COMMENT OPEN

Check for updates

Towards further harmonization of a glossary for exposure science—an ISES Europe statement

Gerhard Heinemeyer^{1 ⊠}, Alison Connolly², Natalie von Goetz^{3,4 ⊠}, Jos Bessems⁵, Yuri Bruinen de Bruin^{6,19}, Marie A. Coggins², Peter Fantke⁷, Karen S. Galea⁸, Johannes Gerding⁹, John D. Hader¹⁰, Henri Heussen¹¹, Stylianos Kephalopoulos¹², Josephine McCourt^{6,20}, Paul T. J. Scheepers¹³, Urs Schlueter¹⁴, Martie van Tongeren¹⁵, Susana Viegas^{16,17}, Maryam Zare Jeddi¹⁸ and Theo Vermeire¹⁸

© The Author(s) 2021

The use of aligned exposure science terminology is crucial for ease of comparison and appropriate interpretation of exposure information, regulatory reports, and scientific publications. Sometimes the use of different terminology in different contexts and areas of exposure science results in diverging interpretations of the same descriptor. During the development of the European strategy for exposure science, the need was identified to agree on a defined terminology requiring an evaluation of the commonly used terms, synonymous uses, and their relationships between each other. This paper presents the first steps in compiling the most important exposure-related terms from existing guidance documents and publications for exposure and risk assessment and adapting them to be useful for different contexts and areas. This initial step is intended to trigger discussion on terminology among exposure scientists around the globe and across regulatory and methodological silos. The glossary itself is intended as a living document to be hosted by the International Society for Exposure Science.

Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-021-00390-w

INTRODUCTION

Using aligned and standardized terms in exposure science is crucial to facilitate harmonized interpretation of exposure information, unequivocal wording in publications and more straightforward comparison of data and results from exposure studies. This paper describes the outcome of a systematic review and analysis by the European Chapter of the International Society of Exposure Science (ISES Europe) of a core set of exposure-related terms. Several glossaries of terms that are used in exposure evaluations are published in guidance documents for exposure and risk assessments by national and international organizations [1-5]. However, these glossaries consider different terms and provide diverging definitions for varying contexts. In addition, some terms and their respective definitions evolved due to the increased relevance of exposure science in the regulatory field. Consequently, there is no comprehensive compilation available covering the most relevant and most frequently used terms in exposure science.

Historically, the first relevant terminology was developed by the International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) of the World Health Organization (WHO) in a joint harmonization effort [4], based on a previously published framework of exposure terminology [6]. This was then taken up by the International Society for Exposure Analysis [7] and continuously updated by US-Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as the glossary of the Exposure Factors Handbook [3].

Exposure evaluations are mostly prepared in the context of regulations, and therefore differences in regulatory requirements may result in the varying use and meaning of terms when applied to different regulatory settings. Furthermore, since regulation relates to specific countries, terminology may even differ in different parts of the world. This is also reflected by the different definitions used in scientific papers and studies, academic and industry data production, and education. Still, with exposure science evolving into a defined discipline, a common understanding of terminology across regulatory and scientific fields and across the globe becomes crucial. Therefore, even if this glossary is

Received: 15 March 2021 Revised: 16 September 2021 Accepted: 16 September 2021 Published online: 02 November 2021

¹German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, retired, Berlin, Germany. ²Centre for Climate and Air Pollution Studies, School of Physics and the Ryan Institute, National University of Ireland, University Road, H91 CF50 Galway, Ireland. ³Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁴Federal Office of Public Health, Bern, Switzerland. ⁵Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Mol, Belgium. ⁶European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Geel, Belgium. ⁷Quantitative Sustainability Assessment, Department of Technology, Management and Economics, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark. ⁸Institute of Occupational Medicine (IOM), Edinburgh EH14 4AP, UK. ⁹German Social Accident Insurance, Institution for the health and welfare services (BGW), Cologne, Germany. ¹⁰Department of Environmental Science, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹¹Cosanta BV, Schiphol-Oost, The Netherlands. ¹²European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy. ¹³Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ¹⁴Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA), Dortmund, Germany. ¹⁵Centre for Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. ¹⁶NOVA National School of Public Health, Public Health Research Centre, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, 1600–560 Lisbon, Portugal. ¹⁷Comprehensive Health Research Centre (CHRC), 1169–056 Lisbon, Portugal. ¹⁸National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands. ¹⁹Present address: European Chemical Industry Council (Cefic), Brussels, Belgium. ²⁰Present address: European Commission, DG SANTE, Grange, Ireland. ⁵⁸email: gerhardheinemeye@o2mail.de; Natalie.von.goetz@chem.ethz.ch

Table 1. Summary of glossaries published by organizations, authorities and agencies, and the number of terms identified related to chemical exposure.

Reference documents	Number of terms
1. The Glossary of terms in the US-EPA Exposure Factors Handbook, latest edition US-EPA [3]	208
2. The Description of selected key generic terms used in chemical hazard/risk assessment [1], identical to IPCS IPCS/OECD key generic terms (WHO/IPCS 2004, part 1) [4] used in chemical hazard/risk assessment. Joint Project with OECD on the Harmonization of Hazard/Risk Assessment Terminology	51
3. The WHO/IPCS Glossary of Key Exposure terminology (WHO/IPCS 2004, part 2) [4], identical to Zartarian et al. [7]	40
4. The ECHA guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.20: Table of terms and abbreviations ECHA [2] and further ECHA guidance documents [19, 20]	26
5. The Scientific Opinion on Risk Assessment Terminology EFSA, published by the EFSA Scientific Committee EFSA [5]	14
6. The EFSA Glossary [21]	294
7. Terms from documents of the International Organisation for Standardization: ISO GUIDE 73 (2009) [11], 18158 (2016) [12], ISO (5 21623 (2017) [13] and IEC 31010 (2010) [14], ISO (5 21623 (2017) [15])	See text below

intended to support the ISES Europe Exposure Science strategy [8], it is important that a common terminology is adopted at an international level and the proposed update of the terms, therefore, relies mainly on international sources, with emphasis on European sources where definitions in international sources were lacking. Terminology is primarily based on an agreement of expert's judgement laid down in the existing glossaries and also in the proposals made here by the ISES working group. Regarding the common understanding across exposure science fields, ISES Europe assembles exposure scientists from the different regulatory fields who reviewed the terminology and developed an understanding about key meanings and common contemporary use of specific terms [9, 10].

As a first step, a glossary containing the most frequently used terms is established as Supplemental Information to this paper. For constructing the glossary, the definitions used in different reference documents have been compared by expert judgement, and a definition was derived that was most suitable within the working group in terms of being the most (i) scientifically sound (ii) unambiguous, (iii) and broadly applicable in exposure science. The following steps will include discussion on an international level and expansion to integrate the variable usage of some terms into the definitions. This includes the evaluation of interlinks of the important terms of exposure, their synonyms, along with nonexhaustive lists of related abbreviations and different uses in different areas of exposure assessment across various regulatory contexts and possibly adjacent fields of science.

METHODS

Exposure experts within ISES Europe identified the most frequently used terms by 1. Identifying relevant glossaries published by leading international organizations, 2. listing the terms related to chemical exposure from the identified glossaries, and 3. evaluating the terms to identify the key, core terms to be included via expert judgement and discussions among the ISES Europe exposure scientists.

The relevant glossaries were taken from guidance documents published by international and European organizations, authorities and agencies (see Table 1). The glossaries from the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) and the International Organization for Standardization (OECD) comprised different terminology documents, respectively. These are closely linked to the regulatory frameworks and exposure-related issues within their respective remits. For OECD, these guidance documents mostly refer to risk assessment and risk management at the workplace [11–14]. ISO/TS 21623 (2017) [15] includes a database of multiple terms and definitions that refers to different levels and combinations of terms. This database also refers to exposure terms other than those related to chemical stressors.

Other glossaries published by OSHA [16] and IUPAC [17] were not taken into consideration because they are primarily focused on hazard assessment and toxicology and thus do not have a major influence on exposure assessment terminology.

In the case that an important term had not been defined in one of these official glossaries, definitions proposed in other publications have been taken into account (e.g., for the term "exposome"). If no reference at all was available, a definition was provided by the involved experts and the field "defined in glossary" is blank.

The proposed glossary of exposure terms includes the terms, the major synonyms, and whether they are defined in the glossaries of the above-mentioned guidance documents. Whenever the text was used verbatim (or in some instances with slight edits), the reference is given after the definition. Otherwise, the definition has been discussed and amended or developed to be more applicable in an exposure science setting and agreed upon by the exposure experts in ISES Europe who are authoring this paper and is proposed by ISES Europe as a new definition.

In summary, the method to establish the harmonized terminology involved the collection of appropriate glossaries/guidance documents, the evaluation of previously/currently used terminology and exposure science expert working groups reviewed and determined the final glossary for the exposure science field. The approach leading to the glossary of recommended exposurerelated terms was guided by the following decision rules:

- 1. Glossaries/guidance documents used are peer-evaluated.
- 2. Glossaries/guidance documents used are from organizations authoritative on exposure and risk assessment.
- Glossaries/guidance documents used are applied at an international level.
- 4. If terms are defined in more than one authoritative document, preference is given to definitions harmonized at an international level (mostly appearing in the WHO/IPCS glossary or updates in the US-EPA glossary) and referenced as such.
- 5. In the case that an important term has not been defined in one of the authoritative documents, definitions proposed in other scientific publications are taken into account or were defined by ISES Europe exposure scientists and through working group discussions.
- 6. Each definition is subject to review by ISES Europe exposure scientists and, if the proposed definitions are considered unsatisfactory or incomplete, the definition is adapted and appears in the glossary as an ISES Europe proposal.

RESULTS

A total of 49 key terms were identified. These are listed alphabetically, along with details of the reference documents in which they were defined, any common synonyms and ISES

			57 5			
	WHO/IPCS (part 2) [4]; Zartarian et al. [7]	US-EPA [<mark>3</mark>]	OECD [1]; WHO/ IPCS (part 1) [4]	ECHA [2, 19, 20]	EFSA [<mark>5, 21</mark>]	ISO documents [11–15]
Exposure scenario	х	х	x	х	х	x
Exposure	x	x	x		x	x
Dose	х	х	х			x
Exposure assessment	x	x		x		х
Uncertainty	х	x	x		х	x

Table 2. Occurrence of terms listed in more than three terminology guidance documents (indicated by "x").

Europe's proposed definition. They are listed in the "Supplemental Information"

An evaluation of the terms listed in the glossaries reveals that only the term "exposure scenario" is listed in each glossary. The terms "exposure" and "exposure scenario" are listed in all documents mentioned in Table 2, and "exposure assessment" and "dose" in four of them (Table 2). The term "uncertainty" is listed in five documents and is broadly discussed in a separate guidance document published by WHO/IPCS [18]. All other terms are listed three times or less. There are 228 terms that are listed only once. This evaluation demonstrates that currently, there are only some very general terms exist that can be regarded as "harmonized".

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The need for harmonized terminology for exposure science was demonstrated by different definitions identified among different reviewed documents, including ISO's and regulatory agency guidance documents. Previous terminology documents had varying scopes and objectives (e.g., food safety, chemicals safety), which in some instances narrowed the perspective. However, there are a number of exposure-related terms that can be aligned across different fields of exposure science. In order to promote common understanding between those different fields, this document attempted to align the terminology as far as possible by relying on the multitude of professional backgrounds represented in ISES Europe. To support the identity of exposure science, the use of these terms in publications will be encouraged by ISES Europe and used throughout the papers related to the European Strategy for Exposure Science.

The comparison and update of exposure-related terms and their definitions show the need for a thorough re-evaluation of the vocabulary and consistent and harmonized use in exposure science, within and across regulations. However, differences in the understanding and meanings in particular regulations on regional, national and international levels, as well as links between the terms and abbreviations, have not been considered in this document. Many definitions mentioned in guidance documents are conventions from stakeholder discussions with regard to regulatory issues. However, a proper scientific evaluation of the uses of the terms, their synonyms, and their relationships within the different fields of exposure assessment has not been done. Terms used similarly in different regulations might have diverging and different meanings, which should be documented and analyzed. This should include a comparison of terms used in regulatory exposure assessments and other areas of exposure science. Therefore, as a second step and long-term goal of ISES Europe is to develop a standard approach that integrates the different understandings of exposure terms and their dependencies.

The contribution of exposure assessment in human and environmental risk assessment is essential and needs a globally adopted terminology. Also, in this respect, the proposed glossary is meant as an update of existing definitions. As such, it is the starting point for a more international discussion on terminology that has already started within the International Society on Exposure Science and ideally would trigger an update of the exposure terminology at the OECD or WHO level.

The presented short core list of exposure-related terms should be understood as a preliminary glossary of key terms, which will be developed into a living document to be enriched with further terminology and evaluated with more international experts with the ultimate objective of achieving a more complete, thoroughly evaluated compilation that goes beyond this glossary.

DISCLAIMER

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any agency, organization, employer or company.

REFERENCES

- OECD. Descriptions of selected generic key terms used in chemical hazard/risk assessment. OECD series on testing and assessment Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; 2003. http://www.oecd.org/ officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=ENV/JM/MONO(2003) 15&docLanguage=En.
- ECHA. Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment -Chapter R.20: Table of terms and abbreviations. Helsinki, Finland: European Chemicals Agency; 2013. Report No.: ECHA-12-G-26.1-EN. https://echa.europa.eu/ guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemicalsafety-assessment.
- US EPA. Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 edition. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 2011. http://www.epa.gov/ncea/efh.
- 4. WHO/IPCS. IPCS risk assessment terminology: Part 1: IPCS/OECD key generic terms used in chemical/hazard risk assessment; Part 2: IPCS glossary of key exposure assessment terminology. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. https://www.who.int/ipcs/methods/harmonization/areas/terminology/en/.
- EFSA Scientific Committee. Scientific Opinion on Risk Assessment Terminology. Parma, Italy: European Food Safety Authority 2012. Report No.: 1831-4732 Contract No.: 5. https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2664
- Zartarian VG, Ott WR, Duan N. Feature article: a quantitative definition of exposure and related concepts. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 1997;7:411–38.
- Zartarian V, Bahadori T, McKone T. Adoption of an official ISEA glossary. J Exposure Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2005;15:1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jea.7500411.
- 8. Fantke P, von Goetz N, Schlüter U, Bessems J, Connolly A, Dudzina T. et al. Building a European exposure science strategy. J Exp Sci Environ Epidemiol.2020;30:917–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-019-0193-7.
- Bruinen de Bruin Y, von Goetz N, Schlüter U, Bessems J, Connolly A, Dudzina T, et al. Assessment of Needs for a European Strategy on Exposure Science. ISES Europe; 2019. http://www.ises-europe.org/download/ISES-Europe-Report-2019.pdf.
- Ahrens A, Bessems J, Bruinen de Bruin Y, Connolly A, Fantke P, Gilsenan M, et al. General Introduction. 2019 2019// [cited 09/12/2020]. In: The practice of consumer exposure assessment. Cham: Springer International Publishing. p. 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96148-4_1.
- 11. ISO. ISO/Guide 73:2009(en), Risk management Vocabulary. 2009. https://www. iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:guide:73:ed-1:v1:en.
- ISO. ISO 18158:2016(en), Workplace air Terminology. 2016. https://www.iso.org/ obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:18158:ed-1:v1:en.
- ISO. ISO/IEC 17025:2017 General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories. 2017. https://www.iso.org/cms/render/live/en/sites/ isoorg/contents/data/standard/06/69/66912.html.

- ISO. IEC 31010:2019 Risk management Risk Assessment techniques. 2019. https://www.iso.org/cms/render/live/en/sites/isoorg/contents/data/standard/07/ 21/72140.html.
- ISO. ISO/TS 21623:2017(en), Workplace exposure assessment of dermal exposure to nano-objects and their aggregates and agglomerates (NOAA). 2017. https://www.iso.org/obp/ui#iso:std:iso:ts:21623:ed-1:v1:en.
- EU OSHA. Glossary Safety and health at work: European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. 2020 https://osha.europa.eu/en/themes/musculoskeletal-disorders/ glossary.
- Duffus JH, Nordberg M, Templeton DM. Glossary of terms used in toxicology, 2nd edition (IUPAC Recommendations 2007). Pure and Applied Chemistry. 2007;79:1153-344. https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/pac/79/7/articlep1153.xml.
- WHO/IPCS. Uncertainty and data quality in exposure assessment (IPCS harmonization project document; no. 6). Part 1: guidance document on characterizing and communicating uncertainty in exposureassessment. Part 2: hallmarks of data quality in chemical exposure assessment. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008. https:// www.who.int/ipcs/publications/methods/harmonization/exposure_assessment.pdf.
- ECHA. Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment. Helsinki, Finland: European Chemicals Agency; 2016. Report No.: ECHA-16-G-07-EN. https://echa.europa.eu/-/pathfinder-chapters.
- 20. ECHA. Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment. Helsinki, Finland: European Chemicals Agency; 2016. Report No. ECHA-16-G-08-EN. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_part_d_ en.pdf.
- 21. EFSA. Glossary | European Food Safety Authority: European Food Safety Authority. 2017 https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/glossary-taxonomy-terms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all of the ISES Europe's Education, Training and Communication working group for their continuous support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Concept and drafting of the paper: GH, TV, AC and NG; collection of definitions and critical review of the draft: GH, AC, NG, JB, YB, MAC, PF, KSG, JG, JDH, HH, SK, JM, PTJS, US, MT, SV, MZJ, TV.

FUNDING

Open Access funding provided by ETH Zurich.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-021-00390-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Gerhard Heinemeyer or Natalie von Goetz.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021

4