Chapman University

Chapman University Digital Commons

Library Presentations, Posters, and Videos

Leatherby Libraries

10-20-2021

Impact of COVID 19 on Library Services in US Pharmacy Programs

Sherrill Brown University of Montana

Julie Kalabalik Hoganson Fairleigh Dickinson University

Ivan Portillo Chapman University, iportillo@chapman.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/library_presentations

Part of the Library and Information Science Commons, Medical Education Commons, and the Other Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Brown, Sherrill; Kalabalik Hoganson, Julie; and Portillo, Ivan, "Impact of COVID 19 on Library Services in US Pharmacy Programs" (2021). *Library Presentations, Posters, and Videos*. 34. https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/library_presentations/34

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Leatherby Libraries at Chapman University Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Library Presentations, Posters, and Videos by an authorized administrator of Chapman University Digital Commons. For more information, please contact laughtin@chapman.edu.

Impact of COVID 19 on Library Services in US Pharmacy Programs



Presented at the 2021 American College of Clinical Pharmacy (ACCP) Annual Meeting.

This scholarship is part of the Chapman University COVID-19 Archives.

Impact of COVID-19 on Library Services in US Pharmacy Programs

Sherrill Brown, DVM, PharmD, BCPS¹, Julie Kalabalik-Hoganson, PharmD, BCPS, BCCCP, MPH², and Ivan Portillo, MLIS, AHIP³

¹University of Montana Skaggs School of Pharmacy, Missoula, MT, ²Fairleigh Dickinson University School of Pharmacy & Health Sciences, Florham Park, NJ, ³Chapman University, Leatherby Libraries, Irvine, CA

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in many academic libraries closing physical spaces and the reduction of personnel due to quarantine measures. The potential reduction in library services could have a negative impact on research by pharmacy faculty.

Hypothesis

We hypothesized that the COVID-19 pandemic led to a decrease in library services offered to researchers.

Methods

Study Population

- Librarians who are liaisons and support pharmacy schools.
- Pharmacy faculty and staff from U.S. pharmacy schools.

Study Design

- An 11-question cross-sectional online survey was sent to pharmacy faculty and librarians.
- Questions consisted of:
- Demographic information
- Impact on research
- Impact on library services provided/received
- The survey was sent and made available during March and April 2021 to the Medical Library Association (MLA), MLA Pharmacy and Drug Information Caucus (PDI), and ACCP Drug Information Practice and Research Network (PRN) listservs.
- Over 2,100 potential participants from the three listservs.

Results

Table 1. Demographics of Survey Respondents (N=39) Table 3. Impa

Position* Librarian 31 (58.5%) Faculty 13 (24.5%) Pharmacist 9 (9.4%) Other 4 (7.6 %) MLA 21 (29.6%) AACP 9 (12.7%) ACCP 7 (9.9%) ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%) Private 20 (51.3%)	Table 1. Delliographics of Survey Respondents (14-39)		
Pharmacist 9 (9.4%) Other 4 (7.6 %) Membership* MLA 21 (29.6%) AACP 9 (12.7%) ACCP 7 (9.9%) ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)	Position*	Librarian	31 (58.5%)
Other 4 (7.6 %) Membership* MLA 21 (29.6%) AACP 9 (12.7%) ACCP 7 (9.9%) ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		Faculty	13 (24.5%)
Membership* MLA 21 (29.6%) AACP 9 (12.7%) ACCP 7 (9.9%) ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		Pharmacist	9 (9.4%)
AACP 9 (12.7%) ACCP 7 (9.9%) ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		Other	4 (7.6 %)
ACCP 7 (9.9%) ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)	Membership*	MLA	21 (29.6%)
ASHP 5 (7%) APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		AACP	9 (12.7%)
Department* APhA 29 (40.8%) Pharmacy Practice 9 (23.1%) Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		ACCP	7 (9.9%)
Department*Pharmacy Practice9 (23.1%)Pharmaceutical Sciences0Library28 (71.8%)Other2 (5.1%)InstitutionPublic19 (48.7%)		ASHP	5 (7%)
Pharmaceutical Sciences 0 Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Public 19 (48.7%)		APhA	29 (40.8%)
Library 28 (71.8%) Other 2 (5.1%) Public 19 (48.7%)	Department*	Pharmacy Practice	9 (23.1%)
Other 2 (5.1%) Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		Pharmaceutical Sciences	0
Institution Public 19 (48.7%)		Library	28 (71.8%)
		Other	2 (5.1%)
Private 20 (51.3%)	Institution	Public	19 (48.7%)
		Private	20 (51.3%)

Table 2. Impact to Research

Table 2. Impact to		
Impact Of Library Services	Yes, it negatively affected my research	5 (13.5%)
Affecting Research	No, it has not affected my research	27 (73%)
	I'm not sure	5 (13.5%)
How Research Was Negatively	Reduced or no retrieval of literature	21 (29.6%)
Affected*	Reduced or no literature search	9 (12.7%)
	Reduced or no systematic reviews	7 (9.9%)
	Reduced or no instruction on search strategies	5 (7%)
	Reduced access to journals or research materials	29 (40.8%)
	Increased delay of library services	6 (46.2%)

Table 3. Impact to Library Services

Library Services Retrieve Journal Articles 31 (26% Provided* Perform Literature 31 (26%))
Provided* Dorform Literature 21 (26%)	
Perform Literature 31 (26% Searches)
Perform Systematic 21 (17.7 Reviews	%)
Provide Instruction on 36 (30.3 Search Strategies	%)
Services Impacted By COVID-19 Yes, they were affected 29 (76.3)	%)
l'm not sure 6 (7.9%)	
No, they were not affected 3 (15.8%))
Librarians Yes, furloughed or reduced 13 (34.2 Furloughed Or	%)
Reduction Of No, not furloughed or 22 (57.9 reduced	%)
I'm not sure 3 (3.9%)	
Steps Taken To Increased online 19 (48.7 Address COVID- resources/services	%)
19 Impact On Library Services* Curbside pickup of 20 (51.3 research materials	%)
Utilizing students more 2 (3.7%)	
Self-checkout options 2 (3.7%)	
No changes have been 8 (14.8% made)
Other 6 (11.1%))

^{*} Respondents could select multiple categories so numbers may not equal 39.

Results/Discussion

- 39 surveys were completed and analyzed.
- Most of the surveys were completed by librarians (58%), while only 9 pharmacists responded (9.4%).
- Common services provided by librarians included literature searches, systematic reviews, article retrieval, and instruction.
- COVID-19 affected library services at most (76%) institutions, although many institutions (58%) did not have to reduce library staffing.
- Most respondents (73%) did not feel that COVID-19 negatively impacted their ability to conduct research.
- For those who felt that their research was negatively impacted, the delay in library services was the most common reason.
- Most respondents reported their research efforts were not negatively affected due to the presence of online resources and services, which increased at several institutions during the pandemic.

Conclusion

While COVID-19 affected many aspects of pharmacy education, the effects on library services appeared to have little negative impact on faculty's ability to conduct research. The impact on research may have been lessened by libraries offering curbside pickup and increasing online resources and services to adapt to COVID-19. The results of this study are limited by the low response rate, the low number of non-librarians who completed the survey, and the possibility that multiple respondents were from the same institution, which could have skewed the results.