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## 0. INTRODUCTION

During the past decade the linguistic picture for the Territory of Papua and New Guinea has been brought considerably nearer completion. In 1960, S.A. Wurm published his lexicostatistical classification of the Highlands languages and since that time a number of lexicostatistical classifications relating to other parts of the Territory have been completed: Bougainville (Allen and Hurd 1965); the Central District (Dutton 1969, Steinkraus and Pence 1964); the D'Entrecasteaux Islands (Lithgow and Staalsen 1965); the Gulf District (Franklin 1968); the Madang District (Z'graggen 1969) ; the Morobe District (Hooley and McElhanon 1970, Wilson 1969); New Britain (Chowning 1969); New Ireland (Lithgow and Claassen 1968); the Northern District (Dutton 1969, Wilson 1969); the Sepik area (Healey 1964, Laycock 1965, 1968, Dye, Townsend and Townsend 1968) ; and the Western District (Healey 1964, Voorhoeve 1968).

The present paper is the result of preliminary studies toward filling in yet another gap of the linguistic picture. Hooley and McElhanon (1968) surveyed the Morobe District languages and noted the existence of a large group of non-Austronesian (NAN) languages. This group stretches from Umboi Island in the east through the Huon Peninsula and along the Finisterre mountain range into the southeastern Madang District. In an addendum to the paper, McElhanon provisionally named the group the Finisterre-Huon Micro-phylum consisting of two stocks - the Finisterre Stock and the Huon Stock - and a language isolate on Umboi Island. Although the eastern boundary of the micro-phylum was known, the western boundary was indeterminate.

A survey of the Madang District languages by Z'graggen (1969) left an area of an unknown number of languages in the eastern Ramu Sub-district and the Saidor Sub-district. This area lay between the Madang Phylum as posited by 2 'graggen (1969:40-69) and the Finisterre-Huon Micro-phylum as posited by McElhanon (Hooley and McElhanon 1968). In order to determine the degree of relationship between these two phyla Claassen surveyed the languages of the Saidor Sub-district and the Bogadjim, Kabenau, Naho-Rawa, Dumpu-Kaigulan and Urigina-Kesawai census divisions of the Ramu Sub-district. This paper constitutes an initial step towards clarifying the knowledge of the linguistic situation of that area and provides preliminary remarks regarding the Finisterre Stock. ${ }^{1}$

The languages discussed in this paper are spoken on either side of the Saruwaged and Finisterre mountain ranges (see map) stretching from the area of the Boana Patrol Post near Lae northeasterly into the Madang District as far as the Kabenau River. Portions of the upper Ramu valley, the Astrolabe Bay area and the Rai coast are also included. The people dwell in hamlets and villages of a dozen to 600 inhabitants located on the mountain slopes below an altitude of 7000 feet and in the valley flats and coastal areas.

## 1. CLASSIFICATION

Two of a number of problems confront the field worker who attempts a lexicostatistical classification of New Guinea languages. The first of these is the problem of dialect chains; e.g., in A-B-C-D-E, A is mutually intelligible with $B, B$ with $C$ and so on. Dialects $A$ and $D$, however, may be unintelligible. The problem confronting the classifier concerns drawing the boundary separating dialects $A$ and $D$ which apparently represent different languages. Although a number of scholars would undoubtedly consider all the dialects to represent a single language, the
present writers have divided such dialect chains into separate languages on the basis of the native speakers' judgments. ${ }^{2}$ A related problem concerns the question of language or dialect. ${ }^{3}$ It was found that the borderline between language and dialect as judged by native speakers generally coincided with $70-75 \%$ shared basic vocabulary. Thus when a lexicostatistical percentage was at the borderline of language and dialect, resort was made to the natives' judgments as well as structural criteria when it was available.

The basic vocabulary list upon which this study is based consists of a selection of 139 1tems from Swadesh's lists of 100 and 215 1tems (Swadesh 1955b). In determining probable cognates the writers used established sound correspondences whenever possible. Otherwise the "inspection method" as outlined by Gudschinsky (1956) was followed. In coding the list for computer counting a particular item was numbered serially throughout the list of languages with identical numbers being given to items considered as cognate. By so doing, the determination of cognates was done with a minimum of interruption in the hope of recording consistent decisions. The counting was done at the Australian National University on an IBM $360 / 50$ computer and the results are presented in Table 1. The upper right triangle presents the percentage of shared vocabulary and the lower left triangle presents the number of 1tems compared.

In classifying the languages the following percentages of shared vocabulary were generally followed in separating levels: c. 75-100\%, dialects of the same language; 28-75\%, languages within the same family; 12-28\%, families within the same stock; 4-12\%, stocks within the same micro-phylum. Using these percentages as a starting point, a number of groupings are posited. The languages from the Boana area along both slopes of the Saruwaged and Finisterre ranges northeast to the Rawa language near Dumpu constitute one group of interrelated languages which is named the Finisterre Stock. This stock of languages, the Huon Stock and a language isolate on Umboi Island form the Finisterre-Huon Mcrophylum. The majority of the remaining non-Austronesian languages in the upper Ramu area and the western Rai Coast apparently constitute another group of interrelated languages which may be tentatively included in the Madang Phylum. Lexicostatistical percentages indicate the possible existence of a single stock, the Rai Coast Stock, which consists of the Usino, Evapia, Kabenau and Yaganon families. The Bongu, Gusap and Abaga languages are not classified.
2. THE FINISTERRE STOCK (POP. 46,000)

The Finisterre Stock may be sub-divided into six families of languages


## LANGUAGE KEY for table I

| 1. Komutu | 9. Som |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Mamelengan | 10. Kewieng |
| 3. Kumdauron | 11. Nokopo |
| 4. Worin | 12. Domung |
| 5. Mitmit | 13. Nankina |
| 6. Mup | 14. Awara |
| 7. Sindamon | 15. Leron |
| 8. Sakam | 16. Wantoat |

Finisterre Stock
17. Saseng
18. Bam
19. Yagawak
20. Irumu
21. Mamaa
22. Uri
23. Finungwan
24. Gusan

| 25. Nimi | 33. Asat |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26. Sauk | 34. Morafa |
| 27. Numanggang | 35. Qira |
| 28. Nakama | 36. Ngaing |
| 29. Nek | 37. Neko |
| 30. Nuk | 38. Nekgini |
| 31. Munkip | 39. Ufim |
| 32. Degenan | 40. Nahu |
|  | 41. Rawa |
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LANGUAGE KEY FOR TABLE 1 (contd.)
Rai Coast Stock

| 42. Yabong | 49. Watiwa |
| :--- | :--- |
| 43. Saep | 50. Usino |
| 44. Oanglau | 51. Sinsauru |
| 45. Kolom | 52. Koropa |
| 46. Suroi | 53. Taga |
| 47. Lemio | 54. Kaikovu |
| 48. Qurumbu | 55. Bagasin |

and a language isolate. There are no clearly defined borders for most of these families because of the problem of the languages forming chains. For most of the languages, the only data available are the test lists of vocabulary so that not enough structural features of the individual languages are known for structural criteria to be of use in clarifying the classification. For the moment, the languages which show a high lexical relationship to more than one family will be listed with the family exhibiting the closest lexical relationship.

Table 2 indicates the relationship between member families of the Finisterre Stock. Column one presents the percentage range of shared basic vocabulary items in the stock. The remaining columns tabulate the number of language comparisons indicating a particular percentage of lexical relationship when the member languages of two given families were compared. Thus, column two shows that when the seven languages of the Gusap-Mot Family were compared with the three languages of the Warup Family, two of the comparisons indicated 8\% lexicostatistical relationship, two indicated 9\% relationship, one indicated $10 \%$ relationship; there were no relationships at ll\% (so indicated by a blank), two indicated $12 \%$ relationship and so on. In this way the degree of relationship between any two families may be quickly ascertained. For example, the Uruwa and Erap families share $13-36 \%$ of the basic vocabulary but the tabulation indicates that most comparisons fall between 18\% and $24 \%$ shared basic vocabulary.

### 2.1. THE GUSAP-MOT FAMILY (POP. 14,000)

This is the westernmost family in the Finisterre Stock. 4 Except for the Ufim language in the Morobe District, all members are within the Madang District. The languages within this family show a range of 1976\% shared vocabulary. There appear to be three sub-groups within the family: (1) Gira; (2) Ngaing, Neka, Nekgini; and (3) Nahu, Rawa and Ufim.
2.1.1. The Gira language is spoken by about 400 people living in an area along the coast and extending about six miles inland west of Saidor in the Mot census division. Two dialects, $90 \%$ related, are represented by the villages of Yeimas and Wab. The villages of Nampa and Suang east of the Nankina River are reported to speak the same language. This language appears to be the most divergent of the family with its highest lexicostatistical relationship at 45\% (with Neko) and the lowest at 19\% (with Ufim).
2.1.2. The Ngaing language is spoken by $800-900$ people inhabiting the
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Gusap-Mot : Warup
Gusap-Mot : Yupna
Gusap-Mot : Uruwa
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hinterland between the Nankina and Mot rivers. The word lists for this language are from the villages of Amun and Busaka near the eastern boundary of the language. Reports from Ngaing, Neko, and Nekgini informants indicate the presence of a dialect chain linking the three languages. A vocabulary list from a more central village would probably reveal a closer lexical relationship to the Neko and Nekgini languages than those reported here.
2.1.3. The Neko language is spoken by 200 people in two coastal villages west of the Mot River. The vocabulary list is from Warai village near Biliau (Lutheran) mission station.


#### Abstract

2.1.4. The Nekgini language is very closely related to the Neko language and subsequent study may show these two languages to be dialects of a single language. The 450 speakers of this language live in five inland villages west of the Mot River. There are two dialects represented by the villages of Sorang in the west and Reite in the east, about $90 \%$ related. The relationship of the Neko language to these dialects is about 70\%.


2.1.5. The 5,400 speakers of the Nahu language live in villages on both sides of the Finisterre Range; on the northern slopes along the headwaters of the Mot and Yangda rivers, and on the southern slopes along the upper Gusap River. The villages of Yorkia and Matoko on the northern slopes and the villages of Sewe and Budemu on the southern slopes represent four dialects about 95\% interrelated.


#### Abstract

2.1.6. Although the Rawa language is the largest (pop. 6000) in this family and covers an extensive area, there are only slight dialectal variations. On the northern slopes the language is spoken in the western villages of Gur, Bangri and Usau and throughout the tributaries of the Yaganon River and in the middle Yangda River valley. On the southern slopes it is spoken along the upper Bura, Lanu, Surinam, and Uria rivers. The people of the northeasternmost villages of Ramba, Koiaku, Guti, and Kurei were reported by Rawa informants to speak Rawa and by Nahu informants to speak Nahu.


2.1.7. The Ufim language (pop. 500) is the southernmost language of this family and is spoken in a number of villages along the upper Umi and Ufim rivers in the Morobe District.

### 2.2. THE WARUP FAMILY (POP. 1700 )

The Warup language family is located along the Rai coast from Saidor eastward to the Yaut River. Three languages are in the family. Their relationsh1ps are: Degenan-Asat, 37\%; Asat-Morafa, 34\%; Morafa-Degenan, 27\%.
2.2.1. The Asat language is spoken by 500 people living on the coastal plain between the Yaut and Warup rivers. Informants stated that the people of Monara and Guiarak villages speak either a divergent dialect of Asat or a different language.
2.2.2. About 300 people in three villages near the mouth of the Warup River are reported to speak the Degenan language. Two villages, Sel and Seure, are said to be composed of speakers of Degenan as well as speakers of the Austronesian language, Moromiranga (see 5.3.). People in the village of Mamgak are said to speak a divergent dialect of Degenan or perhaps a different language.
2.2.3. The extent of the Morafa language remains undetermined. Vocabulary lists from three villages, Baru, Kasu, and Somek, located southeast of Saidor reveal only slight dialectal differences.
2.3. The Dahating language (pop. undetermined) is a language isolate spoken in a number of villages south of Saidor. A vocabulary list of 45 items from the village of Wilwilan indicates the following relationships with the languages of the Warup family: Morafa, 20\%; Degenan, 17\%; Asat, 15\%. Comparison with the languages of the Gusap-Mot Family yields relationships of 9-16\%.

### 2.4. THE YUPNA FAMILY (POP. 7000 )

Four languages, and probably five, belong to this family. The languages are between 34-58\% interrelated and are spoken on the northern slopes of the Finisterre Range on both sides of the Madang-Morobe district boundary.
2.4.1. The Nankina language is spoken by about 1800 people in the upper Nankina River valley.
2.4.2. The Domung language is spoken by about 850 people in a number of villages near Tapen (Lutheran) mission station.
2.4.3. The language spoken at Nokopo village is tentatively classified as a language distinct from that spoken at Kewieng village. There is a
great amount of dialectal variation within the Yupna River valley. Although the lexicostatistical relationship is only $57 \%$ a number of informants have said that the villages of upper Yupna valley, including Nokopo and Kewieng, represent a single language. This area, together with the Uruwa area to the east exhibit conditions particularly suitable to a sociolinguistic study.
2.4.4. The Kewieng language (pop. 2300) is reported to be spoken with considerable dialectal variation in eight villages of the upper Yupna valley in the Morobe District.
2.4.5. One other language has been reported to be spoken at the villages of Bonkiman and Wandabong (pop. c.500). No word list was obtained but informants stated that the language is similar to the Kewieng language and so it is provisionally included in the Yupna family.

### 2.5. THE URUWA FAMILY (POP. 2300 )

The Uruwa basin contains a number of widely scattered villages with small populations. These villages represent a chain of languages and one informant from a central village, who regularly patrolled the area for the administration (D.A.S.F.), stated that the villages in the upper Uruwa represented a single language rather than the five languages reported in this paper. The individual status of these languages needs to be confirmed by further research. The languages share 26-75\% of the basic vocabulary.
2.5.1. The Som language is spoken at the village of Gorgiok (pop. 80). Its high lexical relationship of $28-37 \%$ with the Yupna languages may be the result of its location on the main trail linking the Yupna and Uruwa valleys. Its lexical relationship with the remaining Uruwa languages varies from 25-40\%.
2.5.2. The Sakam language (pop. 400) is the most divergent of the remaining Uruwa languages. It is spoken in three villages, Sakam, Kundem, and Dinabat, in the foothills south of the Som River, and in one village, Kamdarang, south of the Saruwaged Range in the Erap census division.
2.5.3. The remaining languages of the Uruwa basin form a subgroup of five languages sharing between $60-75 \%$ of the basic vocabulary. These languages are: Sindamon (pop. 150) spoken south of the Som River; Mup (pop. 100) and Mitmit (pop. 100) spoken in villages of the same names
on the west side of the upper Uruwa valley; Worin (pop. 400) spoken in the villages of Worin, Yawan and Gotet on the east side of the upper Uruwa valley; and Kumdauron (pop. 400) spoken at the villages of Kumdauron, Sapmanga and Boksawin on the east bank of the middle Uruwa River.
2.5.4. The Komutu language is spoken in a number of small villages in the lower Timbe valley and along the coastal ridge. Word lists obtained in Komutu and Hamelengan villages indicate two dialects, 83\% related. The people, who number about 500, are gradually being assimilated by the more populous Timbe $(10,000)$ people.
2.5.5. Another language which may be within the Uruwa family is spoken at the villages of Karangi and Weleki in the lower Timbe and Selepet areas. Assimilation of the people by both the Timbe and Selepet peoples is in an advanced stage and word lists collected from the two villages show considerable borrowing from these languages.

### 2.6. THE WANTOAT FAMILY (POP. 95001

This family of languages stretches from the Leron valley in the west along the southern slopes of the Saruwaged Range to the Irumu valley in the east. The family is dominated by the Wantoat language which represents more than half of the total population. Member languages share 28-70\% of the basic vocabulary.
2.6.1. The Awara language is spoken by 900 people in the upper Leron valley. Davis (1967) regards it as a dialect of Wantoat. The lexicostatistical relationship, however, is only 61\% and so in this paper it is classed as a separate language. Davis is preparing a dialectal study of his greater Wantoat language and results should be forthcoming.
2.6.2. The Leron language (pop. 500) is spoken in the villages of the middle Leron valley below the Awara villages. The individual status of this language is based on lexicostatistical relationships of 69\% with Awara and $70 \%$ with Wantoat. Davis also considers this to be a divergent dialect of Wantoat.
2.6.3. The Wantoat language (pop. 5000) is spoken in the villages along the Wantoat River and eastward to the Bam River. Davis (personal communication) reports considerable dialectal variation.
2.6.4. The Bam language, spoken by 600 people living in four villages
along the Bam River, in part of a chain linking the western languages of the Wantoat Family with those in the Irumu and Erap valleys to the east.
2.6.5. The Yagawak language (pop. 400), spoken in three villages south of the Bam language, completes the chain between Wantoat and Irumu with a relationship of $37 \%$ with Bam and $68 \%$ with Irumu. Subsequent study may show it to be a dialect of the Irumu language.
2.6.6. The Saseng language is spoken in the village of Saseng (pop. 200) on the west bank of the lower Leron River.
2.6.7. The Irumu language (pop. 1800), spoken along the headwaters of the Irumu River, is the easternmost language in the Wantoat Family. With a lexicostatistical relationship of $43 \%$ with the Gusan language of the Erap Family, it is one of the main links connecting the Wantoat and Erap families.

### 2.7. THE ERAP FAMILY (POP. 11,400)

This family stretches across the headwaters of the Busip and Erap Rivers and along the lower reaches of the Irumu River. The languages form a chain and share $21-72 \%$ of the basic vocabulary list. The four languages around Boana - Nuk, Nek, Nakama, and Numanggang - form a subgroup of more closely related languages.
2.7.1. The Mamaa language is spoken in the village of Mamaa (pop. 200) on the east bank of the lower Irumu River. With the exception of the Finungwan language, this language shows no lexicostatistical relationship greater than $35 \%$ with the other languages in the family. The 46\% relationship with Finungwan may reflect borrowings since the people are being assimilated by the Finungwan people and most of them are bilingual.
2.7.2. The Finungwan language is spoken by 400 people living along the ridge separating the Irumu and Erap river basins.
2.7.3. The Gusan language (pop. 800) includes a number of villages in the headwaters of the Erap River. Informants from various villages indicate that there is some dialectal variation.
2.7.4. The Sauk language (pop. 300) is spoken near the airstrip at Kisengan in the eastern Erap river basin.
2.7.5. The Nimi language (pop. 1400) of the central Erap basin appears to have substantial dialectal variation among its several villages.
2.7.6. The Uri language (pop. 2100) has two dialects; a small eastern dialect spoken in the villages of Fi, Tinibi and Torowa in the central Erap and a larger dialect of several villages between the Erap and Irumu rivers.
2.7.7. The village of Munkip (pop. 100) on the east bank of the lower Erap village speaks a distinct language. Its apparently close relationship with the Numanggang and Nakama languages north of it may be due to contact since Munkip village is located on the main trail leading from the Markham valley (and the port of Lae) into the interland.
2.7.8. The Numanggang language (pop. 2200) is spoken in several villages along the mountain ridge separating the Busip and Erap river valleys. There are two dialects, $87 \%$ related. This language and three other languages, Nakama, Nek and Nuk, constitute a sub-group of languages sharing 46-72\% of the basic vocabulary.
2.7.9. The Nakama language is spoken by 900 people directly west of the Boana patrol post. There are two dialects - northern and southern which are 87\% related.
2.7.10. The Nek language is spoken by 1300 people north of Boana. A western dialect is $96 \%$ related to an eastern dialect. Many of the adults are bilingual in the Nuk language which is about $60 \%$ related.
2.7.11. The easternmost language in this family is Nuk which is spoken by 1800 people living east of Boana. There is little dialectal variation.
3. THE RAI COAST STOCK (POP. OVER 8300)

This stock includes four families, one of which, the Usino Family, is included by $Z$ 'graggen (1969:61) within the Madang Phylum. A number of the more stable vocabulary items of the Mobusu Stock of that phylum are also regular throughout most of the languages of the proposed Rai Coast Stock. These items are: the pronouns 'I', 'thou', 'he', 'we', and the words for 'breast', 'ear', 'elder brother', 'eye', 'fat', 'Zouse', 'moon', 'name', 'sun', 'tongue', 'tooth', 'two' and 'water'. Z'graggen is planning to collect further data in the languages of the

Rai Coast Stock and then compare these typologically with the Mobusu Stock.

The languages of the Rai Coast stock appear to be quite heterogeneous, particularly with regard to the basic vocabulary. ${ }^{5}$ One of the main problems encountered in the classification was the generally low percentages of shared vocabulary among the member languages. It was difficult to decide if lexical evidence warranted the classification of the four families as constituting a single stock rather than two or perhaps three stocks.

There is good evidence for classifying the Kabenau and Evapia families within a single stock. The Yaganon Family, however, is lexically divergent and its inclusion in the same stock is tenuous. The Usino Family is related to the Yaganon Family below the stock level and its relationship to the Kabenau and Evapia families just borders on the stock level. On the assumption that a more detailed study would probably reveal correspondences and relationships not recognised in this preliminary study, the writers have chosen to posit a single stock.

Although the percentages in Table 1 indicate that the languages of the proposed Ra1 Coast Stock are generally $4-8 \%$ related to the languages of the Finisterre Stock, the two groups are classified in different micro-phyla for a number of reasons. The lexicostatistical relationship is slight and within the range which could be attributed to chance or to the presence of unrecognised loans. The pronominal system differs as also do a number of vocabulary items which are generally stable throughout the Finisterre-Huon Micro-phylum. These vocabulary items are: burn, eat, earthquake, eye, fire, say and sleep.

### 3.1. THE USINO FAMILY (POP. OVER 3000 )

In studying the languages of the southeast Madang District, 2 'graggen (1969:61-2) posited an Usur Group consisting of three languages: Usino, Garia (Sumau) and Urigina. Z'graggen followed Swadesh's (1954) percentage of $36 \%$ as the border between family and stock and stated that the Urigina language links with the other two languages at the stock level. The present writers, however, on the basis of Swadesh's (1955a) figure of $28 \%$, combine these three languages with a fourth language, Bagasin, and suggest that the group be provisionally named the Usino Family. The percentages of shared basic vocabulary are given in Table $3 .{ }^{6}$

```
TABLE 3: USINO FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS
USINO
    38% GARIA
    30% 34% URIGINA
    50% 63% 44% BAGASIN
```

3.1.1. The extent of the Bagasin language is not known and further field work is necessary in the area south of the Gogol River to determine whether there are other languages within this family. Data indicate a dialect chain linking this language with the Garia language and a detailed study may show them to be dialects of a single language.
3.1.2. About 800 people living in eight villages northeast of the Usino patrol post speak the Garia (Sumau) language (Z'graggen 1969:62).
3.1.3. The Usino language is spoken by about 1000 people living in an area west of the Usino patrol post. Z'graggen (1969:61) lists seven villages for the language.
3.1.4. The southernmost of the Usino languages is Urigina, spoken by about 1500 people in fifteen villages east of Usino and north of the Ramu River (Z'graggen 1969:62).

### 3.2. THE EVAPIA FAMILY (POP. EST. 2500 )

The Evapia Family comprises an undetermined number of languages along the upper Ramu River northwest of Dumpu. Four languages have been classified as belonging to this family and their lexicostatistical relationsh1ps are 49-77\%.
3.2.1. The Sinsauru language is spoken by the people living on the upper Midjim River in the Bogadjim census division. Word lists from Baipa and Saipa villages indicate about $85 \%$ lexicostatistical relationship.
3.2.2. The Koropa language is spoken southwest of the Sinsauru language. A word list from Koropa village indicates close lexical ties with both Sinsauru (75\%) and Kaikovu (77\%). The relationship with the Sinsauru dialect spoken at Baipa village, however, is only 69\%. Subsequent study may establish that these are simply divergent dialects of a single language.
3.2.3. The Kaikovu language is spoken in a number of villages along the

Evapia and Ramu rivers northwest of Dumpu.
3.2.4. The Taga language is spoken along the Ramu River northwest of Dumpu. Its full geographic extent and number of speakers is not known.

### 3.3. THE KABENAU FAMILY (POP. EST. 17001

This family stretches along the Rai coast from Bilau westward to Bongu and thence inland across the foothills at the western end of the Finisterre Range to Dumpu in the Ramu valley. Five languages are tentatively included in the family. Only two languages, Lemio and Gurumbu, are closely related (71\%) and these may eventually prove to be dialects of a single language. The remaining three languages share 19-33\% of the basic vocabulary.
3.3.1. The 120 speakers of the Kolom language live in the villages of Lamtub and Singor located near the mouth of the Yangda river.
3.3.2. The Suroi language is spoken by about 600 people in the coastal villages between the Guabe and Male rivers. Its lexicostatistical relationship ( $24-27 \%$ ) with other members of the Kabenau Family is not significantly greater than its relationship with the members of the Evapia Family (17-25\%). It may constitute a link between the families and so its inclusion. in the Kabenau Family is tentative. Note, however, its position on the coast, relatively distant from the Evapia Family of languages.
3.3.3. The Lemio language is spoken by an undetermined number of people living in several villages along the upper Kabenau River.
3.3.4. The Gurumbu language is spoken by approximately three-fourths of the population of the village of Gurumbu (pop. 91) on the Uria River. The remainder of the population of Gurumbu speak the Rawa language of the Finisterre Stock (see 2.1.6.).
3.3.5. The Watiwa language is spoken by 250 people in the villages of Dumpu, Abikal, and Bembei along the Ramu River near Dumpu. The language shows a range of 12-24\% relationship with the Kabenau Family and 15-18\% with the Evapia Family. Therefore, its inclusion in the Kabenau Family is tenuous and it may prove to be a language isolate.

### 3.4. THE Yaganon family (POP. 1050 )

The Yaganon Family comprises three languages, Yabong, Ganglau and Saep with the following lexicostatistical relationships: Yabong-Saep, 48\%; Yabong-Ganglau, 35\%; and Ganglau-Saep, 57\%.
3.4.1. The Yabong language (pop. 370) is spoken in five villages west of the Yangda River. Vocabulary lists from Gogou, Bidua, and Basor villages reveal only very slight dialectal variations. Many of the people are reported to be fluent in the Rawa language.
3.4.2. The Ganglau language is spoken by 200 people living along the coast near the Yaganon, Ganglau and Menglau rivers. A widely divergent dialect is reported to be spoken in the villages of Kulilau and Dumun to the west.
3.4.3. The 475 speakers of the Saep language live in four villages between the middle Yaganon and Gowar rivers.

## 4. UNCLASSIFIED NON-AUSTRONESIAN LANGUAGES

Three languages included in this study remain unclassified. The first is the language of Bongu (Astrolabe Bay). A word list obtained by Claassen shows no more than $16 \%$ probable cognates with the languages included in this study. The area west of Bongu and around the Astrolabe Bay to the Gogol river, which was not covered by this survey, is reported to be linguistically complex and brief vocabulary lists from four coastal villages near Bongu indicate three other languages closely related to Bongu. There are perhaps as many as 14 languages spoken along the coastal and hinterland areas from the Guabe River westward to Bogadjim. These languages may constitute another stock, the Astrolabe Bay Stock, of the Madang Phylum.

The second unclassified language is that of Gusap which is spoken between the Gusap and Umi rivers in the Morobe District. The language appears to be related to the Gusap-Mot Family, but the similarities in vocabulary are slight and may be due to borrowing.

An examination of an Abaga language word list collected by F. Mecklenburg and drawn to the writers' attention by S.A. Wurm reveals evidence that the language is within the Finisterre Stock. The language is known to be spoken by only a few people living in the villages of Kose and Kanofi near Kainantu in the Eastern Highlands District. When the Austronesian people invaded the Markham valley most of the people speaking the Finisterre languages were forced eastward out of the RamuMarkham valley and into the Finisterre Range. This remnant probably
represents a small group which were cut off and forced westward into the Highlands only to be assimilated by the more numerous Kamano (pop. 31,000 ) and Benabena (pop. 1l,700). The language is heavily influenced by loans from both Kamano and Benabena.

## 5. THE AUSTRONESIAN LANGUAGES

The Austronesian languages are found along the coast in the Saidor Sub-district from the Morobe District border westward just beyond the Yaganon River. Six languages, and probably seven, share 30-45\% of the basic vocabulary and are 27-58\% lexicostatistically related to the Gedaged language of the Belan Family (see Z'graggen 189f.). ${ }^{7}$
5.1. The Roinj1 language is spoken in the villages of Roinj1 and Gali near the mouth of the Yupna River.
5.2. The Malalamai language is spoken by 300 people living in the villages of Malalamai and Bonga east of the Sama River.
5.3. The Moromiranga language is spoken in the coastal villages of Mur, Sel and Seure west of Malalama1.
5.4. The Sengam language (pop. 600) is spoken west of Saidor in several villages.
5.5. The Mindiri language is spoken in the village of Mindiri (pop. 81) Just west of the Yaganon River.
5.6. The Arop language is spoken by 600 people living on Long (Arop) Island.
5.7. Another Austronesian language is reported to be spoken in the villages of Wab and Saui near Saidor. Lawrence (1964:19) states that this group, which he names the Som, number about 150 people. 8

## 6. TYPOLOGICAL FEATURES

In general the typological features of one language from each family have been compared. When sufficient data are not available in any one language of a family, some general remarks regarding the family are made on the basis of the vocabulary lists. The languages included in these comparisons are: Saep (Yaganon Family); Suroi and Kolom (Kabenau

Family); Sinsauru (Evapia Family); Rawa (Gusap-Mot Family); Kewieng (Yupna Family); the Uruwa Family in general; Wantoat (Wantoat Family); and Uri (Erap Family).

### 6.1. PHONOLOGY

6.1.1. The Yaganon languages have a series of voiceless stops, voiced stops, and nasals in the labial, alveolar and velar positions. Voiced prenasalised stops may also be phonemically distinct, particularly in Yabong where they occur word initially. Velar nasals have been observed word initially in Ganglau but not elsewhere. Syllabic velar nasals occur in Saep and occasionally in Ganglau. The alveolar lateral and flap are not phonemically contrastive. The alveolar lateral in Saep and Ganglau corresponds to an alveolar flap in Yabong.

The following oral continuants occur in all three languages: [p], [s], [w] and [y]. A voiced labial fricative in Ganglay may be an allophone of $w$. The phone [h] in Saep corresponds to [s] in Ganglau and Yabong and also [p] in Ganglau. An [ $h$ ] phone has an infrequent occurrence in Ganglau.
6.1.2. The Suroi language has 21 consonant phonemes: $p, t, k, b, d, g$, $\mathrm{mb}, \mathrm{nd}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{nd} \boldsymbol{z}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y}$, and ny ; and 5 vowel phonemes: $i, \theta, a, 0$ and $u$. The consonant phonemes contrast in manner of articulation as to voiceless stops, voiced stops, prenasalised stops, nasals, voiceless fricatives, voiced affricates, lateral, vibrant and semivowels. The stops and nasals are contrastive in labial, alveolar, and velar points of articulation. The voiceless fricatives contrast in alveolar and alveopalatal points of articulation. The semivowels contrast in labial and alveolar points of articulation. The vowels contrast as to high, mid and low tongue heights. The high and mid vowels contrast as to front and back tongue positions.

The voiceless stops, unaspirated intervocalically, have aspirated and unaspirated variants occurring in free alternation initially and finally. Except for $k$ the voiceless stops have final unreleased variants. The phoneme $g$ has a variant [?] occurring medially and finally. The Phoneme $f$ has a variant [h] occurring in free alternation intervocalically with [ f]. The phoneme $r$ has a variant [ $f$ ] occurring in free alternation with $[\tilde{r}]$ initially and intervocalically.
6.1.3. The languages of the Evapia Family have voiceless stops in the labial, alveolar and velar positions. Koropa and Sinsauru appear to have contrastive voiced stops in these positions also. Nasals have been observed only in the labial and alveolar positions. An alveolar vibrant
occurs in all the languages, and all except Koropa appear to have a contrasting lateral. The following oral continuants occur in all the languages: [f], [s], [h], [w] and [y]. All the languages appear to have five vowels: $i, \theta, a, 0$ and $u$.
6.1.4. The Rawa language has 17 consonant phonemes: $p, t, k, b, d, g$, $m b, n d, \quad g, m, n, \eta, s, h, r, w$ and $y ;$ and $s i x$ vowel phonemes: i, e, a, $\hat{a}, o$ and $u .^{9}$ The consonant phonemes contrast in manner of articulation as to voiceless aspirated stops, voiceless unaspirated stops, prenasalised voiced stops, nasals, voiceless fricatives, vibrant, and semivowels. The stops and nasals contrast in labial, alveolar and velar points of articulation. The fricatives contrast in alveolar and glottal points of articulation. Semivowels contrast in labial and alveolar points of articulation. The vowel phonemes contrast as to high, mid and low tongue heights and front and back tongue positions. The alveolar vibrant [ $\ddagger$ ] has a lateral allophone [í] occurring in free alternation.
6.1.5. The Yupna language appears to have nineteen consonants: $p, t, k$, $k p, b, d, g, g b, m, n, \eta, w, y, g, s, d z, t s, l$, and $r ;$ and six vowels: $i, \theta, a, \hat{a}, o$ and $u$. Vowel length is probably phonemic. The consonants contrast in manner of articulation as to voiceless and voiced stops, nasals, flat and grooved fricatives, voiceless and voiced affricates, lateral and vibrant. Only the stops contrast in four points of articulation: labial, alveolar, velar and labio-velar. The nasals and flat fricatives lack the labio-velar series. The apparent contrast between the voiced affricate $d z$ and the voiceless affricate ts may be resolved by more data and a more detailed study. The same may be said with regard to the apparent contrast between 1 and $r$. The six vowels contrast as to high, mid and low tongue heights and front and back tongue positions.

The voiceless stops are aspirated in initial and intervocalic positions and unreleased in syllable final position. The velar stops are backed. The voiced stops are prenasalised when they occur intervocal1cally and tend to be prenasalised initially. The affricates and lateral are palatalised.

[^0]A series of oral continuants including $[w],[y]$ and $[s]$ occurs in all languages. Komutu, Kumdauron, and Worin have an [h], probably with phonemic status. All languages have a voiced velar fricative [g] which may be an allophone of the $h$ in those languages where $h$ occurs. In some languages, on the other hand, [g] fluctuates with intervocalic [ $k^{h}$ ]. In two languages, Kumdauron and Mitmit, there is an [f]. This phone occurs in Mup as well, but it fluctuates with [ $p^{h}$ ] and is probably a variant of $p$. The phone [h] in the Worin language corresponds to the [f] and [s] in the Kumdauron and Mitmit languages. Many of the languages have a final glottal stop. An intervocalic glottal stop in the Mup language corresponds to intervocalic [g] or [ $k^{h}$ ] in the other languages.

The Som and Sakam languages are the westernmost of the Uruwa languages and resemble the Kewieng language of the Yupna Family in that there is a series of labiovelar stops as well as an affricate dz. Furthermore, these languages are the only Uruwa languages with a syllable final [1], another feature of the Yupna languages.

All the languages appear to have six vowels: i, e, a, â, o and u. In Som and Sakam the vowel â tends to have a centralised phonetic norm approaching [ $\theta$ ].
6.1.7. The Wantoat language has sixteen consonants: $p, t, k, k w, b, d$, $g, g w, m, n, \eta, \eta w, s, z, w$ and $y$; and seven vowels: i, e, $\mathbf{m}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, 0$ and $u .^{10}$ The consonants are contrastive in manner of articulation as to voiceless and voiced stops, nasals, voiceless and voiced fricatives and semivowels. The stops and nasals contrast in four points of articulation: labial, alveolar, velar and labio-velar. The semivowels contrast as to labial and alveolar points of articulation. Vowels are contrastive as to front, central and back tongue positions and high, mid and low tongue heights.

The voiceless stops are aspirated in initial and intervocalic positions and unreleased in word final position. The voiceless velar and labio-velar stops are backed. Voiced stops and the voiced fricative are prenasalised. Some dialects of Wantoat have an $h$, corresponding to the $s$ of the main dialect.

> 6.1.8. The Uri language has sixteen consonants: $p, t, k, k w, b, d, g$, gw, $m, n, \eta, f, s, r, w$, and $y$; and five vowels: $i, e, a, o$, and $u$. Vowel length is also phonemic for the vowels $i, a$ and $u$. The consonants contrast in manner of articulation as to voiceless and voiced stops, nasals, fricatives, semivowels and a vibrant. The stops contrastin four points of articulation: labial, alveolar, velar and labio-velar.

The nasals contrast as to labial, alveolar and velar points of articulation. Fricatives contrast as to labio-dental and alveolar points of articulation. Semivowels contrast as to labial and alveolar points of articulation. Vowels contrast as to front, central and back tongue positions and high and low tongue heights.

The voiceless stops, $p$ and $k$, have aspirated variants initially and intervocalically, and nasally released variants utterance finally. The voiceless alveolar stop has a glottal variant in syllable final position. Voiced stops are not prenasalised. The phoneme g has a voiced velar fricative variant [g] occurring between a sequence of $u$ phonemes. The phoneme $r$ has a lateral variant [ $Y$ ] occurring in fluctuation with [ 7 ] intervocalically. The semivowel whas a bi-labial fricative variant [ $\ell$ ] occurring intervocalically between two $i$ phonemes. The vowel a has a phonetic norm [e]. When the vowel is lengthened, however, the quality is that of [a•]. The phone [ $\quad \mathrm{m}]$ is a variant of $e$ and [ 0 ] is a variant of 0 .

Among the languages of the Finisterre Stock, the syllable structure is generally simple, with no consonant clusters within the syllable. In most of the languages the syllables may be closed by voiceless stops $p, t, k$ and nasals $m, n$, and $n$. A few languages have a syllable final lateral. Two languages, Rawa and Nahu have no closed syllables. The nucleus of the syllable is simple and vocoid clusters in Rawa, Wantoat and Uri have been interpreted as sequences of simple syllable nuclei. Stress is phonemic in Rawa but probably sub-phonemic in Yupna and Wantoat. In none of these languages does it carry a heavy functional load. 11

The languages of the Rai Coast Stock also do not have consonant clusters within the syllable. Most of the languages evidence closed syllables. In the Yaganon Family syllables may be closed by voiceless stops, $p, t, k$, voiced stops $b, d, g$, and nasals $m, n$ and $n$. In the Suroi language syllables are closed by any consonant except $w, y$, and ny. In languages of the Evapia Family syllables are closed by voiceless stops $t$ and $k$ as well as nasals $m$ and $n$, except in the Kaikovu and Taga languages which apparently do not have closed syllables. Complex syllable nuclei occur in the Rai Coast languages, e.g., Suroi has vocoid clusters of up to three segments in length. In Suroi stress is contrastive, but only on a limited number of words.

### 6.2. NOUN STRUCTURE

The languages of the Finisterre Stock generally evidence two noun classes; those which occur with obligatory possession marking suffixes and those which do not. The former class includes kinship nouns and
body parts. In some languages these possessed nouns may also be marked for number. Uri distinguishes singular and plural as in sabana 'my son' and sabane 'my sons'; Rawa has a suffix meaning 'all' as in bareno 'his wife' and baresumâno 'all of his wives'. The class of nouns which does not take obligatory possession marking suffixes includes nouns which have never been observed with possession markers, e.g. terms denoting 'sickness', 'cloud', and other meteorological phenomena, and nouns with optional possession markers, e.g. terms denoting personal possessions and domestic animals. Possession marking suffixes in Rawa and Yupna have separate forms indicating (1) 1 s , (2) 2 s , (3) $3 \mathrm{~s},(4$ ) $1 \mathrm{~d},(5) 2$ and $3 \mathrm{~d},(6) \mathrm{lp}$ and (7) 2 and 3 p . In Uri the forms do not distinguish between dual and plural nor between $2 p$ and $3 p$ in these non-singular forms. In Wantoat the distinction between dual and plural is absent in the second person and all distinction of number is absent in the third person.

Some general features of the noun phrase are uniform throughout the Finisterre Stock: (1) a possessive axis-relator phrase occurs in a prehead position and (2) optional post head qualifiers have an order of adjective, quantifier (numeral) and demonstrative.

The languages of the Rai Coast Stock have not been observed with number marked in the noun structure. Obligatory possession markers occur on kinship nouns and body parts in a number of Rai Coast languages; Saep in the Yaganon Family, Watiwa in the Kabenau Family and Usino in the Usino Family.

### 6.3. PRONOUNS

Pronouns may be divided into two types, free forms and bound forms. The free forms are basic minimal forms which accept post clitics when occurring in grammatical constructions marked by the clitics. For example, in Rawa the basic form no 'ls' may take the subject marking clitic -ndo as in nondo ' $I$ (the actor)'. In the location slot the forms are nono 'to $m e$ ', and nonongo 'from $m e ' ; ~ a n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ p o s s e s s i v e ~ p h r a s e ~$ or benefactive slot the form is noro 'my, for me'. No inclusive/exclusive distinction in the personal pronouns has been found in any of the languages included in this survey.

The phonemic shape of the free pronouns is quite uniform throughout the Finisterre stock and the pronominal systems show obvious relationship to the languages of the Huon Peninsula Stock as well as the Highlands' languages. First person forms begin with $n$ and second person singular forms with g or $k$. Dual forms are often distinguished by an alveolar nasal. The free forms also resembel the possession marking suffixes. The Wantoat forms are given in Table 4.

TABLE 4:
WANTOAT PERSONAL PRONOUNS AND POSSESSIVE SUFFIXES

$$
\text { FREE PRONOUNS POSSESSIVE SUFFIXES }{ }^{12}
$$

|  | Sg. | Du. | Pl. | Sg. | Du. | Pl. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | nâ | $n i t$ | $n i n$ | $-n a$ | $-n i t$ | $-n i n$ |
| 2 | gâ | git | gin | $-g a$ | $-s a ̂$ | $-s a ̂$ |
| 3 | $a n$ | $g i t$ | $g i n$ | $-n a ̂$ | $-n a ̂$ | $-n a ̂$ |

The pronominal systems of the Ra1 Coast languages are different from those of the Finisterre languages. First person singular forms generally begin with $y$ or $i$ and the other first person forms begin with s. Second person forms begin with $n$. In some languages dual and plural are distinguished as in the Finisterre group. The Suroi free forms are given in Table 5.
table 5: SUROI PERSONAL PRONOUNS

|  | Sg. | Du. | Pl. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ye | sile | sine |
| 2 | ne | tale | tane |
| 3 | nu | nale | nane |

In Kolom, Suroi and Sinsauru the pronominal forms have a different phonemic shape when occurring as possessive pronouns, e.g. Sinsauru lye 'I', iyo 'my'; Suroi ye 'I', yine 'my'; and Kolom inga 'I', imi 'my'.

The second type of pronoun occurs as object marking affixes bound to transitive verbs. These bound pronouns show concord in number and person with optionally occurring free forms functioning as objects at the clause level.

Transitive verbs in the Rawa language may be divided into two classes, those which have obligatory object prefixes and those which have obligatory object suffixes. This appears to be the case for Yupna as well, but both Wantoat and Uri have only object prefixes. The object prefixes and suffixes for the Rawa language are given in Table 6. Note that the initial consonants are the same for both prefixes and suffixes.

TABLE 6: RAWA OBJECT AFFIXES


In the Ral Coast languages object markers are suffixed rather than prefixed. In Suroi one class of transitive verbs never occurs with object suffixes, another class always occurs with object suffixes and a third class has an optional occurrence of the suffixes. The Suroi forms are presented in Table 7.

TABLE 7: SUROI OBJECT SUFFIXES

Sg. Du. Pl.

| 1 | $-y$ | $-s i k$ | $-s i n g$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $-n$ | $-t i k$ | $-t i n g$ |
| 3 | $-\varnothing$ | $-n i k$ | $-n i \rho g$ |

All the languages distinguish 'ls', '2s' and '3s'. Furthermore, '3s' is uniformly represented by a zero morpheme. In the Saep language dual and plural in all persons is represented by a single form. Other languages show a variety of distinctions relevant to the dual and plural forms. For example in the Sinsauru language one form includes 'ls' and 'ld' while another indicates 'lp' and another indicates second and third person dual and plural.

### 6.4. VERB STRUCTURE

All of the languages have a contrast between dependent sentence medial verbs and independent sentence final verbs. As characteristic of NAN languages in general, the affixation involves a large number of suffixes and a few prefixes. Verbal prefixes are usually limited to object pronouns although Davis (1964) identified two other prefixual orders in Wantoat indicating mode and aspect.
6.4.1. Dependent verb morphology usually includes a suffix indicating whether the subject of the following verb is the same or different. If the subject is different a further suffix occurs which indicates the
number of the subject of the dependent verb. In Rawa these suffixes also indicate an indicative or subjunctive mode. Wantoat suffixes indicate whether the action of the dependent verb is punctiliar or continuative and simultaneous or antecedent to that of the independent verb. In the Suroi language (Rai Coast Stock) the only medial form has a suffix indicating that the following verb is a closely related action performed by the same actor. If the action of the two verbs is not closely related or the following verb has a different subject, independent verb suffixation occurs in both verbs and a conjunction joins the clauses.
6.4.2. Independent verb morphology varies considerably in complexity. Generally suffixes include object pronouns, benefactive markers, aspect and/or mode, tense and subject person-number markers in that order.

In Rawa at least four tenses are distinguished: future, present, past and remote past; four aspects are indicated: completive, intentional, habitual and continuative; and two modes, indicative and subjunctive. Continuative action is indicated by different allomorphs occurring with the future, present and past tenses. The imperative and contrary-tofact (subjunctive) suffixes do not occur with tense indicating suffixes.

Wantoat verb suffixation distinguishes nine modes: assertive, intentive, benefactive, negative, interrogative, prohibitive, imperative, phobic and subjunctive of desirability. Completive and continuative aspects are also distinguished.

The verb in the Suroi language is marked for five tenses: indefinite future, immediate future, present, immediate past, and simple past; and six modes: imperative, question, interrogative, apprehensive, narrative and intentive. The intentive mode suffix may occur as the only suffix or with the indefinite future tense suffixes. The continuative aspect is indicated by the addition of the verb 'to be' to other verbs. Person and tense are combined in portmanteau suffixes.

### 6.5. NUMERALS

Counting systems are uniform throughout the languages included in this survey. Counting begins with the small finger of one hand, progresses through the other hand, the toes of one foot and finally the toes of the other foot to complete a unit of twenty. Numerals 'one' through 'four' are expressed by separate terms, although in Saep (as well as the other languages of the Yaganon Family) the terms for 'two' and 'three' are similar: abode and abokon respectively. In Nahu 'four' is expressed by 'not the thumb' or 'minus the thumb'. 'Five' is usually expressed by 'one hand' and 'ten' by 'two hands'. 'Twenty' is expressed
by either 'one body' or by 'two hands and two feet'.

### 6.6. CLAUSE STRUCTURE

A number of clause types have been recognised as occurring in most of the languages. The illustrative data are from the Rawa language.
6.6.1. The declarative transitive clause has a falling intonation contour $(\downarrow)$ and the predicate is filled by a transitive verb. The usual tagmeme order is $\pm$ temporal $\pm$ subject $\pm$ instrument $\pm$ object + predicate. The temporal tagmeme may also follow the subject.
kuyowo simâ mâ ne-wo-ro $\downarrow$
yesterday man taro eat-past-they (du.)
'Yesterday the men ate taro.'
6.6.2. The declarative intransitive clause contrasts with the declarative transitive clause by the obligatory absence of the object and instrument tagmemes and the occurrence of intransitive verbs in the predicate tagmeme.

```
    no-ndo ko-no ârowu-te-no \downarrow
```

I-subject marker garden-to go-present-I
'I am going to the garden.'
6.6.3. A declarative clause may be made interrogative by the substitution of a rising intonation contour ( $\uparrow$ ). A number of languages (e.g., Saep and Suroi) also have optional interrogative markers translatable as 'or' occurring sentence finally. The Rawa language has no such interrogative marker.

```
ge no-ro se keno-wo-\emptyset \uparrow
you I-for dog see-past-you
    'Did you see my dog?'
```

6.6.4. The equative clause has the usual tagmeme order of + subject + predicate in which the subject is manifested by a nominal construction or its substitute and the predicate is usually manifested by an adjectival construction.

```
mâ \etaa puwo
taro this rotten
'This taro is rotten.'
```

6.6.5. A common feature is the occurrence of a clause filling another clause level slot. Such embedded clauses have been observed filling the subject, object, temporal locative and benefactive slots. The

```
resultant construction is treated as an axis-relator phrase with a
clause filling the head slot plus a relator post clitic.
    no-ndo bowera na-no ye-wo-no-mu-ndo namba bini dowâ-rorâ-te-\emptyset
    I-subject trap this-locative put-past-I-included-subject cassowary
        maybe hold-continuous-present-it
    'Perhaps the trap which I set here is holding a cassowary.'
```

7. LANGUAGE LIST
The following list of languages is keyed to the Map.
8. Gira
9. Ngaing
10. Neko
11. Nekgini
12. Rawa
13. Nahu
14. Ufim
15. Asat
16. Degenan
17. Morafa
18. Dahating
19. Nankina
20. Domung
21. Nokopo
22. Kewieng
23. Bonkiman
24. Som
25. Sakam
26. Sindamon
27. Mup
28. Mitmit
29. Worin
30. Kumdauron
31. Komutu
32. Karang1
33. Awara
34. Leron
35. Wantoat
36. Bam
37. Yagawak
38. Saseng
39. Irumu
40. Mamaa
41. Finungwan
42. Gusan
43. Sauk
44. N1mi
45. Uri
46. Munkip
47. Numanggang
48. Nakama
49. Nek
50. Nuk
51. Bagasin
52. Garia
53. Usino
54. Urigina
55. Sinsauru
56. Koropa
57. Kaikovu
58. Taga
59. Kolom
60. Suroi
61. Lemio
62. Gurumbu
63. Watiwa
64. Yabong
65. Ganglau
66. Saep
67. Bongu
68. Gusap
69. Roinj1
70. Malalamai
71. Moromiranga
72. Som
73. Sengam
74. Mindiri
75. Houp
76. Yaros
77. Azera
78. Sukurum
79. Sirasira
80. Sirak
81. Guwot
82. Laewomba
83. Musom
84. Bukaua
85. Labu


LANGUAGES OF THE FINISTERRE RANGE - NEW GUINEA

## NOTES

1. Research in the Madang District was done by Claassen from 1967-9 while under the auspices of the Summer Institute of Linguistics. This research included a depth study of the Rawa language. The Suroi language data and analyses were provided by S.I.L. members M. Mathieson and M. Wells. Vocabularies for the Taga, Gurumbu, and Kaikovu languages were collected by S.I.L. members D. Trefry and D. Oatridge during 19641965. The data for the other languages within the Madang district were collected by Claassen largely through elicitation in Pidgin English. The data from the Morobe District languages were collected through elicitation in the Kâte language by McElhanon while under the direction of the Australian National University. The data and analyses of the Wantoat and Uri languages were provided by S.I.L. members D. Davis and T. Webb respectively. The writers wish to express grateful acknowledgement for the cooperation of the many Lutheran Mission personnel and informants who made this survey possible.
2. These judgments were ascertained largely through the "ask the informant" method. The borders of these local groupings, however, generally coincided with the link of the lowest percentage between any two dialects of a chain.
3. See Wurm and Laycock (1961) for a discussion of the problem of language or dialect in New Guinea. Most lexicostatistical classifications of New Guinea languages are not based upon a fixed percentage of shared vocabulary as determining the boundary between language and dialect; rather the percentages are adjusted to coincide with the natives' intuitive Judgments.
4. In Hooley and McElhanon (1968), this family was named the Surinam Family after the Surinam River. Now that the extent of the family is better known the name Gusap-Mot seems more appropriate.
5. The percentages given in Table 1 may be misleading unless one also
notes the number of comparisons involved in determining any given percentage. Note that for Gurumbu, Koropa, Taga, Kaikovu and Bagasin, there are always less than 50 comparisons. For Suroi, the number is usually about 95. In dealing with basic vocabulary lists of varying lengths it is advisable to adjust the percentages when a small number of comparisons are involved. Voorhoeve (1968:3) suggests that maximally 1\% must be subtracted for every 10 items less than 200.
6. All percentages except that for Usino-Bagasin have been calculated by $Z$ 'graggen.
7. The Roinji language, which is included in the Siassi Family (Hooley and McElhanon 1968), shares $27 \%$ of the basic vocabulary with Gedaged. The decision as to whether the Siassi and Belan families really represent a single family and the relation of Roinji to these two groups must wait until further study is completed.
8. Note that this name is the same as that of a NAN language of the Uruwa Family. No attempt will be made to avoid possible confusion of these names until the status of the Austronesian group is determined.
9. In Rawa, $p, t$, and $k$ represent voiceless aspirated stops; b, d, and $g$ represent voiced stops in the northern dialect and voiceless unaspirated stops in the southern dialect. The symbol â represents a phoneme with a phonetic norm of [ 0 ] in Rawa and [ 0 ] in Wantoat and other languages.
10. Phonetic vowel length in Wantoat on phonemes $a, \theta$, $\nrightarrow$ and 0 has been interpreted by Davis (1961) as sequences of like vowels.
11. In an early analysis Davis (1961) showed stress differences in near identical (analogous) environments for pairs of words in Wantoat. In the most recent analysis Davis (1967) states that stress is non-phonemic.
12. Allomorphic variations are given in Davis (1964).
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[^0]:    6.1.6. The Uruwa languages have a series of voiceless stops, voiced stops and nasals in the labial, alveolar and velar positions. The voiceless stops are unreleased syllable finally. Prenasalisation of the voiced stops is common, although not universal. The velar stops are backed. All the languages have an alveolar vibrant and for all except Mitmit and Sindamon an alveolar lateral has been observed as well. The lateral in Som is palatalised and fluctuates with [y].

