Biodegradation of bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions: Kinetics, carbon fate and particle size effect

Octavio García-Depraect, Raquel Lebrero, Sara Rodriguez-Vega, Sergio Bordel, Fernando Santos-Beneit, Leonardo J. Martínez-Mendoza, Rosa Aragão Börner, Tim Börner, Raúl Muñoz

PII:	S0960-8524(21)01607-2
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126265
Reference:	BITE 126265
To appear in:	Bioresource Technology
Received Date:	27 August 2021
Revised Date:	26 October 2021
Accepted Date:	28 October 2021



Please cite this article as: García-Depraect, O., Lebrero, R., Rodriguez-Vega, S., Bordel, S., Santos-Beneit, F., Martínez-Mendoza, L.J., Aragão Börner, R., Börner, T., Muñoz, R., Biodegradation of bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions: Kinetics, carbon fate and particle size effect, *Bioresource Technology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126265

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.

	Journal Pre-proofs
1	Biodegradation of bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions: Kinetics,
2	carbon fate and particle size effect
3	Octavio García-Depraect ^a , Raquel Lebrero ^a , Sara Rodriguez-Vega ^a , Sergio Bordel ^a , Fernando
4	Santos-Beneitª, Leonardo J. Martínez-Mendozaª, Rosa Aragão Börner ^ь , Tim Börner ^ь , Raúl
5	Muñoz ^{a*}
6	^a - Institute of Sustainable Processes, University of Valladolid, Dr. Mergelina, s/n, 47011, Valladolid,
7	Spain
8	^b - Nestlé Research, Société des Produits Nestlé S.A, Route du Jorat 57, 1000 Lausanne,
9	Switzerland
10	*Corresponding author: R.M. mutora@iq.uva.es
11	
12	Abstract
13	The biodegradation of PHB, PHBV, PBS, PBAT, PCL, PLA, and a PLA-PCL blend was
14	systematically compared under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions assessing
15	biodegradation kinetics, extent, carbon fate and particle size influence (in the range of 100-1000
16	μm). Under standard test conditions, PHB and PBHV were biodegraded anaerobically (83.9 $\pm 1.3\%$
17	and $81.2\pm1.7\%$, respectively) in 77 days or aerobically ($83.0\pm1.6\%$ and $87.4\pm7.5\%$) in 117 days,
18	while PCL was only biodegraded (77.6±2.4%) aerobically in 177 days. Apparent biomass growth
19	accounted for up to 30.5% of the total initial carbon depending on the bioplastic and environment.
20	Maximum aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation rates were improved up to 331 and 405%,
21	respectively, at the lowest particle size tested (100–250 μ m). This study highlights the usefulness
22	of a more detailed analysis of biodegradation kinetics and carbon fate to improve both the
23	development and testing of biodegradable materials/products in the context of a circular
24	bioeconomy.

Keywords: Aerobic biodegradation; biodegradation tests; bioplastics; circular economy; end-of-life
 management; organic recycling.

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Owing to their biodegradability and circularity potential, the use of biodegradable bioplastics (BBs) has gained increasing momentum to face the global plastic pollution problem (Dorigato, 30 2021; García-Depraect et al., 2021). Although the BBs produced worldwide (1.2 million tons in 31 2020) currently represent a small share (~1%) of the global production of plastics, a significant 32 market growth for BBs of almost 50% is expected by 2025 (European Bioplastics, 2021). The 33 34 growth on the use of BBs is fostered by the European Union and beyond through the implementation of different measures devoted to adopting a sustainable plastics economy while 35 36 mitigating plastic pollution. The European Commission launched the Circular Economy Action 37 Plan (CEAP) and the European Green Deal, which included different recently published and forthcoming initiatives on single-use plastics, plastic packaging, microplastics, as well as bio-38 39 based, biodegradable and compostable plastics (European Commission, 2021). The 40 implementation of such policy framework in a comprehensive way will require an improved knowledge on bioplastics, addressing fundamental and priority issues such as their 41 biodegradability, recyclability, toxicological safety (Ding et al., 2021; Malafaia et al., 2021) and 42 43 their direct or indirect impact on surrounding environments (Bandini et al., 2020; Sanz-Lázaro et 44 al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2021). 45 Despite the extent and rate of biodegradation of several bioplastics have been previously investigated in different environments under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, the results 46

47 obtained are not conclusive and more studies are needed to deepen our understanding of BBs

48 biodegradation (Bátori et al., 2018; Chamas et al., 2020; Folino et al., 2020; Shrestha et al.,

49 2020). For instance, a recent literature review on the anaerobic biodegradation of poly(lactic acid)

50	(PLA) and poly(ϵ -caprolactone) (PCL) has highlighted the significant variability in their
51	biodegradation level, without a clear correlation to temperature or incubation time (Quecholac-
52	Piña, 2020). Although biodegradability tests are conducted under standardized laboratory
53	conditions following International (ISO), European (CEN) and American (ASTM) standards, for
54	example, there are several factors affecting the rate and extent of biodegradation that vary
55	among the reported studies. Biotic and abiotic factors depend on the specific experimental
56	conditions as well as the nature of the microorganisms involved. Hence, more systematic,
57	comparative testing and mechanistic data on the biodegradation of BBs is still needed to engineer
58	biodegradable materials/products, to develop end-of-life management processes, and to gain a
59	detailed and comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms underlying bioplastic
60	biodegradation. In this context, each BB material must be evaluated under the same testing
61	scheme in order to achieve unbiased comparisons of biodegradability results (SEPEA, 2020).
62	The biodegradation extent of plastics is typically followed by measuring the oxygen demand or
63	carbon dioxide (CO ₂) evolution, or the amount of CO ₂ and methane (CH ₄) evolved when the
64	plastic material is either aerobically or anaerobically biodegraded. Thus, biodegradation standard
65	tests only account for the mineralized carbon, overlooking the carbon fixed in the form of cell
66	biomass (Chinaglia et al., 2018; García-Depraect et al., 2021). The terms "mineralization" and
67	"biodegradation" are commonly used interchangeably in the literature for the sake of simplicity;
68	however, they are related to different processes. Yet, mineralization represents the last stage
69	following biodeterioration and biofragmentation, but is in fact an essential step in the
70	biodegradation process. Mineralization provides information about the actual metabolic capability
71	of a microorganism or a community to convert the polymer monomers or fragments that have
72	been generated in the preceding two stages into biomass, gasses (CO_2 and/or CH_4), water and
73	potentially other metabolites [(further details on biodegradation mechanism can be found, for
74	example, in the review by García-Depraect et al. (2021)]. In this context, an overall carbon

75	balance analysis (for the different carbon sinks) could provide a more meaningful and
76	comprehensive information of biodegradation (Chiellini et al., 2007; Pagga et al., 2001; Urstadt et
77	al., 1995). The carbon balance approach may in fact be vital to reliably quantify biodegradation
78	and can thus allow for a sustained judgement regarding the polymer fate and completeness of
79	biodegradation in a defined environment as compared to the current methods defined in the
80	international and local biodegradation test standards (ISO, ASTM, CEN, etc.). However, very little
81	is known about the fate of carbon during the biodegradation of the various BBs. Moreover,
82	despite it is well recognized that particle size and surface area are important interdependent
83	factors affecting the process of biodegradation of plastics, only few studies have investigated their
84	impact on biodegradation (Chinaglia et al., 2018; Yagi et al., 2012), and comparatively less
85	attention has been devoted to aqueous environments. Therefore, a complementary experimental
86	framework other than the guidelines set by the existing biodegradation test standards for
87	bioplastics is required to get a deeper understanding of their biodegradation and the correlation
88	between the different factors influencing biodegradation (García-Depraect et al., 2021).
89	This study aimed at extending the standard test method through a more detailed analysis
90	including kinetics, carbon fate and effect of particle size. The biodegradation rate and extent of a
91	diverse set of polyester-based bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions was
92	systematically investigated and compared. The tested bioplastics included poly(3-
93	hydroxybutyrate) (PHB), poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV), poly(butylene
94	succinate) (PBS), poly(butylene adipate-co-terephthalate) (PBAT), PLA, PCL and a PLA-PCL
95	blend, which are the most commonly used BBs (European Bioplastics, 2021). The fate of carbon
96	during BBs biodegradation and the effect of three different particle sizes on BBs biodegradation
97	kinetics were also investigated. The findings obtained in this study could be useful in the design,
98	testing, and up-cycling of BBs in the context of a more sustainable, circular, and resource-efficient
99	bioeconomy.

100 2. Materials and methods

101 **2.1. Materials**

The BBs used in this study were PHB (ENMAT[™] Y3000P), PHBV (ENMAT[™] Y1000P, 3

103 mol% HV), PBS (BioPBSTM FZ91PM/FZ91PB), PBAT (Mvera® B5037), PLA (LUMINY® L105),

- 104 PCL (Capa[®] 6500D), and PLA/PCL 80/20 blend (PLA Luminy[®] L105/PCL Capa[®] 6500D). All BBs
- 105 were purchased from the Technological Institute of Packaging, Transportation and Logistics
- 106 (ITENE, Spain). In addition, microcrystalline cellulose with a particle size distribution (≥ 80%) of
- 107 20-160 µm (Merck Ltd., Germany, CAS number 9004-34-6) and high density polyethylene
- 108 (HDPE) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, product number 427985) were used as the reference materials for
- 109 positive and negative controls, respectively. Polymer data according to its technical data sheet is
- summarized in the e-supplementary material.

111 The plastic materials, which were initially in a pellet form, were grinded in a commercial

blender (Cecotec Titanium 2000 pro, Spain) equipped with titanium blades. Repeated crushing

113 (~3 min on, ~5 min off) using dry ice as a cooling strategy was employed to avoid melting and

recrystallization, as reported elsewhere (Yagi et al., 2012). Finally, the polymer powders were

sieved using an electromagnetic siever (CISA RP-20, Spain) with stainless-steel sieves of 100,

116 250, 500 and 1000 µm and then dried at room temperature. The different powder fractions were

stored in closed packaging under dark and dry conditions at room temperature until usage.

According to the recommendations of the standards ISO 14852 and ISO 14853, the tested BBs

- 119 (and HDPE) were used in powdered form with a particle size of 100-250 μm.
- 120 **2.2.** Aerobic biodegradation test

121 Polymer biodegradation under aqueous aerobic conditions was determined according to the

- standard ISO 14852: Determination of the ultimate aerobic biodegradability of plastic materials in
- an aqueous medium Method by analysis of evolved carbon dioxide. In brief, the
- biodegradability test was carried out in 2.1 L gas-tight glass bioreactors (1 L of working volume)

125	containing either 150 mg/L of the tested BBs, cellulose (positive control) or HDPE (negative
126	control), 64.8 mL of the activated sludge inoculum (corresponding to a final concentration of 0.5 g
127	total solids (TS)/L), and 935.2 mL of a mineral salt medium freshly prepared with the following
128	composition (in mg/L): KH ₂ PO ₄ , 85.0; K ₂ HPO ₄ , 217.5; Na ₂ HPO ₄ :2H ₂ O, 334.0; NH ₄ Cl, 5.0;
129	MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O, 22.5; CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O, 36.4; FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O, 0.25. All chemicals used were of analytical
130	grade. Blank tests without any carbon source addition were also performed in parallel to correct
131	for the background (endogenous) CO_2 production. The bioreactors were closed with rubbers
132	septa and aluminum caps, and then incubated under gentle agitation (at 4.5 rpm) in a roller
133	shaker (Wheaton Scientific Products, USA) placed in a controlled-temperature room (25 \pm 1 °C)
134	under diffuse light conditions. Activated sludge kindly supplied by the sewage treatment plant of
135	Valladolid (Spain) was employed as inoculum within 1 day after collection. This inoculum was not
136	previously adapted to the biodegradation of the target plastics as the only carbon and energy
137	source. The initial pH of the cultivation broths in the bioreactors was 7.0 \pm 0.1. The CO ₂ and O ₂
138	concentration in the headspace was measured periodically until the mineralization curve
139	plateaued. When the O_2 concentration in the headspace decreased below 5%, the headspace
140	was aerated with an air compressor for 5 min to prevent O_2 limitation during the biodegradability
141	test. All tests were performed in triplicate.
142	The degree of biodegradation (D_T), expressed in percentage, at time t (in days) was calculated

by comparing the cumulative net carbon evolved as CO_2 gas in the bioreactor headspace (in mg) from the test (or reference) material with its corresponding theoretical amount (ThCO₂, in mg), as shown in Eq. (1); where, $\sum (CO_2)_{Test}$ is the accumulated mass of CO₂ evolved (in mg) in the bioreactor containing the test (or reference) material between the start of the test and time *t*, and $\sum (CO_2)_{Blank}$ is the accumulated mass of CO₂ evolved (in mg) in the blank bioreactor between the start of the test and time *t*. ThCO₂ was calculated according to Eq. (2), where *m* is the mass (in mg) of the test material, *X_C* is the carbon content of the test material (express as a mass

150	fraction) determined from its stoichiometric formula, $\mathrm{MM}_{\mathrm{CO2}}$ is the molecular mass of CO2, and
151	$\ensuremath{MM_{C}}$ is the molecular mass of carbon. At the end of the biodegradability test, the net mass of
152	dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC, as CO_2 in the liquid phase), after subtracting the mean blank
153	values, was added to the cumulative net carbon evolved as CO_2 in the headspace. The final
154	biodegradation degree (D_F) was then calculated by comparing the total amount of carbon
155	converted to CO_2 (mCO_{27}) with its ThCO ₂ , as shown in Eq. (3). Additionally, for the sake of
156	comparison, biodegradation was also estimated by measuring the consumption of O_2 according
157	to the standard test method ISO 14851.

158
$$D_T = \frac{\Sigma(CO_2)_{Test} - \Sigma(CO_2)_{Blank}}{ThCO_2} \times 100$$
 (1

159
$$ThCO_2 = m \times X_c \times \frac{MM_{CO_2}}{MM_c}$$
(2)

$$160 \qquad D_F = \frac{mCO_{2T}}{ThCO_2} \times 100$$

(3)

161 **2.3. Anaerobic biodegradation test**

Polymer biodegradation under anaerobic conditions was determined according to the standard 162 ISO 14853: Plastics — Determination of the ultimate anaerobic biodegradation of plastics 163 materials in an aqueous system — Method by measurement of biogas production. The 164 165 biodegradability test was performed in 2.1 gas-tight glass bioreactors using the same agitation 166 apparatus and conditions as described in Section 2.1. First, an aliquot of anaerobic inoculum was 167 added to obtain the desired concentration of 1 g TS/L. Then, 150 mg/L of the test material, 168 cellulose or HDPE was introduced accordingly, and finally the bioreactors were filled up with a 169 defined mineral salt medium up to a total volume of 1 L (the initial pH was 7.1). The bioreactors 170 were then flushed with pure helium gas (Abello Linde, Barcelona, Spain) for 5 min to ensure anaerobic conditions (which were corroborated by gas chromatographic analyses and the lack of 171 172 color of resazurin, a redox indicator), and incubated in the dark under mesophilic conditions (36 ± 1 °C). The mineral salt medium consisted of the following (g/L): KH₂PO₄, 0.27; Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O, 173

1.12; NH₄Cl, 0.53; CaCl₂ 2H₂O, 0.075; MgCl₂ 6H₂O, 0.1; FeCl₂ 4H₂O, 0.02; resazurin, 0.001; 174 175 Na₂S·9H₂O, 0.1. All reagents were of analytical grade. The methanogenic inoculum herein used was obtained from the mesophilic anaerobic sludge digester of Valladolid sewage treatment 176 plant. This inoculum was not acclimated for plastics biodegradation and was preincubated for 7 177 days at 36 ± 1 °C, without addition of any nutrient and carbon source, in order to reduce the 178 background gas production. Prior to use, the inoculum was washed twice (mineral salt medium, 179 180 10000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C) and suspended in fresh mineral salt medium to reduce its inorganic carbon content (< 20 mg/L) in the final test suspension. Blank (inoculum and mineral 181 salt medium), positive (cellulose) and negative (HDPE) control tests were also performed. All 182 assays were conducted in triplicate. After 1 h of incubation at 36 ± 1 °C, gas pressure in the 183 headspace was measured with a manometer and the excess gas was vented in order to reach 184 equilibrium. The pressure and concentration of CO₂ and CH₄ in the bioreactor headspace were 185 186 measured weekly until the mineralization curve plateaued.

The degree of biodegradation $(D_T, \%)$ at time t (in days) was estimated by comparing the net 187 188 mass of carbon evolved in the headspace (as CO₂ and CH₄) from the test (or reference) material 189 with the mass, in mg, of carbon of the test/reference material (m_v) , as shown in Eq. (4); where $\Sigma(C_{biogas})_{Test}$ and $\Sigma(C_{biogas})_{Blank}$ are the cumulative mass of gaseous carbon as CO₂ and 190 CH₄ evolved (in mg) in the bioreactors containing the test (or reference) material and in the blank 191 192 bioreactors, respectively, between the start of the test and time t. The final biodegradation degree (D_F) was calculated using Eq. (5); where mC_T is the total amount, in mg, of organic carbon 193 194 converted to inorganic carbon and CH₄ at the end of the test (final net mass of inorganic carbon 195 in the liquid phase plus the cumulative net carbon evolved in the headspace).

196
$$D_T = \frac{\sum (C_{biogas})_{Test} - \sum (C_{biogas})_{Blank}}{m_v} \times 100$$
(4)

$$197 D_F = \frac{mC_T}{m_v} \times 100 (5)$$

198 2.4. Carbon mass balance

199	A series of experiments was additionally conducted with the aim of estimating carbon fate
200	during the biodegradation of BBs under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The BBs tested were
201	those significantly biodegraded in the aerobic (i.e., PHB, PHBV, and PCL) and anaerobic (i.e.,
202	PHB and PHBV) biodegradability tests above described. The experiments under aerobic
203	conditions were carried out in 2.1 L gas tight glass bioreactors (0.2 L working volume) at 25 \pm 1
204	°C and under diffuse light conditions. Each bioreactor was filled with 5 mL of fresh activated
205	sludge inoculum (resulting in 20 mg volatile suspended solids (VSS)/L), 200 mg of the tested BBs
206	(corresponding to 1.0 g volatile solids (VS)/L), and 195 mL of mineral salt medium (KH $_2$ PO $_4$, 3.75
207	g/L; Na ₂ HPO ₄ ·2H ₂ O, 8.73 g/L; NH ₄ Cl, 0.2 g/L; MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O, 22.5 mg/L; CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O, 36.4 mg/L;
208	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O, 25 mg/L). A particle size lower than 100 μ m was employed to enhance BB
209	bioavailability, as recommended by Pagga et al. (2001). Triplicate assays for each tested BB and
210	blanks (only inoculum and medium) were carried out. The cultures were aerated with air when the
211	oxygen concentration in the headspace decreased below 10% (v/v).) The carbon derived from the
212	apparent biomass (measured as VSS) was calculated assuming that the stoichiometric formula of
213	biomass is $C_5H_7NO_2$.
214	The apparent biomass yield on bioplastic ($Y_{X/S}$, mg VSS/mg bioplastic) was calculated by
215	dividing the apparent net biomass growth (in mg VSS) by the mass of test material (in mg). The
216	CO_2 yield on bioplastic ($Y_{CO2/S}$, mg CO_2 /g bioplastic) was calculated by dividing the total net
217	carbon converted to CO_2 (in mg) by the mass of test material (in g). During aerobic
218	biodegradation, the degraded bioplastic carbon was diverted to CO_2 in the gas phase, DIC,
219	dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and new biomass.
220	The assessment of carbon fate under anaerobic conditions was carried out in triplicate under
221	similar experimental conditions to those described for the anaerobic biodegradability test (Section
222	2.2), but with a polymer concentration of 1 g VS/L and using a mineral salt medium with higher

buffer capacity by increasing the concentration of KH_2PO_4 and $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12H_2O$ from 0.27 and

1.12 g/L to 1.35 and 5.6 g/L, respectively. The anaerobically biodegraded bioplastic carbon was diverted to CO_2 and CH_4 , DIC, DOC and new biomass. The carbon fixed in the form of biomass was assumed to be 10% of the total initial carbon (Chernicharo, 2015). Theoretical CO_2 (Th CO_2) and CH_4 (Th CH_4) production were calculated following Buswell's equation (Buswell and Neave, 1930). At STP conditions (0 °C, 1 atm), the maximum biogas yields are 1041 NmL/g PHB and 1083 NmL/g PHBV with a theoretical CH_4 content of 56 and 58%, respectively.

230 **2.5. Influence of particle size on BB biodegradation**

The effect of particle size on the bioplastic biodegradation extent and rate was investigated 231 under aerobic and anaerobic conditions for PHB, PHBV and PCL (the latter only under aerobic 232 conditions). Similar experiments to the aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation tests previously 233 described in sections 2.2 and 2.3, respectively, were performed in triplicate using mineral salt 234 media with high buffer capacity and nutrients concentrations, and 1.0 g VS/L of polymer 235 236 concentration (Section 2.4). Three particle sizes were assessed, namely 100-250, 250-500 and 500–1000 µm. Biodegradability data were fitted to the modified Gompertz model (Eq. (6)), as 237 238 recommended by Ryan et al. (2017), in order to compare the biodegradation kinetics:

239
$$C = P \times \exp\left\{-exp\left[\frac{R \times e}{P}(\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\}$$
(6)

- where *C* is the cumulative carbon in the gas phase (mg C/g bioplastic) at incubation time *t* (days), *P* is the maximum conversion of plastic carbon to gaseous carbon (mg C/g-bioplastic), *R* is the maximum rate of mineralization (mg C/g-bioplastic·day), λ is the lag time (in days) of gas carbon products release, and e is the Euler's constant (2.7182).
- 244 2.6. Analytical methods

The time course of cumulative gas carbon produced during biodegradation (as CO₂ and CH₄) was measured by manometric and gas-chromatographic methods, using a pressure transducer (IFM electronic PN7097, Germany) and a gas chromatograph (Agilent 8860, The Netherlands) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (GC-TCD) following procedures described

elsewhere (Posadas et al., 2014). Solid concentrations (including VSS as a measure of biomass
concentration) were analyzed according to standard methods (APHA, 2005). DIC and DOC were
measured by a total organic carbon analyzer (Shimadzu TOC-VCSH, Japan) in filtered samples
(0.45 µm). Finally, the concentrations of nitrite and nitrate were quantified by HPLC-IC in filtered
samples (0.22 µm) according to Posadas et al. (2014).

254 3. Results and discussion

255 **3.1. Biodegradation of bioplastics under aerobic conditions in aqueous medium**

Fig. 1 shows the mineralization curves for the tested materials under aerobic conditions. After

117 days of testing, the degrees of mineralization of PHB, PHBV and PCL were 79.6 \pm 0.4%,

 $84.5 \pm 9.3\%$ and $75.7 \pm 2.3\%$, respectively. Note that considering the amount of DIC, the final

biodegradation degrees were $83.0 \pm 1.6\%$ (PHB), $87.4 \pm 7.5\%$ (PHBV) and $77.6 \pm 2.4\%$ (PCL).

260 These results would be considered as "passed to be biodegradable" according to the ISO

standard test pass and fail criteria as they reach at least 90% conversion into CO₂ in comparison

to the positive control (cellulose, Figure 1). The profile of the biodegradation curves for these BBs

was comparable, showing lag phases of 11–13 days likely due to the fact that microorganisms

264 present in the activated sludge used as inoculum were not adapted to the BBs and required some

time to synthesize enzymes such as PHA (polyhydroxyalkanoate) depolymerases, cutinases,

lipases and esterases for depolymerization (Pathak and Navneet, 2017). After this lag phase, a

rapid CO₂ production was observed up to day 45, with PCL experiencing a slightly higher

biodegradation rate than the other BBs but lower than that of cellulose. Comparatively, no

significant biodegradation was observed for PBS, PBAT, PLA and the PLA-PCL blend, indicating

that those bioplastics are non-biodegradable under the tested aerobic conditions in aqueous

medium. As expected, the biodegradation of cellulose reached $86.8 \pm 2.3\%$ in a shorter period

272 (68 days), while neither significant CO₂ production nor O₂ consumption was observed in the

273 bioreactor containing HDPE, thereby meeting the validity criteria of the standard method ISO

274	14852 (the degree of biodegradation of the reference material (cellulose) shall be > 60% at the
275	end of the test, with variations of less than 20% between triplicates; no significant amount of
276	evolved CO_2 (> 10%) shall be observed in the negative control).
277	The final biodegradation levels estimated from the measurement of O_2 consumption (88.6 \pm
278	2.5%, 88.3 \pm 3.7% and 78.9 \pm 4.4% for PHB, PHBV and PCL, respectively) did not differ
279	substantially from those observed via CO ₂ monitoring, but were all found to be slightly higher (see
280	e-supplementary materials). This suggests that both methods are well suited for estimating the
281	biodegradability of plastics under aerobic conditions in aqueous medium. It is, however,
282	interesting that the determined biodegradability of PHB showed the highest discrepancy of about
283	5% (83% vs. 88%) amongst the tested polymers, indicating that other oxygen depleting
284	processes may have occurred concomitantly. Indeed, nitrification occurred during the assay (with
285	measured concentrations of nitrate ranging from 51.4 to 90.0 mg/L depending on the material),
286	implying that the oxygen demand from nitrogen oxidation should be considered to accurately
287	measure the aerobic biodegradability of plastics. Kunioka and co-workers reported in a
288	composting study that PCL biodegrades more readily into CO2 rather than forming higher
289	amounts of biomass and metabolites, as it was found for PBS (Kunioka et al., 2009). The authors
290	concluded that the monomer (6-hydroxyhexanoic acid) of PCL is readily incorporated into the
291	beta-oxidation cycle, which requires molecular oxygen as redox partner. PBS, however, was
292	found here not be biodegradable under aqueous conditions, probably due to the difference in
293	microbiota, emphasizing the need for caution in biodegradability comparison between
294	environments and conditions, respectively. Nevertheless, although most material-based carbon
295	(78–88%) was aerobically converted into CO_2 through microbial respiration, it is expected that the
296	ultimate biodegradation of PHB, PHBV and PCL could be even higher since the carbon fraction
297	diverted to new biomass was not determined at this point. Indeed, as will be discussed in Section
298	3.3, the apparent amount of carbon that is channeled into the formation of new biomass under

aerobic conditions could amount to as high as 30%, thereby highlighting the importance of
 measuring the entire carbon flow endpoint for each polymer during biodegradation, which
 otherwise would underestimate biodegradability significantly.

302 The biodegradable nature of PCL under aerobic aguatic conditions herein observed agrees with the results reported by others (Mezzanotte et al., 2005; Pagga et al., 2001). To the best of 303 the author's knowledge, this is the first time that the aerobic biodegradation behavior of PHB and 304 305 PHBV have been reported. The differences in biodegradation rate and profile as well as extent of the tested polymers in aqueous medium herein observed, can be assigned to their chemical 306 structure, morphology and difference in formulation of the resin material, respectively (García-307 308 Depraect et al., 2021). For instance, the synthetic, aliphatic-aromatic co-polyester PBAT is produced from the poly-condensation reaction of adipic acid, terephthalic acid and 1,4-309 butanediol, which implies that the efficient biodegradation of PBAT requires the enzymatic 310 311 depolymerization and further metabolization of its constitutive monomers/oligomers. The content of aromatic monomers, such as terephthalic acid, increases the hydrophobic character and the 312 313 rigidity (packing, crystallinity) of the polymer structure making it more resistant to enzymatic 314 hydrolysis (Zumstein et. al., 2017). Contrarily, natural aliphatic polyesters PHB and PHBV are well known to be highly biodegradable in managed and unmanaged environments (Meereboer et 315 al., 2020). Also, the synthetic polymer PCL, a linear aliphatic polyester composed of 6-316 317 hydroxyhexanoic acid, can be biodegraded in aqueous aerobic environments. This could be assigned to its structural similarity, particularly of a trimer of 6-hydroxyhexanoate, to cutin 318 319 degradation products (a natural polymeric compound found in the plant cuticle) (Suzuki et al., 2021). Finally, (bio)plastics are typically formulated and contain low amounts (0.1–10% and 320 higher) of additives (Hahladakis et al., 2018) (in order to produce pellets, so called base resin, 321 through extrusion, for example). These formulation additives may be non-biodegradable and 322

inhibitory, respectively, to the biodegradation process and are likely to vary between the tested

The mineralization curves based on the ratio between the net cumulative gas carbon

bioplastics. Their content, however, could not be determined in this study.

326

325 **3.2.** Biodegradation of bioplastics under anaerobic conditions in aqueous medium

produced (CO₂ and CH₄) and the theoretical amount of evolved biogas are shown in Fig. 2. 327 Following 77 days of incubation, the biodegradability levels of PHB and PBHV on a gas carbon 328 basis were $74.9 \pm 1.9\%$ and $71.1 \pm 2.4\%$, respectively. However, when considering the amount 329 of soluble inorganic carbon (DIC) at the end of the test, the degree of biodegradability accounted 330 for $83.9 \pm 1.3\%$ and $81.2 \pm 1.7\%$. The time course of anaerobic biodegradation for PHB and 331 PHBV exhibited the same trend, with a long lag phase of ~18 days followed by an active 332 biodegradation phase until day ~49, and a gradual decline in the biodegradation rate afterwards. 333 The use of a non-pre-exposed anaerobic sludge as inoculum source could explain the long lag 334 335 phases observed. It has been argued that PHBV with a 3% HV content has a similar crystallinity to PHB, and thus may display a similar biodegradation behavior (Meereboer et al., 2020). On the 336 337 other hand, the percentages of biodegradation of cellulose and HDPE (positive and negative 338 reference materials, respectively) were $86.7 \pm 2.4\%$ and $1.4 \pm 0.3\%$ in 56 and 77 days. respectively; the latter is considered as no mineralization. The final pH values ranged between 339 6.8 and 7.0, regardless of the tested condition or reference material, which are conducive to the 340 341 anaerobic digestion process. Therefore, it can be concluded that the anaerobic biodegradability test herein performed was valid in compliance with ISO 14853. 342

The degrees of mineralization of PLA, PBS and PCL were $4.6 \pm 1.9\%$, 3.1 ± 1.6 and $4.5 \pm 1.0\%$

0.3%, respectively, while no (< 2%) mineralization was observed for PBAT and the PLA/PCL

345 blend, similarly to HDPE. PCL experienced a low microbial degradation under anaerobic

conditions, but it was easily mineralized under aerobic conditions (as discussed in Section 3.1),

347 which was in accordance with earlier studies (Abou-Zeid et al., 2001; Massardier-Nageotte et al.,

348	2006, Hubackova et al., 2013). Assuming the hydrolyzed monomer 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid
349	undergoes beta-oxidation (Kunioka et al., 2009), oxygen is required as redox partner and thus the
350	PCL monomer could not be further metabolized under anaerobic conditions. In addition, the lack
351	of suitable extracellular, hydrolytic enzymes for initial PCL degradation into monomers has been
352	reported (Gan et al., 1997) and is assumed to be exacerbated in the absence of molecular
353	oxygen (O_2) since anaerobic microorganisms grow slower and typically encode fewer enzymes
354	(Siracusa, 2019). Likewise, PLA has been shown to be susceptible to biodegradation only at
355	thermophilic temperatures, which are close to its glass transition temperature (T_g) (Yagi et al.,
356	2009). These high temperatures trigger chemical hydrolysis and facilitate the attachment of
357	microorganisms/enzymes onto the polymer surface by increasing polymer hydrophilicity (Itävaara
358	et al., 2002). PBS, which is an aliphatic BBs synthetized from succinic acid and 1,4-butanediol,
359	has also been shown to undergo very little or no biodegradation under anaerobic conditions (Cho
360	et al., 2011; Yagi et al., 2014). Comparatively, polyhydroxyalkanoates can be degraded by many
361	microorganisms using extra- and intracellular PHAs depolymerases because they are produced
362	naturally by living cells/organisms, thus their biodegradation process is easier and more natural.
363	Empirical estimations on carbon fate indeed indicate ultimate biodegradation values of 95.9 \pm
364	1.9% and 93.7 \pm 2.8% for PHB and PHBV, respectively, with 10% of the carbon present in the
365	PHAs diverted to biomass formation (see Section 3.3).
366	3.3. Distribution of carbon during bioplastics biodegradation under aerobic and anaerobic
367	conditions in aqueous medium
368	The relevance of conducting an accurate carbon balance, including not only the determination
369	of CO_2 , DIC and DOC, but also the carbon assimilated in the form of biomass to accurately

- measure the ultimate biodegradability of bioplastics, was already pointed out by Pagga et al.
- 371 (2001). The authors made an international ring-test to investigate the suitability of the standard
- 372 ISO 14852 to quantify the biodegradability of plastics and found that the carbon assimilated as

biomass contributed significantly to the degree of biodegradation by up to 40%. In this study, the 373 374 apparent amount of carbon polymer diverted into biomass varied significantly between the polymers and test conditions (aerobic/anaerobic) ranging from 10.0% to 30.5% (Table 1). Note, 375 376 as the actual biomass in the activated sludge cannot be measured directly, it was thus indirectly 377 calculated from VSS analysis assuming that the stoichiometric formula of biomass is $C_5H_7NO_2$ (see section 2.4), and thus the term apparent biomass fraction will be used. The sum of the 378 379 soluble organic and inorganic fraction as well as the respiratory gasses together provide a good estimation for the carbon balance approach. 380 Aerobic biodegradation. The carbon mass balance analysis performed from the BBs 381 382 biodegradation assays in aqueous medium under aerobic and anaerobic conditions is summarized in Table 1. The assessment of carbon fate under aerobic conditions lasted for 16-383 days. When PHB, PHBV and PCL were biodegraded in an aerobic aqueous environment, most 384 385 carbon (up to 73.4%) was diverted to the generation of CO₂ gas, while biomass growth accounted 386 for 13.6–30.5% depending on the bioplastic. The amount of carbon trapped in the liquid phase in 387 the form of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) or soluble organic compounds (DOC) was, on 388 average, below 8.3% and 3.2%, respectively, regardless of the BBs. This shows that DIC and DOC together contribute up to 10% to the overall carbon balance and biodegradability value, 389 respectively, and should thus be part of a test standard. 390

The carbon mass balance for PHB, PHBV and PCL accounted for $99.1 \pm 0.8\%$, $95.2 \pm 0.8\%$

and $105.5 \pm 1.5\%$ of the initial carbon present in the polymers, respectively. The apparent

biomass growth, which equals to the total, apparent biomass concentration measured at the end

of the test minus the initial seed biomass concentration, was estimated as 143.3 ± 32.1 , $156.7 \pm$

11.5 and 363.3 ± 5.8 mg VSS/L for PHB, PHBV and PCL, respectively. This translates into

average cell yields (Y_{X/S}) of 0.14, 0.15 and 0.36 mg VSS/mg bioplastic for PHB, PHBV and PCL,

397 respectively. Interestingly, the average CO₂ yield on substrate (Y_{CO2/S}) was 1600 mg CO₂/g

bioplastic, regardless of the BBs, which accounted for 80.3, 77.2 and 70.4% of the theoretical

total CO₂ production from PHB, PHBV and PCL, respectively.

400 The higher (apparent) biomass fraction produced from PCL (30.5%) under aerobic aqueous

conditions was about double to that of PHB (13.5%) and PHBV (14.3%). A possible explanation

for higher apparent biomass could be that PCL and its monomer 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid

403 undergoes beta-oxidation, providing the energy for ATP synthesis and acetyl-CoA that can be

used for cell growth besides further oxidation via the TCA cycle (Jimenez-Diaz et al., 2017).

Based on a previous study, PCL can be considered highly biodegradable under aerobic

406 composting conditions (Funabashi et al., 2007). Yet, the 7-10% less CO₂ production compared to

407 the PHAs (63.8% vs. 73.4% and 70.5%, Table 1) together with the similar DIC and DOC values

408 (about 10% in total), may not fully explain the two-fold increase in apparent biomass. The total

409 (apparent) carbon recovery of over 100% (105.5%) for PCL may suggest that the PCL

410 formulation contained about 5% of non-biodegradable additives (Hahladakis et al., 2018) that

411 could have remained in the sludge.

401

412 For all three polymers, the DOC analyses herein conducted did not allow to distinguish 413 between microbial metabolic products (e.g., proteins) or degradation intermediates dissolved in the aqueous phase. Yet, it is reasonable to assume that the residual polymer was negligible in 414 this study, not only because of the total carbon recovery values being close to 100% (95.2-415 416 105.5%), but also standard resin material of PHB, PHBV and PCL have been used that contain minimal amounts of additives and are minimally processed (personal communication by supplier). 417 418 Moreover, it is well known that the PHAs used in this study are readily biodegradable (Meereboer et al., 2020; Mezzanotte et al., 2005). Nevertheless, for new polymer formulations and final 419 products (e.g., packaging), it should be recommended, for studies and official standard testing 420 alike, to assess the risk of not fully biodegraded and non-biodegradable residuals that origin from 421 422 insufficient incubation time and non-biodegradable components, respectively.

423	Anaerobic biodegradation. The distribution of carbon during the anaerobic biodegradation of
424	PHB and PHBV, however, was found to be comparable. Most carbon (\approx 77%) at the end of the
425	assay was present in the gas phase in the form of CO_2 and CH_4, the latter accounting for 58.3 \pm
426	0.4% and 55.3 \pm 0.3% of the total carbon content of PHB and PHBV, respectively. The maximum
427	CH ₄ yields were 495.8 \pm 4.0 NmL CH ₄ /g PHB and 480.1 \pm 15.5 NmL CH ₄ /g PHBV, which are
428	similar or even higher than those using food waste (Demichelis et al., 2017) or liquid swine
429	manure codigested with agroindustrial wastes (Schievano et al., 2014) as the substrate. The
430	percentage of the plastic carbon present as DIC at the end of the assay was 12.4 \pm 0.7% for PHB
431	and 10.7 \pm 0.1% for PHBV, while the dissolved organic compounds represented around 1.5%
432	regardless of the BBs, which is theoretically equivalent to a final acetate concentration of 37.5
433	mg/L (corresponding to "healthy" anaerobic digestion). The plastic carbon present in the biomass
434	was assumed to be 55.8 and 58.1 mg for PHB and PHBV, respectively, (equivalent to 10% of the
435	total initial carbon) (Chernicharo, 2015). Biomass shares of approximately 5–15% of the total
436	biodegradable organic matter are typically determined in well balanced anaerobic digestion
437	systems (Chernicharo, 2015). The average carbon recoveries were in the range of 97.2 to
438	100.7%, with a small standard deviation, thereby suggesting that PHB and PHBV formulation
439	used here were (almost) completely biodegraded under anaerobic conditions. Note, although the
440	employed biodegradable materials are in form of standard resins and minimally processed, they
441	may contain small amounts of additives needed for producing the resins as well as designed for
442	dedicated applications and processing, which would explain the gap to 100% anaerobic
443	biodegradability in this study.
444	The results of this aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation study confirmed that the
445	measurement of gas carbon generation (CO_2 and CH_4) in standard biodegradation tests alone is
446	not a reliable proxy to estimate the extent of biodegradation of plastics at the end of the test, but
447	rather for the biodegradation rate, as previously suggested in other works (Pagga et al., 2001;

448	Urstadt et al., 1995). Test standards for biodegradability assessment typically utilize cellulose as
449	a reference to represent a 100% biodegradable material, which does not account for differences
450	in metabolism and carbon sinks depending on the materials used and may well under- or
451	overestimate the fraction of biomass produced as clearly demonstrated in this study. In addition,
452	nor do todays test standards quantify soluble and insoluble carbon matter in the remaining media,
453	which amounted to about 11% for all biodegradable materials tested in this study (see Table 1).
454	Therefore, a more accurate approach is recommended to evaluate the biodegradation of BBs via
455	a detailed carbon mass balance considering not only the carbon in the form of gas products and
456	biomass, but also the fractions of DIC, DOC and residual polymer (if any).
457	3.4. Influence of particle size on BB biodegradation
458	After grinding and sieving the BBs in its resin form, the biodegradation of the resulting sieved
459	fractions, i.e., 100–250, 250–500, 500–1000 $\mu\text{m},$ was evaluated under aerobic and anaerobic
460	conditions in aqueous medium. The specific surface area for the tested particle sizes was
461	mathematically calculated by assuming the particles shape as spheres with a diameter equal to
462	the median of each particle range, as reported elsewhere (Chinaglia et al., 2018). The theoretical
463	average specific surface areas were 274.3, 128.0 and 64.0 cm ² /g material for the fractions of

464 100–250, 250–500, 500–1000 μ m, respectively, regardless of the BBs.

The effect of particle size on the extent and rate of biodegradation is shown in Fig. 3 for 465 466 aerobic conditions and Fig. 4 for anaerobic conditions. Regardless of the BBs and environment 467 tested, the results clearly show that the lower the particle size (higher specific surface area), the higher the mineralization rate. When the BBs were biodegraded under aerobic conditions, there 468 469 were no clear differences among treatments in the final extent of mineralization, sustaining a net total CO₂ production in the gas phase of 1424.5 ± 93.1 , 1378.3 ± 81.7 and 1683.5 ± 58.9 mg for 470 PHB, PHBV and PCL, respectively, which corresponded to $69.6 \pm 4.5\%$, $64.7 \pm 3.8\%$ and $72.7 \pm$ 471 472 2.5% of the respective theoretical amount of CO₂ evolved. Likewise, a similar behavior in the

473	biodegradation curves of PHB and PHBV was observed under anaerobic conditions (Fig. 4a and
474	b). However, the degree of biodegradation of the PHB with a particle size of 500-1000 μm was
475	slightly lower compared to the other powder fractions. Hence, the net total carbon production
476	present in the gas phase for PHB was 436.7 \pm 1.5, 406.5 \pm 11.7 and 347.4 \pm 19.4 mg; and 426.7
477	\pm 13.1, 423.3 \pm 3.2 and 342.8 \pm 38.6 mg for PHBV, using particles sizes of 100–250, 250–500
478	and 500–1000 μ m, respectively. Evident from Fig. 3 and 4, linear kinetic profiles indicate that the
479	lower available specific surface area is rate limiting for the biodegradation process and could be
480	explained by pseudo-zero order kinetics. Under the assumption of biodegradation requiring an
481	initial surface erosion step that is executed by extracellular enzymes, the biodegradation rate is
482	dependent on the specific surface area that contains available, enzymatically cleavable polymer
483	bonds to produce metabolizable products for mineralization (Chinaglia et al., 2018).
484	The effect of particle size on biodegradation kinetics is given in Table 2. The kinetic data fitting
485	resulted in calculated R ² values higher than 0.985, indicating that the modified Gompertz model
486	adequately describes the BB biodegradation process (see e-supplementary materials). The
487	cumulative mineralization rate was inversely correlated with the particle size regardless of the
488	environment and bioplastic. The lower particle size herein tested supported up to 331 and 405%
489	higher maximum aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation rates, respectively, than those obtained
490	with a particle size of 500–1000 μ m. Particle size did not have a markedly influence on the lag
491	phase (λ) among all the tested BBs. Note that the model slightly underestimated the lag time
492	when tested the fraction with lower particle size (100–250 μ m) under aerobic conditions (see e-
493	supplementary materials). However, bioplastics with a larger particle size still required longer
494	times to be aerobically/anaerobically biodegraded because less surface area was available for
495	bacterial biodegradation. For instance, using particle sizes of 100–250, 250–500 and 500–1000
496	$\mu\text{m},$ the anaerobic biodegradation of PHB and PHBV reached a plateau after 35, 42 and 65 days
497	and 35, 56 and 65 days, respectively. Under aerobic conditions, the smallest particle size of

498 PHAs required around 52 days of incubation to reach a plateau in the biodegradation, while the 499 largest particle size needed a 3-month degradation time. Likewise, the biodegradation of PCL 500 (100–250 µm) plateaued after 65 days of incubation, while 79 and 86 days were needed for 501 particle size ranges of 250–500 and 500–1000 µm, respectively. 502 Based on the obtained biodegradation results, small particle sizes are clearly advantageous to 503 reduce the assay time for biodegradation test standards and to eliminate surface-limiting effects, 504 in order to assess the intrinsic biodegradability of a material, as previously outlined by Chinaglia 505 et al. (2018), who assessed the effect of particle size on the biodegradation of the polyester poly(1,4-butylene sebacate) under controlled composting conditions at laboratory scale. On the 506 507 other hand, such biodegradability results, originating from standard tests and typically communicated via a certificate by a material supplier, provide only limited applicability. For 508 example, the anaerobic digestion of BBs with energy recovery represents a promising end-of-life 509 510 opportunity but only for some BBs such as PHAs (Abraham et al., 2021). However, the fastest 511 anaerobic biodegradation time to reach full conversion of PHA required in this study, of 35-42 512 days, using the smallest particle sizes (100-250 µm) would still require residence times in 513 anaerobic digesters significantly longer than the typical residence times applied in sludge, urban solid waste or livestock manure digesters under mesophilic conditions (20-30 days). In addition, 514 grinding the plastic waste to such small particle size fraction as tested in this study is impractical 515 516 and probably prohibitive from an energy balance point of view for scale-up. In this context, commercial grinders at full scale can shred plastics into pieces with higher lengths (few cm), 517 518 which are expected to require comparatively longer digestion times due to a surface area limitation, pointing out the importance of applying other robust, cost-effective, and efficient BBs 519 pretreatments. The application of mechanical, thermal, or chemical pretreatments can also help 520 to reduce the degree of crystallinity and the molecular mass of the bioplastics while increasing 521

522 polymer porosities and specific surface area, thus facilitating their accessibility to enzymatic

523 attack and lower residence times (García-Depraect et al., 2021).

524 **3.5 Implications of the study and further research needs**

525 This work aims at extending the biodegradation standard test methods for bioplastics through 526 a more detailed analysis including kinetics, carbon fate and effect of particle size. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study applying this comprehensive analysis to systematically 527 528 investigate and compare the biodegradation rate and extent of seven common polyester-based 529 bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions. The results obtained highlight the usefulness of the carbon balance approach to improve both the development and testing of 530 531 biodegradable materials/products. Importantly, the increase in the biomass growth should be considered to determine polymer fate and to accurately assess the ultimate biodegradability. 532 Moreover, it should be discussed to which extent test standards and methods should and can be 533 534 optimized to achieve full biodegradation, as they should rather attempt to mimic the receiving 535 environment of the bioplastic waste product, which, however, can vary significantly and thus may 536 make it difficult to develop a representative test standard. It was beyond the scope of this study to 537 propose and validate a new method for determining the biodegradability of plastic materials but should be taken into consideration in future studies. Further improvements in the biodegradability 538 of bioplastics require not only the design of tailor-made BBs but also of in-depth mechanistic 539 540 studies on biodegradation. More research is necessary to provide further insights in the BBsdegrading microorganisms and their related enzymes and biodegradation mechanisms. To 541 542 achieve superior biodegradability features, constitutive monomers or oligomers derived either via enzymatic or chemical reactions, should be further metabolized by microorganisms. In this 543 context, the development of efficient engineered biocatalysts is a current research gap. 544 Additionally, this study provides implications to promote a practical and enhanced end-of-life 545 546 management of bioplastics, especially via anaerobic digestion which is currently applied in many

547	developed and developing countries facing the plastic pollution problem. The development and
548	evaluation of pretreatment aided anaerobic digesters co-fed with (bio)plastics is a relevant topic
549	for research in the field. Thus, the assessment of how bioplastics affect in the long term the
550	operational performance and microbiology of the process is highly recommended. The possibility
551	that persistent micro- and nanoplastics and additives are released during the biodegradation of
552	bioplastics, and their potential toxicological effect on the environment and human health, should
553	also be assessed in further studies, as recently pointed out by Liao and Chen (2021). Finally,
554	novel integrated upcycling strategies for the microbial production, degradation and valorization of
555	BBs should be pursued in the context of a circular bioeconomy.
556	4. Conclusions
557	The biodegradation kinetics, extent, and carbon fate of several bioplastics was systematically
558	investigated under aerobic/anaerobic conditions in aqueous medium according to test standards.
559	PCL was biodegraded only under aerobic conditions, while PHB and PHBV were biodegraded
560	regardless of the conditions. The C-balance analysis estimated the different carbon sinks
561	(gasses, biomass, soluble compounds), thus, would add a valuable analysis to the existing
562	biodegradation tests. Lower particle sizes favored higher mineralization rates, reducing the assay
563	duration, but may remain unrealistic/impractical for waste treatment applications. Conclusively,
564	combining C-balance and kinetic analysis can aid to improve the development and testing of
565	biodegradable materials/products.
566	E-supplementary data for this work can be found in e-version of this paper online.
567	Acknowledgments
568	This project was financed by Société des Produits Nestlé S.A. The financial support from the
569	Regional Government of Castilla y León and the EU-FEDER programme (CLU 2017-09 and UIC
570	315) is also gratefully acknowledged.

572 References

- 573 [1]. Abou-Zeid, D.M., Müller, R.J., Deckwer, W.D., 2001. Degradation of natural and synthetic
- polyesters under anaerobic conditions. J. Biotechnol. 86, 113–126.
- 575 [2]. Abraham, A., Park, H., Choi, O., Sang, B.I., 2021. Anaerobic co-digestion of bioplastics as a
- 576 sustainable mode of waste management with improved energy production A review.
- 577 Bioresour. Technol. 322, 124537.
- [3].APHA, 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Stand.
 Methods 541.
- 580 [4].Bandini, F., Misci, C., Taskin, E., Cocconcelli, P.S., Puglisi, E., 2020. Biopolymers modulate
- 581 microbial communities in municipal organic waste digestion. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 96.
- [5]. Bátori, V., Åkesson, D., Zamani, A., Taherzadeh, M.J., Sárvári Horváth, I., 2018. Anaerobic
- 583 degradation of bioplastics: A review. Waste Manag. 80, 406–413.
- [6].Buswell, A.M., Neave, S.L., 1930. Laboratory studies of sludge digestion. Bulletin (Illinois
 State Water Survey), 30.
- 586 [7]. Chamas, A., Moon, H., Zheng, J., Qiu, Y., Tabassum, T., Jang, J.H., Abu-Omar, M., Scott,
- 587 S.L., Suh, S., 2020. Degradation Rates of Plastics in the Environment. ACS Sustain. Chem.
- 588 Eng. 8, 3494–3511.
- 589 [8]. Chernicharo, C.A.L, 2007. Anaerobic Reactors. IWA publishig, London, pp. 1–4.
- 590 [9]. Chiellini, E., Corti, A., D'Antone, S., Billingham, N.C., 2007. Microbial biomass yield and
- 591 turnover in soil biodegradation tests: Carbon substrate effects. J. Polym. Environ. 15, 169–
- 592 178.
- [10]. Chinaglia, S., Tosin, M., Degli-Innocenti, F., 2018. Biodegradation rate of biodegradable
 plastics at molecular level. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 147, 237–244.
- [11]. Cho, H.S., Moon, H.S., Kim, M., Nam, K., Kim, J.Y., 2011. Biodegradability and
- 596 biodegradation rate of poly (caprolactone) -starch blend and poly (butylene succinate)

- 597 biodegradable polymer under aerobic and anaerobic environment. Waste Manag. 31, 475–
- 598 480.
- 599 [12]. Demichelis, F., Pleissner, D., Fiore, S., Mariano, S., Navarro Gutiérrez, I.M., Schneider, R.,
- 600 Venus, J., 2017. Investigation of food waste valorization through sequential lactic acid
- 601 fermentative production and anaerobic digestion of fermentation residues. Bioresour. Technol.
- 602 241, 508–516.
- [13]. Ding, W., Li, Z., Qi, R., Jones, D.L., Liu, Q., Liu, Q., Yan, C., 2021. Effect thresholds for the
- 604 earthworm *Eisenia fetida*: Toxicity comparison between conventional and biodegradable
- microplastics. Sci. Total Environ. 781, 146884.
- 606 [14]. Dorigato, A., 2021. Advanced industrial and engineering polymer research recycling of
- thermosetting composites for wind blade application. Adv. Ind. Eng. Polym. Res. 4, 116–132.
- [15]. European Bioplastics, 2021. Biodegradable plastics, available at https://www.european-
- 609 bioplastics.org/bioplastics/materials/biodegradable/ (accessed on 14 July 2021).
- 610 [16]. European Commission, 2021. Circular economy action plan,
- https://ec.europa.eu/environment/strategy/circular-economy-action-plan_en (accessed on 14
 July 2021).
- [17]. Folino, A., Karageorgiou, A., Calabrò, P.S., Komilis, D., 2020. Biodegradation of wasted
- bioplastics in natural and industrial environments: A review. Sustain. 12, 1–37.
- [18]. Funabashi, M., Ninomiya, F., Kunioka, M., 2007. Biodegradation of polycaprolactone powders
- 616 proposed as reference test materials for international standard of biodegradation evaluation
- 617 method. J. Polym. Environ. 15, 7–17.
- 618 [19]. Gan, Z., Liang, Q., Zhang, J., Jing, X., 1997. Enzymatic degradation of poly(ε-caprolactone)
- film in phosphate buffer solution containing lipases. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 56, 209–213.
- [20]. García-Depraect, O., Bordel, S., Lebrero, R., Santos-Beneit, F., Börner, R.A., Börner, T.,
- 621 Muñoz, R., 2021. Inspired by nature: Microbial production, degradation and valorization of

- biodegradable bioplastics for life-cycle-engineered products. Biotechnol. Adv.
- [21]. Hahladakis, J.N., Velis, C.A., Weber, R., Iacovidou, E., Purnell, P., 2018. An overview of
- 624 chemical additives present in plastics: Migration, release, fate and environmental impact
- during their use, disposal and recycling. J. Hazard. Mater.
- 626 [22]. Hubackova, J., Dvorackova, M., Svoboda, P., Mokrejs, P., Kupec, J., Pozarova, I., Alexy, P.,
- Bugaj, P., Machovsky, M., Koutny, M., 2013. Influence of various starch types on PCL/starch
- blends anaerobic biodegradation. Polym. Test. 32, 1011–1019.
- [23]. Itävaara, M., Karjomaa, S., Selin, J.F., 2002. Biodegradation of polylactide in aerobic and
- anaerobic thermophilic conditions. Chemosphere 46, 879–885.
- 631 [24]. Jimenez-Diaz, L., Caballero, A., Segura, A., 2019. Pathways for the Degradation of Fatty
- Acids in Bacteria, in: Rojo, F. (Ed.), Aerobic Utilization of Hydrocarbons, Oils, and Lipids.
- 633 Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 291–313.
- [25]. Kunioka, M., Ninomiya, F., Funabashi, M., 2009. Biodegradation of poly(butylene succinate)
- powder in a controlled compost at 58°C evaluated by naturally-occurring carbon 14 amounts
- in evolved CO_2 based on the ISO 14855-2 method. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 10, 4267–4283.
- [26]. Liao, J., Chen, Q., 2021. Biodegradable plastics in the air and soil environment: Low
- degradation rate and high microplastics formation. J. Hazard. Mater. 418, 126329.
- [27]. Malafaia, G., Nascimento, Í.F., Estrela, F.N., Guimarães, A.T.B., Ribeiro, F., Luz, T.M. da,
- 640 Rodrigues, A.S. de L., 2021. Green toxicology approach involving polylactic acid
- 641 biomicroplastics and neotropical tadpoles: (Eco)toxicological safety or environmental hazard?
- 642 Sci. Total Environ. 783, 146994.
- [28]. Massardier-Nageotte, V., Pestre, C., Cruard-Pradet, T., Bayard, R., 2006. Aerobic and
- anaerobic biodegradability of polymer films and physico-chemical characterization. Polym.
- 645 Degrad. Stab. 91, 620–627.
- [29]. Meereboer, K.W., Misra, M., Mohanty, A.K., 2020. Review of recent advances in the

- 647 biodegradability of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) bioplastics and their composites. Green
- 648 Chem. 22, 5519–5558.
- [30]. Mezzanotte, V., Bertani, R., Innocenti, F.D., Tosin, M., 2005. Influence of inocula on the
- results of biodegradation tests. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 87, 51–56.
- [31]. Pagga, U., Schäfer, A., Müller, R.J., Pantke, M., 2001. Determination of the aerobic
- biodegradability of polymeric material in aquatic batch tests. Chemosphere 42, 319–331.
- [32]. Pathak, V.M., Navneet, 2017. Review on the current status of polymer degradation: a
- microbial approach. Bioresour. Bioprocess. 4.
- [33]. Posadas, E., Bochon, S., Coca, M., García-González, M.C., García-Encina, P.A., Muñoz, R.,
- 656 2014. Microalgae-based agro-industrial wastewater treatment: a preliminary screening of
- biodegradability. J. Appl. Phycol. 26, 2335–2345.
- [34]. Quecholac-Piña, X., Hernández-Berriel, M. D. C., Mañón-Salas, M. D. C., Espinosa-Valdemar,
- R. M., Vázquez-Morillas, A., 2020. Degradation of plastics under anaerobic conditions: A short
 review. Polymers, 12(1), 109.
- [35]. Ryan, C.A., Billington, S.L., Criddle, C.S., 2017. Assessment of models for anaerobic
- biodegradation of a model bioplastic: Poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate). Bioresour.
- 663 Technol. 227, 205–213.
- [36]. Sanz-Lázaro, C., Casado-Coy, N., Beltrán-Sanahuja, A., 2021. Biodegradable plastics can
- alter carbon and nitrogen cycles to a greater extent than conventional plastics in marine
- sediment. Sci. Total Environ. 756, 143978.
- [37]. Schievano, A., Tenca, A., Lonati, S., Manzini, E., Adani, F., 2014. Can two-stage instead of
- one-stage anaerobic digestion really increase energy recovery from biomass? Appl. Energy
 124, 335–342.
- [38]. SAPEA Science Advice for Policy by European Academies, 2020. Biodegradability of Plastics
- in the Open Environment, Evidence Review Report. Available at https://www.sapea.info/wp-

- content/uploads/bop-report.pdf (accessed on August 07 2021)
- [39]. Shrestha, A., van-Eerten Jansen, M.C.A.A., Acharya, B., 2020. Biodegradation of bioplastic
- using anaerobic digestion at retention time as per industrial biogas plant and international
- 675 norms. Sustain. 12(10), 4231.
- [40]. Siracusa, V., 2019. Microbial degradation of synthetic biopolymers waste. Polymers. 11, 1066.
- [41]. Suzuki, M., Tachibana, Y., Kasuya, K.-i., 2021. Biodegradability of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate)
- and poly(ε -caprolactone) via biological carbon cycles in marine environments. Polym. J. 53,
- 679 47–66.
- [42]. Urstadt, S., Augusta, J., Müller, R.J., Deckwer, W.D., 1995. Calculation of carbon balances for
- evaluation of the biodegradability of polymers. J. Environ. Polym. Degrad. 3, 121–131.
- [43]. Yagi, H., Ninomiya, F., Funabashi, M., Kunioka, M., 2009. Anaerobic biodegradation tests of
- 683 poly(lactic acid) under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions using a new evaluation system
- for methane fermentation in anaerobic sludge. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 10, 3824–3835.
- [44]. Yagi, H., Ninomiya, F., Funabashi, M., Kunioka, M., 2012. Anaerobic Biodegradation of Poly
- 686 (Lactic Acid) Film in Anaerobic Sludge. J. Polym. Environ. 20, 673–680.
- [45]. Yagi, H., Ninomiya, F., Funabashi, M., Kunioka, M., 2014. Mesophilic anaerobic
- biodegradation test and analysis of eubacteria and archaea involved in anaerobic
- biodegradation of four specified biodegradable polyesters. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 110, 278–
 283.
- [46]. Zhou, J., Gui, H., Banfield, C.C., Wen, Y., Zang, H., Dippold, M.A., Charlton, A., Jones, D.L.,
- 692 2021. The microplastisphere: Biodegradable microplastics addition alters soil microbial
- community structure and function. Soil Biol. Biochem. 156, 108211.
- [47]. Zumstein, M.T., Kohler, H.P.E., McNeill, K., Sander, M., 2017. High-throughput analysis of
- enzymatic hydrolysis of biodegradable polyesters by monitoring cohydrolysis of a polyester-
- embedded fluorogenic probe. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 4358–4367.

697	Figure captions:
698	Fig. 1. Time course of the degree of biodegradation of PHB, PHBV, PBS, PBAT, PCL, PLA,
699	PLA/PCL blend, HDPE (negative control) and cellulose (positive control) under aerobic conditions
700	in aqueous medium. Each data point corresponds to the average and standard deviation from
701	triplicate assays. A particle size of 100–250 μm was used in all the cases, except for cellulose.
702	
703	Fig. 2. Time course of the degree of biodegradation of PHB, PHBV, PBS, PBAT, PCL, PLA,
704	PLA/PCL blend, HDPE (negative control), and cellulose (positive control) under anaerobic
705	conditions in aqueous medium. Each data point corresponds to the average and standard
706	deviation from triplicate assays. A particle size of 100–250 μm was used in all the cases, except
707	for cellulose.
708	
709	Fig. 3. Effect of particle size on the aerobic biodegradability of a) PCL, b) PHB and c) PHBV.
710	Particle sizes: 100–250 μm (filled triangles), 250–500 μm (open squares) and 500–1000 μm
711	(filled circles).
712	
713	Fig. 4. Effect of particle size on the anaerobic biodegradability of a) PHB and b) PHBV. Particle
714	sizes: 100–250 μm (filled triangles), 250–500 μm (open squares) and 500–1000 μm (filled
715	circles).

716

- 717 **Table 1.** Carbon balance calculated from the biodegradation of biodegradable bioplastics under
- 718 aerobic/anaerobic conditions in aqueous medium.

	A	erobic conditi	Anaerobic conditions		
Carbon, %	PHB	PHBV	PCL	PHB	PHBV
C-CO ₂	73.4 ± 2.6	70.5 ± 0.9	63.8 ± 0.9	18.7 ± 0.4	19.5 ± 0.4
C-CH ₄	-	-	-	58.3 ± 0.5	55.3 ± 0.3
C-DIC	8.3 ± 0.4	7.1 ± 0.8	7.7 ± 1.1	12.4 ± 0.7	10.7 ± 0.1
C-DOC	3.7 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.0
*C-biomass, app.	13.6 ± 3.1	14.3 ± 1.1	30.5 ± 0.5	10.0	10.0
Residual C-polymer	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
C-total (recovery)	99.1 ± 0.8	95.2 ± 0.8	105.5 ± 1.5	100.7 ± 0.7	97.2 ± 0.3

719 Note: n.d., not determined; app., apparent. *Under aerobic conditions, the carbon derived

from the apparent biomass (measured as VSS) was calculated assuming that the stoichiometric

formula of biomass is C₅H₇NO₂. Under anaerobic conditions, the carbon fixed in the form of

biomass was assumed to be 10% of the total initial carbon (Chernicharo, 2015).

-	Material	Sieving range,	<i>P</i> , mg C	/g-material	<i>R</i> , mg C/g	J-material∙day	λ, α	lays		R ²
		μm								
-			AE	AN	AE	AN	AE	AN	AE	AN
	PHB	100–250	400.3 ± 7.2	436.1 ± 5.7	17.4 ± 6.7	32.1 ± 1.5	4.4 ± 1.0	11.9 ± 0.7	0.9855 ± 4.8E-03	0.9978 ± 7.2E-04
		250–500	420.3 ± 9.6	406.0 ± 6.5	6.9 ± 1.6	17.9 ± 5.7	8.6 ± 1.8	13.9 ± 2.5	0.9955 ± 1.0E-03	0.9969 ± 1.2E-03
		500–1000	380.9 ± 44.6	364.5 ± 30.3	4.6 ± 0.3	7.8 ± 1.6	11.6 ± 1.6	14.5 ± 1.6	0.9956 ± 8.4E-04	0.9982 ± 2.0E-03
	PHBV	100–250	375.8 ± 10.1	424.2 ± 9.6	11.1 ± 3.8	28.1 ± 12.2	3.6 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 1.4	0.9873 ± 6.9E-03	0.9979 ± 1.2E-03
		250–500	426.1 ± 9.4	416.4 ± 1.2	6.4 ± 0.3	12.2 ± 1.2	12.4 ± 0.3	10.3 ± 0.6	0.9953 ± 2.5E-04	0.9982 ± 1.1E-03
		500–1000	403.7 ± 25.9	400.9 ± 13.7	5.6 ± 1.3	9.6 ± 3.3	19.0 ± 0.5	11.5 ± 0.4	0.9965 ± 1.0E-03	0.9990 ± 3.2E-04
	PCL	100–250	420.5 ± 4.9	-	14.1 ± 0.8	-	5.0 ± 0.1	-	0.9881 ± 1.0E-03	-
		250–500	472.1 ± 7.5	<u> </u>	9.7 ± 0.6	-	9.2 ± 0.3	-	0.9981 ± 1.4E-04	-
		500–1000	450.7 ± 22.6	-	7.7 ± 1.0	-	12.1 ± 0.8	-	0.9978 ± 3.4E-04	-

Table 2. Comparison of the biodegradation kinetics for the tested bioplastics at different particle sizes and conditions (aerobic, anaerobic).

724 Notes: AE, aerobic conditions; AN, anaerobic conditions. Data on cumulative gas carbon production from the different bioplastics were adjusted to the

725 modified Gompertz model.



Fig. 1





Fig. 3.



Fig. 4.

735

736	CRediT	author	statemen
-----	--------	--------	----------

737 Octavio García-Depraect: Conceptualization; Methodology; Investigation; Writing -

738 Original Draft; Raquel Lebrero: Conceptualization; Supervision; Project

739 administration; Writing - Review & Editing S.R.-V.: Investigation; Writing - Review &

740 Editing S.B.: Conceptualization; Writing - Review & Editing F.S.-B.:

741 Conceptualization; Writing - Review & Editing L.J.M.-M.: Investigation; Writing -

742 Review & Editing R.A.B.: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Writing - Review &

743 Editing **T.B.**: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Writing - Review & Editing

744 **R.M.:** Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Project administration; Methodology;

745 Supervision; Writing - Review & Editing

Declaration of interests

- \square The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be
 considered as potential competing interests:

756 757 758	
759	
760	
761	Highlights
762	Only PHAs were aerobically/anaerobically biodegradable under aqueous
763	conditions.
764	• PHB and PHBV yielded up to 496 and 480 Nm^3 of CH_4 per ton, respectively.
765	• C-balance analysis for the different carbon sinks estimates polymer
766	biodegradability.
767	• Mineralization rate depended on the total specific surface area of polymer.
768	• The modified Gompertz model accurately described bioplastic biodegradation.
769	
770	