

De Novo Design of Functional Coassembling Organic–Inorganic Hydrogels for Hierarchical Mineralization and Neovascularization

Babatunde O. Okesola, Ana Karen Mendoza-Martinez, Gianluca Cidonio, Burak Derkus, Delali K. Boccorh, David Osuna de la Peña, Sherif Elsharkawy, Yuanhao Wu, Jonathan I. Dawson, Alastair W. Wark, Dafna Knani, Dave J. Adams, Richard O. C. Oreffo, and Alvaro Mata*

Cite This: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c09814>

Read Online

ACCESS |


Metrics & More

Article Recommendations

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Synthetic nanostructured materials incorporating both organic and inorganic components offer a unique, powerful, and versatile class of materials for widespread applications due to the distinct, yet complementary, nature of the intrinsic properties of the different constituents. We report a supramolecular system based on synthetic nanoclay (Laponite, Lap) and peptide amphiphiles (PAs, PAH3) rationally designed to coassemble into nanostructured hydrogels with high structural integrity and a spectrum of bioactivities. Spectroscopic and scattering techniques and molecular dynamic simulation approaches were harnessed to confirm that PAH3 nanofibers electrostatically adsorbed and conformed to the surface of Lap nanodisks. Electron and atomic force microscopies also confirmed an increase in diameter and surface area of PAH3 nanofibers after coassembly with Lap. Dynamic oscillatory rheology revealed that the coassembled PAH3-Lap hydrogels displayed high stiffness and robust self-healing behavior while gas adsorption analysis confirmed a hierarchical and heterogeneous porosity. Furthermore, this distinctive structure within the three-dimensional (3D) matrix provided spatial confinement for the nucleation and hierarchical organization of high-aspect ratio hydroxyapatite nanorods into well-defined spherical clusters within the 3D matrix. Applicability of the organic–inorganic PAH3-Lap hydrogels was assessed *in vitro* using human bone marrow-derived stromal cells (hBMSCs) and *ex vivo* using a chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay. The results demonstrated that the organic–inorganic PAH3-Lap hydrogels promote human skeletal cell proliferation and, upon mineralization, integrate with the CAM, are infiltrated by blood vessels, stimulate extracellular matrix production, and facilitate extensive mineral deposition relative to the controls.

KEYWORDS: laponite, nanocomposite hydrogels, coassembly, supramolecular, biomineralization, peptide amphiphiles, multicomponent biomaterials



INTRODUCTION

Nature contains an array of functional nanomaterials that result from the supramolecular coassembly of organic and inorganic building blocks across multiple length scales. Materials such as tooth enamel, bones, nacre from mollusc shells, and marine diatom frustules exhibit a high level of precision over their molecular composition, hierarchical structure, and morphology. The inherent characteristics endow these nanomaterials with properties ranging from high stiffness to light-emission.^{1,2} A fundamental characteristic of natural organic–inorganic composites is the presence of organic matrixes exhibiting ordered arrays of confined charged groups, which induce and regulate the spatial nucleation and hierarchical organization of crystals.^{3,4} These organic components are generally 3D

hydrogel-like materials made from multiple components such as proteins, peptides, polyamines, and polysaccharides.^{5,6}

This bottom-up “nanofabrication” strategy employed by nature has been harnessed in materials science to design organic–inorganic multicomponent hydrogels with innovative properties.^{2,7} In particular, significant research efforts have been expended to integrate the intrinsic electrical conductivity, 50

Received: November 23, 2020

Accepted: April 27, 2021




Figure 1. Supramolecular coassembly of exfoliated Lap nanodisks (−ve) and PA (+ve) to create 3D hydrogels able to guide nucleation and hierarchical growth of hydroxyapatite crystals. (a) Structural representation of a PA with its domains and a Lap nanodisk. (b) Supramolecular coassembly of PAs and Laps to create (c) mechanically robust organic–inorganic hybrid hydrogels with interconnected nanofibers physically cross-linked by Lap nanodisks. Diffusion of (d) mineralizing ionic species into the 3D organic–inorganic hybrid hydrogels triggers the (e) nucleation and (f) hierarchical crystal growth of hydroxyapatite crystals into high-aspect ratio nanorods organized in spherical clusters. (g) Structural formula for histidine-based PAs.

51 magnetism, adhesiveness, and hardness of inorganic nanoma-
 52 terials⁸ with the inherent functionality of both natural (e.g.,
 53 collagen,⁹ elastin,¹⁰ DNA,¹¹ and hyaluronic acid¹²) and
 54 synthetic (e.g., dibenzylidene-d-sorbitol,^{8,13} peptides,⁷ and
 55 polymers¹⁴) molecules in the design of advanced organic–
 56 inorganic hydrogels. These organic–inorganic multicompo-
 57 nent hydrogels are attractive platforms for a wide range of
 58 applications in optics, microelectronics, energy storage,
 59 catalysis, sensing/environmental cleanup, and nanomedicine.¹⁵

60 However, the resulting structures and functions exhibited by
 61 these composite materials remain far from those of the natural
 62 organic–inorganic materials.¹⁶
 63 To enhance the properties of organic–inorganic nano-
 64 composites, co-organization of two or more types of inorganic
 65 components within the same nanoscale object provides an
 66 opportunity to prepare higher-ordered nano-objects with
 67 synergistic properties.¹⁷ Thus, application of such an inorganic
 68 approach takes advantage of the distinct properties of the
 69 individual inorganics as well as the emergence of new ones that
 70 result from their interactions. Current strategies for fabricating
 71 organic–inorganic nanocomposites with multi-inorganic nano-
 72 objects are driven by either programmed assembly or reaction-
 73 diffusion mechanisms. Programmed assembly involves molec-
 74 ular recognition-driven interparticle aggregation. For example,
 75 in a seminal work by Mann and co-workers, DNA-directed
 76 attachment of gold nanoparticles to single nanoparticles of
 77 silica was used to fabricate discrete nano-objects.¹⁸ Similarly,
 78 barstar-capped iron oxide nanoparticles and barnase-coated
 79 quantum dot nanoparticles were coassembled to create
 80 superstructures with magnetofluorescence properties.¹⁹ Other
 81 approaches using complementary streptavidin/biotin or anti-
 82 body/antigen have been harnessed to integrate multiple

inorganics in a single organic–inorganic nanocomposite.²⁰ In
 contrast, systems based on reaction-diffusion mechanisms
 enable assembly of inorganics into nano-objects with
 spatiotemporal orientation, not readily accessible by equi-
 librium processes.^{17,19} Examples have been demonstrated in
 biomimetic mineralization,²¹ microfabrication,^{22–27} formation of micro-
 lenses,²⁸ and dynamic materials.²⁹ Reactions of inorganic
 species, coupled with diffusion in hydrogel media, can lead to
 the formation of nano-objects with structural hierarchy and
 complexity as well as multifunctional properties. The rate of
 formation of these nano-objects within a hydrogel can be
 controlled by fluid flow, spontaneous compartmentalization,
 diffusive transport, and Ostwald ripening.³⁰

Self-assembling peptides are particularly attractive platforms
 for the design of organic–inorganic nanostructures, given their
 intrinsic propensity to assemble into 3D hydrogels, comprising
 well-defined nanostructures and an ability to display tunable
 binding affinity for inorganic nanostructures.³¹ These unique
 attributes of self-assembling peptides have been harnessed to
 fabricate diverse peptide–inorganic hybrid materials with
 impressive properties and functionalities. The ability to harness
 the spatiotemporal organization and enhanced surface
 chemistry of peptide–inorganic hydrogels would represent a
 step-change platform to guide crystal morphogenesis in 3D
 confinement.⁷

Laponite XLG (Lap), a trioctahedral synthetic hectorite
 $(\text{Na}^{+}_{0.7}[(\text{Si}_8\text{Mg}_{5.5}\text{Li}_{0.3})\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4]^{-0.7})$, is a particularly impor-
 tant class of nanosilicate being explored for the design of
 functional nanomaterials.³² Lap displays an ultrathin 2D
 nanostructure (diameter = 25–30 nm and thickness <1 nm),
 discotic charged surface (permanent negative charge on the
 surface and positive rim charge), high specific surface area (800




Figure 2. Structural characterization of supramolecular coassembly. (a) CD spectra of an aqueous solution of PAH3 before (square traces) and after (circular traces) adding Lap. (b) Induced CD spectra of thioflavin T (ThT) in the presence of PAH3 in PBS 1x, PAH3-Lap partial hydrogels, and aqueous solution of Lap. The inset represents the proposed mechanism for chirality transfer from PAH3 to Lap nanodisk as a result of supramolecular coassembly, which was confirmed with the use of the molecular rotor ThT. (c) Synchrotron small-angle neutron scattering of PAH3, Lap, and PAH3-Lap coassembly. (d) Atomic force micrographs of (i) PAH3, (ii) Lap, and (iii) PAH3-Lap coassembly as well as the (e) surface topography profile for (i) PAH3, (ii) Lap, and (iii) PAH3-Lap coassembly. (f) Transmission electron micrographs of aqueous suspension of (i) PAH3, (ii) Lap, and (iii) PAH3-Lap.

115 m^2/g), and optical transparency.³³ Consequently, Lap has been
 116 coassembled with synthetic polymers,^{34–36} DNA,³⁷ or
 117 proteins^{9,38} to develop organic–inorganic hydrogels for
 118 numerous biomedical applications and additive manufactur-
 119 ing.³² For example, there is a growing interest in the use of
 120 polymer–Laponite nanocomposite hydrogels as injectable
 121 vehicles for biological cargo including cells,³⁹ drug molecules,⁴⁰
 122 and growth factors,⁴¹ because of their intrinsic shear-thinning
 123 property. However, synthetic polymers typically require
 124 complex chemical synthesis and purification steps and lack a
 125 well-defined structure–property relationship, while natural
 126 polymers lack structural tunability and can be difficult to

127 obtain. Therefore, the use of modular and easy-to-synthesize
 128 organic building blocks, such as self-assembling peptides, can
 129 serve as simpler and more predictable components to interact
 130 with and guide the assembly of Lap. Peptide amphiphiles
 131 (PAs), a class of self-assembling peptides, have been
 132 engineered to facilitate coassembly with biomolecules such as
 133 hyaluronic acid,⁴² elastin-like polypeptides,⁴³ keratin,⁴⁴ resilin-
 134 like polypeptide,⁴⁵ as well as nonpeptidic molecules⁴⁶ to
 135 generate different architectures with structural hierarchy and
 136 enhanced mechanical and functional properties.

137 Herein, we report an organic–inorganic nanocomposite
 138 hydrogel based on the coassembly of Lap nanodisks with PAs. 138

139 The hydrogels displayed high mechanical strength, shear-
140 thinning behavior, and molecular diversity. Furthermore, the
141 resulting PA-Lap coassembled structures served as spatial
142 confinements to guide the formation of nanocrystals with well-
143 defined morphologies across multiple length scales, leading to
144 the formation of multi-inorganic–organic nano-objects (schemati-
145 cally illustrated in Figure 1). These mineralized hydrogels
146 supported cell adhesion, proliferation, differentiation, and
147 neovascularization as assessed by *in vitro* cell culture and *ex*
148 *vivo* using a chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay.

149 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

150 **Rationale of the Material Design.** Our system aims to
151 harness the intrinsic discotic and surface anisotropy of Lap
152 nanodisks and the modularity and self-assembling capacity of
153 PAs to engineer robust and biocompatible hydrogels that not
154 only exhibit the properties of each component but, critically,
155 emergent properties as a result of their coassembly. The PA
156 (PAH3) is a histidine-rich molecule ($\text{CH}_3\text{-(CH}_2\text{)}_{14}\text{-CONH-}$
157 VVVAAHHH-CONH_2 , Figure 1g) and is designed to
158 coassemble through interaction with Lap. The unique aromatic
159 imidazole side chain of histidine is key to the self-assembly of
160 proteinaceous fibers driven by organic–inorganic complex-
161 ation, which is known to generate self-healable and
162 mechanically reinforced biogenic architectures.⁴⁷ We reasoned
163 that the histidine aromatic imidazole side chain ($\text{p}K_{\text{a}} \sim 6.0$),
164 which becomes cationic in mildly acidic conditions, would
165 promote electrostatic and intercalation interactions between
166 PAH3 and the negatively charged Lap disk surfaces (surface
167 adsorption). Based on the pioneering work of Aida and co-
168 workers on coassembling Lap nanodisks with guanidinium-
169 based dendritic binders,⁴⁸ we hypothesized that our PAH3-
170 Lap coassembling system would generate mechanically
171 reinforced organic–inorganic hydrogels. It is noteworthy that
172 although cationic PAs with lysine charged head groups have
173 been extensively exploited, self-assembly and gelation of
174 histidine-based PAH3 have yet to be explored. PAK3 ($\text{CH}_3\text{-}$
175 $\text{(CH}_2\text{)}_{14}\text{-CONH-VVVAAKKK-CONH}_2$) and PAE3 ($\text{CH}_3\text{-}$
176 $\text{(CH}_2\text{)}_{14}\text{-CONH-VVVAAEEE-CONH}_2$) were used as con-
177 trols throughout the experiments.

178 **Mechanism of PAH3-Lap Supramolecular Coassem-
179 bly. Electrostatic and Sergeant–Soldier Interactions Drive
180 Coassembly.** PAs were designed and synthesized as previously
181 reported.⁴⁹ To assess electrostatic interactions between PAH3
182 and Lap nanodisks, we measured the zeta potential (ζ) values
183 and hydrodynamic radii (R_{h}) of individual components against
184 their mixture. The interaction between Lap ($\zeta = -35$ mV, R_{h}
185 $= 36.42 \pm 2.01$ nm) and PAH3 ($\zeta = +25$ mV, $R_{\text{h}} = 80.11 \pm$
186 4.31 nm) evidently revealed the formation of a higher-ordered
187 nanostructure with an increased hydrodynamic radius ($\zeta =$
188 -10 mV, $R_{\text{h}} = 115.11 \pm 6.54$ nm) (Supporting Information
189 Figure S1a–e). In contrast, the control PAE3 (-30 mV),
190 which exhibits similar net charge as Lap, did not display any
191 interaction, suggesting that the formation of PAH3-Lap was at
192 least partly driven by electrostatic interactions.

193 Given that PAs are generally known to self-assemble into β -
194 sheets, we used circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy to assess
195 interaction between PAH3 and Lap nanodisks. The CD
196 measurements revealed that PAH3 displays a typical β -sheet
197 conformation with an absorption maximum and minimum at
198 202 and 218 nm, respectively (Figure 2a). Upon coassembly
199 with Lap, the CD intensities at 202 and 218 nm decreased by
200 ~ 16 and ~ 11 mdeg, respectively. This significant decrease in

CD intensities might be due to strong surface adhesion of 201
PAH3 to the Lap nanodisks, resulting in a significant 202
disruption of the PAH3 β -sheet conformation. Such disruption 203
has been previously reported in silk molecules upon interaction 204
with Lap.³⁷ To further confirm surface adsorption of PAH3 to 205
Lap, we used a standard molecular probe thioflavin T (ThT) 206
which is known to monitor PA self-assembly in aqueous 207
environments.⁴⁶ When the achiral ThT was introduced into a 208
diluted PAH3-Lap hydrogel, a negative band at 410 nm, which 209
is indicative of bound ThT, became apparent (Figure 2b). This 210
absorption band was not observed when ThT was mixed with 211
PAH3 in water, PAH3 in PBS, or Lap in water. Although ThT 212
coassembled with the Lap nanodisk suspension, the Lap–ThT 213
complex exhibited no CD signal, suggesting that ThT acquired 214
an induced chirality due to surface adsorption to Lap 215
nanodisks templated by PAH3 nanofibers (Figure 2b inset). 216
Such nanofiber templating of Lap nanodisks has been 217
previously demonstrated with collagen nanofibers due to 218
electrostatic interactions between the positively charged amino 219
acid groups on the periphery of the collagen nanofibrils and 220
negatively charged Lap surfaces.⁵⁰ 221

222 **Nanoscope Evidence of PA-Lap Coassembly.** Nanoscale 222
characterization of the PAH3-Lap composites also confirmed 223
supramolecular integration of both components to generate a 224
higher-ordered nanostructure. First, we used synchrotron 225
small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) to characterize the 226
individual components (Lap and PAH3), as well as their 227
mixture (PAH3-Lap). The SANS data for Lap alone in 228
deuterium oxide (D_2O) possesses a $Q^{-1.8}$ dependency in the 229
range $0.01 < Q < 0.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, which is consistent with a thin disk- 230
shaped structure with a thickness and diameter of ~ 12 and 231
 $\sim 256 \text{ \AA}$, respectively (Figure 2c, Supporting Information Table 232
S1). The SANS data for PAH3 alone in D_2O shows the 233
existence of cylinder-like nanostructures with a radius of $\sim 38 \text{ \AA}$ 234
and several microns in length. The scattering profile of the 235
mixture of Lap and PAH3 shows the coexistence of both disk- 236
like nanostructures and cylindrical nanofibers, suggesting a 237
supramolecular coassembly of both nanostructures. The radius 238
of PAH3 nanofibers increased by $\sim 45 \text{ \AA}$ after coassembly with 239
Lap, suggesting that both components coassembled to form a 240
higher-ordered nanostructure consisting of cylindrical nano- 241
fibers and nanodisks. We also confirmed coexistence of Lap 242
disks and PAH3 nanofibers by atomic force microscopy 243
(AFM) (Figure 2d_i–iii). The corresponding surface rough- 244
ness parameters R_{a} and R_{q} for the PAH3-Lap nanocomposites 245
($R_{\text{a}} = 2.12$ nm, $R_{\text{q}} = 3.31$ nm) are significantly higher than the 246
values for PAH3 nanofibers ($R_{\text{a}} = 0.81$ nm, $R_{\text{q}} = 1.71$ nm) and 247
Lap disks ($R_{\text{a}} = 0.83$ nm, $R_{\text{q}} = 1.16$ nm) due to the 248
interactions between the two components (Figure 2e_i–iii). 249
We used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high- 250
resolution TEM–energy dispersive spectroscopy (HRTEM- 251
EDS) to characterize the coassembly. While TEM (Figure 252
2f_i–iii) shows the diameters of PAH3 and PAH3-Lap 253
nanofibers to be ~ 9.8 nm and ~ 12 nm, respectively, HRTEM- 254
EDS elemental mapping shows colocalization of the character- 255
istic element (N) on PAH3 and the main elemental 256
components (Si, Mg, and Na) of Lap (Supporting Information 257
Figure S2). Such elemental colocalization is consistent with 258
previous studies on Lap and silk coassembly.³⁷ 259

260 **Molecular Dynamics Simulations of PA-Lap Coas-
261 sembly. Structural Optimization of PA–Nanoclay Inter-
262 actions.** In addition to the experimental evidence, we 262
conducted molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (using 263




Figure 3. Molecular dynamics simulations of Sepiolite and PAs coassembly. (a) Layered Sepiolite cell with 1 molecule of (i) PAH3, (ii) PAK3, and (iii) PAE3 after 1 ns dynamics steps. (b) Layered Sepiolite Supercell with 4 molecules of (i) PAH3, (ii) PAK3, and (iii) PAE3 after 1 ns dynamics steps. (c) Layered Sepiolite Supercell with 10 molecules of (i) PAH3, (ii) PAK3, and (iii) PAE3 after 1 ns dynamics steps.

264 Material Studio 8.0 molecular modeling package by Biovia)⁵¹
 265 to further investigate the mechanism of PA-Lap coassembly.
 266 All MD simulations were conducted using the Forcite module
 267 with the COMPASS II (condensed-phase optimized molecular
 268 potentials for atomistic simulation studies) force field. The
 269 molecular structures of PAH3 and controls PAK3 and PAE3
 270 were built and optimized using the visualizer of Materials
 271 Studio 8.0. The structure of Lap is not available in the Material
 272 Studio 8.0 database; thus, we used Sepiolite
 273 ($\text{Mg}_4\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{15}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) for this simulation due to its
 274 structural similarity with Lap. Like Lap, Sepiolite (Sep) is a
 275 layered hydrous magnesium silicate belonging to the 2:1
 276 phyllosilicate family and made up of a 2D tetrahedral sheet of
 277 SiO_5^{4-} . While the slight structural differences between Sep and
 278 Lap may be the limit of this simulation, we believe the
 279 simulation provides insight into the dynamic interfacial
 280 interaction between PAs and layered inorganics. To investigate
 281 the interactions between PAs and Sep, first we built two kinds
 282 of cells: a small cell with two layers of clay (sepiolite) and one
 283 PA molecule and a second cell (Supercell) with enlarged layers
 284 with four or ten PA molecules. In this computational
 285 elucidation, we considered both electrostatic and van der
 286 Waals terms using atom-based summation methods with a
 287 repulsive cutoff of 12.5 Å. The energies of interaction (E_{inter}) of
 288 PAH3 with Sep in the cells with one, four, and ten PAH3
 289 molecules are -736.38 , -3060.72 , and -5626.32 kcal/mol,
 290 respectively (Supporting Information Table S2), thus suggest-
 291 ing that the total energy of the PAH3-Sep complex increases as
 292 further PAH3 molecules are attracted to Sep to create higher-
 293 ordered nanostructures. In order to isolate the role of the

imidazolium side chain of PAH3, we also investigated
 294 separately the interactions between a cationic PAK3 and an
 295 anionic PAE3 with Sep as controls. While interaction energy
 296 values for PAK3-Sep were negative, PAE3-Sep produces
 297 positive interaction energy. In both cases, these values increase
 298 with increasing number of molecules, suggesting that like
 299 PAH3, PAK3 is attracted to the Sep surfaces while PAE3 is
 300 strongly repelled. 301

*Force Field MD Simulation Shows Stronger Interaction
 between Lap and PAH3.* Upon insertion of the PA molecules
 302 into supercells containing Sep nanoclay, we further confirmed
 303 the spatiotemporal orientation of the PA molecules within the
 304 lattice ($26.80 \times 53.60 \times 37.64$ Å) of the nanoclay. With one
 305 molecule in a small cell, PAH3 and PAK3 molecules were
 306 attracted to the nanoclay and oriented with their positively
 307 charged head groups in close proximity to the layer while the
 308 hydrophobic tails are displayed toward the space between the
 309 layers (Figure 3a_i–iii). As revealed in the supercells, the
 310 PAH3 molecules accumulated on the surface of the nanoclay
 311 with the charged imidazolium side chain of the histidine
 312 residue facing the nanoclay surface (Figure 3b_i, c_i). In
 313 contrast, the PAK3 molecules were more evenly distributed
 314 within the lattice (Figure 3b_ii, c_ii). The MD simulation also
 315 reveals that the negatively charged headgroups of PAE3
 316 molecules were facing toward the center of the supercells while
 317 the hydrophobic tails accumulated on the surface of the
 318 nanoclay (Figure 3b_iii, c_iii). Taken together, there was no
 319 observable interaction between the negatively charged PAE3
 320 and the nanoclay, whereas the cationic PAH3 and PAK3
 321 preferentially interacted with the nanoclay surfaces through the
 322 323




Figure 4. Surface and mechanical properties of hydrogels. (a) Schematic representation of PAH3-Lap hydrogel preparation. (b) Storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli of PAH3 and Lap compared to PAH3-Lap hydrogels. (c) Time sweep rheographs displaying thixotropic properties of PAH3 and PAH3-Lap hydrogels. (d) Optical image showing the robustness and self-healing capacity of PAH3-Lap hydrogels. (e) N_2 sorption isotherms of PAH3 (square traces), Lap (circular traces), and PAH3-Lap (triangular traces) xerogels. (f) Cumulative pore volume for PAH3, Lap, and PAH3-Lap xerogels.

324 charged head groups. However, PAH3 displayed a much
 325 stronger affinity for the nanoclay to create a higher-ordered
 326 nanostructure, potentially attributable to an additional hydro-
 327 gen bond contribution from the imidazolium side chain. These
 328 results demonstrate how by tuning the charged headgroup of
 329 PAs, it is possible to systematically optimize the supra-
 330 molecular interactions between PAs and nanoclay nanoma-
 331 terials, which will potentially determine the gelation kinetic
 332 and mechanical properties of the resulting PA–nanoclay
 333 hydrogels on a macroscale.

Fabrication of PAH3-Lap Nanocomposite Hydrogels.

334 Having established the underlying PA–nanoclay coassembling
 335 mechanism, we then focused on synthesizing hydrogels using
 336 PAH3 and taking advantage of the modular nature of our
 337 material design. Given the unique chemistry of histidine, we
 338 prepared PAH3-Lap hydrogels by immersing PAH3 solution
 339 (2% w/v) into a large volume of Lap solution (2.5% w/v)
 340 exfoliated with the sodium salt of poly(acrylic acid) ($M_w = 5$
 341 kDa, 0.06% w/v). Within 30 min of immersion, self-supported
 342 hydrogels were formed in the Lap solution and the hydrogel
 343 was about the size of the PAH3 droplet (~ 10 mm), suggesting
 344

that the gelation was driven by a diffusion mechanism whereby **Lap** diffuses into the droplet of **PAH3** to trigger **PAH3-Lap** coassembly, which then leads to an entangled network of **PAH3** nanofibers and **Lap** nanodisks (Figure 4a). In contrast, immersion of **Lap** into **PAH3** did not produce stable hydrogels, which may result from a rapid diffusion of **PAH3** toward the **Lap** nanodisk suspension and inability to concentrate two components in a compartmentalized fashion. Also, when **Lap** was used without exfoliation, partial hydrogels were formed which might be attributed to electrostatic repulsion between the positive edges of **Lap** disks and the cationic imidazole side chain of **PAH3** or inhomogeneous dispersion of **Lap** in an aqueous medium. In contrast, the lysine-based analogue (**PAK3**; $\text{CH}_3\text{-(CH}_2\text{)}_{14}\text{-CONH-VVVAACKK-CONH}_2$) only formed weak hydrogels while the glutamic acid-based analogue (**PAE3**; $\text{CH}_3\text{-(CH}_2\text{)}_{14}\text{-CONH-VVVAEEEE-CONH}_2$) did not cause gelation, suggesting that the presence of histidine aromatic imidazole head groups is key to preparing stable and strong hydrogels.

Characterization of Mechanical and Surface Properties of PAH3-Lap Hydrogels. Application of Dynamic Rheometry to Characterize the Viscoelastic Properties of PA-Lap. In order to assess the impact of **Lap** on the mechanical properties of **PAH3** hydrogels, we used dynamic oscillatory rheology to measure the storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli. G' and G'' of **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels were frequency independent, with G' dominating G'' across the whole range of frequencies tested (0.1–50 Hz) and at constant strain γ (0.5%) (Supporting Information Figure S3). These results confirm a quasi-solid-like nature of **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels. The G' (70.89 ± 10.62 kPa) and G'' (10.54 ± 2.11 kPa) values for **PAH3-Lap** nanocomposite hydrogels were greater than the G' (10 ± 0.51 kPa) and G'' (1 ± 0.09 kPa) values of **PAH3** hydrogels (Figure 4b). To further confirm that supramolecular coassembly with **Lap** can improve the stiffness of other PA hydrogels, we prepared **PAK3-Lap** hydrogels. **PAK3** is known to produce weak hydrogels ($G' \sim 1$ kPa) by charge screening. Here, we observed that **PAK3-Lap** hydrogels displayed a G' of ~ 10 kPa (Supporting Information Figure S4), which is significantly lower than that of **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels (~ 70.89 kPa). This enhanced stiffness of **PAH3-Lap** over **PAK3-Lap** is expected as the aromatic imidazole side chain of the histidine residue is known to play a critical role in promoting the self-assembly of proteinaceous fibers leading to self-healable and mechanically reinforced spider fangs, sandworm jaws, or mussel byssals.⁴⁷ Therefore, we reasoned that the aromatic side chain of histidine might provide additional noncovalent interactions, making the surface free energy of adsorption (ϵ) of **PAH3** to **Lap** nanodisks greater or equal to the thermal energy ($K_B T$).⁵² This is in agreement with our initial speculation based on the molecular dynamic simulations data (Figure 3).

In addition, we carried out strain amplitude sweep measurements to determine the strain-to-break values of **PAH3-Lap** against **PAH3**. The results indicated that G' of **PAH3-Lap** decreased rapidly when subjected to a magnitude of strain beyond the critical strain value ($\gamma = 6\%$). On the other hand, G' values of **PAH3** hydrogels decreased rapidly at a much greater strain value ($\gamma = 13\%$), suggesting that **PAH3** hydrogels are more viscoelastic than **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels. Put together, the enhanced stiffnesses of **PAH3-Lap** over **PAH3** and **PAK3-Lap** over **PAK3** suggest that the 2D structure of **Lap** promotes a strong physical interaction between the PA nanofibers and **Lap** nanodisks (Figure 1b). It is noteworthy

that while PAs offer a powerful platform to design precise and bioactive matrixes, these materials tend to suffer from poor mechanical properties ($G' < 10$ kPa), making our organic–inorganic hybridization an attractive strategy to prepare another class of PA-based hydrogels with dramatically improved mechanical properties ($G'_{\text{PA-Lap}} \sim 71$ kPa). This strategy has been demonstrated using **Lap** with other organic components such as silk ($G'_{\text{silk-Lap}} \sim 150$ kPa)³⁷ and dendritic molecular binders ($G'_{\text{dendron-Lap}} \sim 250$ kPa).⁴⁸

Hydrogels Display Thixotropic and Self-Recovery Properties. Dynamic amplitude measurements were subsequently carried out to investigate the self-recovery or thixotropic property of **PAH3-Lap** and **PAH3** hydrogels following network rupture at high strain. We applied a high strain amplitude (100%) to rupture the hydrogel networks followed by a low strain amplitude (0.1%) to investigate the rate and extent of recovery of the hydrogels. Under the high strain amplitude (100%), the hydrogels underwent internal breakage leading to a significant decrease in G' and inversion of G' and G'' . The inversion signifies that the liquid-like behavior dominates the solid-like nature of the hydrogels. When the strain amplitude was reduced to 0.1%, both **PAH3** and **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels displayed fast recovery within seconds (Figure 4c), making both types of hydrogels potentially injectable. While **PAH3** hydrogels exhibited complete recovery to the same initial G' , **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels exhibited enhanced recovery beyond the initial G' (from 60 to 100 kPa) after the first strain cycle (Figure 4c_green trace). Such enhanced recovery has previously been reported in self-assembling hydrogels,^{53,54} and we reasoned it is suggestive of structural reorganization of the hydrogels. Macroscopically, **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels were able to self-heal in air (Figure 4d). These results suggest that **Lap** enhanced stability and facilitates self-healing in **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels. The rapid self-healing process exhibited by **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels may result largely from both the attachment of the imidazolium group of the **PAH3** to the exfoliated **Lap** surfaces and the intrinsic propensity of **PAH3** networks to rapidly recover after rupture.

Characterization of Surface Properties of PAH3-Lap Hydrogels. Having characterized the bulk properties of **PAH3-Lap** hydrogels, we then used a nitrogen gas adsorption method based on quenched solid density functional theory (QSDFT) to investigate the impact of PA-Lap coassembly on surface properties including pore volumes and pore diameters. The experiments were conducted on **PAH3-Lap** dried xerogels and compared to the individual components. In all cases, the xerogels exhibited a surface profile that is consistent with type-III adsorption–desorption curves with distinct capillary condensation steps. The adsorption isotherms (volume of nitrogen per gram of materials at standard temperature and pressure (STP)) revealed that the surface areas of **Lap** and **PAH3** xerogels were $165 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and $18 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, respectively (Figure 4e, Supporting Information Figure S5). Upon coassembly, the surface area ($50 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) of **PAH3-Lap** was higher than that of **PAH3** xerogels, implying that the surface adsorption of **PAH3** to **Lap** nanodisks considerably decreases the surface area of the **Lap** nanodisks. For **Lap**, a number of peaks which span between 0.25–0.78 nm (micropores), 2.00–6.00 nm (mesopores), and 12.00 nm (macropores) (Figure 4f_red trace) were observed, suggesting that **Lap** displays a hierarchical polymodal pore size distributions.^{55,56} In contrast, **PAH3** xerogel pore size distribution profiles displayed a broad peak centered at 6 nm (mesopores) and another weak peak at




Figure 5. Biom mineralization in hydrogels. (a) Schematic representation of the biom mineralization process. PAH3-Lap hydrogel was immersed in a mineralizing bath (i) followed by a gradual diffusion of the ionic precursors into the hydrogel cavity (ii), which resulted in an initial electrostatic binding of calcium (Ca²⁺) ions to the Lap surface (iii). Further association of phosphate (HPO₄³⁻) and fluoride (F⁻) ions with the Lap-Ca²⁺ complex produces nuclei (iv) that developed into oriented nanorods in a time-dependent manner (v), which organize hierarchically into spherical clusters (vi). (b) Scanning electron micrographs showing (i) dense crystal formation on the surface of a PAH3-Lap hydrogel, which are organized into (ii, iii) spherical clusters of nanorods within the cavity of the hydrogels after 8 days in mineralizing solution. Also, PAH3 hydrogels were mineralized but spherical and amorphous crystals were formed (iv). (c) HRTEM-EDX elemental mapping of nanorods formed in PAH3-Lap hydrogels after 8 days. Yellow arrows indicate fluoridated hydroxyapatite nanorods. Elemental mapping of the nanorods shows carbon C (blue), nitrogen N (green), oxygen O (red), sodium Na (purple), magnesium Mg (cyan), silicon Si (green), fluorine F (orange), calcium Ca (yellow), and phosphorus P (pink). Scale bar: 100 nm. This was contrasted with the morphology of the (d) needle-like crystals that formed in PAH3-Lap hydrogels within 2 h of incubation in mineralizing solutions.

471 12 nm, indicating that PAH3 xerogels exhibited a uniform pore
 472 size distribution (Figure 4f_black trace). It is important to note
 473 that the observed porosity profile for PAH3 xerogels could be
 474 due to lyophilization of the gels prior to analysis. The pore size
 475 distribution curves for PAH3-Lap showed multiple peaks
 476 centered at 4.2, 6.0, 10.0, and 12.0 nm. The peaks at 4.2 and
 477 6.0 nm are characteristic fingerprints of Lap and PAH3
 478 xerogels, respectively (Figure 4f_blue trace), which confirm
 479 the heterogeneity of the PAH3-Lap internal structure. In
 480 contrast, the peaks corresponding to the micropores of Lap are
 481 not apparent in PAH3-Lap, which suggests that surface
 482 adsorption of the PAH3 nanofibers to the Lap disk removes
 483 access to the micropores by blocking them. We therefore
 484 hypothesized that the molecular diversity and heterogeneous
 485 functional groups of PAH3-Lap hydrogels in relation to PAH3
 486 hydrogels may provide an opportunity to nucleate and grow
 487 apatite crystals within the confined 3D framework of the
 488 hydrogels.

489 **PAH3-Lap Hydrogels to Guide *In Situ* Mineralization.**
 490 *Features That Make Organic–Inorganic Hydrogels an Ideal*
 491 *Model for Biom mineralization.* Hydrogels have been harnessed
 492 as structural frameworks to elucidate the origins of biological
 493 control over crystal morphology, orientation, and matrix
 494 incorporation.³ These materials display (i) volumetric confine-

495 ment to control crystal growth, (ii) nanoporosity to control
 496 diffusion rates, capacity to tune concentrations and super-
 497 saturation of solutes, and (iii) internal nanostructures with
 498 high surface area to template crystal growth.^{3,57} Unlike the
 499 classical mechanism of atom or molecule mediated growth of
 500 single crystals, the particle mediated growth and assembly
 501 mechanisms leading to the formation of single crystals have
 502 been recognized as emerging nonclassical biom mineralization
 503 processes.⁵⁸ This phenomenon is believed to result from both
 504 the free-energy landscapes and reaction dynamics that govern
 505 particle–particle interactions.^{59–61} Such reaction dynamics
 506 might account for the impressive mineral deposition recently
 507 observed by Paul and co-workers using DNA–Laponite hybrid
 508 hydrogel coatings on bone allografts.³⁶ Therefore, we
 509 hypothesized that the surface area, functional groups, and
 510 structural anisotropy of Lap nanodisks can be harnessed in
 511 PAH3-Lap hydrogels to control the energy landscape at the
 512 substrate–nuclei interface during biom mineralization in a time-
 513 dependent manner, leading to the formation of multi-
 514 inorganic–organic nano-objects.

To test this hypothesis, we used a known mineralizing
 515 solution to nucleate and trigger the growth of fluoridated
 516 hydroxyapatite nanocrystals.¹⁰ The PAH3-Lap hydrogels were
 517 submerged in the mineralizing solution (20 mL) and kept at 37
 518 15




Figure 6. Characterization of biom mineralization. (a) FTIR spectra indicating Lap silica oxide layer participation in biom mineralization. (b) Normalized Raman spectra of unmineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels and PAH3-Lap mineralized for 1 and 8 days. The inset is a plot of 1047 cm^{-1} signal intensity versus mineralization time. (c) X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) crystallographic profiles of mineralized (red trace) and unmineralized (black trace) PAH3-Lap hydrogels after 8 days of incubation in mineralizing solution. (d) (i) HRTEM image of hydroxyapatite nanorods formed in PAH3-Lap hydrogels, (ii) enlarged selected area (red square) of HRTEM image of nanorods, and (iii) FFT patterns of crystals viewed from the [010] crystallographic direction.

519 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Figure 5a). We observed that the transparent PAH3-Lap
 520 hydrogels became cloudy within 8 days of incubation
 521 (Supporting Information Figure S6), suggesting its high
 522 mineralization capacity. In contrast, PAH3 hydrogels remained
 523 less opaque, indicating less mineralization than PAH3-Lap
 524 hydrogels. In order to confirm that this enhanced mineraliza-
 525 tion was due to the presence of Lap, we added Lap solution
 526 alone to the mineralizing solution and investigated crystal
 527 formation. Interestingly, Lap suspension exhibited white
 528 precipitates after 8 days of incubation, suggesting that Lap is
 529 able to drive nucleation and growth of apatite crystals. These
 530 results are potentially consistent with the ability of Lap
 531 nanodisks to act as catalysts for the formation of mineralized
 532 matrixes both in *in vitro* and *in vivo*.^{32–34} Similarly, silica
 533 hydrogels have previously been used to drive the formation of
 534 hematite ($\alpha\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$) into hierarchical mosaic crystals displaying
 535 hierarchical structures inaccessible in solution-grown controls,
 536 indicating that silicate materials display functionalities that
 537 promote heterogeneous nucleation and growth of crystals.⁶²
 538 **Lap Nanodisks Are Essential for Nanorod Formation.**
 539 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to examine the
 540 mineralization within the hydrogels. SEM micrographs of
 541 PAH3-Lap xerogels revealed the presence of high-aspect ratio
 542 apatite nanorod crystals (~ 50 nm in cross-sectional diameter)
 543 on the surface of the mineralized hydrogels after 8 days in the
 544 mineralizing solution (Figure 5b_i). These apatite nanorods
 545 were organized hierarchically into well-defined microscopic

clusters, which resemble mesocrystals.⁶³ The clusters grew
 546 symmetrically and to similar sizes up to microns in diameter in
 547 “confined pockets” within the hydrogels (Figure 5b_ii–iii). We
 548 hypothesize that these cluster structures are formed by the
 549 diffusion of ionic mineralization precursors through the PAH3-
 550 Lap hydrogel, random nucleation across the internal walls of
 551 the hydrogel, and subsequent symmetric growth of apatite
 552 nanorods along the precursor–crystal interface (Figure 5c).
 553 This process of crystal growth and entrapment within
 554 integrated hybrid materials has been regarded as nanoscale
 555 incarceration by Mann.¹⁷ In contrast to this nanorod and
 556 microcluster organization within PAH3-Lap hydrogels, we
 557 observed spherical nanocrystals (diameter ~ 50 nm) in PAH3
 558 hydrogels, which are reminiscent of previous studies by Stupp
 559 and colleagues.⁶⁴ Based on these results, we propose that the
 560 integrated nanofibers and nanodisks within PAH3-Lap hydro-
 561 gels provide a 3D organic–inorganic framework of heteroge-
 562 neous nucleation sites for hierarchical mineralization. To
 563 explore the possibility that Lap is acting as a catalyst for
 564 mineralization in the PAH3-Lap hydrogels, we hybridized Lap
 565 with PAK3 knowing that PAK3 does not induce mineraliza-
 566 tion of apatite in its own right. In this case, we again observed
 567 formation of both nanorods and nanospheres within the
 568 hydrogels after 8 days of incubation (Supporting Information
 569 Figure S7), thus suggesting that the presence of Lap nanodisks
 570 in PA-based hydrogels played a key role in the nucleation and
 571 growth of crystals within the hydrogels. 572

573 *Elemental Mapping to Elucidate Colocalization of Lap*
574 *and Hydroxyapatite.* Given the hierarchical nanorod-cluster
575 mineralization within PAH3-Lap, we then investigated nano-
576 rod crystal formation in further detail. First, to verify
577 interactions between Lap nanodisks and the mineralization
578 ionic precursors, we used HRTEM-EDS to map the elemental
579 composition of the mineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels.
580 HRTEM images confirmed the formation of the ~50 nm
581 diameter hexagonal nanorod crystals in the PAH3-Lap
582 hydrogels after 8 days of incubation (Figure 5c). Also, the
583 HRTEM-EDS mapping revealed colocalization of carbon (C),
584 nitrogen (N), oxygen (O), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg),
585 silicon (Si), fluoride (F), calcium (Ca), and phosphorus (P)
586 along the nanorods, which suggests the incorporation of
587 dissolved PAH3 and Lap into the nanocrystals during growth.
588 To gain insight into the early stage of this mineralization
589 phenomenon, we examined the morphology and elemental
590 composition of the crystals obtained after a 2 h incubation
591 period in the mineralizing solution. HRTEM-EDS micrographs
592 of PAH3-Lap hydrogels following a 2 h incubation period
593 revealed an outward growth of the spherical clusters
594 comprising the nanorods with colocalized elemental compo-
595 nents of PAH3-Lap hydrogels (Figure 5d). Moreover, the
596 nanorods appeared to be growing in the direction of the
597 PAH3-Lap hydrogel nanofibers (Supporting Information
598 Figure S8a), which suggests that the orientation of the
599 nanofiber-nanodisk hybrid might be playing a key role in
600 directing the hierarchical nanorod growth. Also, the white
601 particles that sediment in the Lap solution were analyzed using
602 HRTEM-EDS, which revealed the formation of agglomerated
603 nanorods with elemental mapping showing both Lap
604 characteristic elements and ionic precursors for mineralization
605 (Supporting Information Figure S9). These results indicate
606 that Lap might be serving as an essential template for nanorod
607 growth within the organic-inorganic hydrogels due to its 2D
608 ultrathin structure and surface chemistry.

609 *FTIR Confirms Hydrogen Bond-Driven Interactions*
610 *between Lap and Biominerals.* Using Fourier transform
611 infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, we then investigated the
612 mechanism of interaction between Lap and the ionic
613 precursors present in the mineralizing solution. With the
614 technique we also attempted to verify the identity of the apatite
615 nanorods. According to the FTIR spectra (Figure 6a), the band
616 at 970 cm^{-1} corresponds to Si-O-Si of Lap. This band shifts
617 from 970 to 985 cm^{-1} in PAH3-Lap hydrogels, which suggests
618 hydrogen-bonding interactions between PAH3 and Lap. Such
619 red-shift in the Si-O-Si band of Lap has previously been
620 observed in polymer-Lap composite hydrogels.⁶⁵ After
621 incubating PAH3-Lap in the mineralizing media for 8 days,
622 the band became broader and was further shifted to a higher
623 frequency (ca. 1022 cm^{-1}). Thus, we hypothesized that the
624 mineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels interacted noncovalently
625 with the Si-OH layer of Lap. To verify this, we incubated a
626 Lap suspension in the mineralizing media under the same
627 conditions for 8 days. The band of Si-OH shifted from 970 to
628 995 cm^{-1} , confirming Lap as an active catalyst for
629 mineralization in PAH3-Lap hydrogels.

630 *Time-Resolved Evolution of Nanocrystals and Associated*
631 *Fingerprints to Understand Mechanisms of Biomineraliza-*
632 *tion.* Given the distinctive functional groups of Lap, PAH3,
633 and the mineralized nanorods, Raman spectroscopy was used
634 to elucidate their molecular composition within mineralized
635 PAH3-Lap hydrogels. Furthermore, by taking advantage of the

fingerprints of phosphate functional groups on the nanorods, 636
we monitored the kinetics of crystal growth in PAH3-Lap 637
hydrogels. The Raman spectra of the PAH3-Lap hydrogels 638
mineralized for 8 days revealed vibrational frequencies 639
corresponding to the internal PO_4^{3-} mode. The vibrational 640
frequencies of the PO_4^{3-} were found to be $\nu_1 = 960 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 641
 $\nu_3 = 1047 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Figure 6b). These frequencies correspond to 642
the characteristic symmetric P-O stretching modes and the 643
triply degenerate asymmetric P-O stretching modes, respec- 644
tively.⁶⁶ Peaks at 1450 cm^{-1} (C=C stretch of the imidazole 645
side chain) and 1675 cm^{-1} (C=O stretch, amide band I) 646
correspond to peptide vibrations from PAH3 while the peak at 647
1010 cm^{-1} corresponds to the Si-O vibrational stretch from 648
Lap present in the PAH3-Lap hydrogels. The amide band I at 649
1675 cm^{-1} further confirms the intrinsic β -sheet conformation 650
of PAH3 nanofibers in the coassembled PAH3-Lap.⁶⁷ By 651
comparing this amide band I before and after mineralization, 652
we observed no significant changes in the conformation of 653
PAH3 nanofibers, thus suggesting that the PAH3 nanofibers 654
maintained their spatial organization under the mineralization 655
event. 656

We monitored the kinetics of crystal growth in the PAH3- 657
Lap hydrogels by observing the regions of the Raman spectra 658
corresponding to the triply degenerate asymmetric P-O 659
stretching modes ($\nu_3 = 1047 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). At time $t = 0$ (before 660
mineralization), no Raman peak was apparent in this region. 661
After mineralization for 1 h, there was an emergence of the P- 662
O stretching mode that featured two sharp peaks at 1005 and 663
1047 cm^{-1} (Figure 6b, Supporting Information Figure S10). 664
The relative intensity of the 1047 cm^{-1} peak signal (all spectra 665
were first normalized with respect to the C-H signal intensity 666
at 2800–3000 cm^{-1}) increased rapidly within 4 h of 667
mineralization and steadily afterward until the 8-day time- 668
point (Figure 6b_inset, Supporting Information Figure S10), 669
indicating a two-phase crystal growth. Elemental analysis of the 670
two stages of crystal growth revealed a Ca/P ratio of 1.45 and 671
1.65 for the nanorods obtained at 4-h and 8-day time-points, 672
respectively (Supporting Information Figure S8d). The former 673
Ca/P ratio is indicative of an amorphous calcium phosphate 674
while the latter is characteristic of a hydroxyapatite crystal. 675
Thus, the two-stage crystallization events exhibited an initial 676
amorphous precursor phase, which steadily underwent a slow 677
interaction with the mineralization ionic species diffused into 678
the PAH3-Lap hydrogels, leading to a linear rate of growth to 679
attain the hydroxyapatite composition with Ca/P ratio 1.65. 680
Similarly, Raman spectra for PAH3 hydrogels mineralized for 8 681
days also displayed the key PO_4^{3-} fingerprints ($\nu_1 = 960 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 682
 $\nu_3 = 1047 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) of hydroxyapatite formed in PAH3-Lap 683
hydrogels as well as a Raman peak at 564 cm^{-1} (Figure 6b), 684
which corresponds to the ν_4 bending mode characteristic of 685
 PO_4^{3-} in amorphous calcium phosphate.⁶⁷ We also used 686
elemental analysis to show that the Ca/P ratio is 1.1 for the 687
amorphous calcium phosphate formed in the PAH3 hydrogels 688
(Supporting Information Figure S12). 689

XRD and Other Physical Analysis Techniques Confirm the
Crystallographic Direction of Crystal Growth in the PAH3-
Lap Hydrogels. The X-ray diffraction pattern of the 692
mineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels compared to the unmineral- 693
ized PAH3-Lap hydrogels indicated that the nanocrystals 694
formed after 8 days were crystalline (Figure 6c). More so, the 695
diffraction peaks (002) at $2\theta = 25.8^\circ$, (211) at $2\theta = 31.8^\circ$, 696
(300) at $2\theta = 32.8^\circ$, (202) at $2\theta = 34.2^\circ$, and (222) at $2\theta = 697$
 46.9° (Figure 6c_ii) are consistent with the peaks for fluoride 698
699




Figure 7. Biological applicability of PAH3-Lap hydrogels. (a) The *in vitro* applicability of the hydrogels was assessed by a LIVE/DEAD assay to test cell viability and proliferation of hBMSC on the hydrogels. The results revealed that cell viability and proliferation on mineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels are more than those of cells growing on tissue culture plastic (TCP) for 7 days. (b) Schematics of CAM implantation of hydrogels. Hydrogels promote mineral deposition, red blood cell infiltration, and ECM and blood vessels formation. (c) Optical image of CAM implanted hydrogels. (i) PAH3 hydrogels implanted in CAM were surrounded by blood vessels in close proximity of the chorioallantoic membrane. Both (ii) PAH3-Lap and (iii) PAH3-Lap.min hydrogels were highly integrated with the chorioallantoic membrane with blood vessels penetrating the implanted hydrogels. (d) Histological analysis of CAM implanted hydrogels. Mineral deposition was found limited to the outer region of the implanted PAH3 hydrogels. Limited mineral deposition was observed in proximity of the PAH3-Lap hydrogel–membrane interface. Mineral deposition was found extensively within the PAH3-Lap.min hydrogels. Both PAH3-Lap and PAH3-Lap.min hydrogels were extensively invaded by red blood cells. Blank samples were extensively penetrated by blood vessels but no mineral deposition. (e) Chalkley score of PAH3, PAH3-Lap, PAH3-Lap_min, and blank controls samples. Statistical significances were assessed by one-way ANOVA. Mean \pm SD $n = 5$. Scale bar for (d) = 100 μ m.

699 hydroxyapatite.⁵⁷ Furthermore, the sharp 002 peak indicated
 700 that the nanorods were oriented along the *c* axes, which is
 701 reminiscent of nanocrystal growth in both dental enamel and
 702 bone.⁶⁸ A closer look at the HRTEM images of the mineralized
 703 PAH3-Lap hydrogels confirmed that the nanorods assumed a
 704 preferred orientation along the *c* axes of the fluoridated
 705 hydroxyapatite (Figure 6d_i–ii). Also, the fast Fourier
 706 transform (FFT) patterns viewed from the [010] crystallo-
 707 graphic direction are consistent with the XRD data and
 708 HRTEM images, indicating that the crystal lattices were only
 709 observed in the nanorods with long axes along the direction
 710 corresponding to the reflection area (Figure 6d_iii). These
 711 results further confirm that the *c* axes of the crystal lattices
 712 were mainly aligned along the long axes of the nanorods.
 713 Although, the potential of Lap to trigger cascades of cell
 714 signaling that mediate bone formation *in vivo* is well-known,²⁸
 715 these results showcase the potential of Lap nanodisks as

efficient templates to guide nanocrystal growth via a non-
 716 classical particle attachment mechanism and in a hierarchical
 717 manner. 718

Evaluation of Biocompatibility of Mineralized PAH3-Lap Hydrogels. The biological relevance of PAH3 as well as
 719 mineralized and unmineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels as
 720 functional biomaterials was assessed *in vitro* by seeding
 721 human bone marrow stromal cells (hBMSCs) on the
 722 hydrogels. As shown in Figure 7a, live skeletal cells stained
 723 with calcein AM were predominantly visible on the hydrogels
 724 after 7 days in culture, indicating excellent cytocompatibility
 725 across the hydrogels. However, hBMSCs proliferated signifi-
 726 cantly more on the mineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels
 727 compared to the PAH3 and unmineralized PAH3-Lap
 728 hydrogels, as well as on tissue culture plastic (TCP)
 729 (Supporting Information Figure S13). To further assess the
 730 biological functionality of the hydrogels *ex vivo*, we used the
 731
 732

733 chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay of the chick embryo,
734 to examine tissue integration and blood vessel and extracellular
735 matrix formation as previously published.⁶⁹ Histological
736 analysis of the implanted PAH3 and unmineralized and
737 mineralized PAH3-Lap hydrogels after 7 days demonstrated
738 that the hydrogels fully integrated within the CAM (Figure
739 7c_ii). However, while blood vessels were only visible on the
740 surface of the PAH3 hydrogels (Figure 7c_i), both
741 unmineralized (Figure 7c_iii) and mineralized (Figure 7c_ii)
742 PAH3-Lap hydrogels exhibited blood vessels growing within
743 (Figure 7c_ii–iii), indicating a higher capacity of neo-
744 vascularization. Using Goldner's and von Kossa staining, we
745 confirmed extensive mineral deposition in the mineralized
746 PAH3-Lap (PAH3-Lap_min) hydrogels (Figure 7d_ii,vi) in
747 comparison to unmineralized hydrogels (Figure 7c_iii,vii). No
748 mineral deposition was apparent in the blank eggs (Figure
749 7d_iv,viii). Similar to the blank samples, both PAH3-Lap
750 (Figure 7d_iii) and PAH3-Lap-min (Figure 7d_ii) hydrogels
751 were extensively invaded by red blood cells. The Chalkley
752 score (Figure 7e) shows there is no significant difference
753 between the level of vascularization in the treatment groups
754 and the controls. These results suggest that the PAH3-Lap
755 hydrogels can serve as robust multifunctional matrices with the
756 capacity to promote cell growth, trigger hierarchical mineral-
757 ization and bone tissue formation, and promote vasculariza-
758 tion.

759 CONCLUSION

760 We have developed a coassembling organic–inorganic hydro-
761 gel platform for *in vitro* crystal growth mediated by a particle
762 attachment mechanism within a 3D supramolecular confined
763 framework. The design strategy hinges on electrostatic
764 interactions between Lap nanodisks and cationic PAH3
765 molecules to integrate the intrinsic properties of the organic
766 and inorganic components into distinctive organic–inorganic
767 hydrogel structures. The resulting materials displayed high
768 surface area, high mechanical properties, and self-healing
769 properties. Furthermore, the coassembling PA-Lap hydrogel
770 displayed a nanoscale architecture that served as confined
771 spaces for the hierarchical growth of hydroxyapatite from
772 ordered nanorods into well-defined spherical clusters. The
773 study explores this mineralization mechanism as a biomimetic
774 3D model to modulate nucleation and spatiotemporal
775 organization of fluoridated hydroxyapatite. This model was
776 used to understand the role of both Lap nanodisks and PAH3
777 nanofibers within PAH3-Lap hydrogels in guiding the growth
778 of the hydroxyapatite nanorods across multiple length scales.
779 At the atomic level, the mineralization of PAH3-Lap depended
780 on a diffusion-driven process where local ionic concentration
781 and supersaturation are mediated by supramolecular inter-
782 actions with Lap. Furthermore, the nanoscale architecture of
783 the PAH3-Lap hydrogels facilitated incarceration of the
784 nanorod crystals and subsequent growth into the distinctive
785 spherical clusters at the microscale. Interestingly, these
786 mineralized PAH3-Lap nanocomposite hydrogels outper-
787 formed all control groups in supporting cell growth,
788 stimulation of cell ingress, blood vessel infiltration, ECM
789 production, and mineral deposition in a CAM model. In
790 addition to these advantages, the shear-thinning property of
791 the system makes it a suitable material to serve as a bioink for
792 3D printing applications. Overall, this study presents a
793 nanotechnology approach to the design of integrated and

higher-ordered self-assembling nanomaterials with potential
widespread applications in regenerative medicine.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Zeta Potential (ζ). All ζ -potential measurements were performed
after resuspension of the PAs at a concentration of 0.1% w/v in
ultrapure water. After loading the samples into folded capillary cells,
measurements were performed at 25 °C using a ζ -sizer instrument
(Nano-ZS Zen 3600, Malvern Instruments, UK). For each PA, three
separate samples were measured with at least five runs per sample.

Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy. Circular dichroism (CD)
was measured with a Chirascan circular dichroism spectrometer
(Applied Photophysics Limited, UK) using a quartz cell with a 1 mm
path length and the following parameters: data pitch, 0.5 nm;
scanning mode, continuous; scanning speed, 100 nm/min;
bandwidth, 2 nm; accumulation, 5. All CD data are presented as
ellipticity and recorded in millidegree (mdeg). CD measurements
were performed on aqueous solutions of PAH3 (0.1% w/v), Lap
(0.25%), and their mixtures. CD spectra were obtained by signal
integrating 3 scans, from 190 to 260 nm at a speed of 50 nm/min.
Data were processed by a simple moving average and smoothing
method.

**Small-Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS) Analysis of Hydro-
gel Nanostructures.** Synchrotron small-angle neutron scattering
(SANS) measurements were performed on the fixed-geometry, time-
of-flight LOQ diffractometer (ISIS Neutron and Muon Source,
Oxfordshire, UK). A white beam of radiation with neutron
wavelengths spanning 2.2 to 10 Å enabled access to a Q [$Q = 4\pi$
 $\sin(\theta/2)/\lambda$] range of 0.004 to 0.4 \AA^{-1} with a fixed-sample detector
distance of 4.1 m. The cuvettes were mounted in aluminum holders.
The time taken for each measurement was approximately 30 min. All
scattering data were normalized for the sample transmission, the
backgrounds were corrected using a quartz cell filled with D₂O, and
the linearity and efficiency of the detector response were corrected
using the instrument-specific software.

Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). AFM was performed on a
Bruker Multimode 8 AFM with a Nanoscope V controller using
PeakForce Tapping mode with a ScanAsyst Air cantilever (spring
constant 0.4 N/m). The cantilever was calibrated using the automated
“no touch” calibration routine built into the software. Solutions of
PAH3 (0.01% w/v, 40 μL), Lap (0.025% w/v, 40 μL), and PAH3/
Lap mixtures were dropped onto freshly cleaved mica surfaces. The
samples were air-dried at room temperature for 24 h and imaged with
a PeakForce set point of 500 pN with a PeakForce amplitude of 30
nm and frequency of 4 kHz. Images were acquired at 512×512 pixels
at a line rate of 2.8 Hz. The height images were processed in the
Nanoscope Analysis software after using first order flattening to
remove tilt. Images were processed in Nanoscope 1.7.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and High-Reso-
lution TEM (HRTEM).** Aqueous solutions of PAH3 (0.01% w/v) and
Lap (0.025% w/v, exfoliated with 0.0068% w/v ASAP) were dissolved
in ultrapure water. Similarly, mixtures of PAH3 (0.02% w/v) and Lap
(0.5 wt %/v) were also prepared. Samples were mounted on a copper
TEM plasma etched holey carbon-coated copper grid (Agar Scientific,
Stansted, UK). The grids were immersed in the sample solutions for 5
min. Excess was removed on filter paper before incubation with 2%
uranylacetate solution for 30 s. Grids were then washed with ultrapure
water for 30 s and air-dried for 24 h at room temperature. Bright-field
TEM imaging was performed on a JEOL 1230 transmission electron
microscope operated at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV. All the
images were recorded by a Morada CCD camera (Image Systems). At
least three images were taken per sample for further analysis. High-
resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) images,
selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns, scanning trans-
mission electron microscope (STEM) images, and energy dispersive
X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum images were obtained with a FEI
Talos F200X microscope equipped with an X-FEG electron source
and Super-X SDD EDS detectors. The experiment was performed
using an acceleration voltage of 200 kV and a beam current of 861

862 approximately 1 nA. TEM images were recorded with a FEI CETA 4k
863 x 4k CMOS camera. STEM images were acquired with HAADF and
864 BF detectors.

865 **Preparation of Hydrogels.** An aqueous solution of Lap (2.5%
866 w/v) was prepared by adding the requisite amount of Lap powder to a
867 stirred suspension of ASAP (0.06% w/v) in ultrapure water. The Lap
868 suspension was sonicated for 30 min until a clear transparent sample
869 was obtained. Aqueous solutions of PA (2% w/v) were prepared in
870 HEPES buffer. PA-Lap hydrogels were prepared by injecting a
871 solution of PA (20 μL) into a larger volume of Lap (100 μL).
872 Gelation was allowed to proceed overnight at room temperature.
873 Hydrogels of PAH3 (2% w/v) were prepared by basifying an aqueous
874 solution of PAH3 with NaOH (1 M).

875 **Dynamic Rheological Measurements.** Rheological measure-
876 ments were performed using a Discovery Hybrid Rheometer, Rheo-
877 DHR3 (TA Instruments). All data were collected at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The
878 preformed hydrogels were added to the center of the bottom plate,
879 and the top parallel plate (with 8 mm diameter) was lowered to a gap
880 of 100 μm . The amplitude sweep measurements were performed
881 between 0.1 and 50% strain at constant frequency (1 Hz). Similarly,
882 frequency sweep rheographs were obtained between 0.1 and 20 Hz at
883 constant strain (0.5%). Self-healing was assessed initially at 0.1%
884 strain for 100 s, then at 100% strain for 200 s, 0.1% strain for 200 s,
885 100% strain for 200 s, and 0.1% strain for 400 s.

886 **Characterization of Surface Properties of Xerogels.** Nitrogen
887 sorption isotherms of the lyophilized xerogels were measured at 77 K
888 using an Autosorb-IQ system (Quantachrome Instrument, USA).
889 Before measurements, the samples were degassed in a vacuum at 120
890 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ overnight. The specific surface areas (S_{BET}) were calculated by the
891 multipoint Brunauer–Emmet–Teller method using adsorption data
892 in a relative pressure range from 0.04 to 0.2, and the pore-size
893 distribution was calculated based on quenched solid density function
894 theory (QSDFT) using the adsorption branches of isotherms
895 assuming slit and cylindrical pore geometries. By using the Barrett–
896 Joyner–Halenda (BJH) model, the mesoporous surface areas (S_{BJH})
897 were calculated from the adsorption line. The microporous surface
898 areas (S_{DR}) were calculated from the adsorption line by the Dubinin–
899 Radushkevich (DR) model.

900 **Biom mineralization of Hydrogels.** The mineralizing solutions
901 were prepared as previously reported by Elsharkawy et al.¹⁰ Briefly, an
902 aqueous suspension of hydroxyapatite powder (2 mM) and sodium
903 fluoride (2 mM) was prepared in deionized water with continuous
904 stirring. Then, 69% nitric acid was added dropwise to the suspension
905 to aid a complete dissolution of the hydroxyapatite precipitates at pH
906 2.4. Thereafter, an aqueous solution of ammonium hydroxide (30%)
907 was added dropwise to the hydroxyapatite solution until it reached pH
908 6. Various hydrogels were then immersed in the hydroxyapatite
909 solutions and incubated for 8 days at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ using a temperature-
910 controlled incubator (LTE Scientific, Oldham, UK).

911 **Monitoring of the Biom mineralization Process by Raman
912 Spectroscopy.** All Raman analysis was carried out on a confocal
913 WITec Alpha300 system utilizing a 785 nm laser and a 20 \times (S Plan
914 Fluor, NA 0.45, ELWD) objective lens. Raman scatter was collected
915 in a backscattering geometry. A small amount of each sample was
916 placed on a microscope glass slide which had been previously cleaned
917 with a methanol-soaked tissue, with a new slide used for each sample.
918 The incident laser power was constant for all samples at 63 mW. No
919 signal loss was observed, for example due to photobleaching or
920 carbonization, when samples were irradiated on the same spot in
921 triplicate with integration times ranging from 10 to 60 s. All spectra
922 processing was performed using SpectraGryph 1.2 involving (1)
923 cosmic ray removal, (2) background correction, and then (3)
924 subsequent normalization. An advanced baseline correction protocol
925 available in the SpectroGryph software was applied which fits a
926 polynomial curve to the spectral regions where there is no Raman
927 peak and enables subtraction of the variable y-offset associated with
928 the luminescence background. To enable comparison of the relative
929 changes in the Raman intensity of the 1047 cm^{-1} peak in Figures 6
930 and S10, all spectra were normalized with respect to the peak intensity
931 in the 2800–3000 cm^{-1} region. This approach was adopted as the

integration time was varied between samples to optimize the signal-to- 932
noise ratio alongside variation in background luminescence with 933
mineralization times. However, the C–H vibrational spectral shape 934
across 2800–3000 cm^{-1} remained relatively unchanged for each 935
sample, and the Raman peak intensity was also observed to change 936
proportionally with integration time in this region. For each 937
measurement, multiple spectra were acquired across the sample 938
with the focus depth also optimized, which revealed good uniformity 939
and ensured that the spectra presented are representative of the 940
sample. 941

942 **Synthesis and Purification of Peptide Amphiphiles.** The
943 peptide amphiphiles (PAs) were synthesized using solid-phase
944 peptide synthesis (SPPS) on a Liberty Blue automated microwave
945 peptide synthesizer (CEM, UK). The standard 9-fluorenylmethox-
946 ycarbonyl (Fmoc) protection chemistry on a 4-methylbenzhydryl-
947 amine (MBHA). Rink amide resin (Novabiochem Corporation, UK)
948 was employed. PAs were purified using preparative high-performance
949 liquid chromatography (Waters, USA) with a reverse-phase Xbridge
950 C18 column (Waters, USA) and a water/acetonitrile (0.1% NH_4OH
951 or TFA) binary mobile phase.

952 **Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) Assay. Implan-
953 tation, Extraction, and Chalkley Score.** Animal studies were performed
954 in accordance with the guidelines and regulations laid down in the
955 Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. CAM model was carried
956 out in accordance with Home Office Approval, UK (Project license—
957 PPL P3E01C456). Chicken eggs were acquired from Medeggs
958 (Norfolk, UK). Eggs were stored in a Hatchmaster incubator
959 (Brinsea, UK) at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a 60% humidified atmosphere and 1 h
960 rotation. To ensure the maintenance of a humidified environment in
961 the egg incubator, deionized water (DW) was supplemented every 2
962 days. Implantation was carried out after 7 days of incubation. To
963 assess embryo viability and development, eggs were candled. A
964 window of 1 cm^2 was created with a scalpel onto the egg shell
965 exposing the chorioallantoic membrane. Hydrogels were implanted,
966 and the window was sealed with a sterile Parafilm strip (Bemis,
967 Parafilm M, Laboratory Wrapping Film, Fisher Scientific, UK). Eggs
968 were return to the Hatchmaster incubator for 7 days (37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a 60%
969 humidified atmosphere) without rotation. Chalkley scoring was used
970 as previously described³ to quantify infiltration of blood vessels
971 through the implanted scaffolds. Implants and blank controls were
972 observed *in situ* under a stereo light microscope. A total of five
973 independent counts obtained from the number of vessels fitting with
974 the Chalkley graticule projected onto the samples were registered.

975 **Histological Analysis.** Integrated hydrogel samples were extracted
976 and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight. Samples were
977 further embedded in optimum cutting temperature (OCT embedding
978 matrix, CellPath, UK) and stored at -80°C . Samples were sectioned
979 using a Cryostat (CM 1850, Leica Biosystems, Germany), and 8 μm
980 thick sections were collected using Kawamoto's film method.⁴
981 Stainings (Goldner's Trichrome and Von Kossa) were subsequently
982 carried out on the cryotape. Sections were mounted using Super
983 Cryomounting Medium (SCMM) type R3 (Section LAB, Co. Ltd.
984 Japan) and UV cured for 30 min to photopolymerize the SCMM.
985 Slides were imaged the following day using a Zeiss Axiovert 200 (Carl
986 Zeiss, Germany).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.0c09814>.

Detailed explanation of the experimental methods and
additional figures (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Alvaro Mata – Institute of Bioengineering, Queen Mary
University of London, London E1 4NS, U.K.; School of
Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of 997

998 London, London E1 4NS, U.K.; School of Pharmacy,
 999 University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K.;
 1000 Biodiscovery Institute and Department of Chemical and
 1001 Environmental Engineering, University of Nottingham,
 1002 Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K.; Email: [a.mata@](mailto:a.mata@nottingham.ac.uk)
 1003 nottingham.ac.uk

1004 Authors

1005 **Babatunde O. Okesola** – Institute of Bioengineering, Queen
 1006 Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, U.K.; School of
 1007 Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of
 1008 London, London E1 4NS, U.K.; [orcid.org/0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0392-9205)
 1009 [0392-9205](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0392-9205)

1010 **Ana Karen Mendoza-Martinez** – Institute of Bioengineering,
 1011 Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, U.K.;
 1012 School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary
 1013 University of London, London E1 4NS, U.K.

1014 **Gianluca Cidonio** – Bone and Joint Research Group, Centre
 1015 for Human Development, Stem Cells and Regeneration,
 1016 Institute of Developmental Sciences, University of
 1017 Southampton, Southampton SO16 6YD, U.K.; Center for
 1018 Life Nano- & Neuro- Science (CL2NS), Fondazione Istituto
 1019 Italiano di Tecnologia, 00161 Rome, Italy

1020 **Burak Derkus** – Institute of Bioengineering, Queen Mary
 1021 University of London, London E1 4NS, U.K.; School of
 1022 Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of
 1023 London, London E1 4NS, U.K.; Department of Chemistry,
 1024 Faculty of Science, Ankara University, 06560 Ankara,
 1025 Turkey; orcid.org/0000-0001-5558-0995

1026 **Delali K. Boccorh** – Department of Pure and Applied
 1027 Chemistry, Technology and Innovation Centre, University of
 1028 Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1RD, U.K.

1029 **David Osuna de la Peña** – School of Engineering and
 1030 Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, London
 1031 E1 4NS, U.K.

1032 **Sherif Elsharkawy** – Centre for Oral, Clinical, and
 1033 Translational Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Oral, and
 1034 Craniofacial Sciences, King's College London, London SE1
 1035 1UL, U.K.

1036 **Yuanhao Wu** – School of Pharmacy, University of
 1037 Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K.; Biodiscovery
 1038 Institute, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD,
 1039 U.K.

1040 **Jonathan I. Dawson** – Bone and Joint Research Group, Centre
 1041 for Human Development, Stem Cells and Regeneration,
 1042 Institute of Developmental Sciences, University of
 1043 Southampton, Southampton SO16 6YD, U.K.; [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6712-0598)
 1044 [0000-0002-6712-0598](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6712-0598)

1045 **Alastair W. Wark** – Department of Pure and Applied
 1046 Chemistry, Technology and Innovation Centre, University of
 1047 Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1RD, U.K.; [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8736-7566)
 1048 [0001-8736-7566](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8736-7566)

1049 **Dafna Knani** – Department of Biotechnology Engineering,
 1050 ORT Braude College, Karmiel 2161002, Israel;
 1051 orcid.org/0000-0002-9490-2819

1052 **Dave J. Adams** – School of Chemistry, College of Science and
 1053 Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ,
 1054 U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0002-3176-1350

1055 **Richard O. C. Oreffo** – Bone and Joint Research Group,
 1056 Centre for Human Development, Stem Cells and
 1057 Regeneration, Institute of Developmental Sciences, University
 1058 of Southampton, Southampton SO16 6YD, U.K.;
 1059 orcid.org/0000-0001-5995-6726

Complete contact information is available at: 1060
<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c09814> 1061

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest. 1063

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by the ERC Starting Grant 1065 (STROFUNSCAFF), the Medical Research Council (UK 1066 Regenerative Medicine Platform Acellular/Smart Materials-3D 1067 Architecture, MR/R015651/1) to A.M., J.I.D., and R.O., and 1068 the AO Foundation (AOCMF-17-19M). B.O.O. was sup- 1069 ported by the Henry Royce Institute for Advanced Materials, 1070 funded through Engineering and Physical Sciences Research 1071 Council (EPSRC) grants (EP/R00661X/1, EP/ S019367/1, 1072 EP/P025021/1, and EP/P025498/1). D.J.A. thanks EPSRC 1073 for an award of a fellowship (EP/L021978/2). The experiment 1074 at the ISIS Neutron and Muon Source was allocated beam time 1075 under experiment number 1810221 (DOI: [10.5286/](https://doi.org/10.5286/ISIS.E.90604998) 1076 [ISIS.E.90604998](https://doi.org/10.5286/ISIS.E.90604998)) and collected on LARMOR. This work 1077 benefited from the SasView software, originally developed by 1078 the DANSE project under NSF award DMR-0520547. We 1079 thank Vicente Araullo-Peters and Giulia Mastroianni at 1080 Nanovision and School of Biological and Chemical Sciences 1081 (SBCS), QMUL as well as Janos Kanczler, Bone and Joint 1082 Research Group, Southampton for technical support. We thank 1083 Sarah Rogers, King Stephen, and Adam Washington from ISIS 1084 for SANS experiments. We thank Matthew Smith at Henry 1085 Royce Institute, Manchester for HRTEM and EDX analyses. 1086 We thank Richard Thorogate at London Centre for Nano- 1087 technology for AFM analyses. 1088

REFERENCES

- 1089 (1) Wegst, U. G. K.; Bai, H.; Saiz, E.; Tomsia, A. P.; Ritchie, R. O. 1090 Bioinspired Structural Materials. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *14*, 23–36. 1091
- 1092 (2) Elsharkawy, S.; Mata, A. Hierarchical Biomineralization: From 1093 Nature's Designs to Synthetic Materials for Regenerative Medicine 1094 and Dentistry. *Adv. Healthcare Mater.* **2018**, *7*, 1800178. 1094
- 1095 (3) Asenath-Smith, E.; Li, H.; Keene, E. C.; She, Z. W.; Estroff, L. A. 1096 Crystal Growth of Calcium Carbonate in Hydrogels as a Model of 1097 Biomineralization. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2012**, *22*, 2891–2914. 1097
- 1098 (4) Lemloh, M.-L.; Altintoprak, K.; Wege, C.; Weiss, I. M.; 1099 Rothenstein, D. Biogenic and Synthetic Peptides with Oppositely 1100 Charged Amino Acids as Binding Sites for Mineralization. *Materials* 1101 **2017**, *10*, 119. 1101
- 1102 (5) Pohner, G. Biomineralization in Diatoms Mediated through 1103 Peptide- and Polyamine-Assisted Condensation of Silica. *Angew. 1104 Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3167–3169. 1104
- 1105 (6) Evans, J. S. Composite Materials Design: Biomineralization 1106 Proteins and the Guided Assembly and Organization of Biomineral 1107 Nanoparticles. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 581. 1107
- 1108 (7) Pigliacelli, C.; Sánchez-Fernández, R.; García, M. D.; Peinador, 1109 C.; Pazos, E. Self-Assembled Peptide–Inorganic Nanoparticle Super- 1110 structures: From Component Design to Applications. *Chem. Commun.* 1111 **2020**, *S6*, 8000–8014. 1111
- 1112 (8) Okesola, B. O.; Suravaram, S. K.; Parkin, A.; Smith, D. K. 1113 Selective Extraction and *in Situ* Reduction of Precious Metal Salts 1114 from Model Waste to Generate Hybrid Gels with Embedded 1115 Electrocatalytic Nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 1116 183–187. 1116
- 1117 (9) Xavier, J. R.; Thakur, T.; Desai, P.; Jaiswal, M. K.; Sears, N.; 1118 Cosgriff-Hernandez, E.; Kaunas, R.; Gaharwar, A. K. Bioactive 1119 Nanoengineered Hydrogels for Bone Tissue Engineering: A 1120 Growth-Factor-Free Approach. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 3109–3118. 1120
- 1121 (10) Elsharkawy, S.; Al-Jawad, M.; Pantano, M. F.; Tejada-Montes, 1122 E.; Mehta, K.; Jamal, H.; Agarwal, S.; Shuturminska, K.; Rice, A.; 1122

- 1123 Tarakina, N. V.; Wilson, R. M.; Bushby, A. J.; Alonso, M.; Rodriguez-
1124 Cabello, J. C.; Barbieri, E.; Del Río Hernández, A.; Stevens, M. M.;
1125 Pugno, N. M.; Anderson, P.; Mata, A. Protein Disorder–Order
1126 Interplay to Guide the Growth of Hierarchical Mineralized Structures.
1127 *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2145.
- 1128 (11) Kim, E.; Agarwal, S.; Kim, N.; Hage, F. S.; Leonardo, V.; Gelmi,
1129 A.; Stevens, M. M. Bioinspired Fabrication of DNA–Inorganic
1130 Hybrid Composites Using Synthetic DNA. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*,
1131 2888–2900.
- 1132 (12) Okesola, B. O.; Ni, S.; Derkus, B.; Galeano, C. C.; Hasan, A.;
1133 Wu, Y.; Ramis, J.; Buttery, L.; Dawson, J. I.; D'Este, M.; Oreffo, R. O.
1134 C.; Eglin, D.; Sun, H.; Mata, A. Growth-Factor Free Multicomponent
1135 Nanocomposite Hydrogels that Stimulate Bone Formation. *Adv.*
1136 *Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 1906205.
- 1137 (13) Slavik, P.; Smith, D. K. Hybrid Hydrogels Loaded with
1138 Palladium Nanoparticles – Catalysts for Environmentally-Friendly
1139 Sonogashira and Heck Cross-Coupling Reactions. *Tetrahedron* **2020**,
1140 *76*, 131344.
- 1141 (14) Sugawara-Narutaki, A. Bio-Inspired Synthesis of Polymer–
1142 Inorganic Nanocomposite Materials in Mild Aqueous Systems. *Polym.*
1143 *J.* **2013**, *45*, 269–276.
- 1144 (15) Saveleva, M. S.; Eftekhari, K.; Abalymov, A.; Douglas, T. E. L.;
1145 Volodkin, D.; Parakhonskiy, B. V.; Skirtach, A. G. Hierarchy of
1146 Hybrid Materials - The Place of Inorganics-in-Organics in It, Their
1147 Composition and Applications. *Front. Chem.* **2019**, *7*, 179.
- 1148 (16) Kim, Y.-Y.; Ganesan, K.; Yang, P.; Kulak, A. N.; Borukhin, S.;
1149 Pechook, S.; Ribeiro, L.; Kröger, R.; Eichhorn, S. J.; Armes, S. P.;
1150 Pokroy, B.; Meldrum, F. C. An Artificial Biomineral Formed by
1151 Incorporation of Copolymer Micelles in Calcite Crystals. *Nat. Mater.*
1152 **2011**, *10*, 890–896.
- 1153 (17) Mann, S. Self-Assembly and Transformation of Hybrid Nano-
1154 Objects and Nanostructures under Equilibrium and Non-Equilibrium
1155 Conditions. *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, *8*, 781–792.
- 1156 (18) Sadasivan, S.; Dujardin, E.; Li, M.; Johnson, C. J.; Mann, S.
1157 DNA-Driven Assembly of Mesoporous Silica/Gold Satellite Nano-
1158 structures. *Small* **2005**, *1*, 103–106.
- 1159 (19) Nikitin, M. P.; Zdobnova, T. A.; Lukash, S. V.; Stremovskiy, O.
1160 A.; Deyev, S. M. Protein-Assisted Self-Assembly of Multifunctional
1161 Nanoparticles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2010**, *107*, 5827.
- 1162 (20) Chan, M. S.; Landig, R.; Choi, J.; Zhou, H.; Liao, X.; Lukin, M.
1163 D.; Park, H.; Lo, P. K. Stepwise Ligand-Induced Self-Assembly for
1164 Facile Fabrication of Nanodiamond-Gold Nanoparticle Dimers via
1165 Non-Covalent Biotin-Streptavidin Interactions. *Nano Lett.* **2019**, *19*,
1166 2020–2026.
- 1167 (21) Xiang, X.-F.; Li, P.-J.; Liu, B.-F. Tuning the Superhydrophobic
1168 Properties of Hierarchical Nano-Microstructural Silica Biomorph
1169 Arrays Grown at Triphasic Interfaces. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 4596.
- 1170 (22) Campbell, C. J.; Klajn, R.; Fialkowski, M.; Grzybowski, B. A.
1171 One-Step Multilevel Microfabrication by Reaction–Diffusion. *Lang-*
1172 *muir* **2005**, *21*, 418–423.
- 1173 (23) Smoukov, S. K.; Bishop, K. J. M.; Klajn, R.; Campbell, C. J.;
1174 Grzybowski, B. A. Cutting into Solids with Micropatterned Gels. *Adv.*
1175 *Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 1361–1365.
- 1176 (24) Smoukov, S. K.; Grzybowski, B. A. Maskless Microetching of
1177 Transparent Conductive Oxides (ITO and ZnO) and Semiconductors
1178 (GaAs) Based on Reaction-Diffusion. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 4722–
1179 4723.
- 1180 (25) Lovrak, M.; Hendriksen, W. E. J.; Maity, C.; Mytnyk, S.; van
1181 Steijn, V.; Eelkema, R.; van Esch, J. H. Free-Standing Supramolecular
1182 Hydrogel Objects by Reaction-Diffusion. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*,
1183 15317.
- 1184 (26) Kurylo, I.; Gines, G.; Rondelez, Y.; Coffinier, Y.; Vlandas, A.
1185 Spatiotemporal Control of DNA-Based Chemical Reaction Network
1186 via Electrochemical Activation in Microfluidics. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*,
1187 6396.
- 1188 (27) Luo, H.; Leprince-Wang, Y.; Jing, G. Tunable Growth of ZnO
1189 Nanostructures on the Inner Wall of Capillary Tubes. *J. Phys. Chem. C*
1190 **2019**, *123*, 7408–7415.
- (28) Campbell, C. J.; Smoukov, S. K.; Bishop, K. J. M.; Baker, E.;
1191 Grzybowski, B. A. Direct Printing of 3D and Curvilinear Micrometer-
1192 Sized Architectures into Solid Substrates with Sub-Micrometer
1193 Resolution. *Adv. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 2004–2008. 1194
- (29) Kleiman, M.; Brubaker, K. S.; Nguyen, D. T.; Esser-Kahn, A. P.
1195 Bio-Inspired Morphogenesis Using Microvascular Networks and
1196 Reaction–Diffusion. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 4871–4876. 1197
- (30) Nakouzi, E.; Steinbock, O. Self-Organization in Precipitation
1198 Reactions Far from the Equilibrium. *Sci. Adv.* **2016**, *2*, No. e1601144. 1199
- (31) Okesola, B. O.; Mata, A. Multicomponent Self-Assembly as a
1200 Tool to Harness New Properties from Peptides and Proteins in
1201 Material Design. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 3721–3736. 1202
- (32) Gaharwar, A. K.; Cross, L. M.; Peak, C. W.; Gold, K.; Carrow, J.
1203 K.; Brokesh, A.; Singh, K. A. 2D Nanoclay for Biomedical
1204 Applications: Regenerative Medicine, Therapeutic Delivery, and
1205 Additive Manufacturing. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1900332. 1206
- (33) Mousa, M.; Evan, N. D.; Oreffo, R. O. C.; Dawson, J. I. Clay
1207 Nanoparticles for Regenerative Medicine and Biomaterial Design: A
1208 Review of Clay Bioactivity. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *159*, 204–214. 1209
- (34) Gaharwar, A. K.; Mukundan, S.; Karaca, E.; Dolatshahi-Pirouz,
1210 A.; Patel, A.; Rangarajan, K.; Mihaila, S. M.; Iviglia, G.; Zhang, H.;
1211 Khademhosseini, A. Nanoclay-Enriched Poly(ϵ -caprolactone) Elec-
1212 trospun Scaffolds for Osteogenic Differentiation of Human
1213 Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Tissue Eng, Part A* **2014**, *20*, 2088–2101. 1214
- (35) Keratitayanan, P.; Gaharwar, A. K. Elastomeric and
1215 Mechanically Stiff Nanocomposites from Poly(Glycerol Sebacate)
1216 and Bioactive Nanosilicates. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, *26*, 34–44. 1217
- (36) Nojoomi, A.; Tamjid, E.; Simchi, A.; Bonakdar, S.; Stroeve, P.
1218 Injectable Polyethylene Glycol-Laponite Composite Hydrogels as
1219 Articular Cartilage Scaffolds with Superior Mechanical and Rheo-
1220 logical Properties. *Int. J. Polym. Mater.* **2017**, *66*, 105–114. 1221
- (37) Basu, S.; Pacelli, S.; Feng, Y.; Lu, Q.; Wang, J.; Paul, A.
1222 Harnessing the Non-Covalent Interactions of DNA Backbone with
1223 2D Silicate Nanodisks to Fabricate Injectable Therapeutic Hydrogels.
1224 *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 9866–9880. 1225
- (38) Su, D.; Jiang, L.; Chen, X.; Dong, J.; Shao, Z. Enhancing the
1226 Gelation and Bioactivity of Injectable Silk Fibroin Hydrogel with
1227 Laponite Nanoplatelets. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 9619–
1228 9628. 1229
- (39) Liu, B.; Li, J.; Lei, X.; Miao, S.; Zhang, S.; Cheng, P.; Song, Y.;
1230 Wu, H.; Gao, Y.; Bi, L.; Pei, G. Cell-Loaded Injectable Gelatin/
1231 Alginate/Laponite® Nanocomposite Hydrogel Promotes Bone
1232 Healing in a Critical-Size Rat Calvarial Defect Model. *RSC Adv.*
1233 **2020**, *10*, 25652–25661. 1234
- (40) Koshy, S. T.; Zhang, D. K.Y.; Grolman, J. M.; Stafford, A. G.;
1235 Mooney, D. J. Injectable Nanocomposite Cryogels for Versatile
1236 Protein Drug Delivery. *Acta Biomater.* **2018**, *65*, 36–43. 1237
- (41) Page, D. J.; Clarkin, C. E.; Mani, R.; Khan, N. A.; Dawson, J. I.;
1238 Evans, N. D. Injectable Nanoclay Gels for Angiogenesis. *Acta*
1239 *Biomater.* **2019**, *100*, 378–387. 1240
- (42) Capito, R. M.; Azevedo, H. S.; Velichko, Y. S.; Mata, A.; Stupp,
1241 S. I. Self-Assembly of Large and Small Molecules into Hierarchically
1242 Ordered Sacs and Membranes. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 1812. 1243
- (43) Inostroza-Brito, K. E.; Collin, E.; Siton-Mendelson, O.; Smith,
1244 K. H.; Monge-Marcet, A.; Ferreira, D. S.; Rodríguez, R. P.; Alonso,
1245 M.; Rodríguez-Cabello, J. C.; Reis, R. L.; Sagués, F.; Botto, L.; Bitton,
1246 R.; Azevedo, H. S.; Mata, A. Co-Assembly, Spatiotemporal Control
1247 and Morphogenesis of a Hybrid Protein–Peptide System. *Nat. Chem.*
1248 **2015**, *7*, 897–904. 1249
- (44) Hedegaard, C. L.; Collin, E. C.; Redondo-Gómez, C.; Nguyen,
1250 L. T. H.; Ng, K. W.; Castrejón-Pita, A. A.; Castrejón-Pita, J. R.; Mata,
1251 A. Hydrodynamically Guided Hierarchical Self-Assembly of Peptide–
1252 Protein Bioinks. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1703716. 1253
- (45) Okesola, B. O.; Lau, H. K.; Derkus, B.; Boccorh, D. K.; Wu, Y.;
1254 Wark, A. W.; Kiick, K. L.; Mata, A. Covalent Co-Assembly between
1255 Resilin-Like Polypeptide and Peptide Amphiphile into Hydrogels with
1256 Controlled Nanostructure and Improved Mechanical Properties. *1257*
Biomater. Sci. **2020**, *8*, 846–857. 1258

- 1259 (46) Okesola, B. O.; Wu, Y.; Derkus, B.; Gani, S.; Wu, D.; Knani, D.;
1260 Smith, D. K.; Adams, D. J.; Mata, A. Supramolecular Self-Assembly to
1261 Control Structural and Biological Properties of Multicomponent
1262 Hydrogels. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 7883–7897.
- 1263 (47) Zechel, S.; Hager, D. M.; Priemel, T.; Harrington, J. M. Healing
1264 through Histidine: Bioinspired Pathways to Self-healing Polymers *via*
1265 Imidazole–Metal Coordination. *Biomimetics* **2019**, *4*, 20.
- 1266 (48) Wang, Q.; Mynar, J. L.; Yoshida, M.; Lee, E.; Lee, M.; Okuro,
1267 K.; Kinbara, K.; Aida, T. High-Water-Content Mouldable Hydrogels
1268 by Mixing Clay and a Dendritic Molecular Binder. *Nature* **2010**, *463*,
1269 339–343.
- 1270 (49) Mata, A.; Palmer, L.; Tejeda-Montes, E.; Stupp, S. I. Design of
1271 Biomolecules for Nanoengineered Biomaterials for Regenerative
1272 Medicine. In *Nanotechnology in Regenerative Medicine: Methods and*
1273 *Protocols*; Navarro, M., Planell, J. A., Eds.; Humana Press: Totowa,
1274 2012; pp 39–49.
- 1275 (50) Shi, J.; Wang, C.; Ngai, T.; Lin, W. Diffusion and Binding of
1276 Laponite Clay Nanoparticles into Collagen Fibers for the Formation
1277 of Leather Matrix. *Langmuir* **2018**, *34*, 7379–7385.
- 1278 (51) BIOVIA Materials Studio - An Integrated, Multi-Scale
1279 Modeling Environment. Accessed 2020-01-25. [www.3ds.com/
1280 products-services/biovia/products/molecular-modeling-simulation/
1281 biovia-materials-studio/](http://www.3ds.com/products-services/biovia/products/molecular-modeling-simulation/biovia-materials-studio/).
- 1282 (52) Appel, E. A.; Tibbitt, M. W.; Webber, M. J.; Mattix, B. A.;
1283 Veisoh, O.; Langer, R. Self-Assembled Hydrogels Utilizing Polymer–
1284 Nanoparticle Interactions. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6295.
- 1285 (53) Ligorio, C.; Zhou, M.; Wychowanec, J. K.; Zhu, X.; Bartlam,
1286 C.; Miller, A. F.; Vijayaraghavan, A.; Hoyland, J. A.; Saiani, A.
1287 Graphene Oxide Containing Self-Assembling Peptide Hybrid Hydro-
1288 gels as a Potential 3D Injectable Cell Delivery Platform for
1289 Intervertebral Disc Repair Applications. *Acta Biomater.* **2019**, *92*,
1290 92–103.
- 1291 (54) Brown, N.; Lei, J.; Zhan, C.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Adler-
1292 Abramovich, L.; Wei, G.; Gazit, E. Structural Polymorphism in a Self-
1293 Assembled Tri-Aromatic Peptide System. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 3253–
1294 3262.
- 1295 (55) Ren, Y.; Ma, Z.; Morris, R. E.; Liu, Z.; Jiao, F.; Dai, S.; Bruce, P.
1296 G. A Solid with a Hierarchical Tetramodal Micro-Meso-Macro Pore
1297 Size Distribution. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2015.
- 1298 (56) Ng, K. C.; Burhan, M.; Shahzad, M. W.; Ismail, A. B. A
1299 Universal Isotherm Model to Capture Adsorption Uptake and Energy
1300 Distribution of Porous Heterogeneous Surface. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*,
1301 10634.
- 1302 (57) Cao, Y.; Mei, M. L.; Li, Q.-L.; Lo, E. C. M.; Chu, C. H.
1303 Polydopamine-Induced Tooth Remineralization. *ACS Appl. Mater.*
1304 *Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 410–420.
- 1305 (58) Habraken, W. J. E. M.; Tao, J.; Brylka, L. J.; Friedrich, H.;
1306 Bertinetti, L.; Schenk, A. S.; Verch, A.; Dmitrovic, V.; Bomans, P. H.
1307 H.; Frederik, P. M.; Laven, J.; van der Schoot, P.; Aichmayer, B.; de
1308 With, G.; DeYoreo, J. J.; Sommerdijk, N. A. J. M. Ion-Association
1309 Complexes Unite Classical and Non-Classical Theories for the
1310 Biomimetic Nucleation of Calcium Phosphate. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*,
1311 1507.
- 1312 (59) Mann, S. The Chemistry of Form. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**,
1313 *39*, 3392–3406.
- 1314 (60) De Yoreo, J. J.; Gilbert, P. U. P. A.; Sommerdijk, N. A. J. M.;
1315 Penn, R. L.; Whitelam, S.; Joester, D.; Zhang, H.; Rimer, J. D.;
1316 Navrotsky, A.; Banfield, J. F.; Wallace, A. F.; Michel, F. M.; Meldrum,
1317 F. C.; Cölfen, H.; Dove, P. M. Crystallization by Particle Attachment
1318 in Synthetic, Biogenic, and Geologic Environments. *Science* **2015**, *349*,
1319 aaa6760.
- 1320 (61) Kumar, M.; Luo, H.; Román-Leshkov, Y.; Rimer, J. D. SSZ-13
1321 Crystallization by Particle Attachment and Deterministic Pathways to
1322 Crystal Size Control. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13007–13017.
- 1323 (62) Asenath-Smith, E.; Hovden, R.; Kourkoutis, L. F.; Estroff, L. A.
1324 Hierarchically Structured Hematite Architectures Achieved by
1325 Growth in a Silica Hydrogel. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5184–
1326 5192.
- (63) Seto, J.; Ma, Y.; Davis, S. A.; Meldrum, F.; Gourrier, A.; Kim,
Y.-Y.; Schilde, U.; Sztucki, M.; Burghammer, M.; Maltsev, S.; Jäger,
C.; Cölfen, H. Structure-Property Relationships of a Biological
Mesocrystal in the Adult Sea Urchin Spine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
A. **2012**, *109*, 3699.
- (64) Sargeant, T. D.; Aparicio, C.; Goldberger, J. E.; Cui, H.; Stupp,
S. I. Mineralization of Peptide Amphiphiles Nanofibers and Its Effect
on Differentiation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Acta Biomater.*
2012, *8*, 2456–2465.
- (65) Liu, Y.; Meng, H.; Konst, S.; Sarmiento, R.; Rajachar, R.; Lee,
B. P. Injectable Dopamine-Modified Polyethylene Glycol Nano-
composite Hydrogel with Enhanced Adhesive Property and
Bioactivity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 16982–16992.
- (66) de Aza, P. N.; Santos, C.; Pazo, A.; de Aza, S.; Cuscó, R.; Artús,
L. Vibrational Properties of Calcium Phosphate Compounds. I.
Raman Spectrum of β -Tricalcium Phosphate. *Chem. Mater.* **1997**, *9*,
912–915.
- (67) Kurouski, D.; Van Duynea, R. P.; Lednev, I. K. Exploring the
Structure and Formation Mechanism of Amyloid Fibrils by Raman
Spectroscopy: A Review. *Analyst* **2015**, *140*, 4967–4980.
- (68) Nakayama, M.; Kajiyama, S.; Kumamoto, A.; Nishimura, T.;
Ikuhara, Y.; Yamato, M.; Kato, T. Stimuli-Responsive Hydroxyapatite
Liquid Crystal with Macroscopically Controllable Ordering and
Magneto-Optical Functions. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 568.
- (69) Marshall, K. M.; Kanczler, J. M.; Oreffo, R. O. C. J. Evolving
Applications of the Egg: Chorioallantoic Membrane Assay and *ex Vivo*
Organotypic Culture of Materials for Bone Tissue Engineering. *J.*
Tissue Eng. **2020**, *11*, 1–25.