



PRODUCTION NOTE

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Library Large-scale Digitization Project, 2007.

INHS BIOD

SUMMER EPHEMEROPTERA, PLECOPTERA AND TRICHOPTERA OF

SOUTHWESTERN OF 15 DRAINAGES IN GREAT SMOKY MOUNTAINS NATIONAL

PARK

By

Dr. R. Edward DeWalt

Aquatic Entomologist

Illinois Natural History Survey

607 E. Peabody Dr.

Champaign, Illinois 61820

edewalt@inhs.uiuc.edu

Illinois Natural History Survey

Center for Biodiversity

Technical Report 2004: 10

For

Jeanie Hilton

Discover Life in America and

The National Park Service

1314 Cherokee Orchard Rd.

Gatlinburg TN 37738

15 October 2004

Abstract.-Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies), and Trichoptera (caddisflies) (in total, EPT) were inventoried during May and June 2003 and early July 2004 at 15 stream reaches from the southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GRSM). Adults and immatures were collected using ultraviolet light traps, sweepnetting, and handpicking. At least 168 species were collected, distributed as 52 mayfly, 38 stonefly, and 78 caddisfly species. A species accumulation curve suggests that EPT richness in the area has not been nearly exhausted. A cluster analysis of current data suggested that stream reaches often held distinctive assemblages. Three new regional records included Ameletus tertius (NC), Epeorus fragilis (NC), and Leucrocuta walshi (TN), all mayflies. Other new state records included Isonychia georgiae (TN) and Siphlonurus typicus (NC). Overall, 11 new GRSM records are reported. Comparison to a previous Abrams Creek EPT study found similar speces richness, but the current study found more Heptageniidae, Ephemerellidae, and Baetidae mayfly species, but many fewer species of Hydroptilidae and Leptoceridae caddisflies. Among stoneflies, the current study found many fewer Perlidae species. More work in drainages above Lake Fontana will undoubtedly yield new summer records for mayflies and caddisflies.

The National Park Service has been conducting an All Taxa Biodiversity Inventory (ATBI) in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GRSM) since 1997 (Sharkey 2001). Discover Life in America (DLIA) and Friends of the Smoky Mountains National Park, non-profit organizations, have provided financial and logistical support for dozens of scientists working to document the presence, distribution, and biology of GRSM species.

It was thought that insects in the orders Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies), and Trichoptera (caddisflies) (hereafter referred to as EPT) were well documented in GRSM. However, DeWalt and Heinold (2005), working in the western Abrams Creek drainage, recorded 39 EPT species not previously reported from GRSM, eight of which were new TN state records. Most of these new records were found in larger, low elevation streams. They stated that the greatest potential for adding new records appeared to be in mayflies and caddisflies.

Other areas in GRSM that appear to be under studied occur east of Abrams Creek in into North Carolina (NC). This southwestern end of GRSM is remote and constitutes one of the largest roadless areas in GRSM, if not the entire eastern United States. Much of the region occurs north of Lake Fontana, making accessibility difficult for scientists. The building of a proposed highway, the so-called North Shore Road, has loomed as a threat to this area for over 50 years and would run along the northern boundary of Lake Fontana. This threat makes it imperative to document species and communities there.

My objective was to inventory the EPT of 15 stream reaches spanning the distance from the mouth of Shop Creek in Blount County, Tennessee (TN) to Deep Creek near Bryson City, Swain County, NC. Particular effort was made to inventory several streams tributary to Lake Fontana.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

These 15 reaches (Table 1, Fig. 1) were accessed by foot and by using boats on three occasions to access northshore streams. Most sites were inventoried on multiple occasions using

multiple methods (Table 1). Ultraviolet (UV) light trapping employed a BioquipTM, 12-v light and battery. Effort was standardized by time (approximately one hour beginning sunset) and reflective sheet size (1 m²). Trays of 80% EtOH were positioned below the sheet to capture falling insects. Mayfly subimagoes (a subadult with hair-covered wings that molts to an imago) were captured from the sheet and reared to imagoes. Males of Perlidae and Perlodidae stonefly species were captured and their intromittent organ extruded to facilitate species identification. Remoteness of access meant that we could not control for variability of weather on all occassions. Sweepnetting of riparian vegetation for adults and handpicking in streams for nymphs, larvae and pupae continued until now new species were detected. Geographic coordinate data were captured using a Garmin TM 12XL global positioning system at each site.

Samples were sorted in their entirety and specimens identified to species when possible. Often only the males of species could be identified, but where descriptions for females existed or where it seemed that color pattern, size, or wing veination was consistent with males of known identity, they too were determined. When necessary, specimens were sent to specialists for confirmation. All specimens are housed in the INHS insect collection and entered into our INHS insect collection database. Internet accessible records will become available by late 2004 at http://ctap.inhs.uiuc.edu/insect/search.inhs.asp.

Construction of a species accumulation curve was accomplished by ordering data for all reaches in a west to east gradient, beginning with Shop Creek, and adding new species found in each reach to the richness of the previous site. The totals were presented by order to demonstrate order-specific patterns. This is not a typical accumulation curve with species increasing with additional samples. Because EPT taxa require so many methods of capture to obtain a reasonable estimate of richness, all methods were combined to produce the curve.

Differences between EPT communities found at each reach were studied by construction of a presence/absence-by-reach matrix. Taxa not known at the specific level were discarded unless they were the only representative of the genus across all reaches. From this, Sørensen's

(1948) quotient of similarity was calculated for reach pairwise combination. A distance measure resulted by subtracting similarity from one. This dissimilarity measure was then used in an unweighted pair group method (UPGMA) cluster analysis after Sneath and Sokal (1973). A dendrogram was constructed from the UPGMA results.

RESULTS

At least 168 EPT species were captured with mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies contributing 52, 38, and 78 species, respectively. The distribution of species among mayflies was heavily dominated by Heptageniidae with 23 species in six genera. Ephemerellidae provided another 10 species in four genera. Plecoptera were dominated by 10 species of Perlodidae among six genera, while Chloroperlidae provided seven species in four genera. Among the Trichoptera, Hydropsychidae was the dominant family with 11 species. Three other families, Leptoceridae, Polycentropodidae, and Rhyacophilidae, provided nine species each.

Four stream reaches, Shop, Tabcat, Deep, and Eagle creeks (Campground, CG 89), were especially species rich when compared to other reaches (Table 2 and Fig. 2). At nearly all sites, caddisflies contributed more species than mayflies and stoneflies combined. A species accumulation curve (Fig. 3) demonstrated that the number of EPT species had not yet reached saturation. Reaches that provided large (≥10%.) jumps in richness included Tabcat and Twentymile at CG 93, and Deep creeks and Proctor Branch. These stream reaches varied in altitude, degree of canopy cover, aspect to the sun, stream gradient, and stream width. However, Fig. 3 strongly suggests that many more EPT species are available during the summer in this part of GRSM.

The UPGMA cluster analysis produced several clusters of stream reaches. One grouping, Tabcat, Proctor, Shop, and Twentymile CG 93 clustered at an average dissimilarity of 0.52, meaning that, on average, each site shared about half their taxa with the others (Fig. 4). Another cluster occurred for Eagle Creek at CG 89, Hammer, and Twentymile CG 92, having only slightly less species overlap. The next strongest clusters supported only about 25% overlap in

species. John's Cove overlapped little with the other sites. It experienced the lowest collecting effort of any reach examined, so its relationship is somewhat spurious. Overall, the cluster analysis suggests that many of these sites have great turnover in EPT community composition and that EPT fauna are not evenly distributed in the region.

SIGNIFICANT RECORDS

Ephemeroptera (Mayflies)

Ameletidae

Ameletus tertius McDunnough.- Two nymphs from Deep Creek. Zloty (1996) revised the genus to include 30 valid species and reported A. tertius as being from southeastern Canada, Maine, Vermont, and New York. This find, from the mountains of western NC, is the first record for the southern Appalachians and is a new GRSM and NC record. Specimens were identified by Michael Meyer of Purdue University.

Caenidae

Caenis sp. undetermined.-The specific identity of this taxon is unknown, but it was first reported in GRSM as Caenis nr. macafferti Provonsha by DeWalt and Heinold (2005) in 2001. We now have nymphs from Shop Creek and Abrams Creek Campground and one reared adult of each sex from the latter location. The nymphs key to C. tardata McDunnough, having the operculate second gill uniformly brown and hind tarsal fimbriate spurs numbering 12 (although they occur in two rows of nine and three). However, the Y-ridge diverges in the anterior half of the operculate gill, not in the posterior as in C. tardata. Adults key to near C. macafferti, with forewing vein ICuA1 forked from CuA2 just distad of the CuA1-CuP crossvein; abdominal terga 1-10 shaded blackish brown and terga nine and 10 lacking triads of black dots; eggs with single polar cap. However, adults lack the fleshy protuberance characteristic of C. macafferti (Provonsha 1990). These new specimens have been sent to Arwin Provonsha for review.

Hexagenia limbata (Serville).-Three specimens from Deep and Shop creeks. McCafferty (1994) stated that this the most widespread of burrowing mayflies, being found coast-to-coast in

the United States. It has been reported from both NC (Pescador et al. 1999) and TN (Long and Kondratieff 1996). A new GRSM record.

Heptageniidae

Epeorus fragilis (Morgan).-A single female subimago from Deep Creek. A male imago was collected from a nearby drainage in the GRSM (INHS 9961. NC: Haywood Co., Trib. Hemphill Creek, 5 km WNW Jonathan, 35.5764 N, -83.0729 W, 31 May 2003, R. E. DeWalt), confirming its presence regionally. Not known from GRSM, NC, or TN. Known from West Virginia (Faulkner and Tarter 1977), Virginia (Kondratieff and Voshell 1983), and New York (Jacobus and McCafferty 2001b).

Nixe spinosa (Traver).-A single specimen from Deep Creek. It is known from NC (Pescador et al. 1999, Unzicker and Carlson 1982), but had not been reported from TN until DeWalt and Heinold (2005) did so from several locations in the Abrams Creek drainage of GRSM.

Regionally, it is rarely collected.

Rhithrogena fasciata Traver.-Large population at Eagle Creek CG 90. Traver (1933) listed it from several western NC sites, including Waynesville in Haywood County, adjacent to GRSM. Reported from South Carolina (SC) and Georgia (GA) (NatureServe.org) and it is currently under review in all three states for imperilment status. NatureServe also discusses the possibility that this species may not be valid. This is a new GRSM record.

Stenacron pallidum (Traver).-Sixteen specimens from four locations in TN and NC. Lewis (1974) reported it as restricted to the NC mountains. Subsequently reported from SC (Morse et al. 1989) and TN (DeWalt and Heinold 2005) in GRSM.

Stenonema carlsoni Lewis.-One specimen from Hazel Creek. Morse et al. (1989) reported it from NC (Morse et al. 1989) and from the Ravensford area of the Cherokee Indian Reservation (unpublished data). Long and Kondratieff (1996) provided no records for TN. This is a new GRSM record.

Leucrocuta walshi (McDunnough).-A single male from Shop Creek. Distributed in Ohio and

northeastward in to Canada (Randolph and McCafferty 1998). This specimen is being confirmed by members of the McCafferty Laboratory. This would be a new regional record if confirmed.

Isonychiidae

Isonychia geogiae McDunnough.-Specimens from Tabcat Creek and Proctor Branch.

Kondratieff and Voshell (1984) provided locations in GA, NC, and Virginia (VA) for piedmont and mountain streams. Also known from SC (Pescador et al. 1999). A new GRSM and TN state record.

Neoephemeridae

Neoephemera purpurea (Traver). One nymph from Deep Creek. Known from GA, NC, SC, TN, and VA (Bae and McCafferty 1998). It was discussed here due to its apparent rarity.

Siphlonuridae

Siphlonurus typicus (Eaton).-One specimen from Deep Creek. DeWalt and Heinold (2005) reported a single male from Abrams Creek at Cades Cove Campground. Known from scattered locations in the Midwest, the northeastern states and Canada (Provonsha and McCafferty 1982, Randolph and McCafferty 1998), and New York (Jacobus and McCafferty 2001b). This is a new NC state record.

Plecoptera

Perlodidae

Oconoperla innubila (Needham & Claassen).-Male and female from Proctor Branch. Stark and Stewart (1982) erected the genus and described a new species O. weaveri. Stark (1985) later removed Yugus innubilus (Needham and Claassen) to Oconoperla, and synonomized O. weaveri with it. A rare species from NC, SC, and TN from a total of seven locations, including Clingman's Dome, GRSM (Stark 1985, Stewart and Stark 1982).

Trichoptera

Glossomatidae

Agapetus iridis Ross.-Three males from Hammer Branch. Unzicker et al. (1982) reported it

from mountain and piedmont ecoregions. Known from two counties in TN (Etnier et al. 1998).

A new GRSM record.

Leptoceridae

Ceraclea diluta (Hagen).-Several specimens from Deep Creek. Reported from the NC coastal plain by Unzicker et al. (1982) and several east-central counties of TN (Etnier, et al. 1998). A new GRSM record.

Triaenodes taenius Ross.-A single male from Tabcat Creek. Described from near GRSM doorstep (Ross 1938). Known from Abrams Creek drainage (DeWalt and Heinold 2005) and from the Ravensford area (Morse, unpublished data). Etnier et al. (1998) provided one Cooke Co. record adjacent to GRSM.

Molannidae

Molanna ulmerina Navas.-Nine specimens from Shop Creek. DeWalt and Heinold (2005) reported it from low elevation Abrams Creek reaches of GRSM. Known from east-central TN location (Etnier et al. 1998).

Polycentropodidae

Nyctiophylax denningi Morse.-Thirteen males and six females from Shop and Tabcat creeks.

Armitage and Hamilton (1990) listed it from AL, GA, MS, SC, and TN (the latter also by Etnier et al. 1998). A GRSM record.

Rhyacophilidae

Sericostomatidae

Agarodes tetron (Ross) or grisea Banks.-One female from Deep Creek. Ross and Scott (1974) provided a key to Agarodes, but could not separate females of these species. Agarodes tetron has been reported from unspecified TN locations adjacent to GRSM (Etnier et al. 1998) and from Ravensford (Morse, unpublished data). A GRSM record.

DISCUSSION

This inventory produced a total of 168 EPT species, four more than was found by DeWalt and Heinold (2005) in their examination of the Abrams Creek drainage in GRSM. Sørensen's quotient of similarity between these two data sets demonstrated a 61% overlap in species composition. Initial thought might consider this value low for adjacent watersheds, but Abrams Creek is unique since it runs through the only calcium rich bedrock area in GRSM and has a significant part of its middle drainage as open pasture. Drainages in the current study were heavily wooded throughout.

This study produced many more mayfly species, especially in the families Heptageniidae, Ephemerellidae, and Baetidae. Among the latter two families, additional handpicking of nymphs may have helped increased richness. Among stoneflies, Perlidae were not as rich as in Abrams Creek, the majority being lost in the genus *Perlesta*. Additionally, caddisflies richness was much less than for Abrams Creek, with major losses among the Hydroptilidae and Leptoceridae. The lack of a large, warm, placid stream, like Abrams Creek, is probably the cause of the deficity in these two families.

The current study accumulated three significant regional records for the mayflies Ameletus tertius (NC), Epeorus fragilis (NC), and Leucrocuta walshi (TN). Additional state records included Siphlonurus typicus (NC) and Isonychia georgiae (TN). New GRSM records include seven mayfly and four caddisfly species as well.

Species accummulation curves and turnover in species between these two studies suggests that many more EPT species can be added to GRSM and state lists. There are still many drainages north of Lake Fontana that have been poorly studied. More work should be conducted there.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank DLIA for funding and acknowledge the advice and guidance of C. Parker in choosing the SW GRSM drainages for study. DLIA employee J. Hilten arranged for housing in GRSM, while K. Langdon and the National Park Service have supported our efforts and requests for permits. Additionally, B. D. Heinold, S. Johnson, J. Burbank, J. Lowe, S. Higdon have helped pack in heavy batteries and spent long evenings with the ultraviolet lights in the back country. Mayfly specimens were verified by M. Meyers and L. Jacobus (both of Purdue University).

LITERATURE CITED

- Armitage, B. J., and S. W. Hamilton. 1990. Diagnostic Atlas of the North American Caddisfly Adults. II. Ecnomidae, Polycentropodidae, Psychomyiidae, and Xiphocentronidae. The Caddis Press. Athens, AL. 150 pp.
- Armitage, B. J., and K. J. Tennessen. 1984. The Trichoptera of Raven Fork, North Carolina—a stream subject to low pH events. Series Entomoligica 30: 21-26.
- Bae, Y. J., and W. P. McCafferty. 1998. Phylogenetic systematics and biogeography of the Neoephemeridae (Ephemeroptera: Pannota). Aquatic Insects 20: 35-68.
- DeWalt, R. E., and B. D. Heinold. 2005. Summer emerging Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera of Abrams Creek, Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 107: 34-48.
- Etnier, D. A., J. T. Baxter, Jr., S. J. Frayley, and C. R. Parker. 1998. A checklist of the Trichoptera of TN. Journal of the TN Academy of Science 73: 53-72.
- Faulkner, and Tarter. 1977. Mayflies, or Ephemeropteraq, of West Virginia with emphasis on the nymphal stage. Entomological News 88: 202-206.
- Jacobus, L. M., and W. P. McCafferty. 2003. Revisionary contributions to North American *Ephemerella* and *Serratella* (Ephemeroptera: Ephemerellidae). Journal of the New York Entomological Society 111: 174-193.
- Jacobus, L. M., and W. P. McCafferty. 2001a. Adult descriptions and commentary for two species of southeastern Nearctic *Ephemerella* (Ephemeroptera: Ephemerellidae).
 Entomological News 112: 294-298.
- Jacobus, L. M., and W. P. McCafferty. 2001b. The mayfly fauna of New York state (Insecta: Ephemeroptera). Journal of the New York Entomological Society 109: 47-80.
- Jacobus, L. M., W. P. McCafferty, S. Spichiger. 2002. First adult description for *Ephemerella hispida* (Ephemeroptera: Ephemerellidae). Entomological News 113: 342-343.
- Kondratieff, B. C., and J. R. Voshell, Jr. 1984. The North and Central American species of

- Isonychia (Ephemeroptera: Oligoneuriidae). Transactions of the American Entomological Society 110: 129-244.
- Kondratieff, B. C., and J. R. Voshell, Jr. 1983. A checklist of the mayflies (Ephemeroptera) of Virginia, with a review of pertinent taxonomic literature. Journal of the Georgia Entomologist 18: 259-272.
- Lewis, P. A. 1974. Taxonomy and ecology of *Stenonema* mayflies (Heptageniidae: Ephemeroptera). United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-670/4-74-006, 80 pp.
- Long, L. S., and B. C. Kondratieff. 1996. The mayflies (Ephemeroptera) of TN, with a review of the possibly threatened species occurring within the state. The Great Lakes Entomologist 29: 171-182.
- McCafferty, W. P. 1994. Distributional and classificatory supplement to the burrowing mayflies (Ephemeroptera: Ephemeroidea) of the United States. Entomological News 105: 1-13.
- McCafferty, W. P. 1975. The burrowing mayflies of the United States (Ephemeroptera: Ephemeroidea). Transactions of the American Entomological Society 101: 447-503.
- Morse, J. C., S. W. Hamilton, and K. M. Hoffman. 1989. Aquatic insects of the Lake Jocassee catchment in North and South Carolina, with descriptions of four new species of caddisflies (Trichoptera). The Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 105: 14-33.
- Morse, J. C., B. P. Stark, W. P. McCafferty. 1993. Southern Appalachian streams at risk: implications for Mayflies, Stoneflies, Caddisflies, and other aquatic biota. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 3: 293-303.
- Pescador, M. L., D. R. Lenat, and M. D. Hubbard. 1999. Mayflies (Ephemeroptera) of North Carolina and South Carolina: an update. Florida Entomologist 82: 316-332.
- Prather, A. L., J. C. Morse. 2001. Eastern Nearctic *Rhyacophila* species, with revision of the *Rhyacophila invaria* group (Trichoptera: Rhyacophilidae). Transactions of the American

- Entomological Society 127: 85-166.
- Prather, A. L., A. L. Syrett, and J. C. Morse. 1997. Females of *Rhyacophila* (Trichoptera: Rhyacophilidae) from the southeastern United States. Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Trichoptera, Ohio Biological Survey: 387-399.
- Provonsha, A. V. 1990. A revision of the genus *Caenis* in North America (Ephemeroptera: Caenidae). Transactions of the American Entomological Society 116: 801-883.
- Provonsha, A. V., W. P. McCafferty. 1982. New species and previously undescribed larvae of North American Ephemeroptera. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 55: 23-33.
- Randolph, R. P., and W. P. McCafferty. 1998. Diversity and distribution of the mayflies (Ephemeroptera) of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin. Ohio Biological Survey Bulletin 13: 1-188.
- Ross, H. H. 1938. Descriptions of Nearctic caddis flies. Bulletin Illinois Natural History Survey 21: 101-183.
- Ross, H. H., and D. C. Scott. 1974. A review of the caddisfly genus *Agarodes*, with descriptions of new species (Trichoptera: Sericostomatidae). Journal of the Georgia Entomological Society 9: 147-155.
- Sharkey, M. J. 2001. The All Taxa Biological Inventory of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Florida Entomologist 84: 556-564.
- Sneath, P. H. A, and R. R. Sokal. 1973. Numerical Taxonomy. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco. 573 pp.
- Sørensen, T. 1948. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content. Det Kong. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Biol. Skr. (Copenhagen) 5: 1-34.
- Stark, B. P. 1985. Notes on *Oconoperla* (Plecoptera: Perlodidae). Entomological News 96: 151-155.
- Stark, B. P., and K. W. Stewart. 1982. Oconoperla, a new genus of North American Perlodinae

- (Plecoptera: Perlodidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 84: 746-752.
- Traver, J. R. 1933. Mayflies of .North Carolina, Part III. The Heptageniidae. Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 48: 141-206
- Unzicker, J. D., V. H. Resh, and J. C. Morse. 1982. Chapter 9, Trichoptera pp. 9.1-9.138., In A.R. Brigham, W. U Brigham, and A. Gnilka, eds. Aquatic Insects and Oligochaetes ofNorth and South Carolina. Midwest Aquatic Enterprises, Mahomet, Illinois.
- Zloty, J. 1996. A revision of the Nearctic *Ameletus* mayflies based on adult males, with descriptions of seven new species (Ephemeroptera: Ameletidae). The Canadian Entomologist 128: 293-346.

FIGURE LEGENDS

- Fig. 1. Streams reaches sampled for Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera in southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park.
- Fig. 2. Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera species richness (EPT) from 15 sites in southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN and NC.
- Fig. 3. Cumulative Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera species richness (EPT) from 15 sites in southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN and NC. Asterisks indicate reaches increasing in richness by ≥10%.
- Fig. 4. Unweighted pair-group method average dissimilarity dendrogram of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera assemblages in 15 sites in southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN and NC.

Table 1. Location information, approximate stream width, elevation, dates of collection, and methods used at 15 stream sites in southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park in May and June 2003 and July 2004. Stream sites oriented from west to east.

Site Creek Lat. Long. Width (m) Elevation (m) Date.

Site	Creek	Lat.	Long.	Long. Width (m)	Elevation (m)	Dates	Methods
	State of the state			В	Blount County, Tennessee	See	
1	Shop Cr.	35.5308	83.9878	4	268	6/1, 6/9	sweep, handpicking, UV light
7	Tabcat Cr.	35.5196	83.9793	2	299	5/21, 5/22, 6/8	sweep, handpicking, UV light
ю	Seeps Cattail Br.	35.5149	83.9763	0.5	311	5/21, 5/22	sweep, handpicking
4	Cattail Br.	35.5149	83.9763	2	311	5/21, 5/22	sweep, handpicking
				Swa	Swain County, North Carolina	olina	
8	Twentymile Cr. CG 93	35.4730	83.8524	. 9	579	5/21, 7/2	handpicking, UV light
9	John's Cove	35.4725	83.8515	2	579	5/22	sweep
7	Proctor Br.	35.4856	83.8368	2	768	5/22, 6/5, 7/3	sweep, handpicking, UV light
∞	Twentymile Cr. CG 92	35.4968	83.8337	60	713	6/5, 7/3	sweep, handpicking, UV light
6	Gunna Cr.	35.4880	83.7718	9	1109	6/3	sweep, handpicking, UV light
10	Eagle Cr. CG 90	35.4981	83.7664	12	533	5/28, 7/4	sweep, handpicking, UV light
11	Eagle Cr. CG 89	35.4935	83.7674	∞	585	5/28, 6/10	sweep, handpicking, UV light
12	Eakaneetlee Cr.	35.5512	83.7322	10	585	5/28, 6/10	sweep, handpicking, UV light
13	Hazel Cr.	35.4744	83.7245	10	531	5/29, 6/11	sweep, UV light
14	14 Hammer Br.	35.4739	83.4311	7	585	5/24	sweep, handpicking, UV light
15	15 Deep Cr.	35.4730	83.4300	10	594	5/24, 5/31, 6/12	sweep, handpicking, UV light

Table 2. Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera texa taken from stream in southwestern Great Smoky Mountains National Park, May and June 2003. Sites organized from west to east along southern Park boundary. Site numbers refer to stream reaches in Table 1.

organized from west to east along southern Park	Julian	y. Site i	Tumbe	rs rei	er to su	eam r	eaches	in imos	E 1.		· · · ·				100	
Site #> Order/Family/Genus	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	و	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
	+		-	-				-		10	11	12	13	14	1.5	
Ephemeroptera	 	 	├			<u> </u>			 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			ļ	\vdash	0
Ameletidae		 	 	-				ļ	-							0
Ameletus tertius	-	ļ	ļ ·			<u> </u>							 -		2	2
Baetidae	_		┷		1	ļ	ļ.,			4	5	3	 			13
Acerpenna macdunnoughi	1 1	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ		ļ						,		1
Acentrella ampla	1	1				L							<u> </u>			2
Acentrella sp.		<u> </u>				L		<u> </u>			8					8
Baetis brunneicolor	, <u>3</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>								3
Bactis flavistriga	1	1											<u> </u>			2
Baetis tricaudatus	1									4		:				4
Baetis sp.		1							,	,		1	3	- 4	12	17
Centroptilum sp.	1															1
Caenidae	T.															, 0
Caenis sp.	27							i								27
Ephemerellidae	1	7	†		19				,	. 3	38	23	10		22	123
Drunella comutella	1	2														2
Drunella tuberculata	†				1	\vdash		····								1
Ephemerella catawba	+	3		_	 										,	3
Ephemerella dorothea	6			12	1		-	1	1				1			30
Ephemerella excrucians	+		├	12			 		1				 			1
Ephemerella hispida	+		├─	├─									 		1	1
	 -	-	├		1						10	1	- 10		27	60
Ephemerella sp. Serratella deficiens	+	3		├—	1					ļ	10	1	18		2/	
	1 1	4					ļ	ļ								5
Serratella serrata		ļ	<u> </u>		11								 _			11
Serratella sp.	1		L										 			1
Timpanoga simplex		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		3											3
Ephemeridae																0
Ephemera varia															2	2
Ephemera guttulata		2			3									3		8
Hexagenia limbata	1		Ĺ												2	3
Heptageniidae					1											1
Epeorus dispar	T	3					9	4			6	1			18	41
Epeorus fragilis															1	1
Epeorus subpallidus					1											1
Epeorus vitreus		1														1
Epeorus sp.															20	20
Leucrocuta aphrodite	43	26								20						89
Leucrocuta juno	1	 	-							21					15	36
Leucrocuta minerva	+	3	├ ─	_												3
Leucrocuta thetis	+		,	_	7		4	4								15
Leucrocuta walshi	1	 			· ·								-			1
Leucrocuta sp.	12					-							-		<u> </u>	27
Nixe spinosa	12	13	-	-											1	1
Maccaffertium carlsoni	 	-		<u> </u>									1			1
Maccaffertium ithaca	2	ļ	-	\vdash				-		-			. 2		2	6
	1 2		-	<u> </u>					-				<u> </u>			
Maccaffertium mexicanum Maccaffertium meririvulanum	+-	1		<u> </u>				 							 	1
	+	<u> </u>		 -		لـــــا	2			ļ	<u> </u>		ļ		 	2
Maccaffertium modestum	1	7		 				 			2			<u> </u>	 	10
Maccaffertium pudicum	2	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						4		1			1	12
Maccaffertium terminatum		<u> </u>		3	7			1	1				6			18
Maccaffertium vicarium		L										1				1
Maccaffertium sp.	1													1		2
Rhithrogena amica	1										1				1	2
Rhithrogena fasciata	+-	 				 		 		20						20
Rhithrogena sp.	 	 		\vdash				 	 	- 20	3	<u> </u>	 	·		3
	+-							 _								
Stenacron carolina	 	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 	2					<u> </u>	-		2
Stenacron interpunctatum	4	6		L				<u> </u>	L		2	1			1	14
Stenacron pallidum	1	6		L	4		5	<u>L</u> .	L				L			16

Table 2. Continued

Chloroperlidae 1 Alloperla atlantica 1 Alloperla nanina 2 Alloperla usa 1 Alloperla sp. 3 Haploperla brevis 1 Suwallia marginata 1 Sweltsa lateralis 2	Tota (1) 30 (2) 44 (4) 44 (5) 11 11 (6) 22 12 (7) 11 31
Isonychia georgiae	1 30 23 6 7 4 4 4 6 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 2 12 2 4
Isonychia bicolor	1 30 23 6 7 4 4 4 6 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 2 12 2 4
Leptophlebiidae	1 3 30 23 6 7 4 4 4 6 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 2 12 2
Leptophlebiidae	1 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 4 4 7 7
Habrophlebia vibrans	30 23 6 7 4 4 4 4 6 1 1 1 1 0 2 12 2 4 7
Habrophlebiodes americana	23 6 7 4 4 4 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 4 4 7 7 7 8 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Habrophlebiodes sp. 3 2 1	1 1 1 1 0 0 2 12 2 4 4 7 7
Paraleptophlebia assimilis 1 2 3 1 Paraleptophlebia guttata 1 <td< td=""><td>1 1 1 0 0 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 4 7 7</td></td<>	1 1 1 0 0 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 4 7 7
Paraleptophlebia guttata 1 <td>4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</td>	4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Paraleptophlebia inollis Paraleptophlebia sp. 1 1 2 11 Neoephemeridae Neoephemera purpurea Siphlonuridae Siphlonurus typicus Chloroperlidae Alloperla atlantica Alloperla nanina Alloperla sp. 3 1 3 Alloperla sp. 4 1 3 Suwaltia marginata Sweltsa mediana 1 2 5 11	4 4 4 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Paraleptophlebia sp. 1 2 1 Neoephemeridae Neoephemera purpurea Siphlonuridae Siphlonurus typicus <td>4 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC</td>	4 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
Neoephemeridae	1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 7 7
Neoephemera purpurea Image: Comparison of the comparison of th	1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1
Siphlonuridae Siphlonurus typicus Chloroperlidae Image: Chloroperlidae of the control of the	1 1 1 0 0 0 2 12 2 2 4 4 7
Siphlonurus typicus Chloroperlidae Alloperla atlantica 1 Alloperla nanina 2 Alloperla usa 1 Alloperla sp. 3 Haploperla brevis 1 Suwallia marginata 1 Sweltsa lateralis 2 Sweltsa mediana 5	1 1 0 2 12 2 2 4
Chloroperlidae 1 Alloperla atlantica 1 Alloperla nanina 2 Alloperla usa 1 Alloperla sp. 3 Haploperla brevis 1 Suwallia marginata 1 Sweltsa lateralis 2 Sweltsa mediana 5	2 12 2 2 4
Alloperla atlantica 1 Alloperla nanina 2 Alloperla usa 1 Alloperla sp. 3 Haploperla brevis 1 Suwallia marginata 1 Sweltsa lateralis 2 Sweltsa mediana 5	2 12 2 4 7
Alloperla nanina 2 Alloperla usa 1 Alloperla sp. 3 Haploperla brevis 1 Suwallia marginata 1 Sweltsa lateralis 2 Sweltsa mediana 5	2 4 7
Alloperla usa 1 3 Alloperla sp. 3 1 3 Haploperla brevis 1 1 1 Suwallia marginata 1 1 1 Sweltsa lateralis 2 5 1 Sweltsa mediana 5 1	7
Alloperla sp. 3 1 3 1 <	7
Haploperla brevis	
Suwallia marginata Sweltsa lateralis Sweltsa mediana 1 Sweltsa mediana 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 3
Sweltsa lateralis Sweltsa mediana 2 Sweltsa mediana 5 1	1
Sweltsa mediana 5 1	
Sweltsa mediana 5 1	2
	3 9
	9
Leuctridae	†
Leuctra carolinensis	16
Leuctra ferruginea 14 19	33
Leuctra mitchellensis	18
Leuctra sibleyi	
	1 1
Leuctra sp. 13 3 2 12 1 1 1 Nemouridae 13 3 2 12 1 1 1	3 36
	0
	8
	41
	1 18
Soyedina sp. 2	2
Peltoperlidae Tribana	0
Tallaperla anna 6 2	8
Tallaperla cornelia 16 3	19
Tallaperla elisa	1
Tallaperla laurie 3 17 1 1 2	24
	2 5
	2 2
Viehoperla ada 6 1	7
Perlidae Perlidae	0
Acroneuria abnormis 6 16 8 1 7 5 10 6 1	60
Acroneuria filicis	1
Agnetina capitata	1
Eccoptura xanthenes 1 3 1	8
Neoperla occipitalis 26	26
Neoperla sp. 3 6	9
Perlesta frisoni 1 1 4 1 2	9
Perlodidae	0
Cultus decisus 2	2
Diploperla duplicata 6 1 2	1 10
	5 7
Isoperla distincta	1 1
Isoperia holochiora 4 5 4 1 3 1 2	20
	3
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Isoperla sp. 36	36

-Table	. 7	Cor	tin	أحدد
- I ADIE	: /.	U.OT	ип	Hea

Site #> Order/Family/Genus		,';' 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	, 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	To
Oconoperla innubila	١.			 	 		 	2									
Remenus bilobatus		1	5	1	3	1		1		1		1	1				
Yugus arinus						Ι .						1			2		
Pteronarcyidae											-						
Pteronarcys scotti						1	<u>.</u>										
Pteronarcys sp.		1	3				<u> </u>					1				1	
Taeniopterygidae			L		L	- 1	<u> </u>										
Bolotoperia rossi			<u>'</u>	ļ	<u> </u>		ļ			<u> </u>		1					ļ
Trichoptera			<u> </u>		 		 					,		ļ		•	
Brachycentridae		· · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		-	 	ļ		25.5	<u> </u>							├
Brachycentrus sp. Micrasema charonis				-	-	1	├—	<u> </u>		-		1				1	
Micrasema charonis Micrasema rickeri		٠,		 		-	┢	<u> </u>		<u> </u>						8	
Micrasema nckeri Micrasema wataga		- '-	1		\vdash		 			<u> </u>	*				,	- 0	
Micrasema sp.			<u> </u>		 	-	┢			i						5	<u> </u>
Dipseudopsidae		·			-		<u> </u>			<u> </u>					-		
Phylocentropus carolinus			 -	 			 			 	<u> </u>		1				\vdash
Phylocentropus lucidus Phylocentropus lucidus				\vdash	 	 	\vdash	\vdash	1	1		1	. 1				
Glossosomatidae							 				-			-	,	-	
Agapetus pinatus		-	ļ	┝	 		├			<u> </u>	4	3				6	_
Agapetus iridis		-		\vdash	_		 		1					<u> </u>	3		
Agapetus sp.	-	1	1		\vdash						76	17	2		1	33	
Glossosoma nigrior			1	\vdash	1		<u> </u>	1.									
Glossosoma sp.		11	3			8	Г		3		1			2			
Goeridae		·															
Goera calcarata		٠ 7									6			2		7	
Helicopsychidae					L.					•		·					
Helicopsyche borealis			2				<u> </u>				8						
Hydropsychidae					<u> </u>		L_									_	
Arctopsyche irrorata								<u> </u>	1						-		
Ceratopsyche macleodi					<u> </u>		├						4	ļ	1		
Ceratopsyche morosa Ceratopsyche slossonae						12				_	2	6				2	
Ceratopsyche sparna		23	9		-	12			1		17	8	11	10	30	110	
Ceratopsyche sp.		4.5			 		\vdash		*				2		30	110	-
		1.0			 		\vdash			· -	3	42	10			94	\vdash
Cheumatopsyche harwoodi Cheumatopsyche analis		18 4	2	<u> </u>	├		-				3	42	10	14		94	\vdash
Cheumatopsyche sp.		8	23				-										\vdash
Diplectrona metaqui		1	1	3													\vdash
Diplectrona modesta		6	21		4	40		3	42	2	3	14	21			1	_
Hydropsyche betteni		3	4	,	<u> </u>												
Hydropsyche betteni or depravata		7														. 1	
Hydropsyche sp.													1			-	
Parapsyche cardis								2	1	,					2		
Hydroptilidae																	
Hydroptila oneili		3															<u> </u>
Hydroptila valhalla		1															
Hydroptila sp.			15				ļ					1				7	├
Stactobiella delira						6										2	-
Stactobiella martynovi Stactobiella sp.							\vdash	 							7	3 11	
Lepidostomatidae				-												11	
Lepidostoma lydia							<u> </u>					1				-	\vdash
Lepidostoma ontario	- 1				\vdash		 	 						 	-	1	\vdash
Lepidostoma pictile									-						5		
Lepidostoma tibiale	- 1						 				19					<u>_</u>	\vdash
Lepidostoma sp.			3	1		4					1			<u> </u>			\vdash
Theliopsyche grisea		1															

Table 2 Continued											1					
Table 2. Continued.		Ι.		T	Γ											٠,
Site #> Order/Family/Genus	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Tot
Leptoceridae			-	├	-									-		
Ceraclea diluta		 	+-	\vdash		-			<u> </u>						5	
Ceraclea flava		├	+	+	ļ					1					-	
	1		+	 							ļ			├─-		<u> </u>
Ceraclea tarsipunctata Ceraclea transversa	122	35	 	+-	1				 	1	3			-		16
	122		+-		1	-				- 1	3			 		- 10
Nectopsyche exquisita	- - 4	-	┢			-				10	-	-		 		, 1
Oecetis avara		 	┼	-					-	10		- 1		 	,	1
Oecetis inconspicua	8	1	╁	-	3					23		- 1			- 1	
Oecetis persimilis	- .	-	1-	-					<u> </u>						-	2
Oecetis sp.	1	⊢—	├	<u> </u>						1						ļ
Triaenodes taenius		1	├	<u> </u>		 						<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	
Limnephilidae			ļ	<u> </u>											ļ <u>.</u>	
Hydatophylax argus			<u> </u>												7	
Pseudostenophylax uniformis			ļ	.	1		27	11	103					ļ		14
Pycnopsyche flavata									2					<u> </u>		
Pycnopsyche gentilis			2				2				1					
Pycnopsyche sp.	2	- 1	<u> </u>		2			1				1				
Molannidae			<u> </u>	L		L										
Molanna musetta	3		Ĺ													
Molanna ulmerina	9															-
Molanna sp.			L.,								1					
Odontoceridae																
Psilotreta amera								1								
Psilotreta sp.			1													
Philopotamidae																
Chimarra aterrima			3	П												
Dolophilodes distinctus					11		1				1				1	- 1
Dolophilodes major					1											
Dolophilodes sp.		2						4			3				4	1
Wormaldia moesta														1		
Wormaldia sp.	1	2	1			-			1:							
Phryganeidae		1														
Ptilostomis ocellifera					1			1			-					
Polycentropodidae																
Neureclipsis sp.	1															
Nyctiophylax affinis	2		\vdash													
Nyctiophylax celta			_				$\neg \dashv$			5						
Nyctiophylax cena Nyctiophylax denningi	6	12														1
Nyctiophylax defining	3	12		\vdash											\vdash	1
Nyctiophylax meestus Nyctiophylax nephophilus					5			5			3	3			-	1
Nyctiophylax nephophilus Nyctiophylax sp.	5			 							1		i	-	3	1
Polycentropus cinereus	6	3	-		1			4			1		<u></u>			1
Polycentropus confusus	42	2						4		1	-				2	'
	42		-	\vdash	1	\dashv				1			-			4
Polycentropus maculatus	31	1			1					32	1			-		6
Polycentropus sp.	31	- 1								32	1			<u> </u>		- 0

Psychomyiidae
Lype diversa
Psychomyia flavida

Rhyacophilidae

Rhyacophila amicis

Rhyacophila atrata

Rhyacophila carolina

Rhyacophila fuscula Rhyacophila glaberrima

Rhyacophila nigrita

Rhyacophila teddyi Rhyacophila torva Rhyacophila sp.

Rhyacophila carpenteri

96 0 14

Table	2.	Continued.

Site #> Order/Family/Genus		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	۰'9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total
Sericostomatidae	 		-													0
Agarodes grisea or tetron															1	1
Fattigia pele					'	2	2		1		3					8
Uenoidae								ı.								0
Neophylax consimilis	4		٠,													4
Neophylax mitchelli				- 4.	9		2	3	7							21
Neophylax oligius						Ţ,	'		'		2		1			3
Neophylax ornatus		1			4			5	1					1		11
Neophylax sp.	,	-1					,									1
Fotal Count	556	349	74	45	234	31	197	172	215	338	413	187	133	144		
Ephemeroptera	18	21	3	3	12	0	7	6		. 7	10	- 8	7	4	19	54
Plecoptera	10	13	6	4	8	2		9			19	6	6		10	38
Trichoptera	 ' ,31	25	7	2	22	3	10	21			24	17	7	12	27	78.
Total EPT	59	59	16	9	42	5	33	36	25	26	53	31	20	21	56	170
									,							







