

WHERE, O WHERE, HAVE THE LARYNGEALS GONE?

AUSTRONESIAN LARYNGEALS RE-EXAMINED

R. David Zorc

This paper represents a progress report on a monograph (to appear in *Pacific Linguistics*). It is written in the spirit of an Aklanon phrase (*mamu:gun kita 'let's go gleaning in the fields'*). I have gleaned from the works of several scholars, and offer here a positive (not negative) criticism of their methodology or approach. From the outset, I must underscore my indebtedness to all of them, particularly at TICAL or in personal correspondence.

1. A BRIEF LOOK IN THE KITCHEN¹

Dyen's now classic monograph (1953) was written in the atmosphere of the discovery of Proto-Indo-European laryngeals. The reconciliation of Dempwolff's somewhat chaotic correspondence sets into PMP *q, *h, and *Ø appeared to justify the title "laryngeals", although it was selected lightly as an explanation of the phenomena observed. In fact, Dyen observed "that either one or both of these proto-phonemes [*q, *h] was phonetically not of a type to which the term 'laryngeals' would normally be applied" (1953:1). Based on currently available reflexes in major Austronesian subgroups, I have suggested — equally lightly — that a title "Some Proto-Austronesian voiceless fricatives" would now be more appropriate, i.e. PMP *q < PAN *[x], PMP *h < PAN *[š]. Conant (1915:11) had already unified the correspondence of Palau x, Malay h, and Philippine ?, but this was either unknown to or ignored by Dempwolff, although cited by Dyen (1953:fn.2). Furthermore, Costenoble (c.1942) foreshadowed Dyen's assignment of Dempwolff's *-h- and *-h as *?, and Dempwolff's *h- and *-’- as *h; unfortunately this study was only published in 1979 (owing to the efforts and translation of the late Dr Cecilio Lopez).

Although Dyen (1953:1,43,50) did leave considerable latitude for the phonetic interpretation of his *q and *h, it is quite clear that q represents a glottal stop in the citation of data, and that the temporal and geographical separation of Tongan and Tagalog led him to assume this phonetic character for the PMP phoneme. Although Tag and To [?] correspond with Malay [h] < PMP *q, Dyen noted, but left unexplained, the correspondence Tagalic [?], Ml/In [?], and Tongan [Ø] (1953:28) which could have led to the reconstruction of PMP *?: *buka? [P136] 'open', *Da:tu? [P137] 'chief, ruler', *kaka? [P138] 'elder sibling'. [Numbers in brackets refer to data sets in this present study.] Later studies by Dyen

Amran Halim, Lois Carrington and S.A. Wurm, eds *Papers from the Third International Conference on Austronesian Linguistics*, vol.2: *Tracking the travellers*, 111-144. *Pacific Linguistics*, C-75, 1982.

© R. David Zorc

(1965a:302f; 1971:36-44) introduced the reconstruction of PAN *ʔ on the basis of a comparison of Philippine and Formosan evidence, but the Ml/In evidence was never re-investigated.

Neither *q nor *S were laryngeals (Dahl 1976:35), but do have laryngeal reflexes in many AN languages. The evidence presented thus far for PAN *ʔ has not been convincing (Dahl 1976:37). While data leading to the reconstruction of PAN *H has been limited (1976:130), "it is prudent at our present state of knowledge not to identify all [final *h's] with PAN *S, but as long as correspondences are not found in Formosa, to leave open the question whether they represent *S, some *h, or Ø". (1976:39)

Dyen and McFarland (1970) indicated *ʔ on a large number of PAN reconstructions, particularly in initial and final position (where no other consonant was attested), e.g. *ʔakú? 'I', *ʔaRúhu? Casuarina, *ʔasíLə 'salt', *bába[ʔh] 'to bring along', etc. Nothofer followed a similar procedure in the reconstruction of Proto-Malayo-Javanic. Since the reconstruction of PAN *ʔ is at issue here, one must be wary of a large number of AN languages that have a phonotactic rule that inserts [?] word or phrase finally (sometimes initially as well), e.g. Sundanese, Kuyonon, Kalamian, Casiguran Dumagat, Itbayaten, Keley-i' Kallahan, Bunun, Pazeh, Puyuma, Saisiyat, Sediq, Thao, and Atayal.² In this study, considerable weight is attached to the phonemic or the morphophonemic (as opposed to the phonetic or phonotactic) occurrences of laryngeals in various AN languages.³ The importance of morphophonemics cannot be underestimated since inflected forms often preserve phonemes (irregularly) lost in bases. Thus Tsg duwa 'two' (*h > Ø) but ka/wha?/an 'twenty' (*h preserved), Aty payat 'four' (*S > Ø) but spat 'eight' (*S preserved), Pai alu 'eight' (*w > Ø) but ka/valu/an 'eighth month, August' (*w preserved).

The choice of 'q' as a symbol for the glottal stop (as opposed to ?, ', ') has had unfortunate and confusing consequences for laymen, lexicographers, and linguists, such as the citation of Formosan glottal with ?, but Philippine glottal and Malay -k with q within the same article (Dyen 1971:passim).

The confusion of orthographic vs phonemic systems has also led to misinterpretations. Ibans have adopted the Malay orthography even though it does not provide a phonemic fit – it ignores a phonemic final glottal stop and introduces an unnecessary 'o'. A careful and sensitive lexicographer such as Scott (1956) is then forced to give a 'systematic spelling' (i.e. phonemic interpretation) after the traditional spelling. Blust (1970 and articles since) has not cited this final glottal stop, which has herein proven crucial to the reconstruction of PHN and PAN *-ʔ and *-h:

The unexpected appearance of a final glottal stop in some Iban words presents special difficulty... .Where such a glottal stop is found it is assumed to be of secondary origin, though conditions for its appearance cannot be stated at present. Most of the Philippine languages used extensively in the present comparison also show a final glottal stop in some forms corresponding to a final vowel in Malay, Iban or Javanese. The reconstruction of the 'laryngeals' (*q, *S and *Ø) in all positions is based on a generalization of the criteria presented in Dyen (1953). (1970:110f)

The following sets of data show contrast among four 'laryngeals' in Iban: -Ø (final vowel), -ʔ (final glottal stop), -k (final postvelar unreleased stop), and -h (final voiceless vowel).

mataØ	'eye'	tuaØ	'we-2-inclusive'
mata?	'unripe'	tua?	'guardian-spirit'
matak	'pulling' [< batak]	tuak	'palm toddy'
matah	'sloping (land)'	tuah	'lucky'

An extremely large number of minimal pairs appear in Scott, recently corroborated by my work with an Iban informant.⁴ Many of the forms with phonemic glottal stop in final position correspond to cognates among Philippine languages:

Ib aku, Akl ?aku 'I' > PHN *akuØ [P200]

Ib aku?, Akl ?a:ku? 'admit, confess' > PHN *a:ku? [P129]

Iban is therefore a criterion language⁵ for the establishment of PHN/PAN *-?.

Nevertheless, following Dyen's conventions for reconstructing *q and dismissing Scott's notation of Iban -q, Blust has ignored this Iban evidence.

Although Scott (1956:15) lists Iban badi (badiq) 'a dagger', Blust reconstructs:

76. *badiq 'dagger'. Ceb bariq 'k.o. sickle', Mar badiq 'bolo, machete, knife', Ib badi (*q > Ø unexplained) 'dagger', Ngadha badi 'dagger, sword'. (1973:39 - emphasis mine, see P132)

The plethora of laryngeal correspondences (*S₁, *S₂, *S₃, *x, *χ, etc.), certainly never seriously proposed by Dyen as PAN phonemes, has fallen under criticism by several scholars, notably Blust (1978:469f), who raises the point, why has this not been done for PAN *! (with at least three reflexes in Tagalog) or for the PAN vowels (allophony of [i]:[e], [u]:[o] has led to phonemic contrasts among several AN languages)? Apparently Dyen's reluctance to characterise the phonetic nature of proto-phonemes or to reduce the inventory to that of a 'real language' is based on the lack of information about subgrouping (e.g. 1953: 50 and 1971:passim). However, it seems that Dyen himself made an appropriate working hypothesis in 1956 – although addressing a different, but related, problem:

The essential point in problems of this type is the basic vocabulary. The correspondence system of a language must be that which applies to its basic vocabulary, taken as a whole; the contrary proposition cannot be tolerated in a genetic comparative treatment. (1956:87)

Granting that many of the most irregular correspondences appear in items meaning 'fire, dog, child, thou, four, tree/wood', it would appear that sorting out these problems would be with recourse to the standard reflexes within each given language's (other) basic vocabulary, rather than with recourse to that language's genetic relationships to other AN languages – except where such information could lead to the identification of loanwords and the direction of borrowing.

2. PURPOSE AND PLAN

In this study, the reconstruction of the PAN laryngeals is based on a systematic progression from two lower-order proto-languages: Proto-Philippine and Proto-Hesperonesian. The comparison of several genetically-remote Ph languages necessitates the reconstruction of four 'laryngeals': PPH *h, *q, *?, and *Ø. These can be aligned with Proto-Indonesian (or Nothofer's PMJ): *h, *?, and *Ø. PHN *h appears to have been lost early in the history of Indonesian languages, and only sporadic evidence can be found in word initial and final position, so that PMJ *h is a reflex systematically, if not exclusively, of PHN

*q. Finally, PAN *q, *S, *Ø, *?, and *H can be reconstructed -- although only the latter two can be considered to have been laryngeal articulations.

In this shortened draft, each phoneme will be dealt with independently, and reconstructions will be labelled according to the time-depth warranted by the currently available evidence. In the absence of definitive subgrouping criteria to date, reconstructions are here assigned to putative proto-languages based on the geographical distribution of the witnesses. Hence, only if cognates are found widely distributed in Formosan (North AN), Oceanic (East AN), and Hesperonesian (West AN) are they assigned to PAN. If they are found only in East and West AN, they are assigned to Proto-Malayo-Polynesian (PMP). And, if they are found only in West and North AN, they are assigned to Proto Hesperonesian-Formosan (PHF).⁶ No claim is made that this latter parent language was a reality, as it is possibly equivalent to PAN (based on current subgrouping hypotheses of several scholars).⁷ Rather, this principle is adhered to because certain proto-phonemes are necessitated by Formosan evidence alone (*S, *H, amongst others), and in the absence of such evidence no justification can be made, for example, in rewriting PHN or PMP *h as either *S or *H, because the languages used in reconstructing PMP yield a laryngeal inventory identical to that established for PHN (*q, *h, *?, and *Ø).

It must be kept in mind that a reconstruction is founded upon three different kinds of witnesses: *test language* (Pai c < *C, s < *S), *criterion language* (Akl ? < *q or *?, Ib -? < *-? or *-h, therefore Akl, Ib ? < *?), and *witness language* (helpful in determining the antiquity rather than the phonemic shape of a reconstruction).⁵

3. PROTO-AUSTRONESIAN *q

The reconstruction of PAN *q is straightforward, having been put forward by Dyen (1953) and defended by Dyen (1965, 1971) and Dahl (1976), among others. Healey (1959) has shown that both Kalamian and T'boli (= Tagabili) establish PPH *q in all positions. Historically speaking, the Kalamian dialects⁸ (Karamianenen, Tagbanwa, and Agutaynen) serve as a test language for PPH *q in that [k] is the reflex in all positions, and PPH/PAN *k went to Kl [Ø], although numerous loans from other languages now obscure this phenomenon.⁹ Initially and finally the Tbl reflex is [k], but intervocally [-h-]. Most other Ph languages reflect *q with [?], although members of the Cordilleran group have final -Ø, and Itb has Ø except in clusters. Nothofer (1975) has shown that PMJ/PIN *h reflects PAN *q, and is established by OJv, Sd, and Ml [h] in all positions; to this may be added the evidence of Ib [h] in final position. At the PMP and PAN level the test languages and respective reflexes are: early Palau data and Bunun (Isbukun dialect) [x], recent Palau data, Tonga, Pazeh, and Kanakanabu [?], Paiwan, Takituduh Bunun, Ami, Thao, Sediq, and Atayal [q], and Puyuma [h]. Any witnesses with irregular reflexes, due to inflection, innovation, or borrowing, are put into parentheses, but no attempt is made here to subclassify the reconstructed phonemes on this basis.

Table 1: Standard reflexes of some PAN phonemes involving laryngeals

PAN	*q	*?	*H	*S	*s	*Ø	*w	*y
Akl	?	?	h	h	s	- ^w y-Ø	w	y
Ceb	?	?	h	h	s	- ^w y-Ø	w	y
Bik	?	?	h	h	s	- ^w y-h-	w	y
Tag	?	?	h	h	s	-?h-	w	y
Itb	Ø ?C	Ø ?C	h	h	s	Ø	w	y
Kal	k	Ø	Ø	Ø	s t	Ø	w	y
Tbl	k-h-k	?	?-?-h	?-?-h	s-h-h	-h-	w	y
WBM	?	?	h	h	s	- ^w y-?-	w	y
PPH	*q	*?	*h	*h	*s	*Ø	*w	*y
Ib	Ø-Ø-h	Ø-:-?	Ø-:-?	Ø-:-?	s	Ø	Ø-w-w	-y-
OJv	h	Ø-:-? ^t	(h)Ø-Ø	(h)Ø-Ø	s	Ø	w	y-y~
Md	Ø	-?-? ^t	Ø	Ø	s	Ø-h	b-b-	ɟ-ɟ-
Ml	h	-Ø-? ^t	(h)Ø-Ø	(h)Ø-Ø	s	Ø	Ø-w-w	-y-
PIN	*h	(*)?	*(h)Ø(h)	*(h)Ø(h)	*s	*Ø	*w	*y
Pl	x	Ø	Ø	Ø	t	Ø	Ø-u-Ø	-r-
To	?	Ø	Ø	Ø	h	Ø	v	Ø
PMP	*q	*?	*h	*h	*s	*Ø	*w	*y
Aty	q	Ø	h ^t	s	h-h-x	Ø-?	w	y
Ami	q	?	h	s	c	Ø	w	y
Bun	x	Ø	Ø	s	s	Ø-?	v	d
Tkd	q	?	h	s	s	Ø-?	v	d
Kan	?	Ø	Ø	s	Ø	Ø	Ø	-l-
Pai	q	Ø	Ø	s	t	Ø	v	y
Paz	?	Ø	h ^t	s	z	Ø-?	Ø	y
Puy	h	Ø	Ø	Ø	s	Ø-?	w	y
Sai	?	Ø	h ^t	š	h	Ø-?	Ø	y
Tha	q	Ø	Ø	š	t	Ø-?	Ø	y
Sed	q	Ø	x h	s	x	Ø-?		y
	[x]	[?]	[h]	[š]	[s]	[Ø]	[w]	[y]

† Only in certain environments or on some lexical items.

Where test evidence is not available, criterion languages can assist in establishing certain reconstructions, such as:

- (P01) PHN *qābuk¹⁰ 'dust' > Tg ?ābok, Ml (h)abok. (NYZ)¹¹
- (P02) PHN *qu:taŋ 'debt' > Akl, Ifg, Ilk, Isg, Sbl, Tag ?u:taŋ, (Tbl ?utoŋ, Kal utaŋ), Abr, WBM ?utaŋ, Sd, OJv hutəŋ, Ml (h)utan. (DNYZ)
- (P03) PHN *täqən 'trap, restrain' > Akl, Ceb tă?un, WBM, Moŋ ta?an, Ifg tō?on, Sd tahən 'trap, snare', OJv tahən 'resist, restrain', Ml tahan 'restrain, set snares; endure, resist', Ib ta:n 'hard-wearing, able to bear, keep, detain'. (BNZ) (See section 6 and note 26.)
- (P04) PHN *tūquR 'dry' > (Tag tūyo? <), Kpm tūyu?, Abr tu?ug, Sml toho?, Ib tu:r, Ml tohor, Sd tuhur. (CNZ)
- (P05) PHN *lintaq 'leech' > Akl línta?, Btk, Mar linta?, (Kal linta, WBM lintak), Ib, Ml lintah. (ADNSYZ)
- (P06) PHN *lūdaq 'spit(tle)' > Tag lūra?, Tbl dulak (M), Ib, Ml ludah. (ABDYZ)
- (P07) PNH *pēRaq 'wring out' > Tag pīga?, Ceb pūga?, Ib, Ml pərah. (DNY)
- (P08) PMP *Rapuq 'rot(ten), brittle' > Ceb gapu?, Ml rapoh, Sd rapuh; Fj ravu 'smash up', Ib rapuh 'pile, heap', WBM gapu? 'dead wood'. (BNYZ)

In some instances new evidence corrects previous reconstructions:

- (P09) PMP *qaDəp 'front' > Tsg ?alup/an, Ml (h)adap, Bj ma/hadap, Sd harəp, To ?ao 'front', OJv harəp 'what is placed in front', haDəp 'prevented', To ?alo 'belly'. (BCNZ) (Tag hārap 'front', Itb harap/ən 'to face', Tsg harap 'foreground', Akl harāp/un 'near-sighted' < Ml/In.)
- (P10) PMP *qalima:nu [crab] > Kal kalimanu, Akl ?alima:nu, Pl xeman, Sm alimanu, Penchal k̄immiñ. (BYZ)
- (P11) PAN *qalsəm 'sour' > Kal kakləm (A/t+k), Akl ?áslum (M), Itg ?alsém, Ib, (Ml asam), Sd, OJv hasəm, Sm m/asa, Puy harsəm. (DNTYZ)
- (P12) PMP *qa:lun 'wave' > Kal lakun (M), Bik, Ceb ?a:lun, Ml (h)alon, Ib, NJv, Tb alun, To, Sm η/alu. (BDYZ)
- (P13) PAN *qānud 'flow, drift' > Akl, Ilk ?a:nud, Kpm ?anyud, Bj, NgD hañut, Ml (h)añot, (Md año?),¹² To ma/?anu_{3}, Tk̄d man/qanu?, Ami ma/qalul 'flow, float', Pai qa:tud 'lose'. (ADFTYZ)
- (P14) PMP *qanət 'warm' > Ml (h)anət, OJv hanət, Sd hanət (A/ŋ-t 'warm', To ?ano/ano 'dried-out'). (DNYZ) (Tsg hanət 'uneasiness due to heat'.)
- (P15) PHF *qapəjuØ 'gall' > WBM ?əpəzu,¹⁴ Png ?apgú, (Kal apdu, Tbl hədu/n), Ml (h)ampədu, (h)əmpədu, Jv ampəru, Pai qapədu, Pl xoas. (ADFSTYZ)
- (P16) PAN *qa:puR 'lime' > Akl ?a:pug, Ifg ?a:pul, (Kal apug, Tbl loho? (M), Ml kapor), Pl xaus, Ami qapul 'lime', To n/avu 'put lime'. (BCDSTYZ)
- (P17) PAN *qāsiN 'salt(y)' > Kal kasin, Tbl kahi?, Akl, Bon, Isg, Tag ?āsin, (Ml, OJv asin), Ib m/asin, Kan ma/?áini 'salt', Puy həsil 'salty', To m/ahi 'sour, astringent'). (ADNSTYZ)
- (P18) PHN *qələt 'interval' > Tbl kələt 'in succession', Akl ?ütut 'gap', WBM ?ələt 'object between two objects', OJv a/hələt 'with an interval of', Sd hələt 'interval', Ml (h)əlat 'alternate'. (BDNSZ)
- (P19) PMP *qi:law 'light; reflect' > Kal kilaw 'intense brightness', Tag ?i:law 'light', Ml (h)ilaw 'glimmer', Fj ilo/ilo 'anything that reflects: water, glass, mirror'. (BDNYZ)
- (P20) PHN *qipil Intsia [tree] > Kal kipil, Akl, Ilk, Tag ?i:pil, Bik, Ceb, Han ?ipil, (Ml ipil). (DYZ)
- (P21) PHN *qi(m)pit 'press/squeeze-together' > Kal kipit, Ceb, Ilk, Tag ?i:pit, Ml (h)impit = (h)əmpit. (BZY) (Note: Akl ?i<g>pit)
- (P22) PHN *qiRis 'cut/slice-up' > WBM ?igis 'threaten to stab or spear', Jv ires, Ml (h)iris 'slit, slice, rip open'. (DLZ) (Tag h̄ilis < Ml)

- (P23) PHN *qu:buj 'edible pith' > Kal kuBud, Akl ?u:bud 'palm heart', Ilk ?u:bug 'unspread leaf', (Ml umbut 'palm cabbage'). (BCSYZ)
- (P24) PHF *päqic 'bitter' > Kal pakit, (Tbl he?et), Akl, Bon, Ilk pa?it, Bj, OJv, Sd pahit, Ml pa(h)et, Ib pait, Pl mex/waxed 'bitter', Sai pa?is 'spicy', Kan pa?itsi 'sour' (DNSTYZ)
- (P25) PAN *da:Raq 'blood' > Sbl da:ya?, Ilk da:ra, Ifg da:la, Sml laha?, Ib, Ml darah, OJv rāh, Md Dara, Kan cará?e, Puy ḍarah 'blood', Pai ḍaq, Ami lalaq 'menstrual flow', Pl rasax, Fj ndrā. (ADFSYZ)
- (P26) PHN *lu:tuq 'cook, prepare (food)' > Kal lutuk, Tag lu:to?, Ilk lu:tu, WBM lutu?, NgD luntoh 'cook', Akl tu:tu? 'ripe'. (DSZ)

Numerous examples show Ml/In [h] from PAN *q to have been well retained. Although lost in every other position, it is retained word finally in Iban. Furthermore, although Dyen's original hypothesis regarding PMP *q came under some attack on the basis of his sources, the historicity of Ml orthographic and dialectal [h] is established by substantial external evidence and is a tribute to Dyen's original insights and to Wilkinson's lexicographic method.

There are comparatively few instances of disagreement. Ml fails to reflect [h] in P16, 17, 20, 23, kəntut < *qə(n)tut 'flatulence', ubi 'yam' < *qu:bi(h) (but note Ml humbi), and pusu 'abdomen' < *pu:suq 'heart'. In these few instances the external evidence is weighed more heavily than the lack of an (exact) cognate with [h] in Ml. Conversely, evidence from Ml or other In languages is considered definitive on reconstructions where there are no Kal or Tbl cognates (e.g. P01, P03, P04, P07, P08, P09, P13), or where Kal or Tbl evidence contraindicates the reconstruction of PHN *q (e.g. (P02, P05, P15)). The shift of PAN/PHN *q to PIN/PMJ *h is therefore well substantiated. However, the relationship of PIN/PMJ *h to PHN/PMP *h (and PAN *S or *H) is an entirely different case (see section 6).

4. PROTO-AUSTRONESEIAN *S

The reconstruction of PAN (or PHF) *S is only justified by various sibilant reflexes among Formosan languages. The most reliable test languages are Paiwan and Amis with [s]. Saisiyat and Thao, with [š] < *S, also reflect [š] < *C (but under conditions of assimilation rather than of merger with *C).¹⁵ Criterion languages include Bunun, Takituduh, Kanakanabu, Pazeh, Atayal, and Sediq, all with [s]. These correspondences are related to [h] reflexes in Bisayan (Akl, Ceb, Odg, Kin, Hil, S-L),¹⁶ Itbayaten,¹⁷ Western Bukidnon Manobo,¹⁸ Bikol (Naga dialect), Hanunoo,¹⁹ Tausug,²⁰ or Tagalog.²¹ Irregular correspondences are put into parentheses and will be discussed at the end of this section; no attempt is made to subclassify the reconstructed phoneme. The following are uncontested reconstructions (i.e. in conformity with the reflexes listed in Table 1):

PAN *Säjək 'kiss'	(BCTZ) ²²
PHF *Sap(V) Sap 'grope'	(TZ)
PHF *Sə(m)pi 'dream'	(ADFSYZ) ²²
PHF *S̥inaw 'wash up'	(ATYZ)
PAN *i:Səq 'urine'	(AFTYZ)
PAN *būSək 'hair'	(AFTYZ)
PHF *ku:Sa(?) 'go (for)'	(TZ)
PHF *ma-buSuk 'drunk'	(BZ)
PHF *taSaN(an) 'dwell'	(T)
PHF *CiŋaS 'food particles caught between teeth'	(TZ)
PAN *tēbuS 'sugarcane'	(ABTZ) ²²

PAN *SápəR 'lay mats'	(T)
PHF *S̥ənaw 'wash up'	(CTZ)
PHF *Sə(n)Zam 'borrow'	(ATZ)
PAN *Su(w)ab 'yawn'	(BCZ)
PHF *qami:San 'N wind'	(CTZ) ²²
PAN *CăSiq 'sew'	(AFTYZ) ²²
PHF *lu:Səq 'tear'	(TZ)
PHF *tăSəp 'winnow'	(ABTZ)
PHF *tu:Sud 'knee(l)'	(TZ)
PHF *RaØuS 'scoop out'	(T)
PAN *tuqaS 'old (of people)'	(ATYZ)

The following reconstructions are either problematic or recently modified:

- (P27) PHF *Sauni 'a while' > Ifg ?awni 'later', Pai nu/sawni 'in a while', ka/sawni, Aty saoni 'a while ago', Ami anu/sauni 'after, later', Tso osni 'right away', Kan saúni 'today', (Paz ?uhuni? 'now'). (TZ)
- (P28) PAN *-Saji(?)²³ 'younger sibling' > Odg ha:li, WBM hazi, (Han ?a:ri?), Md ali?, Ib, Ml adi?, To t/ehi/na, Aty ssue?, Paz sua:zi?, Kuv sua:ní?, Sed suái, Tha ša/šuwá:di?, Ruk ?agí?, Puy wadi?. (ABZ)
- (P29) PHF *SamúCi Solanum nigrum > Itb humti, WBM muti, Bon ?amtí, Isg ?amsí, Pai saméci, RukMg amicu, RukTn amici. (T *-amíCi; Z)
- (P30) PHF *Sanjut 'smell, sniff' > Bik hănut, Itb hanjut, Ilk ?a:nut 'smell', Pai sanjut 'kiss', (Aty pən/hanjuc 'suck in'). (Z)
- (P31) PAN *Sápuy 'fire' > Itb, WBM hapuy, Ib, Ml api, To afi (see Table 2 for Formosan data). (ADFNSTYZ)
- (P32) PHF *Sarum 'aroma, smell' > Ml, NgD harum, Pai salum. (A)
- (P33) PHF *Sa(n)təD₂ 'deliver, escort' > Akl hătud, Tag hătid, WBM hatəd, Itb i/hatid (A), Ml (h)antar, Jv atər (Id), Pai satəz 'send'. (ADSZ)
- (P34) PHF *Sěmay '(cooked) rice' > Akl hǔmay, Tsg humay, Ibg, Isg ?emmáy, Ml imay, Paz sumay, (Ami hémay, Kuv ?émáy). (BCZ)
- (P35) PAN *Sěpat 'four' > Tig hép?at, WBM hə?epat, (Akl ?ap?at, Ceb ?üpät, Tag ?a:pat, Itb ?a?pat), Ml ēmpat, Tso səptə, RukBd səpátə, Sed səpat, (Sir hpat; for other Formosan data see Table 2), To fa. (ADFNSTYZ)
- (P36) PAN *SěRəC 'tight(en)' > Akl hǔgut, Han hu:gut, WBM həgət, Png ?ələt 'tight', Tag hǐgit 'pull taut', Itb ma/hyət 'strong', Arosi ro, Tso sərcə 'hug tightly' (note doublet *qəRəC) (BTZ)
- (P37) PHF *Séyup 'blow' > Akl hǔyup, Kal əyəp, Tbl m/əyuf, Bon ?ăyup, Tag hi:p/an, Tb iup, NgD tar/iup, (see Table 2). (ADYZ)
- (P38) PHF *Sǐkam 'mat' > Kam hǐkam, Dbw hikam, (WBM ?ikam), Ilk ?ikám/ən, Tbl ?igam, Kan sikámə, Sar sikamə. (TZ)
- (P39) PAN *Si:paR 'other side; sister-in-law' > Tb ipar, Kan mua/sipárə, Sar i/siparə 'other side'; Akl, Tag, Bik hi:pag, (WBM ?i:pag), Ilk ?i:pag, Ib, Ml ipar, Sa ihe, Fj ra/iva 'sister-in-law'. (ADSYZ)
- (P40) PAN *Si:Rup 'sip, slurp' > Akl hi:gup, WBM higup, Itb h<um>yup, Ml (h)irop, Pai s<əm>iup, (Ami herop); Ulawa iluh/i 'to sup', Nggela ilu 'drink cabbage soup'. (ABDFNYZ) (note doublet *siRəp > Puy sirəp, Ami mi/cirəp).
- (P41) PAN *Su 'thou, thy' > (Tag ?i:yo, Han ka:/wu), Tso na/su, Sed ni/su?, (see Table 2), Kin -u. (ABTYZ)
- (P42) PHF *Su:lij 'sleep with' > Akl, Mas hu:lid, WBM, Tsg hulid, Abr ?ulid, Pai sulid. (Z)
- (P43) PHF *Su:ni [sound of birds] > Akl, Ceb, Tag hu:ni 'chirp', Itb h<um>uni 'to crow', Jv uni, Ami suni 'sound', (Paz huni?) 'chirp'. (TZ) (see Table 2)
- (P44) PAN Su:Nus 'pull out' > Akl hu:nus 'pull out', Tag hǔnos 'moult, peel', Ml (h)unus 'draw off, unsheathe', Jv unus 'draw out', Ami su:tuc, To unuh/i 'pull out'. (ABNYZ)
- (P45) PAN *Sa+ba:Rat 'monsoon' > Akl, Ceb, Tag haba:gat, Itb havayat, (WBM ?əvagat, Han ?aba:gat), Ilk ?aba:gat, Tkd mi/balat, Bun kalim/ba/balat, Sar baratə, Ml, Sd barat 'west', OJv, NJv barat 'west monsoon'. Note: Ami savalat 'E wind' (T). (ADNSYZ)
- (P46) PAN *quSaNap 'scale (of fish)' > Tsg hu?nap, WBM hun?ap, Sai ?öšalap, Pl xoło, To ?uno 'scale', Fu unaf/i 'to scale'. (Z)
- (P47) PHF *qu:Saw 'thirst(y)' > Akl, Ceb, Tag ?u:haw, Kal kuaw, Itb ma/hwaw; Ilk ma/wáw, Pai ma/qusaw, Puy ha/ħaw. (Z)

- (P48) PAN *Cu:SuR 'pierce' > Akl, Bik, Ceb, Han tu:hug, Itb tuhuy/ən 'to thread needle, skewer, string (beads)', To tu/i 'thread needle', Pai c<m>usu 'to string beads', Bun tusul/un, (Kan c<um>a/cuúru, Aty t<əm>oho, Sai söhö/ön 'to thread needle'. (TZ)
- (P49) PAN *DiǔSa 'two' > Ceb, Hil duha, Odg ruha, Itb duha, (Tsg, WBM duwa), Ib, Ml dua, OJv rwa, To ua, (see Table 2). (ABCDNSTYZ)
- (P50) PAN *kaSuy 'tree; wood' > Akl, Bik, Ceb ka:huy, Itb kayuh, Ilk ka:yu, WBM kayuh-, Pl gar, To ?a/kau, Fj ka(θ)u, Puy kawi?, (see Table 2), Ib kayu?. (ABCFDNSTYZ), with metathesis, especially yielding PMP *ka:yuh.
- (P51) PAN *LisēSəq 'nit' > WBM lisəha?, Itb lisaha, Kal likəs (M), Tbl kəlihah, Ib linsa?, To liha, Fu, Sm lia, Pai +isəqəs, Bun ?ísxus, Tkd ?icqós, Sai i?šiš, RukBd a/liséəsə. (BCDSTZ)
- (P52) PAN *(Ra)Sina:wa¹³ 'breath(e)' > Akl ginha:wa, WBM gehinawa, Itb hinawa, Kpm ?ina:wa, To mā/navə, Kan m/uru/ŋisáa (M) 'to breathe', Ib, Ml ñawa 'soul', Tag ginha:wa, Ilk gin?a:wa 'relief, relaxation'. (TZ)
- (P53) PHF *ba:NaS 'man; husband' > Ceb, Hil ba:nah-, Kapuas, Siang bana 'husband', Sai balaš, Paz batas, Pai vatas 'male (animal)'. (BZ)
- (P54) PHF *ba:ŋaS [tree] > Akl ba:ŋah, Han ba:ŋa Orania sp; Kan vanjasə, Sar vanjaə, Pai, Ami vanjas, Sai banjaš Melia azedarach. (TZ)
- (P55) PHF *baRiðuS 'storm-wind' > Akl, Ceb bágyuh-, Jv, Ml bayu, Kl bariw, Sait batyoš (see Table 2). (BZ)
- (P56) PAN ČaliS 'line, string' > Bon, Ilk, Isg tǎli, WBM tali, (Tag ta:li? 'tie, rope', Ceb ta:li? 'to tie', Bik ta:li? 'to thread', Ib), Lm, Ml tali, Pai calis, Paz saris, Sai š<in>ä?iš, Puy Tali?, Kan talisi 'rope, cord', Fj tali/a 'to plait' (ABFTYZ)
- (P57) PAN *daqis 'forehead' > Akl dăhi? (M), Ml dahi, Bj dahī?, Ib dai (poetic), (OJv rahi, NJv Dai, To la?e), Pai ḳaqis, Bun daxis, Tha sá:qis, Aty rqias. (AFYZ)
- (P58) PAN *ku(S)kuS 'fingernail' > Akl kükuh, Ceb kükuh-, kúkh-, Itb kukuh, Ml, (Ib kuku), Fj kuku, (Sed kukkux; see Table 2). (ADFYZ)
- (P59) PAN *pa:qaS 'thigh' > Akl pa:ah, Kal paka, Tbl hahah, (Itb paa), Pl wax, Bun p<in>asax (M), Puy paha 'thigh', To pa?a/pa?a 'stalk, stem'. (DSYZ)
- (P60) PHF *piRaS 'roe' > Itb piiyah, Bik pǐga, Pai bias (with unexplained b, although PAN *b > Pai v). (TZ)
- (P61) PHF *bənSiq 'rice-seed' > Akl, Ceb bínhī?, Bik banhí?, Tag, Han binhí?, Kal binik, Tbl benek, Ilk bin?í, Ib bənih, Ml bəneh, OJv winih, Sai binši?, Bun binsax (M). (DNSZ; T-Fm *binSəq).

With the exception of Puyuma, some Rukai dialects, and Saaroa (*S > Ø) or Siraya (*S > h), the Formosan languages cited here reflect PAN *S reasonably faithfully with a sibilant. Table 2 illustrates the regular reflexes of *S amongst none of these languages. All of the irregularities noted have been put in and are underscored, although only a few of the many non-problematic sets are included. Note that Paiwan alone is without exception; the other languages show an irregular development from *S > h (and some thence to Ø or ?). Since these irregularities are in the basic vocabulary, they have caused considerable concern for some time now (Dyen 1965, 1971, and Tsuchida 1976), and have led to the sub-classification of the reconstruction of *S into as many as nine correspondence sets, some of which have only one member each.

Confronted with this problem, Dahl notes:

In S.At(ayal) s occasionally alternates with h. If the phonemic limit between s and h is vacillating in more languages, the difference is not so great as it seems. (1976:33)

Table 2: Regular and problematic *S cognates amongst Formosan languages; evidence for *S > *H shift

	PAIWAN	BUNUN (Tk̄d)	AMIS	RUKAI (dl)	KANAKANABU	PAZEH	SAISIYAT	THAO	ATAYAL
*qamis	qamis	qamisan	kaqamis	-	?amisanə	?amisan	?āmišan	-	qmisan
*CaSiq	cmaqis	mataqáis	mitaqis	cáisi	tumata?ísi	musa?is	šä?iš	šáqiš	smaqis
*Səpi	misəpi	-	-	sipi	-	pisipi?	?išpi?	-	spi?
*S̄jək	-	sá:k	misanək	-	-	musazək	sazəkən	šmá:dik	smok
*təbus	t̄əvus	ci?bus	təvuc	cubúsə	təvésə	tubus	katbuš	tufu:iš	-
P35	səpat̄	sasaspát	səpat	spatə	usúpate	səpat	šəpat	špa:t	<u>payat</u> '4' spat '8'
P49	Dusa	dadusa?	tusa	Dusa	cuúsa	dusa?	ruša?	tú:ša?	rusa?
P57	daqis	daqáis	-	-	-	dá·is	rä?iš	šá:qiš	rqias
P27	nusawni	-	anusauni	-	saúni	?uhuni?	-	-	saoni
P41	tisun	?asúh	kisu	kóso	íikasu	?isu?	šu?u	?í:hu?	isu?
P43	-	-	suni	-	<u>umauni</u>	<u>muhuni?</u>	-	-	-
P34	-	-	<u>hemay</u>	-	-	sumay	-	-	(mami?)
P48	cmusu	matusul	-	-	<u>cumacuúru</u>	-	<u>söhöön</u>	-	<u>lmoho</u>
P152	səti	-	<u>həci?</u>	Sed hí'i Sed xəyi?	<u>?aisi</u>	-	-	-	<u>hi?</u>
P31	sapuy	sapud	-	<u>apúy</u>	<u>apúlu</u>	<u>hapuy</u> <u>hapwi?</u>	hapuy	?á:puy	<u>hpuniq</u> hapuy 'cook'
P58	kałuskusan	kuskus	(kinu?əs)	<u>kalukua?</u>	(anuka)	<u>kalikun</u>	<u>kakluköh</u>	kú:ku?	<u>karuh</u>
P50	kasiw	<u>-kavi?</u>	kasuy	<u>kawa?</u>	<u>kaálu</u>	<u>kahuy</u> <u>kahwi?</u>	<u>kähöy</u>	<u>ká:wi?</u>	<u>khuniq</u>
P37	-	<u>ma?iup</u>	<u>mi?iyup</u>	Kuv səmiúp	-	-	<u>hömiup</u>	<u>myú:p</u>	<u>yəmup</u>
P30	səŋut̄	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>pənhaŋuc</u>
P40	səmiup	-	<u>herop</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
P55	-	balivus	balıws	-	-	-	ba:yuš	-	<u>bihui</u>

Although this explanation may be adequate for the [h] reflexes in Aty, it is possible to put forward a general historical explanation. It is clear that by PMP times the sibilant reflexes of *S were completely lost outside of Formosa.²⁴ The sound had shifted to PMP *h, and was subsequently lost in Oceanic, and was on the way to being lost amongst Ml/In languages (see 6). It is here proposed that PAN *S was beginning to shift from a sibilant to a laryngeal early in PAN history, and this shift is preserved in certain basic vocabulary amongst northern and central Formosan languages. This shift was arrested in most Formosan languages, but was complete in the ancestor of all PMP languages and at least Siraya of Formosa. (Puyuma, Saaroa, and Rukai may have been similarly affected if the development was *S > *h > *Ø.) It would be more appropriate to set up doublets rather than new proto-phonemes, although one member must be post-PAN but pre-PMP, e.g. PAN *Sāpuy > post-PAN *Hāpuy 'fire'.

5. PROTO-AUSTRONESEAN *H

Whereas a partial dialect merger of PAN *S and *H has been proposed, yielding four post-PAN doublets, *Hāpuy (P31), *Hēyup (P37), *ka:Huy (P50), and *kūkuH (P58), it must be stressed that this is not the origin of PAN *H. This phoneme is reconstructed where certain Formosan languages (Tkd, in particular as a test language, or Sai, Ami, Paz, Aty – in descending order of reliability) have an [h] corresponding to [h] among various Ph languages, final [-?] or, less commonly, [-y] in Iban, and (sporadically) initial [h-] in Ml, OJv, or Sd. The presence of cognate forms with [Ø] reflexes in Paiwan (which faithfully shows PAN *S > [s]) makes Pai a special criterion language in the reconstruction of *H (note that Pai [Ø] < *R, *H, *?, *Ø). In the absence of such Pai cognates, similar witnesses are sought among other Formosan languages which would disallow the reconstruction of PAN *S. This phoneme is best attested in final position; forms that generally meet these requirements of Ib, Ph and Fm languages include:

- (P62) PAN *qūmaH 'farm(land)' > Akl, Ceb ?úmah-, ?úmh/an, Kal kuma, Ilk ?úma, Ib umay, Ml (h)uma, Ami, Tkd qumah, Sai ?óm/ömäh, Paz ?uma/mah, Aty qmayah, Pai quma 'field', Fu uma/ŋa 'dry taro-field', Aty qumah 'to work a field'. (ADSTYZ)
- (P63) PHF *ba:RaH 'live coals' > Itb vayah 'red-hot', Akl, Ceb ba:gah-, Kal bala, Tbl balah, Ib bara?, OJv wā, Ami valah, Paz bahah, Aty bagah, Sai bāah, Sait balāh, Sed bawah, Sar vara?a, Kan bara (Tkd, Pai NC). (ADNSTYZ)
- (P64a) PAN *qa+lī:maH 'hand' > Akl, Ceb ?ali:mah-, Kal kalima, (Itb lima), Ib lima?, Pl xiim, RukMg aríma, Tkd ?imáh, Pai lima 'hand', Tkd tal/?imáh 'to wash hands', Fu, Sm lima 'hand'. (CZ)
- (P64b) PAN *līmaH 'five' > Akl līmah, (Itb lim, lima), Ib lima?, Tkd himá? (M), Pai lima, (Ami lima), Fu, Sm lima, Pl w/im. (ADSTYZ)
- (P65) PAN *qaNi:ŋuH, PMP *qani:nuh 'shadow' > Akl ?ani:nuh, Kal kaninu, Tb halinu, Bima ninu, Tkd qaninjūh, Bun xaníŋu?, (Sai ?aliŋu?). (BCDZ)
- (P66) PHF *qansuH 'stench' > Akl ?ánsuh (of urine), Tkd qancúh (of rotten tubers), Bun ma/xánsu? 'stinking'. (Z)
- (P67) PAN *qi:SuH 'shark' > Odg ?e:hoh, Agy kiu, Ib iu?, Ml (h)iyu, Fj ŋg/io, Pai qisu, (Ami ?iso). (ACNYZ)
- (P68) PAN *qu:luH 'head' > Akl ?u:luh, Kal kulu, Tbl kuluh, To, Fu ?ulu, (Sai ta?ölöh), Pai qulu 'head', Ib ulu? 'meaning' (Tkd NC; Itb uxu). (ADNSYZ) [Sai l < *l is inexplicable (T).]
- (P69) PAN *baq(ə)RuH¹⁴ 'new' > Akl, Ceb bág?uh-, Kal baklu, WBM bəgu, (Itb va?yu, Ib baru), Ml ba(h)ru, Jv wahu, To fo?ou, Tkd baqluh, Ami baqluh/ay, Pai vauq/an, Tha faqtu?, (?Seđ buurah). (ADTYZ)

- (P70) PAN *ba:RuH '*hibiscus*' > Akl ba:guh, (Itb vayu), Ib baru?, Ml baru, Fj vau, To, Fu fau; Pai vau *Macaranga tanarius* (T). (ADYZ)
- (P71) PHF *băyuH 'to pound (rice)' > Akl, Ceb, Hil băyu-, Tkd bădūh, Bun bádu?. (Z)
- (P72) PHF *buñuH 'head' > (Tag búnjo? 'skull'), Tkd buñúh, Ami vuñuh, Kan na/vúnu, Sar vuñu?u 'head'. (T)
- (P73) PHF *qasiRaH 'salt' > Chamorro asiga, Pai qatia, Ami cilah, Tkd qacilah, Bun xasila?. (AZ)
- (P74) PHF *Ca:qiH 'faeces' > Akl, Ceb ta:?ih-, Kal taki, Tbl ke?, Ib tai?, To ta?e, Pl dax, Pai caqi, Ami taiq (M), (Sai sää?i?). (ADNSTYZ)
- (P75) PAN *CăquH 'know (how)' > Akl tă?uh 'don't know', Kal taku, Ml ta(h)u 'know', Ib tau?, Pai caqu 'able', (Itb mananawu 'study, learn'), Tbl hem/tahuh 'believe', Tkd taquh 'teach', To ma/ta?u 'right hand'. (ADSYZ)
- (P76) PAN *Cali:ñaH 'ear' > Ceb talíñh/ug 'listen to', (Itb taliña 'earlobe'), To telina, Tkd tainjah, Pai caliña, (Paz sanjira?, Ami tañila (M)), teliña. (ADSTYZ)
- (P77) PAN *CapaH 'smoke (foods)' > Akl, Ceb ta:pah 'jerk', tăpah 'smoke', Rov tava, Tkd ma/tapha? (M), Pai capa 'smoke', Tso c<m>apo, Kan c<um>a/capa 'to roast over a slow fire'. (ABDTZ)
- (P78) PAN *Cu:maH 'body louse' > Ceb tu:mah-, Itb tumah, Han, Ilk tu:ma, Ib tuma?, To, Sm, Ml tuma, Sai sumäh, (Aty sum/yeq, Sed sum/i?). (ADFNSTYZ) [Paz ?umah, but ? < *C is inexplicable (T).]
- (P79) PAN *CuNuH 'roast on fire' > Jv, Ml, (Ib), To tunu, Ami mu/tutuh, Tkd ma/tunuh, Sai sulöh/ön, Pai c<m>utu, Kan c<um>a/cunu.
- (P80) PHF *dăkiH 'body dirt' > Akl dăkih, Ib daki?, Ml daki, PMN daki 'id.', (Paz tu/daki? 'dirty', Itb raci? 'rust'). (STZ)
- (P81) PHF *dăpaH 'sole (foot)' > Akl dăpa/dăpah, Ceb läpa/lăpah-, Kal dapa/rapa, Soc po/lapa, Ib tapa? (A/t → p), Tkd ?<al>apáh, Bun d<al>ápah?. (Z)
- (P82) PAN *kítah 'we (incl)' > Akl, Ceb kítah-, Ib kitay, Ml, To, Fu kita, NJv kitò, Tkd ?a/táh, (Ami kítä?, Paz, Sai ?ita?, Aty ita?). (ADNSYZ)
- (P83) PHF *nunuH 'breast' > Mlg nunu, (Sbl nu:nu?), Tso nun?u, Sar nuunu?/a, Paz nunuh, Sed nunuh 'breast', Paz mu/nunuh 'suck milk'. (ATZ)
- (P84) PAN *pijaH 'how many?' > Akl, Ceb piyah-, Itb pirah, Tkd piah, Pai pida, To fiha, (Ami pina, Sai piza?). (ADFSYZ)
- (P85) PAN *si:kuH 'elbow' > Akl, Ceb si:kuh, Itb sicuh, (Ib), Ml siku, Tkd cikuh, (Sai hiku?) 'elbow', To hiku, Fu siku 'end, corner'. (DSZ)
- (P86) PAN *tu:baH [plant: fish-poison] > Akl, Ceb tu:bah-, Ib tubay, NgD tuwe, Fj tuva = nduva, (Sai ta/tuba? (n), t<um>uba? (v) 'id.', Itb tuva/tuva 'drug'). (DNSYZ)
- (P87) PHF *ZaRa:miH 'rice-stalk, straw' > Akl, Ceb daga:mih-, Ilk gara:mi (M), Ib jeràmi?, Ml jeràmi, Jv dami, Pai ñamia (M). (ADFSZ)
- (P88) PHF *za:waH 'millet' > Akl da:wah, Ib jawa?, NgD jawé, Puy ñawa? 'millet', Itb um/rawah '(of grain) to appear out of the ears when nearly ripe'. (ABDZ); Gonda (1973:322) suggests Skt yava- 'barley'.

Tsuchida (1976:132-8) sets down two correspondence sets (*H₁ and *H₂) which agree only in having Akl, Tkd -h. It would appear that Ami, Paz, Sai, and Aty have lost this sound on a number of words, and do not reflect a difference in proto-phonemes (pharyngal stop and fricative, as Tsuchida suggests). I accept his *ínaH 'mother' and *ámaH 'father' (but the *-H here is a vocative ending; *-?, *-y, and *-ŋ also occur in this function, see Blust 1979 and Zorc 1978:94). I have reservations about *qăbu(H) 'ashes', *qaSəlu(H) 'pestle', *bătu(H) 'stone', *ka:li(H) 'dig', and *ku:Cu(H) 'louse' (while Akl and Tkd both reflect *-H, both Itb and Ib reflect *-Ø). I would also require better evidence for his *íni(H) 'this', *Líbu(H) 'pen', *taRa(H) 'wait', *zaNi(H)

'near', *təlu(H) 'three', *tutu(H) 'pound' (contrast *tuqtuq), *waRi(H) 'sun, day', *wiRi(H) 'left(side)', and my *-aH 'direct passive imperative', *qaba:Ra(H) 'shoulder', *Ca:wa(H) 'laugh', *Du:Ri(H) 'thorn'.

In intervocalic position the following etyma may be reconstructed:

- (P89) PAN *qaluHi:pan 'centipede' > Tag ?alupi:han (M), Ib (l)lipan, Pl xiul, Ml halipan, Sa eluhe, Sait ?aLunjähipan, Sai ?awnjähipan. (Z)
- (P90) PHF *qu:Hun [mushroom] > Akl, Ceb ?u:hun, Tbl ko?on, guwòn, (WBM ?u?uŋ), Ilk ?u?uŋ, Bun xuun, Sar u?uŋa, RukMn ?uŋu, Aty qeihon. (Z) (T-*qS₂uŋ)
- (P91) PAN *baHiØ 'woman, female' > WBM bahi, bəhi'an, Akl ba:yih-, Tbl bo?ih, beh, Ami va/vahiy/an, (Itb ma/v<in>ayi), Pai va/vai/an, Puy va/vay/an 'woman', To fe/huhu 'mother' (= 'woman of milk'), fe/f<in>e 'woman'. (ABDTYZ)
- (P92) PAN *bu:Hat 'make, work' > Akl, Ceb bu:hat, Kal buat, Ilk bu:?at 'make', Fj vuat/a, Fu, Sm fuat/a 'harvest', (Tha mu/bú:hat 'work') Ib, Ml buat 'load'. (DNZ) [Tha b < *b and h < *H (or *S) is inexplicable (T).]
- (P93) PHF *buHut 'squirrel' > (Tag bu:?ot, bū?ut 'rabbit', Bik bū?ut 'mountain rat'), Ami vuhut, Tkd puhut, Paz buhut, Aty bhut, Sai ka/bhöt, Kan vuútu 'squirrel'. (T)

The cognation of Kan su/kúam/a 'sickness', ma/su/kuáme 'painful' with Tag gúham [skin disease], Ml guam, ruam 'thrush (skin disease)', Kal kuam, Tbl kə/kam *Aphten tropica* is doubtful, and ambiguously *gu[SH]am (Tsuchida 1976:227 *guS₂am). I accept Tsuchida's (1976:136,181):

- (P94) PAN *láHud 'sea' > Paz rahut 'west' (= 'seaward'), Sait Lähör, Sai ähör 'downhill', hau/ähör 'downstream', Kal laud, Ml laot, (Akl tāwud) 'sea', Fj lau 'Windward Islands', (WBM lawəd 'in midst of', Pai lauD = lauz 'seaward, downhill') as provisional, but exceptionally problematic.

The following may be reconstructed in initial position (see Pl68 also):

- (P95) PHF *Ha:paw 'to top (off)' > Akl ha:paw 'fill to brim', Tag ha:paw 'scum or excess on top', Tkd tun/hapav 'to swim', ma/tin/hapav 'to float up', ?i/hapav 'outside; shallow'. (Z)
- (P96) PHF *HiRaw 'opening, clearing' > WBM higaw/an 'clear-land/trail', Tkd hiláv, Bun ?itav 'door(way)'. (Z)
- (P97) PHF *Ha:saq 'whet' > Tag ha:sa?, Kal asak, (Han ?a:sa?), Ilk ?a:sa, Mo ?ata?, Ib ansah, Ml asah, OJv a /asah, Tkd ma/hacaq, (Bun ma/sasaq (A/h → s, cf: Bun ?asaz/un), Pai tataq (A/h → t)). (ABCDNSYZ)
- (P98) PAN *Ha:liq 'go; move' > Tag hali:- 'come on', Bik ha:li? 'origin; to leave', Ib alih 'turn over', Ml aleh 'move, change (direction)', Kan taku/a?i 'change direction'. (DNTYZ)
- (P99) PAN *ma/Hataq 'raw, unripe' > Itb ma/hata, Tbl m/atak, Ib m/ata? (M), Ml m/atah, To mata, Kan matá?ə, Pai mat̪aq, Sai mantä?, Ilk ?a:ta. (ADNTYZ)

In some cases, evidence is not clear if the reconstruction should be with *S or *H (as in P92):

- (P100) PHF *[SH]u:Ras 'wash' > Akl, Ceb, Tag hu:gas, (Han ?u:gas, Itb uyas/an) 'to wash', Ml (h)uras 'besprinkle', uras 'wet ground', (Sar tara/a/urase 'rinse off'). (DTZ)

Tsuchida (1976:137) reconstructs *Hulə[sθ] 'garment' on the basis of Tkd huluc, Ami halət 'garment', Sa ulo 'wrap up', while I find evidence for PMP *qǔləs 'woven-garment/blanket' > Kal kukl/un, Ilk ?ǔləs, Kpm ?ǔlas, Tig ?ǔləs/ən 'blanket', Ml (h)ulas 'wrapper'. The Formosan forms may be contaminations or

loans of Fm *kuləs/*lukəs (Aty lukus, Tha hú:luθ, Kuv koles), and should not be directly connected with the Sa form. On the basis of Paz huhas 'vein', Tsuchida reconstructs PAN *H₂uRaC (despite Tkd ?ulat, Sai ka/was, Ami ulat, Akl ?ūgat); on the basis of Sai hipih 'cockroach' one might similarly posit PHF *[H]ipəs (despite Tag ?i:pis, WBM ?ipəs); however, it is prudent to leave the initial consonant undecided for the present. Alongside Akl hūyap, Ifg ?ūyap, Ceb ?̄hap 'to count', there is Sar <um>a/iapa, but this must be ambiguously *[HS]əyap 'count'.

While some of these reconstructions are subject to review and alternative interpretations, the majority of them validate the reconstruction of a PAN (or PHF) *H different from *S, particularly, but not exclusively, in final position. Apart from Formosan evidence, PMP *h must be reconstructed.

6. A NOTE ON THE LOSS OF PMJ/PIN *h

Nothofer maintains: "Dyen's initial *h₁ through *h₄, *q₁ and *q₂, and *s₄ merge in any case in PMJ *h." (1975:167). So many instances of the loss of PHN *h are to be noted that one must posit a chronology of sound shifts:

- (1) PHN *h was in the process of being lost (i.e. PHN *h > PIN, PMJ *∅) when
- (2) the shift of PHN *q > PIN, PMJ *h preserved the phonetic character of *[h] on forms that had not yet undergone #1. Furthermore, certain positions appear to have been more 'fragile' than others, so that PIN, PMJ *h (< *h) was lost intervocally and in clusters (I have found no solid evidence for its retention among In/Ml languages in these positions), retained sporadically in initial position (witnessed variously by OJv, Bj/Ml, or Sd), but rather well retained in final position (at least in Iban, where it is reflected as -; it otherwise appears to have been lost in this position in all other In/Ml languages). The position taken here differs substantially from that of Nothofer,²⁵ and partially from that of Dyen (1953).²⁶

I do not know of any evidence that shows the retention of *h among Ml/In languages in cognates of the following:

PAN *Saba:Rat 'monsoon' [P45] ²⁷
PHN *haRəZan 'ladder' (CDH)
PAN *qaluHi:pan 'centipede' [P89]
PHN *ha:(ŋ)kup 'take with hands' (DZ)
PHN *hālas [tree]; 'forest' (DZ)
PHN *hālin 'transfer' (CZ)
PHN *ha:nus 'breathe hard' (DYZ)
PAN *Săpu� 'fire' [P31]
PHN *ha:wak 'waist, body' (ADNSYZ)
PHF *Sĕmay '(cooked) rice' [P34]
PHN *hilu∅ 'poison(ous)'
PHN *hi:(m)pun 'fry, small fish' (BZ)
PHN *hu:baq 'change' (DNZ)
PHF *su:ni [sound of birds] [P43]
PHN *bāhal 'ferment(ed)' (Z)
PHN *bāhuR 'mix up, mingle' (BNSZ)
PAN *bu:Hat 'make, work' [P92]
PMP *Da:hun 'leaf' (DNY)
PAN *DūSa 'two' [P49]
PHN *ku:hit 'tap; extract' (B)

PMP *haDi:ri 'pillar' (DSWZ)
PHN *qana:haw <i>Livistona</i> (DYZ) ²⁷
PAN *Sa:ji? 'Y-sibling' [28]
PHF *Sa:(ŋ)kuC 'transport' (BTZ)
PAN *Ha:liq 'go, move' [P98]
PHN *ha:muk 'attack, rage' (DY)
PHN *ha:(m)pin 'pad' (Z)
PMP *ha:(ŋ)səŋ 'gills' (ADSYZ)
PHN *ha:wid 'hold, keep' (Z)
PHN *hīkət 'tie up' (DSZ)
PAN *Si:paR 'other side; sister-in-law' [P39]
PHN *humbak 'wave' (DYZ)
PHN *hu:tek 'brain' (DNYZ)
PHN *ba:hu(?) 'smell' (ADHSYZ)
PHN *bu:han 'throw/pour out' (DY)
PAN *bu:sek 'hair' (ABTYZ)
PMP *bāhaq 'flood' (BDYZ)
PHN *guham 'thrush' (DT)
PMP *lāhuk 'mix, blend (food)' (DY)

PHF *lu:Səq 'tear' (CNTYZ)
PHF *ma-buSuk 'drunk' (BCDZ)
PHN *ta/həyup 'blow (tube)' (BY)
PHF *tu:Sud 'knee' (DTYZ)
PMP *qaRu:hu? <i>Casuarina</i> [P130]
PMP *pa:huq <i>Mangifera</i> (DNYZ)
PHF *qaŋSit 'stink' (BCTZ)
PMP *buRhu? 'jealous' (BDYZ)
PHN *DahDah 'chest' (DNZ)

PHN *lūhəd 'kneel' (YZ)
PHN *nahik 'go up' (DY)
PHN *timpuhuq 'squat' (BDY)
PMP *wa:hIR 'water' (ABCDNYZ)
PAN *i:Səq 'urine' (AFTYZ)
PHF *qaS(ə)lu 'pestle' (ACFNTYZ) ¹⁴
PMP *bakħaw <i>Rhizophora</i> (DNYZ)
PHF *bənSiq 'rice-seed' [P61]
PMP *uDěhi 'late, behind' (BCDYZ)

I know of no convincing cognates between Ph and Ml/In languages reflecting [-h-] in both groups. Ceb, Tag tāhan, Bik ta:han 'to bear, endure' have the secondary meaning of Ml tahan < PHN *tāqən [P03] and are loans rather than cognates (Dyen 1953:12 *tahan). Similarly, Tag da:hak, Ilk da:ʔak 'to spit' are loans from Ml dahak, which is from PMJ *Dəhak (Nothofer 1975:72), cf. OJv rəhak, Ib da:k; compare Dyen (1953:12) *[dD]ahak). Under this hypothesis, Tag būhoŋ 'deceitful, cunning' must be discarded as a loan from Ml bohoŋ 'lying, untruthful' (Blust 1970:#72). There are a large number of loans among Ph languages from Ml (or other In speech varieties), e.g. at P02, P09, P14, P22, P56. (See also note 26.)

Conversely, I have found only the following examples of the retention of initial *h-:

- (P101) PMP *ha:ŋin 'wind' > OJv (h)aŋin, Ml aŋen, Akl ha:ŋin 'wind', To aŋi 'blow', Itb haňin 'storm'. (BCDNYZ)
- (P102) PHN *ha:wān 'open space' > OJv hawan 'road, way', Ml awan 'cloud', Akl, Tag ha:wān 'open', Tsg hawan 'to clear', Itb pi/hawan 'interval'. (BDZ)
- (P103) PHN *hi:ləm 'secret, hidden' > Ceb hi:lum, Tag li:him (M); Ml (h)ilam, ilam-2 'dimly visible'. (Z)
- (P104) PHN *häsək 'cram in; dribble' > Ml (h)asak 'stuff', Ceb hasuk, WBM hasək 'press down (on)', Tag häsik, Tbl ʔəhək, Ds ŋ/asok 'to dribble'. (BZ)
- (P105) PHN *hu:luR 'fall; drop' > OJv hulur/ulur 'may the fringes be of', Ml (h)ulor 'to pay out (rope), let go', Akl hu:tug 'fall'. (DNYZ)
- (P106) PHN *huyuŋ 'shake, sway' > Ml (h)uyuŋ 'rock, sway', ter/huyuŋ-2 'stagger', Akl hu:yuŋ, WBM huyuŋ 'shake, rattle'. (B)
- (P107) PMP *ha(m)buR 'spread-out/wide' > Ml (h)abur 'to lavish', Ml (h)ambur 'to scatter, litter', Ib abur 'widely known', Tsg hambug 'stout, blooming', ma/habug 'broad (of cloth)', POC *apu 'sprinkle'. (BZ)
- (P108) PHN *halimtan 'crosspiece' > Ml (h)alintan 'crosswise', Akl halímtan, Han halíntan 'step, rung in ladder'. (Z)
- (P109) PMP *hampir 'near(by)' > Ml (h)amper 'near', Ib ampir 'encroach', Tag hampil 'peak (of heat or coolness)', Ceb hampil 'pile, to attach', To ofi 'near, close'. (Kuy ampir 'near') (DY)
- (P110) PHN *hantəp 'dense, deep' > Ml (h)antap 'heavy, dense', Akl hántup 'deep within (oneself)'. (Z)
- (P111) PHN *haiq [interjection] > Ml hai 'oh (astonishment or vocative), Ib aih [interjection: annoyance or incitement], Akl hay? 'well?'. (Z)

Note also PHF *Sa(n)teD₂ (P33), PAN *Si:Rup (P40), PAN *Su:Nus (P44), and PHF *Su:Ras/*Hu:Ras (P100).

There are a number of instances where a final glottal stop (or -y) in Ib reflects PHN *-h (< PAN *-S or *-H), see P50 (M), P51 (M), P62, P63, P64, P66, P67, P70, P73, P74, P75, P78, P80, P81, P82, P86, P87, P88, and:

- (P112) PHN *ănuh 'what(ever)?' > Ib anu?, OJv, NJv, Ml anu 'so-and-so, such-and such', Akl ?ănuh, Hil ?ănuh-, ?anh-, Itb -anuh, akuh (with k for ŋ), Agta ?ənu 'what?', Itb di/nuh 'where?', Itb si/nuh 'who?'. (ADNSYZ)
- (P113) PHF *CīŋaS 'food particles caught between teeth' > Ib tiŋa?, Akl, Ceb tiŋah-, Itb tiŋah, Tbl tīŋa?, Pai, Bun ciŋas, Sai šiŋaš. (BCSTZ)
- (P114) PMP *bu:ŋah 'flower; fruit' > Akl, Ceb bu:ŋah-, Tbl buŋuh 'fruit', Ib buŋay, Tb, Ml buŋa, Sm fuŋa 'flower'. (BDSYZ)
- (P115) PMP *bükuh 'joint, node' > Ib buku?, Akl, Ceb bükuh- 'id.', Ml buku 'knot, knuckle', Fj mbuku 'corner, edge'. (DNSYZ)
- (P116) PMP *Děpah 'fathom' > Ib dəpa?, OJv Děpa, Md Děppa(h), Akl, Ceb dǚpah-, (Itb maha/dpa), Fu lofa, To ofa. (BDNSYZ)
- (P117) PHN *kĕnuh [quote particle] > Ib kĕnu?, Akl, Ceb kĕnuh-, (Itb kunu), Ilk kănu. (BZ)
- (P118) PHN *lĕŋah *Sesamum indicum* > Ib lĕŋa?, Ml lĕŋa, Tb lōŋa, Akl tūŋah, Ceb lūŋah-, WBM lĕŋa, Tag līŋa. (DZ)
- (P119) PHF *ŋīluH 'set teeth on edge' > Ib ŋīlu?, Ml ŋīlu, ŋīlu, nilu, Akl, Ceb, Hil ŋīluh-, WBM ŋi/ŋīlu, Pai ŋīlu. (DNSZ)¹³
- (P120) PHF *păkuH [fern: *Athyrium esculentum*] > Ib paku?, Ml paku, Akl, Ceb păkuh-, (Tag păko?), Tbl hoku?, Ami pahko? (M). (DSTZ)
- (P121) PHN *pĕñuh [turtle] > Soc ponu, K-C pĕnu, Tbl hĕnuh, Ib pĕñu?, Ml pĕñu, Sml pĕnu. (DZ)
- (P122) PMP *pa:Rih 'rayfish' > Ib pari?, NJv pé, Ml pari, Akl pa:gih, Ceb, Han, Tag pa:gi, Fj vai, To, Sm fai. (DNSYZ)
- (P123) PMP *saguh [root-crop] sago > Ib sagu? 'balls of cooked sago', Akl saguh, Tag sago, NJv, Ml sagu 'sago, pith', Fj sako/sako 'pudding'. (DNZ)
- (P124) PHN *săwah [snake] python > Ib sawa?, Akl săwah, Tag săwa. (DSY)
- (P125) PHN *sĭDah 'they' > Ib sida?, Ceb sĭlah-, S-L sîrah-, (Itb sira), NJv sîrò. (DNSYZ) (Note Ami canra?, Akl sândah (T) < PHF *saŋDah.)
- (P126) PMP *tălih 'earwax' > Ib tuli? 'having a suppurating ear', Akl tălih, Ceb ?a/tulih-, Tag tu/tuli, Fj tule=ndule 'earwax'. (DZ)
- (P127) PHN *zăzah 'to carry (goods)' > Ib bə/jaja?, Ml jaja, NJv jòjò 'to peddle, carry merchandise', Akl dătah, Ceb, Tag dălah-, dalh- 'carry, bring', Itb rarah 'load'. (Z)

There are instances where Ib appears to have lost final -? < *-h (P69, P79, P85, P58), but the number and nature of the agreements indicate that Iban is a criterion language for this reconstruction; borrowing, analogy, or independent innovation would not offer a reasonable counter-hypothesis, particularly in the light of the contrast with final -Ø < *-Ø (see section 8).

7. PROTO-AUSTRONESIAN *?

PAN *? is reconstructed on the basis of criterion languages (see Table 1) such as Akl, Ceb, WMB, Tbl, Ib, Md, Jv, or Ml, where it has merged with other phonemes. Formosan languages (with the possible exception of Tkd and Ami) serve only as witness languages because [?] appears to be a phonetic or phono-tactic feature of word closure or onset, and has generally merged with PAN *Ø. Evidence for PAN *? is clearest and most abundant in final position.

- (P128) PAN *-ăma? 'father' > Tbl ma?, Mon, Abr, Msk, Tsg ?ama?, Sml ?əmma?, Md əmma?, Ami w/ăma?, Pai k/ama, t/ama, To t/ama?/i, Sm t/amā. (ABCDYZ)

- (P129) PHN *a:ku? 'admit, acknowledge' > Akl, Bik, Han ?a:ku? 'accept responsibility', Ib aku? 'admit, confess; treat as', Lm aku? 'take', Ml aku/an 'acknowledgement'. (CNZ)
- (P130) PMP *qaRu:hu? *Casuarina* > Akl, Ceb ?agu:hu?, Ilk ?agu:?u, ?arū?u, Ib ru?, Ml (h)aru, eru, Tb oru, Fj z/au, Sa s/elu. (BCDYZ)
- (P131) PHF *qila? 'like, note' > Akl ?i:la? 'like, want', Ib ila? 'keep eye on', Sai mya/?ila? 'like, love'. (Z)
- (P132) PHN *badi? [knife] > Ceb bāri?, Mar, Ib badi?, Ngadha badi. (BZ)
- (P133) PHF *b<a>lana? [earthenware vessel] > Tag bālāna?, Tb balana, Ml belana, Ib bēlāna? [earthenware vessel], Pai valana, Sir vanara (M) 'mortar'. (DYZ)
- (P134) PHN *bapa? 'father' > Lm, NJv, Ml, Sd bapa?, Md eppa? 'father', Ib bapa? 'father-in-law', Han ba:pa? 'uncle', Ilk ba:pa 'parent or parent's siblings', Sbl ba:pa? 'father'. (DZ; A rejects as nursery word)
- (P135) PMP *bi:Ra? 'discharge; semen' > Ceb bi:ga?, Akl biga:?:/un, Tsg biga? 'sexual lust', Mar biga? 'semen', Ib bira? 'evacuate, discharge', Sm pia 'smegma'. (BZ)
- (P136) PMP *būka? 'open' > Akl, Hil, Sbl būka?, Tsg buka? 'open', Mar boka? 'untie', Ib, Lm, NJv, Ml, Sd buka?, Md bukka? 'open', Sa hu?e, To, Fu fuke, Sm fu?e 'to uncover'. (DNSYZ)
- (P137) PMP *Da:tu? 'chief' Akl, Hil da:tu?, WBM, Mar, Tir, Tbl datu? 'chief, leader', Ceb da:tu? 'rich; chief', Ib datu? 'nobleman, chief', Ml dato? 'head of family', OJv ratu 'prince', NJv Datu? 'prince, master', Fj ratu 'honorific title (of chiefs)', Sm latu 'captain'. (BDNYZ)
- (P138) PAN *kaka? 'elder sibling' > Tag, Sbl kāka?, Ilk ka:ka, Han ka:ka?, Ib aka?, RukMg kaka, Ami kaka?, Kuv haha 'elder sibling', Lm, Ml kaka? 'elder sister', Md kaka?, Fj tua/ka 'older brother', Pai kaka 'sibling'. (ABDSYZ)
- (P139) PHF *keDi:i? 'small (amount)' > Akl san/kīri?, Pai keDi. (Z)
- (P140) PAN *ki:Ta? 'see' > Akl, Ceb ki:ta?, Tag ki:ta 'see', pa/kita:?:/an 'let see', Kpm ?a:kit (M?), Kal ita, Ilk ki:ta; NJv was/kiTò 'clear insight', foresight', To kite 'to appear', Fu fe/kite 'see one another again', Aty m/ita?, Sed k<m>íta?, Sar k<um>a/kita, Paz mu/kitá?, Sai kita?. (ACDNTYZ)
- (P141) PHF *mama? [male kin: MB] > Tag ma:ma? 'MB; sir', Hil ma:ma? 'old man', Ml mama? 'MB', Jv mama? 'father', Lm mama? 'uncle, parent's younger brother', Aty mama? 'uncle'. (BDTYZ)
- (P142) PMP *muda? 'young, unripe' > Tag mu:ra?, Ib muda? 'unripe', Ml muda 'young', To mula 'young (of vegetables)'. (YZ)
- (P143) PHN *nānka? *Artocarpus* sp. > Bik, Tag nānká?, Ceb, Tsg nānka?, Isq, Ilk nānka, Itb nankā, Ib, Sd nānka?, OJv, Ml nanka. (DNYZ)
- (P144) PHF *Nasi? '(cooked) rice' > Md nasi?, Ib asi?, Ml nasi? '(cooked) rice', Paz mu/tasi? 'paddy plant'. (Z)
- (P145) PHN *ni:ni? [female kin: address] > Tag ne:ne?, Akl, Ceb ni:ni? (term of address to girls), Ib ini? 'grandmother; (term of address for old women)', NJv nini 'grandmother'. (DZ)
- (P146) PAN *ŋusu? 'mouth' > Bik ŋu:su?, Sar ŋusu 'mouth', Tag ŋu:so?, Sa ŋudru 'upper lip', Odg ŋu:su? 'jaw'. (DTZ) [Tsuchida 1976:130 *ŋuθuq]
- (P147) PMP *pa:ku? 'nail' > Bik pa:ku?, Tag pa:ko?, Ib paku?, Jv, Ml paku, Fj i/vako. (BDYZ)
- (P148) PMP *pa:lu? 'strike, hit' > Tag pa:lo? 'strike with hand or stick', Ceb pālu? 'hit or strike in punishment', Ib palu? 'hit, beat (with stick, etc.)', Ml palu 'hit hard with a rigid weapon', Tb, Jv palu 'strike', Fj valu, Sa i/pelu 'fight, make war (on)'. (BDNYZ)

- (P149) PAN *puki? 'vulva' > Ceb pu:ki?, Odg, Rom puki?, Tbl ki?, Sml puke?, Ib puki?, NJv, Ml puki 'vulva', Fj mata/vuki 'ulcer on the sole of the foot' = ('eye of the vagina'), Ami (dialect) puki? 'vulva'. (DNTYZ)
- (P150) PHF *sa:ra? 'filter; sift(er)' > Akl sa:ta?, Tag sa:la?, Bik, S-L sa:ra?, Ibg ta:ra, Pai sara. (Z) [For Pai s < *s, see siva '9' < *siwaθ]
- (P151) PAN *səD₂u? 'hiccup' > Tbl s<ən>du?, Tir sədə? (A), Ib sədu?, Pai təzu, Fj ma/ðedru 'hiccup', Ml sədu 'short broken respiration (of sob or hiccup)'. (BNSZ)
- (P152) PHF *Səsi? 'meat, content(s)' > Kal isi, (Itb a'si), Pai səti, (Ami həci?), Puy isi?, Aty hi?, Sed xəyi?, hi'i 'meat, flesh', Ib isi?, Ml, JV isi 'contents', Kan ?a/isi 'exist'. (TZ)
- (P153) PMP *ta:bi? [greeting] > Akl, Ceb, Bik ta:bi? (polite particle) 'excuse me, please', Ib tabi?, Ml tabek (greeting), Tag ba:ti? (M) 'to greet', Fj tavi 'salute in welcome, give hospitality to'. (BZ)
- (P154) PHN *ta:ma? 'hit the mark' > Akl, Bik, Ceb, Han, Tag ta:ma?, Kal tama 'correct; hit the mark', Sbl ta:ma? 'correct', Ilk ta:ma 'suitable, timely', Ib tama? 'enter', NJv tōmō 'strike, reach mark'. (DYZ)
- (P155) PHF *těD₂a? 'leftover (food)' > Bik tāda?, Oas tēra?, Gubat tūda?, Pai təza, (Tag tīra). (Z)
- (P156) PHN *tēku? 'bend' > Mar tēko?, Ib tēku?. (BZ)
- (P157) PHN *tīku? 'bend' > Akl, Hil tīku?, Mar tiko?, Ib tiku?. (BZ)
- (P158) PMP *wāDa? [existential] > Kin, S-L wāra?, Tag wāla?, WBM waza?, Tbl la?, Ib n/aday, NJv óra 'none, there is not', Ilk ?addá, wāda, Ilt wada, OJv wware, Ml ada, Md báDá(h) 'there is', Itb wara/wara 'belongings', Fj wara/i 'not be'. (ADNYZ)
- (P159) PHN *zēra? 'warned by experience' > Akl mā/dla?, Hil dū/dla?, Kpm, Tag dāla?, Ilk d<ig>rā, Tbl mə/dəla?, hə/dəla?, Ib jēra?, Ml jēra 'warned by experience', Han da:la? 'fear', WMB dəla(?) - 'frighten away'. (BZ)

Intervocalic *-?- is reconstructed between unlike vowels in contrast with *-q- and *-Ø- on the basis of Ph, Ami, and Tkd evidence; hiatus or reduction appear in all other witnesses. Between like vowels the development of a glottal stop is natural and spontaneous (Dahl 1976:37), and hence may have been a phonetic rather than phonemic feature of the proto-language.

- (P160) PPH *ba?ug 'rotten (eggs); sterile' > Han ba:?ug, Agy buug 'rotten', Tag bă?og, Han bă?ug 'sterile', Bon ba:?ug 'never married', Ilk ba:?ug 'ruined (seeds)', Akl ba:?ug (v), bă?ug (adj) 'rot (of eggs)'. (Z)
- (P161) PHN *bə(n)ti?is 'calf (leg)' > Kal bisit (M), Tbl tih (aphesis), Msk biti?is, Akl batí?:is, Ceb biti?:is, Tsg bi?tis, PMN *bəti?is, Ib, Ml bətis, OJv wətis, Md bət̪əs, NJv wənt̪és. (CDNSYZ)
- (P162) PPH *bija?:u 'winnowing basket' > Akl bila?:u, Tag bila?:o, Ilk biga?:u, Png bigă?u, (Itb bilaw), Kal biraw. (Z)
- (P163) PHF *Ca?as 'high/long' > Akl ta?:as, Ceb, Tag tă?as, Tbl taha? (M) 'long, tall'; Ml, Ib atas 'over, above', Md attas 'above, on top', NJv atas 'clearly visible' (M); Puy ?a/Taš 'high, tall', ?i/Taš 'above', Kan ma/cáasa 'long'. (CNZ)
- (P164) PHF *da?:aN 'old (thing)' > Akl, Han, Il, Png, Sbl da?:an, Mar, WBM, Kly da?an, Atta da:n, Isg da/da:n, Itb may/a?dan, Agy daan, Kl da/dan 'old (thing)', PMN *da?:an 'old (rice)', Aty ra/ral, Kuvalan za:n 'old (not new)'. (BSTZ)
- (P165) PHN *Da?:inj 'to jerk (meat/fish)' > Tag da?:inj 'jerked fish', Kal dainj 'dried meat/fish', Ilk da?inj 'salted fish dried in sun', Sd de?enj 'raw meat cut into slices, spiced, and then dried in the sun', OJv Deŋ,

NJv DènDèn, DènDèn 'dried meat', Ml daeŋ, (dahiŋ – with secondary h, counter to Sd, OJv, and Kal evidence) 'slicing into strips and drying in the sun'. (BNZ)

- (P166) PPH *ha?:un 'remove from fire' > Akl, Ceb ha?:un, Tag ?a:hon (M), WBM ha?:un, Ilk ?a?:un, Kal aun. (Z)
- (P167) PHN *haru?:an [mudfish] > Akl hatu?:an, (Ceb hálwan), Bik haru?:an, Tbl ?alu?, WBM ?eru?:an, Ml (h)aruan. (Z)
- (P168) PHF *Hə?ə 'yes' > Akl hu?:u, Kin hə?:ə/d, Bik ?u:hu (M), Han hu?, Msk ?ə?ə, Tsg hu?:u/n, NJv hə?ə, hə/m 'yes', Pai ?ə?ə 'no' (sem. reversal). (Z)
- (P169) PAN *ka?:ən 'eat' > Akl, Ceb, Han ka?:un, Tag ka?:in, Tbl kən, Abr, Btk ka?:ən, WBM ka?:an (A), Bik ka?:on, Kal pa?:jan, Itb k<um>an, Isg ka:n, Ilk ?ag/kä?:an, mä?:jan, Tkd m/a?:un, Ami k<əm>a?:ən, PMN *kan, *ka:n, Ml ma/kan, Sd ha/kan, Ib ma/kay, Fj kan/a, kan/i, To, Fu ka/i. (ABDNSTYZ)
- (P170) PHN *kū?:ul [snail] > Akl kū?:uł, Hil ku?:ul, Ceb ku?:ul, Sd ku?:ul, Md kō?:ol, OJv kūł, NJv kōł, (Tag kūhol).²¹ (DNZ)
- (P171) PHN *la?:in 'other, different' > Akl tǎ:in, Ata, Iln, Mam la?:in, Kal lain, Bik, Ceb la?:in, Ib, Sd lain, Ml laen, NJv lèn, Md laèn. (DNZ)
- (P172) PHN *la?:un 'long; slow' > Akl tǎ?:un, Tag lǎ?:on, Kal luun 'old', Han la?:un 'big', Ib laun 'late', Sd laun, OJv a/lon, NJv a/lòn, Ml laon, Md laòn 'slow'. (DNYZ)
- (P173) PHN *lu?:ək 'bay' > Ceb, Han lu?:uk, Ilk lǔ?:ək, lu?:uk (A), Mon lu?:ok, Kal ləək, Ml tə/luk. (CZ)
- (P174) PHF *pa?:ən 'bait' > Akl, Ceb pă?:un, Han pa?:un, Tag pa?:in, Kal paən, Itb a?:pan, Mar pa?:an (A), Bon pa:/pan, PMN *pa?:an, Ib, Ml um/pan, Tb oppan, Tso to/pan/a, Kan paənə, RukMn pənə, Pai pan. (DSTYZ)
- (P175) PHN *pa?:is 'roast in leaves' > Tag pa?:is, Mar, WBM pa?:is, Ib pais 'roast in leaves', Ml pais 'spiced fish cooked in a banana leaf', Sd pais 'what has been roasted in hot ashes', NJv pès 'roasted'. (BNZ)
- (P176) PHN *pi?:ət 'narrow; crowded' > Akl, Bik pi?:ut, Tag pí?:it, Ceb, S-L pí?:ut, Ceb pí:g?:ut, Kal piət 'narrow, crowded', Ml mə/miat (< piat) 'to nip and twist (as one tweaks a boy's ear)'. (BZ)
- (P177) PAN *Rabi?:iH 'night, evening' > Akl gabii?:ih, Ceb gab?ih-, gabhi?-, Tag gab(?):i, Ilk rabi?:i, Ami lavi?:i, Aty gbi/an, Sed biy/an, Kal laBii 'night, evening', To efi/afi 'afternoon or early evening'. (DYZT)
- (P178) PHF *Ri?:ək 'thresh' > Akl, Ceb, Han gi?:uk, Ceb gǐ?:uk, Tag gǐ?:ik, Bik g<in>ił, Tsg gi?:ik (A), WBM gi?:ək, Mar gik, (Ml (h)irek), Tir ?ərek, Ilk ?iřik, Png ?iřik, Kan, Sar <um>a/iřiki, Tso m/iři?:i, Ami mi/?rik, RukMg u/iči. (T-*iRik; Z) (metathesis to *?iRek is widespread)
- (P179) PPH *ta?:əb 'high tide' > Akl, Ceb, Han ta?:ub, Tag ta?:eb, Btk ta?:əb, Mon ta?:ab, Kpm, Ilk ?ătab (M), Png ?ătab 'high tide', Kal təəb 'sea'. (CZ)
- (P180) PSP *ti?:əl > Ceb, Hil, S-L, Iri ti?:il 'foot', Tbl ti?:ol 'bone'. (CZ)
- (P181) PHF *tinə?:un 'weave' > Ami mi/tinu?:un, Tkd tin?:un, Aty, Sed t<əm>inun, Sar t<um>a/tinəənə, RudBd ua/tínunu, Itb tinun/ən, Gad ma/sinun, Tb tonun, Ib, Ml tənun, Mlg ténuna. (NTY)

At least one form appears to have a cluster with *? (i.e. no test or criterion language gives evidence for *q):

- (P182) PMP *bəR?at 'heavy' > Kal labat (M), Tbl bəlat, Akl, Ceb búg?at, Tag bǐgat, big?át, Btk bə?gat, WBM bogat, Mon bogat, Kpm ba:yat, Sbl bǐyat, Ibl bəl?at, Png bělat, Ib, Ml, Sd bərat, Tb borat, NgD behat, Md bərra? 'heavy', OJv ma/wwat 'become heavy', bwat 'very; weight', Im bia? 'difficult; heavy', Pl xo/bräod, To, Fu, Sm ma/ma/fa 'heavy'. (CDNSYZ)

Tentatively *? clusters may also be reconstructed on the following:

- (P183) PMP *bu?ni 'ringworm (*herpes*)' > Akl, Ceb bun?i, Han bú?i, Bik bu?ní, Itb vu?ni, Tag bu:ni, Isg bùni, Ulawa, Motu huni. (BCDYZ)
- (P184) PMP *ka?wit 'hook' > Big, Tag, Ilk ka:wit, Akl, Ceb káw?it (M), Bj, Ib, Ml kait, Ml kaet, Ilk k<all>a:wit, Tb hait, NgD kawit, To kave. (DYZ)
- (P185) PHF *si?bu 'urine' > Abr, Btk si?bu, Pal si:bu, Kyp hi?bu, Bon, Ilk ?isbú (M), Gad ?i?bu, Ifg ?ihbú (M), Sai kä/hbu?, Tso sifu, Kan íivu. (TZ)
- (P186) PHF *ti?Naw 'clear (water)' > Akl, Ceb tin?aw (M), Tag ti:naw, Tha ma/tilaw 'clear (water)', Itb ma/tnaw 'clean'. (TZ)
- (P187) PHN *ha?nay 'weave, set up warp' > Ceb han?ay, Han han?ay, Sd pi/hane?, NJv p/ani, Ml məŋ/ani 'to arrange the warp threads on a loom'; Tag ha:nay 'row, line', WBM han?ay 'to wind a rope or string in a figure eight around two posts'. (NZ)
- (P188) PHN *sab?a [plantain] > Kal saBa, Akl, Ceb sáb?a, Bik sa?ba (M), Tag, Ilk sába, Sbl ha?:a, Han sab?á, Bj, NJv pisān/saba. (CZ)
- (P189) PHN *bu?yun 'pot-bellied' > Tag bu:yon, Akl búy?un (A/ŋ → y), Ilk buy?uŋ, Ml buyun. (BZ)
- (P190) PHN *tu?mid 'heel' > Tondano, Matanai tu?mir, Tonsea, Tombulu tu?mid, Kl tumid, Uma Juman tumir, Ml, NgD tumit, Hv tumutra, (Ib tumbit). (BSZ)

There appear to be only three possibilities leading to the reconstruction of an initial *?- . The first is evidence for the metathesis of an original intervocalic glottal to initial position (e.g. *?iRək (P178) or *?aCas (P163)). The second is evidence from bound forms with frozen prefixes, where the resulting intervocalic reflexes substantiate an initial glottal after the morpheme boundary (e.g. *si+?änuh (P112)):

- (P191) PHF *?amin '(use) all' > Akl, Kla, Ilk ?a:min, Bon, Ifg ?ämin, Bon ?am?in (M?), Isg ?ammín, ma:min, Tkd mu?amín, Ami ma'min. (Z)
- (P192) PAN *?ənəm 'six' > Akl ?a:/n?um, Tag ?a:/nim, Itb a/?nəm, WBM hə/?ənəm, Tkd ?a?a/?num, Ami ?ənəm, Fj, To, Fu ono. (ACDNSTYZ)

The third possibility is the evidence of doubled monosyllables which cannot otherwise be established as having begun with *q-, e.g.

- (P193) PHN *[?]ag[?]ag 'sift; sieve' > Tag ?ag?ág = ?äagag 'sift(ed)', Ceb ?<a1>ag?ag 'to sift', Kl agag 'sieve (for rice)'. (BZ)

Reluctance to reconstruct this phoneme in initial position is based on the unavailability of morphophonemic evidence for its occurrence outside of the Philippines, note, for example, Sd ?inum :: ɳ/inum 'drink'.

8. PROTO-AUSTRONESIAN *Ø

PAN *Ø is reconstructed in final position on the basis of test languages such as Akl, Ceb, and Ib, and in intervocalic position on the basis of criterion languages. Bs dialects have a morphophonemic final -Ø which is realised in two ways before suffixes: (1) in some dialects (Akl, Hil, Odg) a final high vowel changes to the homorganic semivowel -w- or -y-, while the low vowel is lost and a glottal stop is inserted; (2) in other dialects (Ceb, S-L) a glottal stop is inserted with sporadic instances of final vowel loss. (Zorc 1977:206ff)

- (P194) PAN *qasa:waØ 'spouse' > Akl, Ceb ?asa:wa 'spouse', pan/asáw/?un 'to marry', Kal katawa, Bik, Bon, Han, Ilk ?asa:wa, Fu ava/a, Ami ?acawa?. (ACDTYZ)
- (P195) PAN *mäCaØ 'eye' > Akl, Bik, Ceb, Han, Ilk, Isq, Sbl mäta, Pai maca, Puy maTa?, Ami mata, Tkd mata?, To, Ib mata 'eye', Akl, Ceb mat?- 'wake (x) up', Tag (dial) mata:/?an 'look around for'. (ADNYZ)
- (P196) PAN *su:suØ 'breast' > Akl, Bon, Ceb, Han, Ilk su:su, To huhu, Pai tutu, Puy susu?, Ami cucu, Bun susu?, Sai höhö? 'breast', Akl pa/susw-, Ceb pa/sus?- 'breast-feed (x)'. (ADSTYZ)
- (P197) PHN *Zu:RuØ 'corner, angle' Akl du:gu 'meet at right angles', ka/rúgw/an, Itb a/ruyw/an, Sbl du:yu, Mar rugu, OJv pa/dū, NJv pa/dō/n, Ml pən/juru, Sd juru? 'corner, angle'. (DNSZ)
- (P198) PAN *bätuØ 'stone' > Akl, Ceb bătu, Ib batu, Paz batu?, Itb vatu, Fj vatu 'stone', Akl ka/ba/batw/an 'rocky area', Ceb batü?/un 'full of rocks'. (ADNSTYZ) [however, compare Tkd batuh, Akl, Ceb bătuh- 'to stone']
- (P199) PAN *ku:CuØ 'louse' > Ceb ku:tu, Itb, Lm, Ib, Ml, Ami, To kutu, Tha kú:θu?, Paz, Sai kusu? 'louse', Akl hi/ŋutw/i 'delouse'. (ADNSTYZ) [however, compare Tkd kutuh, Akl ku:tuh, kutu:h/un 'full of lice']

Where such morphophonemic evidence is not available, PAN *-Ø may be reconstructed where languages offer evidence for no other phoneme (e.g. P15):

- (P200) PAN *äkuØ 'I' > Akl, Bik, Ceb, Han ?äku, Bj, Ib, Jv, Ml aku, Ami k/aku, Bun d/aku?, Aty s/ako, Sm a?u. (ABDSTYZ)
- (P201) PAN *bëliØ 'buy' > Tag bïlih, bilh-, Tbl b<əm>lih, Tsg bi:h (all with regular intrusive -h- <*Ø>), Sml bëlli, Ib, Lm, Ml bëli, Sd bëli?, Sa holi, Fj voli/a, Pai v<n>eli. (DNYZ)
- (P202) PAN *(k)ämiØ > Akl, Ceb, Han kämi, Bj, Ib, Ml kami, Tb hamí, Ulawa ami, Ami k/ami, Bun e/ami?, Aty s/ami. (ABDSYZ)

PAN *-Ø- is reconstructed where most AN languages reflect hiatus (with a possible non-phonemic off glide in some languages); although some Ph languages appear to give evidence of *-w- or *-y-, no such evidence is available from any other language group.

- (P203) PAN *iØaØ 'he/she' > Ceb, Bik, Tag s/īya, Itb s/iya, Odg s/īda, Mam ?iza, Ib, Ml, Tb ia, Ml d/ia, Pl ɳ/i, Jv -e, Fj, To, Fu, Sm ia, Aty h/ia?, Sai s/ia. (ABDYZ)
- (P204) PMP *n̥iØuR 'coconut' > Akl, Bik, Han, Ifg, Itg n̥iyug, Ilk, Png nyug, Bon ?inyúg (M), Itb niuy, Odg n̥dug, Pl lius, Ib, Ml ñiur,¹³ OJv nyū, Ml nior, Md ñeyòr, ñeyòn, Tb niur, NgD e/ñoh, Fj, Sa, To, Fj niu.
- (P205) PAN *l̥uØaq 'expel from mouth' > Tag, Bik luwa?, Kal ulak (M), Ml iuah 'expel from mouth', Ib kə/luah 'put out', To lua 'vomit', Pai pa/Də/luaq 'make sound of vomiting'. (ABSYZ)
- (P206) PAN *buØaq 'fruit' > Ilk bwa, Blw, Isq b̥wa 'areca nut', Akl, Ceb bu:wa? 'spongy growth inside coconut', Jv woh, Bj, Ib, Ml buah, To fua, Puy vuah 'fruit', Kan vuá?ə 'orange', Pai vuaq [tuber]: (ADNSTY)

The reconstruction of PAN *Ø in intervocalic and final position needs no defence, and had indeed been assumed on forms that here have been reconstructed with *?, *H, or (in a few instances) *S. In the absence of solid morphophonemic evidence for other phonemes (*?- in particular), it is most prudent at our present state of knowledge to posit it initially as well, and this is done by stating etyma with initial vowels (e.g. P200, P203). Although it is proposed that *? contrasted with *Ø (and *H and *q) in intervocalic and final position, it is possible that it did not occur in initial position or that it did, but

evidence for this may be forever lost to us. Such a contrast would be difficult to distinguish, and therefore I see no advantage in making an assumption that it was clearly *?äku over *Øäku, or in marking such ambiguity as *[Ø?]äku.

9. A NOTE ON THE PAN SEMICONSONANTS *w AND *y

Dyen (1953:9) recognised the fact that although he treated PMP *w and *y as consonants, "these may turn out to be simply non-syllabic *i and *u", but he generally left the question open, although "*y and *w are not reconstructed next to *i and *u respectively. To do this would indicate a prejudice for the distinctive nature of the semivowels for otherwise a writing such as *lawun would imply two occurrences of the phoneme *u." (1953:9) Dahl maintained: "In PAN there was no phonemic difference between *u and *w nor between *i and *y. They were in complementary distribution, *u and *i at syllable summits (syllabics), and *w and *y at syllable limits (non-syllabics)." (1976:17) The result yields a large number of (potential) trisyllabics, even though the majority of AN evidence indicates disyllabics. Often his reliance is on an inaccurate orthography rather than on a careful phonemic representation of data (e.g. Ibg "ualu" = [wǎlú] 'eight', Bagobo "uaig" = [wǎ?ig], Tir "uajeg" = [wáyəg] 'water', Ilk "uaig" = [wá:?ig] 'marsh', Kpm "uanan" = [wá:nan], Ibg "jiuanan" = [ziwánán] 'right(side)'). (Dahl 1976)

In his review of Dahl, Blust (1976b:223) offers some cogent arguments for the preservation of the character of PAN *w and *y as consonants, e.g. loss of final consonants in Amblau (*w and *y included) and the reduction of antepenultimate vowels to [ə] among Bornean languages. Li (1974) has also demonstrated that the reflexes for *y and *w are different from those of *i and *u, strikingly so in some languages.

- (P207) PAN *qa:yam 'domestic animal' > Md ajám 'chicken', Pl xaram 'animal', Bun xadam 'bird', Kan ?alámə 'meat', Akl ?a:yam 'dog'. (ACDNTYZ)
- (P208) PAN *ka:yuh 'tree, wood' > Md kaju(h), Fj ka(θ)u, Kan kaáiu, Itb kayuh, Pl gar 'fire; wood'. [See P50; (ACDFNTYZ)]
- (P209) PMP *la:yar 'sail' > Pl yars, Fj laθa, Odg ya:dag, Sur la:jag, Ml layar.
- (P210) PAN *wāluØ 'eight' > Md bállu(h), Tkd vau?, Pai -Valu-, Ami, To valu.
- (P211) PHF *ka-wānaN 'right(hand)' > Pai kanavat (M), Sai ka?nal, Paz ?anan, Ml kanan, Ho havanana, Kan anánə. (ADTY)
- (P212) PAN *ka-wiri 'left(hand)' > Pai kaviri, Sai kayi?, Paz ?ixi?, Tkd tana/vilih, Ml kiri, Fj ma/wī. (ADTY)

However, this does not preclude that the origin of some (irregular) w-reflexes is from *u. Reid (1979:18) has suggested an *[?]u topic marker (Ami, Sed, Itb, Ivt ?u). It is possible that such a marker has become frozen on certain lexical forms, producing otherwise irregular reflexes of *u-Saji(?) 'younger sibling', *u-a:su 'dog', *u-äNak 'child'; note that the Paz reflex of *w is Ø (P211-212), yet it has wazu? 'dog', sua:zi? 'YS'; Tkd v<*w, yet ?acu? 'dog'; Pai v<*w, yet atak 'child' (but vatu 'dog'); RukBd v<*w, yet agi 'YS'; Tha Ø<*w, yet šašuwa:di? 'YS'. The role of grammar and morphophonemics in reconstructing PAN is not to be underestimated.²⁸

10. POSTSCRIPT

Part of the attraction of Dyen's monograph (1953:49) was the elimination of several of Dempwolff's doublets. Nevertheless, many doublets must be reconstructed which cannot be resolved. For example, there is evidence for both *SəRəC (P36) and *qəRəC (Ml hərat, Tbl kəlot, Kan ?érəcə), *hu:tək 'brain' (Bik hu:tuk, Itb hutək) and *qu:tək (To ?uto), *lawaq 'spider' (Kal lawak, Puy warah) and *lawa? (Ib əmpəlawə?), *būka? (Pl36) and *bükah (Ceb bükah-, bukh-, Hil bükah-).

Some of these may be resolved on the basis of grammatical derivations. Note, for example, Ib dua 'two', bə/dua? 'divide', sə/duay 'you-two'. It would appear attractive to adopt a hypothesis that *bătuØ (Pl98) was a noun stem, while *bătuH was a verb stem, since -h- appears as a morphophoneme in a number of genetically diverse SPh languages (Tag, Ceb, WBM, Tsg, Tbl); but this analysis fails on *ku:CuØ vs *ku:CuH (Pl99), where the exact opposite situation obtains in at least Akl. Blust (1970, 1979) and Zorc (1978:94) have each shown that phenomena such as accent shift and/or *-?, *-H, *-ŋ, *-y served to mark vocatives, which accounts for discrepancies in the final consonants of many kin terms (e.g. *āmaH, *āma?, *āmay, *āmaŋ, *a:maØ 'father'). Accent shift is also noted in commands (Zorc 1978:73) and, parallel to the grammar of vocatives, this may account for the presence of [-h] in the direct passive command form (Akl, Tkd -ah < PHF *-aH).

A greater understanding of the grammar of AN languages is needed in order to determine the role of grammar in sound changes. For example, I have noted that contentives that are otherwise vowel final in Kuyonon and Kalamian end in [-?], but functors (pronouns, demonstratives, numerals, etc.) do not. In S-L and Tsg some functors have undergone the change *s > h, but no similar change is found on a single lexical item. Functors (and this would include kin terms used vocatively) appear to be subject to separate rules, perhaps due to their extremely high frequency, and thus it is not surprising to find *? retained on kin terms in Ml or Jv (lost on other lexemes), or the retention of both *h and *? in Jv hə?ə 'yes' (Pl68).

The reconstruction of two laryngeals (PAN *? and *H) is ineluctable, although much more information is needed on the phonemic and morphophonemic status of [?] and [h] in various AN languages, particularly those of Formosa. I encourage and elicit the assistance and assessments of fellow Austronesianists in determining the nature and provenance of laryngeals in PAN.

Shortly after this paper was presented in Bali, Dahl's excellent study (1981) appeared. The reader will note that each of us has addressed certain similar problems (such as the unification of various *q's into one *q, the reconciliation of *S and *H, and the chronology of the shift of *S and *q > [h] in Malayo-Javanic). After several personal communications, Dahl and I have agreed to disagree on the following: one *S (Zorc) versus *S₁ and *S₂ (Dahl), one *H (Zorc) versus *H₁ and *H₂ (Dahl and Tsuchida), and the phonemic status of PAN *?, *w, *y (Dahl is open to discussion, but not yet convinced). I shall address these problems further in my forthcoming monograph.

11. ABBREVIATIONS

A	Assimilation	Kyp	Kayapa Kallahan
Abr	Aborlan Tagbanwa	Lm	Lampung (Way Lima)
Agy	Agutaynen (Kal)	M	Metathesis
Akl	Aklanon (Bs)	Mam	Mamanwa
Ami	Amis	Mar	Maranao
AN	Austronesian	Mas	Masbateño
Ata	Ata Manobo	Md	Madurese
Aty	Atayal (Squiliq)	Ml	Malay(sian)
Bik	Bikol (Naga)	Mlg	Malagasy
Bj	Bandjarese (Ml)	Moŋ	Mongondow
Bkd	Binukid Manobo	Mr	Murik
Blw	Balangaw	Msk	Mansaka
Bon	Bontok	NC	not cognate
Bs	Bisayan	NgD	Ngadju Dayak
Btk	Palawan Batak	NJv	New Javanese
Bun	Bunun (Isbukun)	Ntg	Northern Tagbanwa (Kal)
But	Butuanon (Bs)	Odg	Odionganon (Bs)
Cas	Casiguran Dumagat	OJv	Old Javanese; Kawi
Ceb	Cebuano (Bs)	Pai	Paiwan
Dbw	Dibabawon Manobo	Pal	Palawan
Fj	Fijian	PAN	Proto-Austronesian
Fm	Formosan	Paz	Pazeh
Fu	Futuna	PFM	Proto-Formosan
Gad	Gaddang	Ph	Philippine
Han	Hanunoo	PHF	Proto-Hesperonesian-Formosan
Hil	Hiligaynon (Bs)	PHN	Proto-Hesperonesian (West AN)
Ho	Hova = Malagasy	PIN	Proto-Indonesian
Ib	Iban = Sea Dayak	Pl	Palau
Ibg	Ibanag	PMJ	Proto-Malayo-Javanic
Ibl	Inibaloi	PMN	Proto-Minahasan
Ifg	Ifugao (Batad)	PMP	Proto-Malayo-Polynesian
Ilk	Ilokano	Png	Pangasinan
Iln	Ilianen Manobo	POC	Proto-Oceanic
Ilt	Illongot (Kakiduge:n)	PPH	Proto-Philippine
In	Indonesian	PPN	Proto-Polynesian
Isq	Isneg	PSF	Proto-South Formosan
Itb	Itbayaten	PSP	Proto-Southern Philippine
Itg	Itneg (Binongan)	Puy	Puyuma
Ivt	Ivatan	Ruk	Rukai
Jv	Javanese	RukBd	Rukai (Budai dialect)
Kal	Kalamian	RukMg	Rukai (Maga dialect)
Kan	Kanakanabu	RukMn	Rukai (Mantauran dialect)
K-C	Kalamansig Manobo	RukTo	Rukai (Tona dialect)
Kin	Kinaray-a (Bs)	RukTn	Rukai (Tanan dialect)
Kl	Bario Kelabit	Sa	S'a
Kla	Kalinga	Sai	Saisiyat (Tungho dialect)
Klg	Kalagan	SaiT	Saisiyat (Taai dialect)
Kly	Keley'i' Kallahan	San	Sangir(ese)
Knk	Kankanay	Sar	Saaroa
Kor	Koronadal Bilaan	Sbl	Sambal (Botolan dialect)
Kpm	Kapampangan	Sd	Sundanese
Kuv	Kuvalan	Sed	Sediq
Kuy	Kuyonon	Sin	Sindangan Subanon

Sir	Siraya	Tbl	Tboli, Tagabili
Skt	Sanskrit	Tha	Thao
S-L	Samar-Leyte, Waray (Bs)	Tig	Tigwa Manobo
Sm	Samoan	Tir	Tiruray
Sml	Samal, Sinama	TKd	Takituduh Bunun
SnL	Sangil	To	Tongan
Soc	Siocon Subanon	Tsg	Tausug
SPh	Southern Philippines	Tso	Tsou
Sur	Surigaonon (Bs)	UAN}	Ur-Austronesian
Tag	Tagalog	Ur	[Ur=Ger. "Proto"]
Tb	Toba Batak	UJ	Uma Juman
		WBM	Western Bukidnon Manobo

NOTES

1. In 1971 while preparing the "Proto-Philippine finder list" for fieldwork, I accepted many instances of final Ph [-?] as loans or irregularities, but in the interest of being systematic, I marked reconstructions with an underlined zero (0). As my research was in progress, I noted an ever growing corpus of data that agreed in showing a final glottal stop. In 1976 while going through Scott's Iban dictionary, I found a number of agreements with Philippine languages. However, I felt that since Blust had been to Borneo and knew the languages well, his rejection of Scott's phonemics was well founded. By 1978, after continued research into Iban, I felt the agreements amongst Philippine -? and Bisayan -h :: Iban -? were too many and too convincing to be dismissed, especially since Ib and Bs appeared to reflect *-Ø faithfully as -Ø. I revamped all of my data, eliminating the symbol 'q' (except where it genuinely represented a post-velar stop in data or was warranted in reconstructions), re-writing the glottal stop as '?'; I then began to see the necessity for reconstructing *? as opposed to *q, and began drafting a monograph on AN laryngeals in September 1978. I wish to thank Blust, Dyen, Nothofer, Sneddon, Harvey, Tchekhoff, Li, Ferrell, and Wolff for their many helpful and positive comments at TICAL, and Charles, Dahl, and Tsuchida for their correspondence concerning this paper.
2. Murik (Blust 1974a) and Uma Juman (Blust 1977c) appear to have gone through a stage where all final *-Ø > -? (sometimes with -n doublets), but synchronically this is no longer the case, so there are vowel-final stems either from *-q or from monophthongalisation:

Mr, UJ alu? 'pestle' < PHN *qahluØ
 Mr awa?, awan 'spouse' < PAN *qasa:waØ
 Mr, UJ batu? 'stone' < PAN *bātu[ØH]
 Mr, UJ baya? 'crocodile' < PMP *buqa:yaØ
 Mr burja?, (UJ buŋa) 'flower' < PMP *bu:ŋah
 (Mr baa), UJ ara? 'ember' < PHF *ba:RaH
 Mr buku? < PHN *bukuh 'joint'

- Mr baha, UJ basa 'wet' < PMP *bäsəq
 Mr, UJ ue 'rattan' < PAN *quðey
 Mr, UJ laki? 'male' < PMP *la:kiØ
 Mr, UJ asa 'whet' < PHF *Ha:saq
 Mr n/uta, UJ uta 'vomit' < PAN *u:taq

A similar phenomenon is observed for Bario Kelabit (Blust 1974d) which shows -h on all forms that came to end in earlier -Ø:

- Kl təbhuh 'sugarcane' < PAN *təbuS
 Kl mudhih 'behind' < PMP *uDəhiØ
 Kl pədhuh 'gall' < PHF *qa(m)pəj uØ
 Kl barəh 'ember' < PAN *ba:RaH
 Kl matəh 'eye' < PAN *măCaØ
 Kl əbhə? 'water' < PMP *bähaq 'flood'
 Kl bada? 'inform' < PHF *bajaq 'know'
 Kl əd̥hō 'day' < PAN *qaljaw

3. This is so because one often hears a glottal stop in list intonation which disappears in inflection, e.g.

- Kuy dugú? 'blood' :: d<in>uguán 'blood-pudding'
 Kal ?álan 'buy' :: pa/alan/ən 'make (someone) buy'
 Kal kasáwa? 'spouse' :: kasawá/na 'his wife'
 Itb pasu? 'roast' :: pasu/ən 'roast (it)!'
 Sd ?inum 'drink' :: ɳ/inum 'to drink'

A satisfactory analysis of such phenomena would yield a morphophoneme /Ø/ which has a realisation of [?] in specific environments. The glottal stop is not given on data cited from Philippine languages which exhibit this.

4. Challengga Anak Manjan (now Mrs Holt Thompson) from Rumah Tabor, Sungai Sera', Bintulu, Fourth Division, Sarawak. Iban is a fascinating language for the historical linguist in the following areas:

- (1) many instances of semantic reversal (see Blust 1980a),
- (2) -y < *-ɳ, *-n, *-h, *-?, as in datay < *dätaɳ 'arrive', makay 'eat' < *ma/ka:?ən, bukay 'other' < *bukən, panjay 'long' < *panjan, jalay 'road' < *Za:lan, naday 'don't have' < *waDa?, umay 'farm' < *qümaH, etc.
- (3) loss of *C- in functors (including words used vocatively), e.g. udah < *sudah 'already', agi? 'still' < *lagi?, ari 'from' < *dari, ukay 'none' < *bukən, apay 'father' < *bapa?, etc.
- (4) vowel length as the result of loss of laryngeals, e.g. ba:h 'flood' < *bähaq, ba:l 'fermented' < *bähal, bu:k 'hair' < *būSək, da:n 'branch' < *daqan, sa:n 'carry on shoulder' < *saqan, ma:r 'expensive' < *mähal, etc.
- (5) final *-R > Ib -? on a few items of basic vocabulary, e.g. iku? 'tail' < *i:kuR, ili? 'downstream' < *qi:liR, kapu? 'lime' < *k+apuR, ai? 'water' < *wa:hiR, təlu? 'egg' < *qi+təluR.
- (6) the retention of *q > h, *?, *H, *S > ? in final position only, as taken up in this study.

5. An illustration of criterion, test, and witness language evidence is in order. PAN *Cəbuŋ 'spring (of water)' is reconstructed from Akl tubür/an, Kal tu/tubd/an, Buhi tubür/an, Ivt a/tbur/an, Paz subud, Pai si/cəvud, Sai ka/sbəz, Fj tuvu, To tufu 'spring', Ilk ag/tubbóg 'to discharge (water)'. Pai is a test language for *C, *ə, *u, *j in that there is a one-to-one correspondence from each Pai phoneme to a PAN phoneme; Pai is a criterion

language for *b because Pai v < *b or *w. Akl and Ilk serve as test languages for the short penult vowel (with gemination being the manifestation in Ilk, even though *ə has assimilated to the following *u). Paz serves as a criterion language for *C (Paz s < *C, *S) along with Kal, Akl, Buhi, Ivt, Ilk (t < *t, *C, *T); while Sai is a test language for *C (s < *C; *S only if there is a *C in the same form). Fj and To here serve as witness languages and attest to the antiquity of the form, the *ə has assimilated to the following *u. Ilk is also a criterion language for *j (g < *g, *R, *j). Hence, each language contributes something to the reconstruction, and, further, each phoneme of each language has a different status. If we did not have the Pai cognate, PAN *Cubuj could have been reconstructed (although the addition of Mota tov would have salvaged the *ə), or an ambiguity would have to be indicated, as a PAN *[ü]buj (syncope in Ivt and Sai is generally but not always due to the loss of *ə). If we did not have the Oceanic evidence, the reconstruction should be labelled PHF.

6. Tsuchida (1976) was more conservative and labelled such reconstructions as PHN. Dahl (1976) used similar labels, but more to indicate the author of a reconstruction rather than its time depth (UAN = Dempwolff, PMP = Dyen, PAN = Dahl).
7. Dahl (1976:124ff) and Blust (1977b; 1980b) each posit Fm as the highest order AN subgroup from which PMP split. Dyen (1965b:56) did not rule out the possibility of a Formosan homeland. PHN is clearly one subgroup under PMP, but it is not clear whether there are two further offshoots (Central and Eastern) or only one. Tsuchida does not take a firm stand (note 6) because Fm languages generally share a large inventory of forms with Western languages (many of which may be innovations), and only a very small number with Oceanic.
8. I owe a special vote of thanks to Ed Ruch (SIL, Philippines) for sending me all Ntg (Kal) lexical entries he had gathered to date with a [k]. Data on Kal (proper) and Agy were gathered by myself in the field in 1971-72.
9. Note Kal atəl < *kätəl 'itch, ilala < *kila:laØ 'know (person)', ulit < *ku:lic 'skin', ian < *Si+ka:ʔən 'fish', bitua < *biCu:ka 'intestines', siit < *sakit 'sick; pain(ful)', manu < *mänuk 'chicken', bua < būsek 'hair'. However, it appears that Kal retains *k between like vowels and in double monosyllables: Kal takaw < *ta:kaw 'steal', tako < PSP *täkaØ 'fed up with', kalinqin < *k^{al}inqin 'little finger', kamkam < *kamkam 'get hold of', kəmkəm < *kəmkəm 'hold in fist', kiskis < *kiskis 'scrape off', kuskus < *kuskus 'scrub'. Clearly identifiable loans include: Kal kural 'fence' < Sp corral, tambək 'fat' < Bs tambək, kada 'each' < Sp cada, kalag < CPh kälag 'soul, spirit', kanugun 'too bad' < Bs kanu:gun, etc.
10. Oceanic evidence appears to reflect a doublet (*Räbuk) rather than refute the reconstruction of *qäbuk.
11. Abbreviations refer to the work of the following authors: Z = Zorc

A = Dahl	D = Dempwolff	S = Sneddon
B = Blust	F = Ferrell	T = Tsuchida
C = Charles	N = Nothofer	Y = Dyen

Although such credits are given to the research and data of these authors, I must assume full responsibility for their present shape and meaning. In many instances I have modified the reconstruction of an author quite drastically in the light of evidence for laryngeals, accent (see Zorc 1978), or other phonemes. Numbers preceded by a P- refer to data sets in this paper.

12. Nothofer reconstructs PMJ *qañu! (i.e. *?añuj) based on Md aña? (1975:103) and dismisses OJv h<in>añut 'was let afloat', NJv añot, NgD hañut 'float' as loans from Ml (1975:217, fn.82). Tsuchida reconstructs *q₂añu[zZ] (1976:167, 200, fn.109), producing the first instance of a final *-Z. The evidence for *qañuj would be based on Md alone, since NPh languages (which normally reflect *j > g) have d (Bon ?a:nud, Cas ?anod, Png ?ĕnur), and together with Pai qałud point to PAN *qa:ñud. It is probable that Md aña? is an early loan with the regular development of -t > -?. (Although possibly a typographical error, Nothofer ignores initial Ml (h) and reconstructs *?-.)
13. I do not generally reconstruct *ñ next to *i unless there is strong evidence for doing so. Forms affected include: *niða instead of *ñia, *qa:NiC (not *qa:ñiC), *(Ra)Sina:waØ (not *ñawa nor *ñiSa:waØ), *niðuR (not *ñiðuR), *ŋiñuh (not *ñiñuh).
14. WBM does not normally reflect syncope (WBM gəhinawa < *(Ra)Sina:waØ 'breathe', lisəha? < *lisəSaq 'nit', həgəzan < *haRəZan 'staircase', ?əpəzu < *qa(m)pəjuØ 'gall, bile', pəmənahik < *panahik 'go up') so that some forms are either irregular (perhaps because they involve laryngeals) or reflect genuine consonant clusters in PPH (if not in PHN and PAN: PAN *băR(ə)qaŋ 'molar' > WBM baqaŋ, PAN *Cuq(ə)laN 'condylar bone' (B) > WBM tulan, etc.
15. Li (1978:141) made this observation for Sai; the only case observed for Thao is šáqiš 'sew' < *CaSiq, but observe also the assimilation in Thao *šá:qiš 'face' < *daqiqiS (Ferrell 1976).
16. For the reconstruction of PBS see Zorc 1977. For purposes of this comparison, all evidence from Bs is taken as a single witness following a similar procedure adopted by Dyen (1953:6f) where he took all Tagalic evidence as one witness. However, Bs evidence is clear and more cogent than Tag.
17. Itbayaten reflects both *S and *H quite faithfully in initial, intervocalic, cluster, and final position, although several instances of h-loss have occurred. This is attributed to influence from Ivt (or other surrounding) h-losing dialects, where Bs, Ib, and Tkd reflect *H or *S (e.g. P69) the reconstruction is considered substantially weak, which is why I am hesitant to accept Tsuchida's *bătuH or *ku:CuH.
18. Western Bukidnon Manobo is generally an excellent witness, except in antepenultimate syllables (?əvagat < *Haba:Rat) or in clusters (?arıdu < *qaS(ə)lu(H)). Data so far assembled appear to indicate a contrast, at least after final a, between *-Ø (with intrusive -?-) and *-h:
- ?uma 'arrive' :: nə/?umah/an 'was met'
 bava 'carry' :: bəvah/a 'carry (it)!!'
 dəpa 'fathom' :: dəpah/an 'fathom (it)!!'
 dumā 'accompany' :: dumah/a 'go with (him)!!'
 ?əsawa 'spouse' :: ?əsəwa/?an 'marry'
 duwa 'two' :: nə/zuwa/?an 'two days ago'
 kilala 'know' :: kiləla/?a 'recognised'
 kuwa 'get' :: kuwa/?a 'get (it)!!'
 ?upiya 'good' :: ?upiya/?an 'find (it) good'
 huna 'precede' :: huna/?an 'go ahead of'
 pəmula 'plant' :: pəmula/?an 'planted (in)'

The data are from Elkins (personal communication, 7 May 1980) and are unfortunately not indicated in his dictionary or grammatical sketches.

19. Blust (1974c:138; 154, fn.31) maintained that Han lost *h between unlike vowels, but retained it between like vowels. Rather, Han shows sporadic loss of PPH *h in virtually every environment, and rather fits the theory of lexical diffusion. The 'motivation' for this loss is the surrounding *h-losing languages (Semirara, Kuyonon, Datagnon, and Buid). Note: Han bāha? 'flood', but bă?ag 'loincloth', băhug 'mix with' but da:wun 'leaf', băhuk 'hair' but ?agu?:u Casuarina, ?u:hay 'rice panicle' but dūwa 'two' or ?uwaw 'thirst', ?aba:gat 'SW monsoon', ?ami:han 'NE wind', ?i:hi? 'urine', ?i:hu 'shark', etc. Thus, the presence of [h] in Han serves as evidence for either *S or *H, but the absence of [h] does not serve as counter-evidence. Note Han binhí? 'seed', bakhaw [tree], ginha:wa?.
20. Tausug generally reflects PAN *S and *H faithfully except in final position where *-Ø, *-H, and *-S merge in Tsg -h. Tsg is one of the few non-Fm languages that reflects *Si- 'instrumental passive' as hi- (rather than ?i-), and although *S is lost in Tsg duwa 'two', it is retained in ka/wha?/an 'twenty' (with regular loss of *D).
21. Tag is perhaps the poorest witness for PPH *h and PAN *S, *H because of numerous secondary developments and loans. Like Tsg, Tag has merged *-Ø, *-H, and *-S, so that all but a handful of vowel-final forms are inflected with -h- before suffixes. Further, PAN *l has shifted to Tag [h] on some forms, e.g. ba:hay < *bălay 'house', sa:hiŋ 'resin' < *sa:ləŋ, săhig 'floor' < *saləR, sa:hod 'catch drippings' < *sa:lud, bu:ho? [thin bamboo] < *bu:lug, bi:his 'change' < *bələs, si:haŋ 'chin' < *sələŋ, ?u:hod 'worm' < *qu:ləj, etc. It is posited that this development was through a shift *l > Ø, with -h- insertion on analogy with morphophonemic final -h (Dyen 1973). A similar development is posited for Tag kühol 'snail' < *kǔ?ul 'snail' < (P170).
22. See Table 2 for Formosan data leading to this reconstruction.
23. A PAN *u topic marker is posited as frozen on this and other forms with otherwise irregular evidence for *w (see end of section 9). Blust (1979:233) reconstructs *Sua(n)ji and Dahl (1976:80) *[qu]a(ñ)ji. Whereas Formosan languages appear to have metathesised *S to final position on some forms (*būSək > Fm *bukəS 'hair', *CăSiq > Fm *Caqis 'sew', *tăSəp > Fm *tapəS 'winnow', *lisēSəq > Fm *lisəqəS 'nit'), they possibly metathesised an original *u+Saji? 'outwards' into initial position, yielding Fm *Suaji. This hypothesis accounts for the subsequent appearance of forms like PHN *háji? (from the proposed *Saji(?)) and PHN *waji? (from *u+Saji(?) with *S loss).
24. Charles (1974:490ff) and Dahl (1976:125, 130) have each noted difficulties with Blust's vowel deletion hypothesis whereby a proposed PAN *S came to stand in a cluster with some consonant, yielding reflexes such as implosive stops (Bintulu), aspirated voiced stops (Kelabit), or s (Kiput). Dahl shows that only *buSək and *təbuS (but not *təbuSu) conform with Formosan evidence, but eight reconstructions (*qa(m)pəjuØ, *bəbjəj, *dakdak, *lúdaq, *ba:baw, *buRuk, *qa(l)jaw, *D2əmD2əm) "are without traces of PAN *S". Three forms can only be justified with *h (not *S) on available evidence: PMP *băhaq 'flood', PMP ūDĕhiØ 'late, behind', and PHN *băhaR 'loincloth'; one must be reconstructed with *q (PHN *dĕqən 'press' > Tag dî?in, S-L, Bik dū?un, Tbl dəkən, Kl də/dhən); and one should probably be reconstructed with *q (PHN *Dəqak 'spit' > PMJ *Dəhak, see section 6 herein). Most cases of these strengthened grade reflexes occur after *ə, and all occur after a historically reconstructable short penult vowel (*qíjuŋ, *bīlaq, *lúdaq). Such

short vowels led to *sporadic* gemination in Madurese (Zorc 1978:97f), and probably to such disparate reflexes in the North-Sarawak languages. Although considerable support for the hypothesis was gained by apparent *S loss in *five forms* [**buSuk* (which is from *bVSék with assimilation of *θ → *u), *bāhaq (no justification for *S), *dahék (which may have been *Dəqak, and which has no justification for *S), *bāhaR (no justification for *S), *yDəhi (no justification for *S) (Blust 1974)], surely the Fm counterevidence on *eight forms*, and the need to reconstruct *q or only *h in several other instances does not make the hypothesis compelling. The appearance of doublets in Sarangani Manobo, baha? and ba?ba? 'mouth' need not be evidence for *S (or even *h) since (a) SarMb is basically an *h- losing language, (b) ba?ba? is the regular Manobo development from *baqbaq, and (c) baha? may be an early loan from Bilic languages where the -h- is a reflex of *b, i.e. *baqbaq > Bilic *baba? > *baha? > SarBlaan ba? 'mouth'.

25. Nothofer (1975:166-168) relates PMJ phonemes to PAN as follows:

PMJ *h-	< PAN *h-, *q-, *S ₄ -
PMJ *-h-	< PAN *-q-, *-Q ₁ -
PMJ *-h	< PAN *-h, *-q, *-Q ₂

The only instance of final *-h is Dyen's *rapuh (PMJ *rapuh) 'brittle' which should be PMP *Rəpuq (P08). Looking at these correspondences from 'the top down' we get (according to Nothofer's reflexes with *rapuh corrected) :

PAN *h, *S > PMJ *h- -Ø- -?
PAN *q, *Q > PMJ *h- -h- -h
PAN *?, *x > PMJ *?- -Ø- -?

It is clear that only PAN, PHN *q is retained systematically, and PAN *H and *S > PHN *h has been subject to some diverse changes as explained herein (section 6). The status of PMJ *? on the basis of Sd ? is also open to question, but may be maintained on the evidence of Md, Ml, Jv, Lm, and Ib. Nothofer (1975:24) mentions that "in West Madurese final h occurs in contrast with final vowel, but only before pause. In the citation of Md material this contrast is indicated by writing a final h in parentheses, e.g. budih(h) 'back'". Historically this appears to be a prosodic feature, much like the development of final Sd ?. Forms reconstructed with *-Ø have this -h: Md mata(h) < PAN *māCaØ 'eye'. Therefore no direct evidence for PMJ, PIN, or PHN *-h is given by West Md.

26. Dyen posited the retention of PMP *-h- only between like vowels (1953:12), citing Ml dahak 'phlegm' (M of *Dəqak) and tahan 'restrain, endure' (*täqən). He took Ml bah 'flood' (< *bāhaq) as an exception. He recognised the total loss of PMP *-h (17f), and the sporadic loss of PMP *h- in some Ml cognates (32f). Unfortunately, many of the Tag forms cited with h- can be shown to be loans from Ml, and the correct reconstruction is with *q (Tag hala:man < *qala:mən, Tag hi:la < *qila, Tag hi:lis < *qiRis, hali:maw < *qa+Rim?aw, Tag hārap < *qaDəp, ha:tol < *qatu[Rr], etc.).
27. Blust (1978:26f) posits the development of PHN *haba:Rat > Ml barat as regular, in that *a₃ > θ and *h (< *q or *S/*H) was lost if it did not precede a prenasalised stop (thus Ml təlur < *qiCəluR 'egg', but Ml (h)əmpədu < *qa(m)pəjuØ 'gall, gall-bladder'). This change (loss of *ha:-) preceded the change *-aba- > Ml -awa-. I agree with Blust in this observation, but I have noted that *qa₃- was not always lost; despite *qanibuj > Ml nibonj *Oncosperma*, there is Ml halipan < *qaluHi:pan (P89), Ml halia < pre-Ml

*qaluya < *laquya 'ginger', Ml halaman < *qala:mən 'lawn, grass, weeds', Ml hanau < *qana:haw 'sugar palm', Ml (h)aru < *qaRu:hu? (Pl30). It would thus appear that Ml generally tended to retain h < *q, but not h < *S/*H.

28. Note further that Ami (which has a productive ?u marker) has forms such as wáma 'father', wina 'mother', wikul 'tail', along with wacu 'dog'. To date no PAN *w- has been proposed on the reconstructions *ama, *ina, or *i:kuR, and need not be if these forms derive from *u+ama, *u+ina, *u+i:kuR. James Collins' fine paper at TICAL (Prothesis in the languages of Central Maluku: an argument from PAN grammar, see pp.187-200 in this volume) surveys precisely this kind of area. Therein he proposes that PAN *si and *u markers (and possibly an *i marker as well) have led to otherwise irregular reflexes in the languages he studies. The fact that we cannot yet precisely ascertain the function and meaning of these markers does not obviate the need for reconstructing them, as substantial and widespread evidence indicates the existence of such markers in genetically diverse Austronesian languages today. Nor is the appeal to such morphophonemic or grammatical evidence *ad hoc* – at least no more *ad hoc* than the reconstruction of a new PAN phoneme (*W). In each case scholars are attributing an anomaly observed amongst daughter languages to a system of the proto-language, either its phonology or its grammar.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BLUST, Robert A.

- 1970 Proto-Austronesian addenda. *Oceanic Linguistics (OL)* 9/2:104-162.
- 1972a Proto-Oceanic addenda with cognates in non-Oceanic Austronesian languages: a preliminary list. *Working Papers in Linguistics, University of Hawaii (WPLUH)* 4/1:1-43.
- 1972b Additions to 'Proto-Austronesian addenda' and 'Proto-Oceanic addenda with cognates in non-Oceanic Austronesian languages'. *WPLUH* 4/8:1-17.
- 1973 Additions to 'Proto-Oceanic addenda' and 'Proto-Oceanic addenda with cognates in non-Oceanic languages' - 2. *WPLUH* 5/3:33-61.
- 1974a A Murik vocabulary. *The Sarawak Museum Journal* 22:153-189.
- 1974b Proto-Austronesian syntax: the first step. *OL* 13:1-5.
- 1974c The Proto-Austronesian word for "two": a second look. *OL* 13:123-161.
- 1974d The Proto-North Sarawak vowel deletion hypothesis. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hawaii. [Precis distributed at FICCAL: *WPLUH* 5:91-149.]
- 1976a Dempwolff's reduplicated monosyllables. *OL* 15:107-130.
- 1976b Review of O.C. Dahl *Proto-Austronesian*. *Language* 52:221-237.

- 1977a Austronesian culture history: some linguistic inferences and their relations to the archaeological record. In: Soepomo Poedjosoedarmo, ed. *Miscellaneous studies in Indonesian and languages in Indonesia*, part 3:25-37. NUSA 4. Jakarta: Badan Penyelenggara Seri NUSA.
- 1977b The Proto-Austronesian pronouns and Austronesian subgrouping: a preliminary report. *WPLUH* 9/2:1-15.
- 1977c Sketches of the morphology and phonology of Bornean languages 1: Uma Juman (Kayan). *Papers in Borneo and Western Austronesian linguistics* 2. *PL*, A-33:9-122.
- 1978 Review of Thomas A. Sebeok, ed. *Current trends in linguistics, vol.8: Linguistics in Oceania*. *Language* 54:467-480.
- 1979 Proto-Western Malayo-Polynesian vocatives. *Bijdragen tot der Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde (BijdrTLV)* 135:205-251.
- 1980a Iban antonymy: a case from diachrony? In: D.J. van Alkemade et al, eds *Linguistic studies offered to Berthe Siertsema*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- 1980b Early Austronesian social organization: the evidence of language. *Current Anthropology* 21/2:205-247.
- 1980c Notes on Proto-Malayo-Polynesian phratry dualism. *BijdrTLV* 136:215-247.
- CHEN, Matthew Y. and William S.-Y. WANG
- 1975 Sound change: actuation and implementation. *Language* 51/2:255-281.
- CONANT, Carlos E.
- 1915 Notes on the phonology of the Palau language. *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 35:1-15.
- COSTENOBLE, Hermann
- 1979 *Dictionary of Proto-Philippine*. Translated by Cecilio Lopez, edited by Ernesto Constantino. Diliman, Quezon City: Archives of Philippine Languages and Dialects.
- DAHL, Otto Christian
- 1976 *Proto-Austronesian*. Scandinavian Institute of Asian Studies Monograph Series 15. London: Curzon Press. (1st edn 1973, Lund.)
- 1981 *Early phonetic and phonemic changes in Austronesian*. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
- DEMPWOLFF, Otto
- 1938 *Vergleichende Lautlehre des austronesischen Wortschatzes*, 3: *Austronesisches Wörterverzeichnis*. Zeitschrift für Eingeborenen-Sprachen 19. Hamburg: Friederichsen, De Gruyter.
- DYEN, Isidore
- 1953 *The Proto-Malayo-Polynesian laryngeals*. Baltimore: The Linguistic Society of America.
- 1956 The Ngaju-Dayak "old speech stratum". *Language* 32/1:83-87.
- 1965a Formosan evidence for some new Proto-Austronesian phonemes. *Lingua* 14:285-305.
- 1965b A lexicostatistical classification of the Austronesian languages. *International Journal of American Linguistics Memoir* 19. Baltimore: Waverley Press.

- 1971 The Austronesian languages and Proto-Austronesian. In: Thomas A. Sebeok, ed. *Current trends in linguistics*, vol.8:5-54.
- 1973 Tagalog reflexes of Proto-Austronesian *l. In: A.B. Gonzalez, ed. *Parangal kay Cecilio Lopez*, 3-7. Manila: Linguistic Society of the Philippines.
- DYEN, Isidore and Curtis D. McFARLAND**
- 1970 Proto-Austronesian etyma constituting an Austronesian cognate finder list. Yale. Mimeographed.
- EGEROD, Søren**
- 1965 An English-Atayal vocabulary. *Acta Orientalia* 29:203-220.
- FERRELL, Raleigh**
- 1968 The Pazeh-Kahabu language. *Bulletin of the Department of Anthropology and Archaeology, National Taiwan University*, 31-32:73-97.
- 1969 *Taiwan aboriginal groups: problems in cultural and linguistic classification*. Monograph 17. Taipei: Institute of Ethnology, Academia Sinica.
- 1979 Phonological subgrouping of Formosan languages. In: Paz Buenaventura Naylor, ed. *Austronesian studies: papers from the Second Eastern Conference on Austronesian Languages*, 241-254. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.
- 1982 *Paiwan dictionary*. PL, C-73.
- HEALEY, Alan**
- 1961 Dyen's laryngeals in some Philippine languages. *SILUND (Summer Institute of Linguistics, University of North Dakota)* 5:53-64.
- HO, Dah-an**
- 1978 A preliminary comparative study of five Paiwan dialects. *Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology (BIHP)* 49/4:565-681. [English abstract p.681.]
- LI, Paul Jen-kuei**
- 1974 Alternations between semiconsonants and fricatives or liquids. *OL* 13:163-186.
- 1977 The internal relationships of Rukai. *BIHP* 48/1:1-90.
- 1978 A comparative vocabulary of Saisiyat dialects. *BIHP* 49/2:133-199.
- NOTHOFER, Bernd**
- 1975 *The reconstruction of Proto-Malayo-Javanic*. *Verhandelingen van het Koninklijk Instituut voor Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde* 73. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.
- REID, Lawrence A.**
- 1971 *Philippine minor languages; word lists and phonologies*. *OL Special Publication* 8. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- 1979 Evidence for Proto-Philippine nominative marking. *Philippine Journal of Linguistics* 10:1-20.

SCOTT, N.C.

- 1956 *A dictionary of Sea Dayak*. London: School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London.

SNEDDON, James N.

- 1978 *Proto-Minahasan: phonology, morphology and wordlist*. PL, B-54.

TING, Pang-hsin

- 1978 Reconstruction of Proto-Puyuma phonology. BIHP 49/3:321-392.
[English abstract 391-392.]

TSUCHIDA, Shigeru

- 1967 Itbayaten reflexes of Proto-Austronesian phonemes. Yale. Typescript.
1969 Word list of three Bunun dialects. Yale. Typescript.
1976 *Reconstruction of Proto-Tsouic phonology*. Study of Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa, Monograph Series No.5. Tokyo.

WILKINSON, R.J.

- 1959 *A Malay-English dictionary*. London: Macmillan.

ZORC, R. David

- 1971 Proto-Philippine finder list. Cornell University. Typescript.
1977 *The Bisayan dialects of the Philippines: subgrouping and reconstruction*. PL, C-44.
1978 Proto-Philippine word accent: innovation or Proto-Hesperonesian retention? In: S.A. Wurm and Lois Carrington, eds *Second International Conference on Austronesian Linguistics: proceedings*, 67-119. PL, C-61.
1979 Core etymological dictionary of Filipino. Fascicle 1. Manila: De La Salle University.