Bulletin of the Section of Logic Volume 50/3 (2021), pp. 337–353 https://doi.org/10.18778/0138-0680.2021.03



Rajab Ali Borzooei (19) Gholam Reza Rezaei (19) Mona Aaly Kologhani (19) Young Bae Jun (19)

FALLING SHADOW THEORY WITH APPLICATIONS IN HOOPS

Abstract

The falling shadow theory is applied to subhoops and filters in hoops. The notions of falling fuzzy subhoops and falling fuzzy filters in hoops are introduced, and several properties are investigated. Relationship between falling fuzzy subhoops and falling fuzzy filters are discussed, and conditions for a falling fuzzy subhoop to be a falling fuzzy filter are provided. Also conditions for a falling shadow of a random set to be a falling fuzzy filter are displayed.

Keywords: Hoop, fuzzy subhoop, fuzzy filter, falling fuzzy subhoop, falling fuzzy filter.

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification: 03G25, 06D35, 06A11, 03E72, 06D72.

1. Introduction

In the study of a unified treatment of uncertainty modelled by meaning of combining probability and fuzzy set theory, Wang and Sanchez [17] introduced the theory of falling shadows which directly relates probability concepts with the membership function of fuzzy sets. Falling shadow representation theory shows us the way of selection relaid on the joint degrees distributions. It is reasonable and convenient approach for the theoretical

Presented by: Janusz Ciuciura Received: January 6, 2020 Published online: January 20, 2021

© Copyright by Author(s), Łódź 2021

© Copyright for this edition by Uniwersytet Łódzki, Łódź 2021

development and the practical applications of fuzzy sets and fuzzy logics. Falling shadow representation theory shows us the way of selection relaid on the joint degrees distributions. It is reasonable and convenient approach for the theoretical development and the practical applications of fuzzy sets and fuzzy logics. The mathematical structure of the theory of falling shadows is formulated in [15]. After that many scholars applied it to (fuzzy) algebraic structures (see [13, 11, 10, 12, 19, 20, 21]). Hoops which are introduced by B. Bosbach in [6, 7] are naturally ordered commutative residuated integral monoids. In [1], Agliáno introduced a continuous t-norm which is a continuous map * from $[0,1]^2$ into [0,1] such that $\langle [0,1], *,1 \rangle$ is a commutative totally ordered monoid. Since the natural ordering on [0, 1] is a complete lattice ordering, each continuous t-norm induces naturally a residuation \rightarrow and $\langle [0,1], *, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$ becomes a commutative naturally ordered residuated monoid, also called a hoop. The variety of basic hoops is precisely the variety generated by all algebras $\langle [0,1], *, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$, where * is a continuous t-norm. In [1], they investigated the structure of the variety of basic hoops and some of its subvarieties. In particular, they provided a complete description of the finite subdirectly irreducible basic hoops, and they showed that the variety of basic hoops is generated as a quasivariety by its finite algebras. They extended these results to Hájeks BL-algebras, and gived an alternative proof of the fact that the variety of BL-algebras is generated by all algebras arising from continuous t-norms on [0, 1] and their residua. Also, they in [2], overviewed recent results about the lattice of subvarieties of the variety BL of BL-algebras and the equational definition of some families of them. Kondo [14] considered fundamental properties of some types of (implicative, positive implicative and fantastic) filters of hoops, and R. A. Borzooei and M. Aaly Kologani [4] investigated some properties and equivalent definitions of these filters on hoops. Also, they studied the relation between these filters and found that under which conditions they are equivalent. Borzooei et al. studied fuzzy set theory of subhoops and filters in hoops (see [3, 5]).

In this paper, we apply the falling shadow theory to subhoops and filters in hoops. We introduce the notions of falling fuzzy subhoops and falling fuzzy filters in hoops, and investigate several properties. We consider relationship between falling fuzzy subhoops and falling fuzzy filters. We provide conditions for a falling fuzzy subhoop to be a falling fuzzy filter. We also provide conditions for a falling shadow of a random set to be a falling fuzzy filter. Also, we show that every fuzzy filter of a hoop is a falling fuzzy filter and falling fuzzy subhoop and we prove that under which conditions a falling shadow can be a falling fuzzy filter of a hoop.

2. Preliminaries

By a *hoop* we mean an algebra $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ in which $(H, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and, for any $x, y, z \in H$, the following assertions are valid.

- (H1) $x \to x = 1$,
- (H2) $x \odot (x \to y) = y \odot (y \to x),$
- (H3) $x \to (y \to z) = (x \odot y) \to z$.

We define a relation " \leq " on a hoop H by

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(x \le y \iff x \to y = 1).$$
(2.1)

It is easy to see that (H, \leq) is a poset. A nonempty subset S of H is called a *subhoop* of H if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \odot y \in S, \ x \to y \in S).$$

$$(2.2)$$

Note that every subhoop contains the element 1.

PROPOSITION 2.1 ([8]). Let $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ be a hoop. For any $x, y, z \in H$, the following conditions hold:

- (a1) (H, \leq) is a meet-semilattice with $x \wedge y = x \odot (x \to y)$.
- (a2) $x \odot y \le z$ if and anly if $x \le y \to z$.
- (a3) $x \odot y \le x, y$ and $x^n \le x$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $(a4) \ x \le y \to x.$
- (a5) $1 \rightarrow x = x$ and $x \rightarrow 1 = 1$.
- $(a6) \ x \odot (x \to y) \le y, \ x \odot y \le x \land y \le x \to y.$
- (a7) $x \to y \le (y \to z) \to (x \to z).$
- (a8) $x \leq y$ implies $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$, $z \to x \leq z \to y$ and $y \to z \leq x \to z$.
- (a9) $x \to (y \to z) = (x \odot y) \to z = y \to (x \to z).$

A nonempty subset F of a hoop H is called a *filter* of H (see [8]) if the following assertions are valid.

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(x, y \in F \Rightarrow x \odot y \in F), \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(x \in F, x \le y \Rightarrow y \in F).$$
 (2.4)

Note that the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) means that F is closed under the operation \odot and F is upward closed, respectively.

Note that a subset F of a hoop H is a filter of H if and only if the following assertions are valid (see [8]):

$$1 \in F, \tag{2.5}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) (x \to y \in F, x \in F \Rightarrow y \in F).$$
(2.6)

A fuzzy set μ in a hoop H is called a *fuzzy subhoop* of H if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in H)(\mu(x \odot y) \ge \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}, \mu(x \to y) \ge \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}).$$

$$(2.7)$$

A fuzzy set μ in a hoop H is called a *fuzzy filter* of H (see [3]) if the following assertions are valid.

$$(\forall x \in H) (\mu(x) \le \mu(1)), \qquad (2.8)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) (\mu(y) \ge \min\{\mu(x), \mu(x \to y)).$$
(2.9)

Given a fuzzy set μ in H and $t \in [0, 1]$, the set

$$\mu_t := \{ x \in H \mid \mu(x) \ge t \}$$
(2.10)

is called the *t*-level set of μ in *H*.

We now display the basic theory on falling shadows. We refer the reader to the papers [9, 15, 16, 18, 17] for further information regarding the theory of falling shadows.

Given a universe of discourse U, let $\mathcal{P}(U)$ denote the power set of U. For each $u \in U$, let

$$\ddot{u} := \{ E \mid u \in E \text{ and } E \subseteq U \}, \tag{2.11}$$

and for each $E \in \mathcal{P}(U)$, let

$$\ddot{E} := \{ \ddot{u} \mid u \in E \}. \tag{2.12}$$

340

An ordered pair $(\mathcal{P}(U), \mathcal{B})$ is said to be a hyper-measurable structure on U if \mathcal{B} is a σ -field in $\mathcal{P}(U)$ and $\ddot{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Given a probability space $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and a hyper-measurable structure $(\mathcal{P}(U), \mathcal{B})$ on U, a random set on U is defined to be a mapping $\eta : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{P}(U)$ which is \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} measurable, that is,

$$(\forall C \in \mathcal{B}) (\eta^{-1}(C) = \{ \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \eta(\varepsilon) \in C \} \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$
 (2.13)

Suppose that η is a random set on U. Let

$$\tilde{f}(u) := P(\varepsilon \mid u \in \eta(\varepsilon))$$
 for each $u \in U$.

Then \tilde{f} is a kind of fuzzy set in U. We call \tilde{f} a falling shadow of the random set η , and η is called a cloud of \tilde{f} .

For example, $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m)$, where \mathcal{A} is a Borel field on [0, 1]and m is the usual Lebesgue measure. Let \tilde{f} be a fuzzy set in U and $\tilde{f}_t := \{u \in U \mid \tilde{f}(u) \ge t\}$ be a *t*-cut of \tilde{f} . Then

$$\eta: [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}(U), \ t \mapsto \tilde{f}_t$$

is a random set and η is a cloud of \tilde{f} . We shall call η defined above as the cut-cloud of \tilde{f} (see [9]).

3. Falling fuzzy subhoops and filters

In what follows, let H denote a hoop unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space, and let

$$\eta: \mathfrak{V} \to \mathcal{P}(H)$$

be a random set. If $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter (resp. a subhoop) of H for any $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{V}$ with $\eta(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, then the falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η , i.e.,

$$\hat{f}(x) = P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon))$$
 (3.1)

is called a falling fuzzy filter (resp. falling fuzzy subhoop) of H.

Example 3.2. Consider a hoop $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ in which $H = \{0, a, b, 1\}$ is a set with Cayley tables (Tables 1 and 2). Let $(\mho, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m)$ and consider a mapping

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0	a
b	0	0	b	b
1	0	a	b	1

Table 1. Cayley table for the binary operation " \odot "

Table 2. Cayley table for the binary operation " \rightarrow "

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	b	1	b	1
b	a	a	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

$$\eta: [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}(H), \ t \mapsto \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } t \in [0,0.3), \\ \{1,a\} & \text{if } t \in [0.3,0.7], \\ \{1,b\} & \text{if } t \in (0.7,1] \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Then $\eta(t)$ is both a subhoop and a filter of H for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus the falling shadow \tilde{f} of η is both a falling fuzzy subhoop and a falling fuzzy filter of H, and it is given as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 0.4 & \text{if } x = a, \\ 0.3 & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Example 3.3. Consider a hoop $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ in which H = [0, 1] is the unit interval in \mathbb{R} and \odot and \rightarrow are given by $a \odot b = \min\{a, b\}$ and

$$a \to b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \le b, \\ b & \text{if } a > b \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

for all $a, b \in H$. Let $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m)$ and let $\eta : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{P}(H)$ be

defined by

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3}, 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } t \in [0.6, 1], \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } t \in [0, 0.6] \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Then $\eta(t)$ is a filter of H for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus the falling shadow \tilde{f} of η is a falling fuzzy filter of H, and it is given as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} 0.4 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

Example 3.4. Given a probability space $(\mathfrak{O}, \mathcal{A}, P)$, let \mathcal{H} denote the set of all mappings from \mathfrak{O} to a hoop H, that is,

$$\mathcal{H} := \{ h \mid h : \mathfrak{V} \to H \text{ is a mapping} \}.$$
(3.7)

Let \boxdot and \twoheadrightarrow be binary operations on \mathcal{H} defined by

$$(\forall \varepsilon \in \mho) \left(\begin{array}{c} (f \boxdot g)(\varepsilon) = f(\varepsilon) \odot g(\varepsilon) \\ (f \twoheadrightarrow g)(\varepsilon) = f(\varepsilon) \to g(\varepsilon) \end{array} \right)$$
(3.8)

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$. Also, we define a mapping

$$\mathbf{1}: \mho \to H, \ \varepsilon \mapsto 1. \tag{3.9}$$

It is routine to verify that $(\mathcal{H}, \boxdot, \twoheadrightarrow, \mathbf{1})$ is a hoop. For any subhoop and/or filter F of H and $h \in \mathcal{H}$, let

$$F_h := \{ \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{O} \mid h(\varepsilon) \in F \}$$

$$(3.10)$$

and

$$\eta: \mathfrak{O} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \ \varepsilon \mapsto \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid h(\varepsilon) \in F\}.$$
 (3.11)

Then $F_h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta(\varepsilon) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid h(\varepsilon) \in F\}$ is a subhoop and/or filter of \mathcal{H} . Since

$$\eta^{-1}(\ddot{h}) = \{ \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{O} \mid h \in \eta(\varepsilon) \} = \{ \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{O} \mid h(\varepsilon) \in F \} = F_h \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (3.12)$$

we know that η is a random set of \mathcal{H} . Let

$$\tilde{G}(h) = P(\varepsilon \mid h(\varepsilon) \in F).$$

Then \tilde{G} is a falling fuzzy subhoop and/or filter of \mathcal{H} .

A *BE-algebra* is an algebra $(A, \rightsquigarrow, 1)$ of the type (2, 0) such that for all $x, y, z \in A$ the following axioms are fulfilled:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (BE1) & x \rightsquigarrow x = 1, \\ (BE2) & x \rightsquigarrow 1 = 1, \\ (BE3) & 1 \rightsquigarrow x = x, \\ (BE4) & x \rightsquigarrow (y \rightsquigarrow z) = y \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow z). \end{array}$

COROLLARY 3.5. (i) The algebraic structure $(\mathcal{H}, \boxdot, \twoheadrightarrow, \mathbf{1})$ is a BCK-algebra. (ii) The algebraic structure $(\mathcal{H}, \twoheadrightarrow, \mathbf{1})$ is a BE-algebra.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 3.6. Every fuzzy filter (resp. fuzzy subhoop) of H is a falling fuzzy filter (resp. falling fuzzy subhoop) of H.

PROOF: Let \tilde{f} be a fuzzy filter (resp. fuzzy subhoop) of H. Then \tilde{f}_t is a filter (resp. subhoop) of H for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Define a random set as follows:

$$\eta: [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}(H), \ t \mapsto f_t.$$

Then \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter (resp. falling fuzzy subhoop) of H.

The converse of Theorem 3.6 is not true, in general. In fact, the falling fuzzy filter \tilde{f} in Example 3.2 is not a fuzzy filter of H since $\tilde{f}(0) = 0 < 0.3 = \min{\{\tilde{f}(a), \tilde{f}(a \to 0)\}}$.

THEOREM 3.7. Every falling fuzzy filter is a falling fuzzy subhoop.

PROOF: Straightforward.

COROLLARY 3.8. Every fuzzy filter is a falling fuzzy subhoop.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 are not true in general.

Example 3.9. Consider a hoop $(H, \odot, \rightarrow, 1)$ in which $H = \{0, a, b, 1\}$ is a set with Cayley tables (Tables 3 and 4).

 \square

\odot	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	a	a
b	0	a	b	b
1	0	a	b	1

Table 3. Cayley table for the binary operation " \odot "

Table 4. Cayley table for the binary operation " \rightarrow "

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	a	1	1	1
b	0	a	1	1
1	0	a	b	1

Let $(\mathfrak{O}, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m)$ and consider a mapping

$$\eta: [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}(H), \ t \mapsto \begin{cases} \{1,a,0\} & \text{if } t \in [0,0.4), \\ \{1,a\} & \text{if } t \in [0.4,0.75], \\ \{1,b,0\} & \text{if } t \in (0.75,1] \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

Then $\eta(t)$ is a subhoop H for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus the falling shadow \tilde{f} of η is a falling fuzzy subhoop of H which is given as follows.

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} 0.65 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 0.75 & \text{if } x = a, \\ 0.25 & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

But $\eta(t) = \{1, a, 0\}$ is not a filter of H for $t \in [0, 0.4)$ since $a \in \eta(t)$ and $a \to b = 1 \in \eta(t)$, but $b \notin \eta(t)$. Hence \tilde{f} is not a falling fuzzy filter of H. Since

$$\tilde{f}(b) = 0.25 < 0.75 = \min\{\tilde{f}(a \to b), \tilde{f}(a)\},\$$

we know that \tilde{f} is not a fuzzy filter of H.

We provide a condition for a falling fuzzy subhoop to be a falling fuzzy filter.

THEOREM 3.10. Given a falling fuzzy subhoop \tilde{f} of H, the following are equivalent.

- (1) \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.
- (2) For each $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{V}$, the following is valid.

$$(\forall x, y \in H) (x \in \eta(\varepsilon), y \in H \setminus \eta(\varepsilon) \implies x \to y \in H \setminus \eta(\varepsilon)). \quad (3.15)$$

PROOF: Assume that \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H. Then $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and $y \in H \setminus \eta(\varepsilon)$. If $x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$, then $y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ which is a contradiction. Hence $x \to y \in$ $H \setminus \eta(\varepsilon)$. Let \tilde{f} be a falling fuzzy subhoop of H in which (2) is true. Then $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a subhoop of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$. Thus $1 \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and $x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. If $y \in H \setminus \eta(\varepsilon)$, then $x \to y \in H \setminus \eta(\varepsilon)$ by (3.15). This is a contradiction, and so $y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. Therefore $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$, and thus \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

Given a probability space $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and a falling shadow \tilde{f} of a random set η on H, consider the set

$$\mho(x;\eta) := \{ \varepsilon \in \mho \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon) \}$$
(3.16)

for $x \in H$. Then $\mathcal{O}(x; \eta) \in \mathcal{A}$.

PROPOSITION 3.11. If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H, then

$$(\forall x, y \in H) (x \le y \implies \mho(x; \eta) \subseteq \mho(y; \eta)), \qquad (3.17)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\mho(x \to y; \eta) \cap \mho(x; \eta) \subseteq \mho(y; \eta) \right), \tag{3.18}$$

$$(\forall x \in H) \left(\mho(x; \eta) \subseteq \mho(1; \eta) \right), \tag{3.19}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\mho(y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(x \to y; \eta) \right).$$
(3.20)

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H) (x \odot y \le z \implies \mho(x; \eta) \cap \mho(y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(z; \eta)).$$
(3.21)

PROOF: Let \tilde{f} be a falling fuzzy filter of H. Then $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \leq y$ and let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(x; \eta)$. Then $x \to y = 1 \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. Thus $y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$, that is, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(y; \eta)$. Hence $\mathcal{O}(x; \eta) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(y; \eta)$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(x \to y; \eta) \cap \mathcal{O}(x; \eta)$ for all $x, y \in H$. Then $x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. Since $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter of H, we have $y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$, and so $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(y;\eta)$. This shows that (3.18) is valid. Since $x \leq 1$ for all $x \in H$, it follows from (3.17) that (3.19) holds. Since $y \leq x \to y$ for all $x, y \in H$, it follows from (3.17) that (3.20) holds. Let $x, y, z \in H$ be such that $x \odot y \leq z$. Then $x \leq y \to z$, i.e., $x \to (y \to z) = 1$. It follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$\begin{split} \mho(z;\eta) &\supseteq \mho(y \to z;\eta) \cap \mho(y;\eta) \\ &\supseteq \mho(x;\eta) \cap \mho(x \to (y \to z);\eta) \cap \mho(y;\eta) \\ &= \mho(x;\eta) \cap \mho(1;\eta) \cap \mho(y;\eta) \\ &= \mho(x;\eta) \cap \mho(y;\eta). \end{split}$$

Hence (3.21) is valid.

PROPOSITION 3.12. If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy subhoop of H, then

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\begin{array}{c} \mho(x; \eta) \cap \mho(y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(x \odot y; \eta) \\ \mho(x; \eta) \cap \mho(y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(x \to y; \eta) \end{array} \right).$$
(3.22)

PROOF: If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy subhoop of H, then $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a subhoop of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}(x;\eta) \cap \mathcal{V}(y;\eta)$. Then $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and $y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. It follows that $x \odot y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$, that is, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}(x \odot y;\eta)$. Hence $\mathcal{V}(x;\eta) \cap \mathcal{V}(y;\eta) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(x \odot y;\eta)$. Similarly, we get $\mathcal{V}(x;\eta) \cap \mathcal{V}(y;\eta) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(x \to y;\eta)$.

COROLLARY 3.13. Every falling fuzzy filter \tilde{f} of H satisfies the condition (3.22).

PROPOSITION 3.14. If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H, then

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\mho(x \odot y; \eta) = \mho(x; \eta) \cap \mho(y; \eta) \right), \tag{3.23}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H) \left(\mho((x \to y) \to z; \eta) \cap \mho(y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(x \to z; \eta) \right).$$
(3.24)

PROOF: Since $x \odot y \leq x$ and $x \odot y \leq y$ for all $x, y \in H$, it follows from (3.17) that $\mathcal{O}(x \odot y; \eta) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(x; \eta)$ and $\mathcal{O}(x \odot y; \eta) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(y; \eta)$ Hence $\mathcal{O}(x; \eta) \cap \mathcal{O}(y; \eta) \supseteq \mathcal{O}(x \odot y; \eta)$ for all $x, y \in H$. Combining this and Proposition 3.12 induces (3.23). Since

$$y \odot ((x \to y) \to z) \le y \odot (y \to z) \le z \le x \to z$$

for all $x, y, z \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mho(x \to z;\eta) \supseteq \mho(y \odot ((x \to y) \to z);\eta) = \mho(y;\eta) \cap \mho((x \to y) \to z;\eta) \\ & \text{by (3.17) and (3.23).} \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.15. If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy subhoop of H, then

$$(\forall x, y \in H) \left(\tilde{f}(x \odot y) \ge \tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{f}(y) - 1, \ \tilde{f}(x \to y) \ge \tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{f}(y) - 1 \right).$$
(3.25)

PROOF: Assume that \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy subhoop of H. Then $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a subhoop of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence

$$\{\varepsilon\in\mho\mid x\in\eta(\varepsilon)\}\cap\{\varepsilon\in\mho\mid y\in\eta(\varepsilon)\}\subseteq\{\varepsilon\in\mho\mid x\odot y\in\eta(\varepsilon)\}$$

and

$$\{\varepsilon \in \mho \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)\} \cap \{\varepsilon \in \mho \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)\} \subseteq \{\varepsilon \in \mho \mid x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)\}.$$

for any $x, y \in H$, and so

$$\begin{split} \bar{f}(x \odot y) &= P(\varepsilon \mid x \odot y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \cap P(\varepsilon \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) + P(\varepsilon \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) - P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon) \text{ or } y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{f}(y) - 1 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}(x \to y) &= P(\varepsilon \mid x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \cap P(\varepsilon \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) + P(\varepsilon \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) - P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon) \text{ or } y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{f}(y) - 1. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.16. If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H, then

$$\tilde{f}(y) \ge \tilde{f}(x \to y) + \tilde{f}(x) - 1 \tag{3.26}$$

for all $x, y \in H$ with $\tilde{f}(x \to y) + \tilde{f}(x) \ge 1$.

PROOF: If \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H, then $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter of H for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$. For any $x, y \in H$, if $\tilde{f}(x \to y) + \tilde{f}(x) \ge 1$, then

$$\{\varepsilon \in \mho \mid x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)\} \cap \{\varepsilon \in \mho \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)\} \subseteq \{\varepsilon \in \mho \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)\},\$$

and so

$$\begin{split} f(y) &= P(\varepsilon \mid y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq P(\varepsilon \mid x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \cap P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq P(\varepsilon \mid x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)) + P(\varepsilon \mid x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) - P(\varepsilon \mid x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon) \text{ or } x \in \eta(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \tilde{f}(x \to y) + \tilde{f}(x) - 1. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.17. For any falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η , if two conditions (3.18) and (3.19) are valid, then \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

PROOF: Assume that $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is nonempty for all $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}$. Then there exists $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and so $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(x;\eta) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(1;\eta)$. Thus $1 \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \to y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$ and $x \in \eta(\varepsilon)$. Then $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(x \to y;\eta)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(x;\eta)$. It follows from (3.18) that

$$\varepsilon \in \mho(x \to y; \eta) \cap \mho(x; \eta) \subseteq \mho(y; \eta).$$

Thus $y \in \eta(\varepsilon)$, and hence $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is a filter of H. Therefore the falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

THEOREM 3.18. If a falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η satisfies (3.17), (3.19) and (3.23), then \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

PROOF: Let $x, y \in H$. Since $x \odot (x \to y) \le y$, it follows from (3.17) and (3.23) that

$$\mho(y;\eta)\supseteq \mho(x\odot(x\to y);\eta)=\mho(x;\eta)\cap \mho(x\to y;\eta).$$

Therefore \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.17.

PROPOSITION 3.19. If a falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η satisfies (3.17) and (3.23), then

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H) (\mho(x \to y; \eta) \cap \mho(y \to z; \eta) \subseteq \mho(x \to z; \eta)), \qquad (3.27)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in H) \left(\mho(x \odot z; \eta) \cap \mho(x \to y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(y \odot z; \eta) \right).$$
(3.28)

 \square

PROOF: Since $(x \to y) \odot (y \to z) \le x \to z$ for all $x, y, z \in H$, the condition (3.27) is induced by (3.17) and (3.23). Since $(z \odot x) \odot (x \to y) \le z \odot y$ for all $x, y, z \in H$, the condition (3.28) is induced by (3.17) and (3.23).

Since every falling fuzzy filter \tilde{f} of H satisfies two conditions (3.17) and (3.23), we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.20. Every falling fuzzy filter \tilde{f} of H satisfies the conditions (3.27) and (3.28).

THEOREM 3.21. If a falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η satisfies (3.19) and (3.21), then \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

PROOF: Let \tilde{f} be a falling shadow of the random set η satisfying (3.19) and (3.21). Since $x \odot (x \to y) \leq y$ for all $x, y \in H$, we have $\mho(x; \eta) \cap \mho(x \to y; \eta) \subseteq \mho(y; \eta)$. Using Theorem 3.17, we know that \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

THEOREM 3.22. If a falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η satisfies (3.19) and (3.24), then \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

PROOF: Let \tilde{f} be a falling shadow of the random set η satisfying (3.19) and (3.24). Taking x = 1, y = x and z = y in (3.24) induces the condition (3.18). Therefore \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.17.

THEOREM 3.23. If a falling shadow \tilde{f} of the random set η satisfies (3.19) and (3.28), then \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H.

PROOF: Let \tilde{f} be a falling shadow of the random set η satisfying (3.19) and (3.28). Taking z = 1 in (3.28) induces the condition (3.18). Therefore \tilde{f} is a falling fuzzy filter of H by Theorem 3.17.

4. Conclusions and future work

The falling shadow theory is applied to subhoops and filters in hoops. The notions of falling fuzzy subhoops and falling fuzzy filters in hoops are introduced, and several properties are investigated. Relationship between falling fuzzy subhoops and falling fuzzy filters are discussed, and conditions for a falling fuzzy subhoop to be a falling fuzzy filter are provided. Also conditions for a falling shadow of a random set to be a falling fuzzy filter are displayed. On the basis of these results, we will apply the theory of falling shadows to the another type of ideals and filters in hoops and investigate some properties and equali definition of them and study the relation between them in future study.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- P. Agliano, I. Ferreirim, F. Montagna, Basic hoops: An algebraic study of continuous t-norms, Studia Logica, vol. 87 (2007), pp. 73–98, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11225-007-9078-1.
- P. Agliano, F. Montagna, Varieties of BL-algebras, I: General properties, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 181(2–3) (2003), pp. 105– 129, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(02)00329-8.
- [3] R. Borzooei, M. A. Kologani, On fuzzy filters of hoop-algebras, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, vol. 25(1) (2017), pp. 177–195.
- [4] R. A. Borzooei, M. A. Kologani, Filter theory of hoop-algebras, Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6(4) (2014), pp. 72–86, DOI: https://doi.org/10.5373/jarpm.1895.120113.
- [5] R. A. Borzooei, M. M. Takallo, M. A. Kologani, Y. B. Jun, *Fuzzy sub-hoops based on fuzzy points*, submitted.
- B. Bosbach, Komplementäre halbgruppen. axiomatik und arithmetik, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 64(3) (1969), pp. 257–287.
- B. Bosbach, Komplementäre Halbgruppen. Kongruenzen und Quotienten, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 69(1) (1970), pp. 1–14.
- [8] G. Georgescu, L. Leustean, V. Preoteasa, Pseudo-hoops, Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic Soft Computing, vol. 11(1–2) (2005), pp. 153– 184.
- [9] I. Goodman, Fuzzy sets as equivalence classes of random sets, [in:] R. Yager (ed.), Fuzzy Sets and Possibility Theory: Recent Developments, Pergamon, New York (1982), pp. 327–343.
- [10] Y. Jun, M. Kang, Fuzzifications of generalized Tarski filters in Tarski algebras, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 61(1) (2011), pp. 1–7, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.10.024.

- [11] Y. Jun, C. Park, Falling shadows applied to subalgebras and ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Honam Mathematical Journal, vol. 34(2) (2012), pp. 135–144, DOI: https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2012.34.2.135.
- [12] Y. Jun, S. Song, Falling fuzzy quasi-associative ideals of BCI-algebras, Filomat, vol. 26(4) (2012), pp. 649–656, DOI: https://doi.org/10.2298/ FIL1204649J.
- [13] Y. B. Jun, M. S. Kang, Fuzzy positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebras based on the theory of falling shadows, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 61(1) (2011), pp. 62–67, DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.camwa.2010.10.029.
- [14] M. Kondo, Some types of filters in hoops, Multiple-Valued Logic, (IS-MVL), (2011), pp. 50–53, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMVL.2011.9.
- [15] S. Tan, P. Wang, E. Lee, Fuzzy set operations based on the theory of falling shadows, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 174(1) (1993), pp. 242–255, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993. 1114.
- [16] S. Tan, P. Wang, X. Zhang, Fuzzy inference relation based on the theory of falling shadows, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 53(2) (1993), pp. 179–188, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(93)90171-D.
- [17] P. Wang, Fuzzy sets and falling shadows of random sets, Beijing Normal University Press, Beijing (1985).
- [18] P. Wang, E. Sanchez, Treating a fuzzy subset as a projectable random set, [in:] M. M. Gupta, E. Sanchez (eds.), Fuzzy Information and Decision, Pergamon, New York (1982), pp. 213–220.
- [19] X. Yuan, E. Lee, A fuzzy algebraic system based on the theory of falling shadows, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 208(1) (1997), pp. 243–251, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1997.5331.
- [20] J. Zhan, Y. Jun, Fuzzy ideals of near-rings based on the theory of falling shadows, UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A, vol. 74(3) (2012), pp. 67–74.
- [21] J. Zhan, Y. Jun, H. Kim, Some types of falling fuzzy filters of BLalgebras and its applications, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, vol. 26(4) (2014), pp. 1675–1685, DOI: https://doi.org/10.3233/IFS-130847.

Rajab Ali Borzooei

Shahid Beheshti University Faculty of Mathematical Sciences Department of Mathematics 1983969411, Daneshjoo Boulevard Tehran, Iran

e-mail: borzooei@sbu.ac.ir

Gholam Reza Rezaei

University of Sistan and Baluchestan Department of Mathematics 98167-45845, Daneshjoo Boulevard Zahedan, Iran

e-mail: grezaei @math.usb.ac.ir

Mona Aaly Kologhani

Hatef Higher Education Institute 9816848165, Bozorgmehr Boulevard Zahedan, Iran

e-mail: mona4011@gmail.com

Young Bae Jun

Gyeongsang National University Department of Mathematics Education Jinju 52828 Korea

e-mail: skywine@gmail.com