



Titre: Title:	Ecophysiological Responses of a Willow Cultivar (Salix miyabeana SX67') Irrigated with Treated Wood Leachate
Auteurs: Authors:	Chloé Frédette, Yves Comeau et Jacques Brisson
Date:	2019
Туре:	Article de revue / Journal article
Référence: Citation:	Frédette, C., Comeau, Y. & Brisson, J. (2019). Ecophysiological Responses of a Willow Cultivar (Salix miyabeana 'SX67') Irrigated with Treated Wood Leachate. <i>Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 230</i> (8), p. 1-15. doi: <u>10.1007/s11270-019-4244-4</u>



Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie

Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: PolyPublie URL:	https://publications.polymtl.ca/9092/
Version:	Version finale avant publication / Accepted version Révisé par les pairs / Refereed
Conditions d'utilisation: Terms of Use:	Tous droits réservés / All rights reserved



Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel

Document issued by the official publisher

Titre de la revue: Journal Title:	Water, Air, & Soil Pollution (vol. 230, no 8)
Maison d'édition: Publisher:	Springer
URL officiel: Official URL:	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-019-4244-4
Mention légale: Legal notice:	

Ce fichier a été téléchargé à partir de PolyPublie, le dépôt institutionnel de Polytechnique Montréal This file has been downloaded from PolyPublie, the institutional repository of Polytechnique Montréal

http://publications.polymtl.ca

<u>±</u>

1 Ecophysiological responses of a willow cultivar (Salix miyabeana

² 'SX67') irrigated with treated wood leachate

3	Chloé Frédette ^{a,b}	⊠ . Yves	Comeau ^c	& Jacques	Brisson ^{a,b}
0	Children i reactive	2	comena (~ oneques	DINSOUL

- ^a Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, succ. Centre-ville, Montreal
- 5 (QC) Canada H3C 3J7, chloe.fredette@umontreal.ca, jacques.brisson@umontreal.ca
- ⁶ ^b Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, 4101 Sherbrooke East, Montreal (QC) Canada H1X 2B2
- ⁷ ^c Department of Civil, Geological and Mining Engineering, Polytechnique Montréal, 2900 Édouard-
- 8 Montpetit Boulevard, Montréal (QC) Canada H3T 1J4, yves.comeau@polymtl.ca
- 9
- 10 Corresponding author: Chloé Frédette
- 11 4101 Sherbrooke East, Montreal (QC) Canada H1X 2B2
- 12 chloe.fredette@umontreal.ca
- 13
- 14
- 15

16

- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

1

23 Abstract

As wood preservatives leach from exposed treated wood, they contaminate soil and water, creating an 24 environmental problem that needs to be addressed. Treating this contamination is particularly 25 26 challenging since it includes mixed compounds, such as heavy metals and trace elements, as well as xenobiotic organic pollutants like polychlorinated dibenzo-dioxin/furan congeners (PCDD/Fs) that are 27 very toxic and are under very strict discharge regulations. Cultivating fast growing willow shrubs, either 28 29 in soil or in treatment wetlands, offers a flexible and inexpensive treatment option. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the tolerance of a frequently used willow cultivar (Salix mivabeana 30 'SX67') to irrigation with leachate contaminated with pentachlorophenol (PCP) and chromated 31 chromium arsenate (CCA), two important wood preservatives. We designed a mesocosms experiment 32 with willow grown in three different substrates and irrigated over twelve weeks with three different 33 34 leachate concentrations. Willow proved to be tolerant to irrigation with the raw leachate, with only leaf area decreasing with increasing leachate concentration. However, the type of growing substrate 35 influenced willow ecophysiological responses and overall performance, and seemed to affect 36 37 contaminant dynamics in the plant-soil system. All contaminants accumulated in willow roots, and Cu and PCDD/Fs were also translocated to aerial parts. Overall, this study suggests that Salix miyabeana 38 'SX67' could be a good candidate for treating water or soil contaminated with wood preservatives. 39

- 40
- 41 Keywords: phytotoxicity, phytoremediation, wood preservatives, pentachlorophenol (PCP), chromated
 42 copper arsenate (CCA), polychlorinated dibenzo-dioxins/furans (PCDD/Fs)
- 43
- 45

44

46 **1. Introduction**

Canada has one of the world's largest wood preservation industries, along with the United States and the 47 United-Kingdom (Morris and Wang, 2006). The nature of wood preservatives has changed over time, 48 and pentachlorophenol (PCP), an oil-borne substance that was commonly used in the 1950s, was 49 gradually replaced by water-borne chemicals such as chromated chromium arsenate (CCA; Environment 50 Canada, 2013), because of its toxicity (WHO, 1987; NTP, 2016). Following public apprehension about 51 the presence of the toxic compound arsenic in the preservatives, CCA was banned from residential use 52 in 2004 in both Canada and the United States (Morrell, 2017). Nonetheless, both CCA and PCP are still 53 54 permitted for industrial use, including utility wood pole treatment (ATSDR, 2001; Morris and Wang, 2006; Environment Canada, 2013). 55

During the wood treatment process, or while in use or storage, treated wood exposed to rain events 56 57 generates leachates that are contaminated with wood preservatives. Although leaching rate and susceptibility over time are often debated, soils at wood treatment facilities and final storage locations 58 have clearly been shown to be contaminated (Bhattacharya et al., 2001; Kitunen et al., 1987; Stilwell 59 and Gorny, 1997; Valo et al., 1984; Zagury et al., 2003). Chromium (Cr), copper (Cu) and 60 chlorophenols (CP) seem to be more mobile in the soil, and can potentially reach aquifers of aquatic 61 ecosystems. Arsenic (As) and PCP associated hydrocarbon compounds such as polychlorinated dibenzo-62 dioxins/furans (PCDD/Fs) are less mobile, but very persistent in the soil (Bhattacharya et al., 2001; 63 Kitunen et al., 1987). 64

Phytoremediation has been proposed as a technology with potential to address such soil contamination. Willows and similar fast growing woody species like poplar have been studied specifically for remediation of these types of pollutants (Mills *et al.*, 2006; Önneby, 2006), along with various herbaceous plants. Preventive approaches, such as intercepting the contaminated leachates prior to their 69 release in the soil also represent a sustainable avenue; the intercepted leachates must then be treated to 70 meet water discharge regulations. Treatment wetlands are a proven technology that can be designed to treat various types of wastewaters, including those containing metallic trace elements, chlorinated 71 72 compounds and hydrocarbons (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Recently, an experimental study showed that mixed wood preservatives leachate (PCP and CCA) can be treated successfully with horizontal sub-73 surface flow wetlands (Lévesque et al., 2017). Designing zero liquid discharge willow wetlands has also 74 been identified as a solution for treating this type of leachate and eliminating the risk of releasing 75 contamination in the environment (Frédette et al., 2019). 76

If willows are to be used for the treatment of either soil or water contaminated with wood preservatives, 77 it is important to study the effect of those contaminants on willows. Tolerance and toxicity studies have 78 been conducted at laboratory scale in hydroponic solutions for some wood preservative compounds such 79 as As (Purdy and Smart, 2008), Cr (Yu and Gu, 2007; Yu et al., 2008) and derivatives of PCP (Clausen 80 et al., 2018; Ucisik and Trapp, 2008; Ucisik et al., 2007). However, pollutant dynamics are much more 81 complex in soils or substrates, and the presence of mixed contamination could lead to different results 82 83 than if each contaminant were treated separately. The objective of this mesocosm study was to investigate the potential effects of water contaminated with both ACC and PCP on a willow species 84 frequently used in phytoremediation and treatment wetlands, Salix miyabeana 'SX67'. We were 85 particularly interested in physiological parameters associated with biomass production and treatment 86 performance. Furthermore, we wanted to test the influence of different growing media, on the premise 87 that different substrates would demonstrate differences in water holding capacity, nutrient sink in the 88 root zone, and pollutant dynamics, which could in turn influence plant ecophysiological responses. 89

90

91 **2. Methods**

92

2.1 Experimental set-up and treatments

This study was conducted in a greenhouse located at the Montréal Botanical Garden (45°33'39.6"N 93 73°34'19.2"W), in eastern Canada. Each experimental unit consisted of a cylindric lysimeter 0.53 m high 94 and 0.37 m in diameter (0.11 m² top area), filled with substrate and planted with one Salix miyabeana 95 SX67 individual (Figure 1a). We specifically chose large containers with a depth greater than the 96 expected average root zone (50 cm deep pots compared to an expected average 30 cm root zone for 97 shrub willows). Plant density calculated according to the surface area of our containers was relatively 98 high (10 plants/m²), but has been observed in willow plantations (Bullard *et al.*, 2002). The distance 99 between each pot (Figure 1c) also helped prevent canopy competition for light interception. Six 100 treatments were tested: sand substrate irrigated with various leachate dilutions (S0, S25, S50 and S100), 101 sand topped with a coco fiber substrate layer irrigated with the 25% leachate dilution (C25) and sand 102 topped with an organic substrate layer irrigated with the 25% leachate dilution (O25). Each treatment 103 was replicated three times and one lysimeter filled only with sand remained unplanted to estimate soil 104 evaporation, for a total of 19 lysimeters. Figures 1b and 1c present the experimental treatments and 105 106 spatial disposition of the 19 lysimeters in the greenhouse. A one-inch wide tube, pierced only in the bottom 5 cm, was placed in the units for irrigation and water sampling (Figure 1a). There was no 107 outflow from the lysimeters, so all water loss could be attributed to evapotranspiration. Willow shrubs 108 were grown in pots from cuttings in the summer of 2017 and transplanted in the lysimeters in August of 109 the same year. Temperature in the greenhouse was adjusted to meet outside temperature but could not be 110 brought below 5°C in winter. 111

112 The first layer of the substrate consisted of 8 cm of coarse granitic gravel (16-32 mm) for drainage, 113 topped with either 40 cm of sand or 20 cm of sand topped with one of two other substrates to be tested 114 (*organic* and *coco fiber*), and then covered with 2 cm of fragmented rameal wood as a mulch to limit soil evaporation. The *sand* substrate consisted of washed coarse sand (0.5-1 mm); the *coco fiber*substrate of 80% coconut fiber and 20% coarse sand; and the organic substrate of an assemblage of 60%
black earth (Quali Grow, 0.2-0.2-0.1 NPK), 20% potting soil (Fafard, 0.3-0.1-0.4 NPK) and 20% coarse
sand. The porosity measurements made in the laboratory for the sand, coco fiber and organic substrates
were 36%, 70% and 39% (volume based), respectively.

The raw leachate was collected from a treated wood pole storage site on June 15 (batch 1) and August 6 120 (batch 2), and stored in 20 L polyethylene tanks at 4°C. Both old PCP treated and new CCA treated 121 wood poles are stored at this specific site. Consequently, chlorophenolic compounds from the PCP (as 122 123 well as PCDD/Fs that are present in commercial PCP formulations), and As, Cr and Cu from the CCA were expected to be present in the leachate (Lorber et al., 2002; Frédette et al., 2019). All the 124 contaminants targeted were present in the leachate, except for pentachlorophenol, which had already 125 begun to degrade into dichlorophenol, but concentrations of this compound were much higher in batch 2 126 (Table 1). Three lysimeters filled only with sand were irrigated with the raw leachate (100%, S100), 127 three with a first dilution of the leachate (50%, S50), three with a second dilution (25%, S25), and three 128 129 with tap water only (S0). The six lysimeters filled with organic substrate and coco fiber were then irrigated with the second dilution (25%, O25 and C25). From the time shrubs were planted in the 130 lysimeters in 2017 to June 17 of 2018, all lysimeters were irrigated manually with tap water one to three 131 times per week, depending on their water consumption. Total irrigation need was determined according 132 to water level prior to irrigation and substrate porosity, with the aim of attaining a water level around 5 133 134 to 10 cm below the substrate surface after irrigation. This provided water saturated conditions for the plants, similar to conditions in a horizontal subsurface flow treatment wetland. The first contaminated 135 irrigation took place on June 18, then two and three weeks after (July 2 and 11), and finally two times a 136 137 week until September 7 for a total of 18 contaminated irrigation events. The amount of leachate

provided during those irrigation events was fixed, and tap water was added, if necessary, to complete the total irrigation need. In the end, each lysimeter received 37L of leachate (raw or diluted according to the treatment) except for a few plants that had smaller irrigation needs at the end of the experiment; the contaminant charge applied for each treatment is detailed in Table 1.

A customized fertilizer solution with a nitrogen (N) concentration of 200 ppm and an NPK ratio of 21:7:14 was added to the irrigation water weekly until July 13, after which N concentration was raised to 400 ppm due to notable signs of N deficiency. A mite (*Tetranychus* sp.) infestation was detected in early July, and despite a careful pesticide application every 2 days (Trounce, NFS 176), the infestation caused significant leaf defoliation of several individuals and notable defoliation of neighbors, mainly in bloc 3 (Figure 1c).

148 *2.2 Data collection*

All sampling took place over 16 weeks (starting 4 weeks prior to the first leachate irrigation), from May 23 to September 7, 2018. By that date, the damage to shrubs from the mite infestation was so important that we were forced to terminate the experiment.

152 *2.2.1 Plant measurements*

Leaf area (LA), proportional growth rate (pRG), biomass production, evapotranspiration rate (ET; total 153 quantity of water loss through ET over a given period of time), photosynthesis rate (Ps), instant 154 transpiration (T; estimated transpiration rate at a specific sampling time) and stomatal conductance (\bar{G}_s) 155 were measured. LA was calculated weekly based on direct counting of the number of leaves on each 156 willow and the mean size of one leaf. Throughout the month of June, multiple leaves were randomly 157 collected from the shrubs at different stem heights and development stages to estimate the mean area of 158 one individual leaf using optical software (Mesurim Pro v3.4.4.0). pGR was also calculated once a week 159 160 using the following equation:

161
$$pRG = \frac{(H_{t+1} - H_t)}{H_t}$$
 (Eq. 1)

Where H_t was the height of the longest stem at the previous measurement, and H_{t+1} the height of the 162 highest stem on the day the measurement was made. Fresh root and stem biomass was collected and 163 weighed at the end of the experiment after residual leaves were removed, and then oven dried at 75°C 164 165 until constant weight. Leaf biomass could not be measured directly because the plants lost leaves throughout the season and it was impossible to associate the fallen leaves with a plant. Instead, we 166 determined the average weight of one leaf and multiplied it by the number of leaves counted when the 167 LA was maximal, which provided us with an estimate of the minimal amount of leaf biomass produced 168 per plant. The method used to calculate ET rate is detailed in section 2.2.2. Ecophysiological parameters 169 (Ps, T and \overline{G}_s) were recorded using a portable measuring instrument (Li-COR 6400XT, Biosciences). 170 Measurements were made one day per week from 10:00 AM to 1:00 PM, and conditions in the leaf 171 chamber of the Li-COR (humidity, temperature, light and CO₂ concentration) were set to match the 172 ambient conditions at the sampling time. Once a week, foliar symptoms of pathology (e.g. chlorosis, 173 necrotic spots) were carefully noted and quantified (0 for absence, 1 for weak signs, 2 for present signs, 174 3 for generalized signs) for every plant. 175

176 *2.2.2 Evapotranspiration calculation*

Before and after every irrigation event, water level in the lysimeters was recorded. The lysimeters were
in a greenhouse, so they received no rainfall, and the lysimeters were closed, so no drainage occurred.
ET was then calculated as follows:

$$ET = \frac{[\theta_a(L_{t-1}-L_t)]}{d(t-1)-t}$$
(Eq. 2)

181 Where *ET* represents the mean daily lysimeter evapotranspiration (mm/d), Θ_a the effective substrate 182 porosity (unitless), L_t is the water level prior to irrigation (mm) on a given irrigation day, L_{t-1} the water 183 level after irrigation (mm) on the previous irrigation day and $d_{(t-1)-t}$ the number of days between each irrigation events. We used effective (or wet) porosity instead of the theoretical substrate porosity that is measured on completely dry substrate, to avoid overestimating ET. Effective porosity was calculated as follows, every time water level was monitored and irrigation was performed:

187
$$\theta_a = \frac{l}{A(L_{t+1} - L_t)}$$
(Eq. 3)

188 Where *I* is the irrigation volume added (m³), *A* is the lysimeter area (m²), L_t is the water level prior to 189 irrigation (m) and L_{t+1} the water level after irrigation (m).

190 *2.2.3 Water, soil and plant tissue analysis*

Every two weeks, hydrogen potential (pH), oxydo-reduction potential (ORP), conductivity (EC) and 191 192 temperature (T) were measured in the first 15 cm of the substrate using a multiparameter probe (Hanna 193 Instrument, HI98194-6, Smithfield, RI). The substrate measurements were made by collecting a 40 ml composite sample for each treatment, dissolving it in 80 ml of distilled water, letting the particles settle 194 and taking the measurement in the supernatant. Before adding contaminants to the system, the three 195 different substrates (sand, organic and coco) were analyzed for background contamination by PCP and 196 197 PCDD/F congeners using gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and for As, Cr and Cu by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).. At the very end of the experiment, the same 198 contaminant analysis was performed on composite samples of the first 20 cm of substrate for the 5 199 200 treatments and the control to estimate accumulation (or depletion) of each contaminant in the root zone. To assemble each composite sample, 3 small cylinders of substrate were collected from the 3 lysimeters 201 of each treatment, for a total of 9 sub-samples per treatment, and then mixed together before weighing 202 the mass required for the analysis (30 g). This operation was repeated twice, to yield 2 replicates per 203 204 treatment. We also performed contaminant analysis for the plant tissues (roots, stems and leaves) to see if any accumulation and/or translocation had occurred. Unfortunately, due to a manipulation error, 205 leaves were not sampled for the control treatment (S0). Root samples were only rinsed with distilled 206

water prior to analysis. All contaminant analyses were performed by an accredited laboratory and sampled according to their protocol (Maxxam Analytique, Montréal, Quebec) and with the lowest detection limit available (from 0.1 to 1.8 pg/g for PCDD/Fs congeners; 0.1 mg/kg for phenolic compounds; 0.5 mg/kg for As, Cr and Cu). Finally, translocation factor (TF) was calculated for the different contaminants by dividing the measured leaf concentration by the measured root concentration.

212 *2.3 Data analysis*

We used a type I ANOVA analysis to test the statistical influence of the treatments on plant physiological and morphological variables and on plant tissue accumulation of contaminants. Significant ANOVAs ($\alpha = 0.05$) were followed by a post-hoc Tukey's test to identify the different treatments. Because a mite infestation affected the third bloc of the experiment more severely, we also included the bloc number as a factor in the ANOVAs.. All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.5.1 software. We normalized LA, pGr, ET, Ps, T, and \bar{G}_s results for S25, C25, O25, S50 and S100 treatments by dividing their average value by the average value observed for S0:

220
$$nX = \frac{\sum_{i} X_{trait}/i}{\sum_{i} X_{S0}/i}$$
(Eq. 4)

Where *X* represents a given parameter, X_{trait} the value of this parameter measured for a given treatment, *X_{S0}* the value of this parameter measured for the control treatment, and *i* the number of replicates. To help with the interpretation of the results regarding PCDDs congeners, they were associated with their relative octanol:water coefficient (K_{ow}), which represents their hydrophobicity (Kim *et al.*, 2019).

225

3. Results

The leachate concentration had no significant effect on either variable, except for LA, which was significantly lower for the S50 treatment (Table 2). However, there was a bloc effect on LA and ET that was driven by bloc 3 according to the post-hoc analysis. Interestingly, a similar trend was observed for 230 ET, Ps, T, \overline{G}_s and biomass, where mean values for the S25 treatment were higher than for S0, then decreasing gradually for S50 and S100 to values equal or inferior to S0. The substrate type significantly 231 affected LA, ET and \bar{G}_s , and a bloc effect was noticeable only for LA (Table 2). LA increased rapidly 232 during the season and, at the beginning of contaminated irrigation on June 18, the average LA per 233 willow was already 1.4 m². Maximal (or peak) LA was generally reached in late July or early August, 234 ranging from 1.2 (S50, mite infestation source) to 5.1 (O25, bloc 1) m2 of leaves per tree. Mean LA was 235 generally lower for the willows growing in sand, followed by those growing in coco fiber, and, finally, 236 much higher in the organic substrate (Table 2). LA for the different leachate concentrations showed a 237 238 gradual decrease over time when compared to the control treatment (Figure 2). The pGR of the stems was maximal in May, and decreased slowly over the growing season. Shrubs reached a maximal height 239 of 3.2 m on average, and S0 and O25 were the treatments in which pGR was highest (Table 2). Although 240 not significant according to the ANOVA analysis, mean pRG for the different leachate concentrations 241 showed a gradual decrease over time when compared to the control treatment, particularly after week 12 242 of the experiment (Figure 2). Mean ET rate from May 3 to September 10 was 9.9 ± 4.9 mm/d, while ET 243 of the unplanted lysimeter was 1.0 ± 0.7 mm/d on average, meaning that plant T accounted for about 244 90% of ET. Willow displayed a higher ET in the coco fiber substrate and even more in the organic 245 246 substrate (Table 2). Temporal variation of ET showed little difference between the different leachate concentrations, but willow irrigated with the 25% concentration generally had slightly higher ET rate 247 than the control, and the contrary occurred for 50 and 100% concentrations (Figure 2). ET was also 248 consistently higher in coco and organic substrate, but by week 12, ET in coco substrate started to decline 249 and was equal to ET in sand by the end of the experiment. (Figure 2). Ps, T and \bar{G}_s mean values were the 250 highest in O25 and lowest in S0 treatments, although neither leachate concentration nor substrate type 251 seemed to have a significant effect on these variables (Table 2). Until the 10th week of the experiment, 252

mean Ps rate was similar for all treatments (Figure 2). In the 11th week, Ps of the contaminated 253 treatments increased in comparison to the control plants, and remained slightly higher until week 13. 254 Inversely, in the last two weeks of the experiment, Ps of the contaminated treatments was much lower 255 than Ps of the uncontaminated shrubs, except for O25 (Figure 2). Once contaminated irrigation began, T 256 rate and \bar{G}_s began to show more variability depending on the treatment, tending to increase in 257 contaminated treatments (Figure 2). However, by the end of the experiment, mean values of those two 258 parameters were similar to or lower than the control results. Total dry biomass produced was 375 g per 259 tree on average, and stems constituted 80% of total biomass. Biomass production was greater for shrubs 260 261 growing in coco fiber and organic substrate (Table 2). Some foliar symptoms, such as chlorosis and necrotic spots, were detected throughout the season, but were not very notable and did not seem to be 262 related to the contamination, as they were equally present in control lysimeters and under the different 263 leachate concentrations (data not shown). However, plants growing in the organic and coco fiber 264 substrates showed important signs of nutrient deficiency, even after the fertilizer concentration was 265 doubled. The leachate concentration did not affect soil pH, EC or ORP, which were, respectively and on 266 average, 7.6 ± 0.5 , $206 \pm 131 \,\mu$ S/cm and $246 \pm 32 \,\mu$ V. EC increased throughout the experiment, with an 267 average value of 350 μ S/cm at the last measurement, and was always higher in coco fiber and organic 268 269 substrate compared to sand substrate. Background contamination was observed in the substrate for all contaminants except As (Table 3). An increase in contaminant concentration at the end of the 270 experiment was barely noticeable, and no phenolic compounds or As were detected either before or after 271 the experiment (Table 3). As for the presence of contaminants in the plant tissues, PCDD/Fs and Cu 272 were found in all tissues, while As and Cr were found in roots only, except for a small concentration of 273 Cr detected in the leaves of the S100 treatment (Table 3). No As was found in the roots of the S25 and 274 275 O25 treatments, and the accumulation in the roots of the control lysimeter (S0) was similar to that in the

276 other treatments. For Cr, accumulation in the roots of the control was higher than in all other treatments. 277 The highest concentrations of PCDD/Fs were found in the leaves, and Cu was more concentrated in the roots. The distribution of the congeners of PCDD/Fs measured in the different compartments of the 278 lysimeters (Figure 3) shows that: 1) the proportion of a congener increased with the number of chlorine 279 atoms, octa-chlorinated dibenzo-dioxin/furan (OcCDD/F) being the most present in the majority of the 280 compartments, 2) the proportion of the different congeners in the substrates changed from the beginning 281 (T0) to the end of the experiment (T1) and 3) light dioxin congeners such as Te/Pe/HeCDD were found 282 in plant leaves, but not in stems or roots of the willow. Based on biomass produced and concentration 283 284 measured, we estimated that willow accumulated up to 0.07 mg of As (S0), 0.7 mg of Cr (S0) and 6 mg of Cu (O25) in their tissues (Figure 4). Since no contaminants were detected in leaves for PCP, As and 285 Cr, no TF was calculated. TF for copper ranged from 0.6 for the S50 treatment to 1.7 for O25 treatment. 286 For total PCDD/Fs, TF ranged from 14 (O25) to 87 (S100) and, for PCDDs, seemed correlated to 287 congener hydrophobicity (K_{ow}; Figure 5). 288

289

290 **4. Discussion**

Except for a certain LA inhibition, the different concentrations of leachate added to irrigation water had 291 no clear phytotoxic effect on the willows. Furthermore, and although not statistically significant, the 292 most diluted treatment (25%) tended to increase some physiological parameters. We can therefore 293 suggest that S. miyabeana 'SX67' is tolerant to irrigation with a leachate contaminated with ACC and 294 PCP under the concentrations tested in this study. At the end of the experiment, all contaminants could 295 be found in/on the willow roots, but only Cu and PCDD/F were detected in aerial parts. The different 296 types of substrate had different background contamination and were associated with significantly 297 298 different results for most willow parameters measured.

299 4.1 Willow tolerance, uptake and translocation for PCP derived contaminants

In our samples, the concentration of all phenolic compounds measured, including polychlorinated ones 300 derived from PCP, never exceeded 3.5 µg/L. Salix species have previously been found to demonstrate 301 tolerance to a certain range of phenolic compounds; this tolerance decreased with the addition of Cl 302 atoms (Clausen and Trapp, 2017). For example, a concentration of 200 mg/L of phenol was needed to 303 observe a drastic decrease in photosynthetic activity in S. babylonica over three days (Li et al., 2015), 304 while EC₅₀ (*i.e.* concentrations inducing a negative effect in 50% of the organisms observed) of 305 polychlorinated phenols were 5.8 to 37.3 mg/L for S. viminalis cuttings over 144 hours or less (Ucisik et 306 307 al., 2007; Ucisik and Trapp, 2008; Clausen and Trapp, 2017; Trapp et al., 2000).

An average amount of 141 to 572 pg of PCDD/Fs, depending on the treatment, was provided to the 308 willows, and the highest concentration of PCDD/Fs measured in the soil was 0.47 pg Toxic Equivalents 309 (TEQ)/g (in the C25 treatment at the end of the experiment). To our knowledge, there is very little 310 information on PCDD/Fs toxicity to plants, and even less for willows. However, Urbaniak et al. (2017) 311 reported that the application of sewage sludge containing up to 6 pg TEQ/g of PCDD/Fs to a willow 312 plantation (S. viminalis) had an overall beneficial effect on the plants, increasing LA, biomass 313 production and chlorophyll content, while the same conditions proved to be phytotoxic for other plant 314 315 species like Sinapis alba and Sorghum saccharatum. Moreover, some studies that used PCDD/Fs concentration in plants as a biomonitoring tool reported very high concentrations of those contaminants 316 in trees (up to 2.3x 10⁵ pg/g of lipids) with no mention of notable tree mortality (Wagrowski and Hites, 317 318 2000; Wen et al., 2009). It is therefore no surprise that in the present study, Salix miyabeana 'SX67' proved to be tolerant to the raw leachate, because the concentrations of chlorinated phenolic compounds 319 and hydrocarbons derived from the PCP were much lower than estimated phytotoxic concentrations. 320 321 Concentrations of PCDD/Fs up to 1.4 pg TEQ/kg were found in the willow tissues at the end of the

322 experiment. Concentration in the leaves was 3.4 times higher than in the roots on average, while stem concentration was about 21% of the root concentration. Organic pollutants, including dioxin and furan 323 congeners, can accumulate in plant tissues via either soil or air (Zhang et al., 2017). For example, 324 dioxins with 1 to 4 chlorine atoms are likely to volatilize in the air from water or soil and then be 325 deposited on plant leaves or enter them through gas exchange (Bacci et al., 1992). PCDD/Fs being 326 hydrophobic molecules, it is sometimes suggested that the major pathway for this contaminant 327 accumulation in plant aerial parts is air-to-plant, because such molecules are not mobile in water and 328 should be strongly bonded to organic matter in the soil (Bacci et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2009). 329 330 However, there is also clear evidence for root adsorption and absorption of PCDD/Fs in the soil, which can be explained by their relatively low molecular mass (below 1000 g) and high hydrophobicity (K_{ow} 331 from 6.8 to 8.2; Zhang et al., 2012). Yet, different species have shown different responses to PCDD/Fs 332 (Zhang et al., 2009), and some plant families such as the *Cucurbitaceae* have even shown exceptionally 333 high translocation of PCDD/Fs to aerial parts (Inui et al., 2011). Based on the analysis of the PCDD/Fs 334 congeners presented in this study, we can state that S. miyabeana 'SX67' does accumulate PCDD/Fs, 335 and even translocates them in its aerial tissues. Lighter PCDD/Fs (e.g. TeCDD and PeCDD) were found 336 in greater quantities in the leaves than in the roots and stems. At this point, we should also mention that 337 the calculated TF for PCDD/Fs were much higher than those reported in the literature (Inui et al, 2001; 338 Nunes et al., 2014; Hanano et al., 2015), which raises the question of potential aerial deposition. 339 However, while this would be more than plausible under field conditions, due to potentially 340 contaminated rainfall, it seems unlikely that the ambient air in greenhouse contained a high 341 concentration of gaseous PCDD/Fs given the low concentrations used, and the mulch layer and constant 342 soil moisture that should have prevented the transport of aerial dust from the substrate. Furthermore, 343 344 congeners with 5 or more chloride atoms are usually considered non volatile (Bacci et al., 1992).

Theoretically, PCDD/Fs translocation factor should increase with the number of chloride atoms (which increase hydrophobicity or K_{ow}; Zhang *et al.*, 2009; Bacci *et al.*, 1992). However, the inverse trend has been reported for PCDD/Fs hyperaccumulators, with TF decreasing with K_{ow} increase (Inui *et al.*, 2001). We observed the same trend, but only for polychlorinated dibenzo-dioxin congeners with a K_{ow} of 7.6 and higher (hxCDD to OcCDD).

350

4.2 Willow tolerance, uptake and translocation for CCA derived contaminants

In this study, the highest concentrations of As, Cr and Cu provided to willows were 0.53, 0.07 and 0.16 351 mg/L respectively, for a total of 14.4, 1.7 and 6.3 mg added in the S100 treatment. Considering that the 352 lysimeter contained roughly 50 kg of soil, this represents a maximal soil concentration of 0.3, 0.035 and 353 0.13 mg/kg of As, Cr and Cu respectively. This explains why no As was found in the substrate 354 (detection limit of 0.5 mg/kg), and suggests that willow was principally exposed to Cr and Cu from the 355 substrate background concentration (7.3-14 to 5.6-10 mg/kg for Cr and Cu respectively). Although 356 oxidation state of As was not directly measured, we can presume that the arsenite form (AsIII) should 357 have been predominant according to the redox soil conditions (246 mV) and relatively high pH (7.6). 358 359 The ionic form of chromium was not measured either, but since most of the Cr naturally found in soil is trivalent (Barnhart, 1997), and the hexavalent state was only rarely detected on the industrial site where 360 the leachate was collected (data not published), we can assume that most of the chromium measured in 361 this study was in the Cr^{3+} form. 362

Tolerance of willows (EC₅₀) to arsenic has been reported to range from 3 to over 20 mg/L in lab tests of over 72 h (arsenate or As(V) form only; Clausen and Trapp, 2017). For *Salix purpurea*, Yanitch *et al.* (2017) reported a toxic effect from as little as 5 mg/L of As(V) in a hydroponic experiment, the effects increasing with increasing concentration of As. According to the Purdy and Smart study (2008), hybrids of *S. viminalis* x *S. miyabeana* and *S. sachalinensis* x *S. miyabeana* were the cultivars most tolerant to

As contamination, with concentrations of As(V) as high as 18.7 mg/L having no effect on plant T and 368 only a slightly deleterious effect on biomass production. In the present study, arsenic was detected in the 369 willow roots only, and concentrations were below the detection limit in the roots of the S25 and O25 370 treatments. However, at higher As concentrations in water, it has been demonstrated that some willows 371 can translocate As to aerial parts, that TF increases with increasing As concentration, and that the latter 372 is further enhanced in the presence of phosphorus (Purdy and Smart, 2008). In the Purdy and Smart 373 study (2008), S. viminalis x S. miyabeana was not only the most tolerant cultivar but also the most 374 efficient As accumulator (up to 7000 mg/kg of As in roots, and 200 mg/kg in leaves). 375

As for chromium, Yu and Gu (2007) and Yu et al. (2008) tested the effect of an hydroponic solution of 376 Cr³⁺ and Cr⁶⁺ (separately) on the T and metabolism of the hybrid S. viminalis x S. alba. Reduced T 377 occurred at 15 and 4.2 mg/L of Cr³⁺ and Cr⁶⁺ respectively, but none of the concentrations tested (up to 378 30 mg/L of Cr^{3+} and 12.6 mg/L of Cr^{6+}) had a significant effect on willow metabolism, apart from 379 slightly reducing soluble protein content in leaves. In a field experiment, Salix smithiana was cultivated 380 in soil contaminated with up to 140 mg/kg of chromium (along with significant concentrations of other 381 heavy metals) without showing any visible signs of phytotoxicity (Kacálková et al., 2014). However, 382 most of the Cr in the soil was considered non-available according to a 0.11 mol/L acetic acid extraction 383 384 method (Kacálková et al., 2014); bioavailability of the contaminants was not determined in the present study. In a pot experiment, a soil Cr concentration of 70 mg/kg was found to have a relative phytotoxic 385 effect on Salix viminalis, but Salix also proved to be the most tolerant of all the species tested (Ranieri 386 387 and Gikas, 2014). Chromium was present in the substrate of all treatments, including S0, because of the substrate background concentration, and was consequently detected in the roots in all treatments. Root 388 concentration of Cr was the highest for willows irrigated with tap water only (S0), and was significantly 389 390 lower in the organic and coco fiber substrates. Cr was not detected in aerial parts, except for a small

391 concentration in leaves of the S100 treatment. While Cr accumulation in willow roots has been reported 392 to be high (up to 15 000 mg/kg; Yu and Gu, 2007), aerial TF seems to be quite low, ranging from 0.03 to 2 (Kacálková et al., 2014; Ranieri and Gikas, 2014; Yu and Gu, 2007). However, TF is also thought to 393 increase with initial Cr concentration (Yu and Gu, 2007), which could explain why Cr was detected only 394 in leaves of the willow irrigated with the raw leachate. Chromium has a tendency to bind strongly with 395 organic matter in soil (Fendorf, 1995), and this could explain the lower concentration of this element in 396 willow grown in the organic and coco fiber substrates. Other elements like iron also have the potential to 397 immobilize Cr by forming highly stable complexes (Fendorf, 1995). We can therefore hypothesize that 398 399 the chemical composition of the leachate could be responsible for the lower Cr accumulation in willow irrigated with the leachate compared to the control. 400

Finally, the concentration of copper in water, which ranged from 0.25 mg/L to 3.2 mg/L, was previously 401 reported to be sufficient to decrease willow biomass production, although this depended greatly on the 402 cultivar, and did not provoke other visible toxicity symptoms (Punshon et al., 1995; Yang et al., 2014). 403 When considering the concentration of Cu in soil, willow could tolerate concentrations up to 455 mg/kg, 404 405 again displaying a biomass decrease but no other toxic symptoms (Chen et al., 2012). Lastly, copper was found in all plant tissues, with higher concentrations in roots, followed by the leaves and then the stems, 406 407 except for the O25 treatment, where Cu was more concentrated in aerial parts. Leaf and stem TF were respectively of 0.9 and 0.6 on average, which is higher than the TF reported by Yang et al. (2014) for 12 408 different willow cultivars. Contrary to a study by Chen et al. (2012), we did not find that increasing Cu 409 410 concentration in soil increased willow Cu accumulation. However, in our experiment, only the C25 and O25 treatments provided significantly higher Cu soil concentration, and, at the same time, they provided 411 conditions where Cu could be less mobile (e.g. complexion with high organic matter content). 412

For As, Cr and Cu, it would be expected that the substrate composition and concentration in molecules such as organic matter and other elements (*e.g.* Mn, Fe, Al) would strongly influence bioavailability of those contaminants to a plant. However, based on the data collected in this study and similar examples from the literature, we can hypothesize that, even if a fair amount of the As, Cr and Cu present in the lysimeters at the end of the experiment was available to willows, none of those contaminants were concentrated enough to generate a phytotoxic response in the plant. Therefore, *S. miyabeana* represents a good candidate for treatment of CCA contaminated leachate.

420 *4.3 Influence of the substrate*

421 The two alternative substrates tested had an obvious positive impact on willow performance, and this effect was slightly more evident for the organic than the coco fiber substrate. Apart from the pGR, C25 422 and O25 treatment willows generally performed better in terms of ET, LA, Ps, T, G_s and biomass 423 production. On the one hand, it is most probable that contaminants were less available in the two organic 424 substrates because of their organic matter content, as discussed previously. On the other hand, leachate 425 concentration in sand substrate had little impact on the plants, which suggests that contaminant 426 427 availability might not be the main explanation for the better performance of C25 and O25. One of the possible causes of this increased performance is the nutrient sink initially present in this substrate 428 compared to sand. However, this in turn increased the nutrient demand from willows, which resulted in 429 signs of important nutrient deficiency throughout the experiment. This means that although the organic 430 substrate initially benefitted the plants, it also increased the need for fertilization following plantation, 431 which can represent substantial costs and manipulations, depending on the intended use of the willows. 432 Root:shoot ratio was significantly decreased in the O25 and C25 treatments, due to higher stem biomass 433 production rather than lower root biomass production. Furthermore, the O25 treatment showed even 434 435 higher root biomass than S25 and C25, which could in turn increase resource prospection and

436 phytoremediation potential. The willows growing in coco and organic substrate also used much greater 437 quantities of water than those growing in sand, but we cannot confirm whether this is a direct effect of 438 substrate physical properties or a correlated effect of biomass and LA increase. Nevertheless, this result 439 represents an interesting optimization opportunity when using willow ET potential to reduce volumes of 440 contaminated water.

441

442 **5.** Conclusion

Salix miyabeana proved to be tolerant to irrigation with a raw leachate contaminated with ACC and 443 444 PCP. Based on the concentrations of all contaminants found in the leachate and previous tolerance studies, it is possible that this willow cultivar could sustain a much more concentrated leachate. Even at 445 these low contaminant concentrations, willows have shown a capacity to accumulate all tested 446 contaminants, and potential to translocate PCDD/Fs and Cu. Based on the literature and observed 447 accumulation in roots, we can assume that translocation might have been observed as well for higher 448 concentrations of As and Cr. Finally, the two types of organic substrate tested had significant positive 449 450 effects on willow growth and physiology. Notably, we observed a change in willow reaction to contaminants that could be attributed to the substrate reducing phytotoxicity of the leachate. However, 451 willow extraction potential was also reduced. This study is the first, to our knowledge, to investigate and 452 evaluate S. miyabeana potential to remediate mixed wood preservative contamination in a complex 453 system (mesocosms). Although the mesocosms were designed to mimic in situ conditions, it would be 454 455 interesting to validate our findings in full-scale remediation systems (i.e. full-scale treatment wetland comprised of phytoremediation plantations). Future research should test the effect of this type of 456 leachate in a longer term experiment and under more concentrated conditions, while investigating the 457 458 actual availability of the contaminants for the plants after they have reacted with the substrate. Finally,

459 more attention should be given to the risks associated with translocation of highly toxic compounds such460 as PCDD/Fs, which could be transferred through trophic networks.

461

462 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSERC/Hydro-Québec Industrial Research Chair. Helpful comments on a previous version of the manuscript were provided by Karen Grislis. Our thanks to Hydro-Québec for their support and assistance, Benoît St-Georges for green house management and pesticide treatments, lab assistants for plant monitoring and data collection and lab colleagues for useful comments.

468

469 **References**

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (2001). Toxicological profile for
 Pentachlorophenol, Update. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public
 Health Service.
- 2. Bhattacharya P., Mukherjee, A. B., Jacks, G., & Nordqvist, S. (2002). Metal contamination at a
 wood preservation site: characterisation and experimental studies on remediation. *Science of the Total Environment*, 290(1-3), 165-180.
- 476 3. Clausen, L. P. W., & Trapp, S. (2017). Toxicity of 56 substances to trees. *Environmental Science*477 *and Pollution Research*, 24(22), 18035-18047.
- 478 4. Clausen, L. P. W., Jensen, C. K., & Trapp, S. (2018). Toxicity of 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenol to 479 willow trees (*Salix viminalis*). *Human and ecological risk assessment: an international journal*,
- 480 24(4), 941-948.

481	5.	Environment Canac	la. (2013). Recom	nmendations for the d	lesign and operation of wood p	preservation
482		facilities,	2013:	technical	recommendations	document.
483		http://publications.g	gc.ca/collections/c	collection_2014/ec/Er	h4-237-2014-eng.pdf. Accesse	d 18 March
484		2019.				

- 485 6. Fendorf, S. E. (1995). Surface reactions of chromium in soils and waters. *Geoderma*, 67(1-2), 55486 71.
- Frédette, C., Grebenshchykova, Z., Comeau, Y., & Brisson, J. (2019). Evapotranspiration of a
 willow cultivar (*Salix miyabeana* SX67) grown in a full-scale treatment wetland. *Ecological engineering*, 127, 254-262.
- 490 8. Kadlec. R. H. & S. D. Wallace. (2008). Treatment wetlands (2nd edition). Boca Raton, FL: CRC
 491 Press, Taylor and Francis.
- 492 9. Hanano, A., Almousally, I., Shaban, M., Moursel, N., Shahadeh, A., & Alhajji, E. (2015).
 493 Differential tissue accumulation of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin in Arabidopsis
 494 thaliana affects plant chronology, lipid metabolism and seed yield. *BMC plant biology*, 15(1), 193.
- 495 10. Kacálková, L., Tlustoš, P., & Száková, J. (2014). Chromium, Nickel, Cadmium, and Lead
 496 Accumulation in Maize, Sunflower, Willow and Poplar. *Polish journal of environmental studies*,
 497 23(3).
- Kim, S., Chen, J., Cheng, T., Gindulyte, A., He, J., He, S., Li, Q., Shoemaker, B.A., Thiessen, P.A.,
 Yu, B., Zaslavsky, L., Zhang, J. and Bolton, E.E. (PubChem). (2019). PubChem 2019 update:
 improved access to chemical data. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47(*D1*): 1102-1109.
- Kitunen, V. H., Valo, R. J., & Salkinoja-Salonen, M. S. (1987). Contamination of soil around wood preserving facilities by polychlorinated aromatic compounds. *Environmental science & technology*,
 21(1), 96-101.

22

504	13. Lévesque, S., Demers, E., Brisson, J., & Comeau, Y. (2017) Treatment of a mixed wood
505	preservative leachate by a hybrid constructed wetland and a willow planted filter. Water science and
506	technology, 76(1), 164-171.

14. Mills, T., Arnold, B., Sivakumaran, S., Northcott, G., Vogeler, I., Robinson, B., Norling, C., &

Leonil, D. (2006). Phytoremediation and long-term site management of soil contaminated with pentachlorophenol (PCP) and heavy metals. *Journal of environmental management*, 79(3), 232-241.

510 15. Morris, P. I., & Wang, J. (2006). Wood preservation in Canada – 2006. Report prepared for Forestry

511 Innovation Investment Ltd. Forintek Canada Corp. http://cwc.ca/wp512 content/uploads/CanadianPreservationIndustry.pdf. Accessed 18 March 2019.

16. NTP (National Toxicology Program). 2016. Report on Carcinogens, Fourteenth Edition. RaleighDurham, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.

515 17. Nunes, M., Vernisseau, A., Marchand, P., Le Bizec, B., Ramos, F., & Pardal, M. A. (2014).

516 Distribution of PCDD/Fs and dioxin-like PCBs in sediment and plants from a contaminated salt

517 marsh (Tejo estuary, Portugal). *Environmental science and pollution research*, 21(4), 2540-2549.

- 518 18. Önneby, K. (2006). Phytoremediation of a highly creosote-contaminated soil by means of *Salix*519 *viminalis*. Master thesis, Uppsala University.
- 19. Purdy, J. J., & Smart, L. B. (2008). Hydroponic screening of shrub willow (*Salix* spp.) for arsenic
 tolerance and uptake. *International journal of phytoremediation*, 10(6), 515-528.
- 20. Ranieri, E., & Gikas, P. (2014). Effects of plants for reduction and removal of hexavalent chromium
 from a contaminated soil. *Water, air, & soil pollution*, 225(6), 1981.
- 524 21. Sneller, F. E. C., Van Heerwaarden, L. M., Kraaijeveld-Smit, F. J. L., Ten Bookum, W. M.,
 525 Koevoets, P. L. M., Schat, H., & Verkleij, J. A. C. (1999). Toxicity of arsenate in Silene vulgaris,

accumulation and degradation of arsenate-induced phytochelatins. *The new phytologist*, 144(2),
223-232.

- 528 22. Stilwell, D. E., & Gorny, K. D. (1997). Contamination of soil with copper, chromium, and arsenic
 529 under decks built from pressure treated wood. *Bulletin of environmental contamination and*530 *toxicology*, 58(1), 22-29.
- 531 23. Tu, C., & Ma, L. Q. (2003). Effects of arsenate and phosphate on their accumulation by an arsenic532 hyperaccumulator Pteris vittata L. *Plant and soil*, 249(2), 373-382.
- 533 24. Ucisik, A. S., & Trapp, S. (2008). Uptake, removal, accumulation, and phytotoxicity of 4534 chlorophenol in willow trees. *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 54(4), 619535 627.
- 536 25. Ucisik, A. S., Trapp, S., & Kusk, K. O. (2007). Uptake, accumulation, phytotoxicity, and removal
 537 of 2, 4- dichlorophenol in willow trees. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry*, 26(6), 1165-1171.
- 538 26. Valo, R., Kitunen, V., Salkinoja-Salonen, M., & Räisänen, S. (1984). Chlorinated phenols as
 539 contaminants of soil and water in the vicinity of two Finnish sawmills. *Chemosphere*, 13(8), 835540 844.
- 541 27. World health organization (WHO). (1987). IPCS Environmental Health Criteria, No 71.
 542 Pentachlorophenol. Geneva: World health organization.
- 543 28. Yu, X. Z., & Gu, J. D. (2007). Accumulation and distribution of trivalent chromium and effects on
 544 hybrid willow (Salix matsudana Koidz× alba L.) metabolism. *Archives of environmental*545 *contamination and toxicology*, 52(4), 503-511.
- 546 29. Yu, X. Z., Gu, J. D., & Xing, L. Q. (2008). Differences in uptake and translocation of hexavalent
 547 and trivalent chromium by two species of willows. *Ecotoxicology*, 17(8), 747-755.

24

- 548 30. Zagury, G. J., Samson, R., & Deschênes, L. (2003). Occurrence of metals in soil and ground water
- near chromated copper arsenate-treated utility poles. *Journal of environmental quality*, 32(2), 507-

550 514.



Fig 1 a. sectional view of the lysimeters showing the 3 different substrate layers and the subsurface irrigation path,

b. experimental design, c. spatial arrangement of the 19 lysimeters



Fig 2 Weekly mean proportional growth rate (pRG), leaf area (LA), evapotranspiration rate (ET), photosynthesis rate (Ps), instant transpiration rate (T) and stomatal conductance (\bar{G}_s) of *S. miyabeana* 'SX67' irrigated with different concentrations of leachate (25, 50, 100) contaminated with wood preservatives (PCP and CCA), in different substrate (S, C, O) and normalized to the control (non-contaminated water, S0) observations. Horizontal dashed line represent no difference from the control. Vertical dashed line represent the beginning of contaminated irrigation after the fourth week.



Fig 4 Total contaminant accumulation in *S. miyabeana* 'SX67' tissues after 12 weeks of irrigation with different concentrations of leachate (0%, 25%, 50%, 100%) contaminated with wood preservatives (PCP and CCA), and in different substrates (sand, organic, coco fiber)



Fig 5 *Salix miyabeana* 'SX67' leaf translocation factor (TF) estimated for different polychlorinated dibenzo-dioxins congeners (PCDDs) and presented according to their octanol:water coefficient (K_{ow})

Table I. Contaminant concentration in the raw leachate and total mass added per treatment. BDL = below detection limit, TEQ = toxic equivalent; S25, C25 and O25 = sand, coco fiber and organic substrate with 25% leachate dilution, S50 = sand with 50% leachate dilution, S100 = sand with raw leachate (100%).

	Leacha	Leachate concentration Total mass added per treatment							
Contaminant	Units	Batch 1	Batch 2	Units	S25	C25	O25	S50	S100
PCP	µg/L	BDL	BLD	μg	-	-	-	-	-
3,5-DCP	µg/L	1.2	2.1	μg	14.9	15.3	15.3	27.1	60.4
PCDD/Fs	pg TEQ/L	5.0	27	pg TEQ	141	146	146	251	572
As	µg/L	260	530	mg	3.6	3.7	3.7	6.4	14.4
Cr	µg/L	24	68	mg	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.74	1.7
Cu	µg/L	180	160	mg	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.9	6.3

table

stomatal conductance (g_s), as well total dry biomass and root to shoot ratio (± standard deviation) of S. miyabeana 'SX67' over 12 weeks of irrigation with different concentrations of leachate contaminated with wood preservatives (PCP and CCA), in different substrates. Exponent letters represent the results of the type I ANOVA analysis, and the post-hoc Tukey analysis; different letters indicate a significant effect of the treatment Table 2. Mean leaf area (LA), relative growth rate (RG), evapotranspiration rate (ET), photosynthesis rate (PS), instant transpiration rate (T) and $(\alpha = 0.05)$ and a capital letters indicate a significant bloc effect.

Willow parameter		Leachate co	oncentration			Substrate type	
	0% (SO)	25% (S25)	50% (S50)	100% (S100)	Sand (S25)	Coco (C25)	Organic (025)
Leaf area (m²)	1.6 ^A ± 0.5	1.5 ^A ± 0.3	1.1 ^B ± 0.5	1.4 ^A ± 0.1	$1.5^{A} \pm 0.3$	1.9 ^{A,B} ± 0.2	2.3 ^B ± 0.7
Proportional growth rate (m/m)	0.08ª ± 0.02	0.06 ^a ± 0.01	0.06 ^a ± 0.01	0.07ª ± 0.01	0.06ª ± 0.01	0.06ª ± 0.01	0.08ª ± 0.01
ET rate (mm/d)	10.1 ^A ± 1.8	11.2 ^A ± 0.6	9.1 ^A ± 3.1	9.7 ^A ± 0.2	11.2 ^a ± 0.6	14.5 ^b ± 1.2	17.2 ^b ± 4.3
Photosynthesis (mmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	5.3ª ± 0.9	5.6ª ± 0.1	6.0 ^a ± 0.5	5.6ª ± 0.3	5.6ª ± 0.1	$5.0^{a} \pm 0.3$	6.5ª ± 0.1
Instant T rate (mmol H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	2.7 ^a ± 0.5	3.2ª ± 0.4	3.0ª ± 0.3	3.0ª ± 0.5	3.2ª ± 0.4	3.1ª ± 0.3	3.7 ^a ± 0.3
Ĝs (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	0.24ª ± 0.06	0.30ª ± 0.04	0.26 ^a ± 0.04	0.26 ^a ± 0.07	0.30ª ± 0.04	0.27 ^a ± 0.03	0.37 ^b ± 0.06
Total dry biomass (g)	333ª ± 98	366ª ± 51	267ª±81	318ª ± 29	366ª ± 51	444ª ± 10	524ª ± 160
Root:shoot ratio (g/g)	0.27ª ± 0.07	0.29ª ± 0.01	0.26ª ± 0.01	0.29ª ± 0.03	0.29ª ± 0.01	0.18ª ± 0.02	0.16ª ± 0.01

Table 3. Estimated contaminant mass in different substrates before (T0) and after (T1) 12 weeks of irrigation with different concentrations of leachate contaminated with wood preservatives (PCP and CCA), along with mass of the contaminants in the plant tissues at the end of the experiment. All results are based on dry weight of composite samples with 1 (plant tissues) or 2 (substrates T0 and T1) replicates. BDL = below detection limit.

		S0	S25	C25	O25	S50	S100
Soil T0	PCDD/Fs (pg TEQ)	0.23	0.23	14	13	0.23	0.23
	As (mg)	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Cr (mg)	365	365	700	500	365	365
	Cu (mg)	280	280	500	500	280	280
Soil T1	PCDD/Fs (pg TEQ)	0.38	0.11	21	9.8	0.074	0.048
	As (mg)	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Cr (mg)	415	390	750	625	382	427
	Cu (mg)	345	277	700	492	322	330
Roots	PCDD/Fs (pg TEQ)	1.2	2.0	2.3	7.4	1.9	1.1
	As (mg)	0.047	BDL	0.035	BDL	0.043	0.043
	Cr (mg)	0.47	0.27	0.07	0.06	0.25	0.26
	Cu (mg)	1.2	1.2	0.80	0.41	0.90	0.91
Stems	PCDD/Fs (pg TEQ)	2.3	15.0	6.4	0.5	17.5	0.2
	As (mg)	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Cr (mg)	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Cu (mg)	1.6	2.3	2.6	2.9	1.3	1.5
Leaves	PCDD/Fs (pg TEQ)	*	78.0	72.1	152.7	49.2	73.1
	As (mg)	*	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Cr (mg)	*	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.04
	Cu (mg)	*	0.63	0.96	1.0	0.39	0.53

* Not sampled

1