Mississippi State University Scholars Junction

Bulletins

Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES)

12-1-1911

Recent cotton experiements.

W. F. Hand

I. D. Sessums

H. S. Chilton

E. C. McInnis

M. F. Cogion

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins

Recommended Citation

Hand, W. F.; Sessums, I. D.; Chilton, H. S.; McInnis, E. C.; Cogion, M. F.; McGeorge, H. L.; Geiger, M.; Montague, H. S.; Graham, J. T. T.; Yankey, L. G.; McFarland, J. C.; Chisolm, F. N.; Walker, G. B.; and Gay, Mary, "Recent cotton experiements." (1911). *Bulletins*. 677. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/mafes-bulletins/677

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station (MAFES) at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.

Authors

W. F. Hand, I. D. Sessums, H. S. Chilton, E. C. McInnis, M. F. Cogion, H. L. McGeorge, M. Geiger, H. S. Montague, J. T. Graham T., L. G. Yankey, J. C. McFarland, F. N. Chisolm, G. B. Walker, and Mary Gay

Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station Agricultural College, Mississippi.

BULLETIN No. 155.

RECENT COTTON EXPERIMENTS



AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE, MISSISSIPPI.

December, 1911.

TUCKER PRINTING HOUSE, JACKSON, MISS.

STATION STAFF.

J. C. HARDY	President
J. W. FOX	Director and Agronomist
E. R. LLOYD	Vice-Director and Animal Husbandman
W. F. HAND	Chemist
W. N. LOGAN	Geologist
J. S. MOORE	Dairy Husbandman
A. B. McKAY	Horticulturist
R. W. HARNED	Entomologist
DANIELS SCOATES	Agricultural Engineer
WM. F. KIRKPATRICK	Poultry Husbandman
H. B. BROWN	Botanist
E. M. RANCK	Veterinarian
E. C. EWING	Cotton Breeding
J. R. RICKS	Assistant Agronomist
S. F. BLUMENFELD	Assistant Entomologist
B. W. ANSPON	Assistant Horticulturist
R. N. LOBDELL	Assistant Entomologist
MISS SIDNEY GAY	Stenographer
E. B. FERRIS	Assistant Director McNeill Station
C. T. AMESA	ssistant Director Holly Springs Station
G. B. WALKER	Assistant Director Delta Station

e

RECENT COTTON EXPERIMENTS*

Introduction.—This bulletin is a report on experiments recently conducted with cotton at the four Mississippi Experiment Stations. These experiment stations are the Central Station at the A. and M. College, the McNeill Branch Station at McNeill, the Holly Springs Branch Station at Holly Springs, and the Delta Branch Station at Stoneville. Some of the experiments have been conducted along the same lines at each of the four stations, but the experiments at the different stations are reported separately in this bulletin.

Different soil conditions and, to some extent, different climatic conditions prevail at the different stations and while the bulletin as a whole should be of interest to all cotton growers of the state, they should pay particular attention to the results from the stations where conditions are most similar to their own. For instance, it would be very incorrect to assume that the negative results usually secured from the use of commercial fertilizers at the station at the A. and M. College will apply to all sections of the state. The report consists mainly of tables which speak for themselves and readers can draw their own conclusions as to the application of the results to their own conditions.

Other cotton experiments are in progress at the experiment stations but these are not yet sufficiently advanced for their results to be published.

^{*} The issue of this bulletin has been delayed on account of the burning of the printing establishment. It should have been out about two months ago and the Experiment Station regrets the delay.

RESULTS FROM THE CENTRAL EXPERIMENT STATION.

By J. W. Fox, J. R. RICKS, and E. C. EWING.

The cotton experiments reported here were variety tests, fertilizer experiments, topping experiments, and distance experiments. To aid the reader in understanding the results, the report of the weather at this station for 1910 and 1911 is also given.

	1910.				1911.			
Months.	Minimum.	Average Minimum.	Maximum.	Average Maximum.	Minimum.	Average Minimum.	Maximum.	Average Maximum.
January	15	34.8	70	57.6	7	40	78	60.8
February	13	33	70	56	20	45	82	64.7
March	30	48.9	92	77.6	28	45.6	89	71.2
April	31	48.5	88	76.9	38	52.4	87	70.4
May	43	51.7	92	85.8	43	59.9	100	86.9
June	56	63.2	95	87.3	64	68.9	104	90.4
July	62	68.3	95	89	57	68	97	88.1
August	62	67.5	96	92.6	62	68.8	98	89
September	53	62.9	98	90.7	64	66.2	100	92.2
October	26	53.9	94	81.1	34	53	97	78
November	29	41	75	65.2	17	37.3	84	63.4
December	20	33	72	51.9	24	38.7	75	58

Table 1---Temperature, 1910 and 1911.

	TOTAL RAIN	FALL, Inches.	Number of which rai	Days on n fell.			
	1910.	1911.	1910.	1911.			
January	4.07	5.47	6	10			
February	3.80	3.75	9	8			
March	. 93	1.45	2	5			
April	3.02	10.07	6	12			
May	2.62	1.92	5	3			
June	4.49	5.88	12	12			
July	8.67	6.24	14	18			
August	1.42	5.10	6	18			
September	.85	.20	2	6			
October	2.76	2.18	5	7			
November	1.93	4.28	5	12			
December	3.00	11.83	10	18			
TOTAL	37.56	58.37	82	129			

Table 2---Rainfall, 1910 and 1911.

Variety Test.

All varieties were planted April 24th and 25th and directly thereafter a heavy rain fell, which packed the soil. Cool weather followed and delayed germination for so long that a hard crust formed on the soil before many of the seeds sprouted. After this crust formed, very few of the young plants could break through. As a result, poor stands were secured of those varieties which were slowest to germinate. The stands of four varieties were so poor that it was thought best to replant them. The Cleveland's Cleveland, Station Cleveland, Sproull, and Columbia were accordingly replanted three weeks after the first planting. This replanting placed these varieties at a great disad- vantage as the replanted rows between the older rows never had a fair opportunity to develop. As these replanted varieties were later in maturing than the others, they were not picked with them at the first picking.

The relative way in which the varieties came up is indicated in a column in the table. It is noticeable that the varieties which germinated most poorly and had to be replanted are varieties with large seeds while those whose germination was best are small seeded varieties. Quick germination and a good stand are of considerable importance in growing early crops of cotton. In this experiment poor stands were made good in those varieties that did not have to be entirely replanted by replanting the skips with hoes.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
345 388 288 3

Table 3----Variety Test, 1911.

a Originator of the variety. x As these varieties had to be replanted they were three weeks later than the others, and they were not picked when the others were first picked.

TYPE OF STALK OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES.

The following is a condensed description of the type of plant of each of the different varieties and of the character of the foliage.

Lone Star.—Plant medium sized and stocky, leaves large, foliage dense on rich land, bolls quite storm proof.

Triumph.—The type of plant is very similar to the above and the same description will apply here for all practical purposes.

Cleveland, or Cleveland Big Boll.—Plant large and vigorous, some plants of semi-cluster type, foliage medium to dense, bolls not storm proof.

Cook, or *Cook's Improved.*—Plant large and vigorous, foliage medium dense, cotton falls out of bolls badly.

Cook, from Experiment Station.—Plant smaller than the original variety, being of medium size and having been selected very closely to type, foliage medium dense, cotton falls out of bolls badly.

Trice.—Plant small to medium in size but grows rapidly early in the season. The variety is somewhat mixed, many plants of the semi-cluster type with short joints, fruits rapidly, foliage light, bolls quite storm proof.

Rowden 116.—Plant large and vigorous, foliage medium dense, bolls quite storm proof, distinct from original variety called Rowden.

Sproull.—Plant medium sized and very stocky, leaves very large, foliage dense, bolls storm proof.

Broadwell, or Broadwell's Double Jointed.—Plant small and slender, foliage light, cotton falls out of bolls.

King.—Broadwell identical, or practically identical, with King and above remarks describe this variety.

Simpkins.—Practically identical with King except more uniform, all of the plants being more nearly of one type.

Truitt's Ninety Day.—Very similar to King but plants of slightly larger size.

Money Maker.—Plants small, of the Peterkin type, foliage light, plants very uniform.

Rublee.—Plants usually of the semi-cluster type, rather tall and slender with short joints, foliage light, fairly storm proof.

Covington-Toole.—Plants small, of the Peterkin type, foliage light, plants very uniform.

Dixie.—Plants medium to large, similar to Peterkin type, foliage medium dense. This is the wilt resistant variety distributed by the U. S. Department of Agriculture.

World's Wonder.-Plants medium to large, usually of semi-cluster type, foliage medium dense.

Columbia.—Plants medium sized and stocky, foliage dense, bolls storm proof.

Sunflower.-Plants tall and slender, foliage medium dense.

The following is a list of the names of parties from whom seed of the varieties grown at the College Station were obtained and their addresses:

Lone Star-U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. Mebane's Triumph-A. D. Mebane, Lockhart, Tex. Wade's Triumph-Jas. A. Wade, Alexander City, Ala. Cleveland's Cleveland-I. R. Cleveland, Decatur, Miss. Station Cleveland.-Mississippi Experiment Station. Cook's Cook-J. R. Cook, Ellaville, Ga. Station Cook-Mississippi Experiment Station. Trice-West Tenn. Experiment Station, Jackson, Tenn. Rowden 116-A. M. Ferguson & Co., Sherman, Tex. Sproull-G. F. Sproull, Raines, Tenn. Broadwell-John B. Broadwell, Alpharetta, Ga. King-Otto Schwill and Co., Memphis, Tenn. Simpkins-W. A. Simpkins, Raleigh, N. C. Truitt's Ninety Day-J. G. Truitt, LaGrange, Ga. Money Maker-Alexander Seed Co., Augusta, Ga. Rublee-C. A. Rublee, Seagoville, Tex. Covington-Toole-W. F. Covington, Headland, Ala. Dixie-U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. World's Wonder-J. E. Evans, Muldon, Miss. Columbia-U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C. Sunflower-Marx Schaefer, Yazoo City, Miss.

No. bolls required to yield I Ib. of cotton.	$\begin{smallmatrix} 5.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0$
Rank in money value.	$\begin{smallmatrix} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
Total value per acre.	\$ 128.31 125.44 125.44 125.44 122.64 115.99 115.99 115.99 115.99 115.99 112.164 110.64 110.64 110.64 110.64 101.33 99.82 99.86 99.82 99.82 99.86 99.86 99.82 99.82 99.82 99.82 99.86 99.86 99.82 99.86 99.86 90.86 86.93 86.93 86.93 86.93 86.93 86.93 87.57 86.93 87.57 86.93 87.57 86.93 87.57 86.93 87.57 87.
Value of seed per acre, at \$25.00 per ton.	$\begin{array}{c} \$ 6.5 \\ \$ 6.5 \\ 15.0 \\ $
Value of lint per acre.	 112.00 112.00 109.76 1008.65 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 101.50 102.66 103.66 103.60 103.
Value of lint per pound.	$ \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{cts} \\ \operatorname{cts} \\ 114 $
Length of Staple.	inches $7.8^{3,4}$ 1 $1.16^{3,4}$ 1
Total weight of seed per acre in pounds.	$\begin{array}{c} 1305\\ 1254\\ 1254\\ 1254\\ 1125\\ 11159\\ 11159\\ 11159\\ 11159\\ 11155\\ 11125\\ 11255\\ 11255\\ 11256\\ 11255\\ 11256\\ 11256\\ 11256\\ 11256\\ 11256\\ 12256\\ $
Total weight lint per acre in pounds.	$\begin{array}{c} 8800\\ 8800\\ 7724\\ 7726\\ 657\\ 657\\ 657\\ 657\\ 657\\ 657\\ 657\\ 65$
Percentage of lint.	$\begin{array}{c} 338\\ 338\\ 538\\ 538\\ 538\\ 558\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56\\ 56$
Total yield seed cotton per acre in pounds.	$\begin{array}{c} 2105\\ 2105\\ 2039\\ 1811\\ 1848\\ 1884\\ 1884\\ 1884\\ 1887\\ 1888\\$
Weight seed cotton per acre, 3rd picking, in Ibs.	$\begin{array}{c} 88\\ 88\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102$
Weight seed cotton per acre, 2d picking, in Ibs.	$ \begin{array}{c} 10003\\ 11100\\ 11173\\ 11173\\ 11173\\ 11173\\ 11173\\ 11156\\ 1$
Weight seed cotton per acre, 1st picking, in 1bs.	$\begin{array}{c} 924\\ 520\\ 550\\ 550\\ 652\\ 652\\ 559\\ 652\\ 553\\ 553\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 763\\ 552\\ 1019\\ 902\\ 902\\ 902\\ 902\\ 572\\ 572\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697\\ 697$
NAME OF VARIETIES.	Cook's Improved No. 9 Cook's Improved No. 8 Cook's Improved from J. R. Cook Triumph, from A. D. Mebane Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Covington-Toole Cleveland No. 1 Cleveland No. 1 Cleveland No. 1 Cleveland No. 2 Cleveland No. 3 Cleveland No. 4 Cleveland No. 4 Cleveland No. 4 Cleveland No. 4 Clevela

Table 4----Variety Test, 1910.

9

RESULTS WITH FERTILIZERS.

Table 5---Fertilizer Tests---Five Years.

Plot No.	Fertilizer per Acre.	Yield Seed Cotton, 1907.	Yield Seed Cotton, 1908.	Yield Seed Cotton, 1909.	Yield Seed Cotton, 1910.	Yield Seed Cotton, 1911.	Average To- tal Yield of Seed Cot.	Seed Cotton Percentage of Lint.
1	Kainit, 288 lbs	^{lbs.} 1468	lbs. 1940	lbs. 1744	lbs. 1352	tbs. 904	^l bs. 1481	38%
2	Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	1540	1688	1352	1656	1120	1471	38%
3	CS. Meal, 288 lbs	1268	1376	832	1232	1016	1145	38%
4	No treatment	1280	1384	1240	1192	936	1206	38%
5	Manure, 4 tons, Lime, 800 lbs	1528	2432	1744	1528	1496	1746	38%
6	CS. Meal, 288 lbs., Kainit, 288 lbs	1664	1912	1616	1536	984	1342	38%
7	CS. Meal, 288 lbs., Kainit, 288 lbs., Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	1760	1872	1592	1464	1064	1550	38%
8	Manure, 8 tons	1736	2352	2440	1856	2152	2107	38%
9	Manure, 4 tons, Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	1616	2032	1792	1592	1888	1784	38%
10	Manure, 4 tons Kainit, 288 lbs	1440	2096	1680	1496	1536	1649	38%
11	Kainit, 288 lbs. Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	1296	1680	1624	1264	864	1346	38%
12	CS. Meal, 288 fbs., Acid Phosphate, 288 fbs	1040	1382	1040	1048	1000	1102	38%

Plot No.	Fertilizer per Plot.	1st picking, fbs. seed cotton per acre.	2nd picking., lbs. seed cotton per acre.	3rd picking, lbs. seed cotton per acre.	4th picking, lbs. seed cotton per acre.	Total Yield, lbs. seed cotton per acre.
1	Kainit, 288 lbs	210	328	320	56	904
2	Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	352	336	360	72	1120
3	CS. Meal, 288 lbs	192	312	416	96	1016
4	No treatment	184	248	408	96	936
5	Manure, 4 tons, Lime, 800 lbs	336	456	608	96	1496
6	CS. Meal, 288 fbs., Kainit, 288 fbs	256	384	288	56	984
7	CS. Meal, 288 lbs., Kainit, 288 lbs., Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	280	424	312	48	1064
8	Manure, 8 tons	608	760	688	96	2152
9	Manure, 4 tons, Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	584	696	512	96	1888
10	Manure, 4 tons, Kainit, 288 lbs	416	608	400	112	1536
11	Kainit, 288 lbs., Acid Phosphate, 288 lbs	328	328	168	40	864
12	CS. Meal, 288 fbs. Acid Phosphate, 288 fbs	512	296	184	8	1000

Table 6---Relative Earliness from Fertilizer Plots as Shown by the Different Pickings, 1911.

Table 7---Manure and Kainit to Prevent Rust, 1911.

Plot.	1st picking, 1bs. seed cotton per acre.	2nd picking, lbs. seed cotton per acre.	3rd picking, Ibs. seed cotton per acre.	4th picking, Ibs. seed cotton per acre.	Total Yield, lbs. seed cotton per acre.
Manure, 10 tons per acre	693	1026	458	90	2267
Kainit, 400 lbs. per acre	403	845	377	90	1715
No treatment	661	539	200	74	1474

Plot.		2nd Picking Ibs. seed cot- ton per acre.	Total Yield, lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.
Kainit, 200 lbs. per acre	722	1266	1989
No treatment	1178	722	1900

Results from Kainit in 1910.

The application of from 200 to 400 pounds of potash to land on which cotton rusts badly is usually profitable as indicated in the first part of table 7. We do not get profitable results from potash used here on soils where cotton does not rust. This was true of the plots represented in the second part of table 7.

Table 8---Topping Cotton. Results in 1911.

Plot.	lbs. seed cot-	2nd picking Ibs. seed cot- ton per acre.	lbs. seed cot-	lbs. seed cot-
Topped July 15th	995	825	181	1931
Topped August 1st	675	919	194	1788
Not topped	744	850	162	1756

Results in 1910.

PLOT.	lbs. seed cot-	2nd picking fbs. seed cot- ton per acre.	Total Yield lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.
Topped July 23rd	1479	187	1666
Topped August 5th	1567	185	1752
Not topped	1593	228	1821

Results in 1909.

Plot.	lbs. seed cot-	lbs. seed cot-	3rd picking lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.	lbs. seed cot-
Topped August 1st	888	316	376	1580
Not topped	792	256	416	1464

Results in 1907.

PLOT.	Yield per acre seed cotton.
Topped August 3rd	1808 lbs.
Not topped	1575 fbs.
Topped August 26th	1780 fbs.
Not topped	1821 lbs.

DISTANCE EXPERIMENTS.

Table 9---Width of Rows.

Results in 1911.

Рьот.	Average height of stalks.	1st picking lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.	2nd picking lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.	Total yield lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.
5 ft. rows	4½ ft.	922	309	1231
$4\frac{1}{2}$ ft. rows <i>a</i>	4½ ft.	907	439	1346
4 ft. rows <i>a</i>	4½ ft.	911	413	1324
3½ ft. rows	4½ ft.	1063	425	1488
3 ft. rows	4½ ft.	1100	526	1626

a The 4 and $4\frac{1}{2}$ ft. row plots were slightly damaged by wilt.

Results in 1909 and 1910.

Plot.	Yield of seed cotton per acre. 1909.	Yield of seed cotton per acre. 1910.
6 ft. rows	1930 lbs.	1661 lbs.
5 ft. rows	2049 lbs.	2087 lbs.
4 ft. rows	2175 fbs.	

In the above the plants stood at an average of fifteen inches in the drill.

Table 10---Distances in the Drill for 1910 and 1911.

		1910.			19	11.	
Plot.	1st picking, lbs. seed cot. per acre.	2nd picking, lhs. seed cot. per acre.	Total Yield seed cotton, lbs. per acre.	1st picking, lbs. seed cot. per acre.	2nd picking, lbs. seed cot. per acre.	3rd picking, lbs. seed cot. per acre.	Total Yield, lhs. seed cot. per acre.
12 inches	1796	566	2362	812	583	73	1468
20 inches	1454	621	2075	771	581	62	1414
30 inches	1202	666	1868	698	554	48	1300

In the above the rows were three feet and eight inches apart.

Plot.	Dates of the poisoning.	lbs. seed cot-	2nd picking lbs. seed cot- ton per acre.	lbs. seed cot-
Poisoned	1. Aug. 20. 2. Aug. 30.	644	770	1414
Not poisoned		531	236	767

Table 11---Results from Poisoning for Cotton Army Worm.

The above results show that it is good practice to control the army worm by poisoning. Our results this season indicate that Paris green is more effective for this purpose than arsenate of lead. One pound of the former will be sufficient for one acre for each application. It will require from 4 to 5 pounds of the latter.

RESULTS FROM THE McNEILL EXPERIMENT STATION.

By E. B. FERRIS.

NATURAL CONDITIONS AT MCNEILL.

When this station was first located at McNeill the country was new; little cotton had been grown in the immediate vicinity and few of the fungous diseases common to the plant were here. For the first few years yields of cotton were fairly good, but, later, anthracnose, or pink boll rot, became very troublesome and sometimes apparently reduced the yield of cotton fully 50 per cent. The heavy summer rainfall here contributed greatly to the spread of this trouble as well as to any trouble of like character, and this with the natural tendency of the plant to shed its forms on account of daily rains, made cotton growing here rather unsatisfactory even before the appearance of the boll weevil, and with this pest the frequent showers of June, July, August and September will make the growing of cotton almost an economic impossibility so long as the weevils remain as plentiful as they have been for the past two years.

The rainfall in this section of the state, so near the Gulf Coast, is said to be the heaviest of that in any portion of the United States except a strip along the coast of Northwest Washington. And it is not altogether the total rainfall here that counts for so much in the control of the weevil and fungous diseases, as it is the frequency of the showers, many of these amounting to almost nothing in inches, but coming almost daily, contribute to the reproduction of the weevil and the spread of fungous growths of every kind.

This station has kept daily weather records since it was established and the following table gives a summary of weather conditions since 1905:

Ξ
1
÷
E
Ra
ഷ
and
Ĉ
ġ.
0
5
3
Temperature
2
<u>ت</u>
ā
E
9
ູວ
H
·
**
t
ō
ā
ଁ
Report
5
`
Ē
Ŧ
eather
. •
₽
-
- i -
1
Ż
1
ె
5
Table
1
-

			0	2	×	5	×	1-1
,	Rainfall.	.47	.20	.52	.58	9081.15	0.	.27
	Total	2585.	53	. 65 66 .	. 1966.	81	49	69
	Rainfall.	25	38	65	19	90	12	08
Dec.	tt-3-:- C		2.	6.			2.	6.
Ď	.muminiM	25	26	27	28	20	23	26
	.mumixeM	69	80	74	80	75	72	74
	Rainfall.	44	19	61	4682301.1580281	01	.8772232.1249.08	38
Nov.		<u></u>	<u></u>	.9	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		
Z	Minimum.	36	27	$\frac{58}{28}$	30	31	32	23
	.mumixeM	62	84	81	82	84	82	81
October	Rainfall.	5.5493593.3486398.0379363.4469257	.7884271.1980262.3853.	.3281286.6174276.	.46	7.4395478.4994393.7684311.0175207	3.0696563.1196304.1782321	6.5798688.1493394.1881233.3874266.0869.
tol	.muminiM	6	8		9	6	04	6
Oct	.mumixeM	03	8538	03	43	43	-0-	33
-	- unuivoj/	8	<u></u>	-6-9	18	-6-6	19	40
Sept.	.lleinisA	3.3		976610.4896606.0690381	7.4795489.418436	8.4	3.1	8.1
Sej	Minimum.	59	65	60	48	47	56	68
	.mumixeM	93	95	96	95	95	96	$\frac{98}{8}$
		54	33	18	17	13	90	24
August	Rainfall.	5	3.839565	0.4	2.1	2.2	3.0	.9
ngı	.muminiM	-1		61				
Αu		9467	00	2	9664	36	2	97 68
	.mumixeM		10			10	6	6
		50	80	11	32	74	76	94
Ŋ	Rainfall.	8.50	5.8010068	6.11	7.87966612.32	8.7410369	4.57946010.09966610.769770	5.9693628.94
July	.muminiM				61		61	10
<u> </u>		16	56		99	76	-99	30
	.mumixeM	5.559763	5.479568	.71 98 68	6	-6-	6	<u>3</u>
	.llsinisA	.5	4	1	ία.	.45	ö	6
June		5	5	ي.	2	10	10	5
Ju	.muminiM	34	34	54	31	36	30	37
-	Maximum.	8	96	35	35	10	4	<u>-6</u>
		369864	33	86 95 54	-95	ő	21	10
v	Rainfall.		3.029964	.6	8.769561	6.25884512.20976610.489761		.089853 2.919967
May						<u></u>		
4	.muminiM	57	4	46	<u></u>	4	42 94 54	<u></u>
	.mumixeM		6	61	6	8	6	<u> </u>
	Rainfall.	.59	1.969445	6.99914910.	3.989439	25	42	80
April	1 Hotaio a		Ξ.	6.	ŝ	.9	·	
Ap	.muminiM	<u> </u>	38	0	8	6	-9	1
	.mumixeM	9.67933811	612	1.228530	3.008948	6.508739	978736	5.51854711
		1 2	1	28	08	08	128	18
ch	Rainfall.	.6	.5	5.	0.		6.	.0
March			28 10.57					1
Z	.muminiM	40	28	041	38	434	830	037
	Maximum.		79	90	Ĭ	84		
ry	Rainfall.	43	51	35	92	91	13	32
February		138.43	1906 75 25 3 . 46 70 29 4 . 51	$.1678306.\overline{35}9$	4.	1909 78 23 2 . 48 78 23 5 . 91	5.	1911 81 15 4.20 80 25 2.329
sbr	.muminiM		29	30	27	23	23	25
	Maximum.		20	78	75	78	75	80
	1	17	46	16	05	48	81	20
lar	Rainfall.		 	1.	6.1	2	2.	4
anuary	.muminiM	167.77	25	28	25	23	22	15
Ja	Maximum.		75	30	207	18	74	31
)5	96	1907 80 28 1	8	6	0	Ξ
	Year.	1905	19(19(1908 76 25 6 . 05 75 27 4 . 92 9	19(191074222.8175235.138	19

VARIETY TEST.

In January, 1911, 2.4 acres of land were selected for conducting tests with varieties of cotton. About half of this land had grown cotton the year before, while the other half had grown corn and velvet beans. The half heretofore planted to cotton was naturally much more productive soil than the half previosuly planted to corn and yelvet beans, but was more on the order of bottom land and not so perfectly drained. All this land was laid off in rows four and one-half feet apart in January and bedded. In March it was fertilized with a mixture of acid phosphate and sulphate of ammonia at the rate of 400 pounds of acid phosphate and 66 pounds of sulphate of ammonia per acre and rebedded. These beds were dragged down almost level about the first of April and twenty varieties of cotton were planted April 6th, each variety occupying a single row and this being repeated as often as was necessary to plant all the land. This was done to avoid as nearly as possible variations in yield due to inequalities of the soil, for while the more level and lower portion of the patch was naturally the better land, experience showed that the upper and more rolling half made much the better cotton.

There were seventy-two rows in the field, so that there were four rows each of the first ten varieties given below and three rows each of the others, each row being one-thirtieth of an acre. The variety, Ashcraft's Double Jointed Snow Bank, came after the other varieties were planted and was given two rows on the opposite side of the field from where the planting was started.

With the exception of the Sproull cotton these varieties all came up to almost perfect stands, but on the lower half of the field, where cotton had grown the year before, a great deal of the young cotton died. The cotton died in spots and was evidently caused by disease germs which were present in the soil from the previous year, as no such trouble manifested itself on the land where corn had grown the year before. In reporting results we give the calculated yields as gathered and the calculated yields figured on the basis of a perfect stand.

Experience with this patch of cotton showed that the rows were too wide for best results and that larger yields would probably have been made with narrower rows, as the cotton never grew large enough, except on the bottom land, to reach near the center of such rows. The cotton was thinned to about twenty-four inches in the drill and we noted few if any more grown bolls on the stalks having, on account of a poorer stand, a greater distance than this in the drill. When this cotton was about ready to put on squares, which was the latter part of May, we began going over it from once to twice a week catching boll weevils and this was continued for almost a month. Then there were so many forms that the process of looking into each square to find the weevils was too laborious and the method of catching the grown weevils gave place to the process of picking up squares. We were only able to pick up these squares about twice, after which daily rains interfered, sometimes washing the fallen squares entirely away and again leaving the freshly plowed land too soft to hold up the laborers who did the picking. In all 145 grown weevils were caught from this patch of cotton and several hundred squares picked up. After the continuous spell of wet weather, we were never able to regain control of the weevils and had to let them run their course.

A great many bolls were set on this cotton and at the first picking it looked as if three-fourths of a crop would be made in spite of the weevil and adverse weather conditions, but a great many bolls more than half grown were later punctured and failed to open at all.

In the table following the yield of cotton calculated in pounds per acre as given for each picking, also the total yield, and the total yield calculated on the basis of a perfect stand, the stalks having been counted on each row and the percentage of stand determined:

Table 13 variety	rest,				
NAMES OF VARIETIES.	Fraction of Acre in test.	Pounds of seed cotton per acre, Aug. 22, calculated.	Pounds of seed cotton per acre, Sept. 15, calculated.	Total actual yield per acre, calculated.	Yield seed cotton per acre, based on perfect stand, calculated.
1 Lone Star	$\begin{array}{c} 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 4-30\\ 3-30\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 263\\ 296\\ 262\\ 307\\ 240\\ 172\\ 435\\ 188\\ 101\\ 405\\ 385\\ 380\\ 240\\ 240\\ 240\\ 240\\ 240\\ 240\\ 245\\ 350\\ 240\\ 270\\ 270\\ 375\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 150\\ 150\\ 154\\ 169\\ 210\\ 154\\ 128\\ 128\\ 108\\ 108\\ 108\\ 100\\ 150\\ 140\\ 135\\ 160\\ 150\\ 120\\ 125\\ 115\\ 105\\ 250\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 420\\ 446\\ 416\\ 476\\ 450\\ 326\\ 563\\ 316\\ 229\\ 510\\ 480\\ 530\\ 300\\ 375\\ 330\\ 395\\ 470\\ 365\\ 385\\ 375\\ 625\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 524\\ 518\\ 526\\ 580\\ 522\\ 466\\ 770\\ 464\\ 434\\ 662\\ 588\\ 432\\ 422\\ 358\\ 432\\ 422\\ 358\\ 452\\ 504\\ 650\\ 388\\ 478\\ 625\\ \end{array}$

Table 13---Variety Test, 1911.

SIX YEARS WORK WITH FERTILIZERS UNDER COTTON AT THE MCNEILL BRANCH EXPERIMENT STATION.

In 1905 sixty plats of land of one-twentieth acre each were set aside for permanent work with fertilizers for cotton and corn. These plats are located on soil as nearly uniform in composition as possible, practically level, and typical of the vast body of pine lands in South Mississippi. Thirty plats each are planted yearly to corn and cotton, one crop succeeding the other, with cowpeas grown in the corn to keep up the productiveness of the soil. These plats have been fertilized the same way each year since the experiment began, but only ten kinds and combinations of fertilizer have been used, the tests with each crop having been conducted in triplicate and the plats which were fertilized alike having been so distributed over the field as to do away of nearly as possible with variations in yield due to inequalities of the soil.

At the same time fertilizer work has been carried on with corn and cotton on eight plats of one-twentieth acre each located on what we term the parked area. This parked area is the land on which cattle were fed during the winter of 1902-'03.

At that time thirty head of steers were fed here for about one hundred days on cottonseed meal and hulls, the troughs having been regularly moved during the feeding period so as to cause a uniform distribution of the manure from these cattle and the land having been plowed at intervals so as to prevent its washing away over the surface. The eight test plats set aside for this work with corn and cotton were selected in the center of this five-acre tract as representative of the whole.

The boll weevil appeared in September, 1909, and during the years 1910 and 1911 seriously affected the cotton as will be seen from the table following in which is given the results of all this work calculated to the basis of one acre.

No.	Kind and Quantity of Fertilizer	Y				tton per		ulated
Plot	~ ·	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	Aver.
1	No Fertilizer	480	220	110	153	20	30	169
2	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal	760	436	376	400	90	63	354
3	100 lbs. Acid Phosphate	800	514	744	480	366	166	512
4	100 lbs. Kainit	620	300	274	186	34	8	237
5	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate	1060	616	644	513	326	292	575
6	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate, 100 lbs. Kainit	- 860	640	693	520	306	300	553
7	200 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate	1040	756	684	620	306	370	630
8	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	1000	666	636	480	254	340	563
9	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 500 lbs. New Jersey Marl	620	420		360		140	385
10	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Ground Phosphate Rock	840	510		520		220	522
11	165 fbs. Raw Ground Bone			720				
12	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal,400 lbs. Lime and Acid Phos. Mixture, (Reverted P2O5)			680		406		
13	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate On land parked by cattle, winter 1902-'03		1120	920	624	180	490	812
14	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate, 100 lbs. Kainit On land parked by cattle, winter 1902-'03		1090	870	633	170	510	793

Table 14---Fertilizer Experiments.

In 1911 all fertilizer applications given in table were doubled.

Time of Planting Cotton.

Earliness in maturity has much to do with the success or failure of growing cotton under boll weevil conditions and to find how much this earliness of maturity is influenced by the time of planting, an experiment was conducted here in 1911 of planting four lots of cotton at intervals of two weeks, treating all alike as nearly as possible, and keeping records of yield from the several plantings. Four plats of land of one-tenth acre each were selected for this work. This land was of uniform composition, of the best quality, and had been thoroughly prepared and fertilized. Triumph cotton seed were used in the test and were planted at intervals of two weeks from April 1st until the four plats were planted. The seed on all these plats germinated perfectly and gave perfect stands, but cold nights injured the stand of the cotton planted April 1st to a certain extent, though not materially. Weevils were caught from May 20th to June 20th and after this, fallen squares were picked up about twice, when daily rains made it impossible to continue the work.

The yields calculated in pounds of seed cotton per acre were as follows:

Planted April 1st, 278 pounds; planted April 15th, 289 pounds; planted May 1st, 55 pounds; planted May 15th, 0 pounds.

RESULTS FROM THE HOLLY SPRINGS BRANCH EXPERIMENT STATION.

By C. T. AMES.

Table 15----Variety Test, 1911.

	Staple inche		1-16	1-16	1-16		7-8	34		1-16	1-16	34	34	34	7-8	-8-1	-8-1	-8-1	-8-1	1-16		3-8	each
	Lengti Lengti		-	-		-					-									-	5 11	0 1	One row of each
ło	Vield JuiJ				530)ne ro
.tage int.	Percer of L		37%	40%	35%	32%	36%	39%	29%	35%	33%	36%	35%	35%	34%	37 %	35%	39%	32%	33 %	33%	31%	
Yiel Cottc cre.	Total Seed (A Total	lbs.	1170	1420	1520	1690	1315	1270	1920	1655	1440	1630	1540	1505	1730	1530	1540	1450	1520	1410	1355	1160	ulley sc
Plats—	Nov. 18.	lbs.	9	5	2	9	7.5	9	5 L	6.5	×	ų	ъ v	5.5	ų	∞	2	6	12	7	4.5	5	and one-half foot rows on valley soil.
kings by Cotton.	Nov. 7.	lbs.	32	39	35	36	32	32	25	31	36	24 /	20	20	30	40	29	37	39	30	29	20	foot ro
Weights of Pickings by Plats- Seed Cotton.	22. Oct.3-20	lbs.	49	54	59	75	56	09	58	67	73	56	51	45	61	11	62	68	72	63	99	48	one-half
Weigh	Sept. 22.	lbs.	30	44	51	52	36	29	104	61	27	78	78	80	22	34	56	31	29	41	36	43	ur and
NAMES OF VADETIES	AANID1103.		Lone Star	Triumph, from Mebane	3 Triumph, from Wade	Cleveland Big Boll	Cook, from Cook	Cook, from Station	7 Trice	8 Rowden 116	9 Sproull	Broadwell's Double Jointed		2 Simpkins	3 Truitt's Ninety Day	4 Money Maker	.5 Rublee	6 Covington-Toole	7 Dixie	8 World's Wonder	9 Columbia	0 Sunflower	These plats were planted May 3, 1911 in four
.oN	Plot	-	-	0	0	4	ŋ	9	1	8	<u>_</u>	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	

The above results were influenced to some extent by the cotton wilt disease. Some varieties were affected by the variety was planted in the order indicated above and each variety was repeated five times in the same order. disease more than others and the variety, Cleveland Big Boll, appeared to be the most resistant.

MISSISSIDDI	EXPERIMENT	STATION
MIDDIDDILII	TAXI DIVINIDIAT	DIMITON.

bor form rod															
Seed cott						1245	1000	945	1025	340	1000	1030	850	340	
.edf lstoT						0.5 62.5 1245	50	47.5	0.5 51.5 1025	17	50	51.5	42.5	17	
.sdf .voN						0.5	1	0.5	0.5	5	1	1.5	0.5 42.	1	
Oct. 27. Ibs.						4	10	°	4	5	9	~	3	4	land
Oct. 3.						15	17	11	12	5	20	18	6	8	hill
Sept. 21. Ibs.						43	22	33	35	5	23	25	30	4	lling
400 fbs. Fertilizer per Acre.						200 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	200 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 200 lbs. Kainit	200 lbs. Acid Phosphate, 200 lbs. Kainit	240 lbs. Acid Phosphate,80 lbs. Cottonseed Meal,80 lbs. Kainit	No Fertilizer	300 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate	200 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 300 lbs. Acid Phosphate	400 1412 No Fertilizer	ts 1-20 acre each. Soil rolling hill land
Plat No.						$6^{1/2}$	71/2	81/2	91/2	$10^{1/2}$	111/2	$12^{1/2}$	131/2	141_{2}	Plats
Seed cotto per acre, lb	400	885	1020	600	480	985	760	845	680	500	720	760	620	400	1911.
.edf listoT	20	544.5	51	30	24	0.549.5	38	42.5	34	25	36	38	31	20	4,
Nov. 17. jsdf	1	0.5	1	1	-	0.5	-	0.5		2	1	1	1	-1	Iay
Oct. 27.	5	9	4	9	5	6	4							5	A
					1 1			5	5	9	9	4	ນ		ed
Oct. 3.	9	15	6	~	8	10	6	11 5	5	12 6	9 6	9 4	9 5	9	lanted
Sept. 21. Ibs. Oct. 3.	8 6	25 15	37 9	15 8	10 8	33 10	21 9								ili, planted
.sdl								11	ນ	12	6	6	6	9	Variety Cleveland Big Boll, planted May

Table 17 --- Six Years' Results from Fertilizers Under Cotton.

1													- 1										
••N *		200 lbs. Fertilizer per	zer per	Y	Yield 4	of Seed (per Acre	of Seed Cotton per Acre.	otton		years. years.	e in hes per. 00 feet.	••N *	400	400 lbs. Fe	Fertilizer per		Yield		of Seed Cotton per Acre.	otton		years. years.	a in hes per 0 feet.
Plat		Acre.		1906	1907	1908	1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911	1910	1911	XIS	Grad inc	Plat		A	Acre.		6 190	906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911	1909	1910	1911	Avera xiz	Grade incl
1	No F	Fertilizer		lbs. 520	lbs. 860	lbs. 820	lbs. 310	lbs. 300	lbs. 400	lbs. 535	lbs. 7.8	$11/_{2}$	No I	Fertilizer	er	1bs. 420	. lbs. 0 580	. Ibs. 0 560	lbs. 260	lbs.	lbs.	lbs. 455	lbs. 40
101	200	lbs. CS.	Meal	680	680 1140	1240	615	900	885	910	6.5	$2^{1/2}$	400	lbs. CS.	-S. Meal	780	0 780	0 920	470			738	36
0	200	lbs. Acid Phos	1	1180 1200		1420	690	1060 1020	020	1095	4.3	$31/_{2}$	400 1	lbs. Acid	d Phosphate	ite 850		940 1070	1425			821	37
4	200	lbs. Kainit.		520	940	890	460	470	600	647	5.4	$4\frac{1}{2}$	400	lbs. Ka	Kainit.	420	0 780	062 0	400			598	38
5	No	Fertilizer		560	860	790	365	290	480	557	5.4	51_{2}^{1}	No]	Fertilizer	er	540	0 800	0 650	230			555	21
9	$100 \\ 100$	lbs. CS. Meal, lbs. Acid Phos.	Meal, Phos	980	980 1140 1240	1240		615 1160	985 1	1020	1.1	$61/_{2}$	200 1 200 1	lbs. CS. lbs. Acid	S. Meal, id Phosphate 1120 1160 1540	ate 112	0116	0 154(1186	910 1186 1245	1193	17
1	7 100 lbs. 100 lbs.	lbs. CS. Meal, lbs. Kainit	Meal,	640		880 1000	550	800	760	772	1.1	7 1/2	200 1 200	lbs. C lbs. Ké	CS. Meal, Kainit	76	0108	760 1080 1180	099 0		830 1000	918	14
00	$100 \\ 100$	lbs. Acid Phos. lbs. Kainit	Phos.	960		980 1100	625	910	845	903	5.4	81/2	200 1 200	lbs. Aci lbş. Ká	Acid Phosphate, Kainit	1	0 120	1180 1200 1460		700 1030	_	945 1086	12
6	$ \begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 40 \\ 40 \end{array} $	lbs. Acid Phos., lbs. CS. Meal, lbs. Kainit	hos., Meal,	1040	040 1030 1230	1230	670	800	680	90812	5	$9^{1/2}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lbs. Acid I lbs. CS. lbs. Kaini	Acid Phosphate, CS. Meal, Kainit	-	8 127	218 1270 1570		105(840 1050 1025 1162	1162	8.5
10	No	Fertilizer		600	760	820	340	250	500	545 15		$10\frac{1}{2}$	No]	Fertilizer	er	520		850 920	0 250	200	340	513	3.4
11	$150 \\ 50$	lbs. CS. M lbs. Acid F	Meal, Phos	940	900	900 1120	580	820	720	847 17	17	11 1/2	300 1 100]	lbs. CS. lbs. Acid	S. Meal, id Phosphate 1040 1170 1650	ate 104	0117	0 165	0 850	1	940 1000 1108	1108	2.5
12	$100 \\ 100$	CS. Acid	Meal, Phos	940	820	820 1190	630	810	760	858 17		$12^{1/2}$	200] 200]	lbs. CS. lbs. Acid	S. Meal, id Phosphate 1040 1180 1680	ate 104	0118	30 168	1	1000	830 1000 1030 1127	1127	4.6
13	50 lb 150 lt	lbs. CS. M lbs. Acid F	Meal, Phos	800	800 1120 1210	1210	660	800	620	86820		$13 \frac{1}{2}$	100] 300]	lbs. CS. lbs. Acid	S. Meal, id Phosphate		0106	760 1060 1080	0 600	670	0 850	837	3.3
14	No F	14 No Fertilizer		660	690	910	330	340	400	555 17		$141/_{2}$	No	Fertilizer	er	200	0		430	1 450	0 340	480	-

_							
Plat No.	Fertilizer per Acre.	We Sept. 21.	eights o Oct. 3.	f Pickin Oct. 27.	ngs. Nov. 17.	Total.	Seed cot- ton per acre.
1	No fertilizer	lbs. 4	tbs. 6	lbs. 2	lbs. 3.5	^{lbs.} 15.5	tbs. 310
$\overline{2}$	200 fbs. Cottonseed Meal	19	15	14	3	51	1020
3	200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	32	11	5	0.5	48.5	970
4	200 lbs. Kainit	7	10	6	1.5	24.5	490
5	No fertilizer	4	6	8	1	19	380
6	200 fbs. Raw Phosphate Rock	19	16	5	1	41	820
7	400 lbs. Raw Phosphate Rock	21	20	8	1	50	1000
8	200 fbs. Acid Phosphate	20	11	6	1	38	760
9	100 lbs. Cotton seed Meal 100 lbs. Acid Phosphate	24	13	6	1.5	44.5	890
10	100 fbs. Cottonseed Meal, 200 fbs. Raw Phosphate Rock	22	14	6	2	44	880
11	No fertilizer	8	1	6	3	28	560
12	100 lbs. Cottonseed Meal, 200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	22	10	5	1	38	760
13	200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	16	12	5	1	34	680
14	400 lbs. Raw Phosphate Rock	15	13	6	1	35	700
15	200 lbs. Kainit	12	16	7	1	36	720
16	No fertilizer	9	10	8	2	29	580
17	200 lbs. Raw Phosphate Rock.	19	14	6	1	40	800
18	400 lbs. Raw Phosphate Rock.	16	10	3	0.5	29.5	590

Table 18---Fertilizer Test, 1911.

Variety, Cleveland Big Boll. Planted May 4, 1911. Plats six rows each, 4 feet wide and 92 feet long, making 1-20 acre each.

1																		
				Weigh	Weights of Pickings.	ckings.		seed acre.						Weight	Weights of Pickings.	ckings.		seed. acre.
ŵ	Ъ	ertiliz	Lbs. Fertilizer per Acre.		20.	.91		io el	.oN		s. Fe	rtilize	Lbs. Fertilizer per Acre.	.82	.02	.91		
				.tq92	.toO	.voN	.lstoT	bauo ⁴	Plat]					.tq92	.toO	.voИ	.lstoT	bnuo T oo
ŧ		0440	March March	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	-	N.	Ē			lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
llbs.	in si	Acid	200 lbs. Acid Phosphate	36	12	3	50	1000	172	1 22 NO FERMIZET	reru	IIZer.		10	21	-	1+ 	070
00 lb 80 lb	lbs. lbs.	Acid Nitra	Acid Phosphate. Nitrate of Soda.	27	12	ಣ	42	840	$2^{1/2}$	$2^{1/2}$ 200 200	ltbs. Itbs.	Cottc Acid	Cottonseed Meal, Acid Phosphate	27	17	4	51	1020
E.	erti	No Fertilizer		. 13	10	e	26	520		31/2 200 lbs. 80 lbs.	lbs. Ibs.	Acid Nitra	Acid Phosphate Nitrate of Soda	29	16	7	52	1040
200 lb 150 lb	s.s.	Acid Nitro	200 lbs. Acid Phosphate, 150 lbs. Nitroline	20	13	e.	36	720	41/2	$200 \\ 150$	lbs lbs.	Acid] Nitro	4 ½200 fbs. Acid Phosphate,150 fbs. Nitroline	29	20	9	55	1100
tin filt	s.s.	Acid Amm	200 lbs. Acid Phosphate, 486 lbs. Ammoline.	20	10	3	33	660		$200 \\ 486$	lbs. J	Acid] Amm	51/2200 lbs. Acid Phosphate,486 lbs. Ammoline.	27	13	4	44	880
E O	lats n l	s 1-2 May	Plats 1-20 acre each. rows on May 10, 1911.		l rolling	g hill	land	. V	ariety	7 of	Cott	con,	Soil rolling hill land. Variety of Cotton, Cook's Improved, planted in 4 foot	roved,	plant	ed in	4 fo	ot

Table 19---A Test of Four Forms of Nitrogen.

RECENT COTTON EXPERIMENTS.

Remarks.—The soil employed in making these fertilizer tests has been in cultivation for more than fifty years, and represents the characteristic poorer type of soils found in this section of the state.

Each plat has been used for the past six years with the same kind and quantity of fertilizers.

No manure of any kind has been used on this land, nor leguminous crop grown during the past six years, or probably at any time prior to that, except the fertilizer used in making the test.

It can be seen from the foregoing tables that nitrogen and available phosphorus are very deficient, and that an application of these elements is profitable.

RESULTS FROM DELTA BRANCH EXPERIMENT STATION.

By G. B. WALKER.

Variety Test.

Below is given a list of the twenty-three varieties of cotton tested at the Delta Branch Experiment Station in 1911, with data which shows results obtained from each variety.

The land on which this test was made is a tract of 4 3-5 acres of characteristic Deer Creek sandy loam soil, being only fairly well surface drained, and not highly improved. It grew oats followed by Mexican June corn the year previous. No fertilizer was used.

The land was broken flat the first week in March, put into beds four feet apart in April, and planted on May 1st. One row of each variety was planted in the order in which they appear in the table, and in this order the varieties were repeated five times. The planting was done in this manner to obviate any differences there might be in the soil. There was 1-5 acre planted to each variety and our yields per acre are computed on that basis.

The cotton was planted just at the beginning of a long drought and as a result poor stands were secured of some of the varieties, particularly those varieties having large seed. The stand in no case, however, was so bad as to justify replanting.

This field of cotton was attacked by two broods of the cotton army worm, by the boll worm, and by the boll weevil, each of which did considerable damage to the crop.

Samples of lint cotton from each of the varieties were classed and priced by the Greenville Cotton Company, of Greenville, Miss., and the McGee-Dean Cotton Company of Leland, Miss., and our valuations are made from information furnished by these concerns. The Experiment Station is greatly indebted to the above mentioned for the assistance rendered by them.

le	Rank as to money value	c	20 °				17	12	10	<u>n</u>	26			12	•		_	14		_	13		22	
F	% of stand secured	%	90 08 08		200			$\frac{98}{2}$	95	97	R R	0 2 0 2 0 2 0	0 0 2 0	00					_	198	95		26 95	
ľ	seed per acre.	1	65 85	62	7	00	.37	.42	00 - 00		70	00.0	1 K K .	63		30				86	.44	. 13	.26	
1	Total value of lint and	1	\$57 \$44			57.0				45.		47 7 7 7 7					_	12	5		50.		42.	
ŀ	at \$18.00 per ton.	0	14	43	37	00	77	.60	.20	.54	. 04 1	. 84		005		201.		10.		98			41	
	Value of seed per acre		ດ ອັສ	1		_	•					_			_			- 1-	-		9		10	
	Value of lint cotton per acre.		\$48.55 31.71		40.34	27.50	39.60	42.82	44.89	37.	40.		47 9 7 7 7 7 7	10.06	. 9 F	207					43.52	42.	36 85	3
	Price of lint per pound middling grade.	CTS.	00 % 74/1	9 ³⁴	× 0 4	9.1%	8.17 8.17 7.17	81/4	$10\frac{1}{4}$	8 ¹ /4	_	27 200	× ~ ~	0 7/2	-		103	10/4			11	_	101%	
1911.	Character of lint.		Good, strong, even Very poor meyen		Poor and uneven	Fairly good and even Fairly good and even	Poor in every re	Poor in every respect	Gcod, strong, even	Poor, undesirable	Very good	Poor and undesirable	Undesirable, any tr'd.	Wasty	Very wasty and unev.	rairly good and even	Good, strong body	Very good and even		Staple Fren av heavy hody	Good silky and string	Good body, strong	and even Good body and stanle	dans and those moon
Test,	Length of staple accord- ing to Leland classifica- tion.	INCHES.			5-8	$\frac{1}{28}$	$1/5 ext{ to 5-8}$	1/2 to 5-8	1^{-1-16}		-16	7-8	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	%* *	Q-1	01-1 1		1 1 - S - L 1	1111 Q-1 1	1 1 8 4.11	1 5-16	11/4	1 7_16	1
ıriet	Percentage of lint.	%	00 00 00 00	37	32	31 202		35 22		32	3312	37	36 36	35 25	64 001	33 7 5 5 27 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	00 000	0 r 0 r	6 0	00	0 7 7 1 7	30 208	76	H-7
V	Yield lint cotton per acre.	Its.	408	484	489	2 5 7 83 7 83	480	519	438	446	463	445	538	455	400	400	400	010	420	747	926	315	180	102
20-	Total yield seed cotton per acre.	Its.	1510	1310	1530	910 615	1455	485	1460	1395	1390	1205	495	1300	6621	1305	1000	545	enz.	308	0.05	1050 315	200	22.
tb16	Pounds seed cotton per acre, 2nd pick., Nov. 2.	s.	275 3			330 995			380]								040	200	010	0000	070	325	950	0.07
•	Pounds seed cotton per acre, 1st pick., Sep. 30.	lls.	1235	915	1255	580	1220	1285	1080	925	1040	860	1115	985	909	1200	999 999	688 680	0 55U			725		DEC
	Сһағасtет оf foliage.		Very light	Very light	Very light	Very d'nse Ticht	~	Very light	Light		Medium					Dense	Dense	Dense	Dense	Van liabt	V CI y IISIUU I jaht	Dense	T : wh +	1112171
	Date of first blooms.		27	301	29	ې د م	290	5 <u>6</u>	28	-	$28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\$	<u>م</u>	- ,	_,	- 0	2 12		20	2	00	000	$29 \\ 29$	c	1
	smoold truit to otoU		Jun	July 2 V	Jun	July	un (lin	lun	Jun	July	Jun	July	July	July	<u>j ul</u>] uly	un	un [Ыц	T	in d u d u d	Iun	, Tulu	u l
	NAMES OF VARIETIES.		Rublee	3 1	ay	Sproull	ins		l's Wonder		1	Money Maker	a	i.		Cleveland, from Cleveland		Irom Mebane	- Internet in the second secon	Hvnreec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Mississing Cill	

a From Experiment Station, A. and M. College, Agricultural College, Miss.

Spacing.

We tested at the Delta Station in 1911 3-foot, 3 1-2 foot, 4-foot, 5 foot, and 6 foot rows. In all rows the stalks stood from 18 to 24 inches in the drill. The best yield was gotten from the 3 1-2 foot rows, with the second best from the 3 foot rows, third from the 4 foot rows, fourth from the 5 foot rows, and fifth from the 6 foot rows.

AVAILABLE BULLETINS AND CIRCULARS.

The following bulletins and circulars of the Station may be had on request:

No.

Bulletins.

60-Value of Cotton Seed to the Farmer.

84-Report of Field Work at College Station for 1903.

90-San Jose Scale.

91-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Fertilizers.

92-Beef Cattle.

93-Peach and Plum Culture.

94-Report of Work at McNeill Branch Station for 1905.

95-The Dairy Cow.

104—Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

107-Pork Production at the Delta Station.

114-Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

119-Report of Work at the Delta Branch Station for 1907 and 1908.

121-Experiments in Feeding Beef Steers.

122-Report of Work at the Holly Springs Branch Station for 1908.

125-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

127-Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

128-Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

129-Sugar Cane for Syrup Making.

132—The Soils of Mississippi.

133—Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs. 135—Cotton 1909.

137-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

138-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

139-The Boll Weevil in Mississippi, 1909.

140-Cotton Diseases in Mississippi.

141-Control of Diseases of Fruits, Flowers and Vegetables.

142-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Fertilizers.

143-Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

144-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

145-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

146-Suggestions for Growing Home Fruits.

147—Apple Growing in Mississippi.

148-Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

149-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

150-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Fertilizers.

151-Inspection and Analyses of Cotton-Seed Meal.

152-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

153-Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

154—Inspection and Analyses of Commercial Feeding Stuffs.

155-Recent Cotton Experiments.

Circulars.

Asparagus.Insect Pest Law.Blackleg.Underground Waters of Mississippi.Boll Weevil.Hairy Vetch.

Address, AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION, Agricultural College, Miss.