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We have demonstrated digital-to-analog (D/A) operations using single-flux-quantum (SFQ) pulse-frequency modulation (PFM) D/A converters for future AC voltage standards. In this paper, for improvement of SFQ-PFM D/A converters, we investigated a double-flux-quantum amplifier (DFQA) and a magnetically-coupling SFQ driver/receiver circuit (MC-SFQ-DR) fabricated using a $10-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{AlO}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Nb}$ integration process. The critical current density $J_{\mathrm{c}}$ of $10-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ was four times larger than that of the integration process we had used. A DFQA and an MC-SFQ-DR included unshunted Josephson junctions, and therefore, it was unclear if the high- $J_{\mathrm{c}}$ process improved their performances. We measured test chips cooled in a liquid helium bath. The maximum input voltages for a +20 -fold and a -20-fold DFQA were 147 and $126 \mu \mathrm{~V}$, for which the corresponding Josephson frequencies were 70.9 and 61.1 GHz . It was confirmed that the operation frequencies of the DFQAs and MC-SFQ-DR were improved by approximately two fold.

## 1. Introduction

Voltage standards based on the AC Josephson effect are unique applications of superconducting Josephson circuits. In addition to established DC voltage standards, AC voltage standards are under development. ${ }^{1)-77}$ We have been working single-flux-quantum (SFQ) pulse-frequency modulation (PFM) D/A converters, ${ }^{8-12)}$ in which the pulse repetition frequencies are modulated in accordance with the digital input signals, resulting in modulated analog output voltages of quantum accuracy. ${ }^{2,5)}$ So far, we succeeded to synthesize voltage waveforms using a 9-bit SFQ PFM D/A converter, ${ }^{8), 9)}$ in which two main circuit components, a variable SFQ pulse number multiplier (V-PNM) and a double-flux-quantum amplifier (DFQA), ${ }^{13)-19)}$ were implemented. For synthesizing a sinusoidal voltage waveform, its maximum voltage and frequency were 2.54 mV and 46.9 kHz , respectively, which were mainly limited by the maximum operation frequency ( 12.3 GHz ) of the V-PNM and the multiplication factor ( 100 -fold) of the DFQA. ${ }^{9)}$

To synthesize a voltage sinusoidal of higher resolution and larger amplitude, the maximum SFQ pulse frequency and the multiplication factor should be improved. Especially, we have found that the clock frequency in the V-PNM would limit the performance of SFQ PFM D/A converters, whereas the voltage multiplication factor as large as 1000 fold was realized using standalone DFQAs. ${ }^{14), 15), 19)}$ One method to improve the performance of SFQ digital circuitry is introduction of integration processes with higher Josephson critical current densities ( $J_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's), because the scaling rule suggests that clock frequencies of SFQ digital circuitry are approximately proportional to the square root of $J_{\mathrm{c} .}{ }^{20)}$ Recently, we have had opportunities to integrate our SFQ circuits using a 10$\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{AlO}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Nb}$ process, ${ }^{21)}$ referred to as HSTP, of National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), which could double the operation speed in comparison with our previous circuits fabricated using a $2.5-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{AlO}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Nb}$ process, ${ }^{22)}$ referred to as STP2, of AIST.

It should be noted that a DFQA includes unshunted $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{AlO}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Nb}$ junctions. A magnetically-coupling SFQ driver/receiver circuit (MC-SFQ-DR) connecting a V-PNM and a DFQA also comprises unshunted junctions. ${ }^{23), 24)}$ This is because dynamic behaviors of unshutned $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{AlO}_{x} / \mathrm{Nb}$ junctions are used for generation of double flux quanta via $4 \pi$ phase leap. The smaller capacitance and smaller subgap resistance of high- $J_{c}$ junctions
would change dynamics of unshunted junctions and could make negative effects on operations of these circuits.

In this paper, we describe our DFQAs and MC-SFQ-DR designed for the AIST HSTP. We first simulated circuit operations assuming the HSTP junction parameters. Next, we fabricated test circuits using the AIST HSTP and measured their characteristics at liquid helium temperature. The results demonstrated that the performance of these circuits was improved without significant negative effects by using the AIST HSTP.

## 2. Numerical simulation magnetically-coupling SFQ driver/receiver and $\pm 20$-fold DFQAs designed for the AIST HSTP

### 2.1 Junction parameters for STP2 and HSTP used in numerical simulation

As described above, $J_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for HSTP and STP2 are $10-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and $2.5-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, respectively. We assumed that the specific junction capacitance $C_{\mathrm{s}}$, the specific subgap resistance $R_{\mathrm{sg}}$, and the $J_{\mathrm{c}} R_{\mathrm{sg}}$ product for HSTP were $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}, 1.0 \mu \Omega \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, and 10 mV , respectively, whereas those for STP2 were $22 \mu \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}, 2.0 \mu \Omega \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, and $20 \mathrm{mV} .^{25)}$ We used the JSIM program for numerical analog circuit simulation. In JSIM simulation, a periodic pulse train was assumed for the SFQ input by using a spice $P U L S E$ source instead of the overbiasing method that was used in experiments. No thermal noises were included in simulation, that is, zero temperature was assumed.

### 2.2 Magnetically-coupling SFQ driver/receiver circuit

As described above, an MC-SFQ-DR is used in SFQ PFM D/A converters to transfer SFQ pulses from a V-PNM to a DFQA, especially to a DFQA realizing negative voltage multiplication. ${ }^{11)}$ The circuit configuration is presented in Fig. 1. The final two junctions in the driver are unshunted to generate DFQ, which enhances the induced current in the receiver.

For fabrication using HSTP, we employed the inductance and $I_{\mathrm{c}}$ 's as the same as those fabricated using STP2, whereas the shunting resistance values were chosen to realize critical damping, except for the unshunted junctions.

Figure 2 shows simulation results demonstrating the bias conditions for correct operation of the MC-SFQ-DR connected to a -20 -fold DFQA (details described in the next
subsection). Results for SFQ pulse frequencies fin of 20 and 60 GHz are presented. When $f_{\mathrm{in}}$ was set to 20 GHz , the operation range of the driver bias current $I_{\mathrm{DR}}$ was from 0.61 to 1.3 mA for the receiver bias current $I_{\mathrm{RC}}$ of 0.32 mA . By contrast, when $f_{\mathrm{iN}}$ was 60 GHz (slightly lower than 64.5 Hz , the maximum input repetition frequency of the -20 -fold DFQA described in the section 2.4), the operation range was divided in to two regions. As shown in as shown in Fig. 2 (b), the lower bias current region (Region I) was from 0.69 to 0.88 mA , and the higher bias region (Region II) was from 1.1 to 1.3 mA respectively. Numerical results of the junction phase evolution for $I_{\mathrm{DR}}$ of 0.76 mA (Region I), 1.1 mA (Region II), and 0.99 mA (outside of Regions I or II) are shown in Fig. 3. The colors of the phase waveforms correspond to those of the Josephson junctions in Fig. 1. In Regions I and II, $2 \pi$ and $4 \pi$ phase leap (SFQ and DFQ generation) occurred at $J J_{\mathrm{DR}}$ for every input SFQ pulse, respectively. Then, $2 \pi$ phase leap occurred periodically at $J J_{\mathrm{RC} 1}$ and completed SFQ propagation correctly. The phase evolution of $J_{\mathrm{DR}}$ for $I_{\mathrm{DR}}$ of 0.99 mA (outside of Regions I or II), on the other hand, included mixed $2 \pi$ or $4 \pi$ phase leaps, that is, the number of generated flux quanta for every input SFQ pulse was not fixed. In this case, $J J_{\mathrm{RC} 2}$ switched aperiodically, resulting in failure of SFQ propagation. There seems to be a room of reoptimization of the MC-SFQ-DR for HSTP, which we are now conducting. Although the bias margin is reduced, these numerical results suggest that the MC-SFQ-DR with the HSTP parameters should work for the input SFQ pulse train higher than 60 GHz , which is roughly twofold increase in comparison with that of STP2. That is, HSTP enhances the speed performance of the MC-SFQ-DR as well as that of conventional RSFQ digital circuits.

### 2.3 Device parameters of 3-junction loop

Figure 4(a) shows the equivalent circuit of a 3-junctoin loop (3JL) that is a fundamental component of a DFQA. $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ are critically damped by external resistor, whereas $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$ is unshunted for DFQ generation. An SFQ pulse fed from $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ propagates to the next stage through $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ with DFQ generation at $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$. The voltage multiplication factor of a DFQA is increased by stacking 3JLs; the output average voltage $V_{\text {OUT }}$ is expressed for the input average voltage $V_{\text {IN }}$ as

$$
V_{\mathrm{OUT}}=(N+1) \cdot V_{\mathrm{IN}}=(N+1) \cdot \Phi_{0} \cdot f_{\mathrm{IN}},(1)
$$

where $\Phi_{0}$ is the flux quantum (a physical constant), whereas $N$ and $f i \mathrm{in}$ are the number of stacked 3JLs and the frequency (i.e., the number of flux quanta per unit time) fed through the DFQA, respectively.
We have studied two types of DFQAs with different principles for controlling the propagation direction of SFQ pulses from $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ to $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$. One is the critical-current-controlled type DFQA ( $I_{c}$-DFQA), in which the propagation direction is controlled by using the difference between the critical current ( $I_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) values of $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{C}} .{ }^{13) 14)-17)}$ (An SFQ pulse propagates through $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ having a smaller $I_{\mathrm{c}}$ value.) The other is the phase-dampingcontrolled type DFQA ( $\beta_{c}$-DFQA), ${ }^{17,18)}$ in which the propagation direction is controlled by using the difference between the McCumber parameter $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ values of $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{18), 19)}$ (An SFQ pulse propagates through $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ having a larger $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ value.) Here, $\beta \mathrm{c}$ is given by

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{2 \pi I_{\mathrm{c}} R^{2} C}{\Phi_{0}} \text {, (2) }
$$

where $R$ and $C$ are the resistance (the subgap resistance for an unshunted junction, or the combined resistance of the subgap resistance and the external shunting resistance for an externally shunted junction) and the capacitance of a Josephson junction, respectively.
In this study, we focused on the $I_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQA and redesigned its parameters for HSTP, because $I_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQAs in our previous works demonstrated robust operation more than $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ DFQAs. (In our experiments, operation of $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQAs looked sensitive to parameter deviation.) Table I shows the 3JL parameters used for STP2 and redesigned for HSTP. When we redesigned the $I_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQA for HSTP, we tried to keep the inductances, $I_{\mathrm{c}}$ values, and $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ values as same as those for STP2, except for the $C$ and $R_{\mathrm{sg}}$ of $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$ which were intrinsically determined by the fabrication process.

### 2.4 Numerical simulation for $\pm 20$-fold DFQAs

We designed series-connected DFQAs including a +20 - and a -20 -fold DFQA each of which was composed of 19 -stacked 3JLs. The circuit configuration is shown in Fig. 5(a). Input SFQ pulses for the +20 -fold DFQA were directly fed through a Josephson transmission line (JTL), ${ }^{26)}$ whereas those for the -20 -fold DFQA were fed via an MC-SFQ-DR.

Numerical input-output characteristics are presented in Fig. 6 as red and blue circles, where the ideal $\pm 20$-fold voltage multiplication is presented by dashed straight lines. In simulation, input SFQ pulses were fed to either +20 - or -20 -fold DFQA, and therefore, the characteristics were investigated separately. The input voltage $V_{\text {IN }}$ was derived using the AC Josephson effect with the repetition frequency $f_{\text {in }}$ of periodic pulses, that is, $V_{\mathrm{IN}}=$ $\Phi_{0} \cdot f_{\mathrm{in}}$. Relative error was also derived using Eq. (3).

$$
\text { Relative error }=\frac{\left\{V_{\text {OUT }}-\left( \pm 20 \cdot V_{\mathrm{IN}}\right)\right\}}{\left( \pm 20 \cdot V_{\mathrm{IN}}\right)} \times 100 \% \text {, }
$$

As in the previous research, operations with relative error of $\pm 1 \%$ or less were regarded as correct operation. For the +20 -fold DFQA, the maximum input repetition frequency finmax, the maximum input voltage $V_{\text {Inmax }}$, and the maximum output voltage $V_{\text {OUTmax }}$ were determined as $62.5 \mathrm{GHz}, 129 \mu \mathrm{~V}$, and 2.58 mV , respectively. On the other hand, for the -20 -fold DFQA, those were determined as $64.5 \mathrm{GHz}, 133 \mu \mathrm{~V}$, and -2.68 mV , respectively. We should note that the MC-SFQ-DR itself worked correctly no less than 80 GHz . Although there was concern about the effect of $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ reduction of $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$ on DFQ generation, it was found from the simulation results that correct voltage multiplication was realized by DFQAs with the HSTP parameters.

These numerical results suggest that the $\pm 20$-fold DFQAs with the MC-SFQ-DR should work for the input SFQ pulse train of repetition frequencies beyond 60 GHz , which is roughly twofold increase in comparison with that of STP2. That is, the high- $J_{c}$ integration process HSTP also would enhance the speed performance of the $I_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQA as well as conventional RSFQ digital circuits.

## 3. Experimental results and discussion

### 3.1 Physical layouts of MC-SFQ-DR and $\pm 20$-fold DFQAs

To confirm the circuit characteristics, we designed $\pm 20$-fold DFQAs as presented in Fig. 5(a). An MC-SFQ-DR was also included. The InductEx program was used for extraction of self-inductances, mutual-inductances, and coupling factors. ${ }^{27)-29)}$ Photomicrographs of a single 3JL and a test circuit fabricated using HSTP are respectively shown in Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 5(b). As can be seen in Fig. 5(b), Series-connected nineteen 3JLs were placed in a straight line for $\pm 20$-fold DFQAs. We refer to this layout as the STRAIGHT type. Besides, because 3JLs should be placed like a meander pattern for a larger number $N$ of 3JLs (that
is, a larger multiplication factor such as 1000 -fold), we also designed other two physical layouts of +20 -fold DFQAs including turns of 3JL stacks. There were two types of turns, the INSIDE type and OUTSIDE type; in the INSIDE type, the flux bias line 1 was placed inside at corners, whereas in the OUTSIDE type, the flux bias line 1 was placed outside at corners. Photomicrographs of +20 -fold DFQA of the INSIDE and OUTSIDE type are presented in Fig. 7.

### 3.2 Experimental results

The experiment was conducted by cooling a test chip with liquid helium bath.
First, we measured characteristics of +20 -fold DFQAs of three types, STRAIGHT, INSIDE and OUTSIDE. Input SFQ pulses were fed by the overbiasing method. The $V_{\text {IN }} — V_{\text {OUt }}$ characteristics were observed on a digital oscilloscope (OCS) via 100-fold low noise preamplifiers. Experimental results are depicted in Fig. 8. The dashed line represents the ideal +20 -fold voltage multiplication. +20 -fold DFQAs of STRAIGHT, INSIDE, and OUTSIDE types operated correctly up to $V_{\text {IN }}$ of $140 \mu \mathrm{~V}$, for which the corresponding $f$ in was 67.7 GHz. No significant difference was confirmed among these three types.

Next, to evaluate voltages precisely, we measured $V_{\text {IN }} — V_{\text {OUT }}$ characteristics of a +20 -fold DFQA of INSIDE type and a -20 -fold DFQA of STRAIGHT type using digital multimeters (DMMs). No preamplifiers were used. The results are shown in Fig. 9 which are essentially the same as those presented in our technical report. ${ }^{30)}$ For the +20 -fold DFQA of INSIDE type, $V_{\text {inmax, }}$ Voutmax, and finmax were determined as $147 \mu \mathrm{~V}, 2.90 \mathrm{mV}$, and 70.9 GHz , respectively, for relative errors less than $\pm 1 \%$. On the other hand, those for the -20 -fold DFQA of STRAIGHT type were respectively determined as $126 \mu \mathrm{~V},-2.51 \mathrm{mV}$, and 61.1 GHz.

The experimental values for the +20 -fold DFQA were slightly better than the numerical results; the experimental $f_{\text {INMAX }}$ was 8 GHz higher than the numerical value, which could be attributed to the bias condition finely tuned in experiments. The experimental values for the -20 -fold DFQA of STRAIGHT type were comparable with the numerical ones. It should be noted that the relative errors for the -20 -fold DFQA did not fall well into $\pm 1 \%$ range. Operation errors of the MC-SFQ-DR used to feed SFQ pulses to the -20 -fold DFQA could
be the reason, because the +20 -fold DFQA without an MC-SFQ-DR worked well (see Fig. 5 for the circuit configuration). We found that the bias margins for correct operation of the -20 -fold DFQA were sometimes substantially reduced, and that they were resurged by heating up and cooling down the test chip. It suggested that magnetic flux was trapped in and released from the test chip. In the MC-SFQ-DR, we employed a hole in the ground plane to make the magnetic coupling stronger, which could have a role of flux trapping site. Such flux trapping could reduce the bias margins of the MC-SFQ-DR. ${ }^{31)}$ Elimination of a hole in the ground plane would be effective to avoid flux trapping, resulting in the stable operation. Magnetic shielding around the test chip should also be improved.

In addition to the comparison between the experimental and numerical results for HSTP, we also compared between the experimental finmax for HSTP and that for STP2, which is tabulated in Table II. ${ }^{32)}$ It is found that $f$ inmax values were improved twofold (and more) by changing the integration process from STP2 to HSTP.

## 4. Conclusions

For improvement of SFQ-PFM D/A converters, we investigated a DFQA and an MC-SFQ-DR fabricated using the AIST HSTP. The $J_{\mathrm{c}}$ value of the AIST HSTP was $10-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and four times larger than that of the AIST STP2 $\left(2.5-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ we had used. In general, switching speed of SFQ logic circuits is expected to be proportional to square root of $J_{\mathrm{c}}$. However, a DFQA and an MC-SFQ-DR included unshunted Josephson junctions for DFQ generation, and therefore, it was unclear if the high- $J_{c}$ process improved their performances. We redesigned the device parameters of the MC-SFQ-DR and the 3JL, the fundamental cell of a DFQA, for the AIST HSTP. Numerical simulation demonstrated that the +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQA operated for the input SFQ repetition frequencies up to 62.5 and 64.5 GHz . These frequencies were approximately two-fold of that assuming the parameters of the AIST STP2. Next, we measured test chips cooled in a liquid helium bath. The maximum input voltages for a +20 -fold and a-20-fold DFQA were 147 and $126 \mu \mathrm{~V}$, for which the corresponding Josephson frequencies were 70.9 and 61.1 GHz . It was confirmed that the operation frequencies of the DFQAs and MC-SFQ-DR were improved by introducing high $-J_{\mathrm{c}}$ integration process.
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## Figure Captions

Fig. 1. (Color online) Equivalent circuit of a magnetically-coupling SFQ driver/receiver circuit (MC-SFQ-DR). Critical currents and external shunt resistors of $J J_{\mathrm{DR}}, J J_{\mathrm{RC} 1}$, and $J J_{\mathrm{RC} 2}$ are as follows. : $I_{\mathrm{JJDR}}=153 \mu \mathrm{~A}, I_{\mathrm{JJRC} 1}=169 \mu \mathrm{~A}, R_{\mathrm{JIRC} 1}=4.42 \Omega, I_{\mathrm{JJRC} 2}=100 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and $R_{\mathrm{JJRC} 2}=7.46 \Omega$. The colors of $J J_{\mathrm{DR}}, J J_{\mathrm{RC} 1}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{RC} 2}$ correspond to the curves of switching characteristics shown in Fig.3.

Fig. 2. (Color online) Bias margins on the $I_{\mathrm{DR}}-I_{\mathrm{Rc}}$ plane of an MC-SFQ-DR for the input frequency $f$ in of (a) 20 GHz and (b) 60 GHz . Correct -20 -fold voltage multiplication was confirmed at the bias conditions filled in yellow.

Fig. 3. (Color online) Switching characteristics of the MC-SFQ-DR for $I_{\mathrm{DR}}$ of (a) 0.76 mA (SFQ mode operation), (b) 1.1 mA (DFQ mode operation), and (c) 0.99 mA (mixed mode operation).

Fig. 4. (Color online) (a) Equivalent circuit and (b) photomicrograph of a 3-junction loop (3JL) acting as a single stage of a double-flux-quantum amplifier (DFQA). $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$ are critically damped by external resistors, whereas $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ is unshunted for DFQ generation. SFQ pulses fed from $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ propagate to the next stage through $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ after DFQ generation at $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$.

Fig. 5. (Color online) (a)Schematic and (b) photomicrograph of series-connected +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQAs. Each DFQA works independently except for the common line used for voltage measurement. For the +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQA, the input SFQ pulse trains are respectively generated at the lower and upper Josephson junction labelled as "overbiasing junction". In this work, the +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQA were tested one by one.

Fig. 6. (Color online) Input-output voltage characteristics of (a) +20 -fold and (b) -20 -fold DFQAs that were obtained in jsim simulation. The upper and lower horizontal axis represent the SFQ repetition frequency $f_{\mathrm{iN}}$ and the corresponding input voltage $V_{\mathrm{IN}}$, respectively.

Fig. 7. (Color online) Photomicrograph of +20 -fold DFQAs including the INSIDE and OUTSIDE types for realizing meander patterns.

Fig. 8. (Color online) Input-output characteristics of three types of +20 -fold DFQAs obtained by a digital oscilloscope via 100 -fold preamplifiers. Bias current were set as follows: STRAIGH type ( $I_{\mathrm{SB}}=0.480 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 1}=2.85 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2}=0.160 \mathrm{~mA}$ ). OUTSIDE type $\left(I_{\mathrm{SB}}=0.425 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 1}=6.44 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2}=0 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$. INSIDE type $\left(I_{\mathrm{SB}}=0.410 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 1}=\right.$ $\left.5.18 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2}=0 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$.

Fig. 9. (Color online) Input-output characteristics of +20 -fold DFQA INSIDE type and -20 -fold DFQA STRAIGHT type obtained by digital multi-meter. The relative error at each input-output voltage is indicated by cross marks. Each bias current correspond to Fig. 5 (a) is as follows: +20 -fold DFQA INSIDE type $\left(I_{\mathrm{SBP}}=0.430 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FBIP}}=2.00 \mathrm{~mA}\right.$, $\left.I_{\text {FB2P }}=0 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$. -20 -fold DFQA STRAIGHT type $\left(I_{\text {SBN }+}=0.425 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\text {sBN }}=-0.425 \mathrm{~mA}\right.$, $\left.I_{\mathrm{FBN} 1}=-1.50 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2 \mathrm{~N}}=0 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{DR}}=0.562 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{RC}}=0.321 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$.

Table I Comparison of 3JL parameters used in $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQA and $I_{\mathrm{c}}$-DFQAs.

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \beta_{\mathrm{c}} \text {-DFQA } \\ (\mathrm{STP} 2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline I_{\mathrm{c}} \text {-DFQA } \\ (\mathrm{STP} 2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline I_{\mathrm{c}} \text {-DFQA } \\ (\mathrm{HSTP}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1/pH | 2.9 | 1.6 |  |
|  | $2 / \mathrm{pH}$ | 0.68 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 3/pH | 0.62 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 4/pH | 1.5 | neglected |  |
|  | $M_{1} / \mathrm{pH}$ | 0.36 | 0.27 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{2} / \mathrm{pH}$ | none | 0.16 |  |
| $J J_{\text {A }}$ | $I_{\mathrm{c}} / \mu \mathrm{A}$ | 100 | 240 | 237 |
|  | $R_{\text {shunt }} / \Omega$ | 0.58 | 1.6 | 3.3 |
|  | $\beta_{\text {c }}$ | 0.022 | 0.98 | 1.1 |
| $J J_{\text {B }}$ | $I_{\text {c }} / \mu \mathrm{A}$ | 210 | 220 | 219 |
|  | $R_{\text {shunt }} / \Omega$ | unshunted | unshunted | unshunted |
| $J J_{\text {C }}$ | $I_{\mathrm{c}} / \mu \mathrm{A}$ | 130 | 140 | 139 |
|  | $R_{\text {shunt }} / \Omega$ | 35 | 2.8 | 5.55 |
|  | $\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 138 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

Table II Comparison of $f_{\text {INMAX }}$ between DFQA fabricated by using $2.5-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ and $10-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ process.

|  | $f_{\text {inmax/ }}$ |  | GHz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $2.5-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (STP2) | $10-\mathrm{kA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (HSTP) | HSTP/STP2 |
| +20 -fold | 26.5 | 70.9 | 2.68 |
| -20 -fold | 28.9 | 61.1 | 2.11 |



Fig. 1. (Color online) Equivalent circuit of a magnetically-coupling SFQ driver/receiver circuit (MC-SFQ-DR). Critical currents and external shunt resistors of $J J_{\mathrm{DR}}, J J_{\mathrm{RC}}$, and $J J_{\mathrm{RC} 2}$ are as follows. : $I_{\mathrm{JJDR}}=153 \mu \mathrm{~A}, I_{\mathrm{JJRC}}=169 \mu \mathrm{~A}, R_{\mathrm{JJRC}}=4.42 \Omega, I_{\mathrm{JJRC} 2}=100 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, and $R_{\mathrm{JJRC}}=7.46 \Omega$. The colors of $J J_{\mathrm{DR}}, J J_{\mathrm{RC} 1}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{RC} 2}$ correspond to the curves of switching characteristics shown in Fig.3.


Fig. 2. (Color online) Bias margins on the $I_{\mathrm{DR}}-I_{\mathrm{Rc}}$ plane of an MC-SFQ-DR for the input frequency $f_{\text {in }}$ of (a) 20 GHz and (b) 60 GHz . Correct -20 -fold voltage multiplication was confirmed at the bias conditions filled in yellow.


Fig. 3. (Color online) Switching characteristics of the MC-SFQ-DR for $I_{\mathrm{DR}}$ of (a) 0.76 mA (SFQ mode operation), (b) 1.1 mA (DFQ mode operation), and (c) 0.99 mA (mixed mode operation).
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Fig. 4. (Color online) (a) Equivalent circuit and (b) photomicrograph of a 3junction loop (3JL) acting as a single stage of a double-flux-quantum amplifier (DFQA). $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$ are critically damped by external resistors, whereas $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ is
unshunted for DFQ generation. SFQ pulses fed from $J J_{\mathrm{A}}$ propagate to the next stage through $J J_{\mathrm{C}}$ after DFQ generation at $J J_{\mathrm{B}}$.


Fig. 5. (Color online) (a)Schematic and (b) photomicrograph of series-connected +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQAs. Each DFQA works independently except for the common line used for voltage measurement. For the +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQA, the input SFQ pulse trains are respectively generated at the lower and upper Josephson junction labelled as "overbiasing junction". In this work, the +20 -fold and -20 -fold DFQA were tested one by one.


Fig. 6. (Color online) Input-output voltage characteristics of (a) +20 -fold and (b) -20 -fold DFQAs that were obtained in jsim simulation. The upper and lower horizontal axis represent the SFQ repetition frequency $f_{\mathrm{IN}}$ and the corresponding input voltage $V_{\mathrm{IN}}$, respectively.


Fig. 7. (Color online) Photomicrograph of +20 -fold DFQAs including the INSIDE and OUTSIDE types for realizing meander patterns.


Fig. 8. (Color online) Input-output characteristics of three types of +20 -fold DFQAs obtained by a digital oscilloscope via 100 -fold preamplifiers. Bias current were set as follows: STRAIGH type ( $I_{\mathrm{SB}}=0.480 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 1}=2.85 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2}=0.160 \mathrm{~mA}$ ). OUTSIDE type $\left(I_{\mathrm{SB}}=0.425 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 1}=6.44 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2}=0 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$. INSIDE type $\left(I_{\mathrm{SB}}=0.410 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 1}=\right.$ $\left.5.18 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2}=0 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$.


Fig. 9. (Color online) Input-output characteristics of +20 -fold DFQA INSIDE type and -20 -fold DFQA STRAIGHT type obtained by digital multi-meter. The relative error at each input-output voltage is indicated by cross marks. Each bias current correspond to Fig. 5 (a) is as follows: +20 -fold DFQA INSIDE type ( $I_{\text {SBP }}=0.430 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\text {FB1P }}=2.00 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\text {FB2P }}$ $=0 \mathrm{~mA})$. -20 -fold DFQA STRAIGHT type $\left(I_{\text {SBN }+}=0.425 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\text {SBN }}=-0.425 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\text {FBN } 1}=\right.$ $\left.-1.50 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{FB} 2 \mathrm{~N}}=0 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{DR}}=0.562 \mathrm{~mA}, I_{\mathrm{RC}}=0.321 \mathrm{~mA}\right)$.

