# Development of the Drag Coefficient of a Sphere Translating Through a Viscoelastic Fluid

# S.A. Faroughi<sup>1</sup>, C. <u>Fernandes<sup>2</sup></u>, J. Miguel Nóbrega<sup>2</sup>, G.H. McKinley<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup> Hatsopoulos Microfluids Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA (faroughi, gareth)@mit.edu



Com Rhe @IP <sup>2</sup> Institute for Polymers and Composites/i3N, University of Minho, Campus de Azurém ,4800-058 Guimarães, Portugal (cbpf, mnobrega)@dep.uminho.pt

Computational Rheology @IPC

- Motivation
- Objectives
- Governing Equations and Numerical Method
- Code Verification & Validation
- Drag Model
- Conclusion

The flow of particle-laden complex fluids is an ubiquitous problem...









Polymer Composites

#### **Polymer Composites**

#### Hydraulic Fracturing

## Motivation - Numerical approach

#### **Eulerian-Lagrangian Model**



The fluid is described in an Eulerian frame whereas the particles are handled as discrete points which are tracked individually in a Lagrangian frame using Newton's second law.

### Motivation - Numerical approach

**Eulerian-Lagrangian Model** 





But need to know expressions for

 1- Drag force
 2- Lift force
 3- Hindrance effect (due to presence of other particles)

#### **Eulerian-Lagrangian Model**



\*Faroughi S. A. (2016) Theoretical Developments to Model Microstructural Effects on The Rheology of Complex Fluids, PhD Thesis. \*Subramaniam S., (2013). Progress in Energy and Combustion Science – Elsevier \*Hill, R., Koch, D., & Ladd, A. (2001). *Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 448*, 243-278

Parametrize the effects of fluid elasticity, especially the relaxation and retardation times, as well as inertia on the drag coefficient of a sphere translating through a viscoelastic fluid described by the Oldroyd-B model (Pseudo) Transient, incompressible laminar flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid

Continuity  

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
Momentum balance  

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left( (\mathbf{i} + \eta_P) \nabla \mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla p - \nabla \cdot (\eta_P \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_P$$
Constitutive model  

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_P + \lambda \left( \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_P}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\tau}_P - \boldsymbol{\tau}_P \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_P \right) = \eta_P \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right)$$
Retardation ratio  $\zeta = \eta_P / (\eta_S + \eta_P)$   
Finite Volume Method  
SIMPLE like algorithm  
Second order discretization methods  
Absolute tolerance for  $\mathbf{u}, p, \boldsymbol{\tau}$  fields  $10^{\cdot 10}$   
Stop criterion 4<sup>th</sup> decimal place of  $C_D$ 

\* C Fernandes, MSB Araujo, LL Ferrás, JM Nóbrega, Improved both sides diffusion (iBSD): A new and straightforward stabilization approach for viscoelastic fluid flows, JNNFM, 249, 63-78, 2017

### **Case Study**



# **Case Study** – Mesh/geometry independency



- Mesh generated by *snappyHexMesh* utility
- 7 steps mesh refinement towards the sphere
- 3 steps mesh refinement towards the wall for bounded domain
- $L_x = 180a$
- ~2.5M cells

#### **Newtonian fluids**





- Square duct
- $L_x = 180a, L_y = L_z = 40a$
- Periodic boundary conditions at the lateral walls



## **Creeping flow conditions**



C. Fernandes

### Creeping flow conditions - Proposed drag model (PDM)

$$\chi = \frac{C_D}{(24/Re)} = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ De^{2i} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{3} a_{im} \zeta^{m-1} \right) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left[ De^{2(j-1)} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{3} b_{jn} \zeta^{n-1} \right) \right]} & \text{if } De \le 1 \\ 1 + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \left[ De^{2(k+1)} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{3} c_{kp} \zeta^{p-1} \right) \right]}{\sum_{s=1}^{3} \left[ De^{2(s-1)} \left( \sum_{q=1}^{3} d_{sq} \zeta^{q-1} \right) \right]} & \text{if } De > 1 \end{cases}$$
$$a_{im} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -0.00443 & 0.002478 \\ 0 & -0.09422 & 0.07025 \\ 0 & 0.06665 & -0.06392 \end{pmatrix} \qquad c_{kp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -0.02511 & 0.0009496 \\ 0.0006047 & 0.02517 & -0.02148 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0005713 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b_{jn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0534 & 0 & 0 \\ 6.288 & -6.111 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad d_{sq} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5014 & -0.02511 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### **Creeping flow conditions**



#### **Effect of inertia**



Proposed drag model just valid for  $Re \leq 1$  !!!

C. Fernandes

#### **Effect of inertia**



C. Fernandes

#### **Effect of inertia**



# Conclusion

- DNS to study the effects of fluid inertia, elasticity and polymer viscosity ratio, on the overall drag coefficient
- A drag model was proposed to fit the data collected on numerical experiments
- Drag model valid (95% accuracy) for  $0 < \zeta < 1$ , De < 9 and  $Re \le 1$

# Thank you for your attention

The authors would like to acknowledge the funding by FEDER funds through the COMPETE 2020 Programme and National Funds through FCT - Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology under the projects UID/CTM/50025/2013 and POCI-01-0247-FEDER-017656.