University of Vermont

UVM ScholarWorks

Transportation Research Center Research Reports

Research Centers and Institutes

2021

A Comprehensive Transit Accessibility and Equity Dashboard

Willem Klumpenhouwer

Jeff Allen

Lisa Li

Rick Liu

Mitchell Robinson

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/trc

Part of the Transportation Engineering Commons

Authors

Willem Klumpenhouwer, Jeff Allen, Lisa Li, Rick Liu, Mitchell Robinson, Diego Da Silva, Steven Farber, Alex Karner, Dana Rowangould, Amer Shalaby, Mary Buchanan, and Steven Higashide



TRANSPORT FINDINGS

A Comprehensive Transit Accessibility and Equity Dashboard

Willem Klumpenhouwer¹ ⁽ⁱ⁾ ^(a), Jeff Allen² ⁽ⁱ⁾, Lisa Li¹, Rick Liu¹, Mitchell Robinson³, Diego Da Silva¹, Steven Farber⁴ ⁽ⁱ⁾, Alex Karner⁵ ⁽ⁱ⁾, Dana Rowangould³ ⁽ⁱ⁾, Amer Shalaby¹ ⁽ⁱ⁾, Mary Buchanan⁶, Steven Higashide⁶

¹ Department of Civil and Mineral Engineering, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, ² Department of Geography and Planning, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, ³ Transportation Research Center, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA, ⁴ Department of Geography and Planning, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Department of Human Geography, University of Toronto Scarborough, Ontario, Canada, ⁵ Graduate Program in Community and Regional Planning, School of Architecture, University of Texas at Austin, TX, USA, ⁶ TransitCenter, New York, NY, USA

 ${\it Keywords: accessibility, dashboard, software, public transport, equity}$

https://doi.org/10.32866/001c.25224

Findings

The TransitCenter Equity Dashboard tracks how well public transit systems in seven densely populated urban regions in the United States serve their riders and how changes to transit service affect riders over space, time, and cost constraints. The dashboard presents a series of charts and interactive maps that can be used to evaluate variations in transit accessibility and equity. It was created using publicly available data and primarily open-source software. All measures can be accessed by users seeking to conduct their own analyses. Results demonstrate differences in agency responses to COVID-19 as well as baseline transit service levels provided to different demographic groups.

1. Questions

The TransitCenter Equity Dashboard¹ is a database and interactive visualization platform detailing monthly transit accessibility² and equity measures for the areas of Boston, Chicago, Los Angeles, New York City, Philadelphia, San Francisco-Oakland, and Washington D.C. from February 2020 onward. We developed this database and platform to investigate the following questions:

- How does transit accessibility vary within these cities, between population groups, with constraints on fares, and over time?
- How do transit service changes, like those undertaken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, affect transit accessibility and equity in these cities?

a Corresponding author:

Willem Klumpenhouwer, Department of Civil and Mineral Engineering, University of Toronto, 35 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A4, Canada. Email: willem.klumpenhouwer@utoronto.ca

¹ Dashboard available at <u>dashboard.transitcenter.org</u>. Data updates will continue as time and resources allow.

² The term accessibility is commonly used in the transportation literature to refer to the ease with which destinations can be reached. It is related to but distinct from the same term often used to describe measures intended to assist people with disabilities.

Opportunity	Measure	Variants	Fare Restrictions Modeled
Total Jobs	Primal	30, 45, 60 min, gravity measure	\checkmark
Low-Wage Jobs	Primal	30, 45, 60 min, gravity measure	\checkmark
Groceries	Dual	1st and 3rd closest	
Hospitals	Dual	1st and 3rd closest	
Urgent Care	Dual	1st and 3rd closest	
Pharmacies	Dual	1st and 3rd closest	
Parks	Primal	15, 30, 45 min	\checkmark
Post-Secondary Institutions	Dual	1st and 3rd closest	
Transit Service Intensity	Hourly Trips	Weekday, Saturday	

2. Methods

The database includes primal and dual measures of transit accessibility (Cui and Levinson 2020), which quantify the ease with which people can reach valued destinations by transit, as well as measures of transit service intensity and transit reliability.³ Other multi-region comparisons use similar measures and methods (Allen and Farber 2019; Wu et al. 2021).

Primal measures, which count cumulative opportunity access in a given amount of time are estimated for total jobs, low-wage jobs, and greenspace. Dual measures, which calculate the time to reach the closest or 3rd closest destination are used for healthcare facilities, grocery stores, and post-secondary institutions. Travel time to the closest opportunity is especially meaningful for destinations where choice is likely to be less important (e.g., hospitals or urgent care facilities). On the other hand, travel time to the 3rd closest opportunity can better capture accessibility to destinations where choice matters. For example, situations where different locations are likely to differ substantially in terms of their characteristics or services offered, like grocery stores. Transit service intensity measures the 24-hour average unique transit trips per hour that visit stops within 200 meters of an area. Table 1 lists the opportunities considered in the database.

Each of these measures is evaluated in each region for multiple time periods (weekday morning peak, weekday evening, weekend morning), travel modes (fare-constrained transit, fare-unconstrained transit, auto), and dates (monthly from February 2020 through February 2021, periodically from February 2021 onward). Measures are estimated at the block group level and summarized across each region by population group.

³ Additional detail about the methods are available at dashboard.transitcenter.org/methodology

Transit travel times between census block groups in each region are estimated using OpenTripPlanner (OTP) (OpenTripPlanner Contributors 2016) using General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) data from each region and OpenStreetMap. Auto travel times are estimated using ArcGIS Network Analyst (ArcGIS Pro 2.7) and the ESRI Streetmap Premium network using HERE data (HERE Technologies 2020) to represent typical road traffic conditions based on a process adapted from Higgins (2019). Census block groups provide a reasonable scale for estimating accessibility and walk-totransit access time to and from census block group centroids is included in the travel time calculations. Further research could consider the effect of using finer spatial units on calculated access scores.

To provide a comparison of fare-constrained and fare-unconstrained transit trips, fares are estimated by first using OTP to generate detailed transit itineraries for the shortest trip between all census tracts in each region. These itineraries are passed to a fare calculator⁴, which estimates the cost of each itinerary using a database of manually calibrated rules representing fare information and agreements across multiple transit agencies in the same region. The fastest transit travel time between each pair of tracts in the region and its accompanying fare is then estimated for two different transit networks: one that includes only "low-cost" modes (local bus plus comparable-cost modes) and the other including all modes (all available public transit options). When determining the fare-constrained travel time between two locations (e.g. jobs reachable subject to a \$5 total fare), we select the shortest of the two travel times that meets the fare constraint.

The dashboard also includes transit reliability measures that represent the ontime performance of transit vehicles, calculated as the fraction of vehicles that are between 1 minute early and 5 minutes late. Transit reliability is included for transit operators that report the status of vehicle delays in their real-time GTFS feeds.

Viewing and analysis of the results is implemented via an interactive web visualization platform built using open-source Python and JavaScript tools. Web mapping is done via Leaflet (Agafonkin 2011), and the population-weighted summary charts for individual regions are produced dynamically using the data visualization library D3 (Bostock 2012). Primal access measures are colored in quantile bins by block group score; dual measures are colored in fixed bin intervals.

⁴ github.com/diluisi/TransitCenter



Figure 1. Travel times to the 3rd closest grocery store on weekend mornings in the Philadelphia Metropolitan Statistical Area during the week of April 19, 2020, overlaid with dots representing 50 essential workers.

3. Findings

Each region's mapping application displays the spatial distribution of relative transit accessibility. These maps provide insights into the relationship between transit infrastructure, land use, density, and access and facilitate comparisons between populations and neighborhoods in a region. A user can visualize the effects of density, transit, and the spatial distribution of various socioeconomic groups on transit accessibility at the same time. For example, Figure 1 illustrates how a viewer can compare the spatial distribution of travel times to grocery stores, major transit lines, and the location of essential workers. In Figure 2, transit service intensity across the entire region and multiple agencies can be visualized and inspected by agencies and advocates to identify areas with less transit service. The mapping platform allows visitors to customize which data to view, including access measures, date, time of day/week, region subset, fare restrictions, and comparisons with automobile access.

Each region also has a story page containing dynamic charts with specific measures, allowing users to learn about key accessibility and equity issues in the chosen region. For example, Figure 3 compares population-weighted average travel times to key destinations in Chicago for residents living below the poverty line. It highlights that travel times are substantially longer by transit compared to by auto. A time-series plot of access to jobs over time illustrates the impact of service changes on job access for different population groups in Washington D.C., drawing attention to lower levels of access to jobs by Asian, Black, and Latinx transit riders when compared to white transit riders (Figure



Figure 2. Transit service intensity measures in the Los Angeles economic region for the week of October 18, 2020.



Figure 3. Comparison of average weekend travel times to destinations by car and public transit for people living in poverty in Chicago, February 2021.

<u>4</u>).⁵ The effects of COVID-19 on public transit service in D.C. are also clearly visible in the figure. Other dashboard charts display travel times to hospitals and grocery stores, the impact of fares on the number of accessible jobs, transit service intensity, and reliability where available.

 $^{5\ \} Visit\ \underline{dashboard.transitcenter.org/story/dc\#theme-access}\ for\ an\ interactive\ version.$



Figure 4. Comparison of average accessibility over time to jobs among various socioeconomic groups in Washington, D.C. The August 15, 2020 results are highlighted and shown in a bar chart on the right.

The platform can be used by transit agencies, advocates, decision makers, and residents to identify transit equity issues in their regions and compare across geographical areas. The data shown on the dashboard will be updated periodically so that users can continue to trends and progress. Users can also access data for their own analysis by downloading data shown in the map or posted on each region's download page. Aside from the auto travel times, all code and data are open source, meaning the majority of the the dashboard can also be reproduced in other regions.

Submitted: June 09, 2021 AEST, Accepted: June 29, 2021 AEST



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-SA-4.0). View this license's legal deed at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode for more information.

REFERENCES

Agafonkin, V. 2011. "Leaflet.Js." 2011. https://leafletjs.com/.

Allen, J., and S. Farber. 2019. "Sizing up Transport Poverty: A National Scale Accounting of Low-Income." *Transport Policy* 74: 214–23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2018.11.018</u>.

Bostock, M. 2012. "D3.Js - Data Driven Documents." https://d3js.org/.

Cui, Mengying, and David Levinson. 2020. "Primal and Dual Access." *Geographical Analysis* 52 (3): 452–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gean.12220</u>.

HERE Technologies. 2020. "Location Based Services & Mapping Platform | Products | HERE." Higgins, Christopher D. 2019. "Accessibility Toolbox for R and ArcGIS." *Transport Findings*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.32866/8416.

OpenTripPlanner Contributors. 2016. "OpenTripPlanner."

Wu, Hao, Paolo Avner, Genevieve Boisjoly, Carlos K. V. Braga, Ahmed El-Geneidy, Jie Huang, Tamara Kerzhner, et al. 2021. "Urban Access across the Globe: An International Comparison of Different Transport Modes." *Npj Urban Sustainability* 1 (1): 16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> <u>s42949-021-00020-2</u>.