













A remote sensing-based three-source energy balance model to improve global estimations of evapotranspiration in semi-arid tree-grass ecosystems

Vicente Burchard-Levine¹  | Héctor Nieto²  | David Riaño^{1,3}  | William P. Kustas⁴  |
Mirco Migliavacca⁵  | Tarek S. El-Madany⁵  | Jacob A. Nelson⁵  | Ana Andreu⁶  |
Arnaud Carrara⁷  | Jason Beringer⁸  | Dennis Baldocchi⁹  | M. Pilar Martín¹ 

¹Environmental Remote Sensing and Spectroscopy Laboratory (SpecLab), Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

²Complutum Tecnologías de la Información Geográfica S.L. (COMPLUTIG), Alcalá de Henares, Spain

³Center for Spatial Technologies and Remote Sensing (CSTARS), John Muir Institute of the Environment, University of California Davis, Davis, California, USA

⁴Hydrology and Remote Sensing Laboratory, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Beltsville, Maryland, USA

⁵Department Biogeochemical Integration, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

⁶IFAPA - Consejería de Agricultura, Pesca y Desarrollo Rural, Córdoba, Spain

⁷Fundación Centro de Estudios Ambientales del Mediterráneo (CEAM), Valencia, Spain

⁸School of Geography and Environmental Science, Monash University, Australia

⁹Ecosystem Sciences Division, Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA

Correspondence

Vicente Burchard-Levine, Environmental Remote Sensing and Spectroscopy Laboratory (SpecLab), Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Madrid, Spain.
Email: vicentefelipe.burchard@cchs.csic.es

Present address

Mirco Migliavacca, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, (JRC), Ispra, Italy

Funding information

EU Horizon2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie, Grant/Award Number: 721995

Abstract

It is well documented that energy balance and other remote sensing-based evapotranspiration (ET) models face greater uncertainty over water-limited tree-grass ecosystems (TGEs), representing nearly 1/6th of the global land surface. Their dual vegetation strata, the grass-dominated understory and tree-dominated overstory, make for distinct structural, physiological and phenological characteristics, which challenge models compared to more homogeneous and energy-limited ecosystems. Along with this, the contribution of grasses and trees to total transpiration (T), along with their different climatic drivers, is still largely unknown nor quantified in TGEs. This study proposes a thermal-based three-source energy balance (3SEB) model, accommodating an additional vegetation source within the well-known two-source energy balance (TSEB) model. The model was implemented at both tower and continental scales using eddy-covariance (EC) TGE sites, with variable tree canopy cover and rainfall (P) regimes and Meteosat Second Generation (MSG) images. 3SEB robustly simulated latent heat (LE) and related energy fluxes in all sites (Tower: LE RMSD ~ 60 W/m²; MSG: LE RMSD ~ 90 W/m²), improving over both TSEB and seasonally changing TSEB (TSEB-2S) models. In addition, 3SEB inherently partitions water fluxes between the tree, grass and soil sources. The modelled T correlated well with EC T estimates ($r > .76$), derived from a machine learning ET partitioning method. The T/ET was found positively related to both P and leaf area index, especially compared to the decomposed grass understory T/ET . However, trees and grasses had contrasting

relations with respect to monthly *P*. These results demonstrate the importance in decomposing total ET into the different vegetation sources, as they have distinct climatic drivers, and hence, different relations to seasonal water availability. These promising results improved ET and energy flux estimations over complex TGEs, which may contribute to enhance global drought monitoring and understanding, and their responses to climate change feedbacks.

KEYWORDS

3SEB, ecohydrology, evapotranspiration, phenology, remote sensing, surface energy balance, transpiration, tree-grass ecosystem, TSEB

1 | INTRODUCTION

Climate models project semi-arid tree-grass ecosystems (TGEs), such as savannas, to be disproportionately sensitive to global land and climate change (Bond et al., 2003; Sala et al., 2000). Along with this, long-term water, carbon and energy flux data are notably less available in arid and semi-arid ecosystems compared to humid or mesic systems (Biederman et al., 2017). Remote sensing and modelling alleviate the relative lack of data, however, these are often poorly constrained and/or do not sufficiently represent their more complex heterogeneous features (Whitley et al., 2017). By contrast, global and regional-scale studies highlighted the dominant role that TGEs and other semi-arid ecosystems play in the global biogeochemical cycle, being the main contributor to the trend and inter-annual variability of global carbon and water fluxes (Ahlström et al., 2015; Poulter et al., 2014). These regions are largely water-limited, as opposed to energy limited, and have unique seasonal and phenological characteristics that are much more coupled to water availability (Baldocchi & Xu, 2007; Higgins et al., 2011). As climate change scenarios predict increases in drought frequency and severity (Sheffield & Wood, 2008; Wang, 2005), an improved understanding of these TGEs is critical to not only better manage their limited hydrological resources, but also due to their important links with the global carbon cycle.

Evapotranspiration (ET), the combination of the abiotically driven surface evaporation and biotic transpiration of vegetation, is an important proxy to determine drought events (e.g., González-Dugo et al., 2021). It is a major flux of the water cycle, often more than 90% of incoming annual precipitation (*P*) in semi-arid catchments (García et al., 2013), and of the surface energy balance (SEB) as latent heat flux (LE). Eddy-covariance (EC) flux tower networks such as FLUXNET (Baldocchi, 2020; Chu et al., 2017; Running et al., 1999) provide LE observations at numerous sites worldwide. Although the prevalent lack of energy balance closure (e.g., Stoy et al., 2013) is generally attributed, at least partly, to an underestimation of LE (Foken et al., 2011; Leuning et al., 2012). Since EC measurements only offer information over a footprint of several hundred meters, remote sensing is the most feasible source to obtain spatially distributed global and regional ET estimates (Glenn et al., 2007). Among them, SEB models find a good compromise between being physically

based, but without needing extensive data inputs nor parameters to constrain them (Kustas & Anderson, 2009). For this reason, regional and global ET products widely use SEB models (Allen et al., 2015; Anderson et al., 2020; Guzinski et al., 2020; Senay et al., 2013). These models compute ET as the residual of the energy balance, where the available energy (AE), the difference between net radiation (*R_n*) and ground heat flux (*G*), is partitioned between sensible heat (*H*) and LE fluxes. SEB models exploit the thermal infrared region (TIR; 8–14 μm) to retrieve the land surface temperature (LST), using it as the main boundary condition to give a proxy on root-zone soil moisture and vegetation status.

There are several types of thermal-based SEB models (e.g., Allen et al., 2007; Bastiaanssen, 2000; Norman et al., 1995; Su, 2002). They largely differ in how they address the difference between the radiometric (LST) and aerodynamic (*T_a*) temperature. ‘Single-source’ models treat the surface as a single composite layer and may use an additional excess resistance term (Lhomme et al., 1997; Verhoef et al., 1997) and/or apply a local calibration procedure that is constrained by end-member pixels (e.g., Allen et al., 2007; Bastiaanssen, 2000). However, these adjustments are often highly parameterized (Boulet et al., 2015), need local calibration (Kustas et al., 2016) or rely on certain assumptions being met at the area of interest. A ‘dual-source’ approach instead decouples the surface temperature and energy exchange into vegetation and soil components, considering the directional effects of the TIR sensor observation (Anderson et al., 1997; Boulet et al., 2015; Norman et al., 1995, 2003). This more physically based formulation avoids the need for large empirical adjustments and more adequately represents sparse vegetation cover, common in semi-arid ecosystems. The two-source energy balance (TSEB) model (Kustas & Norman, 1999a; Norman et al., 1995) has been robustly applied in diverse landscapes, including for water-stressed conditions (e.g., Gonzalez-Dugo et al., 2009; Guzinski et al., 2020; Kustas et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019b; Timmermans et al., 2007).

However, ET models show limitations in more structurally complex landscapes, such as TGEs (e.g., Andreu et al., 2018; Burchard-Levine et al., 2020; Cleugh et al., 2007; Majozi et al., 2017; Ramoelo et al., 2014). TGEs represent about 1/6th of the Earth's surface (Sulla-Menashe et al., 2019) and are a prominent land cover within semi-arid regions. Their scattered (or open) tree overstory superimposing a continuous herbaceous understorey

have very different structural and phenological characteristics. These features, combined with the complex non-linear relationship between model parameters and flux output, cause for greater model uncertainty (Burchard-Levine et al., 2020, 2021). To improve ET simulations through a two-source perspective, Burchard-Levine et al. (2020) proposed seasonally changing TSEB (TSEB-2S) to accommodate the contrasting phenology of trees and grasses. In a similar Mediterranean TGE, Andreu et al. (2018) calibrated the initial potential transpiration estimate in TSEB and adjusted the wind profile scheme to incorporate the effects of both the overstory and understory on wind turbulence. However, whilst these adjustments improved the flux estimations in TGEs, they do not directly account for the effect of the dual vegetation layer, limiting their applicability to other TGE sites.

To overcome these limitations, this study proposes a remote sensing-based three-source energy balance (3SEB) model, integrating the tree-grass-soil layers present in TGEs within its model structure. The inherent decoupling of fluxes across these layers seeks to improve the understanding of transpiration (T) and plant water use efficiency, along with their relation to water availability and climate change. This also provides a framework to quantify the different tree and grass contributions to T, with currently very little available knowledge in this respect, especially at the ecosystem scale. EC measurements benchmarked 3SEB at four TGE sites located in Australia, Spain (2) and the USA. The natural gradient between sites, including large variability in tree cover (19%–48%), climate (annual rainfall (P): 300 to 850 mm/y) and physiology (evergreen vs deciduous trees), required examining the T/ET relation with P and the leaf area index (LAI). In addition, TSEB (Norman et al., 1995) and TSEB-2S (Burchard-Levine et al., 2020) simulations were evaluated against 3SEB. Furthermore, as a proof-of-concept, 3SEB was implemented at a quasi-global scale using data from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) onboard Meteosat Second Generation

(MSG) satellites. The model outputs were produced for TGE pixels within the MSG extent (~Africa, Europe and parts of Asia and South America) and evaluated over three EC sites in Spain, Senegal and South Africa.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Three-source energy balance (3SEB) model structure

The 3SEB model structure is based on the TSEB (Norman et al., 1995) surface resistance and energy balance equations. A vegetation layer is added by 3SEB to the TSEB model scheme to account for the dual vegetation layers. The original TSEB formulation presented both the ‘series’ and ‘parallel’ formulations to describe the soil-vegetation-atmosphere interactions (Norman et al., 1995). The ‘parallel’ approach portrays no interaction between the soil/substrate and vegetation components, with both components interacting directly with the atmosphere. By contrast, the ‘series’ formulation assumes interaction between the two sources with both contributing to the temperature in the canopy air space (i.e., aerodynamic temperature) (Kustas & Norman, 1999b). The ‘parallel’ model should not be confused with the ‘patch’ model as described in Lhomme et al. (2012), where the surface is portrayed as ‘patches’ of vegetation and soil/substrate that are fully uncoupled and act independently of each other (Kustas & Norman, 1999b; Lhomme et al., 2012). Within the ‘parallel’ resistance framework, the vegetation source still has an influence on the wind speed attenuation below the canopy, and the radiation transmitting through the canopy towards the soil surface (Kustas & Norman, 1999b). A combined ‘parallel-series’ three-source modelling approach is proposed here (Figure 1).




FIGURE 1 The three-source energy balance (3SEB) model scheme. Refer to the text for acronym definitions [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Assuming blackbody emissivity, LST is partitioned between soil (T_{soil}), understory (T_{un}) and overstory vegetation (T_{ov}) temperatures as follows:

$$\text{LST} = [f_{(\theta),\text{ov}} T_{\text{ov}}^4 + (1 - f_{(\theta),\text{ov}}) T_{\text{sub}}^4]^{1/4} \quad (1.1)$$

$$T_{\text{sub}} = [f_{(\theta),\text{un}} T_{\text{un}}^4 + (1 - f_{(\theta),\text{un}}) T_{\text{soil}}^4]^{1/4} \quad (1.2)$$

where T_{sub} is the understory vegetation + soil substrate (sub) temperature (K); $f_{(\theta),c}$ is the fraction of vegetation (c for either understory, un, or overstory, ov) observed by the sensor and estimated as: $f_{(\theta),c} = 1 - \exp(-k_{\text{bc}} \Omega_c F_c)$, where F is the local LAI (m^2/m^2); Ω is the clumping index (-), and k_{b} is the beam extinction coefficient described in Campbell and Norman (1998). Section S1 in supplementary material (SI) describes Ω and k_{b} estimations. Using this approach, the energy balance is decoupled between the three sources:

$$\text{LE}_{\text{ov}} = Rn_{\text{ov}} - H_{\text{ov}} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{LE}_{\text{un}} = Rn_{\text{un}} - H_{\text{un}} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{LE}_{\text{soil}} = Rn_{\text{soil}} - H_{\text{soil}} - G \quad (2.3)$$

where LE is the latent heat flux (W m^{-2}) and G is the soil heat flux (W m^{-2}). Using the layer approach (Lhomme et al., 2012), the fluxes of each source sum up to obtain the total bulk surface flux (i.e., $Fl = Fl_{\text{ov}} + Fl_{\text{un}} + Fl_{\text{soil}}$, where Fl represents the energy fluxes, either Rn , LE or H). The radiative transfer model (RTM) described in Chapter 15 of Campbell and Norman (1998), which was slightly adapted to consider multiple vegetation layers (see Section S1 in SI), simulated the radiation transmission through the canopies. G is estimated through the approach of Santanello and Friedl (2003). To solve the system of equations, a two-step approach is applied. First, the surface is treated as a parallel (i.e., uncoupled) tree-substrate system to obtain H_{ov} and H_{sub} using the heat transport equation (Equations 3.1, 3.2):

$$H_{\text{ov}} = \frac{\rho C_p (T_{\text{ov}} - T_{\text{A}})}{R_{\text{A}}} \quad (3.1)$$

$$H_{\text{sub}} = \frac{\rho C_p (T_{\text{sub}} - T_{\text{A}})}{R_{\text{A}} + R_{\text{sub}}} \quad (3.2)$$

where ρC_p is the volumetric heat capacity of air ($\text{J m}^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}$); R_{A} is the aerodynamic resistance to heat transfer based on the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory (Eq. S9 in SI) and R_{sub} is the resistance to heat transfer in the surface boundary layer above substrate layer (s m^{-1}) (Eq. S10 in SI). Subsequently, H_{un} and H_{soil} are estimated through a series (i.e., coupled) approach (Equations 4.1, 4.2, 4.3).

$$H_{\text{un}} = \frac{\rho C_p (T_{\text{un}} - T_{\text{AC}})}{R_{\text{X}}} \quad (4.1)$$

$$H_{\text{soil}} = \frac{\rho C_p (T_{\text{soil}} - T_{\text{AC}})}{R_{\text{S}}} \quad (4.2)$$

$$H_{\text{sub}} = H_{\text{un}} + H_{\text{soil}} = \frac{\rho C_p (T_{\text{AC}} - T_{\text{A}})}{R_{\text{A}}} \quad (4.3)$$

where T_{AC} is the air temperature in the canopy space (K) and is equivalent to the aerodynamic temperature; R_{X} is the bulk canopy resistance to heat transfer (s m^{-1} ; Eq. S11) and R_{S} is the resistance to heat transfer in the boundary layer above the soil layer (s m^{-1} ; Eq. S10). Equation 3.2 is inverted to estimate T_{sub} , which serves as the boundary condition to derive T_{un} and T_{soil} in Equation 1.2. The three-source resistance scheme in Figure 1 is based on the resistance formulation described in Appendix B of Norman et al. (1995).

Since T_{ov} , T_{un} and T_{soil} are unknown *a priori*, the Priestley-Taylor (PT) formulation, as in Norman et al. (1995), computes a first estimate of the canopy LE and H for both overstory and understory using:

$$\text{LE}_c = \alpha_{\text{PT}} f_{\text{g}} \left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \right) Rn_c \quad (5.1)$$

$$H_c = Rn_c - \text{LE}_c = Rn_c \left[1 - \alpha_{\text{PT}} f_{\text{g},c} \left(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \right) \right] \quad (5.2)$$

where LE_c is the initial canopy transpiration estimate (W m^{-2}); α_{PT} is the PT coefficient (default is 1.26) (-), defined in this case only for the vegetation canopy component (Agam et al., 2010; Kustas & Anderson, 2009); $f_{\text{g},c}$ is the green vegetation fraction and hence actively transpiring (-); Δ is the slope of the saturation vapour pressure curve (kPa K^{-1}) at air temperature (T_{A}); γ is the psychrometric constant (kPa K^{-1}). The subscript c here refers to the understory or overstory vegetation component.

The PT formulation initializes the model to solve the system of equations. However, this assumes the canopy is transpiring at a potential rate (without water stress). Whilst the vegetation canopy behaves more conservatively compared to the bulk surface (Agam et al., 2010), if the plant is stressed, the PT equation overestimates LE at the canopy level, underestimating the canopy temperature. This induces an overestimation of soil and substrate temperatures and, thus, $H_{\text{sub/soil}}$ to conserve the total surface temperature (Equations 1.1-1.2). As such, to preserve the energy balance (Equations 2.1-2.3), this would produce a negative soil or substrate LE (i.e., condensation), which is considered unrealistic for daytime conditions. Therefore, an iteration procedure reduces α_{PT} to mimic water stress until there is conservation in the radiometric and energy balance under feasible bounds (i.e., non-negative daytime component LE). This procedure is implemented separately by 3SEB for the overstory-substrate and the understory-soil systems since it is expected that each vegetation layer experiences water stress differently, both in terms of magnitude and timing. As such, the understory-soil layer applies the PT formulation considering T_{sub} as the main boundary condition (Equations 1.2 and 3.2). The overstory-substrate uses LST as the main boundary condition (Equations 1.1 and 3.1). Refer to the model source code for further details (<https://github.com/VicenteBurchard/3SEB>).

A combination of proximal and satellite RS data forced the 3SEB model. All sites collected half-hourly proximal TIR measurements. This approach is more efficient than daily or bi-weekly satellite near-noon overpasses since the latter does not capture the entire temporal and seasonal dimension and requires clear-sky conditions. However, the model implementation would essentially be the same using spatially discrete LST imagery (see Section 2.3.3). LAI is another important variable that dictates the radiation transmission between the various layers (Campbell & Norman, 1998; Section S1 in SI). It also characterizes the roughness and aerodynamic resistance of the surface (Norman et al., 1995; Section S2 in SI). In this study, moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard the Terra and Aqua satellite platforms retrieved LAI and f_g , key for the initial transpiration estimate (Equations 5.1, 5.2). Section 2.3.1 (and furthermore in Section S4 of the SI) describes details on LST, LAI and f_g retrievals. In addition, the respective sites collected meteorological data to characterize the turbulent and atmospheric conditions (Section 2.2). Other auxiliary inputs included the vegetation structure (height, cover and leaf width) (Table 1) and parameters related to the resistance and radiation transfer sub-models (Sections S1 and S2 in SI).

2.2 | Study sites

Four experimental TGE EC sites across three continents evaluated the 3SEB model: Majadas de Tiétar (ES-LM1) and Albuera (ES-Abr) in Spain, the Tonzi Ranch in California, USA (US-Ton) and Dry River in Australia (AU-Dry) (Figure 2). At all sites, P is mostly concentrated during specific wet seasons and all suffer a sustained seasonal drought period. The wet season largely falls during autumn (~October–November) and spring (~March–April), except for AU-Dry (~December–February). The sites vary in P and LAI (Figure 3), from the relatively arid ES-Abr (P ~300 mm/year) with large seasonal range in LAI (~0.4–2 m²/m²) to the more humid AU-Dry (P ~850 mm/year), with comparatively less range in LAI (~0.8–1.8 m²/m²). The peak biomass period occurs in ~April–May, but is slightly earlier for AU-Dry in ~February–March (Figure 3). The dry season occurs roughly in ~June–August for all sites when the understory herbaceous species largely senesce.

Distinct tree overstory and grass understory compose all TGE sites with variable vegetation composition and density. ES-LM1 is a managed agro-forested area in central Spain, known as *dehesa* (El-Madany et al., 2018), where evergreen Holm Oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) represent roughly 20% of the surface and stand at a mean height of 8.7 m (Bogdanovich et al., 2021; El-Madany et al., 2020). At ~200 km south, ES-Abr is a more arid *dehesa* also composed of Holm Oaks, but with a slightly larger tree fractional cover (24%) and lower mean canopy height (6.6m) (El-Madany et al., 2020). In US-Ton, an oak savanna woodland located on the lower foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains in California, USA (Baldocchi et al., 2010), deciduous Blue Oaks (*Quercus douglasii* H. & A) with a mean canopy height of 9.4 m dominate the overstory, covering 48% of the surface. Evergreen

TABLE 1 Structural parameters for the overstory (ov) and understory (un) vegetation for the different EC sites

Vegetation Parameters	3SEB				TSEB			
	AU-Dry		ES-LM1		AU-Dry		US-Ton	
	ov	un	ov	un	Effective vegetation	Effective vegetation	Effective vegetation	Effective vegetation
f_c	0.25	1	0.24	1	1	1	1	1
h_c	12.3	0.5	6.6	0.5	12.3*	6.6*	9.4	9.4*
l_w	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
LAI	LAI _{ov}	LAI _{un}	LAI _{ov}	LAI _{un}	LAI _{MODIS}	LAI _{MODIS}	LAI _{MODIS}	LAI _{MODIS}
f_g	$f_{g,ov}$	$f_{g,un}$	$f_{g,ov}$	$f_{g,un}$	$f_{g,total}$	$f_{g,total}$	$f_{g,total}$	$f_{g,total}$

*See Section S7 in SI.




FIGURE 2 Tower locations that implemented and evaluated 3SEB at the site scale [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

eucalyptus species (*Eucalyptus tetrodonta* F. Muell, *Eucalyptus terminalis* F. Muell and *Eucalyptus dichromophloia* F. Muell) standing at 12.3 m mean height cover roughly 25% of the surface in AU-Dry (Hutley et al., 2011; Sea et al., 2011).

All four EC sites have similar instrumentation to force and evaluate 3SEB, including a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (Gill LTD¹), along with infrared gas analysers (Li-Cor Inc.) and four-component net radiometers (CNR4, Kipp and Zonen). Refer to the respective reference of each site for more details (Table S1). Half-hourly meteorological and flux data were collected for the four-year simulation period shown in Figure 3 and listed in Table S1, using hydrological years (1 October to 30 September) to fully capture the main wet and growing seasons. These include incoming shortwave (SW_{in}) and longwave (LW_{in}) irradiance, outgoing longwave irradiance (LW_{out}), T_A , relative humidity (RH), and wind speed (u). Additionally, *in situ* energy balance observations of R_n , LE , H and G benchmarked the model performance. Allocating residuals to the observed LE ensured the energy balance closure (i.e., $\sum [LE + H] / \sum [R_n - G]$), which ranged from 0.76 and 0.83 across sites, with the assumption that errors in LE are larger than H (e.g., Foken et al., 2011), as applied in similar studies (e.g., Burchard-Levine et al., 2020; Guzinski et al., 2014; Kustas et al., 2012).

In addition to these four sites, two other EC sites were also used to benchmark the 3SEB model when applied at the continental scale (see section 2.3.3). These were the Dhara site in Senegal (SN-Dhr; Tagesson et al., 2013; 2015) and the Skukuza site in South

Africa (ZA-Kru; Archibald et al., 2009; Scholes, 2013). Datasets were collected from the FLUXNET2015 release (Pastorello et al., 2020).

2.3 | The 3SEB model implementation and evaluation

2.3.1 | Model set-up

Each site implemented 3SEB at the half-hourly time step for a four-year period (Table S1). LST and meteorological forcing (i.e., SW_{in} , T_A , u , RH) were incorporated at this time step, whilst vegetation biophysical variables (i.e., LAI , f_g) were forced daily (see Section S4.2 of SI).

LST was estimated from LW radiation measurements from CNR4 (Kipp & Zonen, Delft, Netherlands) radiometers (see Section S4.1 in SI). The MODIS LAI v006 (MCD15A3H) product provided green LAI at 500 m spatial resolution (LAI_{MODIS}). Since the non-green or non-photosynthetically active vegetation (i.e., dead leaves/plants or wooded material) influence the aerodynamic and radiative transfer, total LAI (LAI_{total}) or plant area index (PAI) would be more appropriate but no global products were available. The Gutman and Ignatov (1998) approach, based on the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), was estimated f_g (see Section S4.2 in SI). NDVI time series over the pixel centred of each site was retrieved from the MODIS daily Nadir BRDF-adjusted Reflectance v006 (MCD43A4) product. Pacheco-Labrador et al. (2017) demonstrated the 500 × 500 m pixel to adequately represent the EC flux footprint area. The 3SEB distinguishes and separates total

¹The use of trade, firm, or corporation names in this article is for the information and convenience of the reader. Such use does not constitute official endorsement or approval by the US Department of Agriculture or the Agricultural Research Service of any product or service to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.




FIGURE 3 Rainfall (P), half-hourly air temperature ($T_{A, hh}$), daytime mean temperature ($T_{A, mean}$) and leaf area index (LAI) time series during the simulation period for AU-Dry, ES-Abr, ES-LM1 and US-Ton [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)]

LAI and f_g for each vegetation layer. The decomposition of these vegetation indices between overstory and understory vegetation, along with other processing details, are provided in Section S4.2 of the SI.

EC measurements benchmarked the 3SEB flux outputs (H , LE , R_n , and G) at both half-hourly and daily scales. Additionally, the 3SEB ET partitioning (T/ET) was evaluated against the transpiration estimation algorithm (TEA) (Nelson et al., 2018). TEA is a data-driven method, which uses the carbon (i.e., gross primary production, (GPP)) and water (i.e., ET) relations to decouple the T signal from ET. For more details on the TEA method, see Nelson et al. (2018, 2020). ES-LM1 and US-Ton also collected EC flux measurements below the tree overstory to further evaluate the modelled flux partitioning.

TSEB (Norman et al., 1995) and TSEB-2S (Burchard-Levine et al., 2020) simulations were additionally performed for comparison purposes. Since TSEB has only one vegetation source assumed in the model structure, effective input and parameter values depict the mixed surface (Table 1). TSEB-2S adjusts the parameterization of

the vegetation source depending on the assumed dominant vegetation source, whether it is the grass-dominated (understory-soil system) phase or the tree-dominated (overstory-soil) drought phase. For more information on TSEB-2S, refer Burchard-Levine et al. (2020).

Table 1 lists the structural vegetation parameters implemented in 3SEB and TSEB. TSEB-2S applies the 'understory' or 'overstory' configuration depending on the phenological phase (Section S5 in SI). To clarify, f_c is different to $f_{(\theta), ov}$ and $f_{(\theta), un}$ from Equations 1.1 and 1.2. The f_c mostly characterizes the distribution and canopy clumping (Eq. S4.1), whilst $f_{(\theta), ov}$ and $f_{(\theta), un}$, functions of LAI and sensor viewing angle, are the vegetation fraction viewed by the sensor and partitions the temperature contribution from the different sources. For example, the understory f_c (i.e., $f_{c, un}$) is maintained constant at 1 throughout the simulation period since the understory rather homogeneously covers the entire soil surface, even during the dry period (Table 1). Nevertheless, f_g quantifies the vegetation percentage that is photosynthetically active and, by contrast, varies seasonally (Figure S1).

2.3.2 | Model performance evaluation

In situ LAI measurements evaluated the MODIS-based understory (LAI_{un}) and overstory (LAI_{ov}) LAI decomposition, as required by 3SEB. These data were only available for ES-LM1 and US-Ton. In ES-LM1, the retrieved LAI_{un} were benchmarked against measured understory LAI from 24 field campaigns between 31 October 2014 and 16 July 2018 through the FLUXPEC (<http://www.lineas.cchs.csic.es/fluxpec/>) and SynerTGE (<http://www.lineas.cchs.csic.es/synertge/>) projects. In this site, destructive sampling with the separation of green/non-green material and leaf scanning acquired *in situ* LAI. The mean of ~20–30 samples acquired during each campaign represented the ecosystem LAI_{un} . Details on field protocols for ES-LM1 are available in Melendo-Vega et al. (2018) and Mendiguren et al. (2015). For US-Ton, *in situ* LAI_{un} was collected from the Biological, Ancillary, Disturbance, and Metadata (BADM) dataset available through AMERIFLUX. A Li-cor (LI-3100C) area meter (https://www.licor.com/env/products/leaf_area/LI-3100C/) from 400 cm² sampling areas measured LAI (Baldocchi & Ma, 2013). *In situ* LAI_{un} measurements in US-Ton acquired on 33 dates from 10 February 2010 to 08 May 2013 were outside the simulation period, but nonetheless served to benchmark the retrieved LAI_{un} .

The root mean square deviation (RMSD), mean bias (bias), the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency index (NSE) and the Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) quantified the modelling performance. In addition, mean daily error plots inspected the seasonal trends of model uncertainty. The standard deviation (σ) of mean daily errors quantified the intra-annual variability, whilst the mean range of errors for each day of year evaluated the inter-annual variability (IAV).

The estimated T/ET, including separating the understory (T_{un}) and overstory (T_{ov}) transpiration, were related to monthly and annual P. In addition, the Wei et al. (2017) empirical equation (Equation 6), which evaluated the relation between T/ET and LAI, contextualized the retrieved relationship between photosynthetic activity and T (Wang et al., 2014).

$$\frac{T}{ET} = aLAI^b \quad (6)$$

where a and b correspond to the coefficients optimized for different land classes, which for the 'grasslands and shrubs' land classification, including savannas, are 0.69 and 0.28, respectively.

2.3.3 | Continental-scale 3SEB implementation with Meteosat Second Generation data

In addition to site-level model runs, satellite data primarily from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) onboard Meteosat Second Generation (MSG) satellites forced 3SEB. The MSG disk covers Africa, Europe and parts of South America and Asia (Figure S7 in SI). This area represents roughly 60% of world-wide TGEs (Sulla-Menashe et al., 2019). LST, vegetation indices and shortwave irradiance were acquired from the land surface analysis satellite application facilities (LSA SAF) (<https://landsaf.ipma.pt/en/>)

data/catalogue/). The overstory canopy height was obtained from space-borne LiDAR through the global ecosystem dynamics investigation (GEDI) L3 product (Dubayah et al., 2021). The Copernicus Global Land Operations (CGLOPS-1) 100-m land cover and forest cover product (Tsendbazar et al., 2021) delineated TGE pixels and offered an overstory f_c estimate. Global meteorological data were collected from the Copernicus ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis dataset (Hersbach et al., 2020) and processed similarly to the sentinels for evapotranspiration (Sen-ET, Guzinski et al., 2020) approach. Refer to Section S8 in the SI for further details on the data sources and processing used to implement 3SEB over the MSG disk.

Model runs were forced at the hourly time step between 9:00 and 18:00 UTC for 2012 overall pixels (pixel size: 0.05°) classified as TGEs within the MSG disk (see Section S8.2 in SI). *In situ* data from ES-LM1, SN-Dhr and ZA-Kru benchmarked this continental approach. Other sites used in this study were not included in this evaluation due to being outside the MSG extent (AU-Dry and US-Ton) or due to data unavailability during the processing period (ES-Abr).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Vegetation biophysical inputs

In ES-LM1, the MODIS-derived LAI_{un} correlated well with both *in situ* LAI_{total} ($r = .71$) and LAI_{green} ($r = .83$) (Figure 4). However, moderate errors were observed, notably compared against LAI_{total} (RMSD = 0.59 m²/m²). LAI_{un} was systematically lower compared to LAI_{total} (bias = -0.45 m²/m²), especially during the 2016 March–May peak biomass period (Figure 4b). Nevertheless, LAI_{un} was generally aligned with LAI_{green} (RMSE = 0.32 m²/m² and bias = -0.08 m²/m²). In ES-LM1, as field sampling protocols separated green and non-green material, the $f_{g,un}$ was also assessed (Figure 4a). The retrieved $f_{g,un}$ aligned reasonably well with *in situ* measurements in ES-LM1, being well correlated ($r = .6$) and capturing the magnitudes (bias = -0.05 m²/m²). Despite this, modelled $f_{g,un}$ had moderate errors (RMSE = 0.22) and a quicker dry down compared to observed values, which sustained peak values for longer (Figure 4a). In US-Ton, the retrieved LAI_{un} were within similar magnitudes to the observed understory LAI (Figure 4d). However, the correlation was lower ($r = .44$), whilst errors were similar (RMSE = 0.43 m²/m²) to those observed in ES-LM1.

3.2 | Tower-based flux estimations with 3SEB

For all sites, midday half-hourly LE_{3SEB} correlated well with observed LE (LE_{obs}), with r ranging from 0.81 to 0.88 (Figure S3). LE_{3SEB} RMSD ranged between 59 and 67 W/m². Errors and bias were generally larger in ES-Abr and US-Ton due to the slight underestimation of H (bias = -38 and -36 W/m², respectively). By contrast, H_{3SEB} was slightly overestimated in AU-Dry (bias = 26 W/m²). For all sites, the NSE was greater than 0.5, which indicated a satisfactory model fit compared to observed data (Moriassi et al., 2007).




FIGURE 4 Evaluation of MODIS-derived understory green fraction ($f_{g,un}$, a) and leaf area index (LAI_{un}) against *in situ* total (LAI_{total} , b) and green LAI (LAI_{green} , c) measurements in ES-LM1 and US-Ton (d). Red error bars represent the standard deviation of sample values during each field campaign [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

The ET_{3SEB} RMSD ranged between 0.35 and 0.48 mm/day (Figure 5). At the daily scale, the NSE was greater for all sites, ranging from 0.82 to 0.89. In AU-Dry, 3SEB tended to underestimate ET during the Jan–April peak biomass period. By contrast, ES-Abr, ES-LM1 and US-Ton often overestimated ET during the seasonal drought period. For ES-Abr and ES-LM1, this is particularly apparent during the 2016 dry period, largely due to the sustained H underestimation. It is noteworthy that 2016 was an extraordinarily productive year so the LAI_{total} , including non-green elements, was unusually high during the summer (Figure 4b).

3.3 | Comparison with TSEB and TSEB-2S

The TSEB, TSEB-2S and 3SEB model performance indicators (see Table S2) show that average midday H_{3SEB} RMSD decreased for all sites from 89 to 66 W/m² compared to H_{TSEB} . The H NSE also increased from 0.33 to 0.65. LE_{3SEB} similarly improved for all model evaluation indicators (Table S2).

Along with the overall decrease in bias, 3SEB had less seasonal variability in errors compared to TSEB and TSEB-2S (Figure 6). For example,

in AU-Dry, estimated H with TSEB and TSEB-2S deviated most from observations during the peak growing period (Feb–March), reaching H deviations up to ~200 W/m². In ES-Abr, ES-LM1 and US-Ton, H errors with both two-source models were largest during the dry-down and seasonal drought. By contrast, the error distribution of 3SEB remained relatively consistent throughout the year, with σ lower compared to TSEB and TSEB-2S (Figure 6). This was particularly evident during the seasonal dry-down period in US-Ton and ES-LM1, where TSEB-2S errors tended to increase largely compared to 3SEB (Figure S4). However, TSEB-2S performed similarly to 3SEB in ES-Abr and even had slightly less bias during the peak seasonal drought (~August). The IAV was lowest for 3SEB (~45 W/m²) and largest for TSEB-2S (~60 W/m²).

3.4 | Flux partitioning between the ecosystem sources

3.4.1 | Flux partition evaluation

The daily T_{3SEB} correlated well with the data-driven T_{TEA} at all sites (Figure 7; $r > .76$). Using the T_{TEA} as the benchmark, errors are also




FIGURE 5 Daily time series of 3SEB estimated evapotranspiration (ET_{3SEB} , blue) and observed ET (black) throughout the simulation period [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

relatively low (RMSD = 0.46 to 0.91 mm/day) and without large systematic biases from 3SEB (bias = -0.03 to 0.6 mm/day). The largest deviations occurred in US-Ton, mostly due to T overestimations during low LAI periods.

In addition, sub-canopy EC towers, available in ES-LM1 and US-Ton, assessed the modelled fluxes from the understory substrate (understory T + soil E). H , instead of LE , benchmarked the partitioning to limit issues related to energy balance (EB) closure and uncertainties with the AE below the overstory canopy. The modelled H_{sub} were highly correlated with those measured for both sites, with r of 0.84 and 0.92 (Figure 8). However, US-Ton had important systematic biases with an RMSD and bias of 117 and 87 W/m^2 , respectively. By contrast, modelled H_{sub} in ES-LM1 had less errors (RMSD: 63 W/m^2) and was only slightly overestimated.

3.4.2 | Seasonal flux partition

The overall mean annual T/ET for all sites was between 46% and 66%. However, these TGEs are highly seasonal with the phenology of dual vegetation layers inducing large variability to the different contributions to ET (Figure 9). T_{ov}/ET was largest in AU-Dry (i.e., $45 \pm 11\%$) and lowest in ES-Abr and ES-LM1 with mean annual contributions of 31 ± 9 and $34 \pm 11\%$, respectively. In US-Ton, the

mean T_{ov}/ET was much more variable ($40 \pm 29\%$) due to the deciduous nature of the tree species. In ES-Abr and ES-LM1, E_{soil}/ET was generally higher with the mean annual contribution of 54 ± 9 and $53 \pm 12\%$, respectively. The T_{un}/ET in US-Ton had the largest variability ($22 \pm 20\%$), with the contribution ranging from nearly 60% during the peak biomass period to $\sim 0\%$ during the seasonal drought.

3.4.3 | ET partitioning relation with P and LAI

The results show that T_{ov}/ET and T_{un}/ET have contrasting relations with monthly P (Figure 10), with the former negatively correlated ($r = -.32$, $p < .01$), whilst latter being positively related ($r = .34$, $p < .01$). However, at the annual scale, both T_{ov}/ET and T_{un}/ET are positively correlated with P , but the relation with T_{ov}/ET is not as significant (i.e., $p = .1$). T/ET showed no trend with monthly P ($r = .05$, $p = .51$), but had a significant positive relation with annual P ($r = .58$, $p = .02$).

The empirical Wei et al. (2017) T/ET and LAI regression (Wei2017) was developed using mean site-level LAI and T/ET measurements from numerous sites, aggregating over large temporal periods, and not at the monthly time step such as presented here with the 3SEB partitioning (Figure 11). At the ecosystem level, T/ET is less correlated with LAI ($r \sim .4$). Nevertheless, the relation becomes




FIGURE 6 Annual time series of the average daily H errors ($|H_{\text{obs}} - H_{\text{mod}}|$) for TSEB (green), TSEB-2S (blue), and 3SEB (red). The shaded area corresponds to the minimum and maximum daily error of the four years assessed. The average H bias, annual standard deviation (σ) and average daily range of error (IAV) are also shown [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

much stronger and linear when only the ratio transpired from the understory (i.e., T_{un}/ET) is assessed ($r = .75$). Although the fitted regression was linear, the power function of Wei2017 still explained a large portion of the variance ($r = .71$), even though notable biases were observed (RMSD: 0.54). Interestingly, by examining only the substrate system, the ET partitioning ($T_{\text{un}}/[T_{\text{un}} + E_{\text{soil}}]$) was very much linked to LAI_{un} ($r = .86$). Additionally, the fitted power trend is more notable and similar to Wei2017, although T/ET increases more slowly as LAI increases.

3.5 | Continental 3SEB flux estimations

Figure 12 shows the estimated 2012 mean annual LE, including the different component partitioning ratios, when 3SEB was forced at the continental scale using SEVIRI/MSG data. The mean annual T/ET ratio was 50.5% over the entire MSG extent.

Three EC sites (shown in Figure 12) evaluated the flux outputs from this satellite implementation of 3SEB (Figure 13). The SEVIRI/MSG 3SEB implementation observed higher errors compared to site-scaled simulations (Section 3.2). However, H retrievals, especially for ES-LM1 and SN-Dhr, maintained within similar error magnitudes.

Midday hourly H RMSD ranged from 64 to 97 W/m^2 over the three sites, with both ES-LM1 and SN-Dhr observing a high correlation with measured fluxes ($r > .75$). ZA-Kru observed higher H errors (RMSD = 97 W/m^2 and $r = .36$). The increases in error were more pronounced for LE, with RMSD and r ranging from 83 to 104 W/m^2 and 0.4 to 0.87, respectively.

4 | DISCUSSION

The proposed 3SEB model improved the depiction and simulation of water and energy fluxes for heterogeneous semi-arid TGE landscapes, typically poorly represented by state-of-the-art models (Majozi et al., 2017; Ramoelo et al., 2014; Whitley et al., 2017). In the four sites, 3SEB accurately simulated ET (RMSD < 0.5 mm/day) and related energy fluxes (midday H RMSD ~60 W/m^2). The model structure also demonstrated robustness when applied at the continental scale (LE RMSD ~90 W/m^2), along with reproducing flux dynamics for sites with spatial and temporal variability in canopy cover, P and LAI. Furthermore, it consistently improved over TSEB and TSEB-2S with fewer overall errors (Table S2), along with less intra- and IAV of errors (Figure 6). These semi-arid ecosystems often switch from




FIGURE 7 Scatter plots of modelled daily transpiration (T_{3SEB}) versus the TEA estimates (T_{TEA}). Colours visualize the daily ecosystem LAI (LAI_{MODIS}) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 8 Scatter plots of modelled midday (between 11 and 13 UTC) substrate (understory + soil) H ($H_{sub,mod}$) versus those observed ($H_{sub,obs}$) from the sub-canopy towers in ES-LM1 (left) and US-Ton (right). Colours visualize the daily ecosystem LAI (LAI_{MODIS}) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 9 Average daily seasonal ET partitioning into overstory (green), understory (blue) and soil (black) sources as a percentage of total surface ET. The shaded area represents the inter-annual variability (i.e., IAV, the range for that day of the year) of the four simulated years [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

being carbon sinks and sources. Therefore, better capturing the IAV is particularly relevant (Biederman et al., 2017; El-Madany et al., 2020).

As shown in Burchard-Levine et al. (2021), the combination of tree and grass features in TGEs caused large uncertainties when using effective input/parameter values at coarser spatial scales (pixel size >10 m). Along with spatial complexities, the 3SEB model incorporated the distinct overstory and understory phenological dynamics of the TGEs' vegetation. Whitley et al. (2017) highlighted these considerations as important characteristics generally not accounted for within land surface models, misrepresenting and generating uncertainty over TGEs. In particular, the seasonal dry-down induces an even greater landscape heterogeneity with important mixing of senesced and active understory vegetation along with the overstory. As shown in Figure 6 and Figure S4, 3SEB better captured these transitional periods, as the LAI is decoupled and the phenology of each vegetation source can change independently. It is more challenging to represent the heterogeneity present through the effective values (e.g., TSEB) for a landscape with dual vegetation layers (Burchard-Levine et al., 2020, 2021). To account for this, TSEB-2S alters the model parameterization assuming either the understory or overstory vegetation as dominant. However, the sharp change in the parameterization caused uncertainty during the seasonal transition

periods, when both vegetation sources co-dominate (Figure 6; Figure S4). This was particularly evident in US-Ton, where TSEB-2S had large errors at the beginning of the summer season (~June, Figure 6), subsequently decreasing during the peak seasonal drought periods (~July–August) when the understory had largely senesced.

As a proof of concept, 3SEB was applied at the continental scale over Africa, Europe and neighbouring regions from other continents. Quasi-global datasets available from LSA-SAF and ancillary data sources parameterized the model. The promising evaluation over three sites rendered errors only slightly greater than the site-level simulations (Figure 13). LE errors increased more significantly than H due to more uncertainty in the AE estimation (i.e., $R_n - G$, see Figure S9). This was expected due to numerous reasons. First, the ERA-5 reanalysis meteorological and LSA-SAF shortwave irradiance data inputs are likely to add more uncertainty, compared to locally measured meteorological and irradiance data. Crucially, shortwave irradiance directly affects R_n estimations and, thus, LE, as it is estimated as the residual of the EB. Figure S9 shows that LSA-SAF irradiance inputs are highly correlated to tower measurements in all sites. Nevertheless, important errors are present ($RSMD > 100 W/m^2$), translating to noisier modelled outputs. Second, there is a large spatial scale mismatch between the pixel size (~5 km) and the EC tower footprint (~hundreds of meters). This substantially increases




FIGURE 10 Scatter plots of rainfall (P) versus T_{ov}/ET (upper rows), T_{un}/ET (centre rows) and T/ET (lower rows) at both monthly (left column) and annual (right column) scales [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

pixel heterogeneity, which may induce greater uncertainty to the primary (i.e., LST, LAI) and ancillary (i.e., f_g , f_c , h_c) inputs (Chu et al., 2021). Despite these greater sources of errors from model inputs, 3SEB performed relatively well at the MSG pixel level, obtaining similar errors statistics as the 20m Sen-ET retrievals (LE RMSE ~ 80 – 100 W/m^2 ; Guzinski et al., 2020), and performed better than

the LSA-SAF ET product (see Figure S8). These promising results demonstrate 3SEB's potential application on the operational level or incorporated within global earth system models (ESM).

In addition to improving flux estimates in these complex landscapes, 3SEB explicitly decomposes ET into the different vegetation and land surface components. Research on ET partitioning from




FIGURE 11 Relationship between monthly total leaf area index (LAI) and T/ET (a) and T_{un}/ET (b); and understory leaf area index (LAI_{un}) and $T_{un}/[T_{un} + E_{soil}]$ (c). Black lines are the fitted regression optimizing the a and b coefficients of Equation 9 and purple lines are the associated relation derived from Wei et al. (2017). Mean site-level averages are highlighted in yellow [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)]

biotic and abiotic sources (i.e., T/ET) has gained traction because of its key links to plant water use and limitations in the context of climate and agronomic sciences (Anderson et al., 2017; Fisher et al., 2017). Despite current efforts (Ma et al., 2020; Nelson et al., 2020; Stoy et al., 2019), determining T remains a challenge due to its complex relations with soil moisture, climatology, vegetation cover and phenological cues (Perez-Priego et al., 2018; Scott & Biederman, 2017; Wei et al., 2017). Numerous studies indicate that global mean T/ET is roughly 60% (Fatichi & Pappas, 2017; Good et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019a; Lian et al., 2018; Schlesinger & Jasechko, 2014; Stoy et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Wei et al., 2017). However, these reported global T/ET values carry large uncertainty, ranging between ~30% and 90% (Stoy et al., 2019). The 3SEB reported here a T/ET between 44 and 66% at the site scale, which aligned well with the TEA algorithm (RMSD: ~0.6 mm/day), and a mean of 50.5% for the continental scale (~Africa and Europe) MSG simulations (Figure 12d). A meta-analysis of T/ET estimates over 38 semi-arid sites (Sun et al., 2019) reported that T/ET was roughly 50%, as similarly reported in this study.

Soil evaporation (E_{soil}/ET) was largest in ES-Abr and ES-LM1 representing around ~55% of ET . This is in line with Perez-Priego et al. (2017, 2018) who show that the understory ET dominates in ES-LM1. In fact, E_{soil}/ET in Perez-Priego et al. (2018) reached up to ~70% during the growing period, estimated through lysimeter measurements and a novel ET partitioning method, similar to that achieved with 3SEB (Figure 9). Perez-Priego et al. (2018) observed considerable soil evaporation rates even when the shallow (i.e., sandy) soil was dry, indicating the evaporation rates may be upheld from moisture of the deeper soil (e.g., clay) layer.

Whilst past studies suggested T/ET is independent of P (e.g., Fatichi & Pappas, 2017; Schlesinger & Jasechko, 2014; Sun et al., 2019), mean annual T/ET correlated positively here with annual P ($r = .58$, $p = .02$; Figure 10). In water-limited ecosystems, ET

partitioning might be more strongly linked to P and water availability (e.g., Perez-Priego et al., 2018). El-Madany et al. (2020) reported very strong linear correlations between annual P and GPP for ES-Abr and ES-LM1, which has mechanistic links to T . At the monthly scale, T_{un}/ET and T_{ov}/ET had opposing relations with seasonal P . This further demonstrated the contrasting survival strategies of both vegetation functional types. The tree overstory has large root systems to access deeper soil moisture sources and remains physiologically active during the dry season (Archibald & Scholes, 2007; Higgins et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2018). Their growth is less dependent on rainfall events or other meteorological cues (Archibald & Scholes, 2007; Higgins et al., 2011). By contrast, herbaceous understory species respond quickly to water availability, opting for an annual phenology to avoid the drought season (Bond, 2008; Moore et al., 2016). Therefore, T_{ov}/ET is usually greater during the dry months with the inactivity of understory species, and hence the negative correlation. Interestingly, T_{ov}/ET was positively related to P at the annual scale ($r = .43$, $p = .1$). This suggests that whilst seasonal water availability is not important for tree species, the long-term P is. However, this non-significant relationship ($p > .05$) needs more observations to confirm this trend. At the ecosystem level, there was no significant correlation between monthly T/ET and P ($r = .05$, $p = .5$), due to the contrasting relation each of the vegetation layers had with seasonal P .

Other studies reported stronger links between T/ET and LAI compared to P (e.g., Sun et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2017). Wei et al. (2017) developed empirical models for different land cover types to obtain T/ET estimates from LAI. Nevertheless, they stated that savanna ecosystems presented large uncertainties due to the overstory and understory differences in water uptake processes. Indeed, LAI did not explain a large portion of the T/ET variance ($r = .4$). However, the relationship was much stronger with LAI, after separating the fluxes to consider only T_{un}/ET ($r = .77$). Furthermore, $T_{un}/[T_{un} + E_{soil}]$ was highly correlated with the




FIGURE 12 Map of SEVIRI/MSG forced 3SEB estimations of the 2012 mean annual LE (a), T_{ov}/ET (b), T_{un}/ET (c) and T/LE (d). Dark grey areas correspond to TGE pixels not processed due to cloud or other processing issues. *In situ* EC sites are located by red points [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 13 Evaluation of SEVIRI/MSG 3SEB daytime fluxes against tower measurements in 2012 from ES-LM1, SN-Dhr and ZA-Kru [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

understory LAI_{un} ($r = .83$), with a similar fitted power function to Wei et al. (2017). This result is somewhat expected since LAI_{un} is an input to 3SEB. Nonetheless, it illustrates the advantage of decomposing the flux and vegetation components in TGEs. Indeed, this has important implications for land surface models, which often rely heavily on LAI through a 'big leaf' approach (e.g., Lian et al., 2018). Whilst certain models consider horizontal heterogeneity within modelling grids, the integration of different vertical layers (e.g., overstory and understory) are rarely considered in Earth system models. As shown in Bonan et al. (2021), 'big leaf' canopies may oversimplify complex vertical vegetation structures and processes, resulting in poorer model robustness compared to multi-layered models. This canopy misrepresentation is particularly relevant in TGEs, having two vegetation layers with highly different survival strategies and characteristics, making it inadequate to relate singular bulk biophysical variables to represent vegetation functioning at the ecosystem scale (as supported by Figure 11). The simple, yet effective, dual vegetation layer representation in 3SEB is a way forward for future model development, particularly for landscapes with important differences in the overstory and understory canopies.

The 3SEB processed-based T/ET partitioning compared well with the data-driven TEA, even though they have very different and independent approaches. Whilst T_{TEA} is not a measured dataset, its highly data-driven approach allows for an appropriate benchmark, especially considering the limitations (and unavailability) of T/ET measurements, such as sap flux or isotopic methods (Anderson et al., 2017; Kool et al., 2014). TEA exploits ET's link with GPP and does not incorporate inputs related to vegetation structure (e.g., h_c , LAI). Despite this, the sites assessed rendered high T correlations from both methods ($r > .75$) and obtained similar magnitudes. The largest discrepancies occurred in US-Ton during the summer drought, with large T_{3SEB} overestimations compared to T_{TEA} . The understory EC tower in US-Ton corroborated this pattern, as the model systematically overestimated H_{sub} ($H_{un} + H_{soil}$) (Figure 8). Total ecosystem H was well modelled and even slightly underestimated (see Figure S3), indicating that H_{ov} was substantially underestimated. Since the residual of the energy balance determined LE, the underestimated H_{ov} suggests LE_{ov} was overestimated, as supported through the T_{TEA} comparison.

The apparent LE_{ov} overestimation in US-Ton may be linked to the constant LAI_{ov} forced into 3SEB, including uncertainty in capturing US-Ton's more dynamic deciduous overstory phenology. This would primarily affect the amount of radiation intercepted (and available) by overstory (i.e., Rn_{ov}) as LAI is the main input of the RTM within 3SEB (Section S1 in SI). However, the estimated leaf-on LAI_{ov} in US-Ton (and other sites) was well captured compared to previous measurements found in the literature (see S4.2 in SI). In fact, a local sensitivity analysis of LAI_{ov} showed very little effect of this input on T/ET estimates (Figure S5). As shown in Ryu et al. (2012) with upward-point cameras in US-Ton, LAI_{ov} peaks during the initial leaf-on period at around $\sim 0.85 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$, but gradually declines to $\sim 0.7 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$, before the rapid decrease in LAI during the leaf-off. Additionally, during the same seasonal period, Xu and Baldocchi

(2003) showed gradual decreases in carbon assimilation and stomatal conductance from the tree overstory. These results demonstrate their conservative water-saving strategies during dry conditions. Similar observations were reported for trees in both Spanish and Australian sites (Luo et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2016).

These findings suggest that trees in semi-arid climates hold a strong physiological control on ET, regulating their stomata during periods of sustained increases in atmospheric vapour pressure deficit (VPD) (Niinemets, 2015; Pérez-Priego et al., 2010; Villalobos et al., 2000, 2012). The 3SEB may not adequately depict this stomatal control, especially in relation to VPD, resulting in the LE_{ov} overestimation apparent in US-Ton. This effect is likely more pronounced in US-Ton, due to the larger tree overstory canopy cover (48%) compared to other sites ($\sim 20\%$). Certain studies suggested that the potential PT coefficient (i.e., α_{PT} in Equation 5.1) is closer to ~ 1 in trees, even with ample water availability, instead of the widely used 1.26 (Andreu et al., 2018; Baldocchi & Xu, 2007; Black, 1979; Shuttleworth & Calder, 1979). To test this, 3SEB was also forced with $\alpha_{PT} = 1$ for the overstory ET initialization, which slightly improved T/ET estimates in US-Ton (Figure S6) but further underestimated ET in AU-Dry (data not shown). This suggests that 3SEB should directly incorporate the physiological control of stomatal resistance, instead of calibrating the α_{PT} parameter. Colaizzi et al. (2014) applied the Penman-Monteith (PM) formulation within TSEB to derive the initial canopy T, which resulted in better T/ET agreement over a semi-arid cultivated site compared to the PT approach. However, they applied it with a constant maximum stomatal conductance. Therefore, the use of a tree stomatal closure constraint with increasing VPD (e.g., Damour et al., 2010; Miner et al., 2017), coupled with the PM initialization, may be a way forward to improve 3SEB's T_{ov} /ET estimates.

The proposed MODIS-based LAI partitioning method seemingly captured the understory LAI dynamics in both magnitude and timing for TGEs (Section S4.2 in SI). The retrieved LAI_{un} had low errors compared to *in situ* destructive measurements in ES-LM1 and US-Ton (Figure 4). However, ES-LM1 observed important LAI_{un} underestimations against LAI_{total} , especially during the dry periods of highly productive years. Very low LAI_{un} values (i.e., $< 0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$) during the seasonal drought caused non-linear increases to the bulk vegetation resistance R_x (data not shown), which is negatively related to LAI (Equation S11). These highly productive years led to increased amounts of remaining dry vegetation biomass during the seasonal drought period. The dead vegetation, whilst not active, increased the roughness of the landscape, inducing more aerodynamic conductivity and H. This situation was most evident during 'wet' years with large understory vegetation productivity, most visible in 2016 for ES-Abr and ES-LM1, which suffered important LE biases during the seasonal drought (Figure 5). The *in situ* data in ES-LM1 revealed that LAI_{total} remained between 0.5 and $1 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$ during the peak drought period. In contrast, the MODIS estimated LAI_{un} was $< 0.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$. MODIS LAI product refers to LAI_{green} (Fensholt et al., 2004), whereas LAI_{total} affects the resistance and radiation partition of the landscape. This demonstrated the R_x sensitivity to the LAI uncertainty at very low magnitudes, which should include non-green

elements (i.e., LAI_{total} or PAI). During the growing season, high LAI values are largely composed of green material, making LAI_{green} a good proxy for LAI_{total} . However, LAI_{total} deviates from LAI_{green} during the seasonal drought due to the large presence of senescent vegetation.

The effect of senescent vegetation on biophysical variables remains challenging to quantify (Martín et al., 2020; Melendo-Vega et al., 2018; Pacheco-Labrador et al., 2021). More specific retrieval methods, such as applying RTMs incorporating senescent vegetation may improve LAI estimations (e.g., Pacheco-Labrador et al., 2021; Proctor et al., 2017). The need for a complete annual time series, with both rainy and dry seasons, was another drawback of the LAI partitioning method presented here. This makes the current model set-up applicable for only non-time-critical diagnosis or hindcast purposes as it cannot dynamically separate LAI as it is prescribed. Spectral unmixing techniques (e.g., Meyer & Okin, 2015) may be a way forward to improve the LAI retrievals and partitioning in these dual-vegetated landscapes. Other data streams like spaceborne LiDAR data from NASA's GEDI mission also open new opportunities to retrieve more accurate plant structural (e.g., h_c , f_c) and biophysical (e.g., PAI) variables in complex ecosystems (Dubayah et al., 2020). Other remaining challenges include adequately quantifying the R_n in these heterogeneous landscapes, particularly with the use of global shortwave irradiance inputs. The 3SEB estimated R_n remains slightly underestimated (see Table S2 in SI) with scarce measured data available to validate its decomposition across the different canopy sources. Three-dimensional RTMs, such as the discrete anisotropic radiative transfer (DART) model (Gastellu-Etchegorry et al., 2015) or as described by Kobayashi et al. (2012) may serve as a framework to better characterize R_n in these complex ecosystems.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The novel, yet structurally simple, 3SEB model offers new avenues to improve drought monitoring and investigate the different plant water uses in semi-arid TGEs. ET and energy flux retrievals over these complex, multi-layered ecosystems are a challenging and well-documented issue (Andreu et al., 2018; Burchard-Levine et al., 2020; Majoji et al., 2017; Ramoelo et al., 2014; Whitley et al., 2017), despite being critical for the global carbon and water cycle (Ahlström et al., 2015; Jung et al., 2011; Poulter et al., 2014). The dual vegetation strata, the grass-dominated understory and tree-dominated overstory, have distinct structural, physiological and phenological characteristics that should be considered within modelling schemes (Whitley et al., 2017). The 3SEB accommodated an additional vegetation source to the well-known TSEB to accomplish this, improving their depiction and effect on energy fluxes.

The 3SEB model achieved accurate ET estimations during four-year periods over sites in Australia, Spain (2) and the USA (ET RMSD: ~0.4 mm/day and NSE >0.8). The model performed well despite the highly variable climatic and vegetation conditions. The 3SEB largely

improved the energy flux modelling compared to TSEB and TSEB-2S. It slightly underestimated ET for AU-Dry due to H overestimation during the peak biomass. In contrast, ES-LM1 and US-Ton slightly overestimated LE during the seasonal drought, particularly for highly productive (i.e., 'wet') years. This issue was linked to the R_x overestimation at very low LAI values ($< 0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$). The LAI_{un} input was slightly underestimated compared to field measurements in ES-LM1 and US-Ton and particularly lower compared to LAI_{total} measurements in ES-LM1. This highlights the importance of accounting for the large effect of senescent vegetation on remote sensing-derived LAI products, notably in semi-arid ecosystems, which play an important role in the transfer of radiation and turbulence. Continental-scale 3SEB results forced with SEVIRI/MSG data also showed promising results. However, the larger uncertainty from model inputs, particularly shortwave irradiance, and spatial mismatch between pixel and tower scales caused larger modelling errors.

The 3SEB additionally separated ET into the different tree-grass-soil components of the landscapes, not previously possible with available data-driven methods. The 3SEB T/ET partitioning compared well to the data-driven TEA algorithm ($r > .76$) and demonstrated the contrasting relations of the two vegetation layers in TGEs with seasonal P . T/ET was not very correlated with LAI_{MODIS} at the ecosystem level. However, the relation was much stronger when decomposing T/ET and LAI into the different vegetation layers. These findings should alleviate the disproportionately large uncertainty of global remote sensing-based ET products in these important and extensive ecosystems, along with offering a simple multi-layer approach of potential use to Earth system models. The 3SEB presents a new framework to understand the role of complex and distinct vegetation dynamics, at both temporal and spatial scales, in modulating ecosystem-level fluxes and water scarcity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie TRuStEE project (grant agreement No 721995). It was also funded by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad through SynerTGE CGL2015-G9095-R funded by MCIN/ AEI /10.13039/501100011033/ FEDER 'a way of making Europe'. The study also benefitted from the DIVERSPEC-TGA project, funded by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain MCIN/ AEI /10.13039/501100011033. The infrastructure at ES-LM1 was partly funded through the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, ELEMENTAL (CGL 2017-83538-C3-3-R, MINECO-FEDER) and IMAGINA (PROMETEU 2019; Generalitat Valenciana). Funding for the US-Ton AmeriFlux site was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Science. This research was also supported by the NASA Ecostress project. We thank Siyan Ma for contributing to the collection and processing of US-Ton's in situ data. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The 3SEB model code is available through its public Github repository: <https://github.com/VicenteBurchard/3SEB>. Biometeorological data were collected for AU-Dry (Hutley et al., 2011) through the Ozflux portal: <http://www.ozflux.org.au/monitoring/sites/dryriver/>; for US-Ton (Ma et al., 2021) through the Ameriflux portal: <https://ameriflux.lbl.gov/sites/siteinfo/US-Ton>; for ES-Abr (El-Madany et al., 2020) through its public repository: <https://zenodo.org/record/3707842#.YWMEAtpByyz> and for ES-LM1 (El-Madany et al., 2018; 2021) through its public repository: <https://zenodo.org/record/4453567#.YWMEDNpByyw>. In addition, to evaluate the continental scale evaluation, data for SN-Dhr (Tagesson et al., 2013; 2015; <http://sites.fluxdata.org/SN-Dhr/>) and ZA-Kru (Archibald et al., 2009; Scholes, 2013; <http://sites.fluxdata.org/ZA-Kru/>) were collected from the FLUXNET2015 release (Pastorello et al., 2020). MODIS LAI and NDVI data were collected through the (ORNL DAAC, 2018) subset and visualization tool: <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAAC/1379>. SEVIRI/MSG data were acquired from Land Surface Analysis Satellite Application Facilities (LSA SAF) (<https://landsaf.ipma.pt/en/data/catalogue/>). Please refer to section 8 of the Supplementary Information for links to these datasets.

ORCID

Vicente Burchard-Levine  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0222-8706>

[org/0000-0003-0222-8706](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0222-8706)

Héctor Nieto  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4250-6424>

David Riaño  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0198-1424>

William P. Kustas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5727-4350>

Mirco Migliavacca  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3546-8407>

Tarek S. El-Madany  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0726-7141>

Jacob A. Nelson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4663-2420>

Ana Andreu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8346-5417>

Arnaud Carrara  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9095-8807>

Jason Beringer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4619-8361>

Dennis Baldocchi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3496-4919>

M. Pilar Martín  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5563-8461>

REFERENCES

- Agam, N., Kustas, W. P., Anderson, M. C., Norman, J. M., Colaizzi, P. D., Howell, T. A., Prueger, J. H., Meyers, T. P., & Wilson, T. B. (2010). Application of the Priestley-Taylor approach in a two-source surface energy balance model. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 11, 185–198. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JHM1124.1>
- Ahlström, A., Raupach, M. R., Schurgers, G., Smith, B., Arneth, A., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., & Jain, A. K. (2015). The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of the land CO₂ sink. *Science*, 348, 895–899. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1668>
- Allen, R. G., Morton, C., Kamble, B., Kilic, A., Huntington, J., Thau, D., Gorelick, N., Erickson, T., Moore, R., & Trezza, R. (2015). EEFlux: A Landsat-based evapotranspiration mapping tool on the Google Earth Engine. 2015 ASABE/IA irrigation symposium: Emerging technologies for sustainable irrigation-A tribute to the career of Terry Howell, Sr. *Conference Proceedings*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.13031/irrig.20152143511>
- Allen, R. G., Tasumi, M., & Trezza, R. (2007). Satellite-based energy balance for mapping evapotranspiration with internalized calibration (METRIC)—model. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 133, 380–394. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9437\(2007\)133:4\(380\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(2007)133:4(380))
- Anderson, M. C., Norman, J. M., Diak, G. R., Kustas, W. P., & Mecikalski, J. R. (1997). A two-source time-integrated model for estimating surface fluxes using thermal infrared remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 60, 195–216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(96\)00215-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00215-5)
- Anderson, M. C., Yang, Y., Xue, J., Knipper, K. R., Yang, Y., Gao, F., Hain, C. R., Kustas, W. P., Cawse-Nicholson, K., Hulley, G., Fisher, J. B., Alfieri, J. G., Meyers, T. P., Prueger, J., Baldocchi, D. D., & Rey-Sanchez, C. (2020). Interoperability of ECOSTRESS and Landsat for mapping evapotranspiration time series at sub-field scales. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 252, 112189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112189>
- Anderson, R. G., Zhang, X., & Skaggs, T. H. (2017). Measurement and partitioning of evapotranspiration for application to vadose zone studies. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 16(13), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2017.08.0155>
- Andreu, A., Kustas, W., Polo, M., Carrara, A., & González-Dugo, M. (2018). Modeling Surface Energy Fluxes over a Dehesa (Oak Savanna) Ecosystem Using a Thermal Based Two Source Energy Balance Model (TSEB. II—Integration of Remote Sensing Medium and Low Spatial Resolution Satellite Images. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 558. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040567>
- Archibald, S., & Scholes, R. J. (2007). Leaf green-up in a semi-arid African savanna-separating tree and grass responses to environmental cues. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 18, 583–594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2007.tb02572.x>
- Archibald, S. A., Kirton, A., Van der Merwe, M. R., Scholes, R. J., Williams, C. A., & Hanan, N. (2009). Drivers of inter-annual variability in Net Ecosystem Exchange in a semi-arid savanna ecosystem, South Africa.
- Baldocchi, D. D. (2020). How eddy covariance flux measurements have contributed to our understanding of Global Change Biology. *Global Change Biology*, 26, 242–260. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14807>
- Baldocchi, D. D., Chen, Q., Chen, X., Ma, S., Miller, G., Ryu, Y., Xiao, J., Wenk, R., & Battles, J. (2010). The dynamics of energy, water and carbon fluxes in a blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) savanna in California, USA. In *Ecosystem function in global savannas: Measurement and modeling at landscape to global scales* (pp. 135–151). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b10275-10>
- Baldocchi, D., & Ma, S. (2013). How will land use affect air temperature in the surface boundary layer? Lessons learned from a comparative study on the energy balance of an oak savanna and annual grassland in California, USA. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 65, 19994. <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.19994>
- Baldocchi, D. D., & Xu, L. (2007). What limits evaporation from Mediterranean oak woodlands—The supply of moisture in the soil, physiological control by plants or the demand by the atmosphere? *Advances in Water Resources*, 30, 2113–2122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2006.06.013>
- Bastiaanssen, W. G. M. (2000). SEBAL-based sensible and latent heat fluxes in the irrigated Gediz Basin, Turkey. *Journal of Hydrology*, 229, 87–100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(99\)00202-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00202-4)
- Biederman, J. A., Scott, R. L., Bell, T. W., Bowling, D. R., Dore, S., Garatuza-Payan, J., Kolb, T. E., Krishnan, P., Krofcheck, D. J., Litvak, M. E., Maurer, G. E., Meyers, T. P., Oechel, W. C., Papuga, S. A., Ponce-Campos, G. E., Rodriguez, J. C., Smith, W. K., Vargas, R., Watts, C. J., ... Goulden, M. L. (2017). CO₂ exchange and evapotranspiration across dryland ecosystems of southwestern North America. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 4204–4221. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13686>

- Black, T. A. (1979). Evapotranspiration from Douglas fir stands exposed to soil water deficits. *Water Resources Research*, 15, 164–170. <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR015i001p00164>
- Bogdanovich, E., Perez-Priego, O., El-Madany, T. S., Guderle, M., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Levick, S. R., Moreno, G., Carrara, A., Pilar Martín, M., & Migliavacca, M. (2021). Using terrestrial laser scanning for characterizing tree structural parameters and their changes under different management in a Mediterranean open woodland. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 486, 118945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.118945>
- Bonan, G. B., Patton, E. G., Finnigan, J. J., Baldocchi, D. D., & Harman, I. N. (2021). Moving beyond the incorrect but useful paradigm: Reevaluating big-leaf and multilayer plant canopies to model biosphere-atmosphere fluxes—A review. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 306, 108435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108435>
- Bond, W. J. (2008). What limits trees in C4 Grasslands and Savannas? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 39, 641–659. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173411>
- Bond, W. J., Midgley, G. F., & Woodward, F. I. (2003). The importance of low atmospheric CO₂ and fire in promoting the spread of grasslands and savannas. *Global Change Biology*, 9, 973–982. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00577.x>
- Boulet, G., Mougnot, B., Lhomme, J.-P., Fanise, P., Lili-Chabaane, Z., Oliosio, A., Bahir, M., Rivalland, V., Jarlan, L., Merlin, O., Coudert, B., Er-Raki, S., & Lagouarde, J.-P. (2015). The SPARSE model for the prediction of water stress and evapotranspiration components from thermal infra-red data and its evaluation over irrigated and rainfed wheat. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19, 4653–4672. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4653-2015>
- Burchard-Levine, V., Nieto, H., Riaño, D., Migliavacca, M., El-Madany, T. S., Guzinski, R., Carrara, A., & Martín, M. P. (2021). The effect of pixel heterogeneity for remote sensing based retrievals of evapotranspiration in a semi-arid tree-grass ecosystem. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 260, 112440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112440>
- Burchard-Levine, V., Nieto, H., Riaño, D., Migliavacca, M., El-Madany, T. S., Perez-Priego, O., Carrara, A., & Martín, M. P. (2020). Seasonal Adaptation of the thermal-based two-source energy balance model for estimating evapotranspiration in a semiarid tree-grass ecosystem. *Remote Sensing*, 12, 904. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12060904>
- Campbell, G. S., & Norman, J. M. (1998). *An introduction to environmental biophysics*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag.
- Chu, H., Baldocchi, D. D., John, R., Wolf, S., & Reichstein, M. (2017). Fluxes all of the time? A primer on the temporal representativeness of FLUXNET. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122, 289–307. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JG003576>
- Chu, H., Luo, X., Ouyang, Z., Chan, W. S., Dengel, S., Biraud, S. C., Torn, M. S., Metzger, S., Kumar, J., Arain, M. A., Arkebauer, T. J., Baldocchi, D., Bernacchi, C., Billesbach, D., Black, T. A., Blanken, P. D., Bohrer, G., Bracho, R., Brown, S., ... Zona, D. (2021). Representativeness of Eddy-Covariance flux footprints for areas surrounding AmeriFlux sites. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 301–302, 108350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108350>
- Cleugh, H. A., Leuning, R., Mu, Q., & Running, S. W. (2007). Regional evaporation estimates from flux tower and MODIS satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 106, 285–304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.07.007>
- Colaizzi, P. D., Agam, N., Tolk, J. A., Evett, S. R., Howell, T. A., Gowda, P. H., O'Shaughnessy, S. A., Kustas, W. P., & Anderson, M. C. (2014). Two-source energy balance model to calculate E, T, and ET: Comparison of Priestley-Taylor and Penman-Monteith formulations and two time scaling methods. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 57, 479–498. <https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.57.10423>
- Damour, G., Simonneau, T., Cochard, H., & Urban, L. (2010). An overview of models of stomatal conductance at the leaf level. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 33, 1419–1438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02181.x>
- Dubayah, R., Blair, J. B., Goetz, S., Fatoyinbo, L., Hansen, M., Healey, S., Hofton, M., Hurtt, G., Kellner, J., Luthcke, S., Armston, J., Tang, H., Duncanson, L., Hancock, S., Jantz, P., Marselis, S., Patterson, P. L., Qi, W., & Silva, C. (2020). The Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation: High-resolution laser ranging of the Earth's forests and topography. *Science of Remote Sensing*, 1, 100002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srs.2020.100002>
- Dubayah, R. O., Luthcke, S. B., Sabaka, T. J., Nicholas, J. B., Preaux, S., & Hofton, M. A. (2021). *GEDI L3 gridded land surface metrics, version 1*. ORNL DAAC. <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAAC/1865>
- El-Madany, T. S., Carrara, A., Martín, M. P., Moreno, G., Kolle, O., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Weber, U., Wutzler, T., Reichstein, M., & Migliavacca, M. (2020). Drought and heatwave impacts on semi-arid ecosystems' carbon fluxes along a precipitation gradient. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1810), 20190519. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0519>
- El-Madany, T. S., Reichstein, M., Perez-Priego, O., Carrara, A., Moreno, G., Pilar Martín, M., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Wohlfahrt, G., Nieto, H., Weber, U., Kolle, O., Luo, Y.-P., Carvalhais, N., & Migliavacca, M. (2018). Drivers of spatio-temporal variability of carbon dioxide and energy fluxes in a Mediterranean savanna ecosystem. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 262, 258–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.07.010>
- Fatichi, S., & Pappas, C. (2017). Constrained variability of modeled T:ET ratio across biomes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 6795–6803. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074041>
- Fensholt, R., Sandholt, I., & Rasmussen, M. S. (2004). Evaluation of MODIS LAI, fAPAR and the relation between fAPAR and NDVI in a semi-arid environment using in situ measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 91, 490–507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2004.04.009>
- Fisher, J. B., Melton, F., Middleton, E., Hain, C., Anderson, M., Allen, R., McCabe, M. F., Hook, S., Baldocchi, D., Townsend, P. A., Kilic, A., Tu, K., Miralles, D. D., Perret, J., Lagouarde, J.-P., Waliser, D., Purdy, A. J., French, A., Schimel, D., ... Wood, E. F. (2017). The future of evapotranspiration: Global requirements for ecosystem functioning, carbon and climate feedbacks, agricultural management, and water resources. *Water Resources Research*, 53, 2618–2626. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR020175>
- Foken, T., Aubinet, M., Finnigan, J. J., Leclerc, M. Y., Mauder, M., & Paw U, K. T. (2011). Results of a panel discussion about the energy balance closure correction for trace gases. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 92, 13–18. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2011BAMS130.1>
- García, M., Sandholt, I., Ceccato, P., Ridler, M., Mougín, E., Kergoat, L., Morillas, L., Timouk, F., Fensholt, R., & Domingo, F. (2013). Actual evapotranspiration in drylands derived from in-situ and satellite data: Assessing biophysical constraints. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 131, 103–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.12.016>
- Gastellu-Etchegorry, J.-P., Yin, T., Lauret, N., Cajgfinger, T., Gregoire, T., Grau, E., Feret, J.-B., Lopes, M., Guilleux, J., Dedieu, G., Malenovsky, Z., Cook, B., Morton, D., Rubio, J., Durrieu, S., Cazanave, G., Martin, E., & Ristorcelli, T. (2015). Discrete anisotropic radiative transfer (DART 5) for modeling airborne and satellite spectroradiometer and LIDAR acquisitions of natural and urban landscapes. *Remote Sensing*, 7, 1667–1701. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70201667>
- Glenn, E. P., Huete, A. R., Nagler, P. L., Hirschboeck, K. K., & Brown, P. (2007). Integrating remote sensing and ground methods to estimate evapotranspiration. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 26, 139–168. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680701402503>
- González-Dugo, M. P., Chen, X., Andreu, A., Carpintero, E., Gómez-Giraldez, P. J., Carrara, A., & Su, Z. (2021). Long-term water stress and drought assessment of Mediterranean oak savanna vegetation

- using thermal remote sensing. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 25, 755–768. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-755-2021>
- Gonzalez-Dugo, M. P., Neale, C. M. U., Mateos, L., Kustas, W. P., Prueger, J. H., Anderson, M. C., & Li, F. (2009). A comparison of operational remote sensing-based models for estimating crop evapotranspiration. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 149, 1843–1853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.06.012>
- Good, S. P., Noone, D., & Bowen, G. (2015). Hydrologic connectivity constrains partitioning of global terrestrial water fluxes. *Science*, 349(6244), 175–177.
- Gutman, G., & Ignatov, A. (1998). The derivation of the green vegetation fraction from NOAA/AVHRR data for use in numerical weather prediction models. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 19, 1533–1543. <https://doi.org/10.1080/014311698215333>
- Guzinski, R., Nieto, H., Jensen, R., & Mendiguren, G. (2014). Remotely sensed land-surface energy fluxes at sub-field scale in heterogeneous agricultural landscape and coniferous plantation. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 5021–5046. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-5021-2014>
- Guzinski, R., Nieto, H., Sandholt, I., & Karamitilios, G. (2020). Modelling high-resolution actual evapotranspiration through sentinel-2 and sentinel-3 data fusion. *Remote Sensing*, 12, 1433. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12091433>
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., ... Thépaut, J.-N. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146(730), 1999–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>
- Higgins, S. I., Delgado-Cartay, M. D., February, E. C., & Combrink, H. J. (2011). Is there a temporal niche separation in the leaf phenology of savanna trees and grasses? *Journal of Biogeography*, 38(11), 2165–2175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02549.x>
- Hutley, L. B., Beringer, J., Isaac, P. R., Hacker, J. M., & Cernusak, L. A. (2011). A sub-continental scale living laboratory: Spatial patterns of savanna vegetation over a rainfall gradient in northern Australia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151(11), 1417–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.03.002>
- Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H. A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A. D., Arain, M. A., Arneth, A., Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W., Lasslop, G., Law, B. E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., ... Williams, C. (2011). Global patterns of land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001566>
- Kobayashi, H., Baldocchi, D. D., Ryu, Y., Chen, Q., Ma, S., Osuna, J. L., & Ustin, S. L. (2012). Modeling energy and carbon fluxes in a heterogeneous oak woodland: A three-dimensional approach. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 152, 83–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.09.008>
- Kool, D., Agam, N., Lazarovitch, N., Heitman, J. L., Sauer, T. J., & Ben-Gal, A. (2014). A review of approaches for evapotranspiration partitioning. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 184, 56–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.09.003>
- Kustas, W. P., Alfieri, J. G., Anderson, M. C., Colaizzi, P. D., Prueger, J. H., Evett, S. R., Neale, C. M. U., French, A. N., Hipps, L. E., Chávez, J. L., Copeland, K. S., & Howell, T. A. (2012). Evaluating the two-source energy balance model using local thermal and surface flux observations in a strongly advective irrigated agricultural area. *Advances in Water Resources*, 50, 120–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.07.005>
- Kustas, W. P., Alfieri, J. G., Nieto, H., Wilson, T. G., Gao, F., & Anderson, M. C. (2019). Utility of the two-source energy balance (TSEB) model in vine and interrow flux partitioning over the growing season. *Irrigation Science*, 37, 375–388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-018-0586-8>
- Kustas, W. P., & Anderson, M. C. (2009). Advances in thermal infrared remote sensing for land surface modeling. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 149, 2071–2081. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.05.016>
- Kustas, W. P., Nieto, H., Morillas, L., Anderson, M. C., Alfieri, J. G., Hipps, L. E., Villagarcía, L., Domingo, F., & García, M. (2016). Revisiting the paper “Using radiometric surface temperature for surface energy flux estimation in Mediterranean drylands from a two-source perspective”. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 184, 645–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.07.024>
- Kustas, W. P., & Norman, J. M. (1999a). Evaluation of soil and vegetation heat flux predictions using a simple two-source model with radiometric temperatures for partial canopy cover. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 94, 13–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923\(99\)00005-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(99)00005-2)
- Kustas, W. P., & Norman, J. M. (1999b). Reply to comments about the basic equations of dual-source vegetation-atmosphere transfer models. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 94(3–4), 275–278. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923\(99\)00012-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(99)00012-X)
- Leuning, R., Gorsel, E., Massman, W. J., & Isaac, P. R. (2012). Reflections on the surface energy imbalance problem. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 156, 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.12.002>
- Lhomme, J.-P., Montes, C., Jacob, F., & Prévot, L. (2012). Evaporation from heterogeneous and sparse canopies: On the formulations related to multi-source representations. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 144, 243–262. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-012-9713-x>
- Lhomme, J.-P., Troufleau, D., Monteny, B., Chehbouni, A., & Bauduin, S. (1997). Sensible heat flux and radiometric surface temperature over sparse Sahelian vegetation II. A model for the kB-1 parameter. *Journal of Hydrology*, 169(4/6), 839–854. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(96\)03173-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03173-3)
- Li, X., Gentile, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Liu, J., & Zheng, C. (2019a). A simple and objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration and evaporation at eddy-covariance sites. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 265, 171–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.017>
- Li, Y., Kustas, W. P., Huang, C., Nieto, H., Haghighi, E., Anderson, M. C., Domingo, F., García, M., & Scott, R. L. (2019b). Evaluating soil resistance formulations in thermal-based two-source energy balance (TSEB) model: Implications for heterogeneous semiarid and arid regions. *Water Resources Research*, 55, 1059–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR022981>
- Lian, X. U., Piao, S., Huntingford, C., Li, Y., Zeng, Z., Wang, X., Ciais, P., McVicar, T. R., Peng, S., Ottlé, C., Yang, H., Yang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Wang, T. (2018). Partitioning global land evapotranspiration using CMIP5 models constrained by observations. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(7), 640. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0207-9>
- Luo, Y., El-Madany, T. S., Filippa, G., Ma, X., Ahrens, B., Carrara, A., Gonzalez-Cascon, R., Cremonese, E., Galvagno, M., Hammer, T. W., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Martín, M. P., Moreno, G., Perez-Priego, O., Reichstein, M., Richardson, A. D., Römermann, C., & Migliavacca, M. (2018). Using near-infrared-enabled digital repeat photography to track structural and physiological phenology in Mediterranean tree-grass ecosystems. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 1293. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10081293>
- Ma, S., Eichelmann, E., Wolf, S., Rey-Sanchez, C., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2020). Transpiration and evaporation in a Californian oak-grass savanna: Field measurements and partitioning model results. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 295, 108204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108204>
- Ma, S., Xu, L., Verfaillie, J., & Baldocchi, D. (2021). AmeriFlux BASE US-Ton Tonzi Ranch. <https://doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1245971>

- Majozi, N. P., Mannaerts, C. M., Ramoelo, A., Mathieu, R., Mudau, A. E., & Verhoef, W. (2017). An intercomparison of satellite-based daily evapotranspiration estimates under different eco-climatic regions in South Africa. *Remote Sensing*, 9, 307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108204>
- Martín, M. P., Pacheco-Labrador, J., González-Cascón, R., Moreno, G., Migliavacca, M., García, M., Yebra, M., & Riaño, D. (2020). Estimation of essential vegetation variables in a dehesa ecosystem using reflectance factors simulated at different phenological stages. *Revista de Teledetección*, 31–48. <https://doi.org/10.4995/raet.2020.13394>
- Melendo-Vega, J. R., Martín, M. P., Pacheco-Labrador, J., González-Cascón, R., Moreno, G., Pérez, F., Migliavacca, M., García, M., North, P., & Riaño, D. (2018). Improving the performance of 3-D radiative transfer model FLIGHT to simulate optical properties of a tree-grass ecosystem. *Remote Sensing*, 10(12), 2061–<https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10122061>
- Mendiguren, G., Pilar Martín, M., Nieto, H., Pacheco-Labrador, J., & Jurdao, S. (2015). Seasonal variation in grass water content estimated from proximal sensing and MODIS time series in a Mediterranean Fluxnet site. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 5523–5535. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-5523-2015>
- Meyer, T., & Okin, G. S. (2015). Evaluation of spectral unmixing techniques using MODIS in a structurally complex savanna environment for retrieval of green vegetation, nonphotosynthetic vegetation, and soil fractional cover. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 161, 122–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.02.013>
- Miner, G. L., Bauerle, W. L., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2017). Estimating the sensitivity of stomatal conductance to photosynthesis: A review. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 40, 1214–1238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12871>
- Moore, C. E., Beringer, J., Evans, B., Hutley, L. B., McHugh, I., & Tapper, N. J. (2016). The contribution of trees and grasses to productivity of an Australian tropical savanna. *Biogeosciences*, 13, 2387–2403. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2387-2016>
- Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Van Liew, M. W., Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D., & Veith, T. L. (2007). Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 50, 885–900. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.23153>
- Nelson, J. A., Carvalhais, N., Cuntz, M., Delpierre, N., Knauer, J., Ogée, J., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., & Jung, M. (2018). Coupling water and carbon fluxes to constrain estimates of transpiration: The TEA algorithm. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 123, 3617–3632. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004727>
- Nelson, J. A., Pérez-Priego, O., Zhou, S., Poyatos, R., Zhang, Y., Blanken, P. D., Gimeno, T. E., Wohlfahrt, G., Desai, A. R., Gioli, B., Limousin, J.-M., Bonal, D., Paul-Limoges, E., Scott, R. L., Varlagin, A., Fuchs, K., Montagnani, L., Wolf, S., Delpierre, N., ... Jung, M. (2020). Ecosystem transpiration and evaporation: Insights from three water flux partitioning methods across FLUXNET sites. *Global Change Biology*, 26(12), 6916–6930. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15314>
- Niinemets, Ü. (2015). Is there a species spectrum within the world-wide leaf economics spectrum? Major variations in leaf functional traits in the Mediterranean sclerophyll *Quercus ilex*. *New Phytologist*, 205, 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13001>
- Norman, J., Anderson, M., Kustas, W., French, A., Mecikalski, J., Torn, R., Diak, G., Schmugge, T., & Tanner, B. (2003). Remote sensing of surface energy fluxes at 101-m pixel resolutions. *Water Resources Research*, 39, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002WR001775>
- Norman, J. M., Kustas, W. P., & Humes, K. S. (1995). Source approach for estimating soil and vegetation energy fluxes in observations of directional radiometric surface temperature. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 77, 263–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923\(95\)02265-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(95)02265-Y)
- ORNL DAAC. (2018). MODIS and VIIRS land products global subsetting and visualization tool. ORNL DAAC. <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1379>
- Pacheco-Labrador, J., El-Madany, T. S., Martín, M. P., Migliavacca, M., Rossini, M., Carrara, A., & Zarco-Tejada, P. J. (2017). Spatio-temporal relationships between optical information and carbon fluxes in a Mediterranean tree-grass ecosystem. *Remote Sensing*, 9, 608. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9060608>
- Pacheco-Labrador, J., El-Madany, T. S., van der Tol, C., Martín, M. P., González-Cascon, R., Pérez-Priego, O., Guan, J., Moreno, G., Carrara, A., Reichstein, M., & Migliavacca, M. (2021). senSCOPE: Modeling mixed canopies combining green and brown senesced leaves. Evaluation in a Mediterranean Grassland. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 257, 112352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112352>
- Pastorello, G., Trotta, C., Canfora, E., Chu, H., Christianson, D., Cheah, Y.-W., Poindexter, C., Chen, J., Elbashandy, A., Humphrey, M., Isaac, P., Polidori, D., Reichstein, M., Ribeca, A., van Ingen, C., Vuichard, N., Zhang, L., Amiro, B., Ammann, C., ... Papale, D. (2020). The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing pipeline for eddy covariance data. *Scientific Data*, 7(1), 225. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0534-3>
- Pérez-Priego, O., El-Madany, T. S., Migliavacca, M., Kowalski, A. S., Jung, M., Carrara, A., Kolle, O., Martín, M. P., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Moreno, G., & Reichstein, M. (2017). Evaluation of eddy covariance latent heat fluxes with independent lysimeter and sapflow estimates in a Mediterranean savannah ecosystem. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 236, 87–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.01.009>
- Pérez-Priego, O., Katul, G., Reichstein, M., El-Madany, T. S., Ahrens, B., Carrara, A., Scanlon, T. M., & Migliavacca, M. (2018). Partitioning eddy covariance water flux components using physiological and micrometeorological approaches. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 123(10), 3353–3370. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004637>
- Pérez-Priego, O., Testi, L., Orgaz, F., & Villalobos, F. J. (2010). A large closed canopy chamber for measuring CO₂ and water vapour exchange of whole trees. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 68, 131–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.10.009>
- Poulter, B., Frank, D., Ciais, P., Myneni, R. B., Andela, N., Bi, J., Broquet, G., Canadell, J. G., Chevallier, F., Liu, Y. Y., Running, S. W., Sitch, S., & Werf, G. R. (2014). Contribution of semi-arid ecosystems to inter-annual variability of the global carbon cycle. *Nature*, 509, 600–603. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13376>
- Proctor, C., Lu, B., & He, Y. (2017). Determining the absorption coefficients of decay pigments in decomposing monocots. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 199, 137–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.007>
- Ramoelo, A., Majozi, N., Mathieu, R., Jovanovic, N., Nickless, A., & Dzikit, S. (2014). Validation of global evapotranspiration product (MOD16) using flux tower data in the African Savanna, South Africa. *Remote Sensing*, 6, 7406–7423. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs6087406>
- Running, S. W., Baldocchi, D. D., Turner, D. P., Gower, S. T., Bakwin, P. S., & Hibbard, K. A. (1999). A global terrestrial monitoring network integrating tower fluxes, flask sampling, ecosystem modeling and EOS satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 70, 108–127. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(99\)00061-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(99)00061-9)
- Ryu, Y., Verfaillie, J., Macfarlane, C., Kobayashi, H., Sonnentag, O., Vargas, R., Ma, S., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2012). Continuous observation of tree leaf area index at ecosystem scale using upward-pointing digital cameras. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 126, 116–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.08.027>
- Sala, O. E., Chapin, F. S., Armesto, J. J., Berlow, E., Bloomfield, J., Dirzo, R., Huber-Sanwald, E., Huenneke, L. F., Jackson, R. B., Kinzig, A., Leemans, R., Lodge, D. M., Mooney, H. A., Oesterheld, M., Poff, N. L. R., Sykes, M. T., Walker, B. H., Walker, M., & Wall, D. H. (2000). Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science*, 287(5459), 1770–1774. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5459.1770>

- Santanello, J. A., & Friedl, M. A. (2003). Diurnal covariation in soil heat flux and net radiation. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 42, 851–862. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(2003\)042<0851:DCISHF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<0851:DCISHF>2.0.CO;2)
- Schlesinger, W. H., & Jasechko, S. (2014). Transpiration in the global water cycle. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 189–190, 115–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.011>
- Scholes, B. (2000–2013). FLUXNET2015 ZA-Kru Skukuza, Dataset. <https://doi.org/10.18140/FLX/1440188>
- Scott, R. L., & Biederman, J. A. (2017). Partitioning evapotranspiration using long-term carbon dioxide and water vapor fluxes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 6833–6840. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074324>
- Sea, W. B., Choler, P., Beringer, J., Weinmann, R. A., Hutley, L. B., & Leuning, R. (2011). Documenting improvement in leaf area index estimates from MODIS using hemispherical photos for Australian savannas. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151(11), 1453–1461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.12.006>
- Senay, G. B., Bohms, S., Singh, R. K., Gowda, P. H., Velpuri, N. M., Alemu, H., & Verdin, J. P. (2013). Operational evapotranspiration mapping using remote sensing and weather datasets: A new parameterization for the SSEB approach. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 49(3), 577–591. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12057>
- Sheffield, J., & Wood, E. F. (2008). Projected changes in drought occurrence under future global warming from multi-model, multi-scenario, IPCC AR4 simulations. *Climate Dynamics*, 31, 79–105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0340-z>
- Shuttleworth, W. J., & Calder, I. R. (1979). Has the Priestley-Taylor equation any relevance to forest evaporation? *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 18, 639–646. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1979\)018<0639:HTPTEA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1979)018<0639:HTPTEA>2.0.CO;2)
- Stoy, P. C., El-Madany, T. S., Fisher, J. B., Gentine, P., Gerken, T., Good, S. P., Klosterhalfen, A., Liu, S., Miralles, D. G., Perez-Priego, O., Rigden, A. J., Skaggs, T. H., Wohlfahrt, G., Anderson, R. G., Coenders-Gerrits, A. M. J., Jung, M., Maes, W. H., Mammarella, I., Mauder, M., ... Wolf, S. (2019). Reviews and syntheses: Turning the challenges of partitioning ecosystem evaporation and transpiration into opportunities. *Biogeosciences*, 16, 3747–3775. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3747-2019>
- Stoy, P. C., Mauder, M., Foken, T., Marcolla, B., Boegh, E., Ibrom, A., Arain, M. A., Arneth, A., Aurela, M., Bernhofer, C., Cescatti, A., Dellwik, E., Duce, P., Gianelle, D., van Gorsel, E., Kiely, G., Knohl, A., Margolis, H., McCaughey, H., Varlagin, A. (2013). A data-driven analysis of energy balance closure across FLUXNET research sites: The role of landscape scale heterogeneity. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 171, 137–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.11.004>
- Su, Z. (2002). The surface energy balance system (SEBS) for estimation of turbulent heat fluxes. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 6, 85–100. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-85-2002>
- Sulla-Menashe, D., Gray, J. M., Abercrombie, S. P., & Friedl, M. A. (2019). Hierarchical mapping of annual global land cover 2001 to present: The MODIS Collection 6 Land Cover product. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 222, 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.12.013>
- Sun, X., Wilcox, B. P., & Zou, C. B. (2019). Evapotranspiration partitioning in dryland ecosystems: A global meta-analysis of in situ studies. *Journal of Hydrology*, 576, 123–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.06.022>
- Tagesson, T., Ardö, J., & Fensholt, R. (2010–2013). FLUXNET2015 SN-Dhr Dahra, Dataset. <https://doi.org/10.18140/FLX/1440246>
- Tagesson, T., Fensholt, R., Guirio, I., Rasmussen, M. O., Huber, S., Mbow, C., Garcia, M., Horion, S., Sandholt, I., Holm-Rasmussen, B., Götsche, F. M., Ridler, M.-E., Olén, N., Lundegard Olsen, J., Ehammer, A., Madsen, M., Olesen, F. S., & Ardö, J. (2015). Ecosystem properties of semiarid savanna grassland in West Africa and its relationship with environmental variability. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 250–264. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12734>
- Timmermans, W. J., Kustas, W. P., Anderson, M. C., & French, A. N. (2007). An intercomparison of the surface energy balance algorithm for land (SEBAL) and the two-source energy balance (TSEB) modeling schemes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 108, 369–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.11.028>
- Tsendbazar, N., Herold, M., Li, L., Tarko, A., de Bruin, S., Masiliunas, D., Lesiv, M., Fritz, S., Buchhorn, M., Smets, B., Van De Kerchove, R., & Duerauer, M. (2021). Towards operational validation of annual global land cover maps. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 266, 112686. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112686>
- Verhoef, A., De Bruin, H., & Van Den Hurk, B. (1997). Some practical notes on the parameter $k_B - 1$ for sparse vegetation. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 36, 560–572. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1997\)036<0560:SPNOTP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1997)036<0560:SPNOTP>2.0.CO;2)
- Villalobos, F. J., Orgaz, F., Testi, L., & Fereres, E. (2000). Measurement and modeling of evapotranspiration of olive (*Olea europaea* L.) orchards. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 13, 155–163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301\(00\)00071-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(00)00071-X)
- Villalobos, F. J., Perez-Priego, O., Testi, L., Morales, A., & Orgaz, F. (2012). Effects of water supply on carbon and water exchange of olive trees. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 40, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2012.02.004>
- Wang, G. (2005). Agricultural drought in a future climate: Results from 15 global climate models participating in the IPCC 4th assessment. *Climate Dynamics*, 25, 739–753. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-005-0057-9>
- Wang, L., Good, S. P., & Caylor, K. K. (2014). Global synthesis of vegetation control on evapotranspiration partitioning. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 6753–6757. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061439>
- Wei, Z., Yoshimura, K., Wang, L., Miralles, D. G., Jasechko, S., & Lee, X. (2017). Revisiting the contribution of transpiration to global terrestrial evapotranspiration. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 2792–2801. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072235>
- Whitley, R., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Abramowitz, G., De Kauwe, M. G., Evans, B., Haverd, V., Li, L., Moore, C., Ryu, Y., Scheiter, S., Schymanski, S. J., Smith, B., Wang, Y.-P., Williams, M., & Yu, Q. (2017). Challenges and opportunities in land surface modelling of savanna ecosystems. *Biogeosciences*, 14, 4711–4732. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4711-2017>
- Xu, L., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2003). Seasonal trends in photosynthetic parameters and stomatal conductance of blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) under prolonged summer drought and high temperature. *Tree Physiology*, 23, 865–877. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/23.13.865>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Burchard-Levine, V., Nieto, H., Riaño, D., Kustas, W. P., Migliavacca, M., El-Madany, T. S., Nelson, J. A., Andreu, A., Carrara, A., Beringer, J., Baldocchi, D., & Martín, M. P. (2022). A remote sensing-based three-source energy balance model to improve global estimations of evapotranspiration in semi-arid tree-grass ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 28, 1493–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16002>