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## SUMMARY

In recent years there has been a growing concern about the problems of the aged population. For communities to be prepared to meet the challenge they must be informed on population trends. This study analyzes such trends from 1950 to 1960 for Mississippi, its counties, and smaller areas, compiled from Census data. Where trends over a longer time span are elucidative, they are also included.

The State has gained steadily in number and proportion of older citizens. In 1950 the number was 152,964 , or 7.0 per cent of the total population, while in 1960 the figure had increased to 187,543 , or 8.6 per cent of the total population. In 1965 the estimated aged population of the State was 200,685 and is projected to increase more than 10 per cent by 1970, and 35 to 50 per cent by 1985 .

From 1950 to 1960, the most striking increases were found in rural nonfarm areas, followed by urban areas. Rural farm areas experienced losses in number of senior citizens. Despite this numerical decline, however, there still was a gain of older citizens percentagewise, due to the fact that the other age categories in these areas had also decreased. In rural nonfarm areas, on the other hand, changes were not consistent. In this category, changes ranged from an increase of 242 per cent in Jefferson Davis County to a decrease of 67 per cent in Hinds County. Urban places generally registered gains in aged population. These gains were most pronounced in places which in 1950 had a population of 10,000 or more. Among those, the city of Jackson gained the largest number of older citizens. In fact, the increase in Jackson, from

1950 to 1960, was greater in the aged category than for those below 65 years of age. This proportionately greater increase was observed not only in Jackson, but in all other places in the State which had populations of 10,000 or more in 1950.

On the whole, aged females not only outnumbered aged males, but there was also a continued tendency of the former to increase disproportionately. Only in rural farm areas was a high sex ratio found, that is, there was a greater number of males than females.

Inquiry into the marital status of the aged population of the State in 1960 indicated that approximately 40 per cent were widowed. Widows outnumbered widowers by about 40,000 . Males were more likely to have been married more than once than were females. The proportion of aged persons who were widowed was considerably larger for the nonwhite population than for the white population. A larger proportion of urban and rural nonfarm aged persons were divorced than were older citizens classified as rural farm. The rural nonfarm category showed the greatest proportion of increase in aged divorced persons during the decade 1950-1960.

More than two-thirds of the State's aged population resided in owneroccupied dwellings.

With respect to employment, a downward trend was observed for the aged male and the reverse for the aged female.

Approximately three-fourths had an income of less than $\$ 1,500$ per year; many had to depend on other sources for support.

# MISSISSIPPI'S OLDER CITIZENS 

By<br>ELISABETH J. STOJANOVIC ${ }^{1}$

The aged population ${ }^{2}$ of the United States is increasing steadily in number and proportion and so is the public's awareness of this fact. While three decades ago the aged represented only 5.4 per cent of the total population, the 1960 Census reported that more than 16 million people in this country were 65 years of age or older, comprising approximately 9 per cent of the total population. The Census also revealed another interesting fact; namely, that during the ten-year period, 1950 to 1960, the percentage increase of the aged population in the United States was 0.9 per cent, while in Mississippi it was 1.6 per cent. Even though Mississippi's proportion of aged citizens has been consistently lower than that of the nation as a whole, it is gradually approaching the national level and, if present trends continue may exceed the national average within the next few years. This implies a need of planning for problems created by such changes.

The most common variables employed in the demography of aging are: age, sex, race, marital and family status, living arrangements, income, labor force status and occupation, and education. All these are significant in determining the needs of the aged population.

It is the purpose of this publication to revise an earlier bulletin ${ }^{3}$, and to consolidate demographic information on the aged population of Mississippi as furnished by the 1960 Census of Population and to establish trends, based on previous censuses.

## Mississippi's Aged in Relation to the United States and Other Southern States

While Mississippi's aged population is proportionately slightly smaller than that of the country as a whole, in rela-
tion to other southern states she rates fifth from the top. Table 1 shows that only four of the southern states, namely Arkansas, Florida, Kentucky, and Oklahoma, have a greater proportion of aged citizens than Mississippi, a fact which was also observed in the 1950 Census. When considering the rate of increase however, Mississippi exceeds Kentucky.

## Race, Residence, and Sex

In order to observe the changes that have occurred in the State's aged population, with respect to race, residence, and sex, pertinent data are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

It is evident that since 1940, the State's white and nonwhite aged populations have steadily increased. Both numerically and percentagewise, however, the increase was smaller for the nonwhite than for the white population. From 1950 to 1960 the white aged population increased by 30.9 per cent, while the increase of the nonwhite population in the aged category was 11.6 per cent.

The figures tabulated above become even more meaningful when compared with the growth trend of the other segment of the population, i.e. those below 65 years of age. Here a decrease was recorded as illustrated in Table 5.

The decline in number of people below the age of 65 can be attributed to the slightly descending trend of the nonwhite population in that age category. For the white population, the upward trend in the aged population from 1950 to 1960 was expected since the total white population of the State had also shown gains. The total nonwhite population of the State, however, showed a loss, which makes the increase of aged persons in that category even more conspicuous.

[^0]Table 1. Percent of the Population 65 Years Old and Over for the Southern States and the U. S., 1930, 1940, 1950, 1960.

| State | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 5.4 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 9.0 |
| Mississippi - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | 3.6 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 8.6 |
|  | 3.8 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 7.9 |
|  | 4.1 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 10.6 |
|  | 4.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 11.0 |
| Georgia | 4.1 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 7.3 |
|  | 5.5 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 9.4 |
| Louisiana | 3.6 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 7.3 |
| North Carolina | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.6 |
| Oklahoma | 4.1 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 10.5 |
|  | 3.4 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
|  | 4.7 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 8.4 |
|  | 4.1 | 5.4 5.8 | 6.7 6.5 | 7.6 |
|  | 4.8 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.1 |

Sources: Halbert L. Dunn, Health and Demography, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Office of Vital Statistics, October 1956, p. 53; and Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, General Population Characteristics, PC (I) 26D: Table 96.

Table 2. Number of Persons 65 Years Old and Over by Race, Residence, and Sex, Mississippi, 1940, 1950, 1960.

| Residence and Race | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 |
| Total Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White .-........- | 30,818 | 41,797 | 51,925 | 30,369 | 45,118 | 61,883 | 61,187 | 86,915 | 113,808 |
| Nonwhite | 28,311 | 33,087 | 35,382 | 25,920 | 32,962 | 38,353 | 54,231 | 66,049 | 73,735 |
| Total | 59,129 | 74,884 | 87,307 | 56,289 | 78,080 | 100,236 | 115,418 | 152,964 | 187,543 |
| Rural Farm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 18,330 | 19,851 | 13,332 | 15,274 | 17,417 | 12,603 | 33,604 | 37,268 | 25,935 |
| Nonwhite | 20,437 | 19,476 | 10.468 | 16,263 | 16,022 | 8,931 | 36,700 | 35,498 | 19,399 |
| Total | 38,767 | 39,327 | 23,800 | 31,537 | 33,439 | 21,534 | 70,304 | 72,766 | 45,334 |
| Rural Nonfarm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White .-......... | 6,268 | 11,333 | 21,668 | 6,867 | 12,975 | 24,363 | 13,135 | 24,308 | 46,031 |
| Nonwhite --.- | 3,789 | 6,803 | 14,306 | 4,367 | 8,076 | 15,758 | 8,156 | 14,879 | 30,064 |
| Total -.-.---.-.- | 10,057 | 18,136 | 35,974 | 11,234 | 21,051 | 40,121 | 21,291 | 39,187 | 76,095 |
| Urban: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 6,220 | 10,613 | 16,925 | 8,228 | 14,726 | 24,917 | 14,448 | 25,339 | 41,842 |
| Nonwhite ----- | 4,085 | 6,808 | 10,608 | 5,290 | 8,864 | 13,664 | 9,375 | 15,672 | 24,272 |
| Total ------....- | 10,305 | 17,421 | 27,533 | 13,518 | 23,590 | 38,581 | 23,823 | 41,011 | 66,114 |

Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, General Social Economic Characteristics, PC' (1) 26C Mississippi Table 37 (Note: figures for 1960 differ from those given in PC (1) 26B), and U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Vol. II, Part 24, Table 53.

Table 3. Percentage Change of Persons 65 Years Old and Over by Race, Residence, and Sex, Mississippi, 1950-1960.*

| Residence and Race | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950-1960 |  | 1950-1960 |  | 1950-1960 |  |
|  | Change | \% | Change | \% | Change | \% |
| Total Population: Change \% Change \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 10,128 | 24.2 | 16,765 | 37.2 | 26,893 | 30.9 |
| Nonwhite | 2,295 | 6.9 | 5,391 | 16.4 | 7,686 | 11.6 |
|  | 12,423 | 16.6 | 22,156 | 28.4 | 34,579 | 22.6 |
| Rural Farm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | -6,519 | $-32.8$ | -4,814 | -27.6 | -11,333 | -30.4 |
|  | $-9,008$ | -46.3 | -7,091 | $-44.3$ | -16,099 | -45.4 |
|  | -15,527 | -39.5 | -11,905 | -35.6 | -27,432 | -37.7 |
| Rural Nonfarm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 10,335 | 91.2 | 11,388 | 87.8 | 21,723 | 89.4 |
| Nonwhite | 7,503 | 110.3 | 7,682 | 95.1 | 15,185 | 102.1 |
| Total ...- | 17,838 | 98.4 | 19,070 | 90.6 | 36,908 | 94.2 |
| Urban: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,312 | 59.5 | 10,191 | 69.2 | 16,503 | 65.1 |
| Nonwhite - .-.-.......................- | 3,800 | 55.8 | 4,800 | 54.2 | 8,600 | 54.9 |
| Total | 10,112 | 58.2 | 14,991 | 63.5 | 25,103 | 61.2 |

[^1]Table 4. Percent of the Population 65 Years Old and Over by Race, Residence, and Sex, Mississippi, 1940, 1950, 1960.

| Residence and Race | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 |
| Total Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 9.1 |
| Nonwhite --------- | 5.4 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 8.0 |
|  | 5.5 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 9.0 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 8.6 |
| Rural Farm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 5.7 | 7.7 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 10.6 |
| Nonwhite ------------ | 5.2 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
|  | 5.4 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 8.4 |
| Rural Nonfarm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 5.6 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 9.4 |
| Nonwhite -------.--- | 6.5 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
|  | 5.9 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
| Urban: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonwhite ----------- | 5.1 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 8.2 |
| Total -...-- - - - .-. | 5.1 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 8.1 |

Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, PC (1) 26C, Table 37, and U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Vol. II, Part 24, Table 53.

Table 5. Number and Percentage of Population in Age Groupings Below 65 and Over 65, Mississippi, 1940, 1950, and 1960.

| Year |  | Below <br> 65 years of age |  | 65 years of age and over |  | Total Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. |
| 1940 |  | 2,068,378 | 94.7 | 115,418 | 5.3 | 2,183,796 |
| 1950 |  | 2,025,950 | 93.0 | 152,964 | 7.0 | 2,178,914 |
| 1960 |  | 1,990,598 | 91.4 | 187,543 | 8.6 | 2,178,141 |

Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, PC (1) 26C and U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Vol. II, Part 24, Table 15.

Considering the total aged population of the State, an increase of 22.6 per cent was observed. Both numerically and percentagewise, however, this gain was smaller for the last decade than for the preceding decade.

Interesting information was also revealed with respect to the residence of the older citizens. Both racial groupings suffered considerable losses of aged persons in the rural farm areas, while the rural nonfarm and urban categories experienced increases.

It may be assumed that a large part of the loss in rural farm population was due not to deaths but either to migration to urban areas, to reclassification from rural farm to rural nonfarm as a result of retirement, or to a redefini-
tion of these terms by the Bureau of the Census. ${ }^{4}$ Aged white persons were more likely to remain in farming than their nonwhite counterparts. The latter gained a larger proportion of aged rural nonfarm people during the decade 1950 to 1960 than the white population. In the urban areas the reverse was apparent.

Despite the decline in the aged rural farm population, the proportion 65 years of age and over, classified as farm operators, was higher in the 1959 Census of Agriculture than it was ten years earlier (Table 6). The average age of the Mississippi farm operator gradually increasd from 43.6 in 1940 to 46.2 in 1950 to 51.4 in 1959, and to 52.8 in 1964.

Rural areas usually have a larger

[^2]male than female population. This was true for the aged in the rural farm areas of the State, where the sex ratio ${ }^{5}$ was 110.5. In the rural nonfarm areas, however, it was considerably lower, namely, 89.7, while for the urban areas the figures followed the expected trend, with a sex ratio of 86.8. Thus, aged males were more likely to be found in the rural areas than their female counterparts, while in the rural nonfarm and urban areas the reverse was true.

Data indicated generally larger numerical and proportional increases for aged females than for aged males. Both sexes, however, had experienced losses in the rural farm areas. These losses were higher among the male population.

Considering the changes on a county basis, Table 7 shows trends in the aged farm and nonfarm populations for each of Mississippi's 82 counties. Without exception the counties experienced a decrease in aged rural farm residents. These decreases were also greater than in the previous decade. With respect to the rural nonfarm category, 28 counties registered losses of aged population ranging from 0.9 to 66.8 per cent, while in the remaining counties the aged population increased, percentagewise. The most striking changes were observed in Issaquena and Jefferson Davis Counties, where the proportion of senior citizens more than tripled, while the total population of these two counties had actually decreased during the decade from 1950 to 1960.

The overall distribution of persons 65 years of age and over and the relation of this distribution to the State's average of aged persons ( 8.7 per cent) in 1960 is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

## Changes Within Age Groupings

Comparison of the 1950 and 1960 figures, based on broad age groupings within the aged population, revealed that across the board there was a decrease in the proportion of people 65 to 69 years of age in relation to the total aged population. However, only in the rural nonwhite segment did this proportional decrease coincide with a numerical decrease.

Appraised on the same basis, the next category, i.e., those 70 to 74 years of age, showed little or no change, percentagewise. There was one exception, however, the rural nonwhite male category did increase numerically.

Both numerical and proportional increases were most pronounced in the highest age categories (Table 8).

Examining the same figures on the basis of the total 1950 population in each of the old age categories, a striking pattern appears, indicating disproportionately large increases in the 75 to 84, and especially, the 85 and over age category. In the urban areas, the white population in the latter age bracket increased 145 per cent, while the nonwhite doubled. An identical pattern was observed in the rural areas, although increases were not as pronounced (Tables 9 and 10).
${ }^{5}$ Sex ratio refers to the number of males per 100 females.
Table 6. Age of Mississippi Farm Operators 1940, 1950, 1954, 1959, 1964.

| Age | 1940 |  | 1950 |  | 1954 |  | 1959* |  | 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Under 25 | 24,626 | 9.0 | 16,136 | 6.6 | 6,747 | 3.2 | 2,517 | 1.8 | 1,684 | 1.5 |
| 25-34 | 62,397 | 22.8 | 49,846 | 20.5 | 28,158 | 13.4 | 12,451 | 9.1 | 8,690 | 8.0 |
| 35-44 | 62,194 | 22.7 | 54,485 | 23.3 | 49,458 | 23.6 | 27,573 | 20.2 | 20,164 | 18.5 |
| 45-54 | 55,281 | 20.2 | 49,866 | 20.5 | 53,842 | 25.7 | 38,671 | 28.2 | 28,528 | 26.1 |
| 55-64 | 40,476 | 14.8 | 37,541 | 15.5 | 38,487 | 18.4 | 30,541 | 22.3 | 28,174 | 25.8 |
| $65+$ | 28,834 | 10.5 | 32,854 | 13.6 | 32,947 | 15.7 | 25,181 | 18.4 | 21,901 | 20.1 |
| Average Age | 43.6 |  | 46.2 |  | 48.2 |  | 51.4 |  | 52.8 |  |
| Total | 273,808 | 100.0 | 242,728 | 100.0 | 209,639 | 100.0 | 136,934 | 100.0 | 109,141 | 100.0 |

Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Agriculture, 1954, Vol. I, Part 22; and 1959, Vol I, Part 33; and 1964, Vol. I, Part 33.
*The change in number may be attributed partly to a change in definition of farm operator from 1950 to 1959.

Table 7. Percent Change in Population 65 Years Old and Over, Rural Farm and Rural Nonfarm Residence, 1940-1950 and 1950-1960, Counties, Mississippi.

| Counties | Rural Farm |  | Rural Nonfarm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Perce } \\ 1940-1950 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lange } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { 1940-195 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1ange } \\ & \text { 1950-1960 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | -28.7 | -62.0 | 45.0 | -64,8 |
| Alcorn | $-1.3$ | -22.6 | 95.1 | -49.8 |
|  | - 2.7 | -48.2 | 92.3 | 137.2 |
| Attala | 1.9 | $-43.2$ | 73.7 | 2.8 |
| Benton | 7.5 | -33.8 | 62.7 | 145.9 |
|  | 0.8 | -21.2 | 107.9 | 9.8 |
|  | 16.2 | -47.4 | 74.4 | 146.0 |
|  | $-5.6$ | -35.0 | 104.2 | 86.3 |
|  | 12.4 | $-35.7$ | 40.8 | $-1.0$ |
|  | 4.1 | -57.0 | 109.2 | 149.3 |
|  | -26.0 | -45.5 | 120.7 | -19.7 |
|  | $-5.2$ | -44.6 | 91.3 | 86.8 |
| Clay | -4.1 | $-30.9$ | 63.4 | -31.5 |
|  | 21.9 | $-59.7$ | 84.8 | -17.6 |
|  | -11.0 | $-39.7$ | 85.2 | -2.5 |
| Covington | 4.1 | -25.8 | 138.2 | 99.8 |
| DeSoto | 14.3 | $-39.0$ | 66.2 | 193.4 |
| Forrest | $-2.2$ | -48.9 | 69.6 | -55.7 |
|  | - 9.2 | -62.4 | 69.9 | 88.9 |
|  | 16.8 | -22.7 | 105.6 | 68.9 |
|  | -8.1 | $-34.7$ | 66.3 | 128.8 |
|  | $-6.6$ | -21.3 | 64.7 | -33.4 |
|  | 64.5 | -46.6 | 26.9 | $-6.1$ |
|  | $-10.3$ | -68.2 | 49.5 | -65.5 |
|  | -20.2 | -47.4 | 88.0 | $-66.8$ |
|  | 6.7 | -46.4 | 60.4 | 32.1 |
|  | 9.1 | -53.0 | 97.4 | 50.7 |
|  | - 5.8 | -69.4 | 53.2 | 220.8 |
|  | 2.1 | -25.4 | 484.4 | 149.7 |
| Jackson $\qquad$ | 22.5 | -64.1 | 69.9 | $-36.6$ |
|  | 22.5 | -37.6 | 140.2 | 161.3 |
| Jefferson | $-4.7$ | -61.1 | 113.3 | 109.5 |
|  | 21.6 | -43.0 | 149.4 | 242.1 |
|  | 14.8 | -47.4 | 86.4 | 29.0 |
|  | - 9.1 | -32.6 | 69.0 | 143.3 |
|  | 9.4 | -38.3 | 72.1 | -9.0 |
|  | -36.2 | -44.8 | 50.0 | 114.1 |
|  | - 6.4 | -63.3 -45.3 | 76.2 | 157.7 |
|  | 28.0 | $-26.0$ | 78.5 | 173.3 |
|  | 4.7 | -37.9 | 86.4 | -13.6 |
| Leflore | 14.1 | -26.8 | 72.1 | -44.7 |
|  | $-2.9$ | -48.4 | 83.5 | $-0.9$ |
|  | $-6.8$ | $-32.7$ | 77.1 | $-50.3$ |
|  | 4.9 | -30.8 | 85.9 | $-14.5$ |
|  | 18.5 | -27.1 | 107.2 | 15.4 |
|  | 9.0 | $-27.3$ | 42.0 | 9.3 |
|  | $-19.7$ | $-30.0$ | 32.0 | -31.5 |
|  | 1.7 | -48.9 | 73.2 | 6.8 |
| Neshoba | 4.7 | $-16.8$ | 130.6 | 38.4 |
| Newton Noxubee | 8.9 -9.6 | $-35.1$ | 66.8 72.5 | 82.7 |
| Noxubee <br> Oktibbeha $\qquad$ | - 9.6 | -26.2 | 72.5 | 68.3 |
|  | $-7.8$ | -37.5 | 98.7 | 7.6 |
|  | 13.8 | -23.1 | 126.8 | 37.4 |
|  | 1.9 4 | -36.7 | 59.1 | $\stackrel{42.9}{ }$ |
| Pike | -4.9 | $-46.0$ | 54.2 | -12.2 |
|  | 14.0 | -26.2 | 94.8 | 121.9 |
|  | $-1.4$ | $-9.7$ | 113.5 | 20.1 |
|  | 30.7 | $-25.8$ | 95.5 | 51.6 |
|  | 6.6 | $-38.2$ | 93.3 | 50.7 |
|  | 8.5 | -27.5 | 94.5 | 46.8 |
| Sharkey $\qquad$ | 5.1 | -8.9 | 124.1 | 18.8 |
| Simpson | 20.2 | $-42.0$ | 97.3 | 143.6 |
|  | 25.9 16.3 | -16.8 -39.5 | 85.3 | 166.7 |
|  | -7.5 | -47.1 | 116.5 | 98.5 45.7 |
|  | 7.8 | -41.6 | 72.3 | 65.9 |
|  | 5.4 | -26.1 | 103.9 | 26.5 |
| Tippah | $-8.1$ | $-9.9$ | 122.5 | 5.8 |
|  | $-6.3$ | $-23.2$ | 116.7 | 80.0 |
| Tunica $\qquad$ | 24.2 | -26.9 | 46.4 | 163.0 |
|  | 15.3 | $-35.3$ | 78.0 | 10.1 |
|  | 15.5 -14.8 | -16.9 -96.6 | 105.2 | 192.9 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}-14.8 \\ \hline 16.9\end{array}$ | -96.6 -73.7 | 53.2 77.3 | -61.0 |


Legend: Percentage of persons 65
$\square$ and over
Average or below average (8.7\% or less)

Above average (more than $8.7 \%$ )

Figure 2. Percentage of aged persons in Mississippi counties, 1960, in relation to the State's average.
(Table 7 continued)

| Counties | Fural Farm |  | Rural Nonfarm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { 1940-1950 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { change } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 1940-1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { change } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ |
| Wayne | 11.7 | $-44.0$ | 55.6 | 70.1 |
| Webster | 8.6 | -38.5 | 71.0 | 151.5 |
| Wilkinson | -26.5 | -64.4 | 99.2 | 96.1 |
| Winston | 18.7 | -17.1 | 128.8 | -4.1 |
| Yalobusha | - 7.1 | -21.5 | 66.3 | -35.7 |
| Yazoo | -4.4 | -40.7 | 81.4 | -16.2 |
| Mississippi -.-.-----.............................- | 3.5 | -37.7 | 77.8 | 94.2 |

Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Vol. II, Part 24, and U. S. Census of Population, 1960, PC (1) 26D.

Table 8. Percentage Distribution of Age Grouping: Within Aged Population, by Race, Residence, and Sex, Mississippi, 1950 and 1960.

| Characteristic | RURAL POPULATION |  |  |  |  |  | URBAN POPULATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | \| 1960 | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | 1960 |

Total Aged
Population:

| 65-69 | 42.9 | 38.2 | 43.7 | 37.9 | 43.3 | 38.0 | 43.8 | 39.9 | 42.4 | 36.9 | 43.0 | 38.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70-74 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 26.3 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 26.6 | 27.4 | 27.0 | 27.7 |
| 75-84 | 25.6 | 28.3 | 24.8 | 28.7 | 25.2 | 28.5 | 25.1 | 26.8 | 26.1 | 29.1 | 25.6 | 28.1 |
| 85 and over | 3.9 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 6.1 |
| All 65 \& over | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| White: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 41.7 | 37.0 | 41.9 | 36.2 | 41.8 | 36.6 | 42.5 | 38.9 | 39.7 | 34.7 | 40.9 | 36.4 |
| 70-74 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 27.9 | 27.8 | 28.4 | 28.3 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 28.2 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 28.5 |
| 75-84 | 26.1 | 29.0 | 26.0 | 30.0 | 26.0 | 29.5 | 25.5 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 26.7 | 29.2 |
| 85 and over | 3.4 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
| All 65 \& over | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Nonwhite: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 44.3 | 39.7 | 46.1 | 40.5 | 45.1 | 40.1 | 45.9 | 41.4 | 46.8 | 40.8 | 46.4 | 41.0 |
| 70-74 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 24.2 | 25.9 | 25.3 | 26.1 | 25.5 | 26.3 | 24.1 | 26.2 | 24.7 | 26.3 |
| 75-84 | 24.9 | 27.4 | 23.3 | 26.7 | 24.1 | 27.1 | 24.4 | 26.3 | 23.5 | 26.1 | 23.8 | 26.2 |
| 85 and over- | 4.5 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 6.5 |
| All 65 \& over | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Mississippi: General Population Characteristics, PC (1) 26B, Table 16.
Percentages were calculated from figures given in the above source.
Table 9 Changes in Urban Population by Age Groupings Within Aged Population, by Race and Sex, Mississippi, 1950 to 1960.

| Characteristic | UR B A N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aged Male Population |  |  | Aged Female Population |  |  | Total Aged Population |  |  |
|  | 1960 | Change | 1950-1960 | 1960 | Change | 1950-1960 | 1960 | Change | 1950-1960 |
|  | No. | No. | \% | No. | No. | \% | No. | No. | \% |
| Total Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 -...-- | 11,024 | 3,389 | 44.4 | 14,227 | 4,228 | 42.3 | 25,251 | 7,617 | 43.2 |
| 70-74 | 7,744 | 2,958 | 61.8 | 10,568 | 4,282 | 68.1 | 18,312 | 7,240 | 65.4 |
| 75-84 | 7,411 | 3,046 | 69.8 | 11,205 | 5,057 | 82.3 | 18,616 | 8,103 | 77.1 |
| 85 and over | 1,478 | 843 | 132.8 | 2,568 | 1,411 | 122.0 | 4,046 | 2,254 | 125.8 |
| All 65 \& over | 27,657 | 10.236 | 58.8 | 38,568 | 14,978 | 63.5 | 66,225 | 25,214 | 61.5 |
| White: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 6,579 | 2,068 | 45.8 | 8,653 | 2,800 | 47.8 | 15,232 | 4,868 | 47.0 |
| 70-74 | 4,914 | 1,864 | 61.1 | 6,991 | 2,844 | 68.6 | 11,905 | 4,708 | 65.4 |
| 75-84 | 4,579 | 1,872 | 69.2 | 7,641 | 3,572 | 87.8 | 12,220 | 5,444 | 80.3 |
| 85 and over | 838 | 493 | 142.9 | 1,621 | 964 | 146.7 | 2,459 | 1,457 | 145.4 |
| All 65 \& over | 16,910 | 6,297 | 59.3 | 24,906 | 10,180 | 69.1 | 41,816 | 16,477 | 65.0 |
| Nonwhite: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 4,445 | 1,321 | 42.3 | 5,574 | 1,428 | 34.4 | 10,019 | 2,749 | 37.8 |
| 70-74 | 2,830 | 1,094 | 63.0 | 3,577 | 1,438 | 67.2 | 6,407 | 2,532 | 65.3 |
| 75-84 | 2,832 | 1,174 | 70.8 | 3,564 | 1,485 | 71.4 | 6,396 | 2,659 | 71.2 |
| 85 and over | 640 | 350 | 120.7 | 947 | 447 | 89.4 | 1,587 | 797 | 100.9 |
| All 65 \& over | 10,747 | 3,939 | 57.9 | 13,662 | 4,798 | 54.1 | 24,409 | 8,737 | 55.7 |

Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Mississippi: General Population Characteristics, PC (1) 26B,, Table 16.

Table 10... Changes in Rural Population by Age Groupings Within Aged Population, by Race and Sex, Mississippi, 1950 to 1960.

| Characteristic | R U R A L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aged Male Population |  |  | Aged Female Population |  |  | Total Aged Population |  |  |
|  | 1960 | Change | 1950-1960 | 1960 | Change | 1950-1960 | 1960 | Change | 1950-1960 |
| Total <br> Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 23,179 | -1,470 | -6.0 | 23,885 | 44 | 0.2 | 47,064 | -1,426 | -2.9 |
| 70-74 | 16,866 | 994 | 6.3 | 17,031 | 2,715 | 19.0 | 33,897 | 3,709 | 12.3 |
| 75-84 | 17,228 | 2,526 | 17.2 | 18,072 | 4,574 | 33.9 | 35,300 | 7,100 | 25.2 |
| 85 and over | 3,539 | 1,299 | 58.0 | 4,004 | 1,169 | 41.2 | 7,543 | 2,458 | 48.6 |
| All 65 \& over | 60,812 | 3,349 | 5.8 | 62,992 | 8,502 | 15.6 | 123,804 | 11,851 | 10.6 |
| White: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 13,254 | 237 | 1.8 | 13,828 | 1,098 | 8.6 | 27,082 | 1,335 | 5.2 |
| 70-74 | 10,300 | 1,334 | 14.9 | 10,612 | 2,118 | 24.9 | 20,912 | 3,452 | 19.8 |
| 75-84 | 10,374 | 2,226 | 27.3 | 11,437 | 3,543 | 44.9 | 21,811 | 5,769 | 57.4 |
| 85 and over | 1,881 | 828 | 78.6 | 2,296 | 1,022 | 80.2 | 4,177 | 1,850 | 79.5 |
| All 65 \& over | 35,809 | 4,625 | 14.8 | 38,173 | 7,781 | 25.6 | 73,982 | 12,406 | 20.1 |
| Nonwhite: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-69 | 9,925 | -1,707 | $-14.7$ | 10,057 | -1,054 | $-9.5$ | 19,982 | -2,761 | -12.1 |
| 70-74 ------------ | 6,566 | -340 | -4.9 | 6,419 | 597 | 10.3 | 12,985 | 257 | 2.0 |
| 75-84 and over | 6,854 | 300 | 4.6 | 6,635 | 1,031 | 18.4 | 13,489 | 1,331 | 10.9 |
| 85 and over | 1,658 | 471 | 39.7 | 1,708 | 147 | 9.4 | 3,366 | 618 | 22.5 |
| All 65 \& over | 25,003 | -1,276 | -4.9 | 24,819 | 721 | 3.0 | 49,822 | -555 | -1.1 |

Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Mississippi: General Population Characteristics, PC (1) 26B, Table 16.

## Size of Community

When rural and urban areas were arranged according to size, it was found that in 1960, 58.5 per cent of the rural aged population in Mississippi lived in places with less than 1,000 population, while 6.4 per cent resided in rural places with 1,000 to 2,500 inhabitants. Of those senior citizens who lived in urban areas, the majority lived in towns with a population of 10,000 or more (Table 11).

A comparison of the changes in distribution of the aged and of the total population from 1950 to 1960 in places
of different size is made in Tables 12, 13 , and 14.

It can be readily observed that with few exceptions the aged population increased. Not only did it increase but even in cases where the total population showed losses, a gain was found in the aged category. The extremes in losses and gains were most often evident in places with a population of 1,000 to 2,500 , followed by those with 2,500 to 10,000 inhabitants. None of the larger places, i. e. those 10,000 and over, registered a loss of population; but, the proportion gain in these towns

Table 11. Number and Percent in the Population 65 Years Old and Over, by Size of Place, Urban and Rural Residence, Sex, Mississippi, 1960.

| Type of Residence | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1,000 --.- | 55,560 | 62.8 | 56,160 | 55.3 | 111,720 | 58.8 |
| 1,000-2,500 ---.............- | 5,252 | 5.9 | 6,832 | 6.7 | 12,084 | 6.4 |
| Total -.............. | 60,812 | 68.7 | 62,992 | 62.0 | 123,804 | 65.2 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central cities and | 3,505 | 4.0 | 5,152 | 5.2 | 8,657 | 4.6 |
| Urban fringe ...--.......- | 48 |  | 72 |  | 120 |  |
| 2,500-10,000 -...-...---......- | 9,600 | 10.9 | 13,292 | 13.1 | 22,892 | 12.0 |
| 10,000 or more ----- | 14,504 | 16.4 | 20,052 | 19.7 | 34,556 | 18.2 |
| Total | 27,657 | 31.3 | 38,568 | 38.0 | 66,225 | 34.8 |
| Total, 65 +* $^{*}$........ | 88,469 | 100.0 | 101,560 | 100.0 | 190,029 | 100.0 |

[^3]Table 12. Number and Percent Change in Population 65 Years of Age and Over, 1950-1960, by Sex, and in Total Population of Places in Mississippi with 1,000 to 2,500 Inhabitants in 1950.

| Town | Aged population 1960 <br> Males Females |  | Changes in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged } \\ \text { males } \\ 1950-1960 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & \text { females } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Aged } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ |  | Total All Ages 1950-1960 |
|  | No. | No. | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | \% |
| Ackerman | 103 | 112 | 23 | 28.8 | 31 | 38.3 | 54 | 33.5 | -5.5 |
| Baldwin | 111 | 162 | 33 | 42.3 | 74 | 84.1 | 107 | 64.4 | 29.0 |
| Batesville* | 106 | 181 | 47 | 79.7 | 93 | 105.7 | 140 | 95.2 | 33.0 |
| Bay Springs .......- | 56 | 79 | 1 | 1.8 | 24 | 43.6 | 25 | 22.7 | 18.6 |
| Bear Town .......... | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Brandon .-............ | 72 | 72 | 22 | 44.0 | 23 | 46.9 | 45 | 45.4 | 17.1 |
| Bruce ....-.-...........- | 86 | 79 | 46 | 115.0 | 35 | 79.5 | 81 | 96.4 | -1.2 |
| Bude | 62 | 63 | 25 | 67.6 | 26 | 70.3 | 51 |  | -0.8 |
| Calhoun City ....- | 101 | 103 | 61 | 152.5 | 46 | 80.7 | 107 | 110.3 | 29.9 |
| Carthage ........-- | 99 | 122 | 19 | 23.8 | 46 | 60.5 | 65 | 41.7 | 26.9 |
| Centerville**....-...- | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Clinton* --- - - - - - . | 99 | 143 | 55 | 125.0 | 51 | 55.4 | 106 | 77.9 | 52.5 |
| Coldwater ..-.---....- | 69 | 90 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 33.2 |
| Collins | 64 | 94 | 8 | 14.3 | 37 | 64.9 | 45 | 39.8 | 18.9 |
| Crenshaw ...........-- | 81 | 83 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 86.8 |
| Crosby ...--.-.-.-.-. - | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Decatur | 56 | 67 | 21 | 60.0 | 28 | 71.8 | 49 | 66.2 | 9.4 |
| D'Iberville*. | 69 | 77 | 39 | 13.0 | 50 | 185.2 | 89 | 156.1 | 110.3 |
| Drew -..-............... | 90 | 153 | 27 | 42.8 | 87 | 131.8 | 114 | 88.4 | 27.5 |
| Durant* -.-........... | 154 | 233 | 41 | 36.3 | 79 | 51.3 | 120 | 44.9 | 13.2 |
| East Side *...........- | 95 | 86 | 68 | 251.8 | 55 | 177.4 | 123 | 212.1 | 255.4 |
| Edwards | 64 | 98 | 14 | 28.0 | 7 | 7.7 | 21 | 14.9 | 20.4 |
| Escatampa -...------- | 43 | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Eupora --.-.--------- | 85 | 111 | 20 | 30.8 | 37 | 50.0 | 57 | 41.0 | 9.7 |
| Fayette -........... | 72 | 126 | 6 | 9.1 | 25 | 24.8 | 31 | 18.6 | 8.5 |
| Friars Point .-....- | 88 | 96 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Fulton --............- | 71 | 94 | 18 | 34.0 | 41 | 77.4 | 59 | 55.7 | 27.0 |
|  | 75 | 94 | 5 | 7.1 | 5 | 5.6 | 10 | 6.3 | -6.7 |
| Handsboro .-.-- --. | 64 | 44 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Heidelberg .-.........- | 32 | 55 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Hernando | 82 | 117 | 25 | 43.1 | 45 | 62.5 | 70 | 53.8 | 57.4 |
| Hollandale* .-.-.-.-- | 138 | 186 | 44 | 46.8 | 74 | 66.1 | 118 | 57.3 | 12.8 |
| Houston* | 116 | 168 | 49 | 73.1 | 92 | 121.0 | 141 | 98.6 | 54.9 |
| Inverness | 48 | 84 | 10 | 26.3 | 48 | 133.3 | 58 | 78.4 | 2.9 |
| Itta Bena ...........- | 132 | 164 | 34 | 34.7 | 40 | 32.2 | 74 | 33.3 | 11.0 |
| Iuka -......-...........- | 114 | 140 | 34 | 42.5 | 38 | 37.2 | 72 | 39.6 | 31.6 |
| Kreole | 36 | 35 | 14 | 63.6 | 15 | 75.0 | 29 | 69.0 | 69.1 |
| Lambert -..........- | 68 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 79.7 | 47 | 37.0 | 15.5 |
| London-West | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Leakesville --.-...... | 57 | 66 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lucedale | 63 | 81 | 18 | 40.0 | 28 | 52.8 | 46 | 46.9 | 21.2 |
| Lumberton | 95 | 108 | 23 | 31.9 | 21 | 24.1 | 44 | 27.7 | 16.9 |
| McComb (Smith) | 80 | 96 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Macon | 112 | 188 | 31 | 38.3 | 48 | 34.3 | 79 | 35.7 | 8.5 |
| Magee | 78 | 105 | 14 | 21.9 | 41 | 64.1 | 55 | 43.0 | 17.3 |
| Magnolia --.........-- | 102 | 136 | 22 | 27.5 | 48 | 54.5 | 70 | 41.7 | 5.0 |
| Marks* | 135 | 161 | 57 | 73.1 | 53 | 49.1 | 110 | 59.1 | 16.4 |
| Mendenhall | 86 | 103 | 22 | 34.4 | 43 | 71.7 | 65 | 52.4 | 26.4 |
| Mill/Moris Town. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Monticello -.........- | 52 | 66 | 9 | 20.9 | 28 | 73.7 | 37 | 45.7 | 3.6 |
| Moorhead .... .......- | 129 | 143 | 39 | 43.3 | 67 | 88.2 | 106 | 63.8 | 0.3 |
| Morton | 74 | 111 | 29 | 64.4 | 47 | 73.4 | 76 | 69.7 | 35.8 |
| Mound Bayou | 95 | 99 | 33 | 53.2 | 23 | 30.3 | 56 | 40.6 | 2.0 |
| Nettleton --.......... | 66 | 94 | 14 | 26.9 | 26 | 38.2 | 40 | 33.3 | 15.4 |
| Okolona* | 141 | 211 | 28 | 24.8 | 78 | 58.6 | 106 | 43.1 | 21.0 |
| Pelahatchie --.....- | 49 | 59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Petal ${ }^{\text {* }}$ - - - - | 105 | 142 | 52 | 98.1 | 80 | 129.0 | 132 | 114.8 | 186.5 |
| Pontotoc - .-........- | 118 | 185 | 36 | 43.9 | 86 | 86.9 | 122 | 67.4 | 32.1 |
| Poplarville | 90 | 112 | 11 | 13.9 | 23 | 25.8 | 34 | 20.2 | 15.3 |
| Prentiss | 42 | 69 | 17 | 68.0 | 36 | 109.1 | 53 | 91.4 | 9.0 |
| Purvis -.-.-.........- | 66 | 92 | 24 | 57.1 | 48 | 109.1 | 72 | 83.7 | 27.1 |
| Quitman ........... | 90 | 100 | 22 | 32.4 | 38 | 61.3 | 60 | 46.2 | 11.7 |
| Raymond | 25 | 56 | -17 | -40.5 | 13 | 30.2 | -4 | $-4.7$ | 9.7 |
| Richton ............. | 52 | 63 | 1 | 2.0 | 16 | 34.0 | 17 | 17.3 | -6.0 |
| Riley* ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 168 | 200 | 52 | 44.8 | 78 | 63.9 | 130 | 54.6 | 12.0 |
| Rolling Fork | 46 | 51 | -2 | -4.2 | 3 | 6.2 | 1 | 1.0 | 31.7 |
| Rosedale | 133 | 176 | 49 | 58.3 | 51 | 40.8 | 100 | 47.8 | 6.5 |
| Ruleville | 114 | 147 | 37 | 48.0 | 85 | 137.1 | 122 | 87.8 | 25.0 |
| Sardis | 119 | 136 | 45 | 60.8 | 22 | 19.3 | 67 | 35.6 | 9.7 |
| Senatobia* | 131 | 211 | 52 | 65.8 | 84 | 66.1 | 136 | 66.0 | 54.6 |
| Shaw | 138 | 200 | 23 | 20.0 | 44 | 28.2 | 67 | 24.7 | 9.0 |
| Shelby | 140 | 203 | 45 | 47.4 | 58 | 40.0 | 103 | 429 | 11.0 |

(Table 12. continued)

| Town | Aged populationMales 1960Females |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged } \\ \text { males } \\ 1950-1960 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aged } \\ & \text { females } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Aged } \\ & 1950-1960 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { All Ages } \\ 1950-1960 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stonewall | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{No} \\ 43 \end{array}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 40.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{21}{\text { No. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ 95.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 73.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 10.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Summit | 68 | 109 | -9 | -11.7 | 27 | 32.9 | 18 | 11.3 | 6.7 |
| Taylorsville | 53 | 61 | 19 | 55.9 | 22 | 56.4 | 41 | 56.2 | 1.4 |
| Tie Plant .....--- | 54 | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tunica | 67 | 83 | 32 | 91.4 | 20 | 31.7 | 52 | 53.1 | 6.7 |
| Tunica North | 44 | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Tylertown .---- | 82 | 117 | 21 | 34.4 | 52 | 80.0 | 73 | 57.9 | 15.1 |
| Union --.--- - - - ----- | 91 | 124 | 38 | 71.7 | 49 | 65.3 | 87 | 68.0 | 10.7 |
| Waveland | 100 | 76 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Wesson ----- --- - - - - | 57 | 89 | -1 | -1.7 | 20 | 29.0 | 19 | 15.0 | -6.3 |
| Wiggins --......-- | 69 | 96 | 16 | 30.2 | 36 | 60.0 | 52 | 46.0 | 10.8 |
| Woodville | 63 | 98 | 18 | 40.0 | 27 | 38.0 | 45 | 38.8 | 15.4 |

*Had more than 2,500 inhabitants in 1960, but not in 1950.
**The revised population total for Centerville in 1960 was 508 more than the number quoted in the Census. Among those are a number of aged people. Actual corrected figures by age breakdown are not available at this time.

NA Not available.
Sources: Bureau of Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Part 24, p. 58, Table 40; U. S. Census of Population, 1960, PC 26B, pp. 47-48, Table 24. George L. Wilber and Ellen Bryant, Growth of Mississippi Counties and Cities, 1950-1960, AES Bulletin 608 , December, 1960, Table 3.
was larger in the aged category than in the age groupings below 65. This, with few exceptions, was true for Mississippi as a whole, in that generally places which gained population had larger increases in the aged than in the other age categories.

This observation should be of profound interest to those who are engaged in action programs designed to meet the needs of a changing population.

It is also interesting to note, that according to the 1960 Census a large proportion of the State's aged population, 84 per cent, were native Mississippians. ${ }^{6}$ The majority of the non-native aged residents were born in another southern state.

Ninety-seven per cent of the aged residents also lived in Mississippi five years ago, and almost three-fourths reported they lived in the same house at that time. ${ }^{7}$ Only a slightly larger proportion of the white than nonwhite senior citizens reported out-of-state residence in 1955. ${ }^{8}$

## Marital Status

Despite the numerical increase of aged persons in the State from 1950 to 1960 , the proportion in each of the marital status groupings was remarkably similar to that of a decade ago: 55.1 per cent were married; 39 per cent widowed; 4.7 per cent single, and 1.2 per cent divorced.

Where changes did occur, they were most pronounced in the rural nonfarm population. The latter showed the greatest proportional decrease in the widowed category, and the largest proportional increases in both the married and divorced segments of the population.

In the rural farm category, even though there were fewer widowers in 1960 than in 1950, the proportion of widowers in relation to all males 65 and over had increased over the decade.

## Housing

In 1960, the Bureau of the Census realized the importance of the housing of this country's senior citizens, and, therefore, published a volume devoted solely to this subject. ${ }^{\circ}$ It included

[^4]persons 60 years of age and over ${ }^{10}$ and subdivided them into categories as follows:

Those where the age of the head of the household was:

1. Under 60 years of age
2. 60 to 64 years of age
3. 65 to 74 years of age, and
4. 75 years of age and over. ${ }^{11}$

The housing arrangements of the latter two groupings are presented in Table 15.

Seventy per cent of the aged population in Mississippi resided in owneroccupied units, while the remainder lived in renter-occupied units. Twothirds of the former were occupied by couples who had no nonrelatives living
${ }^{10}$ This in itself may be a significant factor in that usually a person 65 years of age or over was included in the "old age" category. Inclusion of the 60-64 age grouping may well be considered as a reclassification of the aged
${ }^{11}$ Inasmuch as the age limit employed up until this point was 65 and over, only the latter two groupings will be included for discussion.

Table 13. Number and Percent Change in Population 65 Years of Age and Over, 1950-1960 by Sex, and in Total Population of Places in Mississippi, with 2,500 to 10,000 Inhabitants in 1950.

| Town | Aged Population |  | Changes in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged Males } \\ \hline 1950-1960 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged Females } \\ \hline 1950-1960 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Aged } \\ \hline 1950-1960 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> All Ages <br> $1950-1960$ |
|  | Males | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | No. | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | $\%$ |
| Aberdeen | 275 | 399 | 51 | 22.8 | 92 | 30.0 | 143 | 26.9 | 22.0 |
| Amory | 300 | 401 | 111 | 58.7 | 157 | 64.3 | 268 | 61.9 | 30.0 |
| Bay St. Louis .-. | 274 | 345 | 90 | 48.9 | 113 | 48.7 | 203 | 48.8 | 9.8 |
| Belzoni --.------- | 184 | 230 | 41 | 28.7 | 87 | 60.8 | 128 | 44.8 | 1.7 |
| Booneville ........ | 157 | 253 | 41 | 35.3 | 92 | 57.1 | 133 | 48.0 | 5.6 |
| Brookhaven | 364 | 557 | 119 | 48.6 | 239 | 75.2 | 358 | 63.6 | 26.7 |
| Canton -----.---...-. | 405 | 597 | 142 | 54.0 | 238 | 66.3 | 380 | 61.1 | 37.7 |
| Charleston ------ | 145 | 238 | 42 | 40.8 | 93 | 64.1 | 135 | 54.4 | $-3.9$ |
| Cleveland* ---.-... | 309 | 412 | 142 | 85.0 | 172 | 71.7 | 314 | 77.1 | 50.8 |
| Columbia --------- | 291 | 363 | 114 | 64.4 | 152 | 72.0 | 266 | 68.6 | 16.2 |
| Corinth**-- | 585 | 820 | 148 | 33.9 | 306 | 59.5 | 454 | 47.7 | 17.0 |
| Crystal Springs | 209 | 286 | 72 | 52.6 | 81 | 39.5 | 153 | 44.7 | 22.3 |
| Ellisville -...-....... | 135 | 194 | 55 | 68.8 | 80 | 70.2 | 135 | 69.6 | 28.3 |
| Forest --.-----.- | 154 | 198 | 58 | 60.4 | 77 | 63.6 | 135 | 62.2 | 36.3 |
| Greenville | 49 | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| Grenada | 325 | 484 | 122 | 60.1 | 176 | 57.1 | 298 | 58.3 | 7.1 |
| Hazlehurst | 157 | 247 | 45 | 40.2 | 61 | 32.8 | 106 | 35.6 | 0.1 |
| Holly Springs... | 216 | 311 | 116 | 116.0 | 142 | 84.0 | 258 | 95.9 | 71.6 |
| Indianola .......... | 305 | 407 | 148 | 94.3 | 178 | 77.7 | 326 | 84.4 | 53.4 |
| Kosciusko | 340 | 441 | 111 | 48.5 | 112 | 34.0 | 223 | 40.0 | 0.7 |
| Leland .------------- | 240 | 340 | 105 | 77.8 | 156 | 84.8 | 261 | 81.8 | 32.9 |
| Lexington --.--- | 156 | 224 | 21 | 15.6 | 44 | 24.4 | 65 | 20.6 | -11.2 |
| Long Beach .-.- | 211 | 237 | 114 | 117.5 | 109 | 85.2 | 223 | 99.1 | 76.5 |
| Louisville ---...- | 223 | 274 | 66 | 42.0 | 55 | 25.1 | 121 | 32.2 | 4.1 |
| Miss. City .-.--- | 102 | 132 | -9 | -8.1 | 2 | 1.5 | -7 | -2.9 | 22.6 |
| Moss Point .-.-- | 158 | 190 | 24 | 17.9 | 43 | 29.2 | 67 | 23.8 | 75.3 |
| New Albany --- | 272 | 377 | 137 | 101.5 | 162 | 75.3 | 299 | 85.4 | 40.0 |
| Newton .-.-.-.--- | 133 | 177 | 52 | 64.2 | 69 | 63.9 | 121 | 64.0 | 9.1 |
| Ocean Springs. | 182 | 240 | 68 | 59.6 | 68 | 49.6 | 171 | 68.1 | 64.3 |
| Oxford ---- | 204 | 324 | 65 | 46.8 | 114 | 54.3 | 179 | 51.3 | 33.5 |
| Pass Christian.- | 173 | 246 | 33 | 23.6 | 75 | 43.8 | 108 | 34.7 | 14.7 |
| Pearl --...-- | 40 | 62 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Philadelphia .-. | 222 | 262 | 78 | 54.2 | 112 | 74.7 | 190 | 64.6 | 12.2 |
| Picayune --...---- | 278 | 293 | 119 | 74.8 | 137 | 87.8 | 256 | 81.3 | 16.8 |
| Port Gibson--.-- | 124 | 188 | 3 | 2.5 | 16 | 9.3 | 19 | 6.5 | -2.0 |
| Starkville --- | 227 | 387 | 74 | 48.4 | 136 | 54.2 | 210 | 52.0 | 27.3 |
| University | 6 | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water Valley --- | 233 | 354 | 45 | 23.9 | 113 | 46.9 | 158 | 36.8 | -0.2 |
| Waynesboro -- | 119 | 146 | 11 | 10.2 | 20 | 15.9 | 31 | 13.2 | 13.1 |
| West Gulfport- | 69 | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| West Point -.... | 281 | 472 | 65 | 30.1 | 98 | 26.2 | 163 | 27.6 | 32.9 |
| Winona - | 205 | 278 | 68 | 49.6 | 74 | 36.3 | 142 | 41.6 | 24.4 |
| Yazoo City .-..-- | 459 | 738 | 156 | 51.5 | 251 | 51.5 | 407 | 51.5 | 15.3 |

[^5] Population, Vol. II, Part 24, pp. 52-54, Table 38.
Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, General Population Characteristics, PC (1) 26B, pp. 43-46, Table 22.
George L. Wilber and Ellen Bryant, Youth of Mississippi Counties and Cities, 1950 to 1960, Mississippi AES Bulletin 608, December 1960, pp. 6-7.
*Indicates total population more than 10,000 in 1960
NA - Not available.

Table 14. Changes in Population 65 Years Old and Over, 1950-1960, of Places with 10,000 or More Inhabitants in 1950, by Sex and Race, Mississippi.

| Town | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged Population } \\ 1960 \end{gathered}$ |  | Changes from 1950-1960 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Aged Males |  | Aged Females |  | Total |  |
|  | Males | Females |  |  | Aged | All Ages |
| Biloxi | No. | No. | No. | \% |  |  | No. | \% | \% | \% |
|  | 1,044 | 810 | 438 | 72.3 | 179 | 28.4 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ----------------- | 1116 | 134 | 26 | 28.9 | 5 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Total .------------------ | 1,160 | 944 | 464 | 66.7 | 184 | 24.2 | 44.5 | 17.7 |
| Clarksdale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ---------------- | 353 | 513 | 144 | 68.9 | 227 | 79.4 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ---------- | 555 908 | 626 1.139 | 238 382 | 75.1 72.6 | $\stackrel{251}{478}$ | 66.9 72.3 |  |  |
| Total -------.------------ | 908 | 1,139 | 382 | 72.6 | 478 |  | 72.4 | 27.6 |
| Columbus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White -------------.. | 405 | 680 | 148 | 57.6 | 261 | 62.3 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ----------- | 336 | 452 | 111 | 49.3 | 140 | 44.9 |  |  |
| Total ------------------- | 741 | 1,132 | 159 | 53.7 | 401 | 54.8 | 54.4 | 44.3 |
| Greenville |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ------------ | 450 | 702 | 139 | 44.7 | 291 | 70.8 |  |  |
| Nonwhite --.------- | 785 | 1,066 | 238 | 43.5 | 354 | 49.7 |  |  |
| Total ---------------- | 1,235 | 1,768 | 377 | 43.9 | 645 | 57.4 | 51.6 | 38.9 |
| Greenwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White --- | 302 | 528 | 97 | 47.3 | 182 | 52.6 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ----------- | 487 | 580 1,108 | 185 282 | 61.2 55.6 | 204 386 | 54.2 53.5 | 54.4 | 13.1 |
| Total ------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gulfport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Nonwhite ----------- | 824 | 903 193 | 299 29 | 57.0 22.0 | 353 66 | 64.2 52.0 |  |  |
| Total --------------------- | 985 | 1,096 | 328 | 49.9 | 419 | 61.9 | 56.0 | 33.3 |
| Hattiesburg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ------------ | 745 | 1,204 | 232 | 45.2 | 517 | 75.2 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ---------- | 415 | , 447 | 169 | 68.7 | 160 | 55.7 |  |  |
| Total ------------------- | 1,160 | 1,651 | 401 | 52.8 | 677 | 69.5 | 62.2 | 18.7 |
| Jackson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White --.-.------------ | 2,169 | 3,584 | 894 | 70.1 | 1,562 | 77.2 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ----------- | 1,336 | 1,568 | 489 | 57.7 | 503 | 47.2 |  |  |
| Total -----------.----- | 3,505 | 5,152 | 1,383 | 65.2 | 2,065 | 66.9 | 66.2 | 47.0 |
| Laurel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White -----...-.-.-.- | 594 | 829 | 187 | 45.9 | 301 | 57.0 |  |  |
| Nonwhite --.------- | 318 | 364 | 69 | 27.7 | 128 | 54.2 |  |  |
| Total ------------------- | 912 | 1,193 | 256 | 39.0 | 429 | 56.2 | 48.2 | 11.4 |
| McComb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ----.-.------ | 430 | 622 | 109 | 34.0 | 194 | 45.3 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ---------- | 104 | 136 | 35 | 50.7 | 53 | 63.8 |  |  |
| Total ------------------ | 534 | 758 | 144 | 36.9 | 247 | 48.3 | 43.4 | 15.6 |
| Meridian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1,329 | 2,161 | 382 | 40.3 | 799 | 58.7 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ----------- | 561 | 839 | 160 | 39.9 | 291 | 53.1 |  |  |
| Total ------------------- | 1,890 | 3,000 | 542 | 40.2 | 1,090 | 57.1 | 50.1 | 17.9 |
| Natchez |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 301 | 518 | 38 | 14.4 | 109 | 26.6 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ---------- | 401 | 618 | 91 | 29.4 | 75 | 13.8 |  |  |
| Total --------------- | 702 | 1,136 | 129 | 22.5 | 184 | 19.3 | 20.5 | 4.6 |
| Pascagoula |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White -- | 292 | 367 | 113 | 63.1 | 154 | 72.3 |  |  |
| Nonwhite ---------- | 69 | 82 | 28 | 68.3 | 29 | 54.7 |  |  |
| Total ---------------- | 361 | 449 | 141 | 64.1 | 183 | 68.8 | 66.7 | 58.6 |
| Tupelo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ------------ | 373 | 627 | 121 | 48.0 | 280 | 80.7 |  |  |
| Nonwhite --.-.-.---- | 189 | 185 | 59 | 45.4 | 28 | 17.8 |  |  |
| Total ------...-------- | 562 | 812 | 180 | 47.1 | 308 | 61.1 | 55.1 | 49.4 |
| Vicksburg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White -- | 557 | 953 | 125 | 28.9 | 266 | 38.7 |  |  |
| Nonwhite --.----- | 655 | 943 | 138 | 26.7 | 242 | 34.5 |  |  |
| Total --.-.-..........- | 1,212 | 1,896 | 263 | 27.7 | 507 | 36.6 | 33.0 | 4.2 |

Sources: Bureau of the Census. U. S. Census of Population, 1960, General Population Characteristics, PC (1) 26B, pp. 36-40, Table 20.
U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Characteristics of the Population, Vol. II, Part 24. pp. 40-42, Table 33.
George L. Wilber and Ellen Bryant, Growth of Mississippi Counties and Cities, 1950-1960, Mississippi AES Bulletin 608, December, 1960, pp. 6-7.
with them, one-fourth had a family head, while the remainder had other arrangements.

A slightly different pattern was found for the renter-occupied units, where 58 per cent were occupied by an aged male head with wife present and no nonrelatives; 27 per cent were households with an aged female head, and 15 percent had other arrangements.

The 1960 Census also reported that 2,742 aged residents of Mississippi (less than 2 per cent) lived in nursing homes. Three-fifths of these inhabitants were males and the remainder females.

## Employment ${ }^{12}$ and Occupation

Census figures reveal that fewer people 65 years of age and over were employed in 1960 than a decade ago. With

[^6]Table 15. Heads of Household 65 Years Old and Over by Owner or Renter-Occupied Units, Mississippi, 1960.

| Age of head and household composition | Owner-occupied units |  | Renter-occupiedunits |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All occupied } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| With head 65-74 | 77,293 |  | 33,222 |  | 110,515 |  |
| Male head, wife present, | 53,300 | 69.0 | 19,660 | 59.2 | 72,960 | 66.0 |
| Other male head -...-....... | 6,171 | 8.0 | 4,848 | 14.6 | 11,019 | 10.0 |
| Female head .... | 17,822 | 23.0 | 8,714 | 26.2 | 26,536 | 24.0 |
| With head 75 and over | 37,632 |  | 16,101 |  | 53,733 |  |
| Male head, wife present, no nonrelatives | 22,445 | 59.6 | 8,917 | 55.4 | 31,362 | 58.4 |
| Other male head .-.-......... | 4,717 | 12.5 | 2,658 | 16.5 | 7,375 | 13.7 |
| Female head .... | 10,470 | 27.9 | 4,526 | 28.1 | 14,996 | 27.9 |
| TOTALS | 114,925 |  | 49,323 |  | 164,248 |  |

Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Housing, 1960, Housing of Senior Citizens, Vol. VII, Table A-1.

Table 16. Employed Persons, 65 Years of Age and Over, by Occupation* and Sex, Mississippi, 1950 and 1960.

| Occupation | 1950 |  |  |  | 1960 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| Professional, technical and kindred | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | $\%$ | No. | \% |
| workers | 1,498 | 4.0 | 467 | 7.9 | 1,380 | 5.1 | 877 | 10.2 |
| Farmers and farm managers | 22,361 | 59.2 | 1,249 | 21.1 | 10,435 | 38.8 | 486 | 5.6 |
| Managers, officials, and proprietors, exc. farmers | 2,604 | 6.9 | 346 | 5.8 | 2,676 | 9.9 | 622 | 7.2 |
| Clerical and kindred workers | 652 | 1.7 | 231 | 3.9 | 1,034 | 3.9 | 641 | 7.4 |
| Sales workers .-... | 1,130 | 3.0 | 288 | 4.9 | 1,316 | 4.9 | 628 | 7.3 |
| Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers | 1,874 | 5.0 | 26 | 0.4 | 1,865 | 6.9 | 22 | 0.3 |
| Operatives, kindred workers | 1,024 | 2.7 | 353 | 6.0 | 1,321 | 4.9 | 661 | 7.7 |
| Private household workers | 117 | 0.3 | 1,495 | 25.2 | 90 | 0.3 | 2,701 | 31.4 |
| Service workers exc. private household | 1,180 | 3.1 | 473 | 8.0 | 1,487 | 5.5 | 871 | 10.1 |
| Farm laborers <br> and foremen | 2,823 | 7.5 | 742 | 12.5 | 2,949 | 11.0 | 544 | 6.3 |
| Laborers, exc. farm and mine | 2,061 | 5.4 | 33 | 0.5 | 1,923 | 7.2 | 45 | 0.5 |
| Occupation not reported | 460 | 1.2 | 223 | 3.8 | 441 | 1.6 | 513 | 6.0 |
| Total Employed -... | 37,784 | 100.0 | 5,926 | 100.0 | 26,917 | 100.0 | 8,611 | 100.0 |
| Total Aged | 74,884 |  | 78,080 |  | 87,307 |  | 100,236 |  |

[^7]

Figure 3. Percent of Aged Population in the Labor Force, 1950 and 1960, by Sex.
respect to the aged males, for example, 50 per cent were employed in 1950, while in 1960, 34 per cent were employed. Despite a numerical increase in the aged males, also the number employed was smaller. The data for the aged female population showed a different pattern. A small proportion of the latter was employed. However, changes over the decade went in the opposite direction from those of the males. In 1960 a larger proportion was employed than in 1950, the percentages
being 8.6 and 7.6 , respectively (Figure 3 ). One may conclude that, with the increased independence of women, changes in this direction may be expected to continue for the next few decades. Starting out in a career when young may give women a strong incentive, and once momentum is gained, they may continue to work after retirement age.

In 1960, a very small proportion (4 per cent) of Mississippi's aged male and female population was unemployed, that is, was reported interested in a job but could not locate one. Table 16 illustrates the types of occupations in which these people were engaged, together with the trends of the past decade.

Of the total employed population in Mississippi in 1960, inculding all ages, the highest median age was reported in the following occupations:

Median Age

| Railroads and railway express service | . 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Farm and farm managers | 51.3 |
| Barbers | 51.6 |
| Locomotive engineers | 54.8 |
| Guard and watchmen | 56.4 |
| Blacksmiths, forgemen, | 61.8 |

Some of the above occupations are obviously becoming outmoded, especially the latter.

## Income

Of the 110,296 heads of households, 65 years old and over, 5,102 or about 5 per cent, had no income at all in 1959; almost 33 per cent made from one to 499 dollars or registered a loss, and 28 per cent made from 500 to 999 dollars. These three categories thus included almost two-thirds of the State's aged heads of households (Table 17).

This low-income aged population, thus must depend for support on sources such as friends, relatives, and/ or private or public agencies. This problem is expected to continue for at least a number of years to come. In 1961, the Federal Government spent, or administered, $\$ 15$ billion for the economic welfare of persons 65 years of age and over ${ }^{13}$ of which the Mississippi aged population received its share.

Table 17. Income of Heads of Households, Age 65 and Over, Mississippi, 1960.

| Income in 1959 | Household heads age <br> 65 <br> and over |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent |
| None | 5,102 | 4.6 |
| $\$ 1$ to 499 or a loss | 35,506 | 32.2 |
| $\$ 500$ to 999 | 30,830 | 28.0 |
| $\$ 1,000$ to 1,499 | 13,476 | 12.2 |
| $\$ 1,500$ to 1,999 | 8,254 | 7.5 |
| $\$ 2,000$ to 2,499 | 5,000 | 4.5 |
| $\$ 2,500$ to 2,999 | 2,505 | 2.3 |
| $\$ 3,000$ to 4,999 | 5,003 | 4.5 |
| $\$ 5,000$ or more | 4,620 | 4.2 |

Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Housing, 1960, Housing of Senior Citizens, Vol. VII, Table A-4, p. 189.

## CONCLUSION

The changing conditions in the many facets of social life profoundly affect not only youth but also the aged. To meet their respective needs, one must plan. One way in which such planning may be facilitated is by estimating
changes in population between Census years. Table 18 shows provisional estimates of the aged population for the year 1965. Another aid may be found in the projection of changes for the near and more distant future. George L. Wilber and Ellen S. Bryant have projected that by the year 1975 the aged population of the State will have increased to the effect that it will comprise 10 per cent of the total population. Their calculations show a possible increase of between 35 and 50 per cent in aged persons per se from 1960 to 1985. The increases are expected to continue to be considerably higher for the white than from the nonwhite population. ${ }^{14}$ These figures suggest a need for community planning to meet the problems of the aged.

[^8]Table 18. 1965 Estimates of Population 65 Years of Age and Over, for Mississippi, by County and Race.

| County | Total | White | Nonwhite | County | Total | White | Nonwhite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams | 2,894 | 1,327 | 1,567 | Lincoln | 2,770 | 2,065 | 705 |
| Alcorn | 2,992 | 2,657 | 335 | Lowndes | 3,634 | 2,234 | 1,400 |
| Amite | 1,386 | 855 | 531 | Madison | 2,922 | 1,172 | 1,750 |
| Attala -...-.............- | 2,444 | 1,792 | 652 | Marion | 2,099 | 1,400 | 699 |
| Benton | 755 | 601 | 154 | Marshall | 2,032 | 800 | 1,232 |
| Bolivar | 4,893 | 1,483 | 3,410 | Monroe | 3,368 | 2,319 | 1,049 |
| Calhoun | 1,451 | 1,276 | 175 | Montgomery | 1,704 | 1,217 | 487 |
| Carroll | 1,181 | 686 | 495 | Neshoba - | 2,533 | 2,194 | 339 |
| Chickasaw | 2,035 | 1,330 | 705 | Newton | 2,402 | 1,695 | 707 |
| Choctaw | 1,114 | 943 | 171 | Noxubee | 1,490 | 471 | 1,019 |
| Claiborne | 866 | 246 | 620 | Oktibbeha | 2,148 | 1,233 | 915 |
| Clarke | 1,887 | 1,250 | 637 | Panola | 2,745 | 1,396 | 1,349 |
| Clay | 1,757 | 819 | 938 | Pearl River | 1,968 | 1,588 | 380 |
| Coahoma | 4,329 | 1,585 | 2,744 | Perry | 897 | 637 | 260 |
|  | 3,036 | 1,790 | 1,246 | Pike | 3,489 | 2,350 | 1,139 |
| Covington .....-.....- | 1,316 | 874 | 442 | Pontotoc | 2,151 | 1,918 | 233 |
| DeSoto -.- .-....-...-.-. | 1,992 | 877 | 1,115 | Prentiss | 1,900 | 1,700 | 200 |
| Forrest | 4,213 | 3,051 | 1,162 | Quitman | 1,897 | 702 | 1,177 |
| Franklin | 898 | 553 | 345 | Rankin | 4,380 | 3,240 | 1,140 |
| George | 809 | 695 | 114 | Scott | 2,118 | 1,417 | 701 |
| Greene | 1,016 | 846 | 170 | Sharkey | 820 | 286 | 534 |
| Grenada | 1,670 | 880 | 790 | Simpson | 2,120 | 1,600 | 520 |
| Hancock | 1,724 | 1,499 | 225 | Smith | 1,416 | 1,234 | 182 |
| Harrison | 8,049 | 6.625 | 1,424 | Stone | 776 | 648 | 128 |
| Hinds | 13,073 | 7,768 | 5,305 | Sunflower | 3,749 | 1,355 | 2,394 |
| Holmes | 3,246 | 1,004 | 2,242 | Tallahatchie | 2,393 | 972 | 1,421 |
| Humphreys -...... | 1,802 | 531 | 1,271 | Tate | 1,848 | 905 | 943 |
| Issaquena -............ | 267 | 79 | 188 | Tippah | 1,921 | 1,610 | 311 |
| Itawamba | 1,652 | 1,592 | 60 | Tishomingo | 1,814 | 1,741 | 73 |
| Jackson | 3,056 | 2,597 | 459 | Tunica | 1,421 | 270 | 1,151 |
| Jasper | 1,979 | 1,324 | 655 | Union | 2,009 | 1,742 | 267 |
| Jefferson | 1,369 | 527 | 842 | Walthall | 1,139 | 814 | 325 |
| Jefferson Davis | 1,233 | 580 | 653 | Warren | 4,446 | 2,144 | 2,302 |
| Jones | 4,,469 | 3,400 | 1,069 | Washington | 6,414 | 2,439 | 3,975 |
| Kemper | 1,451 | 944 | 507 | Wayne | 1,473 | 1,073 | 400 |
| Lafayette | 1,613 | 1,203 | 410 | Webster | 1,339 | 1,140 | 199 |
| Lamar | 1,230 | 859 | 371 | Wilkinson | 1,170 | 464 | 706 |
| Lauderdale | 7,255 | 5,391 | 1,864 | Winston | 1,750 | 1,213 | 537 |
| Lawrence | 1,091 | 722 | 369 | Yalobusha | 1,725 | 1,152 | 573 |
| Leake | 2,104 | 1,504 | 600 | Yazoo | 3,186 | 1,460 | 1,726 |
| Lee | 3,643 | 2,795 | 848 |  |  |  |  |
| Leflore | 3,887 | 1,652 | 2,235 | TOTALS | 00,685 | 124,022 | 75,663 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The author acknowledges the helpful suggestions given by Dr. Marion T. Loftin, Professor of Sociology and Anthropology. She also expresses her appreciation to Mrs. Ellen S. Bryant, Assistant Sociologist, for providing the unpublished population estimates.
    ${ }^{2}$ Throughout this paper "aged population" refers to the age category 65 years old and over. The term will be used interchangeably with "senior citizens" and "older citizens."
    ${ }^{3}$ Marion T. Loftin, Mississippi's Older People. State College, Mississippi AES Bulletin, 599 (June, 1960).

[^1]:    *Figures computed from Table 2.

[^2]:    4The 1950 Census of Agriculture defined a farm as a place of 3 or more acres on which the value of agricultural products in 1949, exclusive of home garden, amounted to $\$ 150$ or more. The agricultural products could have been either for home use or for sale. Places of less than 3 acres were counted as farms only if the value of sales of agricultural products in 1949 amounted to $\$ 150$ or more.

    The 1960 Census defined the farm population as consisting of persons living in rural territory on places of 10 or more acres from which the sale of farm products amounted to $\$ 50$ or more in 1959, or on places of less than 10 acres from which the sales of farm products amounted to $\$ 250$ or more in 1959.

[^3]:    Source: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, General Population Characteristics, 26B, Table 16.
    *The figures given differ from those listed in Vol. 26C, Table 37.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, State of Birth, PC (2), 2A, Table 25.
    ${ }^{7}$ Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Detailed Characteristics, PC (1). 26D, Table 100.
    ${ }^{8}$ Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Lifetime and Recent Migration, PC (2), 2D, Table 6.
    ${ }^{9}$ Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Housing, 1960, Housing of Senior Citizens, Vol. VII.

[^5]:    Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Characteristics of the

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ The employment data refer to the calendar week prior to the date on which the responses were received. Employed persons comprise those who did any work for pay or profit, or worked without pay for 15 hours or more on a family farm or in a family business, or were "with a job but not at work."

[^7]:    Sources: Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population, 1950, Characteristics of the
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