

Importance of Interincisal Index for Predicting Mesiodistal Crown Diameters of Canines and Premolars

Asja Legović¹, Barbara Mady Maričić², Ana Škrinjarčić³, Marta Žuvić Butorac⁴, Senka Meštrović⁵ and Marina Lapter Varga⁵

¹ Private practice, Poreč, Croatia

² University of Rijeka, School of Dentistry, Department of Pediatric Dental Medicine and Orthodontics, Rijeka, Croatia

³ Zagreb Dental Polyclinic, Zagreb, Croatia

⁴ University of Rijeka, Faculty of Engineering of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

⁵ University of Zagreb, School of Dental Medicine, Department of Orthodontics, Zagreb, Croatia

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to examine the accuracy of regression equation for prediction of the mesiodistal diameter (MDD) of the crowns of canines and premolars (C, P₁, P₂), and to determine whether an incisal index can serve as a reliable predictor. MDD and vestibulooral diameter (VOD) of the crowns of central and lateral incisors (I₁, I₂), C, both P₁, and P₂, and first permanent molars (M₁) in both jaws were measured on the plaster casts of 150 subjects (75 boys and 75 girls). The obtained measurements were compared and correlated with predicted values (by linear regression equation derived previously), with respect to gender, jaw side and value of the interincisal index. The correlation coefficients between measurements and predicted MDD of the C, P₁, and P₂ were calculated with respect to gender and jaw. The values varied from 0.62 to 0.81. It could be concluded that normal values of the interincisal index of the I₁ and I₂ are highly associated with measured and predicted MDD of the C, P₁, and P₂ crowns. Correlation coefficients ranged from 0.84 to 0.99.

Key words: interincisal index, mesiodistal diameter, canines, premolars

Introduction

The prediction of mesio-distal diameters (MDD) of unerupted canines and premolars (C, P₁, and P₂) has a great importance in orthodontic diagnosis. Because of X-ray exposure, problems of standardized tubus positioning¹, crown enlargement on X-rays^{2,3} and frequent premolar rotation⁴, linear regression methods⁵⁻¹⁴ are used more frequently than radiological methods alone or a combination of radiological numerical statistical methods^{2,3,15-20}. The simple correlation methods of Moyers⁷, Tanaka and Johnston⁸, Droschl⁹ and other authors^{5,10} have proved to be inaccurate in different populations^{12,21-30}, including the Croatian as well³¹. While exploring the suitability of the regression methods of Bachman¹¹, Gross-Hasund¹² and Trankmann et al.¹³ for the Croatian population, it was found that their accuracy was much higher³¹. In one of our previous studies we established linear regression equation for the Croatian population³²,

enables to estimate the widths of unerupted canines and premolars in both dental arches for boys and girls by using three to five predictors. The purpose of the present study was to explore the suitability of established prediction models for the Croatian population on a larger sample and to check whether the relation between the MDD of permanent maxillary and mandibular incisors can at start indicate when this method can be used.

Material and Methods

Plaster casts of 150 children (75 boys and 75 girls) aged 14 to 18 years treated in orthodontic clinics in the city of Zagreb, Croatia were examined. The following selection criteria were used: permanent dentition in both jaws; teeth fully erupted to occlusal plane; no obvious

anomalies regarding number, form, size and structure; intact mesiodistal and vestibulooral surfaces of the crowns; aligned arches to allow relatively easy and consistent measurement. The MDD and vestibulo-oral diameters (VOD) of the I₁, I₂, C, P₁ and P₂ and M₁ were measured on the plaster cast in all four segments. The measurements were made according to the method of Seipel⁵ using an electronic digital caliper, 150 mm HS/R3/1A, from Knuth GmbH + Co., Werkzeugmaschinen KG, with accuracy of 0.01 mm. The measuring surfaces of the digital cliper were narrowed to achieve precise approach to interdental spaces. Before the measurement, the caliper was calibrated at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture of the University in Zagreb. All variables (MDD and VOD of the I₁, I₂, C, P₁ and P₂) were measured twice within a two week period to determine consistency in the measurements (test-retest reliability). The final variables were obtained as arithmetic mean of the two measurement values. The sum of MDD of unerupted C, P₁ and P₂ was determined using the following regression equations:

1) Girls' upper jaw:

$$\text{MDD} + C, P_1, P_2 + = 1.415(\text{MDD } 32) + 0.386(\text{MDD } 36) + 0.398(\text{VOD } 31) - 0.725(\text{VOD } 32) + 0.277(\text{VOD } 26) + 7.80$$

2) Girls' lower jaw:

$$\text{MDD} - C, P_1, P_2 + = 0.916(\text{MDD } 32) + 0.430(\text{MDD } 36) + 0.414(\text{VOD } 26) + 6.28$$

3) Boys' upper jaw:

$$\text{MDD} + C, P_1, P_2 + = 1.003(\text{MDD } 32) + 0.444C, P_1, P_2 + (\text{MDD } 22) + 0.490(\text{MDD } 26) + 0.385(\text{VOD } 26) + 3.34$$

4) Boys' lower jaw:

$$\text{MDD} - C, P_1, P_2 + = 1.019(\text{MDD } 32) + 0.430(\text{MDD } 36) + 0.497(\text{VOD } 32) + 0.611(\text{MDD } 22) + 3.45$$

The interincisal index was measured as the sums of MDD crowns of all upper and lower permanent incisors. Values ranging from 0.72 to 0.76 were considered normal. The data were statistically evaluated using data analysis software system STATISTICA, version 7.1., StatSoft, Inc. (2005). To test the normality, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Lillefor's tests were applied. The normal distribution of variables was checked and the variables were described by means and standard deviations. The analysis of differences was done using Student's t-test or Wilcoxon matched pairs test, where appropriate. Correlation between the variables was presented by Pearson's correlation coefficient r, its squared value r² (coefficient of determination, which estimates common variation of the two variables) and the correspondent level of statistical significance, p.

Results

All variables were measured twice, by the same examiner, with a two-week time interval between measure-

ments. The difference between the first and the second measurement was found to be very small, ranging from 0.48 to 0.62%, and consequently the final variable value was determined as arithmetic mean of the two measurements. Mesio-distal (MDD) and vestibulo-oral diameters (VOOD) were measured separately for the left and right side of the jaw (homologous teeth). The differences between values on the left and right side were tested by t-test for dependent samples. The analysis revealed no statistically significant differences for both genders. Therefore, arithmetic means were calculated for the purpose of further data analyses. Comparison of the MDD of crowns between the two gender groups, showed that

TABLE 1
VALUES OF MESIODISTAL DIAMETERS OF CROWNS OF HOMOLOGOUS TEETH AND THEIR COMPARISON WITH RESPECT TO GENDER

Homologous teeth	All	Girls	Boys
11 & 21	8.63±0.51	8.53±0.45	8.72±0.55*
12 & 22	6.70±0.60	6.68±0.53	6.72±0.66
13 & 23	7.85±0.45	7.67±0.31	8.03±0.50***
14 & 24	7.04±0.45	6.94±0.39	7.15±0.48**
15 & 25	6.76±0.46	6.65±0.40	6.87±0.49**
16 & 26	10.32±0.61	10.11±0.52	10.52±0.62***
31 & 41	5.37±0.36	5.32±0.35	5.42±0.36
32 & 42	5.94±0.37	5.86±0.33	6.02±0.39**
33 & 43	6.81±0.42	6.59±0.26	7.02±0.43***
34 & 44	7.15±0.42	7.05±0.32	7.24±0.49**
35 & 45	7.26±0.48	7.16±0.43	7.37±0.51**
36 & 46	10.97±0.67	10.78±0.58	11.17±0.69***

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

TABLE 2
VALUES OF VESTIBULOORAL DIAMETERS OF HOMOLOGOUS TEETH AND THEIR COMPARISON WITH RESPECT TO GENDER

Homologous teeth	All	Girls	Boys
11 & 21	7.19±0.56	7.08±0.47	7.30±0.61*
12 & 22	6.40±0.59	6.28±0.51	6.52±0.63**
13 & 23	8.13±0.62	7.89±0.46	8.37±0.67***
14 & 24	9.34±0.65	9.15±0.49	9.52±0.74***
15 & 25	9.46±0.64	9.26±0.48	9.66±0.72***
16 & 26	11.34±0.66	11.11±0.57	11.57±0.66***
31 & 41	6.05±0.46	5.98±0.40	6.13±0.50*
32 & 42	6.29±0.43	6.24±0.35	6.33±0.50
33 & 43	7.36±0.56	7.21±0.41	7.50±0.65***
34 & 44	7.81±0.52	7.60±0.41	8.01±0.54***
35 & 45	8.53±0.54	8.36±0.40	8.70±0.61***
36 & 46	10.59±0.56	10.36±0.46	10.82±0.55***

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

TABLE 3
SUMS OF MESIODISTAL DIAMETERS OF CROWNS OF UPPER AND LOWER INCISORS AND INTERINCISAL INDEX AND THEIR COMPARISON WITH RESPECT TO GENDER

Teeth	All	Girls	Boys
11&21&12&22	30.66±1.98	30.42±1.68	30.89±2.23
31&41&32&42	22.62±1.36	22.37±1.27	22.87±1.40*
Interincisal index	0.74±0.04	0.74±0.03	0.74±0.04

* p<0.05

boys had significantly wider MDD of crowns, apart from the case of both lateral upper and both central lower incisors (Table 1). Boys also had significantly wider VOD of crowns than girls, apart from the diameters of both lateral lower incisors (Table 2). Sums of MDD of the upper incisors did not differ significantly with respect to gender, although the sum of MDD of the lower incisors was significantly lower for girls (Table 3). The value of interincisal index (Table 3) for both genders was similar, apart from the fact that in the group of boys the spread of values was greater (due to the greater spread of the sums of diameters of the upper incisors in that group). The values of MDD of the P1 and P2 were calculated using regression equation already established in previous stud-

ies. These predicted values were compared with the measured values, which showed no statistically significant differences (Table 4). The correlation between the predicted and measured values was highly significant particularly in the group of boys. Comparison for the lower jaw showed significantly stronger correlation in the group of boys (0.833 vs. 0.624, p=0.006) although it was not the same for the same comparison in the lower jaw (0.813 vs. 0.730, p=0.216). From the distribution of the absolute differences in the measured and predicted MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ values (Table 5) it can be seen that the majority of values (boys 80% in the upper and 82.1% in the lower jaw; girls 90.7% in the upper and 86.6% in the lower jaw) lie in the interval from -1.0 to +1.0 mm. Comparison of the predicted and measured MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ was also performed with respect to the interincisal index (Table 6). The analysis showed that the calculated and measured values of MDD of C, P₁ and P₂ had strongest correlation in the groups of subjects with interincisal index, ranging from 0.72 to 0.76.

Discussion

The reliability of regression method for prediction of MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂, determined for the Croatian

TABLE 4
COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND PREDICTED VALUES OF SUMS OF MESIODISTAL CROWN DIAMETERS OF CANINE AND PREMOLARS IN UPPER AND LOWER JAW AND CORRELATION BETWEEN MEASURED AND PREDICTED VALUES

Gender	Jaw	MD345 measured	MD345 predicted	r	r ²
Girls	Upper	21.26±0.94	21.19±0.71	0.730***	0.533
	Lower	20.81±0.82	20.87±0.65	0.624***	0.390
Boys	Upper	22.05±1.30	21.95±1.03	0.813***	0.661
	Lower	21.63±1.31	21.64±1.06	0.833***	0.694

MD345 – sum of mesiodistal crown diameters of canine and premolars; r – correlation coefficient; r² – squared correlation coefficient (variance explained); *** p<0.001

TABLE 5
DISTRIBUTION OF ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MEASURED AND PREDICTED VALUES OF MESIODISTAL CROWN DIAMETERS OF CANINE AND PREMOLARS WITH RESPECT TO JAW AND GENDER

Differences between measured and predicted MDD345	Girls				Boys			
	Upper jaw		Lower jaw		Upper jaw		Lower jaw	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
-2.0 ≤ X < -1.5	1	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.7
-1.5 ≤ X < -1.0	1	1.3	6	8.0	5	6.7	5	6.7
-1.0 ≤ X < -0.5	14	18.7	12	16.0	12	16.0	11	14.7
-0.5 ≤ X < 0.0	16	21.3	21	28.0	18	24.0	21	28.0
0.0 ≤ X < 0.5	24	32.0	22	29.3	17	22.7	17	22.7
0.5 ≤ X < 1.0	14	18.7	10	13.3	13	17.3	14	18.7
1.0 ≤ X < 1.5	4	5.3	3	4.0	9	12.0	4	5.3
1.5 ≤ X < 2.0	1	1.3	1	1.3	1	1.3	1	1.3

MD345 – sum of mesiodistal crown diameters of canine and premolars

TABLE 6
MEASURED AND PREDICTED VALUES OF MESIODISTAL CROWN DIAMETERS OF CANINES AND PREMOLARS IN GROUPS OF INTERINCISAL INDEX WITH RESPECT TO JAW AND GENDER

Gender	Jaw	TI	MD345 measured	MD345 predicted	r	r ²
Girls	Upper	<0.72	21.32±0.83	21.10±0.69*	0.685***	0.470
		0.72–0.76	20.31±1.35	20.58±0.80	0.906*	0.821
		>0.76	21.37±0.91	21.42±0.65	0.720***	0.519
	Lower	<0.72	20.80±0.79	20.74±0.60	0.490**	0.240
		0.72–0.76	20.35±1.34	20.35±0.78	0.991***	0.983
		>0.76	20.91±0.74	21.13±0.61*	0.660***	0.435
Boys	Upper	<0.72	22.36±1.42	22.10±1.20	0.843***	0.711
		0.72–0.76	21.41±1.34	21.40±0.99	0.942**	0.887
		>0.76	21.84±1.11	21.88±0.82	0.717***	0.514
	Lower	<0.72	21.84±1.48	21.73±1.25	0.889***	0.791
		0.72–0.76	20.50±1.01	20.91±1.12	0.844*	0.712
		>0.76	21.61±1.09	21.68±0.79	0.698***	0.487

TI – interincisal index; MD345 – sum of mesiodistal crown diameters of canine and premolars; r – correlation coefficient; r² – squared correlation coefficient (variance explained); * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

population³² was examined. The results of the analyses showed high correlations between the measured and predicted values (0.73 for girls and 0.83 for boys in the maxillary and 0.62 for girls and 0.85 for boys in the mandible), indicating the reliability of the method. The method uses three predictors for MDD and three for VOD. Nourlah et al.²⁷ used four predictors and obtained, correlation from 0.72 in the maxilla to 0.74 in the mandible. The coefficients obtained using the regression equations provided by other authors for the Croatian population, were also lower³¹. Paredes³³ also used four predictors and obtained correlation in the order of 0.81. Other authors used MDD of the crowns as predictors. Bishara et al.³⁴ found that the differences of MDD of the crowns between populations were smaller than VOD. The study of Otuvani et al.³⁵ showed that population differences of VOD of crowns were greater for the upper canine and lower central incisor. According to Barnabeu et al.¹⁴, the values of MDD and VOD of crowns were not influenced by the intensity of crowding in the dental arch. In contrast to their findings, Doris et al.³⁶ reported that MDD of crowns were different, where the difference was based on intensity of crowding in the dental arch. VOD of crowns was used in regression equation by several authors^{4,12}. In this study, correlation between the measured and predicted value of MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ was stronger for the boys. The same findings were also reported by the group of authors analyzing the reliability of eight methods for predicting MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ for the Croatian population³¹. Again, stronger correlations between the measured and predicted MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ in boys

were found in a study by Panchers et al.³⁷. On the contrary, Moorrees et al.³⁸ and Mietke⁶ demonstrated better correlation results in girls. Interincisal index (quotient of MDD of the crowns of upper and lower incisors) can be determined at the age of eight years. According to numerous authors this values can range from 0.60 to 0.100 (Tonn 67–81³⁹, Hanuschke 60–85⁴⁰, Birkenhoven 70–100⁴¹, Schubert 69–80⁴²). According to those authors, the mean value of the index ranges from 0.71 to 0.74. In this study, mean value interval was established as ranging from 0.72 to 0.76. Computation of the correlation coefficients between the measured and predicted MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ for both jaws and genders, showed strong association (correlation coefficients ranging from 0.84 to 0.90) in the group with normal interincisal index. The obtained results show reliable prediction of MDD of the C, P₁ and P₂ in cases with the interincisal index in the normal interval. They are in accordance with results obtained by Paredes et al.³³.

Conclusion

The obtained correlation coefficients between measured and predicted MDD of C, P₁ and P₂ were high and varied from 0.62 to 0.81. Correlations were considerably stronger in the subgroups showing normal values of interincisal index (ranged from 0.72 to 0.76). Interincisal index can serve as an important indicator for reliable prediction of MDD of nonerupted C, P₁ and P₂ applying regression equation method.

REFERENCES

1. BALLARD ML, WYLIE WL, *Am J Orthod and Oral Surg*, 33 (1947) 754. DOI: 10.1016/S0096-6347(47)90073-2. — 2. LUTZ B, *Dtsch Stomat*, 19 (1969) 769. — 3. GARDNER RG, *Am J Orthod*, 75 (1979) 387. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9416(79)90160-X. — 4. INGERVALL B, LENARTSSON B, *Angle Orthod*, 48 (1978) 62. — 5. SEIPEL CM, Variations of tooth position. MS Thesis (University of Uppsala, Uppsala, 1946). — 6. MIETHKE RR, Zahnbreiten und Zahnbreitenkorrelationen. MS Thesis (University of Berlin, Berlin, 1972). — 7. MOYERS RE, *Handbook of orthodontics* (Year Book Medical Publ., Chicago, 1974). — 8. TANAKA MM, JOHNSTON LE, *J Am Dent Assoc*, 88 (1974) 798. — 9. DROSHL H, GOLLER J, SAGER K, Über die Anwendung der Wahrscheinlichkeitstafeln (probability charts) von Moyers (Zahnärztlich-medizinisches Schrifttum, München, 1977). — 10. LEGOVIĆ M, Vrednovanje morfološko-rendgenoloških nalaza u mješovitog denticiji s posebnim osvrtom na prerani gubitak zubi i njihove posljedice. PhD Thesis. In Croat (University of Zagreb, Zagreb, 1980). — 11. BACHMANN S, *Forschr Kieferorthop*, 47 (1986) 79. — 12. GROSS A, HASUND A, *Forschr Kieferorthop*, 50 (1989) 109. — 13. TRÁNKMANN J, MOHRMANN G, THENN P, *Forschr Kieferorthop*, 51 (1990) 189. — 14. BERNABE E, VILLANUEVA M, FLORES MIR C, *Angle Orthod*, 74 (2004) 765. — 15. NANCE HN, *Am J Orthod*, 33 (1947) 177. DOI: 10.1016/0096-6347(47)90264-0. — 16. HIXON EH, OLDFATHER RE, *Angle Orthod*, 28 (1958) 236. — 17. STALEY RN, SHELLY TH, MARTIN JF, *Am J Orthod*, 76 (1979) 300. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9416(79)90026-5. — 18. STALEY RN, KERBER PE, *Am J Orthod*, 78 (1980) 296. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9416(80)90274-2. — 19. DE PAULA S, DE OLIVEIRA MA, LEE PCF, *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*. 107 (1995) 309. DOI: 10.1016/S0889-5406(95)70147-8. — 20. LIMA EM, MONNERAT ME, LIMA MARTINELLI F, MARTINELLI DE LIMA E, ROCHA R, TIRRE-ARAUJO MS, *Angle Orthod*, 75 (2005) 805. — 21. YUEN KK, TANG EL, SO LL, *Angle Orthod*, 68 (1998) 21. — 22. FRANKEL HH, BENZ EM, *Pediatr Dent*, 8 (1986) 226. — 23. MOTOKAWA W, OZAKI M, SOEJIMA Y, YOSHIDA Y, *J Dent Child*, 54 (1987) 114. — 24. AL KHADRA BH, *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*, 104 (1993) 396. — 25. SCHIRMER UR, WILTSHIRE WA, *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*, 112 (1997) 545. DOI: 10.1016/S0889-5406(97)70082-9. — 26. LEE CHAN S, JACOBSON BN, CHWA KH, JACOBSON RS, *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*, 113 (1998) 293. — 27. NOURLLAH AW, GESCH D, KHORDAJI N, SPLIETH CH, *Angle Orthod*, 72 (2002) 216. — 28. FLORES MIR C, BERNABE E, CAMUS C, CARHUAYO MA, MAJOR PW, *Orthod Craniofac Res*, 6 (2003) 173. — 29. TOTLA R, FAYLE SA, *Pediatr Dent*, 25 (2003) 350. — 30. HASHIM HA, AL SHALAN TA, *J Contem Dent Pract*, 4 (2003) 40. — 31. LEGOVIĆ M, NOVOSEL A, ŠKRINJARIĆ T, LEGOVIĆ A, MADY B, IVANČIĆ N, *Eur J Orthod*, 28 (2006) 485. — 32. LEGOVIĆ M, NOVOSEL A, LEGOVIĆ A, *Angle Orthod*, 73 (2003) 314. — 33. PAREDES V, GANDIA JL, CIBRIAN R, *Angle Orthod*, 76 (2006) 14. — 34. BISHARA SE, JACOBSEN JR, ABDALLAH E, GARCIA AF, *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop*, 96 (1989) 416. DOI: 10.1016/0889-5406(89)90326-0. — 35. OTUVENI OD, NOAR JH, *Eur J Orthod*, 18 (1996) 623. — 36. DORIS JM, BERNARD BW, KUFTINEC MM, *Am J Orthod*, 79 (1981) 326. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9416(81)90080-4. — 37. PANCHERZ H, SCHAFFER C, *J Orofac Orthop*, 60 (1999) 227. DOI: 10.1007/BF01299781. — 38. MOORREES CFA, CHADHA JM, *J Dent Res*, 41 (1962) 466. DOI: 10.1177/00220345620410022001. — 39. TONN P, Über die mesio-distalen Zahnbreiten Relationen der Zähne des Oberkiefers zu den entsprechenden des Unterkiefers bei normaler und anormaler Occlusion. MS Thesis. In German (University of Berlin, Berlin, 1937). — 40. HANUSCHKE M, Verhältnis der oberen zu den unteren Schneiderzahnbreiten. MS Thesis. In German (University of Mainz, Mainz, 1952). — 41. BIRKENHOVEN R, Beziehungen der Schneiderzahnbreiten zueinander und zur Gesichtsbreite. MS Thesis. In German (University of Mainz, Mainz, 1955). — 42. SCHUBERT H, Genetische Einflüsse auf die Zahnbreitenquotienten bei Deckbiss und Progenien. MS Thesis. In German (University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, 1966).

B. Mady Maričić

University of Rijeka, School of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics, Rijeka, Krešimirova 40, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
e-mail: barbara.mady@ri.t-com.hr

VAŽNOST INTERINCIZALNOG INDEKSA KOD PREDSKAZIVANJA MEZIODISTALNIH DIJAMETARA KRUNA OČNJAKA I PRETKUTNJAKA

SAŽETAK

Svrha ovog rada bila je ispitati točnost regresijske jednadžbe za predskazivanje meziodistalnih dijametara (MDD) kruna očnjaka i pretkutnjaka (P1,P2) koja je postavljena za hrvatsku populaciju, te utvrditi da li iznos indeksa suma MDD kruna trajnih sjekutića maksile i mandibule može biti pouzdan pokazatelj točnosti njene primjene. Na 150 sadrenih odljeva (75 dječaka i 75 djevojčica) izmjereni su MDD i vestibulooralni promjeri (VOD) kruna centralnih i postraničnih sjekutića, očnjaka, oba pretkutnjaka i prvog trajnog kutnjaka na obje strane u obje čeljusti. Izmjerene vrijednosti MDD kruna C, P₁ i P₂ uspoređene su i korelirane s predviđenim vrijednostima utvrđenih regresijskom jednadžbom u odnosu na spol, čeljust i iznos međučeljusnog sjekutičnog indeksa. Koeficijent korelacije između izmjerenih i predviđenih suma MDD kruna C, P₁ i P₂ utvrđen je u odnosu na spol i čeljust. Njegove vrijednosti kretale su se od 0.62 do 0.81. Može se zaključiti da su normalne vrijednosti indeksa suma MDD kruna I₁ i I₂ obje čeljusti značajno povezane sa izmjerenim i predviđenim sumama MDD kruna C, P₁ i P₂. Vrijednosti spomenutog indeksa koje odstupaju od normale ukazuju da će preciznost predviđanja suma MDD kruna C, P₁ i P₂ regresijskom analizom biti umanjena.