; 8346 pounds) and the Wilmington Dam (4281 fish; 6798 pounds) when compared to the Fox River at both the Yorkville (3867 fish; 4774 pounds) and Montgomery (2639
fish; 2518 pounds) dams. Results for estimated harvest levels is summarized in Table B2 in Appendix B.

Catch. Estimated catch rates (\# caught per angler-hour) for largemouth bass, bluegill, and channel catfish were highly variable across lakes (Table B3, Appendix B). Catch rates for largemouth bass were lowest in Little Grassy Lake (0.088), Marie and Bluff Lakes (0.092), and Coffeen (0.013). The highest catch rates were seen in Washington County Lake (0.197) and Channel and Catherine Lakes (0.153), and Gages Lake (0.137). Bluegill catch rates were the highest in Fox Chain, with 1.303 bluegill caught per angler-hour on Channel and Catherine Lakes and 0.904 bluegill caught per angler-hour on Marie and Bluff Lakes. Channel Lake and Lake Catherine appear to be strong fisheries for both largemouth bass and bluegill, as these lakes had high catch rates for both species. Lowest catch rates for bluegill were found in Washington County Lake (0.174), Coffeen Lake (0.212) and Little Grassy Lake (0.427). Catch rates for channel catfish were varied among lakes ranging from the lowest in Gages Lake (0.016) and Channel and Catherine Lakes (0.042), and highest in Coffeen Lake (0.204) and Washington County Lake (0.114).

For the stream creels, estimated catch rates (catch per angler-hour) were higher in the Kankakee River than the Fox River for smallmouth bass, but were variable between the two
rivers for channel catfish (Table B4, Appendix B). On the Kankakee River, smallmouth bass catch rates were 0.267 fish per angler-hour at the Kankakee Dam and 0.229 fish per angler-hour at the Wilmington Dam. On the Fox River, smallmouth bass catch rates were 0.096 fish per angler-hour at the Montgomery Dam and 0.093 fish per angler-hour at the Yorkville Dam. For channel catfish, the Yorkville Dam (Fox River) had the highest catch rate ( 0.205 fish per angler-hour), followed by the Wilmington Dam (Kankakee River; 0.117 fish per angler-hour), Kankakee Dam (0.089 fish per angler-hour) and Montgomery Dam (Fox River; 0.052 fish per angler-hour).

## RECOMMENDATIONS

The creel information collected is an important tool for assessing the interaction between the angler and the resource, and the continuation of lake creel surveys is essential to evaluate management concerns and needs. Project staff should continue to meet with IDNR Division of Fisheries staff on a regular basis to discuss the needs of creel survey data for lake management objectives.

Further efforts should be made to analyze the historical database in order to answer important research and management questions. Efforts should be made to report lake-specific longterm trends of fishing effort, catch, and catch rates. Multiple
creel surveys have been conducted on many lakes in Illinois. Annual results should be compared to historical estimates in order to identify trends and interpret fishery dynamics. Lake creel data is highly critical for evaluating the success of experimental bluegill harvest regulations under Project F-128-R, and for evaluation of largemouth bass stocking under Project F-135-R. Efforts are underway to use the creel database on specific lakes to assess how regulations have affected the fishery for bluegill and largemouth bass.

TABLE 1. Creel lakes and streams surveyed during segments 13-15.
Segment 13 (1999)

| Lake/Stream | County |
| :---: | :---: |
| Forbes | Marion |
| Glendale | Pope |
| Hillsboro Old City | Montgomery |
| Homer | Champaign |
| Jacksonville | Morgan |
| McLeansboro | Hamilton |
| Mingo | Vermilion |
| Newton | Jasper |
| Pana | Christian |
| Paris East | Edgar |
| Paris West | Edgar |
| Pierce | Winnebago |
| Rend | Franklin, Jefferson |
| Round | Lake |
| Spring Lake North | Lake |
| Walton Park | Montgomery |
| Segment 14 (2000) |  |
| Lake/Stream | County |
| Apple Canyon | Jo Daviess |
| Beaver Dam | Macoupin |
| Carlyle | Clinton, Fayette, Bond |
| Carlyle Tailwater | Clinton |
| Clinton Lake | Dewitt |
| Clinton Tailwater | Dewitt |
| Crab Orchard | Jackson |
| LaSalle | LaSalle |
| Murphysboro | Jackson |
| Newton | Jasper |
| Red Hills | Lawrence |
| Sangchris | Christian |
| Silver | Dupage |
| Sterling | Lake |
| Woods | Moultrie |

# TABLE 1, continued. Creel lakes and streams surveyed during segments 13-15. 

## Segment 15 (2001)

Lake/Stream
Coffeen
Channel
Catherine
Marie
Bluff
Gages
Little Grassy
Washington County
Fox River
Kankakee River

## County

Montgomery
Lake
Lake
Lake
Lake
Lake
Jackson, Williamson
Washington
Kane, Kendall
Kankakee, Will

## OBJECTIVE

Support the Creel database and software developed in Paradox and C++. Support the Fisheries Analysis System (FAS), including streams and Lakes databases and their associated applications and documentation. Field test data entry on handheld computers to the extent necessary to specify the choice of computer for the data entry software to be developed in the next segment.

## PROCEDURES

The conversion of FAS from DOS to Win32, begun in the prior segment, was completed with the exception of the IBI module and parts no longer in use by Fisheries. A full description of the new IBI has not been made available, but should be by sometime this summer. Requests for new FAS features from Fisheries are being integrated into the FAS draft, which will be released for testing by the personnel who requested the features before general release of the software to Fisheries. Summary database support has been added to Creel FAS. The FAS web server continues to be supported.

Field data logging by handheld computer was evaluated. Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs) running PalmOS were found to be the most cost-effective units suitable for field use, provided units with removable backup storage and waterproof cases were used. Several suitable PDAs, with cases, were purchased and will be used in the development and testing of a first draft of the Creel data entry software.

# Job 101.3. Coordination with Ongoing Fisheries Research Projects 

## OBJECTIVE

Use the existing creel and FAS databases to provide supportive information to help define fish populations in study lakes associated with ongoing bluegill (F-128-R) and largemouth bass (F-135-R) projects. Analyze the impact of two strategies for changing population size structure of fish populations through experimental harvest regulations and predator/habitat manipulations.

## PROCEDURES

Project F-128-R. Creel survey estimates were used to evaluate quality and stunted bluegill populations in Illinois lakes based on size indices of adult fish (Claussen et al 1998, Aday et al. 1999 and 2000). Other creel survey data, such as angler effort and harvest data, the percentage of anglers targeting bluegill, and the average size of caught and harvested bluegill were additionally used to assess the characteristics of the study lakes in Project $F-128-R$. Because of the nature of creel data, a unique size index, Proportion of Quality Creeled Fish (PCF. 180) was developed for use in Project F-128-R. This index is calculated as the total number of caught fish greater
than or equal to 180 mm divided by the total number of caught fish (Aday et al. 1999 and 2000). Because the FAS Lakes database was not current, its use for populations analyses had to be postponed.

Project F-135-R. Evaluation of fish stocking programs in Illinois lakes was identified as an important objective of Project F-69-R. Currently, stocking evaluations are made by IDNR Division of Fisheries personnel, based in part on results of creel survey data collected from Project F-69-R. These evaluations are generally lake-specific, and little has been done to evaluate stocking on a statewide level. As stocking evaluations are a primary goal of Project F-135-R Factors Influencing Largemouth Bass Recruitment: Implications for the Illinois Management and Stocking Program, we expect to contribute the analysis of creel survey data towards largemouth bass stocking evaluations. Unfortunately, the FAS Lakes database was not current, causing the postponement of its use for populations analyses.

## FINDINGS

Project $\mathrm{F}-128-\mathrm{R}$. Analysis of creel survey data collected during Segment 13-15 showed that quality bluegill lakes produced a significantly better fishery than stunted lakes in terms of total number caught, total biomass caught, average size caught,
and size distribution of caught fish (using PCF.180). No significant differences were found for regional or lake size comparisons for any of the above variables (Aday et al. 1999). Project $\mathrm{F}-135-\mathrm{R}$. Analyses regarding effects of stocking largemouth bass are still underway using the creel results for the $\mathrm{F}-135-\mathrm{R}$ study lakes.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Creel surveys are an essential component of Projects F-128$R$ and F-135-R, and should continue to be carried out under Project $F-69-R$ to allow us to assess impact to the creel of the adaptive management programs underway as part of these two studies. Tests of current creel methods should be initiated to assess advances in current scientific literature, especially new insights into catch rate estimation (Pollock et al. 1997). If improvements to the current creel estimation methods are deemed necessary, the historical creel survey data should also be estimated using the new methods to allow future and historical fishery estimates to be comparable (Lockwood et al. 1999).

Most importantly, however, intensive effort is needed to bring the other two FAS databases (FAS Lakes and FAS Streams) on line as usable resources. Once this is accomplished, assessments of bluegill project and largemouth bass project
study lakes should be conducted and compared to creel datasets and project specific sampling results.
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# APPENDIX A. INTERPRETIVE GUIDE TO UNDERSTANDING CREEL SURVEY 

## RESULTS

The following guide is intended to be included with every distribution of the creel survey results. It has been updated from an earlier guide published by Steve Sobaski (IDNR Watershed Management Section, personal communication).

What's Included in the INHS Interim and Final Creel Reports

To help you interpret the Interim and Final Creel Reports from the Illinois Natural History Survey, we've included this guide to explain the contents of various pages. You will also find a copy of the Statistical Design and Calculation of Each Creel, Appendix A. of the 1990 Illinois Natural History Survey report 90/10: Creel Survey Manual for the District Fisheries Analysis System (FAS): A Package for Fisheries Management and Research. This appendix describes how the creel data are collected, their subdivision for analysis by five different categories: specifically the Year Period, Lake Section, Day Period (Morning, Midday, Afternoon), Day Type (Weekday vs. Weekend/Holidays), and Fishing Mode (Boat vs. Shore) that the data were collected from (in other words, the stratification scheme applied to the creel
data), and the statistical methodology used to calculate the estimated total hours of fishing, harvest, and catch.

Each creel report is composed of the following information (in this order):

## STRATIFICATION SUMMARY

Information presented here is intended to provide some background as to the pre- and post-stratification methods used in analysis. Creel surveys will be either day or night surveys, and this will be indicated first. Reported next will be the range of sampling dates for which estimates are made. No attempt is made to extrapolate estimates out to months in which no data are collected, unless otherwise noted.

## SAMPLING RATIO

The SAMPLING RATIO value, listed directly below
STRATIFICATION SUMMARY, is the ratio of the number of Day Periods sampled divided by the total number of day periods included in the estimates. In short, the SAMPLING RATIO gives an index of the intensity of the sampling schedule. For example, suppose 128 Day Periods were sampled between 3/15 and 6/15. To calculate the SAMPLING RATIO, the total
number of Day Periods sampled is divided by the total number of possible Day Periods occurring during that span of dates. In this example, there are 93 days within the span of $3 / 15$ to $6 / 15$, thus $3 \times 93$ or 279 day periods. The Sampling Ratio $=(128 / 279) \times 100 \%$, or $45.8 \%$.

## NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS

This is the total number of all angler interviews conducted during the season.

## PART ONE: EFFORT, HARVEST, AND CATCH ESTIMATES

## TABLE 1. TOTAL FISHING EFFORT


#### Abstract

This table reports the estimated total angler-hours of fishing by all anglers. Unless otherwise noted, reports will always apply to all pole and line fishing activity on the entire lake.


As described in The Statistical Design and Calculation of Each Creel, the effort estimate, i.e. the estimated total angler-hours of fishing, is calculated separately for boat and shore anglers as well as for all anglers for each Day Period sampled. These estimates are based on the instantaneous counts of anglers and are scaled up by the
effective hours available for fishing for that time of day and year, rather than on the hours of fishing reported in angler interviews. An estimated average effort is then calculated for each combination (i.e. stratum) of Year Period, Lake Section, Day Period, Day Type, and Fishing Mode by averaging the total hours of fishing from all days sampled within the stratum. Stratum averages are scaled up over all possible days in the stratum to provide an estimated stratum total effort. Finally, each stratum total effort is added together to give the separate estimates of total hours of fishing for boat and shore anglers for the lake and time period of interest.

A weighted estimate of the total hours of fishing for anglers is calculated using a stratified approach. Rather than combining the boat and shore instantaneous counts for each sample and ignoring any potential difference in the day-to-day variability of boat versus shore fishing, the stratified approach first calculates separate estimates of total effort for boat and for shore anglers for the entire period being reported. These totals and their variances are then combined to give the overall total estimated hours of fishing.

The FISHING MODE column will usually include BOAT, SHORE, and BOAT \& SHORE. Estimates are made separately for boat and for shore fishing, and these estimates are later combined into an overall total estimate of both boat and shore.

The DAY TYPE column shows estimates for WEEKDAY and HOLIDAY. The WEEKDAY estimates only include Monday through Friday fishing, excluding holidays that fall on weekdays. The HOLIDAY estimates include all holidays and all weekend days (Saturdays and Sundays). Days that are considered holidays for the purposes of this creel only include: New Year's Day, Martin Luther King Jr.'s Birthday Observed, Presidents' Day, Memorial Day Observed, Independence Day, Labor Day, Columbus Day, Veterans' Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day.

Estimates of the total hours of fishing (the ANGLER-HOURS column) by BOAT anglers, SHORE anglers, and BOAT \& SHORE anglers are reported in separate blocks in the table. The strata total estimates for each type of angler are further subdivided by Day Type (WEEKDAY versus HOLIDAY).

The 95\% CI columns follow estimated totals, such as ANGLER HOURS in TABLE 1, and in TABLES 3-8. These report the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the estimated totals. In other words, $95 \%$ of the time we'd expect the true total to fall within that given range. In cases where the lower limit of the confidence interval is a negative number, a value of zero is shown in the table. The percentage listed in ( ) after the confidence interval is another indicator of the precision of the estimate. This percentage is calculated as: (Upper value of the $95 \%$ CI - Estimated Total) / Estimated Total. The larger this percentage is, the less accurate the estimate. For example, if the Total Angler Hours Estimate is 30,293, with an upper $95 \%$ confidence interval of 34,952 , the precision percentage is calculated as $(34,952-30,293) / 30,293$ or $15.38 \%$. The percentage is rounded to the nearest integer for the tabular output.

The HOURS/ACRE column gives the Hours of Fishing per acre of lake surface area. This is calculated by dividing the ANGLER HOURS value in each row by the acreage value shown at the top of the page.

The \% EFF INTVD column, located on the right margin of the effort table, is the percentage of the estimated total
effort actually accounted for by angler interviews. This number is calculated by summing the total hours of fishing reported by anglers from each stratum (i.e. Day Period, Year Period, Day Type, and Fishing Mode combination) and dividing it by the estimated total fishing effort (calculated from the instantaneous counts) for that period. For instance, a total of 120 hours of weekday fishing might be reported by BOAT anglers for Day Period 1 (Sunrise to 10:00 A.M.) between 6/01/94 and 6/15/94. The estimated total BOAT effort, however, based on the average BOAT angler instantaneous counts of Day Period 1 extrapolated by the 11 weekdays within 6/01/94 and 6/15/94, turns out to be 360 hours. The \% EFF INTVD value for this stratum would be: (120 angler-hours from interviews) / (360 angler-hours from instantaneous counts) $\mathrm{x} 100=33.33 \%$ Like SAMPLING RATIO, this number gives an indication of the effectiveness of the sampling intensity. A higher \% EFF INTVD value indicates a more complete job of obtaining information on all of the angling activity for that type of angler. If you sampled every day within a stratum and interviewed every angler (in other words conducted a census rather than a survey), this percentage would approach or possibly exceed $100 \%$.

TABLE 2. TOTAL FISHING HARVEST AND HARVEST RATES, IN NUMBERS OF FISH


#### Abstract

The \# HARVESTED column is the estimated total number of fish harvested for the season, by species. The top number in this column will always contain the estimated total number of all fish harvested for the season, as indicated by "All species" under the SPECIES column header. For any given species, a "**** NOT RECORDED ****" entry indicates that no harvested fish were recorded from the angler interviews, and therefore no estimate of the cotal harvest could be made.


The $95 \%$ CI column next to the \# HARVESTED column contains the $95 \%$ confidence interval estimate of the \# HARVESTED value. The lower confidence limit is shown on the left and is separated by a dash from the upper confidence limit shown on the right. In cases where the lower limit of the confidence interval is a negative number, a value of zero is shown in the table. A negative or zero value for the lower $95 \%$ confidence interval is usually the result of very few Eish of a particular species being sampled in the angler interviews. Next to the upper confidence limit, in
parentheses, is an additional estimate of the precision of
the \# HARVESTED estimate, and is calculated as:
((Upper 95\% CI - \# HARVESTED) / \# HARVESTED) x 100\%
The \#/HOUR estimate is the population harvest rate, and is defined as the number of fish harvested per angler-hour of fishing. Note that angler-hours are the same units as are reported in TABLE 1. Also, note that this is not an estimate of the average harvest rate per angler. Rate estimates with a value of .000 have a harvest rate that is less than 0.001 but greater than zero. A zero rate is not recorded.
The $95 \%$ CI column next to the \#/HOUR column is the $95 \%$
Confidence Interval estimate of the \#/HOUR estimate, and is calculated similarly to the methods described earlier.
The \#/HA column is the estimated total number of fish harvested per hectare of lake surface area. One hectare is equivalent to 2.4711 acres.

The \#/ACRE column is the estimated total number of fish harvested per acre of lake surface area. Lake surface area is reported at the top of Page 1.

The SPECIES column lists all species recorded in angler interviews. Note that this is different from the original Apple II/e creel analysis reports. These original reports were memory-limited to only 9 species per table.

Additional species were either included in an additional table or were listed under "MSC" (Miscellaneous species) in the harvest table. Beginning with the 1999 creel analysis reports, all species recorded in angler interviews will be listed in Table 2 through Table 7. Any species that does not appear in these tables was not recorded in angler interviews, and therefore no estimate could be made of the harvest or catch for that species.

## TABLE 3. TOTAL FISHING HARVEST AND HARVEST RATES, IN KILOGRAMS.

Table 3 contains the estimated total fishing harvest and harvest rates in kilograms, and is structurally similar to TABLE 2. See TABLE 2 for a further discussion of the estimates under the $95 \%$ CI and SPECIES headers. Unique features of TABLE 3 are discussed below.

The KG HARVESTED column contains the estimated total harvest biomass, in kilograms.

The KG/HOUR column is the estimated total harvest biomass per angler-hour of fishing effort.

The KG/HA column is the estimated total harvest biomass per hectare of lake surface area.

The AVE KG column is the estimated average weight per harvested fish, in kilograms. Note that TABLES 3,4,6, and 7 do not contain a per acre estimate of harvest or catch.

TABLE 4. TOTAL FISHING HARVEST AND HARVEST RATES, IN POUNDS.

TABLE 4 is structurally similar to TABLE 3 , except that all biomass estimates are reported in pounds rather than in kilograms. For a discussion of the organization of TABLE 4, see the discussion for TABLE 2 and TABLE 3.

TABLES 5-7 are structurally similar to TABLES 2-4, respectively, except that all harvest estimates are replaced with catch estimates. Catch estimates contain estimates of both harvested fish and released fish. For a discussion of the organization of TABLES 5-7, see the discussions for TABLES 2-4, respectively.

## A NOTE ON BIOMASS ESTIMATES


#### Abstract

Rather than measuring fish weights directly during interviews, weights are estimated based on the standard length to weight relationship:


$$
\text { Weight }=a * \text { TotalLength }{ }^{h}
$$

These length-weight relationships were developed for each species from IDNR population survey data stored in the Illinois STATE FAS database, or from fisheries literature. Average fish weights reported in the AVG KG and AVG LB are calculated by dividing the estimated total biomass caught (e.g. KG CAUGHT) by the estimated total number caught (e.g. \# CAUGHT) for each species.

The pages following the effort, harvest, and catch tables summarize various data collected during angler interviews. Numbers reported here differ from those of the previous tables since these numbers are unweighted averages based solely on interview data rather than estimated totals for an entire year. Rather than stratifying these data as is done for the effort, harvest, and catch estimates, these tables take all interview data, combine it regardless of when it was collected during the survey and report simple averages.

TABLE 8. TRIP LENGTH, DISTANCE TRAVELED, AND SUCCESS RATING

TABLE 8 contains summary statistics for fishing trip length, distance traveled from home to the fishing site, and fishing success rating. Fishing trip length is identified by the header HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP, and is defined as the number of decimal hours between the start and end of an angler's fishing trip on a given day. MILES TRAVELED is defined as the number of miles that an angler traveled from home to arrive at the fishing site. SUCCESS RATING is an angler's interpretation of his or her fishing


#### Abstract

success during the trip for which he or she was interviewed. The angler can provide an answer on a scale from 1 to 10 , with 10 being the most successful. While this rating is subjected to each individual angler's interpretation, anglers are asked not to consider social or other factors influencing their fishing experience, and to focus only on their catch.


> The MEAN is calculated as a simple, unweighted, and unstratified average.

The $95 \%$ CI column is the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the MEAN. (For a discussion of the $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$, see the discussion of TABLE 1.)

The MIN and MAX columns represent the range of values reported in the interviews, or the minimum value and maximum value, respectively.

The \#SAMPLES column contains the sample size, or number of interviews, used in the calculations.

Two footnotes appear at the bottom of TABIE 8. The first footnote indicates the number of split interviews used in
the calculation of HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP. A split interview is defined as an interview that falls over two or three Day Periods (Morning, Midday, and Afternoon). For example, a fishing trip that began at 7:00am and ended at 12:00pm falls over both the Morning Day Period and the Midday Day Period. The second footnote indicates the percentage of all interviews that were completed trip interviews. All other interviews are considered incomplete, and are defined as interviews of anglers that are still actively fishing at the time of the interview.

## ILLEGAL HARVEST

Illegally harvested fish are defined as fish that are in the possession of the angler at the time of the interview that have been harvested in violation of (1) the Illinois Fishing Information regulation booklet, published by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, or (2) any additional site-specific regulations not outlined in the regulation booklet. Creel clerks witnessing harvest violations do not notify the angler, nor do they notify the authorities. The ILLEGAL HARVEST information reported here is simply a tally of the number of interviews that had illegally harvested fish at the time of the interview.

## TABLE 9. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF ANGLER PARTY SIZE


#### Abstract

An angler party is defined as a group of anglers fishing together and combined into a single angler interview. For example, two anglers fishing in the same boat are often interviewed together as an angler party size of 2 . TABLE 9 shows the firequency distribution of angler party sizes for boat and shore interviews.


## TABLE 10. TARGETED SPECIES

> TABLE 10 is a tally of all species that anglers are targeting, along with a percentage of the total in parentheses. During an interview, anglers are asked what species they are trying to catch, or are targeting. Anglers can respond by saying they are targeting a specific species (i.e. bluegill), a family of species (i.e. sunfish), or any fish at all.

TABLE 11. CATCH FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

TABLE 11 is a frequency distribution of anglers reporting a given number of harvested and released fish, by species,

```
for completed trip interviews only. It examines each
interview for the number of fish of a single species or
species group reported as harvested and released. It then calculates the average harvest and catch per angler by dividing the total number harvested and the total released for that species by the number of anglers in the party. The table reports the number of anglers, broken down by their catch rate. An example of this table, for walleye reported as harvested in 500 completed trip interviews might be:
```

| OF FISH: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Walleye
HARVEST $651 \quad 50 \quad 7$

RELEASE 578 101 263

The 500 completed trip interviews actually cover the catch of 708 anglers in this case, since a number of angler parties had more than one angler. Of these 708 anglers, 651 anglers reported no walleye harvested on their trip (or averaged less than 1 walleye per angler per angler party), 50 anglers were in parties that harvested an average of 1 walleye/angler, and 7 anglers were in parties thatharvested an average of 2 walleye/angler. No anglers werein parties that harvested more than 2 walleye/angler. Eachzero value is represented by a dash.

The following pages contain the final results from the full 2001 day creel surveys conducted on Illinois lakes and streams, including 8 lakes and 2 streams funded by Project F-69-R15. Results are presented in the order listed in the table below, by lake/stream name. Following the individual lake/stream results presented in Appendix B are four tables providing comparisons between lakes/streams (Tables B1-4).

| $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{KE}$ | ACRES | COUNTY | REGION | DISTRICT | BIOLOGIST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffeen | 1070.4 | Montgomery | 4 | 16 | Charlie Marbut |
| Channel | 348.5 | Lake | 2 | 7 | Frank Jakubicek |
| Catherıne | 149.5 | Lake | 2 | 7 | Frank Jakubicek |
| Marie | 585.0 | Lake | 2 | 7 | Frank Jakubicek |
| Bluff | 38.5 | Lake | 2 | 7 | Frank: Jakubicek. |
| Gages | 127.8 | Lake | 2 | 7 | Frank Jakubicek |
| Little Grassy | 905. 4 | Jackson \& Williamson | 5 | 21 \& 22 | Chris Bickers |
| Washingcon County | 301.2 | Washington | 4 | 17 | Barry Newman |
| RIVER | ACRES | COUNTY | REGION | DISTRICT | BIOLOGIST |
| Fox River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montgomery Dam | 14.8 | Kane | 2 | 6 | Steve Pescitelli |
| Yorkville Dam | 12.0 | Kendall | 2 | 9 | Steve Pescitelli |
| Kankakee River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kankakee Dam | 12.9 | Kankakee | 2 | 9 | Steve Pescitelli |
| Wilmington Dam | 21.1 | Will | 2 | 9 | Steve Pescitelli |

# ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY 

CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS

## 2001 COFFEEN LAKE

1102 ACRES REGION 4, DISTRICT 20

## :TTRATIFICATION SUMMARY:

```
Day creel only.
Results cover 03/15/2001 through 10/31/2001
Year periods stratified.
Fishing modes (boat vs. shore) stratified.
Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
```

```
SAMPLING RATIO: 410/693=59.2%
```

NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 1924

Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.

| FISHING MODE | DAYTYPE | ANGLER- | HOURS 95\% | CI | HOURS / ACRE |  | CI |  | EFF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOAT | WEEKDAY | 27124 | 22016-32231 | ( 19\%) | 25 | 20-29 |  | 19\%) | 7\% |
|  | HOLIDAY | 28347 | 23853-32841 | ( 16\%) | 26 | 22-30 |  | 16\%) | 15\% |
|  | TOTAL | 55471 | 48668-62274 | ( 12\%) | 50 | 44-57 |  | 12\%) | 11\% |
| SHORE | WEEKDAY | 3718 | 2246-5191 | ( $40 \%$ ) | 3 | 2-5 | ( | 40\%) | 7\% |
|  | HOLIDAY | 4420 | 3391-5449 | ( 23\%) | 4 | 3-5 |  | 23\%) | 16\% |
|  | TOTAL | 8138 | 6422-9855 | ( 21\%) | 7 | 6-9 | ( | 21\%) | 12\% |
| BOAT \& SHORE | WEEKDAY | 30842 | 25553-36131 | ( 17\%) | 28 | 23-33 | $($ | 17\%) | 7\% |
|  | HOLIDAY | 32767 | 28157-37377 | ( 14\%) | 30 | 26-34 |  | 14\%) | 15\% |
|  | TOTAL | 63609 | 56593-70625 | ( 11\%) | 58 | 51-64 | 1 | 11\%) | 11\% |

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.

| \# HARVE | TED 95\% CI |  | \#/HOUR | 95\% CI | \# / HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26849 | 22434-31264 | ( 16\%) | . 260 | . $176-.344$ ( 32\%) | 60.20 | 24.36 | All species |
| 3140 | 1270-5011 | ( 60\%) | . 049 | . 009 -. 090 ( 82\%) | 7.04 | 2.85 | Bluegill |
| 10961 | 8986-12936 | ( 18\%) | . 108 | . $084-.132$ ( 22\%) | 24.58 | 9.95 | Channel catfish |
| 81 | 12-149 | ( 85\%) | 000 | . $000-.000$ (105\%) | 0.18 | 0.07 | Flathead catfish |
| 246 | 0-585 | (138\%) | . 019 | . $000-.058$ (206\%) | 0.55 | 0.22 | Green sunfish |
| 1813 | 1339-2286 | ( 26\%) | . 018 | . $012-.025$ ( 35\%) | 4.06 | 1.64 | Largemouth bass Longear sunfish Redear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  |  |
| 118 | 0-277 | (134\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ (154\%) | 0.27 | 0.11 |  |
| 10 | 0-33 | (223\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (220\%) | 0.02 | 0.01 | Striped bass |
| 10476 | 7509-13442 | ( 28\%) | . 063 | . $045-.082$ ( 29\%) | 23.49 | 9.51 | White crappie |
| 4 | 0-13 | (236\%) | . 000 | .000-.000 (245\%) | 0.01 | 0.00 | Yellow bullhead |
|  |  |  | *** | NOT RECORDED *** |  |  | Yellow bass |

Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.

| KG HARVE | TED 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR | 95\% CI | KG/HA | AVE Kg | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10108 | 8490-11726 | ( 16\%) | . 090 | . $075-.105$ ( $17 \%$ ) | 22.67 | 0.376 | All species |
| 112 | 44-180 | ( 61\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.003$ ( 78\%) | 0.25 | 0.036 | Bluegill |
| 5012 | 4102-5921 | ( 18\%) | . 050 | . 038 -. 061 ( 23\%) | 11.24 | 0.457 | Channel catfish |
| 503 | 0-1006 | (100\%) | . 001 | .000-.003 (116\%) | 1.13 | 5.246 | Flathead catfish |
| 15 | 0-35 | (135\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (205\%) | 0.03 | 0.060 | Green sunfish |
| 2004 | 1418-2591 | ( 29\%) | . 022 | . 013-.031 ( 40\%) | 4.49 | 1.106 | Largemouth bass Longear sunfish Redear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0-38 | (140\%) | . 000 | .000-.001 (146\%) | 0.04 | 0.135 |  |
| 12 | 0-39 | (223\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (223\%) | 0.03 | 1.176 | Striped bass |
| 2432 | 1718-3146 | ( 29\%) | . 014 | . 010-.018 ( 30\%) | 5.45 | 0.232 | White crappie |
| 3 | 0-10 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (245\%) | 0.01 | 0.708 | Yellow bullhead |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED ** |  |  | Yellow ba |


| LB HARVESTED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR |  | 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | Ave LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22285 | 18718-25852 | ( 16\%) | . 199 | . $166-.232$ ( $17 \%$ ) | 20.22 | 0.830 | All species |
| 247 | 97-397 | ( 61\%) | . 004 | . 001 -. 007 ( 78\%) | 0.22 | 0.079 | Bluegill |
| 11049 | 9044-13054 | ( 18\%) | . 109 | .085-.134 ( 23\%) | 10.03 | 1.008 | Channel catfish |
| 1109 | 0-2217 | (100\%) | . 003 | . $0000-.007$ (116\%) | 1.01 | 13.769 | Flathead catfish |
| 32 | 0-76 | (135\%) | . 002 | . $000-.007$ (205\%) | 0.03 | 0.132 | Green sunfish |
| 4419 | 3126-5712 | ( 29\%) | . 049 | . $029-.068$ ( 40\%) | 4.01 | 2.438 | Largemouth bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Longear sunfish |
| 35 | 0-84 | (140\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (146\%) | 0.03 | 0.297 | Redear sunfish |
| 27 | 0-85 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (220\%) | 0.02 | 2.592 | Striped bass |
| 5361 | 3787-6935 | ( 29\%) | . 031 | . $022-.041(30 \%)$ | 4.87 | 0.512 | White crappie |
| 6 | 0-21 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (245\%) | 0.01 | 1.562 | Yellow bullhead |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED *** |  |  | Yellow bass |

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.

| \# CAUGH | 95\% CI |  | \# / HOUR | 95\% | I | \#/HA | \# / ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82381 | 70382-94379 | ( 15\%) | 754 | .615-.894 | ( 18\%) | 184.72 | 74.76 | All species |
| 16926 | 11561-22290 | ( 32\%) | . 212 | . 123 -. 300 | ( 42\%) | 37.95 | 15.36 | Bluegill |
| 19592 | 16464-22721 | ( 16\%) | . 204 | . $162-.245$ | ( 20\%) | 43.93 | 17.78 | Channel catfish |
| 91 | 20-163 | ( 78\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | ( 92\%) | 0.21 | 0.08 | Flathead catfish |
| 868 | 297-1439 | ( 66\%) | . 023 | . 000-. 062 | (168\%) | 1.95 | 0.79 | Green sunfis |
| 15925 | 13189-18662 | ( 17\%) | . 113 | . $093-.133$ | ( 18\%) | 35.71 | 14.45 | Largemouth bass |
| 6 | 0-18 | (220\%) | . 001 | . $000-.004$ | (223\%) | 0.01 | 0.00 | Longear sunfish |
| 354 | 0-744 | (110\%) | . 003 | . $000-.006$ | (104\%) | 0.79 | 0.32 | Redear sunfish |
| 14 | 0-37 | (171\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ | (178\%) | 0.03 | 0.01 | Striped bass |
| 28448 | 21063-35832 | ( 26\%) | . 196 | . $146-.245$ | ( 25\%) | 63.79 | 25.81 | White crappie |
| 152 | 16-288 | ( 90\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ | (126\%) | 0.34 | 0.14 | Yellow bullhead |
| 5 | 0-17 | (226\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (226\%) | 0.01 | 0.00 | Yellow bass |

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.

| KG CAUGH | T $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ |  | KG / HOUR | 95\% | CI | KG / HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22746 | 19571-25921 | ( $14 \%$ ) | . 178 | . $157-.200$ | ( 12\%) | 51.00 | 0.276 | All species |
| 451 | 310-592 | ( 31\%) | . 005 | . $003-.008$ | ( 40\%) | 1.01 | 0.027 | Bluegill |
| 5909 | 4920-6897 | ( 17\%) | . 062 | . $048-.075$ | ( 21\%) | 13.25 | 0.302 | Channel catfish |
| 664 | 72-1256 | ( 89\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ | ( 99\%) | 1.49 | 7.259 | Flathead catfish |
| 46 | 12-81 | ( 75\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.003$ | (173\%) | 0.10 | 0.054 | Green sunfish |
| 11481 | 9480-13481 | ( 17\%) | . 082 | . $066-.098$ | ( 19\%) | 25.74 | 0.721 | Largemouth bass |
| 0 | 0-0 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ | (220\%) | 0.00 | 0.023 | Longear sunfish |
| 29 | 1-56 | ( 96\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ | (118\%) | 0.06 | 0.081 | Redear sunfish |
| 17 | 0-44 | (165\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (177\%) | 0.04 | 1.216 | Striped bass |
| 4101 | 2909-5294 | ( 29\%) | . 025 | . $018-.031$ | ( 26\%) | 9.20 | 0.144 | White crappie |
| 49 | 0-108 | (122\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ | (111\%) | 0.11 | 0.322 | Yellow bullhead |
| 0 | 0-1 | (226\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (223\%) | 0.00 | 0.046 | Yellow bass |

rable 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.

| LB CAUG | HT 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% | CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50147 | 43148-57146 | ( $14 \%$ ) | . 393 | 345-. 440 | ( $12 \%$ ) | 45.51 | 0.609 | All species |
| 994 | 684-1304 | ( 31\%) | . 012 | . 007-. 017 | ( 40\%) | 0.90 | 0.059 | Bluegill |
| 13026 | 10846-15206 | ( 17\%) | . 136 | .107-.165 | 21\%) | 11.82 | 0.665 | Channel catfish |
| 1464 | 159-2769 | ( 89\%) | . 005 | . $000-.009$ | ( 99\%) | 1.33 | 16.004 | Flathead catfis |
| 102 | 25-179 | ( 75\%) | . 003 | . $000-.008$ | (173\%) | 0.09 | 0.118 | Green sunfish |
| 25310 | 20901-29720 | ( 17\%) | . 181 | . 147 -. 215 | ( 19\%) | 22.97 | 1.589 | Largemouth bass |
| 0 | 0-1 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (220\%) | 0.00 | 0.052 | Longear sunfish |
| 63 | 3-123 | ( 96\%) | . 001 | . $000-.001$ | (118\%) | 0.05 | 0.178 | Redear sunfish |
| 37 | 0-98 | (167\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (177\%) | 0.03 | 2.682 | Striped bass |
| 9042 | 6412-̇1671 | ( 29\%) | . 055 | . $041-.069$ | ( 26\%) | 8.20 | 0.318 | White crappie |
| 108 | 0-239 | (122\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 001 | (111\%) | 0.10 | 0.709 | Yellow bullhead |
| 1 | 0-2 | (223\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (226\%) | 0.00 | 0.100 | Yellow bass |

Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95\% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES

| HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOAT | 4.3 | 4.1-4.5 | 1 | 5\%) | 0.9 | 12.5 | 446 |
| SHORE | 2.5 | 2.0-3.0 | ( | 22\%) | 0.2 | 8.0 | 42 |
| BOAT \& SHORE | 4.2 | 3.9-4.4 | ( | 5\%) | 0.2 | 12.5 | 488 |
| MILES TRAVELED | 46.7 | 45.5-47.9 | ( | 3\%) | 1 | 300 | 1390 |
| SUCCESS RATING (1-10) | 4.1 | 3.9-4.2 | ( | 4\%) | 1 | 10 | 1389 |

*292 samples were from split interviews of completed trips.
30.3\% of all 1609 interviews were completed trips.
ILLEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted 2 out of 1609 interviews with illegal harvests.
Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.

| PARTY SIZE: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOAT INTERVIEWS | 283 | 974 | 71 | 18 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| SHORE INTERVIEWS | 64 | 119 | 39 | 30 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |



```
Table 11. Number of anglers with a given harvest & release for completed trips
# OF FISH: 0 1 1 2 2 3 0.4 4
Bluegill
    HARVEST 
Channel catfish
    HARVEST 
Flathead catfish
```



```
    RELEASE 894
Green sunfish
    HARVEST 895
    RELEASE 886 7 - - 2
Largemouth bass
    HARVEST }813\quad31 38 1
```



```
Striped bass
    HARVEST 
White crappie
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr} 
HARVEST & 792 & 9 & 10 & 15 & 8 & 6 & 14 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 30 & - & - & - & - & - \\
RELEASE & 758 & 15 & 13 & 22 & 6 & 22 & 11 & - & 4 & - & 19 & 3 & - & 3 & - & 19
\end{tabular}
Yellow bullhead
    HARVEST }89
```



```
Yellow bass
    HARVEST }89
    RELEASE 893 2
```


# ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS <br> <br> 2001 FOX CHAIN <br> <br> 2001 FOX CHAIN <br> CHANNEL LAKE \& CATHERINE LAKE <br> 498 ACRES <br> REGION 2, DISTRICT 6 

## STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:

Day creel only. Results cover 04/01/2001 through 10/15/2001 Year periods stratified. Fishing modes (boat vs. shore) stratified. Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified. Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.

SAMPLING RATIO: $161 / 594=27.1 \%$

NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 1503

Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.

| FISHING MODE | DAYTYPE | ANGLER- | HOURS 95\% | CI | HOURS / ACRE | 95\% | CI | \% | EFF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOAT | WEEKDAY | 27256 | 22371-32142 | ( 18\%) | 55 | 45-65 | ( | 18\%) | 4 |
|  | HOLIDAY | 44843 | 38253-51433 | ( 15\%) | 90 | 77-103 |  | 15\%) | 7 |
|  | TOTAL | 72099 | 64327-79872 | ( $11 \%$ ) | 145 | 129-160 | ( | 11\%) | 6 |
| SHORE | WEEKDAY | 4737 | 3541-5933 | ( 25\%) | 10 | 7-12 | $($ | 25\%) | 3\% |
|  | HOLIDAY | 5005 | 4164-5845 | ( 17\%) | 10 | 8-12 |  | 17\%) | 68 |
|  | TOTAL | 9742 | 8280-11203 | ( 15\%) | 20 | 17-22 | ( | 15\%) | 5 |
| BOAT \& SHORE | WEEKDAY | 31993 | 26976-37011 | ( 16\%) | 64 | 54-74 |  | 16\%) | 48 |
|  | HOLIDAY | 49848 | 43214-56481 | ( 13\%) | 100 | 87-113 |  | 13\%) | 78 |
|  | TOTAL | 81841 | 73932-89749 | ( 10\%) | 164 | 148-180 |  | 10\%) | $6 \%$ |


| 2001 FOX CHAIN |  |  | DAY CREEL SECTION 1 |  | 04/01/2001-10/15/2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANNEL LAKE \& CATHERINE LAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# HARVESTED 95\% CI |  | \# / HOUR |  | 95\% CI | \# / HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| 51744 | 41681-61807 | ( 19\%) | . 837 | . 520-1.155 ( 38\%) | 256.75 | 103.90 | All species |
| 61 | 0-137 | (123\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (125\%) | 0.30 | 0.12 | Black bullhead |
| 11808 | 8677-14939 | ( 27\%) | . 150 | . 098 -. 202 ( 34\%) | 58.59 | 23.71 | Black crappie |
| 34420 | 25762-43079 | ( 25\%) | . 605 | $\begin{gathered} .295-.915 \text { ( 51\%) } \\ \text { NOT RECORDED **** } \end{gathered}$ | 170.79 | 69.12 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | *** |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0-37 | (158\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (162\%) | 0.07 | 0.03 | Carp |
| 1855 | 1264-2445 | ( 32\%) | . 031 | . 017 -. 046 ( 46\%) | 9.20 | 3.72 | Channel catfish |
| 383 | 19-747 | ( 95\%) | . 006 | .001-.011 ( 87\%) | 1.90 | 0.77 | Freshwater drum |
| 117 | 0-235 | (101\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ (136\%) | 0.58 | 0.24 | Green sunfish |
| 303 | 143-463 | ( 53\%) | . 006 | . $0000-.015$ (136\%) | 1.50 | 0.61 | Largemouth bass |
| 42 | 0-159 | (278\%) | . 000 | . 000-.000 (278\%) | 0.21 | 0.08 | Longear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 431 | 162-701 | ( 62\%) | . 007 | . 000-.016 (117\%) | 2.14 | 0.87 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Rock bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Tiger muskie |
| 366 | 0-828 | (126\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.003$ (104\%) | 1.82 | 0.74 | Walleye |
| 22 | 0-91 | (318\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.003$ (278\%) | 0.11 | 0.04 | Warmouth |
| 779 | 0-2406 | (209\%) | . 008 | . $0000-.028$ (230\%) | 3.86 | 1.56 | White bass |
| 18 | 0-248 | (1271\% | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (430\%) | 0.09 | 0.04 | White crappie |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Yellow bullhead |
| 998 | 496-1499 | ( 50\%) | . 017 | . 005 -. 029 ( 71\%) | 4.95 | 2.00 | Yellow perch |
| 127 | 0-363 | (186\%) | . 001 | . $000-.004$ (224\%) | 0.63 | 0.25 | Yellow bass |


| 2001 FOX | CHAIN |  | DAY | CREEL SECTION 1 |  | /01/200 | 01-10/15/2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHANNE | LAKE \& CAT | RINE L |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table | Total fish | ng har | rvest and | d harvest rates, | kilo | rams. |  |
| KG HARV | STED 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR | 95\% CI | KG/HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| 8414 | 6934-9893 | ( $18 \%$ ) | . 125 | . $088-.161$ ( 29\%) | 41.75 | 0.163 | All species |
| 30 | 0-67 | (122\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (125\%) | 0.15 | 0.490 | Black bullhead |
| 2338 | 1736-2940 | ( 26\%) | . 029 | . $019-.038$ ( 33\%) | 11.60 | 0.198 | Black crappie |
| 3826 | 2839-4812 | ( 26\%) | . 065 | .031-.099 ( 52\%) | 18.98 | 0.111 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Bowfin |
| 17 | 0-45 | (163\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (174\%) | 0.08 | 1.194 | Carp |
| 1028 | 658-1398 | ( 36\%) | . 015 | . $0009-.022$ ( 42\%) | 5.10 | 0.554 | Channel catfish |
| 337 | 56-617 | ( 83\%) | . 005 | . $0001-.009$ ( 87\%) | 1.67 | 0.878 | Freshwater drum |
| 9 | 0-18 | (101\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (132\%) | 0.04 | 0.075 | Green sunfish |
| 314 | 114-513 | ( 64\%) | . 004 | . $0000-.008$ ( 94\%) | 1.56 | 1.036 | Largemouth bass |
| 5 | 0-19 | (257\%) | . 000 | .000-.000 (257\%) | 0.03 | 0.130 | Longear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 53 | 18-88 | ( 67\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (128\%) | 0.26 | 0.123 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Rock bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Tiger muskie |
| 197 | 0-476 | (142\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.002$ (103\%) | 0.98 | 0.538 | Walleye |
| 4 | 0-18 | (318\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (278\%) | 0.02 | 0.195 | Warmouth |
| 118 | 0-359 | (206\%) | . 001 | . $000-.004$ (228\%) | 0.58 | 0.151 | White bass |
| 3 | 0-17 | (430\%) | . 000 | .000-.000 (1271\% | 0.02 | 0.182 | White crappie |
|  |  |  | **** N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Yellow bullhead |
| 117 | 54-180 | ( 54\%) | . 002 | . 001 -. 003 ( 68\%) | 0.58 | 0.117 | Yellow perch |
| 18 | 0-51 | (186\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (224\%) | 0.09 | 0.141 | Yellow bass |


| 2001 FOX CHANNEL | CHAIN <br> LAKE \& CATHE | ERINE L | DAKE | CREEL SECTION 1 |  | 04/01/2001-10/15/2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LB HARVESTED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR |  | 9 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| 18549 | 15287-21810 | ( 18\%) | . 275 | . $194-.356$ ( 29\%) | 37.25 | 0.358 | All species |
| 66 | 0-147 | (122\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.002$ (125\%) | 0.13 | 1.081 | Black bullhead |
| 5155 | 3828-6482 | ( 26\%) | . 064 | . $043-.085$ ( 33\%) | 10.35 | 0.437 | Black crappie |
| 8434 | 6259-10609 | ( 26\%) | . 144 | . 068-. 219 ( 52\%) | 16.94 | 0.245 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  |  | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  |  |
| 38 | 0-99 | (163\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (174\%) | 0.08 | 2.633 | Carp |
| 2267 | 1452-3082 | ( 36\%) | . 034 | . $020-.048$ ( 42\%) | 4.55 | 1.222 | Channel catfish |
| 742 | 125-1360 | ( 83\%) | . 011 | . $001-.020$ ( 87\%) | 1.49 | 1.936 | Freshwater drum |
| 19 | 0-39 | (101\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (132\%) | 0.04 | 0.166 | Green sunfish |
| 692 | 251-1132 | ( 64\%) | . 009 | .001-.018 ( $94 \%$ ) | 1.39 | 2.283 | Largemouth bass |
| 12 | 0-43 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (278\%) | 0.02 | 0.286 | Longear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | *** N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 117 | 39-195 | ( 67\%) | . 002 | . 000-. 004 (128\%) | 0.24 | 0.271 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | **** N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Rock bass |
|  |  |  | **** N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
|  |  |  | ** N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Tiger muskie |
| 434 | 0-1049 | (142\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ (103\%) | 0.87 | 1.186 | walleye |
| 9 | 0-35 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (318\%) | 0.02 | 0.431 | Warmouth |
| 259 | 0-792 | (206\%) | . 003 | . $0000-.009$ (228\%) | 0.52 | 0.333 | White bass |
| 7 | 0-100 | (1271\% | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (430\%) | 0.01 | 0.401 | White crappie |
|  |  |  | **** N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Yellow bullhead |
| 258 | 119-396 | ( 54\%) | . 004 | . $001-.007$ ( 68\%) | 0.52 | 0.258 | Yellow perch |
| 39 | 0-113 | (186\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (224\%) | 0.08 | 0.312 | Yellow bass |



Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.

| KG CAUGH | T 95\% CI |  | KG / HOUR | 95\% | CI | KG/HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23943 | 20983-26903 | ( 12\%) | . 268 | . 225-. 311 | ( $16 \%$ ) | 118.80 | 0.181 | All species |
| 32 | 0-69 | (114\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ | (114\%) | 0.16 | 0.439 | Black bullhead |
| 3566 | 2693-4439 | ( 24\%) | . 043 | . $030-.056$ | ( 30\%) | 17.69 | 0.154 | Black crappie |
| 5450 | 4257-6643 | ( 22\%) | . 087 | . $052-.123$ | ( 41\%) | 27.04 | 0.070 | Bluegill |
| 12 | 0-33 | (166\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (172\%) | 0.06 | 0.114 | Bowfin |
| 314 | 14-615 | ( $96 \%$ ) | . 008 | . $000-.021$ | (143\%) | 1.56 | 0.577 | Carp |
| 1470 | 1081-1860 | ( 26\%) | . 020 | . $013-.027$ | ( 34\%) | 7.29 | 0.521 | Channel catfish |
| 948 | 582-1314 | ( 39\%) | . 017 | . $007-.028$ | ( 61\%) | 4.70 | 0.488 | Freshwater drum |
| 10 | 1-20 | ( 90\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (123\%) | 0.05 | 0.079 | Green sunfish |
| 8999 | 6957-11040 | ( 23\%) | . 069 | . $055-.083$ | ( 20\%) | 44.65 | 0.509 | Largemouth bass |
| 5 | 0-19 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (257\%) | 0.03 | 0.130 | Longear sunfish |
| 1714 | 965-2464 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 009 | . $004-.013$ | ( 52\%) | 8.51 | 2.182 | Muskellunge |
| 434 | 152-716 | ( 65\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 011 | (138\%) | 2.15 | 1.253 | Northern pike |
| 54 | 19-90 | ( 65\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ | (125\%) | 0.27 | 0.122 | Pumpkinseed |
| 7 | 0-18 | (139\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (202\%) | 0.04 | 0.093 | Rock bass |
| 16 | 0-41 | (164\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (205\%) | 0.08 | 0.591 | Smallmouth bass |
| 49 | 0-173 | (250\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ | (196\%) | 0.25 | 1.392 | Tiger muskie |
| 493 | 141-846 | ( 71\%) | . 003 | . $0001-.005$ | ( 60\%) | 2.45 | 0.341 | Walleye |
| 4 | 0-18 | (318\%) | . 000 | .000-.001 | (278\%) | 0.02 | 0.195 | Warmouth |
| 151 | 0-395 | (161\%) | . 001 | . $000-.004$ | (197\%) | 0.75 | 0.115 | White bass |
| 3 | 0-17 | (430\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (1271\% | 0.02 | 0.182 | White crappie |
| 9 | 0-22 | (153\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ | (175\%) | 0.04 | 0.147 | Yellow bullhead |
| 182 | 109-255 | ( 40\%) | . 002 | . $001-.004$ | ( 58\%) | 0.90 | 0.072 | Yellow perch |
| 18 | 0-51 | (186\%) | 000 | 000-. 001 | (224\%) | 0.09 | 0.141 | Yellow |

Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.

| LB CAUC | T 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% | CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52786 | 46260-59311 | ( 12\%) | . 591 | . $496-.686$ | ( 16\%) | 106.00 | 0.400 | All species |
| 71 | 0-152 | (114\%) | 001 | . 000-. 002 | 2 (114\%) | 0.14 | 0.967 | Black bullhead |
| 7861 | 5936-9786 | ( $24 \%$ ) | 095 | . $066-.124$ | ( 30\%) | 15.78 | 0.339 | Black crappie |
| 12015 | 9384-14646 | ( 22\%) | 192 | 114-. 271 | ( 41\%) | 24.13 | 0.153 | Bluegill |
| 27 | 0-73 | (166\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (172\%) | 0.06 | 0.252 | Bowfin |
| 693 | 31-1355 | ( 96\%) | 019 | . $000-.045$ | (143\%) | 1.39 | 1.273 | Carp |
| 3241 | 2382-4100 | ( 26\%) | 044 | . $029-.059$ | ( 34\%) | 6.51 | 1.148 | Channel catfish |
| 2090 | 1283-2896 | ( 39\%) | 039 | .015-. 062 | ( 61\%) | 4.20 | 1.075 | Freshwater drum |
| 23 | 2-43 | ( 90\%) | . 000 | .000-. 001 | (123\%) | 0.05 | 0.173 | Green sunfish |
| 19839 | 15338-24340 | ( 23\%) | . 153 | 122-.183 | ( 20\%) | 39.84 | 1.123 | Largemouth bass |
| 12 | 0-43 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (278\%) | 0.02 | 0.286 | Longear sunfish |
| 3779 | 2127-5432 | ( 44\%) | . 019 | . $000-.029$ | ( 52\%) | 7.59 | 4.811 | Muskellunge |
| 957 | 335-1578 | ( 65\%) | 010 | . $000-.024$ | (138\%) | 1.92 | 2.762 | Northern pike |
| 120 | 42-198 | ( 65\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ | (125\%) | 0.24 | 0.269 | Pumpkinseed |
| 16 | 0-39 | (139\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ | (202\%) | 0.03 | 0.204 | Rock bass |
| 35 | 0-91 | (164\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (205\%) | 0.07 | 1.303 | Smallmouth bass |
| 109 | 0-381 | (250\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.003$ | (196\%) | 0.22 | 3.068 | Tiger muskie |
| 1088 | 310-1865 | ( 71\%) | . 006 | . $0003-.010$ | ( 60\%) | 2.18 | 0.753 | Walleye |
| 9 | 0-35 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ | (318\%) | 0.02 | 0.431 | Warmouth |
| 334 | 0-871 | (161\%) | . 003 | . 000-. 010 | (197\%) | 0.67 | 0.253 | White bass |
| 7 | 0-100 | (1271\% | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (430\%) | 0.01 | 0.401 | White crappie |
| 19 | 0-48 | (153\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 001 | (175\%) | 0.04 | 0.324 | Yellow bullhead |
| 402 | 241-562 | ( 40\%) | . 005 | . $0002-.008$ | ( 58\%) | 0.81 | 0.158 | Yellow perch |
| 39 | 0-113 | (186\%) | 000 | 000-. 001 | (224\%) | 0.08 | 0.312 | Yellow bass |

```
\thereforeO01 FOX CHAIN DAY CREEL SECTION 1 04/01/2001 - 10/15/2001
CHANNEL LAKE & CATHERINE LAKE
Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95\% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES
\begin{tabular}{lllllllr} 
HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP* & & & & \\
BOAT & 2.9 & \(2.1-3.7\) & \((28 \%)\) & 0.6 & 8.0 & 9 \\
SHORE & 1.0 & \(0.0-6.1\) & \((524 \%)\) & 0.6 & 1.4 & 2 \\
BOAT \& SHORE & 2.5 & \(1.7-3.4\) & \((33 \%)\) & 0.6 & 8.0 & 11 \\
& & & & & & & 1183 \\
MILES TRAVELED & 37.2 & \(33.6-40.8\) & \((10 \%)\) & 1 & 1100 & 1163
\end{tabular}
*2 samples were from split interviews of completed trips.
    0.7% of all 1501 interviews were completed trips.
ILLEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted l out of 150l interviews with illegal harvests.
```

Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.

| PARTY SIZE: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOAT | INTERVIEWS | 411 | 747 | 122 | 19 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| SHORE | INTERVIEWS | 99 | 89 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 2001 FOX CHAIN |  |  |  |  | DAY CREEL |  |  | SECTION 1 |  | 04/01/2001-10/15/2001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 11. Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# OF FISH: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $15+$ |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 19 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 14 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Muskellunge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 17 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| walleye |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 19 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yellow perch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 19 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

```
ILIINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY
    CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY
    2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS
            2001 FOX CHAIN
        Lake Marie & Bluff Lake
            677 ACRES
        REGION 2, DISTRICT 6
```

STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:
Day creel only.
Results cover 04/01/2001 through 10/15/2001
Year periods stratified.
Fishing modes (boat vs. shore) stratified
Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: 158/594 = 26.6\%
NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 1481
Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
FISHING MODE DAYTYPE ANGLER-HOURS 95\% CI HOURS/ACRE 95\% CI \% EFF

| BOAT | WEEKDAY | 34480 | 28829-40132 | ( 16\%) | 51 | 43-59 | ( $16 \%$ ) | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HOLIDAY | 42005 | 35998-48011 | ( 14\%) | 62 | 53-71 | ( 14\%) | 7\% |
|  | TOTAL | 76485 | 68486-84484 | 10\%) | 113 | 101-125 | ( 10\%) | 6\% |
| SHORE | WEEKDAY | 4420 | 3195-5644 | ( 28\%) | 7 | 5-8 | 28\%) | 3\% |
|  | HOLIDAY | 5131 | 4456-5807 | ( 13\%) | 8 | 7-9 | ( 13\%) | 7\% |
|  | TOTAL | 9551 | 8200-10902 | ( 14\%) | 14 | 12-16 | ( 14\%) | 5\% |
| BOAT \& SHORE | WEEKDAY | 38900 | 33129-44671 | ( 15\%) | 57 | 49-66 | ( 15\%) | 4\% |
|  | HOLIDAY | 47136 | 41087-53185 | ( 13\%) | 70 | 61-79 | ( 13\%) | 7\% |
|  | TOTAL | 86036 | 77924-94148 | ( 9\%) | 127 | 115-139 | ( 9\%) | 6\% |

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.

| \# HARVESTED |  | \# / HOUR |  | 95\% CI | \# / HA | \# / ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52400 | 43726-61074 | ( $17 \%$ ) | . 634 | . $480-.788$ ( $24 \%$ ) | 191.14 | 77.35 | All species |
| 36 | 0-91 | (153\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (155\%) | 0.13 | 0.05 | Black bullhead |
| 8198 | 6051-10344 | ( 26\%) | .080 | .053-. 106 ( 33\%) | 29.90 | 12.10 | Black crappie |
| 31832 | 25255-38409 | ( 21\%) | . 415 | 287-.543 ( 31\%) | 116.12 | 46.99 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Bowfin |
| 56 | 0-248 | (345\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 (378\%) | 0.20 | 0.08 | Carp |
| 3704 | 2843-4565 | ( $23 \%$ ) | . 053 | . $033-.073$ ( 37\%) | 13.51 | 5.47 | Channel catfish |
| 1069 | 478-1659 | ( 55\%) | . 015 | . $007-.023$ (56\%) | 3.90 | 1.58 | Freshwater drum |
| 250 | 22-478 | ( 91\%) | .004 | . $000-.009$ (124\%) | 0.91 | 0.37 | Green sunfish |
| 62 | 2-122 | ( 96\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 (127\%) | 0.23 | 0.09 | Largemouth bass |
| 36 | 0-108 | (199\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 000 (199\%) | 0.13 | 0.05 | Longear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED $\star^{*} \star \star$ |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 5 | 0-19 | (257\%) | .000 | . $000-.000$ (257\%) | 0.02 | 0.01 | Pumpkinseed x Green |
| 72 | 0-148 | (104\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (152\%) | 0.26 | 0.11 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Rock bass |
| 3 | 0-17 | (430\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (430\%) | 0.01 | 0.00 | Striped bass x Whit |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
| 883 | 410-1357 | (54\%) | . 007 | . 002-.013 ( 76\%) | 3.22 | 1.30 | Walleye |
| 40 | 0-139 | (245\%) | .000 | . $000-.000$ (245\%) | 0.15 | 0.06 | Warmouth |
| 3120 | 1547-4694 | ( 50\%) | .016 | . $008-.023$ (48\%) | 11.38 | 4.61 | White bass |
| 104 | 0-490 | (371\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (409\%) | 0.38 | 0.15 | White crappie |
| 65 | 0-178 | (174\%) | .000 | . $000-.001$ (173\%) | 0.24 | 0.10 | Yellow bullhead |
| 2127 | 1455-2799 | ( 32\%) | . 036 | . $012-.060$ ( 67\%) | 7.76 | 3.14 | Yellow perch |
| 737 | 374-1100 | ( 49\%) | .005 | . $001-.009$ ( 71\%) | 2.69 | 1.09 | Yellow bass |

Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.

| KG HARVESTED 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR |  | 95\% CI | KG / HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10077 | 8521-11633 | ( 15\%) | . 121 | . 096-. 145 ( 21\%) | 36.76 | 0.192 | All species |
| 16 | 0-40 | (154\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (144\%) | 0.06 | 0.436 | Black bullhead |
| 1811 | 1306-2317 | ( 28\%) | . 017 | . $011-.022$ ( 32\%) | 6.61 | 0.221 | Black crappie |
| 3463 | 2765-4160 | ( 20\%) | . 045 | . $032-.058$ ( 28\%) | 12.63 | 0.109 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Bowfin |
| 70 | 0-231 | (228\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (229\%) | 0.26 | 1.263 | Carp |
| 2144 | 1587-2701 | ( 26\%) | . 030 | . $019-.041$ ( 36\%) | 7.82 | 0.579 | Channel catfish |
| 955 | 551-1359 | ( 42\%) | . 016 | . $0005-.027$ ( 70\%) | 3.48 | 0.894 | Freshwater drum |
| 19 | 2-37 | ( 918) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (116\%) | 0.07 | 0.077 | Green sunfish |
| 61 | 1-121 | ( 98\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (135\%) | 0.22 | 0.980 | Largemouth bass |
| 6 | 0-19 | (243\%) | . 000 | .000-.000 (243\%) | 0.02 | 0.153 | Longear sunfish |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Pumpkinseed x Green |
| 7 | 0-13 | ( 97\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (131\%) | 0.02 | 0.092 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Rock bass |
| 14 | 0-74 | (430\%) | . 000 | .000-.004 (1271\% | 0.05 | 4.416 | Striped bass x Whit |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
| 642 | 224-1060 | ( 65\%) | . 004 | .001-.007 ( 66\%) | 2.34 | 0.727 | Walleye |
| 7 | 0-23 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (245\%) | 0.02 | 0.166 | Warmouth |
| 496 | 250-742 | ( 50\%) | . 003 | . $0001-.004$ ( 48\%) | 1.81 | 0.159 | White bass |
| 20 | 0-91 | (358\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (404\%) | 0.07 | 0.191 | White crappie |
| 12 | 0-33 | (1738) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (175\%) | 0.04 | 0.184 | Yellow bullhead |
| 227 | 156-299 | ( $32 \%$ ) | . 004 | . $0001-.007$ ( 78\%) | 0.83 | 0.107 | Yellow perch |
| 107 | 55-160 | ( 49\%) | . 001 | .000-.001 ( 68\%) | 0.39 | 0.146 | Yellow bass |

```
2001 FOX CHAIN
LAKE MARIE \& BLUFF LAKE
```

DAY CREEL SECTION 2
2

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.

| LB HARV | STED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE Lb | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22216 | 18785-25647 | ( 15\%) | . 266 | . $211-.320$ ( 21\%) | 32.80 | 0.424 | All species |
| 34 | 0-87 | (154\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (144\%) | 0.05 | 0.962 | Black bullhead |
| 3994 | 2880-5107 | ( 28\%) | . 037 | . $025-.049$ ( 32\%) | 5.90 | 0.487 | Black crappie |
| 7634 | 6096-9171 | ( 20\%) | . 099 | . $071-.127$ ( 28\%) | 11.27 | 0.240 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Bowfin |
| 155 | 0-508 | (228\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (229\%) | 0.23 | 2.784 | Carp |
| 4727 | 3499-5955 | ( 26\%) | . 066 | . $042-.090$ ( 36\%) | 6.98 | 1.276 | Channel catfish |
| 2106 | 1216-2996 | ( 42\%) | . 035 | . $011-.059$ ( 70\%) | 3.11 | 1.970 | Freshwater drum |
| 42 | 4-81 | ( 918) | . 001 | . 000-.001 (116\%) | 0.06 | 0.169 | Green sunfish |
| 134 | 3-266 | ( 98\%) | . 001 | . 000-.001 (135\%) | 0.20 | 2.161 | Largemouth bass |
| 12 | 0-42 | (243\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (243\%) | 0.02 | 0.337 | Longear sunfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Pumpkinseed x Green |
| 15 | 0-29 | ( 97\%) | . 000 | . 000-.000 (131\%) | 0.02 | 0.202 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Rock bass |
| 31 | 0-163 | (430\%) | . 001 | .000-.009 (1271\% | 0.05 | 9.735 | Striped bass x Whit |
|  |  |  | *** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
| 1415 | 493-2337 | ( 65\%) | . 009 | . 003-.015 ( 66\%) | 2.09 | 1.602 | Walleye |
| 15 | 0-50 | (236\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (236\%) | 0.02 | 0.367 | Warmouth |
| 1094 | 552-1636 | ( 50\%) | . 006 | . $003-.008$ ( 48\%) | 1.61 | 0.351 | White bass |
| 44 | 0-201 | (358\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (404\%) | 0.06 | 0.421 | White crappie |
| 26 | 0-72 | (173\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (175\%) | 0.04 | 0.406 | Yellow bullhead |
| 501 | 343-660 | ( 32\%) | . 009 | . $0002-.016$ ( 78\%) | 0.74 | 0.236 | Yellow perch |
| 237 | 122-352 | ( 49\%) | . 002 | . $000-.003$ ( 68\%) | 0.35 | 0.321 | Yellow bass |

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.

| \# CAUGHT | T 95\% CI |  | \# /HOUR | 95\% CI | \# / HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132448 | 115005-14989 | ( 13\%) | 1.509 | 1.257-1.760( $17 \%$ ) | 483.14 | 195.52 | All species |
| 56 | 0-121 | (117\%) | . 001 | . $000-.001$ (119\%) | 0.20 | 0.08 | Black bullhead |
| 14013 | 10567-17459 | ( 25\%) | . 151 | . 106-. 197 ( 30\%) | 51.12 | 20.69 | Black crappie |
| 70040 | 58031-82049 | ( 17\%) | . 904 | .697-1.111( 23\%) | 255.49 | 103.40 | Bluegill |
| 22 | 0-60 | (167\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (186\%) | 0.08 | 0.03 | Bowfin |
| 480 | 283-677 | ( 41\%) | . 011 | . $003-.019$ ( 74\%) | 1.75 | 0.71 | Carp |
| 6908 | 5564-8251 | ( 19\%) | . 080 | .060-.101 ( $26 \%$ ) | 25.20 | 10.20 | Channel catfish |
| 8252 | 6057-10447 | ( 27\%) | . 078 | . 055-.102 ( $30 \%$ ) | 30.10 | 12.18 | Freshwater drum |
| 250 | 22-478 | ( 91\%) | . 004 | . $000-.009$ (124\%) | 0.91 | 0.37 | Green sunfish |
| 10049 | 7695-12403 | ( 23\%) | . 092 | . $066-.118$ ( 28\%) | 36.66 | 14.83 | Largemouth bass |
| 36 | 0-108 | (199\%) | . 000 | . 000-.000 (199\%) | 0.13 | 0.05 | Longear sunfish |
| 699 | 441-958 | ( $37 \%$ ) | . 004 | . $002-.006$ ( 54\%) | 2.55 | 1.03 | Muskellunge |
| 137 | 2-273 | ( 99\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (149\%) | 0.50 | 0.20 | Northern pike |
| 5 | 0-19 | (257\%) | . 000 | .000-.000 (257\%) | 0.02 | 0.01 | Pumpkinseed x Green |
| 161 | 0-392 | (143\%) | . 002 | .000-.004 (117\%) | 0.59 | 0.24 | Pumpkinseed |
| 13 | 0-45 | (245\%) | . 000 | .000-.001 (245\%) | 0.05 | 0.02 | Rock bass |
| 3 | 0-17 | (430\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (430\%) | 0.01 | 0.00 | Striped bass x Whit |
| 103 | 0-281 | (173\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (173\%) | 0.38 | 0.15 | Smallmouth bass |
| 4544 | 3328-5759 | ( 27\%) | . 041 | .016-.065 ( 61\%) | 16.57 | 6.71 | Walleye |
| 40 | 0-139 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (245\%) | 0.15 | 0.06 | Warmouth |
| 10951 | 7706-14195 | ( 30\%) | . 070 | .047-.094 ( $33 \%$ ) | 39.95 | 16.17 | White bass |
| 378 | 0-1898 | (402\%) | . 003 | . 000-.014 (421\%) | 1.38 | 0.56 | White crappie |
| 128 | 0-258 | (102\%) | . 001 | . $000-.004$ (180\%) | 0.47 | 0.19 | Yellow bullhead |
| 4210 | 3135-5286 | ( 26\%) | . 058 | . $032-.083$ ( 44\%) | 15.36 | 6.22 | Yellow perch |
| 969 | 437-1501 | ( 55\%) | . 006 | . $002-.010$ ( 63\%) | 3.54 | 1.43 | Yellow bass |

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.


Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.

| LB CAUGH | T 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55540 | 48037-63043 | ( 14\%) | . 666 | . $096-1.235$ ( 86\%) | 81.99 | 0.419 | All species |
| 49 | 0-107 | (116\%) | . 001 | . 000-.001 (116\%) | 0.07 | 0.885 | Black bullhead |
| 5299 | 3947-6650 | ( 26\%) | . 080 | . 000-.214 (167\%) | 7.82 | 0.378 | Black crappie |
| 10286 | 8417-12154 | ( 18\%) | . 132 | .101-.164 ( $24 \%$ ) | 15.18 | 0.147 | Bluegill |
| 7 | 0-23 | (222\%) | . 000 | 000-.000 (199\%) | 0.01 | 0.313 | Bowfin |
| 840 | 465-1214 | ( $45 \%$ ) | . 014 | 002-.026 ( 83\%) | 1.24 | 1.749 | Carp |
| 7342 | 5828-8856 | ( 21\%) | . 089 | .064-.114 ( $28 \%$ ) | 10.84 | 1.063 | Channel catfish |
| 8248 | 6385-10110 | ( 23\%) | . 088 | . 059-.117 ( 33\%) | 12.18 | 0.999 | Freshwater drum |
| 42 | 4-81 | ( 91\%) | . 001 | . 000-.001 (116\%) | 0.06 | 0.169 | Green sunfish |
| 10063 | 7313-12813 | ( 27\%) | . 076 | . $052-.100$ ( 32\%) | 14.85 | 1.001 | Largemouth bass |
| 12 | 0-42 | (243\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (243\%) | 0.02 | 0.337 | Longear sunfish |
| 4889 | 2649-7129 | ( $46 \%$ ) | . 027 | . $010-.045$ ( 65\%) | 7.22 | 6.990 | Muskellunge |
| 485 | 40-929 | ( 92\%) | . 003 | . 000-. 0008 (152\%) | 0.72 | 3.530 | Northern pike |
|  |  |  | * N | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Pumpkinseed x Green |
| 18 | 3-33 | ( 85\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (120\%) | 0.03 | 0.112 | Pumpkinseed |
| 5 | 0-16 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (257\%) | 0.01 | 0.367 | Rock bass |
| 31 | 0-163 | (430\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.009$ (1271\% | 0.05 | 9.735 | Striped bass x Whit |
| 130 | 0-354 | (173\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.001$ (173\%) | 0.19 | 1.259 | Smallmouth bass |
| 4672 | 3070-6275 | ( 34\%) | . 127 | . $000-.545$ (328\%) | 6.90 | 1.028 | Walleye |
| 15 | 0-50 | (236\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (236\%) | 0.02 | 0.367 | Warmouth |
| 2026 | 1417-2635 | ( 30\%) | 012 | . $0008-.016$ ( 31\%) | 2.99 | 0.185 | White bass |
| 97 | 0-473 | (387\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (416\%) | 0.14 | 0.257 | White crappie |
| 43 | 0-90 | (110\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (156\%) | 0.06 | 0.334 | Yellow bullhead |
| 664 | 486-842 | ( 27\%) | . 010 | .003-.017 ( 68\%) | 0.98 | 0.158 | Yellow perch |
| 279 | 148-410 | ( 47\%) | . 002 | . $001-.003$ ( 62\%) | 0.41 | 0.288 | Yellow bass |

```
2001 FOX CHAIN
LAKE MARIE & BLUFF LAKE
```

DAY CREEL SECTION 2 04/01/2001 - 10/15/2001
Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95\% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES
HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP*

| BOAT | 4.5 | $3.4-5.6$ | $(24 \%)$ | 1.5 | 8.5 | 17 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| SHORE | 3.5 | $* * *$ undefined $* * *$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1 |  |
| BOAT \& SHORE | 4.5 | $3.5-5.5$ | $(22 \%)$ | 1.5 | 8.5 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LES TRAVELED | 37.6 | $34.6-40.6$ | $(8 \%)$ | 1 | 1000 | 1168 |
| CCESS RATING (1-10) | 2.9 | $2.8-3.1$ | $(24)$ | 1 | 10 | 1150 |

*ll samples were from split interviews of completed trips. $1.2 \%$ of all 1470 interviews were completed trips.

ILLEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted 1 out of 1470 interviews with illegal harvests.

Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.

| PARTY SIZE: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOAT | INTERVIEWS | 378 | 675 | 212 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| SHORE INTERVIEWS | 64 | 101 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Number of interviews (and $\%$ ) per species sought for all interviews.

| 123 | $(8.4 \%)$ | ANY All species |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $(0.1 \%)$ | BLC | Black crappie |
| 125 | $(0.5 \%)$ | BLG Bluegill |  |
| 1 | $(0.1 \%)$ | CAP Carp |  |
| 2 | $(0.1 \%)$ | CAT | Unidentified catfish |
| 82 | $(5.6 \%)$ | CCF | Channel catfish |
| 204 | $(13.9 \%)$ | CRP | Crappie spp. |
| 209 | $(14.2 \%)$ | LMB | Largemouth bass |
| 278 | $(18.9 \%)$ | MUE | Muskellunge |
| 1 | $(0.1 \%)$ | NOP | Northern pike |
| 6 | $(0.4 \%)$ | SUN | Sunfish spp. excluding Crappie and Black Bass |
| 375 | $(25.5 \%)$ | WAE Walleye |  |
| 62 | $(4.2 \%)$ | WHB White bass |  |


| 2001 FOX CHAIN |  |  |  |  | DAY |  | CREEL |  | SECTION |  |  |  | 2 | 04/01/2001-10/15/2001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE MARIE \& BLUFF LAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table ll. Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# OF FISH | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 4 |  | 5 | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15+ |
| Elack crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 24 | - | - | - | 2 |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| RELEASE | 20 | 4 | - | 2 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 23 | - | 1 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | 1 |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| RELEASE | 18 | 6 | - | - | - |  | - | 3 |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 28 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 26 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 23 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 24 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freshwater drum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 20 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 27 | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Muskellunge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 28 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 26 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 25 | 3 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 21 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| White bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 27 | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 25 | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Yellow perch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 26 | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 24 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Yellow bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 28 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 26 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY <br> CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS 

## 2001 GAGES LARE

128 ACRES
REGION 2, DISTRICT 7

## STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:

Day creel only.
Results cover 04/01/2001 through 10/31/2001
Year periods stratified.
Fishing modes (boat vs. shore) stratified.
Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: $284 / 642=44.2 \%$

NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 739

Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
FISHING MODE DAYTYPE ANGLER-HOURS 95\% CI HOURS/ACRE 95\% CI \% EFF

| BOAT | WEEKDAY | 1414 | $1134-1694$ | $(20 \%)$ | 11 | $9-13$ | $(20 \%)$ | $15 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | HOLIDAY | 1856 | $1622-2091$ | $(13 \%)$ | 15 | $13-16$ | $(13 \%)$ | $38 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL | 3270 | $2905-3636$ | $(11 \%)$ | 26 | $23-28$ | $(11 \%)$ | $28 \%$ |
| SHORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WEEKDAY | 3203 | $2737-3669$ | $(15 \%)$ | 25 | $21-29$ | $(15 \%)$ | $12 \%$ |
|  | HOLIDAY | 2898 | $2453-3344$ | $(15 \%)$ | 23 | $19-26$ | $(15 \%)$ | $23 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL | 6102 | $5457-6746$ | $(11 \%)$ | 48 | $43-53$ | $(11 \%)$ | $17 \%$ |
| BOAT \& SHORE WEEKDAY | 4617 | $4073-5161$ | $(12 \%)$ | 36 | $32-40$ | $(12 \%)$ | $13 \%$ |  |
|  | HOLIDAY | 4755 | $4251-5259$ | $(11 \%)$ | 37 | $33-41$ | $(11 \%)$ | $29 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL | 9372 | $8631-10113$ | $(8 \%)$ | 73 | $68-79$ | $(8 \%)$ | $21 \%$ |

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.

| HARVESTED 95\% CI |  | \# / HOUR |  | 95\% CI | \#/HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1253 | 885-1621 | ( 29\%) | . 086 | . $051-.120$ ( 41\%) | 24.23 | 9.81 | All species |
| 41 | 0-95 | (130\%) | . 004 | .000-.010 (149\%) | 0.80 | 0.32 | Black bullhead |
| 22 | 0-57 | (165\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (152\%) | 0.42 | 0.17 | Black crappie |
| 470 | 190-750 | ( 60\%) | . 034 | . $013-.055$ ( 62\%) | 9.09 | 3.68 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Brown bullhead |
| 388 | 202-574 | ( $48 \%$ ) | . 028 | . $000-.056$ (101\%) | 7.51 | 3.04 | Carp |
| 87 | 30-144 | ( 65\%) | . 007 | .003-.011 ( 61\%) | 1.68 | 0.68 | Channel catfish |
| 5 | 0-21 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (257\%) | 0.11 | 0.04 | Green sunfish |
| 147 | 72-222 | ( 51\%) | . 007 | . $003-.011$ ( 52\%) | 2.84 | 1.15 | Largemouth bass |
| 32 | 0-77 | (142\%) | . 002 | . $000-.007$ (279\%) | 0.62 | 0.25 | Northern pike |
| 7 | 0-24 | (236\%) | . 000 | .000-.001 (231\%) | 0.14 | 0.06 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
| 23 | 3-43 | ( 89\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ (109\%) | 0.44 | 0.18 | Walleye |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Warmouth |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | White crappie |
| 10 | 0-32 | (236\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (236\%) | 0.19 | 0.08 | Yellow bullhead |
| 16 | 0-37 | (132\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (148\%) | 0.30 | 0.12 | Yellow perch |
| 5 | 0-16 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ (220\%) | 0.10 | 0.04 | Yellow bass |

Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.

| KG HAR | HARVESTED 95\% CI | KG / HOUR |  | 95\% CI | KG/HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 526 | 296-757 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 044 | .011-.077 ( 76\%) | 10.18 | 0.420 | All species |
| 12 | 0-28 | (133\%) | . 001 | .000-.003 (148\%) | 0.23 | 0.293 | Black bullhead |
| 3 | 0-8 | (156\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (143\%) | 0.06 | 0.147 | Black crappie |
| 21 | 9-33 | ( 57\%) | . 002 | . $001-.003$ ( 69\%) | 0.41 | 0.045 | Bluegill |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Brown bullhead |
| 223 | 70-376 | ( 68\%) | . 021 | . $000-.052$ (147\%) | 4.31 | 0.575 | Carp |
| 50 | 20-81 | ( 60\%) | . 004 | . $0001-.006$ ( 63\%) | 0.97 | 0.580 | Channel catfish |
| 0 | 0-1 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (278\%) | 0.01 | 0.073 | Green sunfish |
| 114 | 38-189 | ( 66\%) | . 007 | . $0002-.011$ ( 71\%) | 2.19 | 0.772 | Largemouth bass |
| 75 | 0-296 | (293\%) | . 007 | . $0000-.030$ (313\%) | 1.46 | 2.359 | Northern pike |
| 0 | 0-1 | (236\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (231\%) | 0.00 | 0.034 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
| 25 | 1-48 | ( 95\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ (127\%) | 0.48 | 1.088 | Walleye |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Warmouth |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | White crappie |
| 1 | 0-3 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (236\%) | 0.02 | 0.090 | Yellow bullhead |
| 1 | 0-2 | (152\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (211\%) | 0.01 | 0.045 | Yellow perch |
| 1 | 0-2 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (220\%) | 0.01 | 0.102 | Yellow bass |

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.

| LB HARV | ED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1161 | 653-1668 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 097 | 023-.171 ( 76\%) | 9.08 | 0.926 | All species |
| 27 | 0-62 | (133\%) | . 003 | . $000-.007$ (148\%) | 0.21 | 0.645 | Black bullhead |
| 7 | 0-18 | (156\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (143\%) | 0.05 | 0.324 | Black crappie |
| 47 | 20-73 | ( $57 \%$ ) | . 004 | . $001-.006$ ( 69\%) | 0.37 | 0.099 | Bluegill <br> Brown bullhead |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  |  |
| 492 | 155-829 | ( 68\%) | . 046 | . 000-.114 (147\%) | 3.85 | 1.267 | Carp |
| 111 | 45-177 | ( 60\%) | . 009 | . $0003-.014$ ( 63\%) | 0.87 | 1.278 | Channel catfish |
| 1 | 0-3 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (257\%) | 0.01 | 0.160 | Green sunfish |
| 250 | 85-416 | ( 66\%) | . 015 | . $004-.025$ ( 71\%) | 1.96 | 1.702 | Largemouth bass |
| 166 | 0-652 | (293\%) | . 016 | . $000-.066$ (313\%) | 1.30 | 5.201 | Northern pike |
| 1 | 0-2 | (231\%) | . 000 | 000-.000 (231\%) | 0.00 | 0.076 | Pumpkinseed |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth bass |
| 55 | 3-107 | ( 95\%) | . 004 | . 000-.010 (127\%) | 0.43 | 2.399 | Walleye |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Warmouth |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | White crappie |
| 2 | 0-7 | (245\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (236\%) | 0.01 | 0.198 | Yellow bullhead |
| 2 | 0-4 | (152\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (211\%) | 0.01 | 0.100 | Yellow perch |
| 1 | 0-4 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (220\%) | 0.01 | 0.224 | Yellow bass |

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.

| \# CAUGHT | - $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ |  | \#/HOUR | 95\% CI | \#/HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14064 | 12565-15564 | ( 11\%) | . 937 | . 832-1.042( 11\%) | 271.93 | 110.05 | All species |
| 257 | 144-369 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 020 | . $007-.032$ ( 63\%) | 4.96 | 2.01 | Black bullhead |
| 346 | 213-479 | ( 38\%) | . 023 | . $013-.033$ ( 42\%) | 6.68 | 2.71 | Black crappie |
| 8736 | 7438-10035 | ( 15\%) | . 534 | . $443-.625$ ( 17\%) | 168.91 | 68.36 | Bluegill |
| 27 | 0-64 | (136\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ (122\%) | 0.53 | 0.21 | Brown bullhead |
| 524 | 329-719 | ( 378) | . 040 | . $011-.068$ ( 72\%) | 10.13 | 4.10 | Carp |
| 229 | 137-321 | ( 40\%) | . 016 | . 008 -. 025 ( 52\%) | 4.43 | 1.79 | Channel catfish |
| 261 | 120-402 | ( 54\%) | . 015 | . $007-.024$ ( 57\%) | 5.05 | 2.04 | Green sunfish |
| 1739 | 1482-1995 | ( 15\%) | . 137 | . $114-.160$ ( 17\%) | 33.62 | 13.60 | Largemouth bass |
| 477 | 348-606 | ( 27\%) | . 049 | . $023-.076$ ( 54\%) | 9.22 | 3.73 | Northern pike |
| 174 | 47-302 | ( 73\%) | . 008 | . $003-.014$ ( 69\%) | 3.37 | 1.36 | Pumpkinseed |
| 6 | 0-31 | (430\%) | . 001 | .000-.002 (318\%) | 0.11 | 0.05 | Smallmouth bass |
| 181 | 104-258 | ( 42\%) | . 016 | . $0008-.024$ ( 52\%) | 3.50 | 1.42 | Walleye |
| 22 | 2-42 | ( 89\%) | . 001 | .000-.001 ( 88\%) | 0.42 | 0.17 | Warmouth |
| 32 | 5-59 | ( 85\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ ( 91\%) | 0.62 | 0.25 | White crappie |
| 40 | 7-72 | ( 83\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ ( 82\%) | 0.77 | 0.31 | Yellow bullhead |
| 1009 | 734-1284 | ( 27\%) | 070 | . $034-.106$ ( 51\%) | 19.50 | 7.89 | Yellow perch |
| 5 | 0-16 | (220\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (220\%) | 0.10 | 0.04 | Yellow bass |

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.

| YG CAUGHT | 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR | 95\% | I | KG / HA | AVE Kg | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2267 | 1943-2591 | ( $14 \%$ ) | . 193 | . $150-.237$ | ( 22\%) | 43.83 | 0.161 | All species |
| 59 | 34-83 | ( 42\%) | . 005 | . $002-.007$ | ( 55\%) | 1.13 | 0.228 | Black bullhead |
| 29 | 17-40 | ( 40\%) | . 002 | . $001-.003$ | ( 44\%) | 0.56 | 0.084 | Black crappie |
| 291 | 247-336 | ( 15\%) | . 018 | .015-. 021 | ( 18\%) | 5.63 | 0.033 | Bluegill |
| 3 | 0-7 | (138\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (125\%) | 0.06 | 0.114 | Brown bullhead |
| 290 | 135-445 | ( 53\%) | . 027 | . $000-.058$ | (116\%) | 5.61 | 0.554 | Carp |
| 122 | 74-170 | ( 39\%) | . 009 | . $0005-.014$ | ( 46\%) | 2.36 | 0.533 | Channel catfish |
| 12 | 5-20 | ( 63\%) | . 001 | . 000-.001 | ( 61\%) | 0.24 | 0.047 | Green sunfish |
| 925 | 761-1088 | ( 18\%) | . 080 | . 060-. 100 | ( 25\%) | 17.88 | 0.532 | Largemouth bass |
| 410 | 164-655 | ( 60\%) | . 042 | . $013-.072$ | ( 70\%) | 7.92 | 0.859 | Northern pike |
| 8 | 2-13 | ( 75\%) | . 000 | . $000-.001$ | ( 73\%) | 0.15 | 0.043 | Pumpkinseed |
| 3 | 0-13 | (318\%) | . 000 | . $000-.002$ | (430\%) | 0.06 | 0.551 | Smallmouth bass |
| 60 | 29-91 | ( 51\%) | . 005 | . $002-.008$ | ( 65\%) | 1.16 | 0.332 | walleye |
| 3 | 0-5 | (102\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (111\%) | 0.05 | 0.119 | Warmouth |
| 3 | 0-5 | ( 94\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | ( 86\%) | 0.05 | 0.086 | White crappie |
| 3 | 0-6 | ( 88\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | ( 88\%) | 0.06 | 0.084 | Yellow bullhead |
| 46 | 34-57 | ( 25\%) | . 003 | . $002-.005$ | ( 51\%) | 0.88 | 0.045 | Yellow perch |
| 1 | 0-2 | (220\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (220\%) | 0.01 | 0.102 | Yellow bass |


| LB CAUGHT | - 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% | $C I$ | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4998 | 4283-5713 | ( $14 \%$ ) | . 426 | . $330-.522$ | ( 22\%) | 39.11 | 0.355 | All species |
| 129 | 74-184 | ( 42\%) | . 010 | . $004-.015$ | ( 55\%) | 1.01 | 0.503 | Black bullhead |
| 64 | 38-89 | ( 40\%) | . 005 | . $003-.007$ | ( 44 \% ) | 0.50 | 0.185 | Black crappie |
| 642 | 545-740 | ( 15\%) | . 039 | . $032-.046$ | ( $18 \%$ ) | 5.03 | 0.074 | Bluegill |
| 7 | 0-16 | (138\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (125\%) | 0.05 | 0.252 | Brown bullhead |
| 640 | 298-982 | ( 53\%) | . 059 | . $0000-.128$ | (116\%) | 5.00 | 1.221 | Carp |
| 269 | 163-375 | ( 39\%) | . 021 | . $011-.030$ | ( $46 \%$ ) | 2.11 | 1.175 | Channel catfish |
| 27 | 10-45 | ( 63\%) | . 002 | . $001-.003$ | ( 61\%) | 0.21 | 0.105 | Green sunfish |
| 2039 | 1679-2400 | ( 18\%) | . 177 | . $132-.221$ | ( 25\%) | 15.96 | 1.173 | Largemouth bass |
| 903 | 361-1445 | ( 60\%) | . 093 | . 028 -. 159 | ( 70\%) | 7.07 | 1.894 | Northern pike |
| 17 | 4-29 | ( 75\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 001 | ( 73\%) | 0.13 | 0.096 | Pumpkinseed |
| 7 | 0-38 | (430\%) | . 001 | . $000-.004$ | (430\%) | 0.06 | 1.216 | Smallmouth bass |
| 133 | 65-201 | ( 51\%) | . 011 | . 004-. 018 | ( 65\%) | 1.04 | 0.732 | Walleye |
| 6 | 0-12 | (102\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (111\%) | 0.05 | 0.262 | Warmouth |
| 6 | 0-12 | ( 94\%) | . 000 | .000-.001 | ( 86\%) | 0.05 | 0.190 | White crappie |
| 7 | 1-14 | ( 88\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | ( 88\%) | 0.06 | 0.186 | Yellow bullhead |
| 101 | 75-126 | ( 25\%) | . 007 | . 003-.011 | ( 51\%) | 0.79 | 0.100 | Yellow perch |
| 1 | 0-4 | (220\%) | . 000 | . 000-.000 | (220\%) | 0.01 | 0.224 | Yellow bass |

Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES

| HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| BOAT | 2.6 | $2.3-2.9$ | $(12 \%)$ | 0.8 | 6.5 | 69 |  |
| SHORE | 1.4 | $1.1-1.6$ | $(17 \%)$ | 0.2 | 3.5 | 43 |  |
| BOAT $\dot{2}$ SHORE | 2.1 | $1.9-2.4$ | $(11 \%)$ | 0.2 | 6.5 | 112 |  |
|  |  | 1.4 | $1.2-1.7$ | $(17 \%)$ | 1 | 40 | 649 |
| MILES TRAVELED | 3.6 | $3.4-3.8$ | $(5 \%)$ | 1 | 10 | 648 |  |

*36 samples were from split interviews of completed trips.
15.9% of all }703\mathrm{ interviews were completed trips.
ILLEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted l2 out of 703 interviews with illegal harvests.
Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.

```

```

| EOAT | INTERVIEWS | 71 | 165 | 28 | 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SHORE | INTERVIEWS | 150 | 209 | 56 | 11 | 7 | 2 |

```

\footnotetext{
Table 10. Number of interviews (and \(\frac{\%}{0}\) ) per species sought for all interviews.
\begin{tabular}{r|rll}
324 & \((46.1 \%)\) & ANY & All species \\
14 & \((2.0 \%)\) & BLG & Bluegill \\
24 & \((3.4 \%)\) & CAP & Carp \\
13 & \((1.8 \%)\) & CCF & Channel catfish \\
10 & \((1.4 \%)\) & CRP & Crappie spp. \\
263 & \((37.4 \%)\) & LMB & Largemouth bass \\
16 & \((2.3 \%)\) & NOP & Northern pike \\
39 & \((5.5 \%)\) & WAE & Walleye
\end{tabular}
}
```

Table 11. Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed trips

```

```

Black crappie
HARVEST 210 2
RELEASE 199 6 7
Bluegill
HARVEST
carp
HARVEST 200 11 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - I
RELEASE 209 3
Channel catfish
HARVEST 210 2
RELEASE 206 6
Green sunfish
HARVEST 212
RELEASE 209 3
Largemouth bass

```

```

    RELEASE lllllllllllllllllllll
    Northern pike
HARVEST 212

```

```

Pumpkinseed
HARVEST }21
RELEASE 204 8
Walleye
HARVEST 208 4

```

```

Warmouth
HARVEST }21
RELEASE 212
White crappie
HARVEST 212

```

```

2001 GAGES LAKE
DAY CREEL
04/01/2001-10/31/2001
Table 11. (continued) Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed
trips

# OF FISH: 0

Yellow bullhead
HARVEST 212
RELEASE 2IO 2 - - - - - - - - -
Yellow perch

```



\title{
ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY \\ CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS
}

\section*{2001 LITTLE GRASSY \\ 905 ACRES \\ REGION 4, DISTRICT 15}

STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:
Day creel only.
Results cover 03/15/2001 through 10/31/2001
Year periods stratified.
Fishing modes (boat vs. shore) stratified.
Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: 427/693 \(=61.6 \%\)
NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 1789
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline FISHING MODE & DAYTYPE & ANGLER- & HOURS 95\% & CI & HOURS/ACRE & 95\% & CI & & EFF \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{BOAT} & WEEKDAY & 13294 & 11756-14832 & ( 12\%) & 15 & 13-16 & 1 & 12\%) & 16\% \\
\hline & HOLIDAY & 11938 & 10180-13695 & ( 15\%) & 13 & 11-15 & 1 & 15\%) & 34\% \\
\hline & TOTAL & 25232 & 22896-27567 & ( 9\%) & 28 & 25-30 & \((\) & 9\%) & 25\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SHORE} & WEEKDAY & 1904 & 925-2884 & ( 51\%) & 2 & 1-3 & \((\) & 51\%) & 12\% \\
\hline & HOLIDAY & 2240 & 1645-2835 & ( 27\%) & 2 & 2-3 & \((\) & 27\%) & 24\% \\
\hline & TOTAL & 4145 & 3034-5256 & ( 27\%) & 5 & 3-6 & \((\) & 27\%) & 18\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{BOAT \& SHORE} & WEEKDAY & 15198 & 13435-16961 & ( 12\%) & 17 & 15-19 & 1 & 12\%) & 15\% \\
\hline & HOLIDAY & 14178 & 12323-16034 & ( 13\%) & 16 & 14-18 & ( & 13\%) & 33\% \\
\hline & TOTAL & 29377 & 26817-31936 & ( 9\%) & 32 & 30-35 & 1 & 9\%) & 24\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# HARVE & STED 95\% CI & & \# /HOUR & 95\% CI & \#/HA & \#/ACRE & SPECIES \\
\hline 29948 & 25728-34167 & ( 14\%) & . 484 & . 408 -. 560 ( 16\%) & 81.73 & 33.07 & All species \\
\hline 4799 & 3801-5797 & ( 21\%) & . 122 & . 088 -. 157 ( 28\%) & 13.10 & 5.30 & Black crappie \\
\hline 8052 & 6052-10051 & ( 25\%) & . 099 & . \(065-.134\) ( 35\%) & 21.97 & 8.89 & Bluegill \\
\hline 3 & 0-11 & (257\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (278\%) & 0.01 & 0.00 & Brook silverside \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Carp \\
\hline 1279 & 936-1622 & ( 27\%) & . 033 & . \(021-.045\) ( 35\%) & 3.49 & 1.41 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 586 & 349-823 & ( 40\%) & . 011 & .000-.021 (97\%) & 1.60 & 0.65 & Green sunfish \\
\hline 1228 & 958-1497 & ( 22\%) & . 024 & . \(013-.034\) ( 44\%) & 3.35 & 1.36 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 92 & 23-160 & ( 74\%) & . 001 & . \(0000-.003\) (108\%) & 0.25 & 0.10 & Longear sunfish \\
\hline 207 & 81-334 & ( 61\%) & . 004 & . \(0002-.007\) ( 65\%) & 0.57 & 0.23 & Orangespotted sunfi \\
\hline 263 & 165-361 & ( 37\%) & . 003 & . \(0002-.004\) ( 48\%) & 0.72 & 0.29 & Redear sunfish \\
\hline 5 & 0-15 & (210\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.001\) (210\%) & 0.01 & 0.01 & Unidentified Sunfis \\
\hline 115 & 60-171 & ( 48\%) & . 001 & . \(0000-.001\) ( 53\%) & 0.31 & 0.13 & Warmouth \\
\hline 11140 & 8319-13961 & ( 25\%) & . 134 & .097-.171 ( 27\%) & 30.40 & 12.30 & White crappie \\
\hline 6 & 0-13 & (122\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (205\%) & 0.02 & 0.01 & Yellow bullhead \\
\hline 2113 & 1062-3164 & ( 50\%) & . 050 & .017-.083 ( 66\%) & 5.77 & 2.33 & Yellow bass \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Kg HARV & TED 95\% CI & & KG/HOUR & 95\% CI & KG/HA & AVE KG & SPECIES \\
\hline 5992 & 5247-6738 & ( \(12 \%\) ) & . 110 & .091-.129 ( 17\%) & 16.35 & 0.200 & All species \\
\hline 8.91 & 701-1082 & ( 21\%) & . 022 & . \(016-.028\) ( 28\%) & 2.43 & 0.186 & Black crappie \\
\hline 939 & 691-1187 & ( \(26 \%\) ) & . 012 & . \(007-.017\) ( 40\%) & 2.56 & 0.117 & Bluegill \\
\hline 0 & 0-0 & (278\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (278\%) & 0.00 & 0.002 & Brook silverside \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Carp \\
\hline 911 & 659-1163 & ( 28\%) & . 022 & . 014 -. 030 ( 35\%) & 2.49 & 0.712 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 64 & 34-94 & ( \(46 \%\) ) & . 001 & . \(0000-.002\) ( 95\%) & 0.18 & 0.110 & Green sunfish \\
\hline 842 & 657-1027 & ( 22\%) & . 019 & . \(006-.033\) ( 70\%) & 2.30 & 0.686 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 16 & 3-30 & ( 81\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.001\) (119\%) & 0.04 & 0.179 & Longear sunfish \\
\hline 16 & 7-24 & ( 54\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.001\) ( 77\%) & 0.04 & 0.076 & Orangespotted sunfi \\
\hline 62 & 39-85 & ( 37\%) & . 001 & . \(0000-.001\) ( 48\%) & 0.17 & 0.235 & Redear sunfish \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Unidentified Sunfis \\
\hline 27 & 13-41 & ( 52\%) & . 000 & .000-.000 ( 61\%) & 0.07 & 0.232 & Warmouth \\
\hline 1816 & 1416-2216 & ( 22\%) & . 022 & . \(017-.027\) ( 24\%) & 4.96 & 0.163 & White crappie \\
\hline 3 & 0-6 & (125\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (203\%) & 0.01 & 0.468 & Yellow bullhead \\
\hline 406 & 231-580 & ( 43\%) & . 010 & . \(003-.016\) ( \(64 \%\) ) & 1.11 & 0.192 & Yellow bass \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LB HARV & ESTED 95\% CI & & LB/HOUR & 95\% CI & LB/ACRE & AVE LB & SPECIES \\
\hline 13211 & 11567-14855 & ( 12\%) & . 242 & . 201-. 284 ( 17\%) & 14.59 & 0.441 & All species \\
\hline 1965 & 1545-2385 & ( 21\%) & . 049 & .035-.063 ( 28\%) & 2.17 & 0.409 & Black crappie \\
\hline 2070 & 1523-2618 & ( 26\%) & . 026 & . \(016-.036\) ( 40\%) & 2.29 & 0.257 & Bluegill \\
\hline 0 & 0-0 & (278\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (257\%) & 0.00 & 0.003 & Brook silverside \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Carp \\
\hline 2008 & 1452-2563 & ( 28\%) & . 049 & . \(032-.067\) ( 35\%) & 2.22 & 1.570 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 142 & 76-208 & ( 46\%) & . 003 & .000-.005 ( 95\%) & 0.16 & 0.242 & Green sunfish \\
\hline 1856 & 1448-2264 & ( 22\%) & . 043 & . 013-.073 ( \(70 \%\) ) & 2.05 & 1.511 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 36 & 7-65 & ( 81\%) & . 001 & .000-.001 (119\%) & 0.04 & 0.394 & Longear sunfish \\
\hline 35 & 16-53 & ( 54\%) & . 001 & .000-.001 ( 77\%) & 0.04 & 0.167 & Orangespotted sunfi \\
\hline 136 & 86-187 & ( 37\%) & . 001 & .001-.002 ( 48\%) & 0.15 & 0.519 & Redear sunfish \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Unidentified Sunfis \\
\hline 59 & 28-90 & ( 52\%) & . 000 & .000-.001 ( 61\%) & 0.07 & 0.511 & Warmouth \\
\hline 4004 & 3123-4885 & ( 22\%) & . 048 & . \(037-.059\) ( 24\%) & 4.42 & 0.359 & White crappie \\
\hline 6 & 0-13 & (125\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (203\%) & 0.01 & 1.033 & Yellow bullhead \\
\hline 894 & 510-1278 & ( 43\%) & . 021 & . \(008-.034\) ( 64\%) & 0.99 & 0.423 & Yellow bass \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# CAUGHT & 95\% CI & & \# / HOUR & 95\% CI & \#/HA & \#/ACRE & SPECIES \\
\hline 84123 & 72906-95340 & ( 13\%) & 1.284 & 1.116-1.452( 13\%) & 229.57 & 92.91 & All species \\
\hline 10312 & 8194-12430 & ( 21\%) & . 245 & . \(189-.300\) ( 23\%) & 28.14 & 11.39 & Black crappie \\
\hline 29343 & 23643-35043 & ( 19\%) & . 427 & . 313 -. 541 ( 27\%) & 80.08 & 32.41 & Bluegill \\
\hline 3 & 0-11 & (257\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (278\%) & 0.01 & 0.00 & Brook silverside \\
\hline 2 & 0-5 & (236\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (236\%) & 0.00 & 0.00 & Carp \\
\hline 1661 & 1207-2115 & ( 27\%) & . 043 & . 029 -. 058 ( 34\%) & 4.53 & 1.83 & Channel catfis \\
\hline 3592 & 2593-4591 & ( 28\%) & . 062 & .031-.093 ( 498) & 9.80 & 3.97 & Green sunfish \\
\hline 4992 & 4247-5738 & ( 15\%) & . 088 & .070-. 106 ( 20\%) & 13.62 & 5.51 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 108 & 12-204 & ( 89\%) & . 002 & . \(000-.004\) (120\%) & 0.30 & 0.12 & Longear sunfish \\
\hline 2015 & 1322-2708 & ( 34\%) & . 031 & . \(016-.045\) ( 48\%) & 5.50 & 2.23 & Orangespotted sunfi \\
\hline 264 & 167-362 & ( 37\%) & . 003 & . \(0002-.004\) ( 48\%) & 0.72 & 0.29 & Redear sunfish \\
\hline 5 & 0-15 & (210\%) & . 000 & .000-.001 (210\%) & 0.01 & 0.01 & Unidentified Sunfis \\
\hline 457 & 289-626 & ( 37\%) & . 006 & .002-.011 ( 62\%) & 1.25 & 0.51 & Warmouth \\
\hline 27970 & 21279-34661 & ( 24\%) & . 304 & . 243 -. 365 ( 20\%) & 76.33 & 30.89 & White crappie \\
\hline 6 & 0-13 & (122\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (205\%) & 0.02 & 0.01 & Yellow bullhead \\
\hline 3246 & 1963-4528 & ( 40\%) & 071 & . \(032-.109\) ( 54\%) & 8.86 & 3.58 & Yellow bass \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline KG CAUGHT & 95\% CI & & KG/HOUR & 295\% CI & KG/HA & AVE Kg & SPECIES \\
\hline 10554 & 9356-11751 & ( 11\%) & . 180 & . \(158-.202\) ( 12\%) & 28.80 & 0.125 & All species \\
\hline 1149 & 921-1376 & ( 20\%) & . 028 & . 021 -. 035 ( 24\%) & 3.13 & 0.111 & Black crappie \\
\hline 1662 & 1297-2027 & ( 22\%) & . 023 & . \(017-.030\) ( 29\%) & 4.54 & 0.057 & Bluegill \\
\hline 0 & 0-0 & (278\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (278\%) & 0.00 & 0.002 & Brook silverside \\
\hline 1 & 0-4 & (236\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.000\) (245\%) & 0.00 & 0.775 & Carp \\
\hline 983 & 717-1249 & ( 27\%) & . 024 & . \(016-.032\) ( 33\%) & 2.68 & 0.592 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 210 & 126-294 & ( 40\%) & . 003 & . \(002-.005\) ( 47\%) & 0.57 & 0.058 & Green sunfish \\
\hline 3247 & 2716-3779 & ( 16\%) & . 058 & . \(042-.074\) ( 28\%) & 8.86 & 0.650 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 18 & 3-32 & ( 84\%) & . 000 & . 000-. 001 (119\%) & 0.05 & 0.162 & Longear sunfish \\
\hline 75 & 48-102 & ( 36\%) & . 001 & . \(0001-.002\) ( 50\%) & 0.20 & 0.037 & Orangespotted sunfi \\
\hline 62 & 39-85 & ( 37\%) & . 001 & . \(0000-.001\) ( 48\%) & 0.17 & 0.235 & Redear sunfish \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Unidentified Sunfis \\
\hline 52 & 31-73 & ( 40\%) & . 001 & . 0000 -. 001 ( 60\%) & 0.14 & 0.114 & warmouth \\
\hline 2590 & 1980-3201 & ( 24\%) & . 029 & . 023 -. 035 ( 21\%) & 7.07 & 0.093 & White crappie \\
\hline 3 & 0-6 & (125\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (203\%) & 0.01 & 0.468 & Yellow bullhead \\
\hline 502 & 312-692 & ( 38\%) & . 011 & . \(005-.018\) ( 56\%) & 1.37 & 0.155 & Yellow bass \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LB CAUG & T 95\% CI & & LB/HOUR & - 95\% CI & LB/ACRE & AVE LB & SPECIES \\
\hline 23267 & 20627-25907 & ( 11\%) & . 398 & . 349 -. 446 ( 12\%) & 25.70 & 0.277 & All species \\
\hline 2532 & 2030-3035 & ( 20\%) & . 062 & .047-.077 ( 24\%) & 2.80 & 0.246 & Black crappie \\
\hline 3664 & 2860-4469 & ( 22\%) & . 051 & .036-.067 ( 29\%) & 4.05 & 0.125 & Bluegill \\
\hline 0 & 0-0 & (278\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.000\) (257\%) & 0.00 & 0.003 & Brook silverside \\
\hline 3 & 0-9 & (245\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (236\%) & 0.00 & 1.710 & Carp \\
\hline 2167 & 1581-2754 & ( 27\%) & . 053 & .036-.071 ( 33\%) & 2.39 & 1.305 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 463 & 277-649 & ( 40\%) & . 007 & .004-.010 ( 47\%) & 0.51 & 0.129 & Green sunfish \\
\hline 7160 & 5987-8332 & ( 16\%) & . 128 & .093-.164 ( 28\%) & 7.91 & 1.434 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 39 & 6-71 & ( 84\%) & . 001 & .000-.001 (119\%) & 0.04 & 0.358 & Longear sunfish \\
\hline 166 & 105-226 & ( 36\%) & . 003 & .001-.004 ( 50\%) & 0.18 & 0.082 & Orangespotted sunfi \\
\hline 137 & 86-188 & ( 37\%) & . 001 & .001-.002 ( 48\%) & 0.15 & 0.518 & Redear sunfish \\
\hline & & & *** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Unidentified Sunfis \\
\hline 115 & 69-161 & ( 40\%) & . 001 & . 001 -. 002 ( 60\%) & 0.13 & 0.251 & Warmouth \\
\hline 5710 & 4365-7056 & ( 24\%) & . 064 & . 051-.078 ( 21\%) & 6.31 & 0.204 & White crappie \\
\hline 6 & 0-13 & (125\%) & . 000 & . 000-.000 (203\%) & 0.01 & 1.033 & Yellow bullhead \\
\hline 1106 & 687-1525 & ( \(38 \%\) ) & . 025 & .011-. 039 ( 56\%) & 1.22 & 0.341 & Yellow bass \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95\% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES

HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP*
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline BOAT & 3.0 & 2.9-3.1 & ( 4\%) & 0.2 & 12.0 & 1086 \\
\hline SHORE & 2.4 & 2.1-2.6 & ( 12\%) & 0.2 & 7.0 & 116 \\
\hline BOAT \& SHORE & 3.0 & 2.8-3.1 & ( 3\%) & 0.2 & 12.0 & 1202 \\
\hline LES TRAVELED & 29.2 & 26.6-31.8 & ( 9\%) & 1 & 400 & 1330 \\
\hline CCESS RATING (1-10) & 5.6 & 5.4-5.8 & 4\%) & 1 & 10 & 1326 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*403 samples were from split interviews of completed trips. 87.3\% of all 1377 interviews were completed trips.

ILLEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted 6 out of 1377 interviews with illegal harvests.

Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrr} 
PARTY SIZE: & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \(10+\) \\
BOAT & & & & & & & & & & \\
SHORERVIEWS & 494 & 647 & 80 & 13 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\
INTERVIEWS & 61 & 38 & 13 & 12 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1
\end{tabular}

Table 10. Number of interviews (and \%) per species sought for all interviews.
\begin{tabular}{rlll}
249 & \((18.1 \%)\) & ANY All species \\
1 & \((0.1 \%)\) & BLC & Black crappie \\
95 & \((0.9 \%)\) & BLG Bluegill \\
3 & \((0.2 \%)\) & BSS Black bass spp. \\
68 & \((4.9 \%)\) & CAT & Unidentified catfish \\
2 & \((0.1 \%)\) & CCF & Channel catfish \\
376 & \((27.3 \%)\) & CRP & Crappie spp. \\
566 & \((41.1 \%)\) & LMB & Largemouth bass \\
16 & \((1.2 \%)\) & SUN & Sunfish spp. excluding Crappie and Black Bass \\
1 & \((0.1 \%)\) & WAE Walleye
\end{tabular}

Table 11. Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed trips \# OF FISH: \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15+\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline HARVEST & 1803 & 77 & 47 & 35 & 37 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 13 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline RELEASE & 1864 & 37 & 17 & 23 & 24 & 20 & 19 & 13 & 12 & 9 & 4 & - & 11 & - & 2 & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{Bluegill} \\
\hline HARVEST & 1731 & 89 & 58 & 27 & 29 & 31 & 13 & 14 & 12 & 8 & 2 & 12 & 11 & 2 & 4 & 30 \\
\hline RELEASE & 1543 & 87 & 70 & 55 & 44 & 33 & 27 & 6 & 20 & 8 & 22 & 9 & 22 & 10 & 5 & 112 \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{Brook silverside} \\
\hline HARVEST & 2071 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline RELEASE & 2073 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Carp
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllll} 
HARVEST 2073 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
RELEASE & 2071 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -
\end{tabular}

Channel catfish
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
HARVEST & 1923 & 98 & 17 & 17 & 2 & 9 & 7
\end{tabular}

RELEASE \(\begin{array}{llllllll}2011 & 45 & 12 & 4 & - & - & 1\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Green sun & sh & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline HARVEST & 1999 & 46 & 16 & 4 & 3 & - & 2 & 3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline RELEASE & 1864 & 81 & 32 & 33 & 12 & 19 & 10 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 4 & - & - & 3 & - & 4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{Largemouth bass} \\
\hline HARVEST & 1872 & 109 & 60 & 21 & 5 & 1 & 5 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline RELEASE & 1560 & 312 & 97 & 33 & 34 & 10 & 5 & 10 & 9 & - & 2 & - & - & - & - & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Longear sunfish

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline HARVEST & 2039 & 28 & 4 & 1 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline RELEASE & 1951 & 31 & 23 & 23 & 15 & 8 & 11 & 2 & 2 & - & 5 & - & - & 1 & - & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Unidentified Sunfish hybrid
HARVEST \(2071 \quad 2 \quad-\quad\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
HARVEST & 2071 & 2 & - & - \\
RELEASE & 2073 & - & - & -
\end{tabular}
```

Warmouth

```

```

    RELEASE 2013 40 10 8
    ```
```

\therefore001 LITTLE GRASSY DAY CREEL 03/15/2001-10/31/2001
Table ll.(continued) Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed
trips

```

```

| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HARVEST | 1626 | 114 | 63 | 46 | 40 | 21 | 33 | 18 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 58 |
| RELEASE | 1635 | 70 | 41 | 40 | 34 | 29 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 11 | 20 | 5 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 95 |

Yellow bullhead
HARVEST 2068
RELEASE 2071
Yellow bass

```



\title{
ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY
} CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS
```

2001 WASHINGTON CO LAKE
245 ACRES
REGION 4, DISTRICT 17

```

STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:
Day creel only.
Results cover 03/15/2001 through 10/31/2001
Year periods stratified.
Fishing modes (boat vs. shore) stratified.
Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: \(302 / 693=43.6 \%\)

NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 2568

Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline FISHING MODE & DAYTYPE & ANGLER- & OURS 95\% & CI & HOURS / ACRE & 95\% & CI & & \% EFF \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{BOAT} & WEEKDAY & 8816 & 7734-9897 & ( \(12 \%\) ) & 36 & 32-40 & \((\) & 12\%) & 25\% \\
\hline & HOLIDAY & 12848 & 11383-14312 & ( 11\%) & 52 & 46-58 & \((\) & 11\%) & 51\% \\
\hline & TOTAL & 21664 & 19843-23484 & ( 8\%) & 88 & 81-96 & 1 & 8\%) & 41\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SHORE} & WEEKDAY & 1722 & 1047-2398 & ( 39\%) & 7 & 4-10 & ( & 39\%) & 19\% \\
\hline & HOLIDAY & 2317 & 1882-2753 & ( 19\%) & 9 & 8-11 & \((\) & 19\%) & 40\% \\
\hline & TOTAL & 4040 & 3274-4806 & ( 19\%) & 16 & 13-20 & \((\) & 19\%) & 31\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{BOAT \& SHORE} & WEEKDAY & 10538 & 9286-11790 & ( 12\%) & 43 & 38-48 & \((\) & 12\%) & 24\% \\
\hline & HOLIDAY & 15165 & 13637-16693 & ( 108) & 62 & 56-68 & 1 & 10\%) & 50\% \\
\hline & TOTAL & 25703 & 23728-27678 & ( 8\%) & 105 & 97-113 & 1 & 8\%) & 39\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.


Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline KG HARV & ED 95\% CI & & KG/HOUR & - 95\% CI & KG/HA & AVE KG & SPECIES \\
\hline 1105 & 924-1286 & ( \(16 \%\) ) & . 034 & . \(024-.043\) ( 28\%) & 11.14 & 0.248 & All species \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Black crappie \\
\hline 70 & 37-102 & ( \(46 \%\) ) & . 001 & . 001 -. 002 ( 59\%) & 0.70 & 0.044 & Bluegill \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Bowfin \\
\hline 3 & 0-9 & (236\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.000\) (236\%) & 0.03 & 1.206 & Carp \\
\hline 662 & 521-803 & ( 21\%) & . 024 & . \(015-.033\) ( 38\%) & 6.68 & 0.508 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 245 & 149-342 & ( 39\%) & . 005 & . \(002-.007\) ( 56\%) & 2.47 & 1.144 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 125 & 89-160 & ( 28\%) & . 004 & . \(001-.007\) ( 83\%) & 1.26 & 0.092 & White crappie \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LB HARVE & TED 95\% CI & & LB/HOUR & 95\% CI & LB/ACRE & AVE LB & SPECIES \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2435} & 2036-2835 & ( 16\%) & . 074 & .053-.095 ( 28\%) & 9.94 & 0.547 & All species \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Black crappie \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{154} & 82-225 & ( 46\%) & . 003 & . 001 -. 005 ( 59\%) & 0.63 & 0.098 & Bluegill \\
\hline & & & **** & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Bowfin \\
\hline 6 & 0-19 & (231\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) (236\%) & 0.02 & 2.659 & Carp \\
\hline 1460 & 1148-1771 & ( 21\%) & . 052 & . \(033-.072\) ( 38\%) & 5.96 & 1.121 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 541 & 328-753 & ( 39\%) & . 010 & . 0004 -. 016 ( 56\%) & 2.21 & 2.521 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 275 & 197-354 & ( 28\%) & . 009 & .001-.016 ( 83\%) & 1.12 & 0.202 & White crappie \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish.
Catch includes both harvested and released fish.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# CAUGH & 95\% CI & & \# / HOUR & 95\% & \(C I\) & \#/HA & \#/ACRE & SPECIES \\
\hline 23931 & 21930-25933 & ( 8\%) & . 581 & 522-. 641 & ( 10\%) & 241.36 & 97.68 & All species \\
\hline 103 & 0-318 & (209\%) & . 006 & . 000-. 019 & (209\%) & 1.04 & 0.42 & Black crappie \\
\hline 8088 & 6635-9541 & ( 18\%) & . 174 & . \(123-.225\) & ( 29\%) & 81.58 & 33.01 & Bluegill \\
\hline 2 & 0-6 & (236\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) & (231\%) & 0.02 & 0.01 & Bowfin \\
\hline 5 & 0-12 & (146\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) & (193\%) & 0.05 & 0.02 & Carp \\
\hline 3105 & 2670-3540 & ( 14\%) & . 114 & . 090-. 137 & ( 20\%) & 31.32 & 12.67 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 8652 & 7730-9574 & ( 11\%) & . 197 & . \(174-.219\) & ( 11\%) & 87.26 & 35.31 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 3976 & 3359-4594 & ( 16\%) & . 091 & . \(060-.122\) & ( 34\%) & 40.10 & 16.23 & White crappie \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline KG CAUGHT & T 95\% CI & & KG/HOUR & 95\% & CI & \(\mathrm{KG} / \mathrm{HA}\) & AVE Kg & SPECIES \\
\hline 5409 & 4933-5885 & ( 9\%) & . 137 & 125-. 150 & ( 9\%) & 54.55 & 0.226 & All species \\
\hline 3 & 0-8 & (209\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) & (209\%) & 0.03 & 0.025 & Black crappie \\
\hline 334 & 274-395 & ( 18\%) & . 007 & . \(0005-.009\) & ( 26\%) & 3.37 & 0.041 & Bluegill \\
\hline 0 & 0-0 & (231\%) & . 000 & . \(000-.000\) & (236\%) & 0.00 & 0.077 & Bowfin \\
\hline 8 & 0-19 & (152\%) & . 000 & . 000-. 001 & (197\%) & 0.08 & 1.522 & Carp \\
\hline 1145 & 937-1354 & ( 18\%) & . 040 & . \(030-.049\) & ( 24\%) & 11.55 & 0.369 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 3574 & 3155-3994 & ( 12\%) & . 082 & . \(072-.093\) & ( 12\%) & 36.05 & 0.413 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 345 & 287-402 & ( \(17 \%\) ) & . 008 & . \(005-.011\) & ( 38\%) & 3.48 & 0.087 & White crappie \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LB CAUG & HT 95\% CI & & LB/HOUR & 95\% & CI & LB/ ACRE & AVE LB & SPECIES \\
\hline 11925 & 10876-12974 & ( 9\%) & 303 & . \(276-.330\) & 9\%) & 48.67 & 0.498 & All species \\
\hline 6 & 0-17 & (209\%) & . 000 & . 0000 -. 001 & (209\%) & 0.02 & 0.055 & Black crappie \\
\hline 737 & 603-870 & ( 18\%) & . 015 & . \(011-.019\) & ( 26\%) & 3.01 & 0.091 & Bluegill \\
\hline 0 & 0-1 & (236\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.000\) & (231\%) & 0.00 & 0.170 & Bowfin \\
\hline 17 & 0-42 & (152\%) & . 000 & . \(0000-.001\) & (197\%) & 0.07 & 3.356 & Carp \\
\hline 2525 & 2065-2986 & ( 18\%) & . 087 & . \(066-.108\) & ( \(24 \%\) ) & 10.31 & 0.813 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 7880 & 6955-8806 & ( 12\%) & . 182 & . \(159-.204\) & ( \(12 \%\) ) & 32.15 & 0.911 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 760 & 633-887 & ( 17\%) & 018 & . \(011-.025\) & ( 38\%) & 3.10 & 0.191 & White crappie \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

2001 WASHINGTON CO LAKE DAY CREEL SECTION 1 03/15/2001 - 10/31/2001
Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95\% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES

```

```

*409 samples were from split interviews of completed trips.

```
*409 samples were from split interviews of completed trips.
    24.5% of all 2140 interviews were completed trips.
    24.5% of all 2140 interviews were completed trips.
ILIEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted 0 out of 2140 interviews with illegal harvests.
```

Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.

| PARTY SIZE: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOAT |  | INTERVIEWS | 576 | 1041 | 106 | 12 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| SHORE INTERVIEWS | 126 | 197 | 61 | 15 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Number of interviews (and \%) per species sought for all interviews.

| 239 | $(11.2 \%)$ | ANY | All species |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $(0.0 \%)$ | BLC | Black crappie |
| 203 | $(9.5 \%)$ | BLG | Bluegill |
| 373 | $(17.4 \%)$ | CCF | Channel catfish |
| 1104 | $(51.6 \%)$ | LMB | Largemouth bass |
| 220 | $(10.3 \%)$ | WHC | White crappie |


| 2001 WASHIN | NGTON | CO | LAKE |  |  | Y |  | EEL |  | CTI | ION | 1 |  | 03 | 15/20 | 01 | 1 | $31 /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 11. | Numbe | r of | f ang | ler |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  | t |
| \# OF FISH: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $15+$ |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 877 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 5 |
| RELEASE | 825 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 5 |  | 4 | 5 | - |  | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 15 |
| Carp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 884 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 880 | 4 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 836 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 6 |  | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 800 | 45 | 14 | 10 | 6 |  | 6 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 868 | 7 | 6 | - | 2 |  | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 317 | 149 | 118 | 111 | 85 |  | 5 | 18 | 22 | 11 |  | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 857 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 |  | 2 | - | 2 | 2 |  | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| RELEASE | 796 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 10 |  | 8 | 8 | 2 | 4 |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |

# ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS 

## 2001 FOX RIVER Montgomery Dam 15 ACRES REGION 2, DISTRICT 9

```
STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:
    Day creel only.
    Results cover 04/01/2001 through 10/31/2001
    Year periods stratified.
    Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
    Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: 152/642=23.7%
NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 1103
Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
FISHING MODE DAYTYPE ANGLER-HOURS 95% CI HOURS/ACRE 95% CI % EFF
BOAT & SHORE WEEKDAY 17771 15576-19967 ( 12%) 1201 1052-1349 ( 12%) 6%
    HOLIDAY 14508 12415-16600 ( 14%) 980 839-1122 ( 14%) 14%
    TOTAL 32279 29310-35249 ( 9%) 2181 1980-2382 ( 9%) 10%
```

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.

| \# HARVES | D 95\% CI |  | \#/HOUR | 95\% CI | \# / HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2639 | 1658-3621 | ( 37\%) | . 098 | . 050-. 147 ( 49\%) | 440.67 | 178.34 | All species |
| 9 | 0-37 | (318\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 (318\%) | 1.47 | 0.59 | Black crappie |
| 1058 | 127-1990 | ( 88\%) | . 047 | .000-.095 (103\%) | 176.70 | 71.51 | Bluegill |
| 4 | 0-16 | (318\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (278\%) | 0.63 | 0.25 | Bowfin |
| 243 | 125-360 | ( 49\%) | . 007 | . $002-.013$ ( 72\%) | 40.50 | 16.39 | Carp |
| 753 | 450-1055 | ( 40\%) | . 020 | . $007-.034$ ( 65\%) | 125.68 | 50.86 | Channel catfish |
| 140 | 48-232 | ( 66\%) | . 005 | .000-.010 (115\%) | 23.37 | 9.46 | Flathead catfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Fathead minnow |
| 280 | 125-436 | ( 56\%) | . 010 | . 0000 -. 066 (584\%) | 46.79 | 18.94 | Freshwater drum |
| 20 | 0-105 | (430\%) | . 000 | .000-.006 (1271\% | 3.30 | 1.34 | Largemouth bass |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 42 | 0-99 | (136\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ (135\%) | 7.01 | 2.84 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 59 | 0-141 | (138\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.006$ (275\%) | 9.89 | 4.00 | Smallmouth bass |
| 4 | 0-19 | (318\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (430\%) | 0.75 | 0.30 | Walleye |
| 27 | 0-316 | (1048\% | . 005 | .000-.067 (1257\% | 4.59 | 1.86 | White bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Yellow bass |

Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.

| Kg HAR | TED 95\% CI | KG/HOUR |  | 95\% CI | KG/HA | AVE Kg | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1142 | 630-1654 | ( 45\%) | . 042 | . 014-.071 ( 68\%) | 190.67 | 0.433 | All species |
| 2 | 0-8 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (278\%) | 0.34 | 0.232 | Black crappie |
| 24 | 0-52 | (116\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ (112\%) | 4.01 | 0.023 | Bluegill |
| 1 | 0-3 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (278\%) | 0.11 | 0.181 | Bowfin |
| 259 | 113-405 | ( 56\%) | . 008 | . $002-.014$ ( 78\%) | 43.21 | 1.067 | Carp |
| 364 | 159-569 | ( 56\%) | . 009 | . 000-.019 (106\%) | 60.76 | 0.483 | Channel catfish |
| 287 | 0-983 | (243\%) | . 014 | . $0000-.052$ (276\%) | 47.86 | 2.048 | Flathead catfish |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Fathead minnow |
| 96 | 0-199 | (107\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 051 (843\%) | 16.02 | 0.342 | Freshwater drum |
| 24 | 0-128 | (430\%) | . 001 | .000-.008 (1271\% | 4.03 | 1.220 | Largemouth bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 41 | 0-101 | (149\%) | . 002 | . $000-.004$ (138\%) | 6.77 | 0.966 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 27 | 0-57 | (109\%) | . 001 | . $000-.001$ (123\%) | 4.53 | 0.458 | Smallmouth bass |
| 5 | 0-20 | (318\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (318\%) | 0.80 | 1.071 | walleye |
| 13 | 0-171 | (1186\% | . 003 | . $0000-.038$ (1267\% | 2.23 | 0.485 | White bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Yellow bass |

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.

| LB HARV | STED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2518 | 1389-3647 | ( 45\%) | . 094 | . 030-. 157 ( 68\%) | 170.11 | 0.954 | All species |
| 4 | 0-19 | (318\%) | . 000 | .000-.001 (318\%) | 0.30 | 0.511 | Black crappie |
| 53 | 0-114 | (116\%) | . 002 | . 000-.004 (112\%) | 3.58 | 0.050 | Bluegill |
| 2 | 0-6 | (278\%) | . 000 | . 000-.000 (278\%) | 0.10 | 0.398 | Bowfin |
| 571 | 249-892 | ( 56\%) | . 017 | . $004-.030$ ( 78\%) | 38.55 | 2.352 | Carp |
| 802 | 351-1254 | ( 56\%) | . 020 | .000-.041 (106\%) | 54.21 | 1.066 | Channel catfish |
| 632 | 0-2167 | (243\%) | $\begin{aligned} & .030 \\ & * * * * \end{aligned}$ | $.000-.114 \quad(276 \%)$ <br> NOT RECORDED **** | 42.70 | 4.516 | Flathead catfish Fathead minnow |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 212 | 0-439 | (107\%) | . 012 | . 000-.113 (843\%) | 14.29 | 0.755 | Freshwater drum |
| 53 | 0-729 | (1271\% | . 001 | . 000-. 017 (1271\% | 3.59 | 2.690 | Largemouth bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Muskellunge |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 89 | 0-222 | (149\%) | . 003 | . $000-.008$ (138\%) | 6.04 | 2.130 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 60 | 0-125 | (109\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (123\%) | 4.04 | 1.009 | Smallmouth bass |
| 11 | 0-56 | (430\%) | . 001 | . 000-.002 (318\%) | 0.72 | 2.362 | Walleye |
| 29 | 0-378 | (1186\% | . 006 | . 000-. 083 (1267\% | 1.99 | 1.069 | White bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED ** |  |  | Yellow bass |

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish.
Catch includes both harvested and released fish.

| \# CAUGHT | 95\% CI |  | \#/HOUR | 95\% | CI | \#/HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11065 | 8927-13204 | ( 19\%) | . 437 | . $305-.568$ | ( 30\%) | 1847.48 | 747.67 | All species |
| 126 | 0-319 | (154\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 013 | (146\%) | 21.03 | 8.51 | Black crappie |
| 3019 | 1700-4338 | ( 44\%) | . 145 | . $058-.232$ | ( 60\%) | 504.11 | 204.01 | Bluegill |
| 4 | 0-16 | (318\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 000 | (278\%) | 0.63 | 0.25 | Bowfin |
| 1235 | 729-1742 | ( 41\%) | . 029 | . $019-.040$ | ( 35\%) | 206.25 | 83.47 | Carp |
| 1722 | 1214-2230 | ( 29\%) | . 052 | . $031-.073$ | ( 40\%) | 287.53 | 116.36 | Channel catfish |
| 305 | 132-478 | ( 57\%) | . 008 | . $003-.013$ | ( 68\%) | 50.90 | 20.60 | Flathead catfish |
| 5 | 0-66 | (1271\% | . 000 | . 000-. 002 | (1271\% | 0.81 | 0.33 | Fathead minnow |
| 1876 | 1459-2294 | ( 22\%) | . 078 | . $051-.106$ | ( 35\%) | 313.28 | 126.78 | Freshwater drum |
| 120 | 0-282 | (134\%) | . 004 | . 000-. 013 | (202\%) | 20.05 | 8.11 | Largemouth bass |
| 7 | 0-25 | (234\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (228\%) | 1.25 | 0.51 | Muskellunge |
| 180 | 0-524 | (191\%) | . 004 | . 000-. 011 | (206\%) | 30.06 | 12.17 | Northern pike |
| 42 | 0-99 | (136\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ | (135\%) | 7.01 | 2.84 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 2272 | 789-3755 | ( 65\%) | . 096 | . $016-.177$ | ( 84\%) | 379.36 | 153.53 | Smallmouth bass |
| 25 | 0-78 | (206\%) | . 005 | . $0000-.016$ | (233\%) | 4.25 | 1.72 | Walleye |
| 84 | 0-197 | (135\%) | . 006 | . 000-. 070 | (990\%) | 13.98 | 5.66 | White bass |
| 18 | 0-45 | (153\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (153\%) | 2.99 | 1.21 | Yellow bass |

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.

| KG CAUGHT | T 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR | 95\% | CI | KG/HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4063 | 3180-4946 | ( 22\%) | . 131 | . 095-. 167 | ( 27\%) | 678.38 | 0.367 | All species |
| 13 | 0-30 | (137\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 003 | (289\%) | 2.11 | 0.100 | Black crappie |
| 172 | 37-308 | ( 79\%) | . 009 | . 001-. 017 | ( 90\%) | 28.80 | 0.057 | Bluegill |
| 1 | 0-3 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (278\%) | 0.11 | 0.181 | Bowfin |
| 1129 | 685-1572 | ( 39\%) | . 026 | . $017-.034$ | ( 34\%) | 188.45 | 0.914 | Carp |
| 623 | 408-838 | ( 35\%) | . 016 | . 007 -. 025 | ( 54\%) | 104.04 | 0.362 | Channel catfish |
| 349 | 0-1052 | (201\%) | . 015 | . $000-.053$ | (254\%) | 58.30 | 1.145 | Flathead catfish |
| 0 | 0-0 | (1271\% | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ | (430\%) | 0.00 | 0.002 | Fathead minnow |
| 658 | 394-923 | ( 40\%) | . 024 | . $011-.038$ | ( 55\%) | 109.91 | 0.351 | Freshwater drum |
| 49 | 0-145 | (195\%) | . 002 | . 000-. 005 | (205\%) | 8.19 | 0.408 | Largemouth bass |
| 17 | 0-77 | (358\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ | (232\%) | 2.81 | 2.248 | Muskellunge |
| 245 | 0-673 | (175\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 014 | (197\%) | 40.85 | 1.359 | Northern pike |
| 41 | 0-101 | (149\%) | . 002 | . 000-. 004 | (138\%) | 6.77 | 0.966 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 714 | 452-976 | ( 37\%) | . 024 | . $012-.036$ | ( 51\%) | 119.16 | 0.314 | Smallmouth bass |
| 24 | 0-74 | (202\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 015 | (232\%) | 4.09 | 0.962 | Walleye |
| 21 | 0-191 | (798\%) | . 003 | . 000-. 038 | (1156\% | 3.56 | 0.255 | White bass |
| 7 | 0-23 | (204\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 | (204\%) | 1.24 | 0.417 | Yellow bass |

Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.

| LB CAUGHT | - 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% | CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8958 | 7011-10904 | ( 22\%) | . 289 | . 209-. 368 | ( 27\%) | 605.25 | 0.810 | All species |
| 28 | 0-66 | (137\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 006 | (289\%) | 1.88 | 0.221 | Black crappie |
| 380 | 81-679 | ( 79\%) | . 020 | . $002-.038$ | ( 90\%) | 25.69 | 0.126 | Bluegill |
| 2 | 0-6 | (278\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ | (278\%) | 0.10 | 0.398 | Bowfin |
| 2488 | 1510-3467 | ( 39\%) | . 056 | . $037-.075$ | ( $34 \%$ ) | 168.13 | 2.014 | Carp |
| 1374 | 899-1849 | ( 35\%) | . 035 | . $016-.054$ | ( 54\%) | 92.82 | 0.798 | Channel catfish |
| 770 | 0-2320 | (201\%) | . 033 | . 000-. 117 | (254\%) | 52.01 | 2.525 | Flathead catfish |
| 0 | 0-0 | (430\%) | . 000 | . 000-.000 | (430\%) | 0.00 | 0.005 | Fathead minnow |
| 1451 | 868-2035 | ( 40\%) | . 053 | . $024-.083$ | ( 55\%) | 98.06 | 0.773 | Freshwater drum |
| 108 | 0-319 | (195\%) | . 004 | . 000-. 011 | (205\%) | 7.31 | 0.901 | Largemouth bass |
| 37 | 0-170 | (358\%) | . 002 | . 000-. 005 | (232\%) | 2.51 | 4.957 | Muskellunge |
| 539 | 0-1484 | (175\%) | . 010 | . $000-.030$ | (197\%) | 36.45 | 2.996 | Northern pike |
| 89 | 0-222 | (149\%) | . 003 | . $000-.008$ | (138\%) | 6.04 | 2.130 | Shorthead redhors |
| 1573 | 996-2151 | ( 37\%) | . 053 | . $026-.080$ | ( 51\%) | 106.31 | 0.692 | Smallmouth bass |
| 54 | 0-163 | (202\%) | . 010 | . 000-. 034 | (232\%) | 3.64 | 2.121 | Walleye |
| 47 | 0-422 | (798\%) | . 007 | . 000-. 084 | (1156\% | 3.17 | 0.561 | White bass |
| 16 | 0-50 | (204\%) | . 000 | .000-. 001 | (204\%) | 1.11 | 0.918 | Yellow bass |



Table 11. Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed trips \# OF FISH: $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15+\end{array}$

| HARVEST | 592 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RELEASE | 590 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARVEST | 585 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 565 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |


| Bowfin |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| HARVEST | 592 |
| RELEASE | 592 |


| Carp |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HARVEST | 581 | 9 | 2 |
| RELEASE | 570 | 18 | 4 |


| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HARVEST | 566 | 21 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| RELEASE | 573 | 15 | 2 | 1 | - | - |


| Flathead | catfish |
| :---: | :---: |
| HARVEST | 583 |
| RELEASE | 586 |
|  |  |
| Fathead minnow |  |
| HARVEST | 592 |
| RELEASE | 592 |


| Freshwater | $l$ | drum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HARVEST | 574 | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 534 | 51 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 |

Largemouth bass
HARVEST 592

Muskellunge
HARVEST 592
RELEASE 5893

| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HARVEST | 591 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| RELEASE | 549 | 23 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

Walleye
HARVEST 5911
RELEASE 5911

```
2001 FOX RIVER
DAY CREEL SECTION I
04/01/2001 - 10/31/2001
Montgomery Dam
Table 11.(continued) Number of anglers with a given harvest & release for completed
trips
# OF FISH: 0 1 1 2 2 3 0
White bass
    HARVEST }59
    RELEASE 592
Yellow bass
    HARVEST 592
    RELEASE 589
```


# ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS 

2001 FOX RIVER Yorkville Dam 10 ACRES REGION 2, DISTRICT 9

```
STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:
    Day creel only.
    Results cover 04/01/2001 through 10/31/2001
    Year periods stratified.
    Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
    Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: 149/642 = 23.2%
NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 506
Table l. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
FISHING MODE DAYTYPE ANGLER-HOURS 95% CI HOURS/ACRE 95% CI % EFF
BOAT & SHORE WEEKDAY 8245 6547-9943 ( 21%) 837 665-1009 ( 21%) 5%
    HOLIDAY 13030 11076-14985 ( 15%) 1323 1124-1521 ( 15%) 12%
    TOTAL 21276 18832-23719 ( 11%) 2160 1912-2408 (11%) 9%
```

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.


Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.

| KG HARV | TED 95\% CI | KG/HOUR |  | 95\% CI | KG/HA | AVE Kg | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2166 | 1315-3016 | ( 39\%) | . 075 | . 038-. 112 ( 49\%) | 543.28 | 0.560 | All species |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Black crappie |
| 5 | 0-22 | (318\%) | . 000 | . 000-.001 (318\%) | 1.30 | 0.236 | Bluegill |
| 147 | 16-278 | ( 89\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.004$ ( 81\%) | 36.88 | 0.773 | Carp |
| 849 | 447-1251 | ( 47\%) | . 030 | . $0005-.055$ ( 82\%) | 212.92 | 0.309 | Channel catfish |
| 626 | 0-1327 | (112\%) | . 021 | . $0000-.051$ (147\%) | 156.97 | 1.827 | Flathead catfish |
| 112 | 15-209 | ( 87\%) | . 004 | . $000-.008$ (102\%) | 28.07 | 0.408 | Freshwater drum |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Largemouth bass |
| 165 | 0-351 | (113\%) | . 007 | . $000-.020$ (183\%) | 41.36 | 4.084 | Muskellunge |
| 40 | 0-168 | (318\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ (318\%) | 10.09 | 6.021 | Northern pike |
| 4 | 0-13 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.000$ (257\%) | 0.93 | 0.564 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 142 | 34-250 | ( 76\%) | . 005 | . $0000-.012$ (137\%) | 35.66 | 0.791 | Smallmouth bass |
| 76 | 0-174 | (129\%) | . 005 | . $0000-.022$ (355\%) | 19.09 | 1.302 | walleye |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | White bass |

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.

| LB HARVESTED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR |  | - 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4774 | 2900-6649 | ( 39\%) | . 166 | . 084 -. 248 ( 49\%) | 484.72 | 1.235 | All species |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Black crappie |
| 11 | 0-61 | (430\%) | . 001 | . 0000 -.004 (430\%) | 1.16 | 0.520 | Bluegill |
| 324 | 36-612 | ( 89\%) | . 005 | . $001-.009$ ( 81\%) | 32.91 | $1 . .704$ | Carp |
| 1871 | 984-2758 | ( 47\%) | . 066 | . 012-.121 ( 82\%) | 189.97 | 0.681 | Channel catfish |
| 1379 | 0-2926 | (112\%) | . 045 | . 000-. 112 (147\%) | 140.05 | 4.029 | Flathead catfish |
| 247 | 32-461 | ( 87\%) | . 009 | .000-.018 (102\%) | 25.04 | 0.899 | Freshwater drum |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Largemouth bass |
| 363 | 0-774 | (113\%) | . 016 | . 000-. 045 (183\%) | 36.90 | 9.004 | Muskellunge |
| 89 | 0-470 | (430\%) | . 002 | . $000-.010$ (430\%) | 9.01 | 13.274 | Northern pike |
| 8 | 0-29 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $0000-.001$ (245\%) | 0.83 | 1.244 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 313 | 75-552 | ( 76\%) | . 011 | . $000-.027$ (137\%) | 31.81 | 1.744 | Smallmouth bass |
| 168 | 0-384 | (129\%) | . 011 | .000-.048 (355\%) | 17.03 | 2.870 | Walleye |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | White bass |

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.

| \# CAUGHT | 95\% CI |  | \#/HOUR | 95\% | CI | \#/HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13308 | 9595-17022 | ( 28\%) | . 575 | . $407-.744$ | ( 29\%) | ) 3338.601 | 351.11 | All species |
| 27 | 0-90 | (229\%) | . 0.02 | . 000-. 007 | (231\%) | ) 6.87 | 2.78 | Black crappie |
| 147 | 0-315 | (114\%) | . 004 | . 000-. 009 | (117\%) | ) 36.98 | 14.97 | Bluegill |
| 270 | 113-427 | ( 58\%) | . 004 | . $002-.007$ | ( 52\%) | ) 67.72 | 27.41 | Carp |
| 5094 | 3453-6735 | ( 32\%) | . 205 | . $119-.292$ | 42\%) | ) 1277.84 | 517.13 | Channel catfish |
| 674 | 357-991 | ( 47\%) | . 022 | . $008-.036$ | ( 62\%) | ) 169.09 | 68.43 | Flathead catfish |
| 1180 | 175-2185 | ( 85\%) | . 081 | . 000-. 261 | (222\%) | ) 295.97 | 119.78 | Freshwater drum |
| 144 | 0-296 | (105\%) | . 009 | . 000-. 020 | (117\%) | ) 36.17 | 14.64 | Largemouth bass |
| 121 | 17-225 | ( 86\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 011 | (114\%) | ) 30.24 | 12.24 | Muskellunge |
| 7 | 0-28 | (318\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (318\%) | ) 1.68 | 0.68 | Northern pike |
| 7 | 0-23 | (257\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 000 | (245\%) | ) 1.65 | 0.67 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 2199 | 1272-3125 | ( 42\%) | . 093 | . $039-.148$ | ( 59\%) | ) 551.63 | 223.24 | Smallmouth bass |
| 3217 | 136-6298 | ( 96\%) | . 141 | . $042-.240$ | ( 70\%) | ) 807.02 | 326.59 | Walleye |
| 222 | 0-579 | (160\%) | . 007 | . 000-. 018 | (143\%) | ) 55.75 | 22.56 | White bass |

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.

| KG CAUGHT | - 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR | 95\% CI |  | KG/HA | AVE KG | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5717 | 4470-6964 | ( 22\%) | . 249 | . $161-.337$ | ( 35\%) | 1434.20 | 0.430 | All species |
| 13 | 0-39 | (198\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ | (197\%) | 3.24 | 0.472 | Black crappie |
| 8 | 0-26 | (210\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (269\%) | 2.07 | 0.056 | Bluegill |
| 197 | 63-331 | ( 68\%) | . 003 | . $0001-.005$ | ( 62\%) | 49.42 | 0.730 | Carp |
| 1467 | 961-1974 | (.35\%) | . 060 | . $032-.087$ | ( 46\%) | 368.10 | 0.288 | Channel catfish |
| 871 | 153-1588 | ( 82\%) | . 033 | . $0000-.066$ | (100\%) | 218.38 | 1.291 | Flathead catfish |
| 510 | 0-1037 | (103\%) | . 037 | . 000-. 133 | (262\%) | 127.86 | 0.432 | Freshwater drum |
| 25 | 0-56 | (126\%) | . 002 | . $000-.007$ | (235\%) | 6.16 | 0.170 | Largemouth bass |
| 435 | 41-828 | ( 90\%) | . 020 | . $000-.045$ | (122\%) | 109.06 | 3.607 | Muskellunge |
| 40 | 0-168 | (318\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ | (318\%) | 10.09 | 6.021 | Northern pike |
| 4 | 0-13 | (257\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (257\%) | 0.93 | 0.564 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 1480 | 827-2133 | ( 44\%) | . 064 | . 028 -. 101 | ( 57\%) | 371.25 | 0.673 | Smallmouth bass |
| 650 | 98-1202 | ( 85\%) | . 028 | . $009-.046$ | ( 68\%) | 163.00 | 0.202 | Walleye |
| 18 | 0-52 | (180\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (131\%) | 4.62 | 0.083 | White bass |

Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.

| LB CAUGHT | 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% | CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12604 | 9855-15353 | ( 22\%) | . 549 | . $355-.743$ | ( 35\%) | 1279.59 | 0.947 | All species |
| 28 | 0-85 | (198\%) | . 002 | . 000-. 006 | (197\%) | 2.89 | 1.041 | Black crappie |
| 18 | 0-56 | (210\%) | . 001 | . $000-.003$ | (269\%) | 1.85 | 0.124 | Bluegill |
| 434 | 140-729 | ( 68\%) | . 006 | . $002-.010$ | ( 62\%) | 44.09 | 1.609 | Carp |
| 3235 | 2119-4351 | ( 35\%) | . 132 | . 071-. 192 | ( 46\%) | 328.42 | 0.635 | Channel catfish |
| 1919 | 338-3500 | ( 82\%) | . 073 | . 000-. 146 | (100\%) | 194.84 | 2.847 | Flathead catfish |
| 1124 | 0-2286 | (103\%) | . 081 | . 000-. 294 | (262\%) | 114.07 | 0.952 | Freshwater drum |
| 54 | 0-123 | (126\%) | . 004 | . 000-. 015 | (235\%) | 5.50 | 0.376 | Largemouth bass |
| 958 | 91-1825 | ( 90\%) | . 044 | . $0000-.098$ | (122\%) | 97.30 | 7.951 | Muskellunge |
| 89 | 0-470 | (430\%) | . 002 | . 000-. 010 | (430\%) | 9.01 | 13.274 | Northern pike |
| 8 | 0-29 | (257\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (245\%) | 0.83 | 1.244 | Shorthead redhorse |
| 3263 | 1822-4703 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 142 | . $062-.222$ | ( 57\%) | 331.23 | 1.484 | Smallmouth bass |
| 1432 | 216-2649 | ( 85\%) | . 061 | . 020-. 102 | ( 68\%) | 145.43 | 0.445 | walleye |
| 41 | 0-114 | (180\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ | (131\%) | 4.12 | 0.183 | White bass |




# ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY 

## CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY

2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS

## 2001 KANKAKEE RIVER Kankakee Dam

13 ACRES
REGION 2, DISTRICT 9

## STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:

Day creel only.
Results cover 03/15/2001 through 10/31/2001
Year periods stratified.
Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
SAMPLING RATIO: $132 / 693=19.0 \%$

NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 492

Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
FISHING MODE DAYTYPE ANGLER-HOURS $95 \%$ HOURS/ACRE 95\% CI \% EFF

BOAT \& SHORE WEEKDAY 14642 12006-17279 ( 18\%) 1135 931-1339 ( 18\%) 3\% HOLIDAY 8181 6943-9418 (15\%) 634 538-730 (15\%) 8\% TOTAL 22823 20000-25646 (12\%) 1769 1550-1988 (12\%) 4\%

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.


Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.

KG HARVESTED 95\% CI KG/HOUR 95\% CI KG/HA AVE KG SPECIES

| 3786 | 2124-5447 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 182 | . $030-.334$ ( 84\%) | 725.19 | 0.672 | All species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 0-147 | (176\%) | . 002 | . $0000-.005$ (138\%) | 10.22 | 0.520 | Black crappie |
| 5 | 0-27 | (4308) | . 000 | . 000-.003 (1271\% | 0.96 | 0.044 | Bluegill |
| 928 | 150-1706 | ( 84\%) | . 038 | . $007-.070$ ( 82\%) | 177.77 | 1.406 | Carp |
| 1093 | 622-1565 | ( 43\%) | . 048 | . 025-. 071 ( 48\%) | 209.40 | 0.602 | Channel catfish |
| 53 | 0-269 | (407\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.006$ (402\%) | 10.17 | 0.497 | Flathead catfish |
| 15 | 0-54 | (259\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.002$ (251\%) | 2.85 | 0.435 | Freshwater drum |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Longnose gar |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 221 | 81-362 | ( 64\%) | . 011 | . 003 -. 020 ( 76\%) | 42.38 | 0.240 | Rock bass |
|  |  |  | *** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth buffalo |
| 756 | 307-1205 | ( 59\%) | . 035 | . $017-.053$ ( 52\%) | 144.83 | 0.628 | Smallmouth bass |
| 588 | 0-5716 | (872\%) | . 040 | . 000-. 393 (879\%) | 112.63 | 1.262 | Walleye |
| 40 | 0-156 | (289\%) | . 003 | . $0000-.013$ (322\%) | 7.69 | 0.251 | White crappie |
| 33 | 0-158 | (383\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.005$ (387\%) | 6.28 | 0.738 | White sucker |

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.

| LB HARV | TED 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% CI | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8346 | 4683-12010 | ( $44 \%$ ) | . 401 | . $066-.737$ ( 84\%) | 647.01 | 1.482 | All species |
| 118 | 0-324 | (176\%) | . 005 | .000-.012 (138\%) | 9.12 | 1.146 | Black crappie |
| 11 | 0-59 | (430\%) | . 000 | .000-.007 (1271\% | 0.86 | 0.098 | Bluegill |
| 2046 | 331-3761 | ( 84\%) | . 085 | .016-.154 ( 82\%) | 158.61 | 3.099 | Carp |
| 2410 | 1371-3449 | ( 43\%) | . 107 | . 056-. 157 ( 48\%) | 186.83 | 1:327 | Channel catfish |
| 117 | 0-593 | (407\%) | . 003 | . 000-. 014 (402\%) | 9.07 | 1.096 | Flathead catfish |
| 33 | 0-118 | (259\%) | . 001 | .000-. 004 (251\%) | 2.55 | 0.958 | Freshwater drum |
|  |  |  | *** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Longnose gar |
|  |  |  | ** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 488 | 178-798 | ( 64\%) | . 025 | . 006 -. 044 ( 76\%) | 37.81 | 0.528 | Rock bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Smallmouth buffalo |
| 1667 | 677-2657 | ( 59\%) | . 077 | . $037-.117$ ( 52\%) | 129.22 | 1.384 | Smallmouth bass |
| 1296 | 0-12603 | (872\%) | . 088 | . $0000-.866$ (879\%) | 100.49 | 2.783 | Walleye |
| 89 | 0-344 | (289\%) | . 007 | . 000-. 029 (322\%) | 6.86 | 0.554 | White crappie |
| 72 | 0-349 | (383\%) | . 002 | .000-.011 (387\%) | 5.60 | 1.628 | White sucker |

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.

| \# CAUGHT | 95\% CI |  | \#/HOUR | 95\% | CI | \#/HA | \#/ACRE | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14422 | 11211-17634 | ( 22\%) | . 635 | . $487-.784$ | 23\%) | 2762.551 | 1117.99 | All species |
| 108 | 0-242 | (125\%) | . 005 | . $000-.016$ | (224\%) | 20.60 | 8.34 | Black crappie |
| 327 | 0-711 | (117\%) | . 012 | . $0000-.030$ | (157\%) | 62.62 | 25.34 | Bluegill |
| 1040 | 428-1652 | ( 59\%) | . 042 | . $018-.067$ | ( 58\%) | 199.26 | 80.64 | Carp |
| 2183 | 1292-3075 | ( $41 \%$ ) | . 089 | . $047-.130$ | ( 47\%) | 418.19 | 169.24 | Channel catfish |
| 107 | 0-520 | (386\%) | . 003 | . 000-. 013 | (379\%) | 20.46 | 8.28 | Flathead catfish |
| 34 | 0-123 | (259\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 005 | (251\%) | 6.57 | 2.66 | Freshwater drum |
| 91 | 0-301 | (230\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 022 | (341\%) | 17.50 | 7.08 | Longnose gar |
| 393 | 106-681 | ( 73\%) | . 020 | . $0003-.038$ | ( 86\%) | 75.35 | 30.49 | Northern pike |
| 2319 | 955-3683 | ( 59\%) | . 097 | . $063-.132$ | 36\%) | 444.17 | 179.75 | Rock bass |
| 3 | 0-37 | (1271\% | . 000 | . $000-.000$ | (430\%) | 0.51 | 0.21 | Smallmouth buffalo |
| 6319 | 4327-8312 | ( 32\%) | . 267 | . $175-.360$ | ( 35\%) | 1210.48 | 489.88 | Smallmouth bass |
| 1293 | 201-2386 | ( 84\%) | . 080 | . 000-. 165 | (106\%) | 247.70 | 100.24 | Walleye |
| 160 | 0-604 | (278\%) | . 012 | . $0000-.050$ | (321\%) | 30.63 | 12.40 | White crappie |
| 44 | 0-194 | (337\%) | . 001 | . $000-.006$ | (343\%) | 8.50 | 3.44 | White sucker |

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.

| KG CAUGHT | - 95\% CI |  | KG/HOUR | 95\% | CI | KG/HA | AVE Kg | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8402 | 5633-11171 | ( 33\%) | 411 | 260-. 561 | ( $37 \%$ ) | 1609.37 | 0.583 | All species |
| 53 | 0-147 | (176\%) | . 002 | . $000-.005$ | (138\%) | 10.23 | 0.497 | Black crappie |
| 15 | 0-32 | (118\%) | . 001 | . 000-. 001 | (159\%) | 2.78 | 0.044 | Bluegill |
| 1331 | 538-2124 | ( 60\%) | . 055 | . $021-.088$ | ( 61\%) | 254.91 | 1.279 | Carp |
| 1228 | 740-1715 | ( 40\%) | . 053 | . $030-.076$ | ( 44\%) | 235.17 | 0.562 | Channel catfish |
| 53 | 0-269 | (407\%) | . 001 | . $000-.006$ | (402\%) | 10.17 | 0.497 | Flathead catfish |
| 15 | 0-54 | (259\%) | . 001 | . $000-.002$ | (251\%) | 2.85 | 0.435 | Freshwater drum |
| 256 | 0-833 | (225\%) | . 013 | . $000-.044$ | (234\%) | 49.05 | 2.803 | Longnose gar |
| 561 | 73-1049 | ( 87\%) | . 036 | . 000-. 079 | (121\%) | 107.42 | 1.426 | Northern pike |
| 399 | 234-564 | ( 41\%) | . 018 | . $010-.027$ | ( 47\%) | 76.41 | 0.172 | Rock bass |
| 8 | 0-43 | (430\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 | (430\%) | 1.54 | 3.008 | Smallmouth buffalo |
| 3133 | 1319-4946 | ( 58\%) | . 146 | . $044-.247$ | ( 69\%) | 600.09 | 0.496 | Smallmouth bass |
| 1278 | 0-3542 | (177\%) | . 081 | . $000-.227$ | (180\%) | 244.77 | 0.988 | Walleye |
| 40 | 0-156 | (289\%) | . 003 | . $000-.013$ | (322\%) | 7.69 | 0.251 | White crappie |
| 33 | 0-158 | (383\%) | . 001 | . $000-.005$ | (387\%) | 6.28 | 0.738 | White sucker |

```
2001 KANKAKEE RIVER
DAY CREEL SECTION 1
03/15/2001 - 10/31/2001
Kankakee Dam
```

Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.

| LB CAUG | T 95\% CI |  | LB/HOUR | 95\% CI |  | LB/ACRE | AVE LB | SPECIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18523 | 12419-24627 | ( 33\%) | . 905 | . 574-1.236 | ( 37\%) | 1435.88 | 1.284 | All species |
| 118 | 0-325 | (176\%) | . 005 | . 000-. 012 | (138\%) | 9.13 | 1.095 | Black crappie |
| 32 | 0-70 | (118\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.003$ | (159\%) | 2.48 | 0.098 | Bluegill |
| 2934 | 1185-4683 | ( 60\%) | . 121 | . $047-.194$ | ( 61\%) | 227.43 | 2.820 | Carp |
| 2707 | 1632-3781 | ( 40\%) | 117 | . $065-.168$ | ( 44\%) | 209.82 | 1.240 | Channel catfish |
| 117 | 0-593 | (407\%) | . 003 | . $000-.014$ | (402\%) | 9.07 | 1.096 | Flathead catfish |
| 33 | 0-118 | (259\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.004$ | (251\%) | 2.55 | 0.958 | Freshwater drum |
| 565 | 0-1836 | (225\%) | . 029 | . 000-. 096 | (234\%) | 43.76 | 6.181 | Longnose gar |
| 1236 | 160-2313 | ( 87\%) | . 078 | . 000-. 174 | (121\%) | 95.84 | 3.143 | Northern pike |
| 879 | 516-1243 | ( 41\%) | . 040 | . $022-.059$ | ( 47\%) | 68.17 | 0.379 | Rock bass |
| 18 | 0-94 | (430\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 003 | (430\%) | 1.37 | 6.631 | Smallmouth buffalo |
| 6907 | 2908-10905 | ( 58\%) | . 321 | . $098-.545$ | ( 69\%) | 535.40 | 1.093 | Smallmouth bass |
| 2817 | 0-7808 | (177\%) | . 178 | . $000-.500$ | (180\%) | 218.38 | 2.179 | Walleye |
| 89 | 0-344 | (289\%) | . 007 | . 000-. 029 | (322\%) | 6.86 | 0.554 | White crappie |
| 72 | 0-349 | (383\%) | . 002 | .000-.011 | (387\%) | 5.60 | 1.628 | White sucker |


*38 samples were from split interviews of completed trips. 57.3\% of all 454 interviews were completed trips.

ILIEGAI HARVEST: Clerk noted 6 out of 454 interviews with illegal harvests.

Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.

| PARTY SIZE: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOAT INTERVIEWS |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHORE INTERVIEWS | 306 | 107 | 22 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Table 10. Number of interviews (and \%) per species sought for all interviews.

| 277 | $(61.0 \%)$ | ANY All species |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\left(\begin{array}{rl}1.1 \% & \text { ASS }\end{array}\right.$ | Black bass spp. |  |
| 6 | $(1.3 \%)$ | CAP | Carp |
| 23 | $(5.1 \%)$ | CAT | Unidentified catfish |
| 37 | $(8.1 \%)$ | CCF | Channel catfish |
| 5 | $(1.1 \%)$ | NOP | Northern pike |
| 14 | $(3.1 \%)$ | ROB | Rock bass |
| 59 | $(13.0 \%)$ | SMB | Smallmouth bass |
| 28 | $(6.2 \%)$ | WAE | Walleye |



ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY CENTER FOR AQUATIC ECOLOGY 2001 CREEL SURVEY RESULTS

2001 RANKAKEE RIVER Wilmington Dam<br>21 ACRES<br>REGION 2, DISTRICT 9

## STRATIFICATION SUMMARY:

```
    Day creel only.
    Resuits cover 03/15/2001 through 10/31/2001
    Year periods stratified.
    Day types (weekday vs. weekend/holiday) stratified.
    Day periods (morning, midday, and afternoon) stratified.
    Yearperiod 3 coalesced with yearperiod 4.
    Yearperiod 8 coalesced with yearperiod 9.
SAMPLING RATIO: 131/693=18.9%
```

NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS: 420
Table 1. Total fishing effort, by fishing mode and day type.
FISHING MODE DAYTYPE ANGLER-HOURS 95\% CI HOURS/ACRE 95\% CI \% EFF

| BOAT \& SHORE WEEKDAY | 15978 | $13007-18949$ | $(19 \%)$ | 757 | $616-898$ | $(19 \%)$ | $3 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | HOLIDAY | 14548 | $10832-18264$ | $(26 \%)$ | 689 | $513-866$ | $(26 \%)$ | $5 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL | 30526 | $25961-35091$ | $(15 \%)$ | 1447 | $1230-1663$ | $(15 \%)$ | $4 \%$ |

Table 2. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in numbers of fish.


Table 3. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in kilograms.
KG HARVESTED 95\% CI
KG/HOUR 95\% CI
KG/HA AVE KG SPECIES

| 3084 | 1958-4209 | ( $37 \%$ ) | . 078 | . $049-.107$ ( 37\%) | 361.11 | 0.720 | All species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0-52 | (430\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.007$ (1271\% | 1.15 | 0.091 | Black bullhead |
| 2 | 0-12 | (430\%) | . 000 | . $000-.000$ (318\%) | 0.26 | 0.092 | Bluegill |
| 554 | 0-1173 | (112\%) | . 007 | . $000-.015$ (107\%) | 64.86 | 1.050 | Carp |
| 1192 | 571-1812 | ( 52\%) | . 037 | . $012-.062$ ( 68\%) | 139.56 | 0.608 | Channel catfish |
| 29 | 0-401 | (1271\% | . 000 | . $0000-.002$ (430\%) | 3.43 | 1.205 | Flathead catfish |
| 877 | 134-1621 | ( 85\%) | . 012 | . $0004-.021$ ( 71\%) | 102.75 | 0.729 | Freshwater drum |
| 7 | 0-37 | (430\%) | . 000 | . 000-. 001 (1271\% | 0.83 | 0.390 | Largemouth bass |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Longnose gar |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | Northern pike |
| 19 | 0-78 | (318\%) | . 001 | . 000 -. 004 (278\%) | 2.18 | 0.242 | Rock bass |
|  |  |  | * | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | River carpsucker |
| 45 | 0-240 | (430\%) | . 001 | . $0000-.006$ (318\%) | 5.31 | 3.838 | Striped bass x Whit |
| 189 | 0-423 | (124\%) | . 008 | .000-.021 (149\%) | 22.18 | 1.020 | Smallmouth bass |
| 159 | 0-343 | (116\%) | . 010 | . 000-. 021 (107\%) | 18.60 | 1.138 | walleye |
|  |  |  | **** | NOT RECORDED **** |  |  | White sucker |

```
2001 KANKAKEE RIVER
DAY CREEL SECTION 2

Table 4. Total fishing harvest and harvest rates, in pounds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LB HARVE & STED 95\% CI & & LB/HOUR & 95\% CI & LB/ACRE & AVE LB & SPECIES \\
\hline 6798 & 4316-9280 & ( 37\%) & . 173 & . 109-. 237 ( 37\%) & 322.18 & 1.588 & All species \\
\hline 22 & 0-115 & (430\%) & . 001 & .000-.015 (1271\% & 1.03 & 0.201 & Black bullhead \\
\hline 5 & 0-20 & (318\%) & . 000 & . 000-.000 (430\%) & 0.23 & 0.204 & Bluegill \\
\hline 1221 & 0-2586 & (112\%) & . 016 & . 000-.033 (107\%) & 57.87 & 2.314 & Carp \\
\hline 2627 & 1259-3995 & ( 52\%) & . 081 & . 026 -. 136 ( 68\%) & 124.51 & 1.339 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 64 & 0-342 & (430\%) & . 001 & .000-.009 (1271\% & 3.06 & 2.657 & Flathead catfish \\
\hline 1934 & 295-3574 & ( 85\%) & . 027 & . \(0008-.047\) ( 71\%) & 91.67 & 1.607 & Freshwater drum \\
\hline 16 & 0-83 & (430\%) & . 000 & .000-.002 (1271\% & 0.74 & 0.860 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline & & & *** N & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Longnose gar \\
\hline & & & **** N & NOT RECORDED **** & & & Northern pike \\
\hline 41 & 0-155 & (278\%) & . 002 & . 000-. 009 (278\%) & 1.94 & 0.533 & Rock bass \\
\hline & & & **** N & NOT RECORDED **** & & & River carpsucker \\
\hline 100 & 0-418 & (318\%) & . 003 & . 000-.013 (318\%) & 4.73 & 8.462 & Striped bass x Whit \\
\hline 418 & 0-933 & (124\%) & . 019 & . 000-. 046 (149\%) & 19.79 & 2.248 & Smallmouth bass \\
\hline 350 & 0-756 & (116\%) & . 022 & . \(0000-.046\) (107\%) & 16.60 & 2.510 & Walleye \\
\hline & & & **** N & NOT RECORDED **** & & & White sucker \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in numbers of fish. Catch includes both harvested and released fish.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# CAUGHT & 95\% CI & & \# /HOUR & 95\% & CI & \#/HA & \# / ACRE & SPECIES \\
\hline 16044 & 12362-19727 & ( 23\%) & . 604 & . \(482-.725\) & ( 20\%) & 1878.93 & 760.39 & All species \\
\hline 157 & 0-666 & (325\%) & . 006 & . 000-. 030 & (396\%) & 18.33 & 7.42 & Black bullhead \\
\hline 492 & 15-968 & ( 97\%) & . 013 & . \(0000-.027\) & (104\%) & 57.59 & 23.30 & Bluegill \\
\hline 1336 & 0-2723 & (104\%) & . 027 & . \(0005-.050\) & ( 83\%) & 156.46 & 63.32 & Carp \\
\hline 3138 & 1702-4574 & ( 46\%) & . 117 & . \(070-.165\) & ( 41\%) & 367.50 & 148.73 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 78 & 0-223 & (185\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.003\) & (191\%) & 9.17 & 3.71 & Flathead catfis \\
\hline 4492 & 2509-6475 & ( 448 ) & . 117 & . \(063-.170\) & ( 46\%) & 526.09 & 212.91 & Freshwater drum \\
\hline 154 & 0-375 & (144\%) & . 003 & . \(0000-.008\) & (164\%) & 18.00 & 7.28 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 94 & 0-271 & (190\%) & . 005 & . 000-. 016 & (230\%) & 10.95 & 4.43 & Longnose gar \\
\hline 46 & 0-131 & (184\%) & . 004 & . \(000-.013\) & (207\%) & 5.41 & 2.19 & Northern pike \\
\hline 485 & 118-853 & ( 76\%) & . 027 & . \(0008-.046\) & ( 71\%) & 56.81 & 22.99 & Rock bass \\
\hline 17 & 0-58 & (245\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.003\) & (245\%) & 1.98 & 0.80 & River carpsucker \\
\hline 22 & 0-61 & (182\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.002\) & (182\%) & 2.55 & 1.03 & Striped bass x Whit \\
\hline 4731 & 2490-6972 & ( 47\%) & . 229 & . \(154-.303\) & ( 33\%) & 554.05 & 224.22 & Smallmouth bass \\
\hline 769 & 295-1243 & ( 62\%) & . 051 & . \(021-.080\) & ( 59\%) & 90.10 & 36.46 & walleye \\
\hline 34 & 0-141 & (318\%) & . 002 & . \(000-.008\) & (318\%) & 3.95 & 1.60 & White sucker \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in kilograms.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline KG CAUGHT & - 95\% CI & & KG / HOUR & 95\% C & & KG / HA & AVE Kg & SPECIES \\
\hline 9382 & 6707-12057 & ( 29\%) & . 342 & . \(269-.416\) & ( 22\%) & 1098.71 & 0.585 & All species \\
\hline 15 & 0-62 & (321\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.003\) & (394\%) & 1.72 & 0.094 & Black bullhead \\
\hline 27 & 0-60 & (121\%) & . 001 & . 000-. 001 & (102\%) & 3.18 & 0.055 & Bluegill \\
\hline 1323 & 279-2366 & ( 79\%) & . 029 & . \(011-.047\) & ( 63\%) & 154.92 & 0.990 & Carp \\
\hline 1541 & 931-2151 & ( 40\%) & . 054 & . \(031-.077\) & ( 43\%) & 180.44 & 0.491 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 47 & 0-156 & (231\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.002\) & (198\%) & 5.52 & 0.602 & Flathead catfish \\
\hline 2725 & 1223-4227 & ( 55\%) & . 067 & . 032-. 101 & ( 51\%) & 319.11 & 0.607 & Freshwater drum \\
\hline 35 & 0-83 & (138\%) & . 001 & . 000-. 002 & (155\%) & 4.09 & 0.227 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 534 & 0-1849 & (246\%) & . 007 & . \(000-.020\) & (185\%) & 62.57 & 5.713 & Longnose gar \\
\hline 185 & 0-568 & (207\%) & . 015 & . \(000-.043\) & (184\%) & 21.69 & 4.010 & Northern pike \\
\hline 107 & 22-193 & ( 80\%) & . 006 & . \(002-.010\) & ( 72\%) & 12.58 & 0.222 & Rock bass \\
\hline 24 & 0-84 & (245\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.004\) & (236\%) & 2.85 & 1.443 & River carpsucker \\
\hline 57 & 0-205 & (262\%) & . 002 & . 000-. 007 & (256\%) & 6.63 & 2.605 & Striped bass x Whit \\
\hline 2323 & 1498-3148 & ( 36\%) & . 132 & . 088 -. 177 & ( 34\%) & 272.09 & 0.491 & Smallmouth bass \\
\hline 416 & 172-659 & ( 59\%) & . 026 & . 010-. 042 & ( 62\%) & 48.70 & 0.541 & Walleye \\
\hline 22 & 0-84 & (278\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.005\) & (278\%) & 2.60 & 0.659 & White sucker \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Table 7. Total fishing catch and catch rates, in pounds.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LB CAUG & T 95\% CI & & LB/HOUR & 95\% & CI & LB/ACRE & AVE LB & SPECIES \\
\hline 20684 & 14787-26581 & ( 29\%) & . 755 & . \(592-.917\) & ( 22\%) & 980.27 & 1.289 & All species \\
\hline 32 & 0-137 & (321\%) & . 001 & .000-.006 & (394\%) & 1.54 & 0.207 & Black bullhead \\
\hline 60 & 0-133 & (121\%) & . 001 & . 000-. 002 & (102\%) & 2.84 & 0.122 & Bluegill \\
\hline 2916 & 616-5217 & ( 79\%) & . 063 & . 023 -. 103 & ( 63\%) & 138.22 & 2.183 & Carp \\
\hline 3397 & 2052-4742 & ( 40\%) & . 118 & . \(067-.169\) & ( 43\%) & 160.98 & 1.082 & Channel catfish \\
\hline 104 & 0-345 & (231\%) & . 001 & . \(000-.003\) & (198\%) & 4.93 & 1.328 & Flathead catfish \\
\hline 6007 & 2697-9318 & ( 55\%) & . 147 & . \(071-.222\) & ( 51\%) & 284.71 & 1.337 & Freshwater drum \\
\hline 77 & 0-183 & (138\%) & . 001 & . 000-. 004 & (155\%) & 3.65 & 0.501 & Largemouth bass \\
\hline 1178 & 0-4076 & (246\%) & . 016 & . 000-. 045 & (185\%) & 55.83 & 12.596 & Longnose gar \\
\hline 408 & 0-1253 & (207\%) & . 034 & . 000-. 096 & (184\%) & 19.35 & 8.840 & Northern pike \\
\hline 237 & 48-426 & ( 80\%) & . 013 & . \(0004-.022\) & ( 72\%) & 11.23 & 0.488 & Rock bass \\
\hline 54 & 0-181 & (236\%) & . 003 & . 000-. 009 & (245\%) & 2.55 & 3.181 & River carpsucker \\
\hline 125 & 0-453 & (262\%) & . 004 & .000-.015 & (256\%) & 5.92 & 5.743 & Striped bass \(x\) Whit \\
\hline 5122 & 3303-6941 & ( 36\%) & . 292 & . \(193-.391\) & ( 34\%) & 242.76 & 1.083 & Smallmouth bass \\
\hline 917 & 380-1454 & ( 59\%) & . 058 & . \(022-.093\) & ( 62\%) & 43.45 & 1.192 & Walleye \\
\hline 49 & 0-185 & (278\%) & . 003 & .000-.011 & (278\%) & 2.32 & 1.452 & White sucker \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8. Hours per completed trip and supplementary questions for all trips.
MEAN 95\% CI MIN MAX \#SAMPLES

HOURS PER COMPLETED TRIP*
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline BOAT & 0.0 & *** undefi & & * & 100.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline SHORE & 1.9 & 1.7-2.1 & ( & 9\%) & 0.2 & 7.8 & 256 \\
\hline BOAT \& SHORE & 1.9 & 1.7-2.1 & 1 & 9\%) & 0.2 & 7.8 & 256 \\
\hline LES TRAVELED & 15.8 & 13.8-17.7 & & 12\%) & 1 & 150 & 377 \\
\hline CCESS RATING (1-10) & 3.1 & 2.8-3.3 & & 9\%) & 1 & 10 & 369 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*31 samples were from split interviews of completed trips. \(65.8 \%\) of all 389 interviews were completed trips.

ILLEGAL HARVEST: Clerk noted 2 out of 389 interviews with illegal harvests.

Table 9. Frequency distribution of angler party size for all interviews.
\begin{tabular}{llrlllllllll} 
PARTY SIZE: & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \(10+\) \\
BOAT INTERVIEWS & & & & & & & & & & \\
SHORE INTERVIEWS & 240 & 96 & 32 & 12 & 8 & 1 & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 10. Number of interviews (and \(\%\) ) per species sought for all interviews.
\begin{tabular}{rlll}
240 & \((61.7 \%)\) & ANY & All species \\
17 & \((4.4 \%)\) & CAT & Unidentified catfish \\
40 & \((10.3 \%)\) & CCF & Channel catfish \\
9 & \((2.3 \%)\) & ROB & ROck bass \\
40 & \((10.3 \%)\) & SMB & Smallmouth bass \\
43 & \((11.1 \%)\) & WAE & Walleye
\end{tabular}
```

2001 KANKAKEE RIVER

```
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Table 11. Number of anglers with a given harvest \& release for completed trips \# OF FISH: \(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}13 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15+\end{array}\)
Black bullhead
HARVEST 415
RELEASE 4114
\begin{tabular}{clll} 
Bluegill & & & \\
HARVEST & 411 & 4 & - \\
RELEASE & 409 & 5 & 1 \\
& & & \\
Carp & & & \\
HARVEST & 394 & 20 & 1 \\
RELEASE & 391 & 22 & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& & \\
Channel & catfish & \\
HARVEST & 366 & 39 \\
RELEASE & 399 & 12 \\
& & \\
Flathead & catfish & \\
HARVEST & 414 & 1 \\
RELEASE & 414 & -
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cllllll} 
Freshwater drum & & & & & \\
HARVEST & 391 & 16 & 6 & 1 & - & 1 \\
RELEASE & 363 & 40 & 2 & 1 & 8 & -
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
Largemouth bass \\
HARVEST & 415
\end{tabular}
ReLease 413 2 - - - - - - - - - - - -
Longnose gar
HARVEST 415

RELEASE 4114
\(\begin{array}{cr}\text { Northern } & \text { pike } \\ \text { HARVEST } & 415 \\ \text { RELEASE } & 414\end{array}\)

Rock bass
HARVEST 415

RELEASE 406 8 \(\quad 1 \quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad\).
River carpsucker
HARVEST 415
RELEASE 4132
Smallmouth bass
```

HARVEST $410 \quad 3 \quad 2$

```
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { RELEASE } & 341 & 48 & 18 & 5 & 1 & 1\end{array}\)


Table B1. Angler Effort and Angler Effort per Acre for all 2001 Lakes and Streams.
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Lake/Section & Angler Hours & Angler Hours/Acre \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } Marie and Bluff (S2) & 86036 & 127 \\
Channel and Catherine (S1) & 81841 & 164 \\
Coffeen & 63609 & 58 \\
Fox River Montgomery (S1) & 32279 & 2181 \\
Kankakee Wilmington (S2) & 30526 & 1447 \\
Little Grassy & 29377 & 32 \\
Washington County & 25703 & 105 \\
Kankakee Dam (S1) & 22823 & 1769 \\
Fox River Yorkville (S2) & 21276 & 2160 \\
Gages & 9372 & 73
\end{tabular}

Table B2. Estimated harvest for all species for all 2001 Lakes and Streams.
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Lake/Section & \# Fish Harvested & Pounds Harvested \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } Marie and Bluff (S2) & 52400 & 22216 \\
Channel and Catherine (S1) & 51744 & 18549 \\
Littie Grassy & 29948 & 13211 \\
Coffeen & 26849 & 22285 \\
Kankakee Dam (S1) & 5630 & 8346 \\
Washington County & 4455 & 2435 \\
Kankakee Wilmington (S2) & 4281 & 6798 \\
Fox River Yorkville (S2) & 3867 & 4774 \\
Fox River Montgomery (S1) & 2639 & 2518 \\
Gages & 1253 & 1161
\end{tabular}

Table B3. Catch rates (\#fish per angler-hour) for largemouth bass, bluegill, and channel catfish for all 2001 lakes.
\begin{tabular}{lccr} 
Lake/Section & Largemouth Bass & Bluegill & Channel Catfish \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } Washington County & 0.197 & 0.174 & 0.114 \\
Channel and Catherine (S1) & 0.153 & 1.303 & 0.042 \\
Gages & 0.137 & 0.534 & 0.016 \\
Coffeen & 0.113 & 0.212 & 0.204 \\
Marie and Bluff (S2) & 0.092 & 0.904 & 0.080 \\
Little Grassy & 0.088 & 0.427 & 0.043
\end{tabular}

Table B4. Catch rates (\#fish per angler-hour)for smallmouth bass and channel catfish for all 2001 streams.
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Stream/Section & Smallmouth Bass & Channel Cattish \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } Kankakee Dam (S1) & 0.267 & 0.089 \\
Kankakee Wilmington (S2) & 0.229 & 0.117 \\
Fox River Montgomery (S1) & 0.096 & 0.052 \\
Fox River Yorkville (S2) & 0.093 & 0.205
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX C. FISHERIES ANALYSIS SYSTEM (FAS) FOR WINDOWS} INTRODUCTION

The Illinois Fisheries Analysis System (FAS) databases have two different origins. The Lakes and Creel databases, developed by the Illinois Natural History Survey (INHS) under the direction of Peter Bayley, used the General Manager hierarchical databases running under the Apple DOS operating system. The early Streams database, modeled on the Illinois Streams Information System (ISIS) by David Day then with the Illinois Department of Naturai Resources (IDNR) Fisheries, used the Microrim R:BASE relational database running under the Microsoft DOS operating system.

In addition to the databases, Lakes and Creel FAS included analysis programs written in Applesoft BASIC and graphics written in Illyes Systems (ISYS) Forth. INHS took over Streams database development under F-120-R, converting Streams to Borland Paradox and adding graphics and more analysis software. All parts of FAS were later converted to run under Microsoft DOS and Paradox.

Streams FAS also includes an Index of Biotic Integrity
(IBI) program. The IBI was first proposed by Karr et al. of INHS and later improved by the Biological Stream Characterization
(BSC) group cochaired by Robert Hite of the Illinois
Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) and Bill Bertrand of IDNR

Fisheries. The BSC version of the IBI is currently used in FAS. A newer version of the IBI is in preparation by Roy Smogor of IEPA, and will become a part of FAS when the final draft of the IBI is released.

\section*{CONVERSION TO FAS WINDOWS}

Conversion of FAS to Microsoft Windows has been of low priority for several years. The first part of FAS to be written for Windows was Creel. Creel runs as a Win32 console program using only the lowest-level portions of the Borland Database Engine (BDE). It is written in 32 -bit \(C++\), and as a Win32 console program has full access to Win32 memory management, as does the BDE, which is fully 32 -bit and is used by Paradox for Windows and by Quattro Pro.

Win32 is the 32 -bit kernel present in all versions of Windows since Windows 95. Only Windows programs that use Win32 can be compiled by any C++ compiler, and it was chosen to avoid dependence on a particular brand of C++ compiler. The BDE was used at the lowest possible level for similar reasons- it permitted most of the Creel software to be written in standard C++ and minimized the difficulties of converting to a different database product. The use of the Win32 console mode also minimized the difficulties of converting to an operating system other that Windows.

The heavier use of Windows features requested for Streams and Lakes data entry has several disadvantages. Unlike a console program, it cannot be converted to another operating system without massive rewriting. It is also much harder to write than a console program. In addition to the difficulties presented by Windows, Paradox for DOS forms are not usable by either Paradox for Windows or other Windows database products. In order to minimize these difficulties, a table editor was built that can edit only one table at a time. Each table can then be edited in its own window, with as many windows present as there are tables to be edited, and the umbrella program that invokes these editing windows can be much simpler.

The editing program, called TABLE, can be used alone as well. It will edit either the whole table or a subset specified by a Structured Query Language (SQL) filter. It accepts form descriptions kept in the text file TABLE.FRM that will not need to be changed should Fisheries convert to another database product. Much effort has been made to keep the code for TABLE as simple as possible, since rewriting it would be a substantial part of the cost of conversion to another database product, should that be necessary.

Most parts of FAS for Windows work in a way that will be easily understood by users of FAS for DOS. The move of a DOS text menu to the Windows menu bar should cause no confusion, for
example. Only those parts that are different enough from the DOS version to cause difficulties are documented below.

\section*{TIMELINE FOR EVALUATION AND DISTRIBUTION}

The current Lakes FAS for Windows and Streams/Boundary Rivers FAS for Windows are beta versions. These versions should not be distributed until beta-testing is complete. Beta-testing will be conducted by INHS using Fisheries personnel who will ultimately be using the software for data entry and analysis. Testing will be by four Fisheries personnel, two for Lakes and two for Streams. Beta-testing is essential to verify that the programs perform correctly, that data loss is made as unlikely as possible, and that there are no confusing or difficult features that will waste the user's time.

After beta-testing for Streams, the software will be corrected as needed and suggested changes will be considered and incorporated where practicable. Version 1.0 will be distributed by email to all fisheries personnel who need the programs. The distribution will include installation instructions. Software support by INHS will be available by email and phone, with meetings scheduled only as necessary. The same process will be followed for beta-testing Lakes FAS software, which will commence with the distribution of Streams version 1.0.

It is expected that most Streams biologists will want to switch to Windows FAS as soon as it is beta-tested because of a number of new features. Windows FAS includes support for forms that closely resemble field sheets, and we are in the process of collecting all of the field data sheets used by Streams so that we can support them in the general distribution. These forms are new work being undertaken as a part of segment 16. David Day, now with Watershed Management, has been adding extensive data entry form support to Streams FAS for some time, and argues strongly for the inclusion of better forms support in FAS. We have agreed to include improved forms, but with the proviso that the needs of Fisheries must be fully considered in their design.

A full description of the new IBI is expected to be available before August 31. Users of Streams Windows FAS may request a beta-test version of FAS that includes the new IBI. We will be releasing a version of FAS that generates the new metrics before that date even if the final scoring graphs are not yet available.

\section*{DATA ENTRY FOR STREAMS, BOUNDARY RIVERS AND LAKES FAS}

The TABLE program is automatically invoked when data entry is selected from the menu bar of any umbrella program. It may also be invoked directly from the DOS command line or from a

Windows icon. The language used in the icon properties is the same as that used from the command line, as follows:

TABLE MTH-STRM
will open all of the table MTH-STRM for editing and viewing. If you wish to restrict editing and viewing, you may use SQL, for example

TABLE MTH-STRM WHERE \(I D=99\)
will permit viewing and editing of records with an ID of 99 only and

TABLE SPECIES WHERE SPC='CAP'
will show only the common carp (CAP) record of the species table. You may have trouble with certain characters in SQL. If you do, you can place quotation marks around the SQL, for example

TABLE MTH-STRM "WHERE ID<99"
will open all records with an ID of less than 99 for viewing and editing.

If you are familiar only with the Paradox Query by Example (QBE) you will need a little practice if you want to write SQL. On the other hand, if you have used R:BASE or Microsoft Access, you will probably already know enough SQL. SQL was developed at IBM and originally called SEQUEL. QBE was also developed at IBM, and is functionally a subset of SQL. Some people find QBE easier to use, but single table \(S Q L\) access such as is shown on this page is easy in either \(S Q L\) or \(Q B E\).

The use of SQL generates a Paradox for Windows filter,
which makes all records that don't match the filter unavailable for viewing and editing. You may wish to initially position on a particular section of data, but then be free to view and edit the entire table. You can do this by appending an ampersand to your SQL, as follows:

TABLE MTH-STRM WHERE ID=99\&
will position you on the first record that has an ID of 99 and then remove the filter, making the entire table available to you.

The use of the keyboard is similar to that of Paradox and even closer to the usage of FAS Creel:
\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Insert } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Insert a new record below the current record } \\
\text { Delete }\end{array} \\
\text { Delete the current record and display the } \\
\text { prior record }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Restore the just-deleted record or duplicate \\
the current record if no record was just \\
deleted
\end{tabular}

PageUp and PageDown may be used to quickly move around in a fish table. This is an ease of use feature that needs some user comment.

To enter data into the current field, just start typing. If you decide to restore the old content of the field, press the Esc key. If you move off of either end of the table using the left or right arrow, a blank record will be shown, and will be stored into the database if you enter one or more fields of data into it.

The TABLE.FRM file, if it exists, controls the format of selected form windows. Each record starts with the name of a table, followed by other parameters. The first entry for the table name gives the window dimensions and label, for example:

FSH-*| \(26|60|\) Fish Data Entry, Illinois Statewide Streams Database
will make a window 26 characters high by 60 characters wide with the label shown for any table whose file name starts with FSH-.

All further entries for the table give field placements, the simplest of which would be something like:
\[
\text { FSH-* } \mid \text { ID }|3| I 0
\]
which places the content of the ID field starting at row 3 and column 10, with the name of the field placed before it. The general format is:

TableName| Row| Column| Type| LeftLabel| RightLabel
where one or more right-most fields can be excluded if not used. Commas may be included in the left or right label. If no left label is specified, the name of the field will be used for the left label. To force no left label at all, a label of one blank should therefore be specified.

The type specifications currently available are:
c Show and accept inch lengths for a centimeter field
\(m\) Show and accept inch lengths for a millmeter field
\(g\) Show and accept pound weights for a gram field
1 Show a numeric field with one digit to the right of the decimal place
2 Show a numeric field with two digits to the right of the decimal place, and so on for larger digits.

Further types can be added as needed.
The most complex example, which uses all of the features, would be the placement of two data entry fields for a single database field, such as is used for total length in fish table data entry:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FSH-* } \mid \text { TL } 11 \mid \text { 10|I|Total Length } \mid \mathrm{mm} \\
& \text { FSH-* } \mid \text { TL| 11| } 30|\mathrm{~m}| \text { |in }
\end{aligned}
\]
which generates the form line 11 (with * showing the data entry and display areas) of
Total Length ************* mm ************* in

The blank in left label in the second line causes no label to be used.

\section*{Streams and Boundary Rivers Selection and Analysis}

The new STREAMS program includes data entry, support for the new IBI, an enhanced version XSTREAM, and Streams data entry. All share the same selector, which permits analysis and data entry for a restricted set of streams, sites, and/or years.

Full documentation of STREAMS must await the completion of Roy Smogor's new IBI. A description of existing features follows. FISHTAB is no longer used by Fisheries for Streams applications, and won't be included in STREAMS unless requested by Fisheries.

The heart of the new STREAMS is the selector. STREAMS prepares a list of site codes (usually IEPA codes plus sampling site number), years, and stream names from those that exist in the methods table of the Streams database selected at startup. This list may be viewed fully, with or without the sampling site number, as a list of years, or as a list of codes plus stream names without years. From these lists, one or more items can be selected for analysis or data entry.

A number of keyboard and mouse events may be used to move about and select items in the lists:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Home & Go to first item \\
End & Go to last item \\
PageUp & Go up a page in items \\
PageDown & Go down a page in items \\
Up arrow & Go up one item \\
Down arrow & Go down one item \\
Insert & Select all items \\
Delete & Deselect all items \\
Space bar & Select the current item and go to the next \\
Left click & Go to the item under the mouse cursor \\
Right click & Select the item under the mouse cursor \\
Alphanumeric & Any alphanumeric sequence may be used to \\
& select
\end{tabular}

Individual item selections are toggled, i.e., selecting the item twice leaves it unselected. All items selected will be shown on
a blue background for easy identification. If nothing is selected from the list, the whole list will be used in analysis. An alphanumeric sequence may be used to remove non-matching codes from the list. Pressing the \(B\) key will reduce the list to only those codes starting with B. If \(E\) and then \(F\) are pressed, the list is reduced to only those codes starting with BEF, giving the following 3 -item list with the current Streams database:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
BEF & N. Fk. Embarras River \\
BEFA & Willow Creek \\
BEFAB & Maple Creek
\end{tabular}

If the key \(A\) is then pressed, selections are reduced further to those starting with BEFA:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
BEFA & Willow Creek \\
BEFAB & Maple Creek
\end{tabular}

When selection is done in this manner, all codes that don't match what is typed in are immediately removed from the menu, as in the examples above.

Alphanumeric selection may be combined with selection by mouse or by the space bar in any sequence. The menu bar provides the following selections:

Restore
Short IEPA codes

Full IEPA codes

Sites
Years
Sites+Years

Format

Blanks for none Zeros for none Export Graph Edit

Restore to all possible selections Restore all or specified gear in submenu (stream only)
Restore all or specified gear in submenu (stream + site)
Show IEPA code and stream name menu Show year menu
Show IEPA code, year, and stream name menu
This will eventually include IBI options
Use blanks in empty spreadsheet cells Use zeros in empty spreadsheet cells Create an export spreadsheet
Enter Forth graphics
Edit tables below as selected from menus
Clicking on Sites, Years, or Sites+Years will eliminate all items not selected if any are selected. Restore will bring back the full list. Tables that may currently be edited through the Edit menu are Fish, Methods, Substratum, Stations, Sampling, and Hydrology.
The spreadsheet layout is similar to that produced by the old XSTREAM script, but with improved labeling and with improved selection options. The IBI (and other) metrics and scores will continue below the rows containing the species sums, with the exact metrics displayed determined by new items in the format submenu.
If the text file streams.spr exists, the first record will be used as the filename with path to start up QuattroPro or the spreadsheet of the user's choice.

\section*{Creel Data Entry and Analysis}

The files creel.exe and a complete set of database files are required for data entry. For Forth graphics, graph.exe, graph.hlp, and species.txt are also required. The following shows the main menu with Jones Lake, April 25th, selected for data entry:


Enter your choice:

You may enter your choice in either upper or lower case. The program capitalizes all keyboard entries before using them. If you accidentally press Esc, you may continue by pressing Esc again.

In order to enter or edit data, the ID, section, and date must be specified (only ID need be specified for the CREEL and STRATUM tables). This condition is called being "fully selected" in this document. You will be presented with a list of all IDs or of all sections or dates available before you select which you want. If you make a selection for which no data has been entered, you will be shown no records selected for all tables except for creel and stratum. The ID and section must be selected before the date can be selected. Any errors that you make in the selection process (or at any other point in data entry) will cause an explanation of the error to appear in red text at the bottom of the window and the bell to be rung.

P (printer output diverted to file) requests a file name. The file name will be displayed in blue on the menu. If no file name is selected, output is to the printer. If the file already exists, you will be asked if you want to replace the file. If you answer yes, the file will be immediately emptied of old data. If you answer no, your printer output will be appended to the file. The \(P\) command effects both verification and analysis.

\section*{Creel Table Editing}

You may browse through the records of all tables using any of the edit commands, but you must be fully selected in order to use any keyboard command associated with changing data. If you try, you will get the standard red warning.

If you are fully selected, you may change or delete any selected record (only the highest-numbered interview record can be deleted). You can also create new stratum design or instantaneous count records by pressing the Insert key. ID, section, date, and interview number fields will be automatically filled in for any new record created. None of these fields can be changed by the user.

Eait is usually entered via the main menu for error correcting (new interviews should be entered using the E command). It is important to understand table editing before entering new data because parts of the \(E\) command utilize it. You are in table editing mode whenever the window has the word EDIT at the top left.

When fully selected, you may use all of the following single key commands; the first group works even if you are not fully selected:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Esc & Leave table editing mode \\
F1 & Show help text \\
Home & View the first selected record of the table \\
PageUp & View the prior selected record of the table \\
PageDown & View the last selected record of the table \\
End & View the last selected record of the table \\
& \\
UpArrow & Move the cursor to the field above \\
LeftArrow & Move the cursor to the prior field \\
RightArrow Move the cursor to the next field \\
Enter & Move the cursor to the next field \\
Alt-Delete & Delete the current record \\
Insert & Add a new record
\end{tabular}

The cursor cannot be moved to fields that are excluded from normal data entry. The current field will be green, while all other fields will be blue. Pressing any numeric or alphabetic key will cause the current field to turn red, indicating field entry mode, and take that key as the first character entered into the field. Backspacing past the left edge of the field or entering data that is wider than field will have no effect other than ringing the bell. In previous versions, entering data past the right edge of the field caused entry to continue in the next field. This feature produced too many errors in the data, and had to be removed.

When you have finished entering a field, press the Enter key. If the input is valid, the field will become blue and the cursor will advance to the next field. Otherwise, the bell will ring and you will stay in field entry mode until you correct the error. Single character fields require no backspace to reenter after an error.

At any point when entering a field, you can move on to the next field and restore the previous field contents by pressing the Esc key.

\section*{Entering New Creel Data}

When you select E (enter new data), you will be asked to enter instantaneous data if it hasn't already been entered.

Enter as many records as you need, using the Insert key to add new records as necessary. If you later discover an error in the instantaneous count records, you can use selection 3 (edit instantaneous count datal of the main menu to add, delete, and change the records.

When you have entered all of the instantaneous count data, press the Esc key. You will then be shown an interview form. The interview number will be automatically entered and cannot be changed, although you can delete the interview with Alt-Delete if you start to enter an interview and then discover that there is no interview data.

Note that the initials are a "sticky" field. Once you have typed initials into either an interview or an instantaneous count record, the initials will be automatically inserted in any new records. You need only change them when you have new initials. Fill out all fields of the form for which you have data and press the Esc key.

After you have entered the first interview record, press Esc, and you will then see the following menu:

ENTER NEW CREEL DATA
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Esc & return to main menu \\
F1 & help \\
Tab & toggle between harvested and released fish \\
Insert enter an additional interview \\
PageUp select lower interview number \\
PageDown select higher interview number
\end{tabular}

HI edit harvested individuals
RI edit released individuals
HG edit harvested groups
RG edit released groups
Enter your selection or species code and length data:

If you have no harvested or released fish for this interview, proceed to the next interview by pressing the Insert key. When you are finished entering all interviews, press the Esc key.

The menu always starts in harvested mode, since this is first on the data sheet. You may switch back and forth between harvested and released mode by pressing the Tab key. Your selection will appear in blue on the menu. If you make a mistake and put harvested into released or vice-versa, you must use AltDelete to remove the mistakes.

Length data is entered in the same form as on the data sheet. All of the following lines of data are valid (the space after the species code is optional):

CCF 33-38
BLB 25
LMB \(44,42,42,48\)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { LMB } & 44 & 42 & 42 & 48\end{array}\)

If the first length after the species code is followed be a minus sign, the data is placed in a grouped table and you will be prompted to enter the number of fish in the group. Otherwise, the lengths are assumed to be individually measured fish, and the program automatically counts and creates records for them. Do not mix grouped and individually measured fish on the same line.

If you type in a valid species code, the common name will appear in blue on the menu. If it is not a valid species code, the bell will ring and you will see a red warning message. You may still use the code to enter data, but should not do so unless you believe that it represents a new species that will need to be added to the species table.

\section*{Changes in Creel Data Entry for 2001}

An extra field named "inch" has been added to the four fish-length tables. This field is automatically maintained and should not be changed during data entry. It is blank for fish measured in centimeters. If some of the fish were measured in inches, it shows the number of individuals that were measured in inches. It is always either blank or 1 for grouped fish.

Inch-measured fish are entered using a decimal point. For example:
\[
\text { BLG 3. } 573.5
\]

LMB 3.-5.
enters bluegill of 3 inches, 5 cemtimeters, 7 centimeters, and 3.5 inches and largemouths in a group from 3 inches to 5 inches. Do not mix units with grouped fish: LMB 3-5. would give a group from 3 centimeters to 5 inches, which is not what is meant!

Verification printouts show inch-measured fish to the nearest inch and centimeter-measured fish in centimeters. The database itself always maintains the lengths in centimeters, as you will see if you use a command such as "RI".

The following warning is about a rare problem that you may never see. If it does happen, it will probably be with released fish, so the example will be given for released. If an angler has not released all fish so that some are estimated in inches but others are measured in centimeters, and if this happens for a single species where an estimated fish length happens to convert to the same centimeter length as a measured fish, the verification printout will give this length in inches even though one fish was measured in centimeters. The command "RI" can be used to get the length in centimeters for verification purposes in this case.

\section*{Creel Analysis}

When you select A (analyze selected data) from the main menu, the following options will be displayed:
```

Esc return to main menu
C completed trip, etc.
S statcalc
D degroup
G graphics

```
\(S\) (statcalc) and C (completed trip, etc.) are both menu driven and produce the same types of tabular output that the corresponding Apple programs produced. If no section was selected from the main menu, all sections of a multi-section lake will be included in the analysis. With the \(S\) command, be sure to always request a yearperiod range that includes all of the data being analyzed even though yearperiod coalescing might suggest a smaller range. At any prompt, you may leave \(S\) or \(C\) by pressing the Esc key.

The only request you will receive from completed trip statistics is yearperiod range restriction, as in the following screen sample:

NUMBER OF INSTANTANEOUS COUNTS IN EACH YEARPERIOD
YEARPERIOD ICOUNTS FIRST DATE LAST DATE
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
1 & 0 & \(01 / 01 / 1998\) & \(02 / 15 / 1998\) \\
2 & 0 & \(02 / 16 / 1998\) & \(03 / 14 / 1998\) \\
3 & 24 & \(03 / 15 / 1998\) & \(04 / 08 / 1998\) \\
4 & 24 & \(04 / 09 / 1998\) & \(04 / 30 / 1998\) \\
5 & 58 & \(05 / 01 / 1998\) & \(05 / 31 / 1998\) \\
6 & 32 & \(06 / 01 / 1998\) & \(06 / 15 / 1998\) \\
7 & 95 & \(06 / 16 / 1998\) & \(08 / 31 / 1998\) \\
8 & 48 & \(09 / 01 / 1998\) & \(09 / 30 / 1998\) \\
9 & 40 & \(10 / 01 / 1998\) & \(10 / 31 / 1998\) \\
10 & 0 & \(11 / 01 / 1998\) & \(11 / 15 / 1998\) \\
11 & 0 & \(11 / 16 / 1998\) & \(12 / 31 / 1998\)
\end{tabular}

Do you wish to restrict yearperiod range (Y/N)?

The answer is yes, of course, with the range restricted to 3 through 9.

Statcalc statistics are much more complex and present a number of options regarding coalescing vs. stratification in addition to yearperiod range restriction. They are all selfexplanatory except for the yearperiod coalescing option.

Upon entering Statcalc, you will see a screen display such as:
NUMBER OF SAMPLED DAYS IN EACH STRATUM, WITH MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
YEARPERIOD \(\quad\) WEEKDAY
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr} 
& DAYPERIOD: 1 & 2 & 3 & N & 1 & 2 & 3 & N & \\
1 & & & & & & & & & \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 & \(01 / 01 / 1998\) \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 19 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & \(02 / 16 / 1998\) \\
4 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 18 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 7 & \(03 / 15 / 1998\) \\
5 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 16 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 6 & \(04 / 09 / 1998\) \\
6 & 7 & 12 & 8 & 20 & 7 & 10 & 7 & 11 & \(05 / 01 / 1998\) \\
7 & 4 & 7 & 4 & 11 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & \(06 / 01 / 1998\) \\
8 & 12 & 21 & 12 & 55 & 12 & 18 & 12 & 22 & \(06 / 16 / 1998\) \\
9 & 6 & 10 & 6 & 21 & 6 & 9 & 6 & 9 & \(09 / 01 / 1998\) \\
10 & 5 & 10 & 5 & 21 & 5 & 7 & 5 & 10 & \(10 / 01 / 1998\) \\
11 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & \(11 / 01 / 1998\) \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & \(11 / 16 / 1998\)
\end{tabular}

Do you wish to coalesce any yearperiods ( \(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}\) ) ? Y
Enter number of the yearperiod to be coalesced with the next: 3
The response 3 will cause yearperiod 3 to be coalesced with yearperiod 4 . Though coalescing yearperiods, the range should still be from 3 through 9.

In general, strata should not be coalesced. The only common exception to this rule is a sample in which all or virtually all
of the anglers are shore rather than boat, or vice-versa. In this case, boat and shore should always be coalesced. If they are not coalesced, the CPUE can be off by up to a factor of 2 , although the number and weight will still be reasonably accurate. In other cases, strata should be combined only when they have similar means. Rather than trying to find out if the means are similar, it is better to do a Statcalc run with the strata coalesced and without. Compare the confidence intervals on the number harvested, and you will almost always find that the stratified run gives a better confidence interval.

Stratified analysis of multi section lakes is not included, as we believe and expect to show that such lakes are actually over sampled.

D (degroup) needs to be run only once on a year's database. Further runs will have no effect unless the harvest or release data has been changed. Unlike the Apple, the group data is retained.

G (graphics) is the same Forth graphics used by Streams and Lakes FAS. It can display either harvested or released total frequency histograms and biomass histograms. File output for graphics must be separately requested. It now produces a bitmap file rather than a PostScript file, permitting easy insertion of the graphics into documents.```

