Mississippi State University

Scholars Junction

Bulletins

Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES)

10-1-1914

Corn silage compared with hulls for fattening steers

E. R. Lloyd

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins

Recommended Citation

Lloyd, E. R., "Corn silage compared with hulls for fattening steers" (1914). *Bulletins*. 295. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins/295

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES) at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.

Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station

BULLETIN No. 167.

Corn Silage Compared With Hulls For Fattening Steers

By E. R. LLOYD.
AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE, MISSISSIPPI
October, 1914.

TUCKER PRINTING HOUSE JACKSON MISS

STATION STAFF

G. R. HIGHTOWER	President
E. R. LLOYD	Director and Animal Husbandman
J. R. RICKS	Vice-Director and Agronomist
W. F. HAND	Chemist
W. N. LOGAN	Geologist
J. S. MOORE	Deiry Husbandman
A. B. McKAY	Horticulturist
R. W. HARNED	Entomologist
DANIELS SCOATES	Agricultural Engineer
H. B. BROWN	Botanist
	Veterinarian
C. F. BRISCOE	Bacteriologist
E. C. EWING	
A. SMITH	In Charge of Beef Cattle Work
E. P. CLAYTON	Poultryman
A. G. HALL	Drainage Engineer*
S. S. JERDAN	Assistant Animal Husbandman*
H. F. HANSON	Assistant Animal Husbandman*
J. M. BEAL	Assistant Botanist
C. E. WILSON	Assistant Entomologist
MISS SIDNEY GAY	Stenographer
E. B. FERRIS	Assistant Director, McNeill Station
C. T. AMES	Assistant Director, Holly Springs Station
G. B. WALKER	Assistant Director, Delta Station

^{*}In co-operation with U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Corn Silage Compared With Hulls For Fattening Steers

INTRODUCTION.

The feeding trial reported in this bulletin was conducted during the winter of 1911-12. At that time there were few silos in Mississippi and the principal feed used to fatten cattle was cottonseed meal and hulls. As a rule, the steers raised in the State were of poor quality with Jersey blood predominating. Few steers were finished for market. The bulk of the cattle were grazed, during summer and fall and shipped to market off of grass in October and November. In the short period of three years there have been hundreds of silos built in the State, and silage has, to a great extent, taken the place of cottonseed hulls as a roughage for all kinds of cattle. The cattle tick has been eradicated in about half the State and in a few more years the entire State will be freed of this pest. Nearly every grade herd of beef cattle is now headed by good registered bulls of one of the beef breeds. The raising and feeding of beef cattle has in the past few years developed into a very important industry in the State.

OBJECT OF EXPERIMENT.

The purpose of this work was to obtain additional data on the comparative value of corn silage and cottonseed hulls as a roughage for finishing beef cattle; to compare the cost of gains of steers fed under close confinement with those allowed the run of a paddock; to determine the amount and value of the manure actually saved from steers fed under close confinement and those allowed the run of a paddock.

CATTLE USED AND METHOD OF FEEDING.

For this experiment 24 head of native Mississippi steers were purchased, ranging in age from 4 to 5 years. Most of the steers had one cross of Shorthorn, Hereford, or Angus blood. For convenience of feeding, all of the steers were dehorned in November just before going on feed. This is responsible, in a great measure, for the small gains made by all lots during the feeding period. All of the lots were put on feed December 1 and were fed 142 days. The 24 steers were divided into four lots of 6 each.

Lots 1 and 2 received the same feed throughout the experiment, and the only difference in the two lots was that lot 1 was confined under shelter in an enclosure, 20 x 20 feet, and lot 2 was fed under an open shelter with free access to a paddock containing one-fourth of an acre. The following ration was fed the two lots: cottonseed meal, Johnsongrass hay, corn silage.

Lots 3 and 4 received the same feed, with lot 3 confined under shelter and lot 4 fed under shelter with free access to paddock. The following ration was fed the two lots: cottonseed meal, Johnson-

grass hay, cottonseed hulls.

All of the lots were fed twice each day, at 6 A. M. and 4 P. M., and had free access to water at all times.

HOW THE CATTLE WERE VALUED.

At the close of the experiment the steers were consigned to Stewart, Son and McCormack, National Stock Yards, Illinois. When the steers reached the stock yards, they were divided into lots just as they had been feu, and each lot was classed and valued by Mr. William Fletcher, head buyer for Armour and Company. The four lots were sold to Armour and Company and the Company very kindly furnished

us with killing weights, etc.

The following financial statement should not be taken to represent the actual profits in feeding beef cattle from year to year, but rather the relative profit depending on kind and cost of cattle, feed, and local conditions. A study of the financial statement will reveal the fact that the profits made from feeding these steers were not the results of gains made on feed but of the increased selling price over the purchase price. It will be noted that the gains were small, but the selling price was about double the purchase price.

In the following statement, the manure from each lot was carefully weighed and credited to that lot. In the case of lots 2 and 4, which had the run of paddocks, only the manure dropped under shelter was taken into account, that dropped on the paddock not being considered. The value given the manure was determined by chemical analysis.

05500 11 ...

Lot 1.—Six steers confined under

	silage 25560 lbs. @ \$ 3.00 per ton	\$ 38.34
Amount eaten	hay 4260 lbs. @ 10.00 per ton	
2 22110 Gardin	c. s. meal 5046 lbs. @ 25.00 per ton	63.07
(c. s. mear 5040 ibs. @ 25.00 per ton.	05.07
To cost of feed:	for 6 steers 142 days	\$122.71
To cost of reca.	6 stoom wit 6190 the @ 41/0	279 10
	6 steers, wt. 6180 lbs. @ 4½c	
To labor to feed	6 steers	15.05
	$\%$ on purchase price for $7\frac{1}{2}$ months	
To freight, yard	lage, and commission	21.36
Total		@11Q 92
1 Otal		\$ 11 0.20
By sale of 6 stee	ers, wt. 6522 lbs. @ 8c	\$521.76
By 40784 lbs. m	anure @ \$4.32 per ton	88.10
Total		\$609.86
75 00: 4		
Profit on lot 1 (six steers)	\$161.63
Profit per steer	(manure included)	26.94
Profit per steer	(manure not included)	12.25
Gair	of lot660 lbs.	
	y gain per steer	
	nk in shipping 4.65%	
Cost	per 100 lbs. gain\$18.81	
Per	cent dressed beef 59.32	

Lot 2.—Six steen	rs allowed freedom of paddock.	
	silage 25560 lbs. @ \$ 3.00 per ton	\$ 38.34
Amount eaten	{ hay 4260 lbs. @ 10.00 per ton c. s. meal 5046 lbs. @ 25.00 per ton	21.30
To cost of feed	for 6 steers 142 days	\$122.71
To purchase of	6 6 steers, wt. 6190 lbs. @ 4½c	15.62
To labor to lee	ed 6 steers	10.05
To freight var	dage, and commission	21.36
	ouge, and commission	
	eers, wt. 6799 lbs. @ 8c	
By 21063 lbs.	manure @ \$4.32 per ton	45.50
Profit on lot 2	(six steers)	\$140.72
Profit per stee:	r (manure included)	23.45
Profit per stee	r (manure not included)	15.87
Ga	in of lot940 lbs.	
Da	ily gain per steer	
Sni	rink in shipping	
Pet	cent dressed beef	
1 (1	Cent dressed been sold sold sold sold sold sold sold sold	
	s confined under shelter. { hulls17040 lbs. @ \$ 6.00 per ton } hay	\$ 51.12 21.30 63.07
	for 6 steers 142 days	
To purchase of	f 6 steers, wt. 6185 lbs. @ $4\frac{1}{2}$ c	278.35
To labor to fee	ed 6 steers	15.63
To interest @	6% on purchase price for $7\frac{1}{2}$ months	10.43
To freight, yar	dage and commission.	21.36
Total		\$461.24
By sale of 6 st	eers, wt. 6762 lbs. @ 8½c	\$574.77
	manure @ \$3.41 per ton	
	(six steers)	
Profit per stee:	r (manure included)	31.49
From per stee:	r (manure not included)965 lbs.	18.92
Da.	ily gain per steer	
	$\frac{1.10 \text{ lbs.}}{1.10 \text{ lbs.}}$	
Cos	st per 100 lbs. gain\$14.06	
Per	cent dressed beef	

Lot 4.—Six steers allowed freedom of paddock.	
(hulls	\$ 51.12
Amount eaten { hav	21.30
(c. s. meal 5046 lbs. @ 25.00 per tor	63.07
	@10° 40
To cost of feed for 6 steers 142 days.	\$135.49
To purchase of 6 steers, wt. 6230 lbs. @ 4½c	
To labor to feed 6 steers.	15.03
To interest @ 6% on purchase price for 7½ months	
To freight, yardage, and commission	
Total	\$463.26
By sale of 6 steers, wt. 7008 lbs. @ $8\frac{1}{2}$ c	\$505.68
By 22281 lbs. manure @ \$3.41 per ton	
Dy 22201 105, παπατε (ω) ψ0.11 per τοπ	
Total	\$633.56
Profit on lot 4 (six steers)	
Profit per steer (manure included)	28.38
Profit per steer (manure not included).	22.07
Gain of lot	
Daily gain per steer	
Shrink in shipping 5.42%	
Cost per 100 lbs. of gain	
Per cent of dressed beef 59.01	
WATER OF THE TRANSPE	

VALUE OF THE MANURE.

When the manure was being hauled out to spread on the land, samples were taken from the different lots for analysis. The manure from the silage and hull lots analyzed as follows:

	Manure from lots fed silage and meal.	Manure from lots fed hulls and meal.
Moisture	74.78%	78.50%
Nitrogen	0.69%	0.57%
Potash	0.76%	0.61%
Phosphoric Acid	0.63%	0.38%

The analyses show the manure from lots fed corn silage and cotton-seed meal to be worth \$4.32 per ton, and that from lots fed hulls and cottonseed meal to be worth \$3.41 per ton. In the field tests with manure from the different lots, 10 tons per acre were applied broadcast, in every case. Where manure from the lots fed silage and cotton-seed meal was applied to land on which corn was grown for silage, the increased yield from the manured plot over the check plot was 4.88 tons per acre. Where manure from lots fed hulls and cottonseed meal was applied, the increased yield from the manured plot over the check plot was 4.58 tons per acre. Where the corn was allowed to ripen and was harvested for grain, the increased yield from the manured plot over the check plot was 10.9 bushels per acre. Where cotton was the crop used, the increased yield from the manured plot over the check plot was 363 pounds of seed cotton per acre.

In addition to the four lots of steers containing one cross of beef blood, another lot of 24 grade Jersey steers, which contained apparently no blood of any of the strictly beef breeds, were selected and divided into two lots of 12 animals each. The steers were from 4 to 5 years old, and were dehorned just before going on feed. In this experiment, no account was taken of the manure produced. One lot received a ration of silage and cottonseed meal and the other lot, hulls and cottonseed meal. No hay was fed in either case.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT.

L

ot 1.—Twelve steers.	
Amount eaten { silage65184 lbs. @ \$ 3.00 per ton c. s. meal10092 lbs. @ 25.00 per ton	.\$ 97.76
Amount eaten {	
(c. s. meal10092 lbs. @ 25.00 per ton	126.15
TD	#222 O1
To cost of feed for 12 steers 142 days	\$223.91
To purchase of 12 steers, wt. 12530 lbs. @ 4c	501.20
To labor to feed steers.	
To interest @ 6% on purchase price for 7½ months	18.75
To freight, yardage, and commission	42.72
Total	\$817.83
By sale of 12 steers, wt. 13760 lbs. @ \$7.55	\$1038.88
Profit on lot 1 (12 steers)	221.05
Profit per steer.	18.42
•	
Gain of lot	

Gain of lot.	1710 lbs.
Daily gain per steer	1 lb.
Shrink in shipping	3.23 %
Cost per 100 lbs. of gain.	\$13.14
Per cent of dressed beef	58.05

8 CORN SILAGE COMPARED WITH HULLS FOR FA
--

Lot 2.—Twelve st Amount eaten	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	\$125.00 <u>126.15</u>
To purchase of	for 12 steers for 142 days	490.40
Total		\$833.96
Profit on lot 2 Profit per steer. Gair Dai Shri Cos	teers, wt. 13780 lbs. @ \$7.75 (12 steers)	