

Article

Vitamin D₃ and 25-Hydroxyvitamin D₃ Content of Retail White Fish and Eggs in Australia

Eleanor Dunlop¹, Judy Cunningham², Jill L. Sherriff¹, Robyn M. Lucas³, Heather Greenfield⁴, Jayashree Arcot⁴, Norbert Strobel⁵ and Lucinda J. Black^{1,*}

¹ School of Public Health, Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia; eleanor.dunlop@postgrad.curtin.edu.au (E.D.); j.sherriff@curtin.edu.au (J.L.S.)

² Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), Annerley, Brisbane, QLD 4103, Australia; judyc121@gmail.com

³ National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Research School of Population Health, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia; robyn.lucas@anu.edu.au

⁴ Food and Health Research, School of Chemical Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; h.greenfield@unsw.edu.au (H.G.); j.arcot@unsw.edu.au (J.A.)

⁵ National Measurement Institute (NMI), 1/153 Bertie Street, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia; norbert.strobel@measurement.gov.au

* Correspondence: lucinda.black@curtin.edu.au; Tel.: +61-8-9266-2523

Received: 18 May 2017; Accepted: 19 June 2017; Published: 22 June 2017

Abstract: Dietary vitamin D may compensate for inadequate sun exposure; however, there have been few investigations into the vitamin D content of Australian foods. We measured vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ (25(OH)D₃) in four species of white fish (barramundi, basa, hoki and king dory), and chicken eggs (cage and free-range), purchased from five Australian cities. Samples included local, imported and wild-caught fish, and eggs of varying size from producers with a range of hen stocking densities. Raw and cooked samples were analysed using high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array. Limits of reporting were 0.2 and 0.1 µg/100 g for vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃, respectively. The vitamin D₃ content of cooked white fish ranged from <0.1 to 2.3 µg/100 g, and the 25(OH)D₃ content ranged from 0.3 to 0.7 µg/100 g. The vitamin D₃ content of cooked cage eggs ranged from 0.4 to 0.8 µg/100 g, and the 25(OH)D₃ content ranged from 0.4 to 1.2 µg/100 g. The vitamin D₃ content of cooked free-range eggs ranged from 0.3 to 2.2 µg/100 g, and the 25(OH)D₃ content ranged from 0.5 to 0.8 µg/100 g. If, as has been suggested, 25(OH)D₃ has five times greater bioactivity than vitamin D₃, one cooked serve (100 g) of white fish, and one cooked serve of cage or free-range eggs (120 g) may provide 50% or 100%, respectively, of the current guidelines for the adequate intake of vitamin D (5 µg) for Australians aged 1–50 years.

Keywords: food composition data; vitamin D₃; 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; fish; eggs

1. Introduction

The 2011–2013 Australian Health Survey revealed that 23% of Australian adults were vitamin D deficient (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentrations <50 nmol/L) [1]. Sunlight exposure offers the greatest potential source of vitamin D via the endogenous, two-step process of ultraviolet-B (UVB) photolytic and thermal conversion of cutaneous 7-dehydrocholesterol to produce vitamin D₃ [2]. However, the effectiveness of sun exposure as a source of vitamin D₃ varies with geographical location, season, skin pigmentation, lifestyle and environmental factors [3]. Furthermore, sunlight exposure is often limited due to sun-safety concerns. As such, the maintenance of vitamin D sufficiency in some people relies on dietary vitamin D, which is found naturally in few foods and generally in low concentrations. Fish, meat, eggs and dairy are sources of vitamin D₃, while vitamin D₂ is found in

mushrooms. The hydroxylated form of vitamin D₃, 25(OH)D₃, is also obtained from animal sources, and may be up to five times more bioactive than vitamin D₃ [4,5]. It is recognised that omitting the 25(OH)D₃ content of animal products from food composition databases is very likely to lead to underestimates of true vitamin D intake [6]. Hence, the accurate determination of the total vitamin D content of fish, meat, eggs and dairy requires measurement of both vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃.

In Australia, dietary correction of vitamin D deficiency is complicated by lack of locally relevant vitamin D food composition data [7]. Since variations in nutritional content of produce may occur with geographical location, climate, feed fortification and animal production practices [8], it is inappropriate to rely on international vitamin D composition data. Furthermore, international data may not represent species and types of foods commonly consumed in Australia [9]. Since 2013, vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ have been measured in a limited number of Australian meat [10], egg [8] and seafood [11] samples, based on convenience or purposive sampling. We build on these studies by presenting new data for the vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ content of white fish and eggs purchased from retail outlets across five cities in Australia.

2. Materials and Methods

Sample purchasing, preparation and analysis were carried out by the National Measurement Institute of Australia (NMI), Port Melbourne, Victoria, which is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities for analysing vitamin D in foods (Accreditation No.: 89).

2.1. Sample Purchasing

A single-species fish sample (2 kg) was purchased in each of five Australian cities: Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth and Sydney. Species were selected based on those most commonly reported to be consumed in the 2011–2013 Australian Health Survey [12]. Samples of hoki (*Macruronus* spp.), king dory (*Cyttus traversi*), basa (Pangasiidae) and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) were purchased. Fresh, skinless fillets were purchased in preference to frozen or skin-on fillets to simplify sample preparation and reflect usual preparation practices. Frozen, crumbed, marinated fish or oily fish were excluded. Fish samples were purchased from an independent fish shop in Melbourne, from an independent supermarket or fish shop in Sydney, and from one of three different major supermarket chains in Perth, Adelaide and Brisbane. Point-of-sale packaging labels were photographed to record species name, country of origin and, if specified, whether the fish was wild-caught or farmed. Retail sources, origins and quantities of fish samples are shown in Table 1.

Ten dozen chicken eggs were purchased in each of five Australian cities (Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth and Sydney), five labelled as cage and five labelled as free-range. Eggs labelled as “free to roam”, “eco” or “barn-laid” were excluded. In Melbourne, eggs were requested from either an independent grocery shop, farmers’ market or boutique outlet. In Sydney, eggs were sourced from an independent supermarket. In Perth, Adelaide and Brisbane, eggs were purchased from one of three different major supermarket chains. In order to include eggs of different sizes, large eggs (600 g per dozen) were prioritised for purchase in Melbourne and Adelaide, with extra-large eggs (700 g per dozen) to be purchased only if large eggs were unavailable. Extra-large eggs were prioritised for purchase in Sydney, Perth and Brisbane, with large eggs to be sourced only if extra-large eggs were unavailable. Egg packages were photographed to identify brand, minimum package weight and type. Purchase and “best before” dates were recorded. The brands purchased were Lodge Farms, Swan Valley Egg Company, Elderbrook, McLaren Vale, Pioneer, Willow Zen and Pirovic. Retail sources, origins and quantities of egg samples are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Retail sources and characteristics of white fish and eggs purchased in Australia for analysis of vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃.

Location	Retailer Type	Description	Origin	Quantity Purchased (Fish) (kg)	Minimum Weight Per Dozen (Eggs) (g)	Free-Range Stocking Density (Eggs) (Hens/Hectare) [13]
Fish						
Adelaide	Supermarket	Blue grenadier hoki fillet, skinless	Not stated	2.1	-	-
Brisbane	Supermarket	Hoki fillet, skinless, thawed, wild caught	New Zealand	2.0	-	-
Melbourne	Seafood retailer	King dory fillet	Not stated	2.0	-	-
Perth	Supermarket	Basa fillet, skinless, thawed	Vietnam	2.1	-	-
Sydney	Seafood retailer	Barramundi fillet, skin-on, wild caught	Australia	2.4	-	-
Eggs						
Adelaide	Supermarket	Cage	SA	-	700	-
	Supermarket	Free-range	SA	-	600	Not stated
Brisbane	Supermarket	Cage	QLD	-	700	-
	Supermarket	Free-range	QLD	-	700	10,000
Melbourne	Produce retailer	Cage	VIC	-	600	-
	Egg retailer	Free-range	VIC	-	800	130
Perth	Supermarket	Cage	WA	-	700	-
	Supermarket	Free-range	WA	-	700	1500
Sydney	Produce retailer	Cage	NSW	-	700	-
	Produce retailer	Free-range	NSW	-	660	10,000

SA: South Australia; QLD: Queensland; VIC: Victoria; WA: Western Australia; NSW: New South Wales.

2.2. Sample Preparation and Analysis

All fish and egg samples were chilled at 3 °C from time of purchase to preparation; samples were protected from air and light by original packaging, tight foil wrapping or sealed containers. Upon arrival at the laboratory, samples were prepared as soon as possible to minimise sample and vitamin D₃/25(OH)D₃ deterioration. Each of the five fish samples were prepared and cooked according to the process shown in Figure 1a, yielding one raw and one cooked analytical sample for each of the five purchased samples. Eggs were prepared and hard-boiled according to the process shown in Figure 1b, yielding one raw and one cooked analytical sample for cage eggs, and one raw and one cooked analytical sample for free-range eggs, for each of the five purchase locations. Following preparation, samples were frozen at −18 °C and protected from light and oxygen until analysis.




Figure 1. Preparation of (a) fish and (b) cage eggs for analysis of vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃. Free-range eggs were prepared as per cage eggs.

Vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ were measured by high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array (HPLC PDA) [14]. Quality control procedures included an in-house control

(infant formula) and a spiked sample of barramundi. All samples were analysed in duplicate and the relative percent differences between duplicate analyses were recorded. For total fat, the method of analysis was VL302: Fat Determination in Food and Biota by Mojonnier Extraction [15]. Moisture determination (VL298) (in-house method based on published methodology [16]) was made according to sample matrix type, using either sand and vacuum drying, or no sand and conventional drying. Fish species identity was confirmed in singlicate by DNA profiling (restriction fragment length polymorphism-polymerase chain reaction) [17].

2.3. Quantifying Vitamin D Equivalents

For fish, duplicate values for each purchased sample were averaged (separately for raw and cooked samples). Duplicate values for each purchased sample of cage eggs and free-range eggs were averaged (separately for raw and cooked samples). For eggs, the average values were then averaged again across the five purchased samples to give one mean value of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ for cage eggs (raw and cooked) and one mean value of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ for free-range eggs (raw and cooked). For the purpose of this article, we use the term “vitamin D equivalents” (VitDE) to denote the total vitamin D content of foods as follows: $\text{VitDE} = \text{vitamin D}_3 + (5 \times 25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3)$ [4,7]. True retention percentage of VitDE in cooked food was calculated as follows: $(\text{VitDE per g cooked food} \times \text{g of cooked food}) / (\text{VitDE per g raw food} \times \text{g of raw food}) \times 100$.

2.4. International Vitamin D Composition Data

We searched key international databases, including the United Kingdom’s McCance and Widdowson’s The Composition of Foods [18], the US Department of Agriculture National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (Release 28, released September 2015, slightly revised May 2016) [19] and Food EXplorer [20] for vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ composition data for white fish and eggs. Only analytical values with identified method and data sources were gathered—values estimated from related foods were excluded. Values were gathered only where it was clear whether the sample was raw or cooked. Where cooking method was specified, hard-boiled was selected for eggs; poached and fried eggs were omitted.

3. Results

3.1. Quality Control Results

The limits of reporting (LOR) were 0.2 and 0.1 µg/100 g for vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃, respectively. The recovery from the assigned value for vitamin D₃ in the in-house control (infant formula) was 97%, with the relative percentage difference between replicates averaging 9%. The recovery from a spiked sample of barramundi was 86% for vitamin D₃ and 87% for 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃. Across all fish and egg samples, raw and cooked, the relative percentage difference between duplicate samples for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ was 0.1%, 2.4%, 8.7% and 11.1%, respectively.

3.2. White Fish

Since fish samples were purchased without packaging, use-by dates were not specified: retail signs informed of fish type, country of origin and price per kilogram, whilst packaging and labelling added at the point-of-sale included the type, net weight, price per kilogram, total price and purchase date. Retailers did not always specify whether fish were farmed or wild-caught. DNA profiling of fish samples confirmed correct species labelling. Systematic names were obtained from the Australian Fish Names Standard Database [21].

Values for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in white fish are reported in Table 2, together with retention percentages of VitDE for cooked samples. With the exception of basa (Pangasiidae) purchased in Perth, all samples contained concentrations of vitamin D₃ greater than the LOR. All

samples contained 25(OH)D₃ in concentrations greater than the LOR. In raw white fish, the mean VitDE content was 2.94 µg/100 g wet weight (range, 1.50–5.80 µg/100 g). The mean VitDE in cooked fish was 2.48 µg/100 g wet weight (range, 2.15–3.00 µg/100 g). Barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) contained the most VitDE of raw fish analysed in this study with 60% contributed by 25(OH)D₃. In cooked samples, VitDE was highest in basa with the entire amount attributed to 25(OH)D₃. Barramundi retained the least vitamin D upon cooking (35%), whereas basa retained the most (163%). VitDE retention in hoki (*Macruronus* spp.) varied widely, from 58% to 119%, with respective variations in retention of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ of 40–354% and 60–103%. All fish samples contained fat levels equal to, or less than, 3 g/100 g (wet weight).

3.3. Eggs

Best before dates for eggs ranged from 20 to 37 days post-purchase date. Although large eggs (600 g per dozen) were preferred for Melbourne, free-range eggs were only available as extra-large (800 g per dozen) from the retailer in Melbourne. For free-range eggs, stocking densities ranged from 130 to 10,000 hens per hectare.

Values for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in eggs are reported in Table 3, together with retention percentages of VitDE for cooked samples. Overall, average VitDE content did not vary markedly between cage and free-range eggs; however, 25(OH)D₃ contributed 93% of VitDE content in cage eggs, and 73% in free-range eggs. Considerable variation in VitDE was seen in samples from different cities, with VitDE for raw cage eggs ranging from 3.6 µg/100 g in Perth to 7.0 µg/100 g in Melbourne and Adelaide, and VitDE for raw free-range eggs ranging from 3.1 µg/100 g in Perth to 9.0 µg/100 g in Brisbane. A greater than 5.5-fold difference existed for vitamin D₃ in raw, free-range eggs from Adelaide (1.7 µg/100 g) compared to those purchased in Sydney (0.3 µg/100 g). VitDE retention upon cooking also varied greatly between cities, ranging from 39–104% in free-range eggs and 36–113% in cage eggs.

3.4. International Vitamin D Composition Data

Internationally sourced data for white fish and eggs are presented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. The most recent UK analyses measured 25(OH)D₃ by HPLC; however, the vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ content of white fish were recorded as either trace or unreported [18]. In the USA, HPLC or HPLC-LC/MS were used to measure vitamin D₃ in white fish [22]; however, 25(OH)D₃ was not measured as the analytical methodology used to determine this metabolite of vitamin D was considered to be insufficiently validated at that time. Even without considering 25(OH)D₃, VitDE in raw and cooked halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* (L.) and *H. stenolepis* (Schmidt)) in the USA were relatively high due to the high vitamin D₃ content. Both vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ were measured in chicken eggs in the UK. In The Netherlands, egg analyses were carried out by HPLC, with values for vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ combined and reported as total vitamin D, without use of a bioactivity factor [23].

Table 2. New data for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ and vitamin D equivalents in white fish.

Purchase Location	Common Name (Origin), Systematic Name [21]	Moisture (g/100 g)	Fat (g/100 g)	Vitamin D ₃ ^(a) (µg/100 g)	25(OH)D ₃ ^(a) (µg/100 g)	VitDE ^(b) (µg/100 g)	True retention of Vitamin D ₃ (%) ^(c)	True Retention of 25(OH)D ₃ (%) ^(d)	
Raw	Sydney ^(e)	Barramundi, wild (Australia), <i>Lates calcarifer</i>	75.7	3.00	2.30	0.70	5.80	-	-
	Brisbane ^(e)	Hoki, wild (New Zealand), <i>Macruronus</i> spp.	80.1	2.40	0.40	0.60	3.40	-	-
	Melbourne ^(e)	King dory, <i>Cyttus traversi</i>	80.0	0.70	0.40	0.40	2.40	-	-
	Adelaide ^(e)	Hoki, <i>Macruronus</i> spp.	84.8	1.50	0.10	0.30	1.60	-	-
	Perth ^(e)	Basa, Pangasiidae	87.9	0.90	<0.1	0.30	1.50	-	-
Cooked	Sydney ^(e)	Barramundi, wild, poached (Australia), <i>Lates calcarifer</i>	74.1	2.25	0.35	0.40	2.35	13	49
	Brisbane ^(e)	Hoki, wild, poached (New Zealand), <i>Macruronus</i> spp.	78.1	2.25	0.20	0.45	2.45	40	60
	Melbourne ^(e)	King dory, poached, <i>Cyttus traversi</i>	76.2	1.85	0.70	0.35	2.45	145	72
	Adelaide ^(e)	Hoki, poached, <i>Macruronus</i> spp.	82.4	1.55	0.40	0.35	2.15	354	103
	Perth ^(e)	Basa, poached, Pangasiidae	85.2	1.15	<0.1	0.60	3.00	NR	163

LOR = 0.2 µg/100 g for vitamin D₃ and 0.1 µg/100 g for 25(OH)D₃. Values for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ are the average of duplicate analyses. ^(a) Measured by high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array; ^(b) VitDE = vitamin D₃ + (5 × 25(OH)D₃); ^(c) %True retention = (vitamin D₃ per g cooked food × g of cooked food)/(vitamin D₃ per g raw food × g of raw food) × 100; ^(d) %True retention = (25(OH)D₃ per g cooked food × g of cooked food)/(25(OH)D₃ per g raw food × g of raw food) × 100; ^(e) Average of duplicate analyses. 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; VitDE, vitamin D equivalents; NR, not reported.

Table 3. New data for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ and vitamin D equivalents in chicken eggs.

Purchase Location	Moisture (g/100 g)	Fat (g/100 g)	Vitamin D ₃ ^(a) (µg/100 g)	25(OH)D ₃ ^(a) (µg/100 g)	VitDE ^(b) (µg/100 g)	True Retention of Vitamin D ₃ (%) ^(c)	True Retention of 25(OH)D ₃ (%) ^(d)
Raw free-range							
Adelaide ^(e)	76.2	9.6	1.7	0.7	5.0	-	-
Brisbane ^(e)	77.1	9.0	1.5	1.5	9.0	-	-
Melbourne ^(e)	76.1	9.7	2.4	0.7	5.7	-	-
Perth ^(e)	76.1	8.4	0.6	0.5	3.1	-	-
Sydney ^(e)	76.8	9.0	0.3	0.9	4.8	-	-
Average across cities	76.4	9.1	1.3	0.8	5.5	-	-
Raw cage							
Adelaide ^(e)	75.4	10.7	0.8	1.3	7.0	-	-
Brisbane ^(e)	76.2	9.6	0.7	0.8	4.7	-	-
Melbourne ^(e)	76.4	9.7	0.5	1.3	7.0	-	-
Perth ^(e)	75.7	9.4	0.6	0.6	3.6	-	-
Sydney ^(e)	76.1	9.7	0.4	0.9	4.9	-	-
Average across cities	75.9	9.8	0.6	1.0	5.4	-	-
Hard-boiled free-range							
Adelaide ^(e)	75.7	9.5	1.1	0.6	3.9	67	88
Brisbane ^(e)	76.5	10.0	1.0	0.5	3.5	67	33
Melbourne ^(e)	75.3	10.0	2.2	0.8	6.0	90	113
Perth ^(e)	75.9	9.1	0.5	0.5	3.0	85	102
Sydney ^(e)	76.4	9.4	0.3	0.8	4.3	103	92
Average across cities	75.9	9.6	1.0	0.6	4.1	80	75
Hard-boiled cage							
Adelaide ^(e)	74.4	10.5	0.4	0.4	2.4	52	32
Brisbane ^(e)	75.1	10.3	0.8	0.8	4.8	111	97
Melbourne ^(e)	75.8	9.2	0.5	1.2	6.5	102	94
Perth ^(e)	74.5	8.7	0.5	0.4	2.5	76	61
Sydney ^(e)	76.1	10.1	0.5	1.0	5.5	126	112
Average across cities	75.2	9.7	0.5	0.8	4.3	89	77

LOR = 0.2 µg/100 g for vitamin D₃ and 0.1 µg/100 g for 25(OH)D₃. ^(a) Measured by high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array; ^(b) VitDE = vitamin D₃ + (5 × 25(OH)D₃); ^(c) %True retention = (vitamin D₃ per g cooked food × g of cooked food)/(vitamin D₃ per g raw food × g of raw food) × 100; ^(d) %True retention = (25(OH)D₃ per g cooked food × g of cooked food)/(25(OH)D₃ per g raw food × g of raw food) × 100; ^(e) Average of duplicate analyses. 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; VitDE, vitamin D equivalents.

Table 4. Internationally sourced data for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ and vitamin D equivalents in white fish.

Country of Analysis	Common Name (Origin) and/or Systematic Name	Moisture (g/100 g)	Fat (g/100 g)	Vitamin D ₃ (µg/100 g)	25(OH)D ₃ (µg/100 g)	VitDE ^(a) (µg/100 g)	Method
Raw							
UK [18]	Cod	81.6	0.6	Trace	Trace	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Haddock	81.7	0.4	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Plaice	80.4	1.2	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Sea bass	69.4	9.8	Trace	Trace	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Lemon sole	82.7	0.7	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
USA [19]	Halibut (Atlantic and Pacific), <i>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</i> (L.) and <i>H. stenolepis</i> (Schmidt)	80.3	1.3	4.7	NR	4.7	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
USA [19]	Ocean perch (Atlantic), <i>Sebastes marinus</i> (L.)	83.1	1.5	1.2	NR	1.2	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
USA [19]	Cod (Pacific), <i>Gadus macrocephalus</i> Tilesius	84.0	0.4	0.5	NR	0.5	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
USA [19]	Haddock, <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i> (L.)	83.4	0.5	0.5	NR	0.5	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
Cooked							
UK [18]	Cod, baked	76.9	0.5	Trace	Trace	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Haddock, grilled	75.9	0.3	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Haddock, steamed	78.2	0.6	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Sea bass, baked	69.0	6.8	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
UK [18]	Lemon sole, grilled	76.8	0.6	NR	NR	Trace	HPLC [24]
USA [19]	Halibut (Atlantic and Pacific), dry heat, <i>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</i> (L.) and <i>H. stenolepis</i> (Schmidt)	76.1	1.6	5.8	NR	5.8	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
USA [19]	Ocean perch (Atlantic), dry heat, <i>Sebastes marinus</i> (L.)	74.2	1.9	1.4	NR	1.4	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
USA [19]	Cod (Pacific), dry heat, <i>Gadus macrocephalus</i> Tilesius	80.3	0.5	0.6	NR	0.6	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
USA [19]	Haddock, dry heat, <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i> (L.)	79.7	0.6	0.6	NR	0.6	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]

^(a) Calculated as: VitDE = vitamin D₃ + (5 × 25(OH)D₃). 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; VitDE, vitamin D equivalents; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; LC/MS, liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry; NR, not reported.

Table 5. Internationally sourced data for moisture, fat, vitamin D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ and vitamin D equivalents in eggs.

Country of Analysis	Type	Moisture (g/100 g)	Fat (g/100 g)	Vitamin D ₃ (µg/100 g)	25(OH)D ₃ (µg/100 g)	VitDE ^(a) (µg/100 g)	Method
Raw							
UK [18]	Average ^(b)	76.8	9.0	2.5	0.18	3.2	HPLC [25]
USA [19]	Unspecified	76.2	9.5	2.0	NR	2.0	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
The Netherlands [23]	Organic	75.9	9.5	1.5	0.1	1.5	HPLC [20]
The Netherlands [23]	Free range	76.2	9.1	0.9	0.1	0.9	HPLC [20]
The Netherlands [23]	Corn-fed	76.9	8.8	0.8	0.1	0.8	HPLC [20]
Cooked							
UK [18]	Average ^(b)	75.4	9.6	2.30	0.18	3.2	HPLC [25]
USA [19]	Unspecified	74.6	10.6	2.2	NR	2.2	HPLC/HPLC-LC/MS [22]
The Netherlands [23]	Organic	75.8	9.5	2.1	0.2	2.1	HPLC [20]
The Netherlands [23]	Free range	76.6	8.5	1.5	0.2	1.5	HPLC [20]
The Netherlands [23]	Corn-fed	73.4	10.7	1.9	0.2	1.9	HPLC [20]

^(a) Calculated as: VitDE = vitamin D₃ + (5 × 25(OH)D₃); ^(b) Amalgamated sample of enriched cage, barn, free range and organic eggs. 25(OH)D₃, 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; VitDE, vitamin D equivalents; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; LC/MS, liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry; NR, not reported.

4. Discussion

In our study, the vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ content varied widely between fish samples, which could reflect variation in production practices, feed type and differences within species that may occur due to location, season, and water clarity [26,27]. Barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) contained the highest VitDE of the species analysed in our study, although the content was less than in Australian farmed barramundi (10.7 µg/100 g) analysed by LC-IT-MS in 2014 by Padula and colleagues [11]. The farmed barramundi sample analysed by Padula and colleagues was sourced directly from the point of production, which may have minimised any vitamin D or 25(OH)D₃ deterioration through storage, whilst fortification of farmed fish feed or aforementioned variations in location or time of year may also have contributed to the higher VitDE in that study [11]. In our study, samples of king dory (Melbourne), hoki (Adelaide) and basa (Perth) showed higher fat and VitDE content (per 100 g) after cooking, compared with raw. This outcome has also been demonstrated in pork, and can be attributed to moisture loss [28]. VitDE in white fish in our study was greater than in international samples, with the exception of the halibut analysed in the USA. While the same environmental, geographical and production effects that cause variation within Australian samples may play a role, sensitivity of the analysis method and accurate determination of 25(OH)D₃ may also result in substantial differences in VitDE.

We found no overall difference in VitDE between free-range and cage eggs; however, considerable variation was seen in samples from different cities. Vitamin D content may vary in eggs according to feed fortification and exposure of hens to UV-B radiation [29,30]. Layer feed fortification with vitamin D₃ is common practice in Australia with usual amounts of approximately 75 µg of vitamin D₃ per kilogram of feed [31]. Kühn and colleagues found that free-range farming was effective in raising the vitamin D content of eggs, but only when hens had adequate access to suitable outdoor areas [30]. This finding was reflected in a recently published study that showed greater vitamin D₃ concentrations in both free-range and organic egg yolks, and higher 25(OH)D₃ content in organic egg yolk, compared with egg yolks from hens kept indoors [32]. Authors suggested that sunlight exposure was a likely influence. A previous study in Australia found that egg yolk contained 38% more vitamin D₃ and 300% more 25(OH)D₃ when hen feed was fortified with both vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ [8]. Feed fortification with only vitamin D₃ also increases the content of both vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in eggs [33]. Our study included free-range eggs from a low-stocking density farm (130 hens/ha)—these eggs had higher vitamin D₃ (but not 25(OH)D₃) content than eggs from farms with higher stocking densities. Further research, involving sampling eggs from farms using various stocking densities where feed composition is known, would be required to investigate whether any differences in the vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ content of eggs is due to production practices (e.g., sunlight exposure) or feed fortification.

In international data sources, the vitamin D₃ content of eggs was generally higher than in our Australian samples. However, since the 25(OH)D₃ content of international samples was either very low (UK), unreported (USA) or not adjusted for bioactivity (The Netherlands), the considerably higher amounts of 25(OH)D₃ detected in our egg samples led to greater VitDE content overall compared with international data.

The adequate intake (AI) of vitamin D for Australians aged 1–50 years is 5 µg/day, increasing to 10 µg/day for 51–70 years old, and 15 µg/day for those aged >70 years [34]. If, as has been suggested, 25(OH)D₃ has five times greater bioactivity than vitamin D₃, one cooked serve (100 g cooked weight) of white fish may supply between 43% and 60% of the AI for 1–50 years old (depending on the fish species), and one cooked serve (2 large eggs, 120 g) of eggs may provide 100% of the AI for 1–50 years old. However, it has been acknowledged that the current guidelines for the AI of vitamin D in Australia are out of date [35]. In the United States, the recommended dietary allowance for vitamin D is 15 µg/day for infants, children and adults aged ≤70 years (including during pregnancy and lactation) and 20 µg/day for adults aged >70 years [36]. The European Food Safety Authority recently set an AI for vitamin D at 10 µg/day for infants aged 7–11 months and 15 µg/day for children aged 1–17 years and adults [37].

Both white fish and eggs available in Australia may provide nutritionally useful amounts of vitamin D; however, conveying this information to consumers is hampered by the lack of Australian food composition data. In addition, 25(OH)D₃ is not recognised in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code as contributing to the vitamin D content of foods [38], despite 25(OH)D₃ being used as a fortificant in animal feed, including in layer poultry farming [8]. The Food Standards Code states that one serve of a food must contain at least 1 µg of vitamin D for a general “source of” claim, or 2.5 µg of vitamin D for a “good source of” claim [38]. As our study demonstrates, 25(OH)D₃ may contribute substantially to the vitamin D content of foods. Although the potency of 25(OH)D₃ compared with vitamin D₃ is debated, there is general agreement that 25(OH)D₃ is more bioactive than vitamin D₃ [4,39–43]. Therefore, failure to measure 25(OH)D₃ in food may result in considerable underestimation of vitamin D intakes. According to Heaney and colleagues, the finding of 25(OH)D₃ in food provides a possible explanation for the gap between the calculated total basal input of vitamin D (sun exposure plus traditional food sources of vitamin D) and measured serum 25(OH)D concentrations [44].

A major strength of our study was the measurement of 25(OH)D₃, which is frequently lacking in food composition databases. Accurate determination of 25(OH)D₃ at low levels is crucial, since each amount unmeasured may represent up to a five-fold loss in overall vitamin D content, leading to underestimation of intake and misrepresentation of intake versus requirement. A further strength of this study was the multi-city sampling plan: we sampled from five major Australian cities, which reflect where the majority of Australians are buying food. Financial constraints meant that we were only able to explore a limited selection of white fish species available in Australia. We did not explore the differences between skin-on versus skin-off fish fillets, nor did we compare different cooking methods for fish and eggs. A comparison of wild versus Australian farmed fish may be warranted, since Lu and colleagues showed that farmed salmon in the United States had approximately 25% of the vitamin D content of wild salmon [45]. Egg yolk and white were not analysed separately, nor were dried versions of any form. All samples were purchased in March, at the end of the Australian summer; hence, the effect of seasonality was not investigated.

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that significant amounts of 25(OH)D₃ are present in white fish and eggs, and is a preliminary step in updating the vitamin D composition data for Australian foods. Our results demonstrate the importance of having Australia-specific vitamin D composition data since, at least for white fish and eggs, the vitamin D content of foods available in Australia differs from foods available internationally, and different fish species are available in Australia versus internationally. White fish and eggs in Australia contain amounts of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ that may assist people in achieving the AI for vitamin D. As a geographically large and climatically diverse country, Australia requires representative, wide-ranging sampling of local produce to accurately quantify vitamin D intakes in the population, and to determine whether future food-based strategies to correct vitamin D deficiency are warranted, safe and effective.

Acknowledgments: Sample purchase, preparation and analysis was funded by the Western Australia Department of Health. The authors would like to thank David Fraser for his contribution with respect to poultry nutrition. L.J.B is funded by a Curtin University Research Fellowship; R.M.L is funded by a NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship.

Author Contributions: L.J.B., H.G. and J.C. conceived and designed the study; E.D. wrote the paper; L.J.B., H.G., J.C., R.M.L., J.L.S., N.S. and J.A. provided critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

25(OH)D	25-hydroxyvitamin D
25(OH)D ₃	25-hydroxyvitamin D ₃
UV-B	Ultraviolet-B radiation
HPLC PDA	high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array
VitDE	vitamin D equivalents
LOR	limit of reporting
LC-IT-MS	liquid chromatography-ion trap-mass spectrometry

References

1. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian Health Survey: Biomedical Results for Nutrients, 2011–2012. Available online: <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@nsf/Lookup/4364.0.55.006Chapter2002011-12> (accessed on 15 April 2016).
2. *Essentials of Human Nutrition*, 4th ed.; Mann, J.; Truswell, A.S. (Eds.) Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2012; pp. 246–253.
3. Diamond, T.H.; Eisman, J.A.; Mason, R.S.; Nowson, C.A. Vitamin D and adult bone health in Australia and New Zealand: A position statement. *Med. J. Aust.* **2005**, *182*, 281–285.
4. Cashman, K.D.; Seamans, K.M.; Lucey, A.J.; Stöcklin, E.; Weber, P.; Kiely, M.; Hill, T.R. Relative effectiveness of oral 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ and vitamin D₃ in raising wintertime serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in older adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2012**, *95*, 1350–1356. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Ovesen, L.; Brot, C.; Jakobsen, J. Food contents and biological activity of 25-hydroxyvitamin D: A vitamin D metabolite to be reckoned with? *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* **2003**, *47*, 107–113. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Taylor, C.L.; Roseland, J.M.; Coates, P.M.; Pehrsoon, P.R. The emerging issue of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in foods. *J. Nutr.* **2016**, *146*, 855–856. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Liu, J.; Arcot, J.; Cunningham, J.; Greenfield, H.; Hsu, J.; Padula, D.; Strobel, N.; Fraser, D. New data for vitamin D in Australian foods of animal origin: Impact on estimates of national adult vitamin D intakes in 1995 and 2011–13. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2015**, *24*, 464–471. [[PubMed](#)]
8. Liu, J.; Greenfield, H.; Fraser, D.R. An exploratory study of the content of vitamin D compounds in selected samples of Australian eggs. *Nutr. Diet.* **2014**, *71*, 46–50. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Liu, J.; Greenfield, H.; Strobel, N.; Fraser, D.R. The influence of latitude on the concentration of vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxy-vitamin D₃ in Australian red meat. *Food Chem.* **2013**, *140*, 432–435. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Strobel, N.; Buddhadasa, S.; Adorno, P.; Stockham, K.; Greenfield, H. Vitamin D and 25-hydroxyvitamin D determination in meats by LC-IT-MS. *Food Chem.* **2013**, *138*, 1042–1047. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Padula, D.; Greenfield, H.; Cunningham, J.; Kiermeier, A.; McLeod, C. Australian seafood compositional profiles: A pilot study. Vitamin D and mercury content. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *193*, 106–111. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian Health Survey: Users' Guide, 2011–2013. Available online: <http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@nsf/Lookup/4363.0.55.001Glossary12011-13?OpenDocument> (accessed on 16 June 2017).
13. Choice. Free-Range Eggs Buying Guide. Available online: <https://www.choice.com.au/food-and-drink/meat-fish-and-eggs/eggs/articles/what-free-range-eggs-meet-the-model-code-code> (accessed on 8 August 2016).
14. De Leenheer, A.P.; Lambert, W.E.; de Ruyter, M.G.M. (Eds.) *Modern Chromatographic Analysis of the Vitamins*; Marcel Dekker, Inc.: Ghent, Belgium, 2000.
15. Determination of Fat-Gravimetric Method. In *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL*, 18th ed.; AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2005.
16. Dairy Samples-Determination of Total Solids and Moisture. In *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL*, 18th ed.; AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2005.
17. Meyer, R.; Höfelein, C.; Lüthy, J.; Candrian, U. Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis: A simple method for species identification in food. *J. AOAC Int.* **1995**, *78*, 1542–1551. [[PubMed](#)]

18. Public Health England. McCance and Widdowson's Composition of Foods Dataset. 2015. Available online: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/416932/McCance___Widdowson_s_Composition_of_Foods_Integrated_Dataset.xlsx (accessed on 15 May 2016).
19. U.S. Department of Agriculture; Agricultural Research Service; Nutrient Data Laboratory. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (Release 28, Released September 2015, Slightly Revised May 2016). Available online: <https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/> (accessed on 12 May 2017).
20. European Food Information Resource. Foodexplorer. Available online: <http://eurofir.org/food-information/foodexplorer/> (accessed on 23 August 2016).
21. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Fish Names. Available online: <http://www.fishnames.com.au> (accessed on 19 October 2016).
22. US Department of Agriculture; Agricultural Research Service; Nutrient Data Laboratory. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 28 (2015): Documentation and User Guide. Available online: http://www.ars.usda.gov/sp2UserFiles/Place/80400525/Data/SR/SR28/sr28_doc.pdf (accessed on 14 May 2016).
23. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment. Dutch Food Composition Database. Available online: http://www.rivm.nl/en/Topics/D/Dutch_Food_Composition_Database (accessed on 11 May 2017).
24. Roe, M.; Church, S.; Pinchen, H.; Finglas, P. Nutrient Analysis of Fish and Fish Products: Analytical Report. Department of Health, 2013. Available online: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/167923/Nutrient_analysis_of_fish_and_fish_products_-_Analytical_Report.pdf (accessed on 12 October 2016).
25. Department of Health. Nutrient Analysis of Eggs: Summary Report. 2013. Available online: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/167972/Nutrient_analysis_of_eggs_Summary_Report.pdf (accessed on 2 October 2016).
26. Mattila, P.H.; Piironen, V.; Haapala, R. Possible factors responsible for the high variation in the cholecalciferol contents of fish. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45*, 3891–3896. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Mattila, P.; Piironen, V.; Uusi-Rauva, E.; Koivistoinen, P. Cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol contents in fish and fish products. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **1995**, *8*, 232–243. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Clausen, I.; Jakobsen, J.; Leth, T.; Ovesen, L. Vitamin D₃ and 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ in raw and cooked pork cuts. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2003**, *16*, 575–585. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Exler, J.; Phillips, K.M.; Patterson, K.Y.; Holden, J.M. Cholesterol and vitamin D content of eggs in the U.S. retail market. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2013**, *29*, 110–116. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Kühn, J.; Schutkowski, A.; Kluge, H.; Hirche, F.; Stangl, G.I. Free-range farming: A natural alternative to produce vitamin D-enriched eggs. *J. Nutr.* **2014**, *30*, 481–484. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Ramirez, S.; FCR Consulting Group, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. Personal communication. October 2016.
32. Guo, J.; Kliem, K.E.; Lovegrove, J.A.; Givens, D.I. Effect of production system, supermarket and purchase date on the vitamin D content of eggs at retail. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *221*, 1021–1025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Mattila, P.; Lehtikoinen, K.; Kiiskinen, T.; Piironen, V. Cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol content of chicken egg yolk as affected by the cholecalciferol content of feed. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47*, 4089–4092. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. National Health and Medical Research Council. Nutrient Reference Values for Australia and New Zealand: Vitamin D. Available online: <https://www.nrv.gov.au/nutrients/vitamin-d> (accessed on 6 May 2016).
35. Nowson, C.A.; McGrath, J.J.; Ebeling, P.R.; Haikerwal, A.; Daly, R.M.; Sanders, K.M.; Seibel, M.J.; Mason, R.S. Vitamin D and health in adults in Australia and New Zealand: A position statement. *Med. J. Aust.* **2012**, *196*, 686–687. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2011.
37. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). *Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for Vitamin D*; EFSA Journal: Parma, Italy, 2016.
38. Food Standards Australia and New Zealand. Food Standards Code. Available online: <http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/Pages/default.aspx> (accessed on 31 October 2016).
39. Jakobsen, J. Bioavailability and bioactivity of vitamin D₃ active compounds—which potency should be used for 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃? *Int. Congr. Ser.* **2007**, *1297*, 133–142. [[CrossRef](#)]

40. Shieh, A.; Ma, C.; Chun, R.F.; Witzel, S.; Rafison, B.; Contreras, H.T.M.; Wittwer-Schegg, J.; Swinkels, L.; Huijs, T.; Hewison, M.; et al. Effects of cholecalciferol vs. calcifediol on total and free 25-hydroxyvitamin D and parathyroid hormone. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2017**, *102*, 1133–1140. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Toss, G.; Magnusson, P. Is a daily supplementation with 40 microgram vitamin D₃ sufficient? A randomised controlled trial. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2012**, *51*, 939–945. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Jetter, A.; Egli, A.; Dawson-Hughes, B.; Staehelin, H.B.; Stoecklin, E.; Goessl, R.; Henschkowski, J.; Bischoff-Ferrari, H.A. Pharmacokinetics of oral vitamin D₃ and calcifediol. *Bone* **2014**, *59*, 14–19. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Stamp, T.C.B.; Haddad, J.G.; Twigg, C.A. Comparison of oral 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, vitamin D, and ultraviolet light as determinants of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D. *Lancet* **1977**, *309*, 1341–1343. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Heaney, R.P.; Armas, L.A.G.; French, C. All-source basal vitamin D inputs are greater than previously thought and cutaneous inputs are smaller. *J. Nutr.* **2013**, *143*, 571–575. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Lu, Z.; Chena, T.C.; Zhang, A.; Persons, K.S.; Kohn, N.; Berkowitz, R.; Martinello, S.; Holick, M.F. An evaluation of the vitamin D₃ content in fish: Is the vitamin D content adequate to satisfy the dietary requirement for vitamin D? *J. Steroid. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2007**, *103*, 642–644. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).