THE HSIHSIA, LOLO, AND MOSO LANGUAGES

Tatsuo Nishida

The correspondences between Hsihsia and the Lolo-Burmese languages, mainly Written Burmese, were outlined in my book A Study of the Tosu-Chinese Vocabulary Tosu I - YU (1973), and the relationship between Hsihsia and Ch'iang was discussed in a separate paper (Nishida 1976). By concentrating our efforts on the origins of lexical forms in Hsihsia, we gradually bring to light not only the forms which are close to Ch'iang or which are cognate with Tibetan and Burmese forms, but also the nature of the relationship with Tibeto-Burman languages such as Lolo and Moso. At present, however, it is still difficult to present a systematic comparison of Hsihsia and these languages. Therefore, in this paper, I only discuss the major aspects of the problem, focusing on a number of topics.

Hsihsia and Written Tibetan. First, the number of Hsihsia forms which can be considered cognate with those in Written Tibetan is larger than we are inclined to think. Some are clearly loan words, e.g. lo-tsa-ba 'translator', and others supposedly reflect widely-distributed areal words, e.g., 'hare'. But, numerous others show non-accidental relatedness.

T47~ ™

In addition to these individual correspondences, we find pairs of words like the following:

L and R indicate, respectively Level and Rising tones. The numeral given after these indicates the rime number. Hsihsia had 97 rimes for the Level tone and 86 for the Rising.

Wr.T.

(L83)	kar	'scale'	skar-tshad
(L83)	kar	'to weigh'	skar-ba

Furthermore, some examples enable us to postulate the correspondence Hsihsia ⁿdz-: Wr.T. gr-, <u>khr</u>-, <u>zh</u>-, exemplified below.

(R54)	ndz€	'boat'	gru
(R54)	ndzę	'to pass'	hgru-ba
(R37)	ndzŤeN	'corner'	gru
(R28)	ndz i	'to bathe'	hkhru-ba
(R33)	ndzeĥ	'other'	gzhan
(R34)	ndze	'to mount'	zhon-pa

Although the Written Tibetan forms have a wide regional distribution, cognates can also be found in other languages of the same family; thus, we can regard them as representative of Tibeto-Burman and be confident that Hsihsia is a member of the TB group.

Hsihsia and Written Burmese. A similar relationship exists between Hsihsia and Written Burmese. As I indicated in A Study of the Tosu-Chinese vocabulary, the two languages show clear correspondences not only in terms of the forms of morphemes but also in word-compounding processes. And, given the forms in Written Burmese, we can in most cases assume the existence of the corresponding forms in the Lolo languages.

Here, I would like to give some examples of the correspondences which can be summarized as Wr. B. \underline{l} -: Hsihsia l-, χ -, hl-; and Wr. B. $\underline{-ei}$ < -iy: Hsihsia $-\dot{i}$ -, $-\dot{i}$ -.

			WI.D.
(L29)	1 i ĥ	'air	lei
(L29)	1 i h	'verb.particle'	lei
(L32)	1₩ i N	'to be heavy'	lei ²
(L69)	hl i	'bow'	lei ²
(L92)	li r	'four'	lei ²

The above examples imply that Written Burmese has merged once distinctive forms.

Loloish Comparisons. Several other correspondences are cited here, along with forms from Lolo languages:

	Wr.B.	Nyi	Ahi	Moso
'stone'(L58)	kyok < klok	lu 44 ma 44	lu 44	ry 33 (Li)
'worm' (L58)	lok	l u 44	l u 22	liu 55 (Wei)

The rime of the Hsihsia word (1) 'to be enough' is unknown, but we can assume it was hlu (L 58) based on the correspondence between Wr.B. lok 'to be enough' and Nyi-Lolo lu 22 'to be enough'.

		Wr.B.	Nyi	Ahi	Moso (Li) ²	Moso (Wei)
'nose'	-nih (R12)	hna	na. 44 bi 33	no 22	nai 55 mar 11	ni 55 ma~21
'ear'	_hnĭ-uh (L3)	na ²	na 55 po 44	no 44 pa 44	h € 33	h & 33 ts 1 33
'to listen'	-niĥ (R10)	na-sañ	na 44 ga 11	no 44		kho 55 mi 55

Moso ht 33 'ear' is identical phonemically with the head morpheme of ht 33 -mt 33 'moon'. Bearing this in mind, we note the following correspondences, from which we conclude that proto-forms 1-, n-; hl-, hn- were partially merged into h- in the Moso language.

	Hsihsia	Moso	Wr.B.
'moon'	hli (?)	he 33 - me 33	la ³
'ear'	hnĭ-uĥ (L3)	he 33	na ²
'wind'	l i ĥ (L29)	ha 41	lei
'be red'	neĥ (L36)	hy 21	ni-

The following case is also interesting.

	Hsihsia	Wr.B.	Nyi	Ahi	Moso (Li)	Lolo (Lo)
'thousand'	tụ (L58)	tac-thong	thi 11 ty 59	to 44 to 22	ty 11	tv 33
'wings'	tuh (R25)	a-tong	ty 33 le 22		ndy 33	dv 11

The initials of these two words are thought to have shared at a common stage of development the opposition of voiceless: voiced i.e. t-:d-. Hsihsia is like Nyi-Lolo and Ahi-Lolo in that they both went to t-, but Hsihsia differs from the Lolo (Nyi-Ahi), Moso and Burmese languages in terms of vowels. Therefore we can assume the development of these words is as follows: 3

	Hsihsia	TB	BL	Wr.B	Moso	Lolo
'thousand' 'wings'			: *s-tong — : *dong —	-	•	to 44 high tone to 22 low tone

As a side note, Hsihsia tu 'one thousand' should be considered to relate to

Weihsi or Wei refers to the spoken forms of Weihsi dialect, which are based on Fu Mao-chi (Fu Maoji, 1943). Luquan (Lu) forms are taken from Ma Hsüeh-liang (Ma Xueliang, 1948).

Moso (Li) refers to the reading forms of Lichiang, which are taken from A Dictionary of Moso Heiroglyphics compiled by Li Lin-tsan, phoneticized by Chang Kun, according to the pronunciation of Ho Tsai, Memoirs of National Central Museum, series B no. 2. 1944.

Another possible interpretation is this: Hsihsia —th corresponds to Wr.B.—2ng, Hsihsia—u corresponds to both Wr.B.—2ng and -2k (e.g. 'worm', 'stone', 'wings', 'thousand' i.e., in Hsihsia some forms which were identical with those which correspond to Wr.B.—2k also correspond in part to Wr.B.—2ng. In Hsihsia we find many forms which correspond more directly to Proto-Lolo-Burmese than to Written Burmese.

khi 'ten thousand'.

	Hsihsia	Wr. T.	Moso
'one thousand' 'ten thousand'	tụ (L 58)	stong	ty 11
	khr i (R 28)	khri	k u 11

Here the personal pronouns and the numerals are cited as examples of lexical systems.

Personal pronouns

	Hsihs	ia	Moso (Li)	Moso (Wei)	Lolo (Lu)	TB
'we' 'you' 'he/she/it'	hngah nah thah	(R17)	na 11 na 11 thww 44	η α 21 no 21 thl 33	g r 55 na 11 thi 55	*nga *na *khi
Numerals						
'one' 'two' 'three' 'four' 'five' 'six' 'seven' 'eight' 'nine' 'ten' 'hundred'	šą ?yar	(L43) (L32) (L70) (L92) (L27) (L46) (L64) (L82) (L32) (R56) (R72)	dw 33 ni 33 sw 11 ro 33 wa 33 tshwa 55 sar 33 ho 55 ngy 33 tshe 11 ci 33	d] 33 ni 15 so 33 lo 33 nua 33 tshueN 55 se*33 xo 55 nku 33 tshe 21 si 33	tha 11 ni 55 sw 11 4i 33 nu 33 tchu 55 ci 55 7heN 55 kw 33 tshe 33 7huN 11	

Although not rigorously verified, except for 'one' and 'ten', there seems to be no problem in assuming the numerals to be cognate. The origins of Hsihsia 'one' and 'ten'are still not very clear.

An initial 7h- in Luquan Lolo corresponds to Cr-, r- in Burmese.4

	Luquan	Wr.B.
'rain'	?huN 33	rwa ² - < *Crwa
'house'	?ha~N 33	im < *Crim
'horse'	?huN 11	mrang ²
'to stand'	7hen 55	rap < *Crap
'eight'	?heN 55	hrac < *Crac
'hundred'	7huN 11	ra < *Crya

Other. In addition to these we find some examples in which sbr- and zh- in Written Tibetan correspond to this ?h-of Luquan Lolo.

In the Lolo languages there is a general tendency to nasalize final vowels after an initial h-. This tendency seems to be especially strong in the reading of texts of Luquan Lolo in Yunnan and Dading Lolo in Guizhou.

Luquan Wr.T.

'cap' 7huN 11 zhwa < *zhu-ba 'fly' 7hwN 11 mw 33 sbrang-ma, Wr.B. yang < *Crang

There is one special case:

Luquan Wr.B.

· 'bat (the animal)' ?haN 33 nɔ 33 lang²-no³

The Four Seasons. The following examples deal with the four seasons.

	'spring'	'summer'	'autumn'	'winter'
Lolo (Lu)	no 33	ge 33	tęhu 22	tshy 33
Moso (Li)	no 11	zo 11	tęhy 55	tshw 44
Hsihsia	n ^w ± (R28)	ⁿ tšhieN (?)	tsh u (L68)	tsur (L75)

With regard to these, we notice that the four forms as a whole in each of these three languages show very close relationships to each other, whereas a correspondence between Burmese and Hsihsia is found only in the words for 'spring' and 'autumn' (Nishida 1973:268).

'Fire' and 'Blow'. In the Lolo-Burmese languages 'fire' and 'blow' generally resemble each other.

	'fire'	'blow'
Wr.B	mi ²	hmut
Moso (Wei)	mi 44	mu 21
Nasu	mu 33 tu 44	mu 32
Nyi	m 11 ty 55	m 44
Ahi	m 44 tv 55	m 44
Hsihsia	medN (L31)	men (L31)

'Fire' and 'blow' have the same phonemic form in Hsihsia and Nyi and Ahi Lolo.

However, the vowels -i in 'fire' and -u in 'blow' reflected in both Wr.B. and Moso (Weihsi), are considered to be the original Lolo-Burmese vowels. Cf. Wr.T. me 'fire', hbud-pa 'blow'.

	LB	Wr.B	Moso	Hsihsia	Nyi,Ahi	cf. O.Jap.
'fire'	s* mi	mi ²	mi	mun	m−	Φ1'
'to blow'	*Cmut	hmut	mu	MHN	m	Фuk-Фu

Luquan Lolo mo 33 corresponds to Wr.B. mi² 'fire' and tsho 21 corresponds to Wr.B. chi 'oil'.

'Fat', 'ride', etc. Observe other forms whose vowel -> corresponds to Wr.B. -i.

Wr.B.	Lolo (Lu)
chi	d25 11
	dz ɔ 11
khyi ² -sañ	tsho 55
kri²-sañ	Yw⊃ 33 ⁵

 $^{^{5}}$ Hsihsia and the Lolo-Burmese languages show the following correspondences for

'woman'	min ² -ma	?a 11 mp 33
'cloud'	tim_	to 33
'tail'	mri ²	m ɔ 33

Although it could be argued that Nyi Lolo tshz 33 'to be fat; coarse animal oil' corresponds to Wr.B. chi 'oils and fats', Ahi Lolo tsho 44 'to be fat' to Wr.B. chu 'to be fat'. I suggest considering that, except for the cases where -3 corresponds to Burmese -i as in Luquan Lolo, there were two stem forms: one with the vowel -i and the other with -u, which contributed to the semantic distinction between *chi 'lump of oil' and *chu 'to be fat' at the Lolo-Burmese stage.

	Wr.B.	Wr.T.	Hsihsia	Moso (Li)
'oil'	chi	tshil		
'lean'		tshil-med		
'fat, not melted	•	tshil		
'fat, greasy'		tsho-ba	tshu (L1)	
'fat'		tshil-can		
'fat-flesh'				tshar 11

As indicated earlier, Luquan dz3 11 corresponds to Wr.B. ci2 'to ride (on a horse)'. Other related morphemes exist.

Wr.T. hchib-pa ~ hchibs-pa (resp.) 'to mount' corresponds to Wr.B. ci². In Tibetan there is another common form zhon-pa 'to ride'; rta-la zhon-pa 'to ride on a horse'. Moso (Li) ndz 44 'to ride (on a horse)' zwa 44 ndz 44 'to ride on a horse' is thought to correspond to the latter forms.

Hsihsia has the following three forms, the last two distinguished by tone. The noun is indicated by a level tone (L) and the verb by a rising one (R).

```
tšhiĥ (L29) 'to ride' (on a horse)

ndze (R34) 'to ride' (on a horse)

ndze (L37) 'riding'
```

The above form tšhih is cognate with Wr.B. ci²— and Wr.T. hchib-pa. ⁿdze, which is cognate with Moso ndz 22 44, corresponds to Wr.T. zhon-pa.

Tonal Differentiation. In Hsihsia we find a few other cases where the tone distinguishes between a noun and a verb.

	Hsihsia	Moso (Wei)	Moso (Li)
'shoulder' (N) 'to carry on' (V)	_wa (L63) -wa (R56)	khui 33 phi 21 mpo 15	mbo 11
'equality' (N)	kafi (L17)		
'to equate' (V)	-ka (R56)		

'Sun' and 'Day'. In Written Tibetan nyi-ma 'sun' and nyin-mo 'day' are distinct, but Burmese and Moso have the following forms:

	Wr.B.	Moso (Wei)	Moso (Li)
'sun'	nei	pi 33 m e 33	ni 33 mε 33
'day'	nei ³	ni 33 nua 21	ni 33
'today'	i-nei ³	tsh1 33 ni 33	tshu 33 ni 33

Another form bi 33 'sun', known to be an older form, is used in Moso when chanting a sutra.

'Sun' and 'day' are generally distinguished in the Lolo languages.

```
'day' ni 44, ni 44 na 11 n 33 'sun' li 55 tçi 22, tçi 22 (zo 21) lo 11 ts² 33 ma 33
```

In Luquan Lolo a distinction is made between tha 11 ni 11 'today' and dzi 11 'day'. The latter form dzi corresponds to Ahi tqi 22 and Nyi ts2 33, while ni 11 in the former is clearly cognate to Ahi ni 44, Nyi n 33.

On the other hand 'sun' is **mu** 33 tshu 44 in Nasu and 3 33 tshu 55 in Hani. These forms correspond to Luquan tshu 55 'sunlight' and are thought originally to have had the meaning 'the sun's heat'.

In Hsihsia we find m1 \sim mb1 (R7) 'sun', n $\dot{\pm}$ N (L32) 'daytime', nef (L36) 'day', sof (R42) 'sun'. M1 \sim mb1 corresponds to Moso bi, n $\dot{\pm}$ N to Wr.T. nyin, nef to Wr.T. nyi- and Wr.B. nei and sof to Lolo *tsho and Moso so 11 'early morning'.

'Tree', 'Wood', and 'Liver'. 'Tree', 'wood', and 'liver' present clearly parallel correspondences.

'tree, wood' 'liver'	Hsihsia _sih (L11) -sih (R10)	shing	sac	Nyi sz 44 sz 11	si 44	Moso (Wei) s^ 33 s^ 55	SA 33*	
-------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------	-----	-----------------------	-------	---------------------------------	--------	--

By comparing another example with 'tree':

```
'tree' -phu (R1) sdong-po sac-pang sz 44 ts\ell 22 ^nts\wedge 21 ^ndz\wedge 11
```

we see that 'tree' in Hsihsia is cognate with Chiang phu (which is related to Wr.B. pang) and in Moso (Li) the original meaning of 'tree' changed to 'brush' and the old voiced form was preserved for 'tree, wood'. The Weihsi dialect of spoken Moso is characterized by the change of this voiced initial into a voiceless one with prenasalization.

Voiced and Voiceless Prenasalized initials. Another morpheme shows an important correspondence between the voiceless prenasalized nts- of Spoken Moso and the voiced prenasalized ndz- of Written Moso: Moso (Weihsi) ntso 21: Moso (Li) ndzo 11 'bridge'. The correspondence in tone is also considered to be regular: Moso (Weihsi) 21: Moso (Li) 11 --> Low. Hsihsia son (L50) and Wr.T. zam-pa. These forms also correspond to Luquan ntse 11, a form in opposition to ntshe 11 'bridge' and to ntshe 33 'to cross (a river)'. It could be assumed that ntsh- in both of these forms were originally voiced and underwent a

⁶ Cf. O. Jap. ki''tree': ki-mo 'liver'.

process to make it voiceless i.e. *ndz- > ntsh-, or vice-versa. To ntshe 33 'to cross (a river)' corresponds to Hsihsia 'džíah (L21) 'to cross (a river)'. In Lolo there exists gu 55 'to cross', which is cognate with Hsihsia ngiuh (L3) 'to cross'.

Another morpheme which is voiced in Written Moso, Written Tibetan, and Hsihsia (on the one hand), and (on the other hand), voiceless in Spoken Moso and Lolo is: Moso (Li) ndzur 44: Moso (Wei) ntso 41 Hsihsia bzur (R76). (The correspondence in tone is Moso (Li) 44: Moso (Weihsi) 41 ---> High. The rising tone in Hsihsia might also be a regular correspondence.)

These forms are cognate with Wr.T. zil-pa 'dew, dew-drop', (zil-dkar hoar-frost). On the other hand, in the Lolo languages we find Nyi ts2 55 'dew', ts2 55 2 33 'dew-drop', Ahi tçi 55 zo 21 'dew-drop', tçi 55 tho 22 'hoar-frost', Luquan tsz 55 'dew', tsz 55 thy 33 'hoar-frost', all cognate with Wr.B. chi² 'frost' (chi²-hnang 'dew'). These forms contrast as follows:

Moso (Li) ndzur 44 : Hsihsia "Kzer; Wr.T. zil-pa TB *dzil ~ *dzur Ahi tçi 55 : Nyi tsz 55 ; Luquan tşz 55 Wr.B. chi² LB *chi²

Written Moso and the Weihsi dialect display the same kind of pattern for 'snow':

Moso (Wei) mpæ 33, Moso (Li) mbæ 44 , Hsihsia wi (L67), Lolo Luquan vu 33, Ahi wo 21, Nasu væ 21, Wr.B. mo²pwang³.

Compound-formation. It is important in the comparative study of languages to find correspondence between languages not only in terms of morphemes but also in the formation of compounds. For example, in comparing Wr.B. na phu² 'forehead' and Nyi tçı 44 phy 22 'forehead', what corresponds is the second morpheme; the first morphemes are different from each other. In contrast, the following example displays a clear correspondence in word-formation as well. Wr.B. na phu² 'the forehead': Luquan na 2 by 11 (written language for sutras).

The first two morphemes in Hani no 55 xu 33 lu 55 'forehead' are thought to be cognate with the above morphemes, but there is an additional morpheme lu 55 in Hani. This lu 55 is cognate with the first morpheme in Moso (Weihsi) lu 55 pu 21 'forehead' and is supposed to be related to the second morpheme in Hsihsia nie (R68) la (L64) 'forehead'. Hsihsia nie means 'face' and Wr.B. na-, Luquan na2- and Hani no 55 might also have meant 'face'. (Cf. Nyi-Lolo to 11 ne 44 'eyelid').

Thus compound-formation in each of these languages can be summarized as follows:

'forehead'	Wr.B.	na	phu ²
	Luquan	na 2	by 11
	Nyi-Lolo tçi 44		phy 22
	Hani	n ɔ 55	xu 33_lu 55
	Moso (Wei)		lu 55 pu 21
	Hsihsia	-nie	_la

'Die' and 'kill'. In many of the Tibeto-Burman languages 'to die' and 'to kill' are related to each other.

Moso Moso

Wr.T. (Li) (Wei) Hsihsia Wr.B. Nasu Nyi 'to die' shi-ba < hchi-ba şw.44 şl 33 si (L30) sei-sañ çi 44 si 33 'to kill' gsod-pa < gsad-pa sy 55 sy 55 saĥ (L20) sat-sañ si 55 xa 11

As is reflected in the Wr.T. forms, both the opposition of initial consonants (sh-:s-) and that of vowels (-i:-ad) were originally utilized to indicate the semantic difference, but in many Lolo languages only the vowel opposition remains.

'Medicine', 'color', and 'to dye'. Wr.B. chi² 'medicine' has cognates throughout Lolo, including in Moso and Hsihsia.

	'medicine'	'to take medicine'
Nyi	n a 33 tshz 11	
Ahi	nou ³ -ts'e ⁴	
Luquan	tshi 33	
Moso (Li)	tshar 44	
Moso (Wei)	tshar 55 Xuu 55	tshar 55 /w 55 th 21 chi² ca²-sañ (lit. to eat medicine)
Wr.B	chi ²	chi ² ca ² -sañ (lit. to eat medicine)
Hsihsia	ts ų (L68)	

Apart from this group of forms, Luquan Lolo has another form me 22, corresponding to Wr.T. sman. The noun and the verb forms are distinguished by tone in Luquan Lolo. me 22 seems to be a remnant of the old form which had been preserved in the chanting of sutras.

	Luquan	Wr.T.
'medicine'	me 22	sman
'to take medicine'	me 11	
'to eat medicine'		sman za-ba
'to drink medicine'		sman hthung-ba

For comparison with the Hsihsia form meaning 'medicine', we might note a phonemically-identical form meaning 'color'. We also see a clear correspondence between Hsihsia, Written Burmese and Moso with regard to a related form meaning 'to dye'.

	Hsihsia	Wr.B.	Moso (Wei)
'color'	ts u (L68)	chei ²	tsh2-55 8455
'medicine'	tsu (L68)	chei ²	(za 55) tshar 21
'to dye' ⁷	tshľuĥ (L3)	chei ² cho ² -sañ	_

Hsihsia has another word law (L22) 'to dye'. This leads us to think of the existence of a LB verb *rɔng-sañ 'to dye', which is associated with Wr.B. a-rɔng 'color', which would probably be the origin.

'To wash', 'six', 'year', and 'waist'. The well-attested correspondence between Wr.B., Moso and Hsihsia exemplified by 'medicine' and 'color' is not paralleled by 'to wash':

Hsihsia _siew (L46) : Wr.B. chei2-sañ : Moso (Li) tshar 33

⁷ The Wr.B. means 'to dye a color'. Note also that the Hsihsia characters 'color' and 'to dye' share a semantic component.

On the other hand, the Hsihsia numeral 'six' with a level tone 46 rime shows a different pattern:

```
Hsihsia _tšhYew (L46) : Wr.B. khrɔk /tšhau?/ : Moso (Li) tshwa 55 : Moso (Wei) tshueN 55 : Wr.T. drug /duk/ : Nyi khu 22 : Ahi tshu 44 : Nasu tçu 44 (tçhu 44?)
```

The same can be said of the forms meaning 'year' and 'waist', both of which have a level tone 45 rime in Hsihsia.

```
Hsihsia Wr.B. Moso (Wei) Moso (Li) Nasu Luquan
'year' kiew (L45) khu<sup>3</sup>-hnac khu 55 khy 55 khu 44 khu 55
'waist' ndžiew (L45) kha<sup>2</sup> th 55 thu 55 dzu 55
```

'Foot' and 'dog': 'open-mouthed' and 'closed-mouth' rimes. The forms meaning 'foot' and 'dog' show the distinction between kai khou 'open-mouthed' rimes and he khou 'closed-mouthed' rimes.

	'f∞t'	'dog'
Hsihsia	kh i (L30)	$kh^{W_{\frac{1}{2}}}$ (L30)
Wr.B.	khrei < khriy	$khwei^2 < khuy^2$
Nyi	tsh ž 11 be 44	tshz 11
Ahi	tçhi 22 bie 22	tchi 21
Nasu	tchi 44 pha 21	tchi 33
Moso (Wei)	khw 33	khu 41
Moso (Li)	khu 44	kh u 44

These indicate that many of the Lolo languages have lost the distinction between kai kou rimes and he kou rimes.

Hsihsia's complicated rime system. It is not entirely clear why Hsihsia has a complicated rime system, but the major reason may lie in the loss of the final consonants from former -VC forms and the creation of new -VV forms. Let us compare some Written Tibetan forms with Hsihsia forms:

'figure' 'form, character' 'to rub' 'to be able' 'to sink' 'pig' 'needle'	Hsihsia -su (R1) -tu (R1) -siu (L2) -nih (R10) -ni (L67) -vah (L17) -yah (L17)	Wr.T. gzugs tshul shud-pa nus-pa nub-pa phag khab	-ugs -ul -ud -us -ub -ag -ab	: -u : -u : -u ⁸ : -ih : -i : -afi : -afi
			_	-ah
'to take'	_hliwifi (L11)	len-pa	-en	: -ifi
'endless'	_sih (L11) ⁹ meh (L36)	zin-med	−in - ed	: -ih : -eh etc.

⁸ cf. Wr.B. sut-sañ 'to wipe'.

The following correspondence is observed in expressions accompanied with forms of negation.

	Hsihsia	Wr.T.	Wr.B.
'number'	-ŋzĭ ə r (R78)	grangs	
'innumerable'	-nziar meh	grangs-med-pa	
'continually'	$\min (L\overline{11}) - pah (R17)$		ma-prat

With the examples above, the loss of the final consonants -d, -gs, -l, -b, -n etc. can be dealt with in a simple way. However, examining the correspondences in a wider context, we discover that the change from -WC to -VV in Hsihsia was not a mere series of simple changes; rather, the language underwent a complicated process 10 in which mergers and splits were repeated to form the complicated rime system of the 11th century. This is clearly reflected in the fact that several Hsihsia morphemes which might be expected to have forms identical with those in closely-related languages actually have quite distinct forms.

In contrast to Hsihsia, the Lolo languages lost the final consonants much later and in a rather regular way. This is thought to be the reason why complicated rime systems such as in Hsihsia were not created.

In general terms, the CVC forms in common LB developed into Hsihsia forms in one direction, into Moso forms in another direction and into the forms in other Lolo-Burmese languages in the other directions, according to the peculiarities of the various languages. After several stages of development, Hsihsia had reached the most complicated CVV forms by the 11th century. Thus the problem is to what degree can we establish, through comparing the developmental courses of later stages, the common -VC forms which convincingly explain the realization of the various forms.

In short, to a surprising extent the history of the Lolo languages has been a process of reducing and simplifying phonemic shapes (Nishida 1980).

Moso and Spoken Weihsi correspondences. The reading forms based on the Lichiang dialect of Moso and Spoken Weihsi forms show fairly regular correspondence. The basic pattern of tonal correspondences is as follows:



The voiced consonants with prenasalized initials in Lichiang correspond to voiceless consonants with prenasalized initials in Weihsi.

Lichiang Weihsi	μb- μp-	nd- nt-	ŋg- ŋk-	ndz- nts-	ndz- ntg-	ndz-	
'kid 'sna 'bea	e' squito		mk mk mk	ichiang oi 33 ov 33 lv oe 33 our 33 d oo 33	3		Weihsi mpi 33 mpy 33 ly 33 mpe 33 mpeN 41 mpeN 33 ts1 33 mpo 41

(2) (3) (4) (5)

¹⁰ Such processes are also assumed to have included the combination of a stem and a suffix. 'ear', mentioned above, and a verb form -ish serve as examples. For a discussion of the B type verb form -ish, see (Nishida 1976b, 1977).

	'fly'	mbur 33 rar 55	mpo 21 10 55
	'to carry on'	mbo 11	πpo 21
(2)	'to fall down'	ndo 55	nto 55
	'foolish'	ndo 11	nto 21
	'drum'	nda 33 kha 11	nta 33 ku 21
(3)	'nine'	ngv 33	nku 33
	'sinews'	ngv 33	ŋku 41
	'thunder'	mu 33 ngv 33	mu 41 nku 21
	'sick'	ngo 11	nyko 21
(4)	'to eat'	ndzw 33	nts1 33
	'to sit'	ndzw 11	nts 1 21
	'to sing'	ndz∧ 33	nts∧ 55
(5)	'mud'	ndz 11	ntsen 15
	'to brew'	ndzar 33	ntsar 41
	'to fall'	ndzo 11	ntso 21
	'leopard'	ndzu 33	nts2 33
	'dew'	ndzur 33	ntso 41
(6)	'to roast'	ndzi 55	ncçi 55
	'to walk'	nd ę i 33	ncçi 41
	'vegetables'	ndzo 33	necio 33

In the following example it is not clear whether this is an exception to the correspondence pattern or an erroneous description of the sounds.

'to sweep'	bæ 33	mpeN 21
'to weave'	d a . 11	nt a 15

In fact, there are a fairly large number of cases where we question the description of sounds. For example, if the correspondence of Lichiang -ur and -o to Weihsi -J and -o, respectively, is regular, represented by

'to write'	pur 55	55 د و
'to have'	po 55	po 55
'pig'	bo 11	bo 15
'to see'	do 11	do 21
'knife'	zur 33	გ ე 41

then we are tempted to suspect that the Weihsi dialect forms which appear in 'a comb' Lichiang kv 33 pur 55, Weihsi po 55 and 'fine-toothed comb' Lichiang pur 55 ts 33, Weihsi po 55 ts 21 might have had po 55 with the more open vowel in their actual form. However, there is no way at present to verify this.