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General formulas for the calculation of a novel Wiener-type number, $D_{\Delta},{ }^{1}$ in regular dendrimers are proposed. They are derived on the basis of the novel matrix $\boldsymbol{D}_{\Delta},{ }^{1}$ by using progressive vertex degrees and orbit numbers ${ }^{2}$ as parameters. Relations of $D_{\Delta}$ with the well known Wiener, ${ }^{3} W$, and hyper-Wiener, ${ }^{4} W W$, numbers, and a new relation (based on the $\boldsymbol{D}_{P}$ matrix ${ }^{1}$ ) for estimating $W W$ in dendrimers are also given.

## INTRODUCTION

Wiener ${ }^{3}$ has defined his »path number" $W$, as »the sum of distances« between all pairs of vertices $i$ and $j$ in an acyclic graph G. He calculated $W$ by summing up the »bond contribution« of all edges $e$ in G. Randic ${ }^{4}$ extended this definition to "path contributions", resulting in the hyper-Wiener, WW, number. Condensing the two descriptors, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I(\mathrm{G})=\sum_{e / p} I_{e / p}=\sum_{e / p} N_{\mathrm{L}, e / p} \cdot N_{\mathrm{R}, e / p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{L}, e}+N_{\mathrm{R}, e}=N(\mathrm{G}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In the above relations, $N_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $N_{\mathrm{R}}$ denote the number of vertices lying to the left and to the right of edge/path $e / p$ and the summation runs over all edges/paths in the graph. The meaning of $I$, cf. Eq. (1), is the number of all »external« paths that include all the paths, of length e/p, in acyclic graphs.

Edge/path contributions $I_{e / p}$ are just the entries in the Wiener matrices, $W_{e}$ and $W_{p}{ }^{5,6}$ Thus, $I$ is the half sum of entries in these matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[W_{e / p}\right]_{i j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I$ being $W$ for $W_{e}$ and $W W$ for $W_{p}$. Lukovits et al. ${ }^{7-9}$ derived formulas for calculating $I$ in cycle-containing graphs.

A second main definition of $I$ is based on the distance matrix, $\boldsymbol{D}$, as Hosoya ${ }^{10}$ and Diudea ${ }^{1}$ proposed

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[D_{e / p}\right]_{i j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ is just the classical $\boldsymbol{D}$ matrix and $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ is the »distance path«matrix. ${ }^{1}$ The meaning of $I, c f$. Eq. (4), is the number of all »internal« paths, of length $e / p$, included in all the shortest paths in the graph. Eq. (4) is valid both for acyclic and cyclic structures.

Another definition relates $W$ to the eigenvalues of Laplace-Kirchhoff matrix, $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}{ }^{11-14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=N \sum_{i=2}^{N} l / x_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

a relation valid only for acyclic structures. For other definitions, modifications and computational methods of $W$, see Refs. 15,16 .

Klein, Lukovits and Gutman ${ }^{17}$ have decomposed $W W$ by a relation equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{e}{ }^{2}\right) / 2+W\right) / 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{e}{ }^{2}\right)$ is the trace of distance matrix raised to the second power. Relation (6) is valid for cycle-containing graphs when $W$ is evaluated by the Hosoya ${ }^{10}$ relation (4).

Wiener-type numbers are seen ${ }^{17}$ as approximate measures of the expansiveness of graphs. They show good correlation with various physico-chemical and biological properties ${ }^{18-21}$ of organic compounds.

In this paper, general formulas for evaluating the novel number $D_{\Delta}$ in dendrimers are derived and exemplified on several types of regular dendrimers. Relations of $D_{\Delta}$ with $W$ and $W W$ and a novel relation (based on the $\boldsymbol{D}_{P}$ matrix ${ }^{1}$ ) for calculating $W W$ in dendrimers are also given.

## NOVEL WIENER-TYPE NUMBER, $D_{\Delta}$

Diudea ${ }^{1}$ has recently given a novel definition for $W W$. Accordingly, it can be calculated by using the $\boldsymbol{D}_{P}$ matrix ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W=\sum_{i<j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{P}}\right]_{i j}=\sum_{i<j}\binom{\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{e}\right]_{i j}+1}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion of the right member enabled decomposition of WW into two terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W=W+D_{\Delta} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is the Wiener number and the last term is the »non-Wiener« part of the hyper-Wiener number, denoted $D_{\Delta}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\Delta}=\sum_{i<j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\Delta}\right]_{i j}=\sum_{i<j}\binom{\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{e}\right]_{i j}}{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\Delta}$ is the »Delta« matrix, defined according to Eq. (9). $D_{\Delta}$ means the number of all paths (larger than unity) included into all the shortest paths in the graph.

In matrix form, $W W$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i<j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{P}}\right]_{i j}=\sum_{i<j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}\right]_{i j}+\sum_{i<j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\Delta}\right]_{i j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (7) to (10) are valid for any graph, since they are based on $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ matrix.

The number $D_{\Delta}$ can be related to the $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{e}{ }^{2}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\Delta}=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{2}\right)-2 W\right) / 4 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the subscript $\Delta$ does not refer to the »detour" matrix, $\Delta$, of Amić and Trinajstic (Ref. 21a) but simply suggest the difference between $W W$ and $W$.

## $W, D_{\Delta}$ AND $W W$ NUMBERS IN REGULAR DENDRIMERS

Dendrimers are hyperbranched macromolecules, synthesized by repeatable steps, either by » divergent growth « or »convergent growth« approaches (see Ref. 2). These rigorously tailored structures are mainly organic compounds but inorganic components can be also included. ${ }^{22,23}$ They show a spherical shape, which can be functionalized, ${ }^{24-28}$ for various purposes. Reviews in the field are available. ${ }^{29-31}$

Some definitions in dendrimer topology are needed:
The vertices of a dendrimer, except for the external end points, are branching points. The number of edges emerging from each branching point is called ${ }^{2}$ progressive degree, $p$ (i.e. the edges that enlarge the number of points of a newly added orbit). It equals the classical degree, $k$, minus one: $p=k-1$. If all the branching points have the same degree, the dendrimer is called regular. Otherwise, it is irregular.

A dendrimer is called homogeneous if all its radial chains (i.e. chains that start from the core and end in an external point) have the same length. ${ }^{31}$ In graph theory, they correspond to the Bethe lattices. ${ }^{32}$

It is well known ${ }^{33}$ that any tree has either a monocenter or a dicenter (i.e. two points joined by an edge). Accordingly, the dendrimers are called monocentric and dicentric, respectively. Examples are given in the Figure. The numbering of orbits (generations ${ }^{2,31}$ ) starts with zero for the core and ends with $r$ (i.e. the radius of dendrimer, or the number of edges from the core to the external nodes).

A regular monocentric dendrimer, of progressive degree $p$ and generation $r$ is herein denoted by $\mathrm{D}_{p, r}$ whereas the corresponding dicentric dendrimer by $\mathrm{DD}_{p, r}$.


Figure. Monocentric (a) and dicentric (b) regular dendrimers

In a previous work, ${ }^{34}$ we reported the following relations for calculating $W W$ in regular dendrimers

$$
\begin{align*}
& W W\left(\mathrm{D}_{p, r}\right)=\left\{2 p^{2 r}\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2} r^{2}+p^{2 r}\left(p^{2}-1\right)\left(p^{2}-8 p-5\right) r\right. \\
& \left.+(p+1)\left(p^{r}-1\right)\left[p^{r}\left(p^{2}+10 p+3\right)-2\right]\right\} / 2(p-1)^{4} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
W W\left(\mathrm{DD}_{p, r}\right)=\left\{4 p^{2 r+2}(p-1)^{2} r^{2}+4 p^{2 r+2}(p-4)(p-1) r+p^{2 r+2}\left(p^{2}-3 p+16\right)\right. \\
\left.-p^{r+1}\left(p^{2}+10 p+5\right)+(p+1)\right\} /(p-1)^{4} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

These relations were obtained according to Eq. (6), by using the $L C$ (layer matrix of cardinality). ${ }^{35}$ By the layer counter, $j=\mathrm{D}_{i u}$, the matrix $L C$ is related to the distance matrix, their entries being the distance degrees and it itself a collection of distance degree sequences. The $L C$ matrix (with the column $j=0$ omitted) of a regular dendrimer, in the line form, ${ }^{34}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=(2-z)\left\{(p+1) p^{(j-1)} ;(1-z) p^{r}\right\} \\
j=1,2, \ldots, r  \tag{14}\\
B=(2-z) p^{(s-z)}(p+1)^{z}\left\{(p+1) p^{(j-1)} ; E\right\} \\
j=1,2, \ldots, r-s \\
s=1,2, \ldots, r-2  \tag{15}\\
C=(2-z) p^{(s-z)}(p+1)^{z}\{(r-s)(p+1) ; E\} \\
s=r-1, r  \tag{16}\\
j=r-s+1 \quad j=r-s+2 k \quad j=r-s+2 k+1 \quad j=r+s \\
E=\left\{\left(p^{(r-s)}\right)_{j} ;\left(p^{(r-s+k)}\right)_{j} ;\left(p^{(r-s+k)}\right)_{j} ;\left(z p^{r}\right)_{j}\right\} \\
k=1,2, \ldots, s-z \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A, B$ and $C$ denote the type of rows (starting from the core) within the $L C$ matrix of a dendrimer and $E$ is a common part within several rows of $\boldsymbol{L C}$. Parameter $z$ enables the use of Eqs. (14) to (17) (and the following ones) both for monocentric ( $z=1$ ) and dicentric ( $z=0$ ) dendrimers.

Thus, the $L C$ matrix can serve as a basis for evaluating the Wiener-related numbers. By taking into account the layer counter $j$, expansion of the above $\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{C}$ matrix offers the parameters in Eq. (8): $W, D_{\Delta}$ and $W W$ (denoted by $I$ in Eq. (18))

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\left(A_{\mathrm{I}}+B_{\mathrm{I}}+C_{\mathrm{I}}\right) / 2 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ number:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{W}=(2-z)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r}(p+1) p^{(j-1)} j+(1-z) p^{r}(r+1)\right]  \tag{19}\\
B_{W}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{s=1}^{r-2}\left[p^{(s-z)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-s}(p+1) p^{(j-1)} j+E_{W}\right)\right]  \tag{20}\\
C_{W}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{s=r-1}^{r} p^{(s-z)}\left[(r-s)(p+1)+E_{W}\right]  \tag{21}\\
\quad E_{W}=p^{(r-s)}(r-s+1)+z p^{r}(r+s) \\
+\sum_{k=1}^{s-z} p^{(r-s+k)}[(r-s+2 k)+(r-s+2 k+1)] \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Evaluation of sums in Eqs. (19) to (22) results in the following analytical relations for $\mathrm{D}_{p, r}(z=1)$ and $\mathrm{DD}_{p, r}(z=0)$, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& W\left(\mathrm{D}_{p, r}\right)=(p+1)\left[p^{2 r}\left(p^{2}-1\right) r-p^{2 r}(2 p+1)+2 p^{r}(p+1)-1\right] /(p-1)^{3}  \tag{23}\\
& W\left(\mathrm{DD}_{p, r}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
4 p^{(2 r+2)}(p-1) r+\left(4 p^{(r+1)}-1\right)(p+1) \\
+p^{(2 r+2)}(p-7)
\end{array}\right] \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$D_{\Delta}$ number:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{D_{\Delta}}=(2-z)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r}(p+1) p^{(j-1)} j(j-1) / 2+(1-z) p^{r}(r+1) r / 2\right]  \tag{25}\\
B_{D_{\Delta}}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{s=1}^{r-2}\left[p^{(s-z)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-s}(p+1) p^{(j-1)} j(j-1) / 2+E_{D_{\Delta}}\right)\right]  \tag{26}\\
C_{D_{\Delta}}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{s=r-1}^{r} p^{(s-z)} E_{D_{\Delta}}  \tag{27}\\
E_{D_{\Delta}}=p^{(r-s)}(r-s+1)(r-s) / 2+z p^{r}(r+s)(r+s-1) / 2+
\end{gather*}
$$

Evaluation of sums in Eqs. (25) to (28) results in the following analytical relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{D}_{p, r}\right)=\left\{2 p^{2 r}\left(p^{2}-1\right)^{2} r^{2}-p^{2 r}\left(p^{2}-1\right)\left(p^{2}+8 p+3\right) r\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(p+1)\left(p^{r}-1\right)\left[p^{r}\left(5 p^{2}+8 p+1\right)-2 p\right]\right\} / 2(p-1)^{4} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{DD}_{p, r}\right) & =\left\{4 p^{2 r+2}(p-1)^{2} r^{2}-12 p^{2 r+2}(p-1) r+p^{2 r+2}(5 p+9)\right. \\
& \left.-p^{r+1}\left(5 p^{2}+10 p+1\right)+p(p+1)\right\} /(p-1)^{4} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

WW number:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{W W}=(2-z)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{r}(p+1) p^{(j-1)} j(j+1) / 2+(1-z) p^{r}(r+1)(r+2) / 2\right]  \tag{31}\\
B_{W W}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{s=1}^{r-2}\left[p^{(s-z)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r-s}(p+1) p^{(j-1)} j(j+1) / 2+E_{W W}\right)\right]  \tag{32}\\
C_{W W}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{s=r-1}^{r} p^{(s-z)}\left[(r-s)(p+1)+E_{W W}\right] \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

## TABLE

Topological Data for Regular Dendrimers

| $p$ | $r$ | $W$ |  | $D_{\Delta}$ |  | WW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{z}=0$ | $\mathrm{z}=1$ | $\mathrm{z}=0$ | $\mathrm{z}=1$ | $\mathrm{z}=0$ | $\mathrm{z}=1$ |
| 1 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 35 | 20 | 35 | 15 | 70 | 35 |
|  | 3 | 84 | 56 | 126 | 70 | 210 | 126 |
|  | 4 | 165 | 120 | 330 | 210 | 495 | 330 |
|  | 5 | 286 | 220 | 715 | 495 | 1001 | 715 |
| 2 | 1 | 29 | 9 | 18 | 3 | 47 | 12 |
|  | 2 | 285 | 117 | 382 | 120 | 667 | 237 |
|  | 3 | 1981 | 909 | 4214 | 1626 | 6195 | 2535 |
|  | 4 | 11645 | 5661 | 34534 | 14766 | 46179 | 20427 |
|  | 5 | 62205 | 31293 | 239046 | 108630 | 301251 | 139923 |
| 3 | 1 | 58 | 16 | 39 | 6 | 97 | 22 |
|  | 2 | 1147 | 400 | 1695 | 462 | 2842 | 862 |
|  | 3 | 16564 | 6304 | 38982 | 12684 | 55546 | 18988 |
|  | 4 | 207157 | 82336 | 677910 | 240348 | 885067 | 322684 |
|  | 5 | 2392942 | 975280 | 10093917 | 3762066 | 12486859 | 4737346 |

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{W W}=p^{(r-s)}(r-s+1)(r-s+2) / 2+z p^{r}(r+s)(r+s+1) / 2+ \\
\sum_{k=1}^{s-z} p^{(r-s+k)}[(r-s+2 k)(r-s+2 k+1) / 2+(r-s+2 k+1)(r-s+2 k+2) / 2] \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Evaluation of sums in Eqs. (31) to (34) leads to Eqs. (12) and (13) presented above, thus proving that the two ways for calculating the number $W W$ are correct. Values for the three numbers in regular dendrimers with $p=1-3$ and $r=1-5$ are listed in the Table.

Note that the relations for $W$ (Eqs. (23) and (24)) are equivalent to the relations reported by Gutman et al. ${ }^{36}$ and Diudea ${ }^{37}$ and give identical numerical values. For $p=1$, dendrimers reduce to line graphs (i.e. normal alkanes)

Analytical relations and their numerical evaluation were made using the MAPLE V Computer Algebra System (Release 2).
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## SAŽETAK

Delta lbroj, $D_{\Delta}$, dendrimera
Mircea V. Diudea, Gabriel Katona i Bazil Pârv
Predložene su formule za račun novog indeksa, $D_{\Delta}$, Wienerova tipa, koje su izvedene uz pomoć pripadne matrice uporabom progresivnih stupnjeva čvorova i brojeva orbita kao parametara. Izvedena je veza indeksa $D_{\Delta} \mathrm{s}$ poznatim Wienerovim, $W$, i hiper-Wienerovim, $W W$, indeksima, te jedna nova relacija za procjenu indeksa u dendrimerima.


[^0]:    * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

