Mississippi State University Scholars Junction

Bulletins

Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES)

5-1-1986

Herbicide screening studies in warm season turfgrasses-1985

G. Euel Coats

Jim W. Scruggs

Doug H. Anderson

David C. Heering

Roni F. Munoz

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins

Recommended Citation

Coats, G. Euel; Scruggs, Jim W.; Anderson, Doug H.; Heering, David C.; and Munoz, Roni F., "Herbicide screening studies in warm season turfgrasses-1985" (1986). *Bulletins*. 482. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins/482

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES) at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.



MAY 21 1986

Mississippi State University

Herbicide Screening Studies in Warm Season Turfgrasses -1985

AFES_

MISSISSIPPI AGRICULTURAL & FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION Donald W. Zacharias, President Richard R. Davis, Acting Vice President Mississ

ATION R. Rodney Foil, Director Mississippi State, MS 39762 Mississippi State University

1/1/1

May 1986

Herbicide Screening Studies in Warm Season Turfgrasses-1985

G. Euel Coats, Associate Professor
Jim W. Scruggs, Graduate Research Assistant
Doug H. Anderson, Graduate Research Assistant
David C. Heering, Graduate Research Assistant
Roni F. Munoz, Graduate Research Assistant

Department of Plant Pathology and Weed Science Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Mississippi State University

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that also may be suitable.

Herbicide Screening Studies in Warm Season Turfgrasses – 1985

Introduction

Numerous herbicides are available for control of grassy and broadleaf weeds in warm season turfgrasses. Preemergence herbicides commonly used in turfgrasses include: Balan[®], Betasan[®], Dacthal[®], Ronstar[®], and Princep[®].

Buctril[®] or Brominal[®], 2,4-D, MCPP (mecoprop), Banvel[®], or dichlorprop-used alone or in various combinations (2,4-D, MCPP, dichlorprop, or Banvel)-are the more important postemergence herbicides for broadleaf weed control in bermudagrass and other warm season turfgrasses.

Postemergence control of grass weeds in bermudagrass and zoysiagrass is achieved with MSMA or other organic arsenical herbicides. Asulox[®] is the only available postemergence herbicide to selectively control grass weeds in St. Augustinegrass. Poast[®] is the only available herbicide for grass weed control in centipedegrass.

These herbicides control many of the weeds in warm season turfgrass if applied at the appropriate rate and time. However, many weeds are tolerant of a particular herbicide, or its use is limited by turfgrass species or cultivar intolerance. Consequently, a need exists to evaluate new chemicals and to look at mixtures or formulations of materials presently available to increase our capability of managing weeds in turfgrasses. The purpose of the research reported here was to evaluate the effectiveness of new chemicals and/or formulations and mixtures of herbicides presently labeled for use in warm season turfgrasses.

Methods and Materials

Tests were initiated in common bermudagrass turf at various locations to evaluate herbicides for a wide range of problems.

(1) Postemergence control of broadleaf weeds in late winter was tested at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center and Eupora Country Club, Eupora, MS.

(2) Preemergence control of crabgrass was evaluated at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center.

(3) Alternatives to Banvel in 2,4-D/Banvel mixture were evaluated for postemergence control of broadleaf weeds in late winter at the Plant Science Research Center.

(4) Tolerance and weed control with BAS 514 (BASF) were tested at the Plant Science Research Center.

(5) Tolerance and weed control studies with Asulox + atrazine in Raleigh St. Augustinegrass were conducted at Pearl River Valley Turf Farm, Wiggins, MS.

(6) Postemergence control of Virginia buttonweed with Super D Trimec[®] and Weedone[®] DPC was evaluated at Live Oaks Country Club, Jackson, MS.

(7) Weed control with Quadmec[®] was studied on the Mississippi State University Golf Course, Starkville, MS.

(8) Weed control and turf tolerance to XE-1019 (Chevron Chem. Co.) were tested on low maintenance turf at the Plant Science Research Center. (9) The effect of Frigate[®] on activity of Roundup[®] for bermudagrass control was measured at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center.

Turfs at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center were maintained as home lawns, grown on a Marietta fine sandy loam soil, mowed weekly at 2-3 inches and fertilized with nitrogen at a rate equivalent to 3-4 pounds per 1,000 square feet annually. The preemergence test was overseeded with smooth crabgrass and goosegrass (2 quarts of each on 18,000 square feet) prior to treatment with herbicides. Infestations of smooth crabgrass mixed with Southern crabgrass in the plot area were extremely heavy, while the goosegrass germination was not sufficient to make control evaluations. The area in which the XE-1019 compound was evaluated was not fertilized during 1985.

Tests at Eupora Country Club were on common bermudagrass maintained as golf course turf on an Oaklimeter silt loam soil, mowed one or two times weekly, and fertilized with 2 pounds active N per thousand square feet annually.

Plot areas at Live Oaks Country Club were on common bermudagrass, golf course rough, mowed weekly at 2 inches and receiving one to 2 pounds active N per thousand square feet.

At Pearl River Valley Turf Farm, Raleigh St. Augustinegrass was grown under sod production conditions, mowed weekly, and fertilized with 6-8 pounds active N per thousand square feet yearly.

Postemergence control of winter

broadleaf weeds was evaluated at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center and Eupora Country Club on natural infestations of weeds. The common bermudagrass was dormant and the plot areas had not been mowed since late the previous fall.

Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet with one exception-the test with XE-1019 was on plots measuring 15 feet by 40 feet. Each plot was replicated four times in a randomized complete block design or a two-way whole split plot design (Banvel alternative study). In this latter test, whole plots were 2,4-D or no 2,4-D and alternative treatments were main plots. Herbicide applications were made with a carbon dioxide powered backpack sprayer in water at 25 gallons per acre (175 gallons per acre of the 10% PRE-M[®] prill formulation in the preemergence test); or the appropriate amount of granular herbicide was mixed with sand (approximately 2 quarts per 50 square feet) and uniformly applied to the plots. Specific treatments are shown in tables in the results section.

Results and Discussion

Winter Postemergence Tests

New herbicides evaluated at the **MAFES** Plant Science Research Center included sulfonated ureas (Ally[®], Classic[®], Glean[®], and Oust[®]), Scepter®, Super Trimec, and CGA-131036 (Ciba-Geigy experimental compound) compared to Trimec[®] (Tables 1 and 2). Broadleaf weed control was generally poor for all herbicides including the herbicide standard, Trimec, which is an amine formulation of a mixture of dimethylamine salts of 2,4-D plus mecoprop plus Banvel. Of the newer compounds, Ally, Classic, Glean, Oust, and Scepter showed potential activity on white clover at the highest rates. The herbicidal

activity of CGA-131036 on white clover was noticeably weaker than that of the other newer materials. Super Trimec (2,4-D isoctyl ester plus dichlorprop butoxyethanol ester plus Banvel acid) gave significantly better control in this test than Trimec. 1

5

ţ

C

Dandelion control was good with all herbicides except Trimec at either rate tested. Also, control of mouseear chickweed was good except with Trimec and the lowest rate of Scepter. Annual fleabane control was poor with all herbicides.

Ally, Glean, Super Trimec, and the higher rates of Scepter appear to have potential use for corn speedwell control based on the response observed in this study. The activity on corn speedwell observed with Scepter, which gave good control at 1.0 pound active ingredient per acre, is inconsistent with observations in other studies with Scepter conducted during the

Table 1.	Evaluation	of	nostemergence	herhicides	for	late	winter weed	control in	hermudagrass	turf
Table 1.	Livaluation	O1	postemergence	nerbiciaes	101	Iau	willer weed	control m	ocimuuagiass	uur.

		% White clover control ³			% Dandelio control ³	n	% Mouseear chickweed control ³			
Herbicide	Rate² lb ai/A	4 WAT	6 WAT	10 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	10 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	10 WAT
CGA-131036	0.022	23	18	0	33	50	68	33	0	70
CGA-131036	0.036	28	35	10	18	75	68	40	15	80
CGA-131036	0.022	38	30	8	25	75	80	43	8	85
+ Trimec	0.375									
Trimec	0.375	25	23	0	10	45	3	0	8	13
Trimec	0.75	25	10	10	15	65	0	30	10	63
Scepter	0.25	18	53	25	23	0	68	40	10	38
Scepter	0.50	28	75	48	33	20	70	38	8	53
Scepter	1.00	23	85	68	30	38	78	48	78	60
S-Trimec	0.75	100	55	78	58	88	83	73	85	90
Glean	0.031	35	55	58	53	23	75	35	85	35
Glean	0.063	35	78	73	45	45	73	38	88	65
Classic	0.031	50	23	63	45	63	58	38	28	68
Classic	0.013	38	23	53	38	73	25	33	33	65
Oust	0.031	38	90	78	43	90	78	58	90	85
Oust	0.063	45	88	78	43	90	78	58	90	85
Ally	0.031	43	78	80	50	90	75	25	83	88
Ally	0.063	38	90	83	48	90	80	50	90	85
Check		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LSD (0.05)		16	38	18	14	31	20	20	28	18
Coefficient of	variability	33	54	30	31	39	24	38	49	20

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. All treatments were applied in combination with a non-ionic surfactant (Ortho X-77 Spreader®) at 0.25% by volume. Herbicide applications were made on March 13, 1985.

 2 Rate for Trimec and Super Trimec is that of the 2,4-D in the three-way mixture.

 3 WAT = Weeks after treatment.

late winter and early spring of 1985. This was the only one of six studies in which corn speedwell was present that any significant control was observed.

Within this group of newer herbicides, the broadest spectrum of broadleaf weed control was generally observed with Super Trimec, which differs from Trimec in two ways. First, the mixture contains dichlorprop instead of mecoprop. Secondly, 2,4-D and dichlorprop are esters and the Banvel is the acid formulation in Super Trimec while all three components of the Trimec mixture are amines. The differences in herbicidal activity of dichlorprop versus mecoprop and the differences in activity of an ester formulation of the two phenoxy materials in the mixture probably accounts for the better weed control observed with Super Trimec.

These materials were evaluated in a duplicate experiment at Eupora Country Club. All herbTable 2. Evaluation of postemergence herbicides for late winter weed control in bermudagrass turf.

	Rate ²	% Annual flea- bane control ³	% Corn speed- well control ³
Herbicide	lb ai/A	4 WAT	6 WAT
CGA-131036	0.022	35	0
CGA-131036	0.036	46	15
CGA-131036	0.022	33	8
+ Trimec	0.375		
Trimec	0.375	25	8
Trimec	0.75	20	10
Scepter	0.25	30	10
Scepter	0.50	28	8
Scepter	1.00	37	78
S-Trimec	0.75	53	85
Glean	0.031	50	85
Glean	0.063	40	88
Classic	0.031	38	28
Classic	0.013	38	33
Oust	0.031	33	23
Oust	0.063	33	90
Ally	0.031	43	83
Ally	0.063	43	90
Check		0	0
LSD (0.05)		16	28
Coefficient of variab	oility	32	49

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. All treatments were applied in combination with a non-ionic surfactant (Ortho X-77 Spreader) at 0.25% by volume. Herbicide applications were made on March 13, 1985.

² Rate for Trimec and Super Trimec is that of the 2,4-D in the three-way mixture.

³ WAT = Weeks after treatment.

	Rate ²	% White clover control ³		% But con	tercup trol³	% Mouseear chick- weed control ³	
Herbicide	lb ai/A	4 WAT	6 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT
CGA-131036	0.022	25	40	28	48	38	58
CGA-131036	0.036	33	45	48	92	48	53
CGA-131036	0.022	18	40	33	55	45	40
+ Trimec	0.375						
Trimec	0.375	10	15	23	48	28	28
Trimec	0.75	15	25	23	58	35	55
Scepter	0.25	18	30	33	63	40	60
Scepter	0.50	18	40	50	78	43	65
Scepter	1.00	30	48	48	78	55	83
S-Trimec	0.75	47	93	50	100	58	95
Glean	0.031	33	58	50	83	50	78
Glean	0.063	28	50	38	85	50	70
Classic	0.031	30	30	55	83	43	63
Classic	0.013	30	45	62	83	40	68
Oust	0.031	35	68	55	90	60	75
Oust	0.063	35	73	73	90	55	85
Ally	0.031	33	63	55	90	63	.78
Ally	0.063	50	73	65	88	60	85
Check		0	0	0	0	0	0
LSD (0.05)		12	16	19	21	16	16
Coefficient of var	iability	30	25	30	20	25	19

Table 3. Evaluation of postemergence herbicides for late winter weed control in bermudagrass turf.

1 Conducted at Eupora Country Club. All treatments were applied in combination with a non-ionic surfactant (Ortho X-77 Spreader) at 0.25% by volume. Herbicide applications were made on March 18, 1985.

² Rate for Trimec and Super Trimec is that of the 2,4-D in the three-way mixture.

³ WAT = Weeks after treatment.

icides performed better at this location than at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center on white clover and mouseear chickweed, the two weed species present in both studies (Table 3). When comparisons were made 6 weeks after treatment, Super Trimec again performed better than Trimec or other herbicides on white clover, mouseear chickweed, and buttercup.

Standard Preemergence Test

A number of herbicides were evaluated at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center (Tables 4, 5, and 6). Note that direct comparisons can be made between the data within rating dates.

Extremely poor control of crabgrass (a mixture of smooth and Southern crabgrasses) was observed with CGA-131036 and with combinations of this experimental compound and Bicep[®] or Aatrex[®] (Table 6). Other compounds in which control was assessed as poor (less than 70%) were Balan, EL 107 (Elanco Products), and Glean.

All formulations and rates of PRE-M, with the exception of the wettable powder (wp) formulation at 2.7 pound active ingredient per acre, gave 70% or greater control of

the crabgrass mixture (Table 5). This level of control with PRE-M was observed for the duration of the study, i.e., 6 months following a single application or 6 months following the initial application of a multiple application treatment. Although not significantly better at the final evaluation, 6 months after the first or initial application control with the 10% prill fertilizer formulation of PRE-M was slightly higher than with either the wettable powder formulation or the water dispersable (WD) formulations. An additional application of 1.5 pounds active ingredient per acre PRE-M 60 or 90 days following

	Formu-	Rate	Applied	% Crabgrass control				
Herbicide	lation	lb ai/A	Date	2 MAIT	3 MAIT	4 MAIT	6 MAIT	
CGA-131036	20 DF	0.022	March	0	0	0	0	
CGA-131036	20 DF	0.036	March	0	8	5	0	
CGA-131036	20 DF	0.054	March	5	0	0	0	
Bicep	4.5 LF	4.0	March	100	98	83	58	
Bicep	4.5 LF	6.0	March	100	88	73	48	
Bicep	4.5 LF	8.0	March	100	100	93	63	
CGA-131036 + Bicep	20 DF 4.5 LF	$\begin{array}{c} 0.022\\ 2.0\end{array}$	March March	78	63	43	25	
CGA-131036 + Aatrex	20 DF 4 LF	$0.022 \\ 2.0$	March March	35	18	15	5	
Glean	75 DF	0.022	March	20	38	3	0	
EL-107	75 DF	0.5	March	18	28	28	5	
EL-107	75 DF	1.0	March	33	70	33	18	
Surflan	4 AS	3.0	March	100	100	98	83	
Surflan + Surflan	4 AS 4 AS	3.0 3.0	March May	100	100	98	85	
Balan	75 DF	3.0	March	20	55	13	0	
Balan XL	2 G	3.0	March	100	100	95	90	
Balan XL + Balan XL	2 G 2 G	3.0 3.0	March May	100	100	93	85	
Ronstar	50 WP	2.0	March	98	100	73	58	
Ronstar	50 WP	4.0	March	100	100	93	75	
Ronstar	50 WP	8.0	March	100	100	100	93	
Ronstar + Buctril	50 WP 2 EC	3.0 1.0	March March	100	100	98	85	
Devrinol	50 WP	4.0	March	95	100	98	68	
Devrinol	50 WP	6.0	March	100	100	98	83	
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of var	riability			22 22	20 17	20 19	22 27	

Table 4. Crabgrass control in common bermudagrass¹.

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on March 13 and 14, 1985 with 60-day applications on May 10, 1985 and 90-day applications on June 6, 1985.

² MAIT = Months after initial treatment.

the initial treatment did not significantly increase crabgrass control (Table 5). Similar observations were made with 60 or 90-day followup applications of Balan XL[®] and Surflan[®] (Table 4).

Combination treatments in which a preemergence herbicide was tank mixed (Dacthal, Devrinol[®], or PRE-M) or applied at the same time as a granular formulation (Ronstar or Balan XL) with the first of a two-application postemergence MSMA treatment in May gave good control of crabgrass (Table 6). Although the combination treatments Balan XL, Devrinol, PRE-M, and Ronstar exhibited slightly higher levels of control, they were not significantly better than the MSMA treatment alone.

Alternative to Banvel

Ally, Classic, Glean, and Scepter were evaluated as alternatives to Banvel in a 2,4-D/Banvel mixture for winter broadleaf weed control at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center. All alternative herbicides were compared to 0.1 pound active ingredient per acre Banvel or 1.0 pound active ingredient per acre 2,4-D amine + 0.1 pound active ingredient per acre Banvel (Table 7). None of the alternative herbicides in combination with 2,4-D gave significantly higher control of white clover, mouseear chickweed, or dandelion than the herbicide without 2,4-D if 40% control was achieved. Ally at 0.063 pounds (1 ounce) active ingredient per acre was consistently the best treatment with 80% or

		Data	Amultad	% Crabgrass control ²					
Herbicide	lation	Rate lb ai/A	Date	2 MAIT	3 MAIT	4 MAIT	6 MAIT		
Ronstar	50 WP	2.0	March	98	100	73	58		
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Water dispersab	le formulation ³			***		
PRE-M	60 WD	2.7	March	98	100	93	70		
PRE-M	60 WD	3.0	March	100	100	90	70		
PRE-M	60 WD	3.3	March	100	100	95	70		
PRE-M	60 WD	3.0	March	100	100	98	73		
+ PRE-M	60 WD	1.5	May						
PRE-M	60 WD	3.0	March	100	100	95	75		
+ PRE-M	60 WD	1.5	June						
			Wettable powde	r formulation ³					
PRE-M	50 WP	2.7	March	98	90	90	55		
PRE-M	50 WP	3.0	March	98	100	98	70		
PRE-M	50 WP	3.3	March	100	100	100	75		
PRE-M	50 WP	3.0	March	100	100	100	85		
+ PRE-M	50 WP	1.5	May						
PRE-M	50 WP	3.0	March	98	100	98	73		
+ PRE-M	50 WP	1.5	June						
******			Fertilizer prill	formulation4					
PRE-M	10 PL	2.7	March	100	100	100	85		
PRE-M	10 PL	3.0	March	100	100	98	88		
PRE-M	10 PL	3.3	March	100	100	9 8	90		
PRE-M	10 PL	3.0	March	100	100	100	90		
+ PRE-M	10 PL	1.5	May						
PRE-M	10 PL	3.0	March	100	100	100	88		
PRE-M	10 PL	1.5	June						
LSD (0.05)				22	20	20	22		
Coefficient of va	riability			22	17	19	27		

Table 5. Crabgrass control with three formulations of PRE-M¹.

1 Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on March 13 and 14, 1985 with 60-day applications on May 10, 1985 and 90-day applications on June 6, 1985.

² MAIT = Months after initial treatment.

³ Applied in water at 25 gallons per acre.

⁴ Applied in water at 175 gallons per acre.

better control of all three weeds being observed.

Evaluation of BAS 514

This experimental compound from BASF Wayndotte was screened for weed control and tolerance of three turfgrasses at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Poast was included as the standard herbicide for comparison on common bermudagrass, Tifway bermudagrass, and common centipedegrass mowed weekly at a 2 to 3-inch height under home lawn conditions.

Significant injury was observed with BAS 514 on common centipedegrass at all rates for 21 days following treatment (Table 8). This injury was evident throughout the 51-day duration of the study except at the 0.5 pound active ingredient per acre rate.

Injury was also observed on both common and Tifway bermudagrasses but the injury, except at 9 days after treatment on the Tifway bermudagrass, was significantly less than that observed with the Poast treatment. Slight injury was observed on common bermudagrass at 15 days after treatment, but was not significant.

Smooth crabgrass control with BAS 514 was equal to that observed with Poast (Table 9). BAS 514 gave 70 to 90% control of smooth crabgrass, and with a few exceptions, of dandelion, slender aster, and white clover when evaluated at 51 or 78 days after the

Table 6. Smooth crabgrass control with preemergence herbicides applied at the time of MSMA treatment in common bermudagrass¹.

		D (A multipal	% Crabgrass control ²				
Herbicide	Formu- lation	Rate lb ai/A	Applied Date	2 MAIT	3 MAIT	4 MAIT	6 MAIT	
Check				0	0	0	0	
Ronstar	50 WP	2.0	March 13	98	100	73	58	
Dacthal + Dacthal	75 WP 75 WP	10.5 7.5	March 13 May 10	85	70	55	20	
Dacthal + MSMA + X-77 + Dacthal	75 WP 6 L 75 WP	10.5 2.0 0.25% 7.5	May 10 May 10 May 17 July 8	100	98	78		
PRE-M + MSMA + X-77 + MSMA + X-77	60 WD 6 L 6 L	3.0 2.0 0.25% 2.0 0.25%	May 10 May 10 May 10 May 17 May 17	100	100	88	-	
Devrinol + MSMA + X-77 + MSMA + X-77	50 WP 6 L 6 L	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.25\% \\ 2.0 \\ 0.25\% \end{array}$	May 10 May 10 May 10 May 17 May 17	100	80	80	-	
Ronstar + MSMA + X-77 + MSMA + X-77	2 G 6 L 6 L	3.0 2.0 0.25% 2.0 0.25%	May 10 May 10 May 10 May 17 May 17	100	100	88	-	
Balan XL + MSMA + X-77 + MSMA + X-77	2 G 6 L 6 L	3.0 2.0 0.25% 2.0 0.25%	May 10 May 10 May 10 May 17 May 17	100	100	90	**	
MSMA + X-77 + MSMA + X-77	6 L 6 L	2.0 0.25% 2.0 0.25%	May 17 May 10 May 10 May 17 May 17	100	100	75	-	
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of va	riability		-	22 22	20 17	20 19	22 27	

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on March 13 and 14, 1985.

² MAIT = Months after initial treatment.

September 4, 1985 application (Table 10).

St. Augustine Tolerance to Atrazine Plus Asulox

Can atrazine for preemergence control be applied at the same time Asulox is applied for postemergence grass weed control? This study was conducted at the Pearl River Valley Turf Farm on July 19, 1985 to answer this question.

No significant injury was observed on Raleigh St. Augustinegrass following Asulox applications of 1.5, 2.0, or 2.5 pounds active ingredient per acre alone or in combination with atrazine at 1.5 pounds active ingredient per acre (Table 11). Broadleaf signalgrass control was increased by the addition of atrazine only when Asulox was used at the 1.5 pounds active ingredient per acre.

Hormone Mixtures for Virginia Buttonweed

All herbicide treatments resulted in 75% or better control at 25 days after treatment (Table 12). However, control had declined at the 39-day rating in all treatments. EH 680 gave the highest level of control throughout the duration of the experiment. No significant bermudagrass injury was observed.

Evaluation of Quadmec for Goosegrass

Quadmec treatment resulted in less than 60% goosegrass control at both rating dates (Table 13). Quadmec is a prepackaged formulation of MSMA + Trimec (2,4-D + mecoprop + Banvel). Injury to common bermudagrass and goosegrass control were both higher than the equivalent tank mixed treatment. However, goosegrass control was considered unacceptable in this study with either the prepackage mix (Quadmec) or the tank mix of Trimec plus MSMA.

Evaluation of XE-1019

This study was located on a three-to-one sloped ditch bank at the MAFES Plant Science Research Center to evaluate the experimental herbicide in low maintenance turf. XE-1019 caused injury and reduced height of both common bermudagrass and tall fescue (Tables 14 and 15). Injury to common bermudagrass was greater than to tall fescue. XE-1019 + MSMA caused greater injury, especially at the earliest rating date (2 weeks after treatment) and the last rating date (11 weeks after treatment). Johnsongrass control was better than dallisgrass control with

Table 7. Winter	broadleaf control	with 2.4-D	combinations	at six	weeks after	r treatment ¹ .
IGOIC II IIIIUI	oroutical control	WINN MUT M	comonidations	CLO GIVE	WOULD GIVE	u caomente.

				% Weed	control ²		
	Rate	White clover		Mou chicl	seear weed	Dandelion	
Treatment	lb ai/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Banvel	0.10	60	68	18	15	0	33
Scepter	0.125	18	28	75	78	0	33
Scepter	0.25	20	10	15	25	0	10
Scepter	0.063	3	0	10	20	0	0
Scepter	0.031	0	0	0	0	0	0
Scepter	0.016	3	5	5	13	20	20
Glean	0.063	70	75	75	80	63	70
Glean	0.031	35	43	48	53	43	43
Glean	0.016	23	43	40	45	83	83
Glean	0.008	23	50	13	35	35	40
Glean	0.004	3	13	18	18	35	35
Classic	0.063	70	75	43	55	53	55
Classic	0.031	43	58	38	25	28	48
Classic	0.016	43	48	5	0	15	30
Classic	0.008	0	0	0	0	0	10
Classic	0.004	0	18	0	0	0	0
Ally	0.063	85	88	88	90	88	90
Ally	0.031	90	90	85	83	60	85
Ally	0.016	83	88	48	80	40	60
Ally	0.008	75	83	53	50	63	73
Ally	0.004	90	90	25	25	43	43
LSD (0.05)		3	31	3	36		28
Coefficient of var	iability	5	4	7	7	2	19

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Two-way whole split plot design.

² With (Yes) or without (No) 1 lb/A 2,4-D.

Table 8.	Turfgrass	tolerance	to	BAS	5141	,
----------	-----------	-----------	----	-----	------	---

				% Turfgr	ass Injury ²	
Turfgrass	Treatment	Rate lb ai/A	9 DAT	15 DAT	21 DAT	51 DAT
Common	BAS 514	0.5	20	20	28	3
centipedegrass	BAS 514	1.0	25	25	40	10
* 0	BAS 514	2.0	35	33	58	25
	Poast	0.5	0	13	0	0
	$+ COC^3$	1.3%				
	LSD (0.5)		15	16	18	8
	Coefficient of var	iability	59	59	46	69
Common	BAS 514	0.5	0	0	0	0
bermudagrass	BAS 514	1.0	3	0	18	0
0	BAS 514	2.0	3	5	25	0
	Poast	0.5	25	10	90	25
	$+ COC^3$	1.3%				
	LSD (0.05)		5	4	4	9
	Coefficient of var	iability	54	86	51	22
Tifway	BAS 514	0.5	0	5	0	0
bermudagrass	BAS 514	1.0	5	20	0	0
0	BAS 514	2.0	10	23	0	0
	Poast	0.5	10	65	23	0
	$+ COC^3$	1.3%				
	LSD (0.5)		8	4	11	3
	Coefficient of of v	variability	99	52	31	50

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated Sept. 4, 1985.

² DAT = Days after treatment.

³ COC = Crop oil concentrate (Booster Plus E^{\circledast}).

		Rate		% Crabgra	uss control ²	
Turfgrass	Treatment	lb ai/A	9 DAT	15 DAT	30 DAT	51 DAT
Common	BAS 514	0.5	45	63	53	53
centipedegrass	BAS 514	1.0	55	68	70	55
	BAS 514	2.0	50	83	85	75
	Poast	0.5	38	70	70	63
	$+ COC^3$	1.3%				
	LSD (0.5)		8	15	3	19
	Coefficient of var	iability	13	17	33	25
Common	BAS 514	0.5	30	53	70	20
bermudagrass	BAS 514	1.0	48	73	70	48
	BAS 514	2.0	60	83	78	68
	Poast	0.5	45	88	65	45
	$+ COC^3$	1.3%				
	LSD (0.5)		9	11	10	13
	Coefficient of var	iability	15	7	7	23
Tifway	BAS 514	0.5	25	50	0	23
bermudagrass	BAS 514	1.0	40	58	20	53
	BAS 514	2.0	48	65	23	65
	Poast	0.5	38	78	23	35
	$+ COC^3$	1.3%				
	LSD (0.5)		10	16	21	NS
	Coefficient of var	iability	22	20	39	183

Table 9. Weed control with BAS 514 in three turfgrasses¹.

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on Sept. 4, 1985.

² DAT = Days after treatment.

³ COC = Crop oil concentrate (Booster Plus E[®]).

			% Weed control ²						
			Slender aster	Dano	White clover				
Turfgrass	Treatment	kate lb ai/A	51 DAT	51 DAT	78 DAT	78 DAT			
Common	BAS 514	0.5		90	85	80			
centipedegrass	BAS 514	1.0		90	90	85			
1 0	BAS 514	2.0		90	90	90			
	Poast + COC ³	0.5 1.3%		28	30	23			
	Check	0		0	18	33			
	LSD (0.5)			22	27	43			
	Coefficient of var	iability		24	28	45			
Common	BAS 514	0.5	85	85	35				
bermudagrass	BAS 514	1.0	98	90	85				
	BAS 514	2.0	100	90	90				
	Poast + COC ³	$0.5 \\ 1.3\%$	0	0	0				
	Check	0	0	0	0				
	LSD (0.5)		7	4	2				
	Coefficient of var	iability	8	5	27				
Tifway	BAS 514	0.5	75	78	50	70			
bermudagrass	BAS 514	1.0	93	90	88	90			
	BAS 514	2.0	93	90	90	90			
	Poast + COC ³	$0.5 \\ 1.3\%$	73	0	8	28			
	Check	0	0	0	13	35			
	LSD (0.5)		15	7	3	4			
	Coefficient of var	iability	16	8	20	44			

Table 10. Broadleaf weed control with BAS 514 in three turfgrasses¹.

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated Sept. 4, 1985.

² DAT = Days after treatment.

³ COC = Crop oil concentrate (Booster Plus $E^{\text{(b)}}$).

		% Co smooth c	ntrol² erabgrass	% Injury-St. ² Augustinegrass		
Treatment	Rate lb ai/A	11 DAT	25 DAT	11 DAT	25 DAT	
Asulox	1.5	80	0	0	0	
Asulox	2.0	83	85	8	0	
Asulox	2.5	78	83	5	0	
Asulox + atrazine	1.5 1.5	90	88	10	0	
Asulox + atrazine	2.0 1.5	78	88	3	0	
Asulox + atrazine	2.5 1.5	90	83	10	0	
LSD (0.5)		17	8	NS	NS	
Coefficient of variability		14	57	146	0	

Table 11. Crabgrass control and Raleigh St. Augustinegrass tolerance to Asuloc and atrazine¹

¹ Conducted at Pearl River Valley Turf Farm. Initiated July 19, 1985.

² DAT = Days after treatment.

Table 12.	Evaluation	of 2,4-D	mixtures for	Virginia	buttonweed	control	in common	bermudagrass ¹ .
-----------	------------	----------	--------------	----------	------------	---------	-----------	-----------------------------

Treatment	Rate ²		% Co Virginia b	ntrol ³ uttonweed	% Injury ³ bermudagrass turf			
	2,4-D lb ai/A	7 DAT	14 DAT	25 DAT	3 9 DAT	14 DAT	25 DAT	39 DAT
EH 6804	0.75	40	70	90	68	10	20	0
EH 7915	0.91	38	63	80	43	10	18	0
EH 5536	1.00	30	55	63	48	10	13	0
EH 6377	0.68	40	70	80	45	10	25	0
DPC ⁸	0.93	33	63	75	48	10	23	0
Check		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LSD (0.05)		4	7	7	3	NS	NS	10
Coefficient of va	riability	9	9	8	48	0	0	41

¹ Conducted Live Oaks Country Club. Initiated Aug. 1, 1985.

² Rate is 2,4-D rate in the mixture (EH series and DPC).

³ DAT = Days after treatment.

⁴ Mixture of 2.0 lb 2,4-D ester + 2.0 lb dichlorprop ester + 0.5 lb Banvel per gallon (Super Trimec).

⁵ Mixture of 2.43 lb 2,4-D amine + 1.21 lb mecoprop amine + 0.24 lb Banvel per gallon.

⁶ Mixture 2.03 lb 2,4-D + 1.08 lb mecoprop amine + 0.21 lb Banvel amine per gallon (Trimec).

⁷ Mixture 3.35 lb 2,4-D amine + 1.13 lb mecoprop amine + 0.14 lb Banvel per gallon.

⁸ Weedone DPC, 1.85 + 1.85 lb/gallon of 2,4-D + dichlorprop.

	Dete 3	No. of Applications	% Turf	injury ²	% Goosegrass control ²		
Treatment	lb ai/A		14 DAIT	42 DAIT	14 DAIT	42 DAIT	
Quadmec + X-77	0.53 0.25%	1	3	20	3	18	
Quadmec + X-77	$0.53 \\ 0.25\%$	2	25	26	40	53	
Trimec + MSMA + X-77	$0.64 \\ 2.00 \\ 0.25\%$	1	8	20	10	18	
Trimec + MSMA + X-77	$0.64 \\ 2.00 \\ 0.25\%$	2	8	33	20	35	
MSMA + X-77	2.00 0.25%	1	0	18	3	10	
MSMA + X-77	2.00 0.25%	2	5	10	13	18	
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of variabi	lity		9 76	22 69	18 83	19 50	

Table 13. Evaluation of Quadmec and Trimec plus MSMA in common bermudagrass turf.

¹ Conducted at Mississippi State Univ. Golf Course. Initiated July 26, 1985 with second of two treatments being made Aug. 2, 1985.

² DAIT = Days after initial treatment.

³ Rate given is the 2,4-D rate in the mixture Quadmec and Trimec.

		Bermudagrass ²									
	Dete		% injury								
Treatment	lb ai/A	2 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	8 WAT	11 WAT	11 WAT				
XE 1019	0.5	8	10	10	15	5	12.8				
XE 1019	1.0	5	15	18	35	25	8.8				
XE 1019	2.0	5	15	33	45	45	5.5				
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$0.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	23	15	25	10	33	7.5				
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	25	20	25	15	43	7.0				
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$2.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	35	28	48	35	75	3.3				
MSMA + X-77	$3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	32	13	3	0	0	16.8				
Check		0	0	0	5	0	11.8				
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of varia	ability	$\frac{12}{48}$	10 48	21 61	11 38	16 39	3.8 28				

Table 14. Evaluation of XE 1019 in low maintenance bermudagrass turf¹.

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on June 25, 1985.

² WAT = Weeks after treatment.

			Tall fescue ²								
				% injury			Height inches 11 WAT				
Treatment	Rate lb ai/A	2 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	8 WAT	11 WAT					
XE 1019	0.5	0	5	5	0	3	19.5				
XE 1019	1.0	0	8	10	0	13	12.3				
XE 1019	2.0	0	10	10	0	23	9.5				
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\% \end{array}$	5	10	8	15	8	20.0				
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	8	10	5	3	10	21.5				
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$2.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	8	10	13	0	23	9.8				
MSMA + X-77	$3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	5	8	5	5	0	25.0				
Check		0	0	0	10	0	20.8				
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of var	riability	6 129	4 41	5 62	NS 297	7 52	$5.1 \\ 20$				

Table 15. Evaluation of XE 1019 in low maintenance bermudagrass turf¹.

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on June 25, 1985.

² 2WAT = Weeks after treatment.

		% Johnsongrass control ²							
Treatment	Rate lb ai/A	2 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	8 WAT	11 WAT			
XE 1019	0.5	10	34	65	30	13			
XE 1019	1.0	1	34	60	25	50			
XE 1019	2.0	14	45	78	50	78			
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$0.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	51	80	83	63	53			
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	42	85	83	38	58			
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	2.0 3.0 0.25%	45	70	90	73	90			
MSMA + X-77	$3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	47	36	73	40	13			
Check		0	13	0	20	0			
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of varia	bility	27 62	28 37	17 17	37 59	36 57			

Table	16.	Evaluation	of 2	XE	1019	in	low	maintenance	bermudagrass	turf ¹ .
-------	-----	-------------------	------	----	------	----	-----	-------------	--------------	---------------------

2

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on June 25, 1985.

² WAT = Weeks after treatment.

			% Dallisgrass control ²						
Treatment	Rate lb ai/A	2 WAT	4 WAT	6 WAT	8 WAT	11 WAT			
XE 1019	0.5	0	0	28	13	33			
XE 1019	1.0	0	0	43	18	75			
XE 1019	2.0	0	0	60	33	88			
XE1019 + MSMA + X-77	$0.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	10	50	50	83	38			
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	5	43	60	90	88			
XE 1019 + MSMA + X-77	$2.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	3	45	83	90	90			
MSMA + X-77	$3.0 \\ 0.25\%$	3	45	45	58	0			
Check		0	0	0	0	0			
LSD (0.05) Coefficient of varia	bility	8 25	5 118	18 26	33 48	26 35			

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on June 25, 1985.

² WAT = Weeks after treatment.

XE-1019 applied with MSMA (Tables 16 and 17). XE-1019 + MSMA gave better control of both johnsongrass and dallisgrass than when XE-1019 was applied alone.

Effect of Frigate on Activity of Roundup

This study was designed to evaluate Frigate as an additive to Roundup for control of common bermudagrass (Table 18). Neither X-77[®] or Frigate at 0.5% by volume increased the control of bermudagrass in this study as compared to Roundup without surfactant added. Eighty percent or higher control of bermudagrass was observed with all treatments containing Roundup. No consistent differences in control of smooth crabgrass or white clover were observed due to additive.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the following chemical companies for

partial financial support of this research: American Cyanamid, Princeton, NJ; BASF, Parsippany, NJ; Chevron Chemical Co., San Francisco, CA; CIBA-Geigy, Greensboro, NC; Elanco Products Co., Indianapolis, IN; ICI Americas, Inc., Wilmington, DE; Lesco, Rocky River, OH; PBI Gordon Corp., Kansas City, KA; Rhone Poulenc, Inc., Monmouth Junction, NJ; SDS Biotech, Painesville, OH; and Stauffer Chemical Co., Westport, CT.

Table 18. Effect of additives on bermudagrass control with Roundup¹.

Roundup Rate lb ai/A			% Bermudag	rass control	3	% Smooth crabgrass control ³		% White clover control ³	
	$\bigcirc 0.5\%^2$	1 WAT	3 WAT	5 WAT	7 WAT	1 WAT	3 WAT	1 WAT	3 WAT
0	None	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	20
4.0	None	35	73	80	90	70	90	44	78
4.0	Frigate	28	68	80	85	48	90	27	78
4.0	X-77	33	58	80	90	55	68	33	65
3.0	None	28	70	80	85	53	90	30	80
3.0	Frigate	23	65	80	85	63	90	37	85
3.0	X-77	25	73	78	83	65	90	43	85
LSD (0.05)		7	9	3	8	11	25	15	10
Coefficient o	f variability	20	11	3	8	15	22	32	9

¹ Conducted at MAFES Plant Science Research Center. Initiated on Aug. 13, 1985.

² Additive concentration by volume in 25 gallons per acre application volume.

³ WAT = Weeks after treatment.