

2021

An Integrated Multispecialty Curriculum for Point-of-Care Ultrasound

Carina M. Brown

Cone Health, carina.brown@conehealth.com

Karl Fields

Cone Health, bert.fields@conehealth.com

Duncan Vincent

Cone Health, duncan.vincent@conehealth.com

Alison Rumball

Cone Health, alison.rumball@conehealth.com

Joshua Zavitz

Wake Forest School of Medicine, jzavitz@wakehealth.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.rochesterregional.org/advances>



Part of the [Family Medicine Commons](#), [Internal Medicine Commons](#), [Interprofessional Education Commons](#), [Pediatrics Commons](#), and the [Sports Medicine Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Brown CM, Fields K, Vincent D, Rumball A, Zavitz J, Hudnall S, Iskander C, Raines A. An Integrated Multispecialty Curriculum for Point-of-Care Ultrasound. *Advances in Clinical Medical Research and Healthcare Delivery*. 2021; 1(3). doi: 10.53785/2769-2779.1030.

ISSN: 2769-2779

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by RocScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Advances in Clinical Medical Research and Healthcare Delivery* by an authorized editor of RocScholar.

An Integrated Multispecialty Curriculum for Point-of-Care Ultrasound

Abstract

Point-of-care ultrasound is increasingly recognized as a valuable tool for physicians practicing in a variety of specialties. Currently there is no standard curricula or assessment model for training primary care specialty residents in the use of ultrasound. This article presents a multispecialty experience in developing a list of 11 core Pediatric, 13 core Family Medicine and 22 core Internal Medicine ultrasound scans based on best available evidence for their clinical use.

Keywords

Point-of-Care Ultrasound

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Cover Page Footnote

We would like to acknowledge the work of the Cone Health librarians, Laura Eynon and Samantha Winsmith.

Authors

Carina M. Brown, Karl Fields, Duncan Vincent, Alison Rumball, Joshua Zavitz, Shane Hudnall, Caroline Iskander, and Alexander Raines

INTRODUCTION

Multiple countries, specialties, residency programs and medical schools embrace point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) as an essential technology for the modern physician. Advocates term ultrasound as the stethoscope of the 21st century.¹ A critical analysis that reviewed 473 texts regarding POCUS in medical education found the following three “dominant discourses”:

1. A visuo-centric discourse prioritizing the visual information as truth over other clinical data;
2. A utilitarian discourse emphasizing improvements in patient care;
3. A modernist discourse highlighting the current and future needs of clinicians in our technological world.¹

Some countries such as Canada have brought together consensus groups to determine the POCUS skills that each medical student should obtain prior to graduation. Similar efforts in graduate medical education seek to incorporate POCUS into the core curriculum of residency training.

This manuscript describes a multispecialty, collaborative approach to training primary care residents at a community hospital in core POCUS competencies. We outline expected competencies for graduates of Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, and Pediatric training programs at Cone Health System in Greensboro, North Carolina. While not required for graduation, learners are expected to gain familiarity with ultrasound in the first year of training followed by continued improvement in image acquisition and interpretation. The specific curricular elements included for each specialty reflect those that directly impact clinical care. Additionally, an example checklist of directly observed POCUS skills for Sports Medicine fellowship graduates serves as a model for program evaluation. Training with Emergency Medicine faculty and monthly simulation-based sessions highlights the multispecialty collaborative approach.

FAMILY MEDICINE CURRICULUM

Family physicians utilize POCUS to enhance patient care, no matter the practice setting ranging from rural underserved areas to large academic centers. The American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) outlines competencies and skills for ultrasound training during residency.² Program directors face barriers in training residents, including limited resources for equipment and inadequately trained faculty.³ Numerous Family Medicine programs plan to establish an ultrasound curriculum within their programs.³

Within our family medicine residency clinic, common ultrasound exams referred to specialty clinicians include vascular ultrasound for suspected deep venous thrombosis, echocardiogram, and obstetric ultrasound for dating and/or viability (Christie Reynolds MHA, e-mail communication, September 4, 2020). We outline 13 POCUS examinations frequently used in primary care with documented evidence of validity when applied in this setting. A multitude of primary care POCUS exams have been compared to the standard of care (radiologist or specialist assessment of the area of interest) and found to have similar diagnostic accuracy (Table 1).

Table 1. Recommended POCUS scans in Family Medicine residency education.

Point-of-Care Ultrasound Examination	Clinical Indications	Evidence for Use in Clinical Practice
Abdominal/Pelvic Imaging		
Abdominal Aorta Aneurysm Screening	Currently recommended by USPSTF screening guidelines	FM residents have similar accuracy with scans as compared to vascular technicians ⁴
Renal	Suspected hydronephrosis from obstructive process	Scans in primary care are sensitive and specific for hydronephrosis ⁵
Bladder	Post-void residual assessment	Bladder scan is accurate in primary care ⁵
Gallbladder	Suspicion for cholelithiasis	POCUS is accurate in assessment of biliary colic ⁶
Obstetric Imaging		
First trimester	Pregnancy viability, location and dating by crown-rump length	FM residents have similar accuracy to radiologists in dating exams ⁷
Third trimester	Fetal presentation, objective amniotic fluid assessment, and placental location	FM residents have similar accuracy to radiologists for biometry ⁷
Musculoskeletal Imaging		
Fracture evaluation	Metacarpal and metatarsal fractures	POCUS has high sensitivity and specificity for metacarpal fractures ⁸

Skin and soft tissue	Evaluation of suspected cellulitis versus abscess	POCUS changes management of skin infections in primary care ⁹
Joint evaluation	Suspected joint effusion, ultrasound-guided aspiration and injections	Ultrasound can help to differentiate soft tissue edema versus effusion ¹⁰
Tendon evaluation	Complete and partial rupture evaluation	POCUS is sensitive and specific for complete and partial tendon ruptures ¹¹
Thoracic Imaging		
Basic Cardiac	Four view ultrasound to subjectively assess cardiac function and volume status	Family physicians quickly acquire basic echocardiogram skills and interpretation similar to that of cardiologists ¹²
Lung	Evaluation of dyspnea, assessing effusions for potential thoracentesis	ED developed protocols for acute dyspnea can be sensitive and specific in identifying causes of dyspnea ¹³
Vascular Imaging		
Deep Venous Thrombus (DVT)	Evaluating suspected venous thromboembolism	Compression ultrasound by general practitioners has similar performance to those performed by vascular ultrasound experts ¹⁴

INTERNAL MEDICINE CURRICULUM

POCUS has emerged as a validated tool for the evaluation and management of acutely ill patients admitted to the hospital.¹⁵ POCUS is easy to perform and has better validity than many traditional physical exam maneuvers.¹⁶ Growing numbers of Internal Medicine residencies have established a formal ultrasound curriculum.¹⁷ Both medical students and residents want to prioritize ultrasound training and intend to utilize POCUS in their post-graduate practice.¹⁸ Professional societies are defining the scope of practice for Internal Medicine POCUS users with a future goal of standardized credentialing.¹⁹ We have identified 22 POCUS exams from 3 years of clinical experience that are the most common and useful in our hospital and clinic-based Internal Medicine practice (Table 2).

Table 2. Recommended POCUS scans in Internal Medicine residency education.

Point-of-Care Ultrasound Examination	Clinical Indications	Evidence for Use in Clinical Practice
Procedural Guidance		
Central venous catheter insertion	Direct needle guidance to access internal jugular, subclavian or femoral veins	Reduction in complications and infections, increase in procedural success ²⁰
Thoracentesis	Measure pleural effusion size and location, rule out loculation	Static and dynamic ultrasound guidance reduces risk of pneumothorax ²¹
Paracentesis	Measure ascites volume, location and accessibility	Ultrasound increases procedural success and reduces bleeding ²²
Lumbar puncture	Identify midline, spinous process, inter-vertebral space	Ultrasound reduces number of attempts and increases procedural success rates ²³
Arthrocentesis	Measure effusion size and location for aspiration	Ultrasound improves accuracy and procedural pain scores as compared to landmark guided techniques ²⁴
Cardiac Imaging		
Left ventricular contractility	Estimate ejection fraction range and increased end-diastolic pressure	POCUS assessment of ejection fraction has similar measurements as compared to expert consultation ²⁵
Pericardial effusion	Evaluate for pericardial effusion and signs of tamponade	POCUS improves physical exam accuracy for pericardial effusion ²⁵
Right ventricular enlargement	Identify right ventricular enlargement and signs of elevated pulmonary pressure (septal flattening)	Focused echocardiography may aid diagnosis of pulmonary embolism among patients with a high pretest probability ²⁶
Inferior Vena Cava (IVC)/Jugular Venous Pressure (JVP)	Identify elevated right atrial pressures with distended JVP or distended IVC with reduced respiratory variation	IVC collapsibility may help to determine volume responsiveness among hemodynamically unstable patients ²⁷

Abdominal Imaging		
Ascites	Identify and quantify ascites, helpful in the patient with obesity	POCUS aids in accurate assessment of ascites volume ²⁸
Bladder volume	Assess for bladder outlet obstruction	POCUS accurately assesses post-void residual ²⁹
Prostate size	Measure prostate in three dimensions and estimate prostate volume	Transabdominal POCUS by urologists accurately measures prostate volume ³⁰
Renal	Assess for hydronephrosis	Inpatient POCUS assessment appears to reliably diagnose hydronephrosis and obstruction ³¹
Abdominal aortic aneurysm screening	Currently recommended by screening guidelines	Primary care residents attain similar accuracy as compared to vascular ultrasound technicians ⁴
Lung Imaging		
Pleural effusion	Identify pleural effusions, assess lung for consolidation or atelectasis, identify high risk features like loculations	Improved accuracy in diagnosing loculated effusions or lung consolidation ¹³
Alveolar/Interstitial syndromes	Evaluate for findings of pulmonary edema or interstitial lung disease	POCUS has modest sensitivity and high specificity for pulmonary edema ¹³
Consolidation, atelectasis	Assess consolidated lung for air bronchograms	Protocol-based POCUS assessment sensitive and specific for pneumonia ¹³
Pneumothorax	Utilize M-mode after thoracentesis or central line placement	Ultrasound can identify post-procedural pneumothorax ²¹
Vascular Imaging		
DVT	Assess for lower extremity DVT	Hospitalists have similar accuracy in diagnosing DVT as compared to radiologist ³²

Musculoskeletal Imaging		
Joint effusions	Identify effusions in upper and lower extremity joints, ultrasound guided aspiration and injections	Ultrasound improves diagnostic accuracy for suspected joint effusion ³³
Skin and soft tissue infections	Assess cellulitis, abscess, lymphadenopathy ³⁴	Learners can reliably diagnose abscesses after short training ³⁵
Tendon tears	Evaluate for complete or partial tendon tears	POCUS can guide management of common injuries in emergency settings ¹¹

Abbreviations: IVC, inferior vena cava, JVP, jugular venous pressure, DVT, deep venous thrombosis, POCUS, point-of-care ultrasound

PEDIATRIC CURRICULUM

POCUS holds promise for improving the care of pediatric patients, while lessening exposure to the risk of ionizing radiation. Lower body mass of most pediatric patients allows better image resolution and easier accessibility to internal organs.³⁶ POCUS may also avoid involved imaging procedures that can cause distress and even require sedation. Despite these advantages, incorporation of ultrasound education into Pediatric training lags. A 2019 survey of U.S. residency program directors found only 12% of Pediatric residency programs offered optional formal curricula, while none required ultrasound training. This contrasts to the 38% of Internal Medicine residency programs who reported formal ultrasound curricula.³⁷ POCUS training has progressed in the Emergency Medicine setting, and education guidelines have been proposed for Pediatric Emergency Medicine fellows.^{38, 39} Proponents endorse POCUS as an integral tool for pediatricians practicing in multiple settings, including outpatient and hospital settings.⁴⁰

Building on the experience of our colleagues in Emergency Medicine, we have identified six categories of scans that are reliable and beneficial tools for pediatricians (Table 3). Although fewer studies have assessed the performance of POCUS in pediatrics, current evidence is encouraging. We outline POCUS examinations appropriate for adoption in pediatric training that ultimately may become part of evidence-based standards of ultrasound proficiency in pediatric training.

Table 3. Recommended POCUS scans in Pediatric residency education

Point-of-Care Ultrasound Examination	Clinical Indications	Evidence for Use in Clinical Practice
Skin/Soft Tissue Imaging		
Skin/soft tissue infections	Assess for cellulitis, abscess, lymphadenopathy and retained foreign body	Pediatric trainees can accurately assess for foreign bodies after short training ⁴¹
Lung Imaging		
Pleural effusion, pneumothorax, hemothorax	Identify pleural effusions, track volume changes, assess for adjacent lung disease	Ultrasound can aid in evaluation of respiratory distress in children and accurately identify pleural effusions ⁴²
Alveolar/Interstitial disease	Identify presence of B lines consistent with pulmonary edema or interstitial lung disease	Ultrasound can identify signs of pulmonary edema in children ⁴²
Consolidation, atelectasis	Assess lung for hepatization and air bronchograms, differentiate consolidation from atelectasis, help diagnose pneumonia	Lung ultrasound can help rule in and rule out pneumonia in children ⁴³
Cardiac Imaging		
Pericardial effusion	Evaluate for pericardial effusion and signs of tamponade	Ultrasound improves evaluation of pericardial effusion after penetrating trauma ⁴²
Abdominal Imaging		
Bladder volume	Assess for presence of urine before catheterization, confirm urinary production or retention	POCUS may reduce failed attempts at catheterization ⁴²
Common bile duct and gallbladder	Identify gallstones, cholelithiasis, and cholecystitis	POCUS can aid in diagnosis of biliary tract disease ⁴²

Musculoskeletal Evaluation		
Apophyseal/Physal injuries	Evaluate for inflammation, swelling, widening	Ultrasound may aid in diagnosis of apophysitis ⁴⁴
Fractures	Identify cortical discontinuity, especially in diaphyseal fractures	Identify presence of fracture after trauma to affected area ⁸
Procedural Guidance		
Lumbar puncture	Identify midline, spinous process, inter-vertebral space	Ultrasound guidance reduces number of attempts and traumatic lumbar punctures ⁴⁵
Vascular access	Facilitate access with central venous catheter, arterial line, IV placement	Ultrasound reduces time to IV placement ⁴⁶ and reduces complications in central venous catheter placement ^{47, 48}

SPORTS MEDICINE CURRICULUM

Teaching of musculoskeletal (MSK) ultrasound occurs within Physiatry and Radiology residencies as well as Sports Medicine and Rheumatology fellowships. For the past 15 years Sports Medicine fellowship training has incorporated ultrasound training and the ACGME now requires training as a core requirement of fellowship programs. The American Medical Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM) developed a curriculum in 2010 and updated this in 2015.^{49,50} The curriculum draws on the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine's Training Guidelines for both diagnostic ultrasound examinations and interventional procedures.^{51, 52, 53} It includes core competencies as well as the minimum knowledge of MSK ultrasound a sports medicine fellow should acquire during fellowship.

Sports Medicine fellowship POCUS training includes four major components: didactic instructional sessions, didactic practice sessions, mentored clinical experience, and supplementary and continuing education. The AMSSM curriculum contains 155 scans from basic to advanced MSK ultrasound imaging. Our longitudinal curriculum at the Cone Health Sports Medicine Fellowship teaches these with an emphasis on 68 frequently used scans that cover the six major regions of the body (shoulder, elbow, wrist-hand, hip, knee, and ankle-foot).

Fellows are introduced to the technique for the 68 core scans at the beginning of fellowship in July. Competency assessment of these 68 scans occurs throughout the year culminating with an observed practical examination at the end of fellowship. An example of expected competency in image acquisition for the shoulder is highlighted in Table 4. Results of the first seven fellows to complete this practical examination reveal competence in demonstrating between 64 and 68 of the core scans.

Table 4. Example Core MSK US Exam Competency Checklist for Shoulder

Upper Extremity: Shoulder (10 Points Maximum)
Proximal biceps tendon –longitudinal and transverse view
Pectoralis major insertion
Supraspinatus end plate
Supraspinatus dynamic
Infraspinatus
Teres minor
Subscapularis static and dynamic
Glenohumeral joint and posterior labrum
Acromioclavicular joint
Interval view

MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH

Faculty from each of the above mentioned specialties work together to provide longitudinal, hands-on experiences for learners. Core POCUS faculty have all attended post-graduate training courses to develop, improve, and maintain POCUS skills and regularly attend workshops together. In addition, Emergency Medicine faculty play a key role in training both faculty and learners. POCUS training and practice in Emergency Medicine has occurred for decades. Emergency Medicine physicians are well suited to provide advanced instruction in POCUS, particularly as some have fellowship level ultrasound training.

To support continued ultrasound education and POCUS utilization, our Emergency Medicine group coordinates teaching with Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, Pediatrics, Sports Medicine and Obstetrics to organize monthly multispecialty ultrasound workshops for residents, medical students, PA students and nurse practitioners. These interactive workshops include structured didactics, image acquisition on standard patient models, and interpretation with the assistance from core POCUS faculty. Workshops typically focus on a particular anatomic area, with an initial 30-minute didactic session followed by three hours of dedicated time scanning.

DISCUSSION

Here we present a multispecialty approach to implementation of a comprehensive point-of-care ultrasound curriculum at a community hospital program focused on primary care trainees in Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, and Pediatrics. Just like with other practice patterns, the use of POCUS in these fields has significant overlap and distinct differences. While Emergency Medicine and Sports Medicine have nationally recognized core requirements for specific ultrasound skills, primary care residencies in Family Medicine, Internal Medicine and Pediatrics have not established these. We used a multispecialty group of clinician educators to establish 11 core Pediatric, 13 core Family Medicine and 22 core Internal Medicine scan techniques that we incorporate into the educational experience of our primary care residencies. The core scans were chosen based on best available clinical evidence and expert opinion. It is our experience that residents universally value this training and most plan to incorporate it into their future practice if they can maintain and build upon foundational skills.

It was essential for administrative leadership to embrace the integration of ultrasound training into all aspects of graduate medical education. There are upfront costs to equipment that must be supported, though it is becoming more affordable as the technology advances and becomes more portable. There are also significant administrative implications to the health system in starting a POCUS program, as questions of credentialing, documentation, billing, and image storage must be addressed at the local level. Working with institutional leaders, information technology teams, and practice administration has allowed for implementation in a variety of practice settings within our healthcare system.

Future directions of such a POCUS program can also include delivering this curriculum to practicing primary care physicians who did not have exposure to POCUS in their training. Health education programs can also facilitate greater incorporation of POCUS into their system by developing a one-year clinical ultrasound fellowship to allow interested primary care residents to obtain advanced training in POCUS. These educational experiences during residency and beyond are expected to allow early career physicians to integrate POCUS as a part of their future practice.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. American Academy of Family Physicians. Family medicine residency curriculum guidelines point-of-care ultrasound. 2016. https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/medical_education_residency/program_directors/Reprint290D_POCUS.pdf. Accessed July 26, 2020.
2. Hall JWW, Holman H, Barreto TW, et al. Point-of-Care ultrasound in Family Medicine Residencies 5-year update: a CERA study. *Fam Med*. 2020; 52(7): 505-511.
3. Bailey RP, Ault M, Greengold NL, et al. Ultrasonography performed by primary care residents for abdominal aortic aneurysm screening. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001; 16(12): 845-849.
4. Nixon G, Blattner K, Muirhead J, et al. Rural point-of-care ultrasound of the kidney and bladder: quality and effect on patient management. *J Prim Health Care*. 2018; 10(4): 324-330.
5. Ross M, Brown M, McLaughlin K, et al. Emergency physician-performed ultrasound to diagnose cholelithiasis: a systematic review. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2011; 18(3): 227-235.
6. Keith R, Frisch L. Fetal biometry: a comparison of family physicians and radiologists. *Fam Med*. 2001; 33(2): 111-114.
7. Kozaci N, Ay MO, Akcimen M, et al. The effectiveness of bedside point-of-care ultrasonography in the diagnosis and management of metacarpal fractures. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2015; 33(10): 1468-1472.
8. Greenlund LJS, Merry SP, Thacher TD, et al. Primary care management of skin abscesses guided by ultrasound. *Am J Med*. 2017; 130(5): e191–e193.
9. Adhikari S, Blaivas M. Utility of bedside sonography to distinguish soft tissue abnormalities from joint effusions in the emergency department. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2010; 29(4): 519–526.
10. Lee SH, Yun SJ. The feasibility of point-of-care ankle ultrasound examination in patients with recurrent ankle sprain and chronic ankle instability: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. *Injury*. 2017; 48(10):2323–2328.

11. Evangelista A, Galuppo V, Méndez J, et al. Hand-held cardiac ultrasound screening performed by family doctors with remote expert support interpretation. *Heart*. 2016; 102(5):376-382.
12. Bekgoz B, Kilicaslan I, Bildik F, et al. BLUE protocol ultrasonography in Emergency Department patients presenting with acute dyspnea. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2019; 37(11): 2020-2027.
13. Mumoli N, Vitale J, Giorgi-Pierfranceschi M, Sabatini S, et al. General practitioner-performed compression ultrasonography for the diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis of the leg: a multicenter, prospective cohort study. *Ann Fam Med*. 2017; 15(6): 535-539.
14. Schnobrich DJ, Gladding S, Olson AP, et al. Point-of-Care Ultrasound in Internal Medicine: a national survey of educational leadership. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2013; 5(3): 498-502, correction 2019;11(6):742.
15. Kimura BJ. Point-of-care cardiac ultrasound techniques in the physical examination: better at the bedside. *Heart*. 2017; 103: 987-994.
16. Schnobrich DJ, Gladding S, Olson AP, et al. Point-of-Care Ultrasound in Internal Medicine: a national survey of educational leadership. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2013; 5(3): 498-502. [published correction appears in *J Grad Med Educ*. 2019 Dec;11(6):742]
17. Kessler C, Bhandarkar S. Ultrasound training for medical students and internal medicine residents--a needs assessment. *J Clin Ultrasound*. 2010; 38(8): 401-408.
18. Anstey J, Jensen T, Afshar N. Point-of-care ultrasound needs assessment, curriculum design, and curriculum assessment in a large academic internal medicine residency program. *South Med Journal*. 2019; 111(7): 444-448.
19. Franco-Sadud R, Schnobrich D, Mathews B, et al. Recommendations on the use of ultrasound guidance for central and peripheral vascular access in adults: a position statement of the society of hospital medicine. *J Hosp Med*. 2019; 14: E1-E22.

20. Dancel R, Schnobrich D, Puri N, et al. Recommendations on the use of ultrasound guidance for adult thoracentesis: a position statement of the society of hospital medicine. *J Hosp Med.* 2018; 13(2):126-135.
21. Cho J, Jensen T, Reiersen K, et al. Recommendations on the use of ultrasound guidance for adult abdominal paracentesis: a position statement of the society of hospital medicine. *J Hosp Med.* 2019; 14: E6-E15.
22. Soni NJ, Franco-Sadud R, Kobaidze K, et al. Recommendations on the use of ultrasound guidance for adult lumbar puncture: a position statement of the Society of Hospital Medicine. *J Hosp Med.* 2019; 14(10): 591-601.
23. Wu T, Dong Y, Song Hx, et al. Ultrasound-guided versus landmark in knee arthrocentesis: A systematic review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2016; 45(5): 627-32.
24. Martin LD, Howell EE, Ziegelstein RC, et al. Hand-carried ultrasound performed by hospitalists: does it improve the cardiac physical examination? *Am J Med.* 2009; 122(1): 35-41.
25. Daley JI, Dwyer KH, Grunwald Z, et al. Increased sensitivity of focused cardiac ultrasound for pulmonary embolism in Emergency Department patients with abnormal vital signs. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2019; 26(11): 1211-1220.
26. Bentzer P, Griesdale DE, Boyd J, et al. Will this hemodynamically unstable patient respond to a bolus of intravenous fluids? *JAMA.* 2016 Sep 27; 316(12): 1298-309.
27. Cho J, Jensen T, Reiersen K, et al. Recommendations on the use of ultrasound guidance for adult abdominal paracentesis: a position statement of the society of hospital medicine. *J Hosp Med.* 2019;14:E6-E15.
28. Sullivan R, Baston CM. When not to trust the bladder scanner. The use of point-of-care ultrasound to estimate urinary bladder volume. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society.* 2019; 16(12): 1582-1584.
29. Lavi A, Tzemah S, Hussein A, et al. A urologic stethoscope? Urologist performed sonography using a pocket-size ultrasound device in the point-of-care setting. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2017; 49(9): 1513-1518.

30. Nepal S, Dachsel M, Smallwood N. Point-of-care ultrasound rapidly and reliably diagnoses renal tract obstruction in patients admitted with acute kidney injury. *Clin Med*. 2020 Nov; 20(6): 541-544.
31. Fischer EA, Kinnear B, Sall D, et al. Hospitalist-operated compression ultrasonography: a Point-of-Care Ultrasound Study (HOCUS-POCUS). *J Gen Intern Med*. 2019; 34(10): 2062-2067.
32. Adhikari S, Blaivas M. Utility of bedside sonography to distinguish soft tissue abnormalities from joint effusions in the emergency department. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2010 Apr; 29(4): 519-26.
33. Situ-LaCasse E, Grieger RW, Crabbe S, et al. Utility of point-of-care musculoskeletal ultrasound in the evaluation of emergency department musculoskeletal pathology. *World J Emerg Med*. 2018; 9(4):262-266.
34. Berger T, Garrido F, Green J, et al. Bedside ultrasound performed by novices for the detection of abscess in ED patients with soft tissue infections. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2012;30(8):1569-1573.
35. Mclario DJ, Sivitz AB. Point-of-Care Ultrasound in pediatric clinical care. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2015;169(5):594.
36. Reaume M, Siuba M, Wagner M, et al. Prevalence and scope of Point-of-Care ultrasound education in Internal Medicine, Pediatric, and Medicine-Pediatric Residency programs in the United States. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2019; 38(6): 1433-1439.
37. Vieira RL, Hsu D, Nagler J, et al. Pediatric emergency medicine fellow training in ultrasound: consensus educational guidelines. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2013; 20(3): 300-306.
38. Shefrin AE, Warkentine F, Constantine E, et al. Consensus core Point-of-care ultrasound applications for pediatric emergency medicine training. *AEM Educ Train*. 2019; 3(3):251-258.
39. Kinnearet B, Kelleher M, Chorney V. Clinical progress note: Point-of-Care Ultrasound for pediatric hospitalist. *J Hosp Med*. 2019; 15(3):170-172.

40. Marin JR, Alpern ER, Panebianco NL, et al. Assessment of a training curriculum for emergency ultrasound for pediatric soft tissue infections. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2011 Feb; 18(2):174-82.
41. Marin JR, Abo AM, Arroyo AC, et al. Pediatric emergency medicine Point-of-Care ultrasound: summary of the evidence. *Crit Ultrasound J.* 2016;8(1): 16.
42. Copetti R, Cattarossi L. Ultrasound diagnosis of pneumonia in children. *Radiol Med.* 2008; 113(2):190-198.
43. Lazović D, Wegner U, Peters, et al. Ultrasound for diagnosis of apophyseal injuries. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 1996; 3(4):234-237.
44. Olowoyeye A, Fadahunsi O, Okudo J, et al. Ultrasound imaging versus palpation method for diagnostic lumbar puncture in neonates and infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Paediatr Open.* 2019; 3(1):e000412.
45. Doniger SJ, Ishimine P, Fox JC, et al. Randomized controlled trial of ultrasound-guided peripheral intravenous catheter placement versus traditional techniques in difficult-access pediatric patients. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* 2009; 25(3):154-159.
46. Bruzoni M, Slater B, Wall J, et al. A prospective randomized trial of ultrasound- vs landmark-guided central venous access in the pediatric population. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2013 May; 216(5):939-43.
47. Leyvi G, Taylor DG, Reith E, et al. Utility of ultrasound-guided central venous cannulation in pediatric surgical patients: a clinical series. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2005 Nov; 15(11):953-8.
48. Finnoff J, Lavalley M, Smith J. Musculoskeletal ultrasound education for sports medicine fellows: a suggested/potential curriculum by the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010; 44(16):1144-8.

49. Finnoff J, Berkoff D, Brennan F, et al. American Medical Society for Sports Medicine recommended sports ultrasound curriculum for sports medicine fellowships. *Clin J Sports Med.* 2015; 25(1): 23-9.
50. American College of Radiology (ACR); Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR); Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU). AIUM practice guideline for the performance of a musculoskeletal ultrasound examination. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2012; 31(9):1473-1488.
51. American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine. AIUM practice parameter for the performance of selected ultrasound-guided procedures. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2016; 35(9):1-40.
52. American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine. Training guidelines for physicians and chiropractors who perform ultrasound-guided musculoskeletal interventional procedures. 2013.
<https://www.aium.org/resources/viewStatement.aspx?id=51>. Accessed November 20, 2020.