International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering

Volume 2 | Issue 2

Article 4

October 2012

Modeling of Biodiesel Plant Design: Data Estimation and Generation Based on Suppositions and Interpolation

ASHWIN S. CHATPALLIWAR Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering and Management, chatpalliwaras@rediffmail.com

DR. VISHWAS S. DESHPANDE Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering and Management, deshpandevs@rknec.edu

DR. JAYANT P. MODAK Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering and Management, jpmodak@gmail.com

NILESHSINGH V. THAKUR Department of Computer Science & Engineering, RCOEM, Nagpur INDIA, thakurnisvis@rediffmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://www.interscience.in/ijmie

Part of the Manufacturing Commons, Operations Research, Systems Engineering and Industrial Engineering Commons, and the Risk Analysis Commons

Recommended Citation

CHATPALLIWAR, ASHWIN S.; DESHPANDE, DR. VISHWAS S.; MODAK, DR. JAYANT P.; and THAKUR, NILESHSINGH V. (2012) "Modeling of Biodiesel Plant Design: Data Estimation and Generation Based on Suppositions and Interpolation," *International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*: Vol. 2 : Iss. 2 , Article 4. DOI: 10.47893/IJMIE.2012.1074 Available at: https://www.interscience.in/ijmie/vol2/iss2/4

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Interscience Journals at Interscience Research Network. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering by an authorized editor of Interscience Research Network. For more information, please contact sritampatnaik@gmail.com.

Modeling of Biodiesel Plant Design: Data Estimation and Generation Based on Suppositions and Interpolation

¹ASHWIN S. CHATPALLIWAR, ²VISHWAS S. DESHPANDE, ³JAYANT P. MODAK AND ⁴NILESHSINGH V. THAKUR⁴

^{1,2} Department of Industrial Engineering, ³ Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, ⁴ Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, ^{1,2,3} Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering and Management, ⁴ Priyadarshini College of Engineering and Architecture, Nagpur, India

Email: chatpalliwaras@rediffmail.com, deshpandevs@rknec.edu, jpmodak@gmail.com, thakurnisvis@rediffmail.com

Abstract--- This paper presents the approach for the Biodiesel plant design data estimation and generation to support the mathematical formulation of the model. Presented approach is based on certain suppositions. Design data is estimated by using actual fundamentals involved in the design of the resources and equipments. Later, the sample space is increased by generating the design data. Design data is generated using the concept of linear interpolation, where the basic data fitting model is developed and then the intermediate design data values are obtained to increase the sample space. This facilitates the formulation of mathematical model. Experimental results are obtained through the MATLAB implementation.

Keywords- Biodiesel, Production Plant Design, Data Estimation and Generation, Data Fitting, Modeling

I. INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy sources become the need of today and Biodiesel is one of them. In India, research in the area of Biodiesel production and marketing are in development stage. Oilseeds are, in general, used as the base commodity for Biodiesel production. The most important reason for interest in Biodiesel production in India is that the India's climatic conditions are conducive for production of wide range of oil seeds such as: soybean, groundnut, safflower, mustard, castor and sunflower etc. which are easily available. Main issue in the Biodiesel production is the design of the plant and is one of the important challenges. The scope of research exists in this typical area for the industrial engineering researchers.

This paper addresses the issue of plant design from mathematical modeling point of view. For any mathematical modeling approach large data/sample space is required. This paper mainly focused on how to create large sample space. Firstly, typical cases of plant capacities are considered and accordingly the design data is estimated and later large sample space of design data is generated based on previously estimated design data. All this work carried out with certain suppositions which mainly include the manufacturing process, plant layout, maintenance schedule etc. Also, the mathematical modeling approach based on generated sample space is briefly described in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows: section II discusses the basic plant design issues. Section III presents the analysis of existing design related work. Proposed approach is summarized in section IV. Estimation and generation of the design data is presented in section V and experimental results are given in section VI. Section VII discusses the conclusion and future scope followed by references.

II. PLANT DESIGN ISSUES

Various issues have to be considered in designing of any Biodiesel plant. Here, some of the important issues are identified and briefly discussed.

Purity of the feedstock: Biodiesel processing and quality are closely related. The processes used to refine the feedstock and convert it to Biodiesel determine whether the fuel will meet the applicable specifications as per standard or not [1].

Type of production process: Different methodologies or processes [2], generally, used for production of Biodiesel are: Direct use / blending, Micro-emulsion, Pyrolysis and Transesterification.

Capacity of the plant: The first decision point for the design of a production unit is its capacity. Based on capacity of the plant, design of various equipments involved in production process can be specified, as well as other requirement such as total cost, land, power consumption, raw materials, and man-hours etc. can be estimated.

Production cost analysis: Production cost depends upon the prices of raw materials, the method of production, and utilization of by-products etc. The unit production cost can be obtained by taking into account the cost of oil, methanol, utilities and operating labor and all other costs directly related to production.

Equipment cost analysis: Capital cost estimation is one of the most critical elements in plant valuation, capital budgeting, feasibility studies and finance decisions. Investment (capital cost) for plant and equipment is important in establishing Biodiesel production capabilities. Cost estimation is used for proposal preparation, feasibility analysis and evaluation [3].

Land acquisition and infrastructure cost analysis: Land requirement and infrastructure cost are directly related to production capacity. Initial capital costs of the plants differ primarily based on feedstock needs and output. The physical requirements of a plant consist of a production facility, a tank yard allowing storage of manufactured Biodiesel and feedstock, offices, and loading/unloading facilities which adds the cost to initial capital cost.

Maintenance cost: To operate a safe plant is the first step, but maintaining a safe plant at safe working environment to handle any emergency is a full time job. For various plant capacities, the contributions of maintenance cost overhead become higher with bigger capacities. The maintenance cost of the plant is associated with the breakdown(s) or failure(s) of equipment(s).

Operating profit: Profitability of a Biodiesel plant can be used as the basic parameter for comparison of various plants. In general, the operating profit is related to the capacity of the plant. The capacity of the plant directly affects the operating profit and therefore the operating profit can be the base for comparison of various plant capacities.

Feasibility Analysis: The proximity of feedstock is a crucial component for the feasibility analysis of the Biodiesel plant. Apart from this, the others like equipment cost, Biodiesel selling price, human resources involved etc. also drive the feasibility analysis. The feasibility analysis of a plant can provide useful conclusions with respect to the unit production cost and various other technical and economical parameters.

Performance evaluation parameters: The cost of the plant installation according to the capacity of production; the profit as per the capacity of the plant; quality of the Biodiesel; maintenance cost required as per the maintenance schedule; risk analysis etc. are the general parameters can be used for the evaluation of any Biodiesel plant design.

TABLE I. PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS OF DESIGN RELATED WORK

| Approac | (Skarlis | (Haas et | (Van | (Al- |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| h | et al., | al., 2006) | Kasteren & | Zuhair et |
| \backslash | 2008) | [5] | Nisworo, | al., |
| | [4] | | 2007) [6] | 2011) |
| | | Simulatio | | [7] |
| | Feasibili | n- | Process | |
| | ty | Compute | model- | Pilot |
| | analysis- | r model | Conceptual | plant- |
| | Biodiese | to | design to | Biodiese |
| | l plant in | estimate | estimate | l from |
| | Greece | the | the cost of | waste/us |
| Paramet | | capital | Biodiesel | ed |
| er \ | | and | production | vegetabl |
| | | operating | | e oil |
| | | costs | | using |
| | | based on | | enzymat |
| \ | | changes | | ic |
| \ | | in | | approac |
| \ | | feedstock | | h |
| | | costs | | |
| Feedstoc | 1000 Kg | Not | Constant | 1138 kg |
| ks to | of oil + | Specified | input of | per hour |
| Biodiesel | 110 Kg | | waste oil | |
| | of | | for whole | |
| | methano | | production | |
| | $l \rightarrow$ | | year | |
| | 1000 Kg | | | |

The design and feasibility analysis of Biodiesel production plant is difficult to standardize the total investment and production cost, since its main characteristics (feedstock, final products, the equipment items cost, land acquisition) are subject to market price fluctuations. Also, the cost of conventional diesel fuel, which is directly related to the price of crude oil, is subject to similar fluctuations, creating uncertainty in targets for Biodiesel production cost/selling price [4].

As discussed previously, the important issues in the designing of any plant are the equipments, land availability, investment amount, capacity requirement etc. Therefore, the scope of optimization in plant designing exists with the imposed constraint of certain issues. One can design the Biodiesel plant with the consideration of all above mentioned important issues or can considered only selected issues.

III. RELATED WORK

Prominent work related to plant design is reported in [4-11], where most of the parameters, already discussed in previous section, are used in formulation of the problem. Summarized analysis of these existing works is presented in Table I and Table II. Skarlis et al. [4] focused on the profit analysis, while Hass et al. [5] has not carried out it. Van Kasteren and Nisworo [6] have designed the conceptual design to estimate the cost of Biodiesel production. Al-Zuhair et al. [7] have designed and installed a pilot plant for Biodiesel production. Kapilakam and Peugtong [8] and Marchetti and Errazu [9] worked on simulation of Biodiesel plant. Apostolakou et al. [10] and Myint and El-Halwagi carried out work of feasibility analysis and optimization of Biodiesel production respectively.

| | | 2 | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Capacity of Plant | of Biodiese 1+110 Kg of glycerol 4000 tons per year | 37,854,1 18 litre | 125,000; 80,000 and 8000 tones Biodiesel/y ear | 1 ton per hour |
| Capital Cost | Vegetabl e Oils, Methano l, Catalyst, Water, Electrici ty, Natural Gas, Equipme nt cost | Equipme nt cost | Fixed (plant location, prod. capacity, present status of Biodiesel) | Total capital investme nt of 620000 US\$ |
| Operati ng Cost | Chemica l process cost, Operatin g cost | Soy oil, Methanol , HCL, NaOH, Electricit y, Natural gas, | Fixed (plant location, prod. capacity, present status of Biodiesel) | Total capital investme nt of 620000 US\$ |

International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering (IJMIE), ISSN No. 2231 -6477, Volume.2, Issue.2

| Profit | Depend | Water, labor, others Not | Not carried | Total |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Analysis | on the raw material cost and Biodiese l cost | estimated | out (factors are capital cost, capacity, raw material and glycerol price | capital investme nt will be paid back within four years |
| Storage Tank Material | Not specified | Carbon steel | Not specified | Not specified |

| | TABLE II. | PARAMETRIC A Related Wor | NALYSIS OF DE K | ESIGN |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Approach Parameter | (Kapilakarn & Peugtong, 2007) [8] Approach: Simulation for Optimality- Optimal operating condition for the Biodiesel production | (Marchetti & Errazu, 2008) [9] Approach: Simulation- to produce the conceptual design and simulate each technology | (Apostolak ou et al., 2009) [10] Approach: Economic analysis- Biodiesel production from vegetable oils | (Myint & El- Halwagi, 2009) [11] Approach: Optimization -Biodiesel production from Soybean oil |
| Feedstocks to Biodiesel Capacity of | 50 litre and 100 litre Not specified | 4550 kg per hour 36036 ton | Triolein + 3Methanol $\rightarrow 3$ Biodiesel + Glycerol 50 kton | Soybean oil is used 40 million |
| Plant | p | per year | per year | gallons per year |
| Capital Cost | Total cost is evaluated for three processes | Evaluation is carried out | Evaluation is carried out (equipmen t cost, etc.) | Capital cost estimation was carried out using the ICARUS Process Evaluator computer- aided tool linked to the results of the ASPEN Plus simulation. |
| Operating Cost | reaction time, temperatures and molars ratios of alcohol to oil affects the operating cost | Evaluation is carried out | Evaluation is carried out (raw material cost, labor cost, etc.) | The operating cost of the process was estimated based on process operation such as raw materials, utilities, and labor. |
| Profit Analysis | Not carried out, *Quality of the Biodiesel for three processes is evaluated | Evaluation is carried out | Evaluation is carried out | A profitability analysis was carried out by examining the return on investment and the payback |

| | | | | period. |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Storage Tank | Not specified | Not | Stainless | Not specified |
| Material | | specified | steel | |

IV. PROPOSED APPROACH

A. Basic Idea

The cost related parameters can be used to evaluate the design of the Biodiesel plant with respect to the economics. These performance evaluation parameters are- Capacity (Production turnover); maintenance cost; operating profit. One can develop the approach to focus on these parameters when go for designing of the Biodiesel production plant. Biodiesel plant design basically concerned with the resource management, i.e. how optimally one can use the resources? Model based approach can be developed, where the relativity amongst the various resources can be used in model development. The cost evaluation of each individual resource can be the base in forming the relativity amongst the various resources.

The important issue at the formulation of model is that one should keep in mind the production process flow of the plant. As per the process flow and the specifications of the desired Biodiesel production plant design, the dependency and independency of the resources can be evaluated and accordingly the relation of the resources can be established. Once the relational model is prepared, the objectives of the desired mathematical model can be identified which make the concern problem as the single or multi-objective problem. Later it can be solved by the classical on non-classical methods. Different models can be possible as per the desires of the individual, perspectives of the engineer involved in designing of the plant, objectives in designing and the basic key parameters on which the design should get evaluated. Known area which can be explored in the Biodiesel production plant design is the optimal resource management.

An approximate model can be developed using the design data, where the design data can be related to- Feedstock cost, Equipment specification, Tank design, Land specification, Power consumption, Man hours, Production turnover, Maintenance cost, and Operating profit. Various symbols or the variables can be used for the identification of the above mentioned data parameters, and based on this, the mathematical model can be formulated using the generated data and later the developed model can be used to answer the specific questions concerned to the Biodiesel production plant design.

B. An Approach

This section discusses the suggested approach for design of Biodiesel manufacturing plant with cost and capacity perspective, and also the motivation behind it. After analyzing the available literature, it is found that the plant design is an open issue where the research scope exists. The gaps and the observations identified in literature are summarized as follows.

- (a) No concrete results are reported in literature regarding the launching/installation of new Biodiesel plant with variety of objectives.
- (b) Existing approaches which are suggested in the literature for the plant design move around the cost parameters and the chemical processes with the fixed plant capacity.
- (c) No approach exists as per our knowledge which can address the problem of design of Biodiesel plant with variety of capacities.
- (d) No approach exists as per our knowledge which can address the problem of design of Biodiesel plant with cost and capacity perspective.
- (e) No mathematical model based approach exists for designing of Biodiesel plant.
- (f) The capacity of plant, capital cost, operating cost, profit analysis and storage tank material are the important basic issues to be considered in designing of any Biodiesel plant.
- (g) Any plant design move around the parameters related to these basic issues.

The above mentioned gaps and observations are the key motivation for the development of the mathematical model based approach for the Biodiesel manufacturing plant design. The suggested approach consists of the following steps.

Step-1: Estimation of the design data for various capacities.

Step-2: Generation of the design data for various capacities.

Step-3: Formulation of the mathematical model for the plant manufacturing Biodiesel

In section III, it is identified that the capacity of plant, capital cost, operating cost, profit analysis and storage tank material are the base parameters which plays very important role in Biodiesel plant design. In presented work, Biodiesel plant design related input and output parameters are identified with reference to these base parameters and following assumptions.

- Method of Biodiesel production is alkali catalytic methanol transesterification.
- Quality of raw material is as per the standard required to produce Biodiesel.
- Plant is operated for one batch per day.
- Plant is having integrated crushing (seeds) plant.
- Construction and site preparation cost is not considered in this study (it varies significantly from location to location).
- Specifications of process equipments can accommodate all types of oil (raw material) for biodiesel production.

- Quality of Biodiesel is as per the standard (EN 14214/IS 15607 biodiesel fuel standard).
- Plat layout design is developed at our own.

Presented work concern with the following input and output parameters. Input parameters and output parameters of the Biodiesel plant design are identified as the inputs and responses of the Biodiesel plant and the same nomenclature is used hereafter in the remaining text of this paper.

Inputs: Equipment Cost, Power Consumption, Water Requirement, Total Factory Area (Land Area), Oil Seeds, Methanol, Catalyst (KOH), Man-hours

Responses: Production Turnover, Maintenance Cost, and Operating Profit

V. DESIGN DATA: ESTIMATION AND GENERATION

A. Data Estimation

Various inputs and responses with their unit of measure and the estimation based on are summarized in Table III. The data related to the inputs and responses is generated based on the plant capacity and the estimated data of inputs respectively. Estimation of inputs and responses is carried out for Biodiesel production plant of different capacities (1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 ton per day) independently.

| Specification | Unit | Parameter | Estimation Based On |
|---------------|-------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Equipment | ` | Input | Design and supplier |
| Cost in Lacs | | | Quotations |
| Power | HP | Input | Power rating of |
| | | | equipments |
| Water | Litre | Input | Estimated as per process |
| | | | requirement |
| Total | m^2 | Input | Layout of plant plotted |
| Factory Area | | | in AutoCAD (Appendix- |
| | | | <i>A</i>) |
| Oil Seeds | kg | Input | Capacity of Biodiesel |
| | | | plant |
| Methanol | Litre | Input | Capacity of Biodiesel |
| | | | plant |
| Catalyst | kg | Input | Capacity of Biodiesel |
| KOH | | | plant |
| Man-hours | Hours | Input | Human resource |
| | | | required for operation of |
| | | | plant |
| Production | ` | Response | Expected output at each |
| Turnover | | | stage of production |
| (Kg. | | | |
| converted in | | | |
| Rupees) | | | |
| Maintenance | ` | Response | Expected failure causes |
| Cost in Lacs | | | and preventive |
| | | | maintenance scheduled |
| | | | for individual |
| | | 5 | equipment |
| Operating | ì | Response | Production cost and |
| Profit in | | | revenue generated |
| Lacs | | | |

TABLE III. SUMMARY OF INPUTS AND RESPONSES

Estimation of all the inputs for the capacities (1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 ton) is carried out by

referring the Biodiesel production plant layout designs which are prepared in AutoCAD for all the capacities with certain assumptions.

Basic requirements of the Biodesel production plant may get changed as per the desired capacity. Estimation of some of the input parameters is also changed due to the varying requirement specification for different capacities of Biodesel production plant. Shape of the oil tank for all capacity is same. Number of washing tanks and drier tanks considered for all capacities are 3 and 2 respectively, while single tank is considered for other type of tanks. Summary of inputs estimation for all capacities is shown in Table IV. Estimation of all the response variables for the capacities (1, 2, 3, 5, TABLE IV. SUMMARY OF INPUTS AND RESPONSES

| Capacit | | | | Inp | uts | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| y of Plant (ton) | Equipmen t Cost (`In Lacs) | Power (HP) | Wate r (<i>Litre</i>) | Total Factor y Area (m ²) | Oil Seeds (Kg) | Methano 1 (<i>Litre</i>) | Catalys t KOH (Kg) | Man- hours (<i>Hour</i>) |
| 1 | 14.58419 | 59.88 | 525 | 125 | 3333 | 139 | 15.00 | 80 |
| 2 | 23.89304 | 104.6 0 | 1050 | 152 | 6666 | 278 | 30.00 | 92 |
| 3 | 33.35492 | 162.2 6 | 1500 | 253 | 1000 0 | 471 | 45.00 | 92 |
| 5 | 50.2963 | 273.5 7 | 2550 | 464 | 1666 6 | 695 | 75.00 | 104 |
| 7 | 66.51348 | 380.8 5 | 3750 | 728 | 2333 3 | 973 | 105.00 | 116 |
| 9 | 81.78912 | 492.1 6 | 4500 | 1044 | 3000 0 | 1251 | 135.00 | 136 |
| 10 | 89.62276 | 545.8 0 | 5250 | 1141 | 3333 3 | 1390 | 150.00 | 148 |

7, 9, and 10 ton) is also carried out. Estimation of expected production turnover is based on production process and minimum output at each stage of the process. Capacity of oil expeller varies due to specification and number of units used for various capacities of Biodiesel production plant. Chemical composition as per the standard reaction for estimated oil, methanol and catalyst and expected quantities of Glycerol and Biodiesel for all capacity are given in Table V. Summary of responses estimation for all capacity Biodiesel plant is given in Table VI. Finally, summary of all inputs and responses for 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 *ton* capacity Biodiesel plants is given in Table VI.

| TABLE V. | CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AS PER STANDARD |
|--------------|---|
| FOR ESTIMATE | D OIL, METHANOL AND CATALYST FOR 1, 2, 3, |
| 5, 7, 9, AND | 10 TON CAPACITY BIODIESEL PRODUCTION |
| | PLANT |

| Capacity of plant (ton) | Oil (kg) | Methanol (kg) | Catalyst (KOH) (kg) | Glycerol (kg) | Biodiesel (kg) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 919.9 | 101.19 | 13.8 | 101.19 | 919.9 |
| 2 | 1839.8 | 202.38 | 27.6 | 202.38 | 1839.8 |
| 3 | 2760 | 303.6 | 41.4 | 303.6 | 2760 |
| 5 | 4599.8 | 505.98 | 69 | 505.98 | 4599.8 |
| 7 | 6439.9 | 708.39 | 96.6 | 708.39 | 6439.9 |
| 9 | 8280 | 910.8 | 124.2 | 910.8 | 8280 |
| 10 | 9199.9 | 1011.99 | 138 | 1011.99 | 9199.9 |

| TABLE VI. | SUMMARY OF |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| RESPONSES EST | IMATION FOR ALL |
| CAPACITY BI | odiesei Plant |

| Capacity | Responses | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| of Plant (ton) | Prod. Turnover | Maint. Cost | Oper. Profit | | | |
| | (`) | () | (`) | | | |
| 1 | 34204 | 198784 | 3985785 | | | |
| 2 | 68408.4 | 240193 | 8385327 | | | |
| 3 | 102624 | 329754 | 11890881 | | | |
| 5 | 171032 | 482296 | 21427497 | | | |
| 7 | 239452 | 586113 | 30259377 | | | |
| 9 | 307872 | 692989 | 39127014 | | | |
| 10 | 342076 | 809622 | 43424184 | | | |

| TABLE VII. | SUMMARY OF ALL INPUTS AND RESPONSES ESTIMATION FOR 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, |
|------------|--|
| | AND 10 TON CAPACITY BIODIESEL PLANTS |

| Capacit | | | Responses | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| y of Plant | Equipme nt Cost | Powe r | Wate r | Total Factor | Oil Seed | Metha -nol | Cata- lyst | Man- hours | Prod. Turnov | Maint . Cost | Oper. Profit |
| (ton) | (`In | (HP) | (Litre | y Area | s (<i>kg</i>) | (Litre) | KOH | (Hour | er | (`In | (`In |
| | Lacs) | |) | (m) | | | (kg) | S) | (In | Lacs) | Lacs) |
| | | | | | | | | | Lacs) | | |
| 1 | 14.58419 | 59.88 | 525 | 125 | 3333 | 139 | 15.00 | 80 | 0.34204 | 1.9878 | 39.85785 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 4 | |
| 2 | 23.89304 | 104.6 | 1050 | 152 | 6666 | 278 | 30.00 | 92 | 0.68408 | 2.4019 | 83.85327 |
| 2 | 22.25.402 | 1(2,2) | 1.500 | 252 | 1000 | 471 | 15.00 | 0.2 | 1.00(04 | 2 2075 | 110.0000 |
| 3 | 33.33492 | 162.2 | 1500 | 255 | 1000 | 4/1 | 45.00 | 92 | 1.02624 | 3.2975 | 118.9088 |
| | | 6 | | | 0 | | | | | 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 50.2963 | 273.5 | 2550 | 464 | 1666 | 695 | 75.00 | 104 | 1.71032 | 4.8229 | 214.2749 |
| | | 7 | | | 6 | | | | | 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 66.51348 | 380.8 | 3750 | 728 | 2333 | 973 | 105.0 | 116 | 2.39452 | 5.8611 | 302.5937 |
| | | 5 | | | 3 | | 0 | | | 3 | 7 |
| 9 | 81.78912 | 492.1 | 4500 | 1044 | 3000 | 1251 | 135.0 | 136 | 3.07872 | 6.9298 | 391.2701 |
| | | 6 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | 9 | 4 |
| 10 | 89.62276 | 545.8 | 5250 | 1141 | 3333 | 1390 | 150.0 | 148 | 3.42076 | 8.0962 | 434.2418 |
| | | 0 | | | 3 | | 0 | | | 2 | 4 |

B. Data Generation

This section gives the details of the generated design data. Design data is generated using the estimated values of the inputs and responses. Intermediate values of the inputs and responses for various capacities are generated by using the MATLAB tool. This generated design data for inputs and responses will be used later for development of mathematical model.

Previously estimated design data values given in Table VII are used to generate design data. The flow of the approach to generate the design data consist of following two steps:

Step-1: Data fitting- For each input and responses, form the vector between the two consecutive capacity values.

Step-2: Finding intermediate data- Increment the lowest value by 0.1 to the highest value of the two consecutive capacities which formed the vector to get the corresponding intermediate values of the inputs and responses by referring the vector formed in step 1.

The pseudo code for the implementation of above two steps is as follows:

Data Fitting and Generation ()

{ Refer Input: matrix A;

Input Data Fitting () // linear interpolation //

{ For each input parameter (Total 8)

{ Fit the corresponding capacity and input data values using linear interpolation; Obtain the fitting model for corresponding input parameter; Plot the data fitting for corresponding input parameter; } }

Intermediate Input Data Finding ()

{ Find the lowest capacity value; Find the highest capacity value; Increment the lowest value by 0.1 to the highest value; Obtain the different capacity values;

For each input parameter (Total 8)

{ For each obtained capacity values

{ Use the corresponding fitting model to get the corresponding intermediate input value; Store these values in matrix B; } } }

Response Data Fitting () // linear interpolation //

{ For each response parameter (Total 3)

{ Fit the corresponding capacity and response data values using linear interpolation; Obtain the fitting model for corresponding response parameter; Plot the data fitting for corresponding response parameter; } }

Intermediate Response Data Finding ()

{ Find the lowest capacity value; Find the highest capacity value; Increment the lowest value by 0.1 to the highest value; Obtain the different capacity values;

For each response parameter (Total 3)

{ For each obtained capacity values

{ Use the corresponding fitting model to get the corresponding intermediate response value; Store these values in matrix B; } } }

Obtain Output: Matrix B;

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The pseudo code is implemented in MATLAB on a computer with the general configuration. Implementation screenshots are shown in Figure 1. Generated data for all input and responses is given in Table VIII and Table IX.





Figure 1. Implementation Screenshots for Inputs



Figure 2. Implementation Screenshots for Two of the Responses

| | Inputs | | | | | | | | Responses | | | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Capacity of | Equipment | | Watan | Total | Oil Sooda | Mathanal | Catalyst | Man hauna | Production | Maintenance | Operating | |
| Plant (ton) | Cost | Power (HP) | (Litre) | Factory | (kg) | (Litre) | KOH (kg) | (Hours) | Turnover | Cost | Profit | |
| | (`In Lacs) | | (Enre) | Area (m^2) | (48) | (Entre) | non (kg) | (Hours) | (`In Lacs) | (`In Lacs) | (`In Lacs) | |
| 1 | 14.58419 | 59.88 | 525 | 125 | 3333 | 139 | 15 | 80 | 0.34204 | 1.98784 | 39.85785 | |
| 1.1 | 15.51508 | 64.352 | 577.5 | 127.7 | 3666.3 | 152.9 | 16.5 | 81.2 | 0.376244 | 2.029249 | 44.25739 | |
| 1.2 | 16.44596 | 68.824 | 630 | 130.4 | 3999.6 | 166.8 | 18 | 82.4 | 0.410448 | 2.070658 | 48.65693 | |
| 1.3 | 17.37685 | 73.296 | 682.5 | 133.1 | 4332.9 | 180.7 | 19.5 | 83.6 | 0.444652 | 2.112067 | 53.05648 | |
| 1.4 | 18.30773 | 77.768 | 735 | 135.8 | 4666.2 | 194.6 | 21 | 84.8 | 0.478856 | 2.153476 | 57.45602 | |
| 1.5 | 19.23862 | 82.24 | 787.5 | 138.5 | 4999.5 | 208.5 | 22.5 | 86 | 0.51306 | 2.194885 | 61.85556 | |
| 1.6 | 20.1695 | 86.712 | 840 | 141.2 | 5332.8 | 222.4 | 24 | 87.2 | 0.547264 | 2.236294 | 66.2551 | |
| 1.7 | 21.10039 | 91.184 | 892.5 | 143.9 | 5666.1 | 236.3 | 25.5 | 88.4 | 0.581468 | 2.277703 | 70.65464 | |
| 1.8 | 22.03127 | 95.656 | 945 | 146.6 | 5999.4 | 250.2 | 27 | 89.6 | 0.615672 | 2.319112 | 75.05419 | |
| 1.9 | 22.96216 | 100.128 | 997.5 | 149.3 | 6332.7 | 264.1 | 28.5 | 90.8 | 0.649876 | 2.360521 | 79.45373 | |
| 2 | 23.89304 | 104.6 | 1050 | 152 | 6666 | 2/8 | 30 | 92 | 0.68408 | 2.40193 | 83.85327 | |
| 2.1 | 24.83923 | 110.366 | 1095 | 162.1 | 69999.4 7222.9 | 297.3 | 31.5 | 92 | 0.718296 | 2.491491 | 87.35882 | |
| 2.2 | 25./8542 | 110.132 | 1140 | 1/2.2 | 7666.2 | 225.0 | 24.5 | 92 | 0.752512 | 2.581052 | 90.86438 | |
| 2.3 | 26./316 | 121.898 | 1185 | 182.3 | 7000.6 | 255.9 | 34.5 | 92 | 0.786728 | 2.6/0613 | 94.36993 | |
| 2.4 | 27.0779 | 127.004 | 1230 | 192.4 | /999.0 | 274.5 | 27.5 | 92 | 0.820944 | 2.760174 | 97.87349 | |
| 2.3 | 28.02398 | 133.43 | 1275 | 202.5 | 8333 8666 A | 202.8 | 37.3 | 92 | 0.83310 | 2.849/33 | 101.381 | |
| 2.0 | 29.57017 | 139.190 | 1320 | 212.0 | 8000.4 | 393.0 412.1 | 39 40.5 | 92 | 0.003502 | 2.939290 | 104.8800 | |
| 2.7 | 21 46254 | 144.902 | 1410 | 222.7 | 0222.2 | 413.1 | 40.5 | 92 | 0.925392 | 2 119/19 | 111 2077 | |
| 2.0 | 31.40234 | 156.404 | 1410 | 232.8 | 9555.2 | 452.4 | 42 | 92 | 0.937808 | 2 207070 | 115.4022 | |
| 2.9 | 33 35/02 | 162.26 | 1500 | 253 | 10000 | 471 | 45 | 92 | 1.02624 | 3 20754 | 118 0088 | |
| 31 | 34 20199 | 167.8255 | 1552.5 | 263 55 | 10333.3 | 482.2 | 46.5 | 92.6 | 1.02024 | 3 373811 | 123 6771 | |
| 3.2 | 35.04906 | 173 391 | 1605 | 205.55 | 10666.6 | 493.4 | 48 | 93.2 | 1 094648 | 3 450082 | 128 4454 | |
| 3.3 | 35.89613 | 178,9565 | 1657.5 | 284.65 | 10999.9 | 504.6 | 49.5 | 93.8 | 1.128852 | 3.526353 | 133.2137 | |
| 3.4 | 36,7432 | 184.522 | 1710 | 295.2 | 11333.2 | 515.8 | 51 | 94.4 | 1.163056 | 3.602624 | 137.982 | |
| 3.5 | 37.59027 | 190.0875 | 1762.5 | 305.75 | 11666.5 | 527 | 52.5 | 95 | 1.19726 | 3.678895 | 142.7504 | |
| 3.6 | 38.43733 | 195.653 | 1815 | 316.3 | 11999.8 | 538.2 | 54 | 95.6 | 1.231464 | 3.755166 | 147.5187 | |
| 3.7 | 39.2844 | 201.2185 | 1867.5 | 326.85 | 12333.1 | 549.4 | 55.5 | 96.2 | 1.265668 | 3.831437 | 152.287 | |
| 3.8 | 40.13147 | 206.784 | 1920 | 337.4 | 12666.4 | 560.6 | 57 | 96.8 | 1.299872 | 3.907708 | 157.0553 | |
| 3.9 | 40.97854 | 212.3495 | 1972.5 | 347.95 | 12999.7 | 571.8 | 58.5 | 97.4 | 1.334076 | 3.983979 | 161.8236 | |
| 4 | 41.82561 | 217.915 | 2025 | 358.5 | 13333 | 583 | 60 | 98 | 1.36828 | 4.06025 | 166.5919 | |
| 4.1 | 42.67268 | 223.4805 | 2077.5 | 369.05 | 13666.3 | 594.2 | 61.5 | 98.6 | 1.402484 | 4.136521 | 171.3602 | |
| 4.2 | 43.51975 | 229.046 | 2130 | 379.6 | 13999.6 | 605.4 | 63 | 99.2 | 1.436688 | 4.212792 | 176.1285 | |
| 4.3 | 44.36682 | 234.6115 | 2182.5 | 390.15 | 14332.9 | 616.6 | 64.5 | 99.8 | 1.470892 | 4.289063 | 180.8968 | |
| 4.4 | 45.21389 | 240.177 | 2235 | 400.7 | 14666.2 | 627.8 | 66 | 100.4 | 1.505096 | 4.365334 | 185.6651 | |
| 4.5 | 46.06096 | 245.7425 | 2287.5 | 411.25 | 14999.5 | 639 | 67.5 | 101 | 1.5393 | 4.441605 | 190.4334 | |
| 4.6 | 46.90802 | 251.308 | 2340 | 421.8 | 15332.8 | 650.2 | 69 | 101.6 | 1.573504 | 4.517876 | 195.2017 | |
| 4.7 | 47.75509 | 256.8735 | 2392.5 | 432.35 | 15666.1 | 661.4 | 70.5 | 102.2 | 1.607708 | 4.594147 | 199.97 | |
| 4.8 | 48.60216 | 262.439 | 2445 | 442.9 | 15999.4 | 672.6 | 72 | 102.8 | 1.641912 | 4.670418 | 204.7384 | |
| 4.9 | 49.44923 | 268.0045 | 2497.5 | 453.45 | 16332.7 | 683.8 | 73.5 | 103.4 | 1.676116 | 4.746689 | 209.5067 | |
| 5 | 50.2963 | 273.57 | 2550 | 464 | 16666 | 695 | 75 | 104 | 1.71032 | 4.82296 | 214.275 | |
| 5.1 | 51.10716 | 278.934 | 2610 | 477.2 | 16999.35 | 708.9 | 76.5 | 104.6 | 1.74453 | 4.874869 | 218.6909 | |
| 5.2 | 51.91802 | 284.298 | 2670 | 490.4 | 17332.7 | 722.8 | 78 | 105.2 | 1.77874 | 4.926777 | 223.1069 | |

TABLE VIII. GENERATED DATA FOR ALL INPUT AND RESPONSES

TABLE IX. GENERATED DATA FOR ALL INPUT AND RESPONSES

| | | | | Responses | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Capacity of Plant (ton) | Equipment Cost (`In Lacs) | Power (HP) | Water (<i>Litre</i>) | Total Factory Area (m ²) | Oil Seeds (kg) | Methanol (<i>Litre</i>) | Catalyst KOH (kg) | Man-hours (<i>Hours</i>) | Production Turnover (`In Lacs) | Maintenance Cost (`In Lacs) | Operating Profit (`In Lacs) |
| 5.3 | 52.72888 | 289.662 | 2730 | 503.6 | 17666.05 | 736.7 | 79.5 | 105.8 | 1.81295 | 4.978686 | 227.5228 |
| 5.4 | 53.53974 | 295.026 | 2790 | 516.8 | 17999.4 | 750.6 | 81 | 106.4 | 1.84716 | 5.030594 | 231.9387 |
| 5.5 | 54.3506 | 300.39 | 2850 | 530 | 18332.75 | 764.5 | 82.5 | 107 | 1.88137 | 5.082503 | 236.3547 |
| 5.6 | 55.16145 | 305.754 | 2910 | 543.2 | 18666.1 | 778.4 | 84 | 107.6 | 1.91558 | 5.134411 | 240.7706 |
| 5.7 | 55.97231 | 311.118 | 2970 | 556.4 | 18999.45 | 792.3 | 85.5 | 108.2 | 1.94979 | 5.18632 | 245.1866 |
| 5.8 | 56.78317 | 316.482 | 3030 | 569.6 | 19332.8 | 806.2 | 87 | 108.8 | 1.984 | 5.238228 | 249.6025 |
| 5.9 | 57.59403 | 321.846 | 3090 | 582.8 | 19666.15 | 820.1 | 88.5 | 109.4 | 2.01821 | 5.290137 | 254.0184 |
| 6 | 58.40489 | 327.21 | 3150 | 596 | 19999.5 | 834 | 90 | 110 | 2.05242 | 5.342045 | 258.4344 |
| 6.1 | 59.21575 | 332.574 | 3210 | 609.2 | 20332.85 | 847.9 | 91.5 | 110.6 | 2.08663 | 5.393954 | 262.8503 |
| 6.2 | 60.02661 | 337.938 | 3270 | 622.4 | 20666.2 | 861.8 | 93 | 111.2 | 2.12084 | 5.445862 | 267.2663 |
| 6.3 | 60.83747 | 343.302 | 3330 | 635.6 | 20999.55 | 875.7 | 94.5 | 111.8 | 2.15505 | 5.497771 | 271.6822 |
| 6.4 | 61.64833 | 348.666 | 3390 | 648.8 | 21332.9 | 889.6 | 96 | 112.4 | 2.18926 | 5.549679 | 276.0981 |
| 6.5 | 62.45919 | 354.03 | 3450 | 662 | 21666.25 | 903.5 | 97.5 | 113 | 2.22347 | 5.601588 | 280.5141 |
| 6.6 | 63.27004 | 359.394 | 3510 | 675.2 | 21999.6 | 917.4 | 99 | 113.6 | 2.25768 | 5.653496 | 284.93 |
| 6.7 | 64.0809 | 364.758 | 3570 | 688.4 | 22332.95 | 931.3 | 100.5 | 114.2 | 2.29189 | 5.705405 | 289.346 |
| 6.8 | 64.89176 | 370.122 | 3630 | 701.6 | 22666.3 | 945.2 | 102 | 114.8 | 2.3261 | 5.757313 | 293.7619 |
| 6.9 | 65.70262 | 375.486 | 3690 | 714.8 | 22999.65 | 959.1 | 103.5 | 115.4 | 2.36031 | 5.809222 | 298.1778 |

| 7 | 66.51348 | 380.85 | 3750 | 728 | 23333 | 973 | 105 | 116 | 2.39452 | 5.86113 | 302.5938 |
|-----|----------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| 7.1 | 67.27726 | 386.4155 | 3787.5 | 743.8 | 23666.35 | 986.9 | 106.5 | 117 | 2.42873 | 5.914568 | 307.0276 |
| 7.2 | 68.04104 | 391.981 | 3825 | 759.6 | 23999.7 | 1000.8 | 108 | 118 | 2.46294 | 5.968006 | 311.4614 |
| 7.3 | 68.80483 | 397.5465 | 3862.5 | 775.4 | 24333.05 | 1014.7 | 109.5 | 119 | 2.49715 | 6.021444 | 315.8952 |
| 7.4 | 69.56861 | 403.112 | 3900 | 791.2 | 24666.4 | 1028.6 | 111 | 120 | 2.53136 | 6.074882 | 320.329 |
| 7.5 | 70.33239 | 408.6775 | 3937.5 | 807 | 24999.75 | 1042.5 | 112.5 | 121 | 2.56557 | 6.12832 | 324.7629 |
| 7.6 | 71.09617 | 414.243 | 3975 | 822.8 | 25333.1 | 1056.4 | 114 | 122 | 2.59978 | 6.181758 | 329.1967 |
| 7.7 | 71.85995 | 419.8085 | 4012.5 | 838.6 | 25666.45 | 1070.3 | 115.5 | 123 | 2.63399 | 6.235196 | 333.6305 |
| 7.8 | 72.62374 | 425.374 | 4050 | 854.4 | 25999.8 | 1084.2 | 117 | 124 | 2.6682 | 6.288634 | 338.0643 |
| 7.9 | 73.38752 | 430.9395 | 4087.5 | 870.2 | 26333.15 | 1098.1 | 118.5 | 125 | 2.70241 | 6.342072 | 342.4981 |
| 8 | 74.1513 | 436.505 | 4125 | 886 | 26666.5 | 1112 | 120 | 126 | 2.73662 | 6.39551 | 346.932 |
| 8.1 | 74.91508 | 442.0705 | 4162.5 | 901.8 | 26999.85 | 1125.9 | 121.5 | 127 | 2.77083 | 6.448948 | 351.3658 |
| 8.2 | 75.67886 | 447.636 | 4200 | 917.6 | 27333.2 | 1139.8 | 123 | 128 | 2.80504 | 6.502386 | 355.7996 |
| 8.3 | 76.44265 | 453.2015 | 4237.5 | 933.4 | 27666.55 | 1153.7 | 124.5 | 129 | 2.83925 | 6.555824 | 360.2334 |
| 8.4 | 77.20643 | 458.767 | 4275 | 949.2 | 27999.9 | 1167.6 | 126 | 130 | 2.87346 | 6.609262 | 364.6672 |
| 8.5 | 77.97021 | 464.3325 | 4312.5 | 965 | 28333.25 | 1181.5 | 127.5 | 131 | 2.90767 | 6.6627 | 369.101 |
| 8.6 | 78.73399 | 469.898 | 4350 | 980.8 | 28666.6 | 1195.4 | 129 | 132 | 2.94188 | 6.716138 | 373.5349 |
| 8.7 | 79.49777 | 475.4635 | 4387.5 | 996.6 | 28999.95 | 1209.3 | 130.5 | 133 | 2.97609 | 6.769576 | 377.9687 |
| 8.8 | 80.26156 | 481.029 | 4425 | 1012.4 | 29333.3 | 1223.2 | 132 | 134 | 3.0103 | 6.823014 | 382.4025 |
| 8.9 | 81.02534 | 486.5945 | 4462.5 | 1028.2 | 29666.65 | 1237.1 | 133.5 | 135 | 3.04451 | 6.876452 | 386.8363 |
| 9 | 81.78912 | 492.16 | 4500 | 1044 | 30000 | 1251 | 135 | 136 | 3.07872 | 6.92989 | 391.2701 |
| 9.1 | 82.57248 | 497.524 | 4575 | 1053.7 | 30333.3 | 1264.9 | 136.5 | 137.2 | 3.112924 | 7.046523 | 395.5673 |
| 9.2 | 83.35585 | 502.888 | 4650 | 1063.4 | 30666.6 | 1278.8 | 138 | 138.4 | 3.147128 | 7.163156 | 399.8645 |
| 9.3 | 84.13921 | 508.252 | 4725 | 1073.1 | 30999.9 | 1292.7 | 139.5 | 139.6 | 3.181332 | 7.279789 | 404.1617 |
| 9.4 | 84.92258 | 513.616 | 4800 | 1082.8 | 31333.2 | 1306.6 | 141 | 140.8 | 3.215536 | 7.396422 | 408.4588 |
| 9.5 | 85.70594 | 518.98 | 4875 | 1092.5 | 31666.5 | 1320.5 | 142.5 | 142 | 3.24974 | 7.513055 | 412.756 |
| 9.6 | 86.4893 | 524.344 | 4950 | 1102.2 | 31999.8 | 1334.4 | 144 | 143.2 | 3.283944 | 7.629688 | 417.0532 |
| 9.7 | 87.27267 | 529.708 | 5025 | 1111.9 | 32333.1 | 1348.3 | 145.5 | 144.4 | 3.318148 | 7.746321 | 421.3503 |
| 9.8 | 88.05603 | 535.072 | 5100 | 1121.6 | 32666.4 | 1362.2 | 147 | 145.6 | 3.352352 | 7.862954 | 425.6475 |
| 9.9 | 88.8394 | 540.436 | 5175 | 1131.3 | 32999.7 | 1376.1 | 148.5 | 146.8 | 3.386556 | 7.979587 | 429.9447 |
| 10 | 89.62276 | 545.8 | 5250 | 1141 | 33333 | 1390 | 150 | 148 | 3.42076 | 8.09622 | 434.2418 |

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

In presented work, the design data is generated based on the estimated design data which later can be used for the mathematical model formulation for the plant manufacturing Biodiesel. For estimation of the design data, certain assumptions have been made and the plant layout is created in AutoCAD. Based on these assumptions and the layout, different requirements of the plant are identified and accordingly the design of the various equipments and fixtures is carried out for required/desired specifications. Basic design data is estimated for the typical seven capacity values and later the linear interpolation is used to create the data fitting model. This model is then used to generate the intermediate data values of all the inputs and responses for identified different capacity values. The generated data in Table VIII and Table IX can be used to formulate the mathematical model. In future the mathematical model will be formulated based on this generated data. Mathematical formulation can be carried out by using different existing mechanisms and/or techniques. One of the possible ways to develop the mathematical model is through dimensional analysis and multiple regression analysis. This paper provides the new direction of work for the researchers to optimize the design of any plant by generating design data which then be used for the mathematical model.

An opportunity exists for the use of new advanced optimization techniques, for instance, one can go with neural network based approach for the estimation of the cost related parameters or the production capacity related parameters. Other possible approach may include the use of the genetic algorithm by which the mathematical model of the chemical process or the production process can be optimized and other lot more approaches can be possible by optimizing design model, production process, chemical process, cost model, simulation model (chemical process, production process, cost estimation) etc. using classical optimization techniques and non classical optimization techniques.

REFERENCES

- [1] Knothe, G., Gerpen, J.V., and Krahl, J., The Biodiesel Handbook, AOCS press, Champaign, Illinios, 2005.
- [2] Vivek, and Gupta, A.K., "Biodiesel production from Karanja oil," Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research, Vol. 63, Issue 1, 2004, PP. 39-44.
- [3] Amigun, B., Müller-Langer, F., and Von-Blottnitz, H., "Predicting the costs of biodiesel production in Africa: learning from Germany," Energy for Sustainable Development, Vol. 12, Issue 1, 2008, PP. 5-21.
- [4] Skarlis, S., Kondili, E., and Kaldellis, J.K., "Design and feasibility analysis of a new biodiesel plant in Greece," SynEnergy Forum (S.E.F.) International Scientific Conference, May 2008, Spetses, Greece, available at: <u>http://synenergy.teipir.gr/papers/IV_7.pdf</u> (accessed on December 2009)
- [5] Haas, M.J., McAloon, A.J., Yee, W.C., and Foglia, T.A., "A process model to estimate biodiesel production costs," Bioresource Technology, Vol. 97, Issue 4, 2006, PP. 671-678.
- [6] Van-Kasteren, J.M.N., and Nisworo, A.P., "A process model to estimate the cost of industrial scale biodiesel production from waste cooking oil by supercritical transesterification," Resources, Conservation and Recycling, Vol. 50, Issue 4, 2007, PP. 442-458.

- [7] Al-Zuhair, S., Almenhali, A., Hamad, I., Alshehhi, M., Alsuwaidi, N., and Mohamed, S., "Enzymatic production of biodiesel from used/waste vegetable oils: Design of a pilot plant," Renewable Energy: Generation & Application, Vol. 36, Issue 10, 2011, PP. 2605-2614.
- [8] Kapilakarn, K., and Peugtong, A., "A comparison of costs of Biodiesel production from transesterication," International Energy Journal, Vol. 8, Issue 1, 2007, PP. 1-6.
- [9] Marchetti, J.M., and Errazu, A.F., "Technoeconomic study of supercritical biodiesel production plant," Energy Conversion and Management, Vol. 49, Issue 8, 2008, PP. 2160-2164.
- [10] Apostolakou, A.A., Kookos, I.K., Marazioti, C., and Angelopoulos, K.C., "Techno-economic analysis of a biodiesel production process from vegetable oils," Fuel Processing Technology, Vol. 90, Issue 7-8, 2009, PP. 1023-1031.
- [11] Myint, L.L., and El-Halwagi, M.M., "Process analysis and optimization of Biodiesel production from soybean oil," Clean Technology and Environmental Policy, Vol. 11, Issue 3, 2009, PP. 263-276.

 $\otimes \otimes \otimes$