

6-1-2016

Gender diversity of boardrooms and firm financial performance

Perihan Iren
Zayed University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works>



Part of the [Business Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Iren, Perihan, "Gender diversity of boardrooms and firm financial performance" (2016). *All Works*. 1762.
<https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works/1762>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ZU Scholars. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Works by an authorized administrator of ZU Scholars. For more information, please contact Yrjo.Lappalainen@zu.ac.ae, nikesh.narayanan@zu.ac.ae.

GENDER DIVERSITY OF BOARDROOMS AND FIRM FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Perihan Iren*

*College of Business, Zayed University, Abu Dhabi, UAE

Abstract

The impact of boardroom diversity on firm financial performance has attracted growing research interest in recent years. However, due to the lack of readily available datasets for other parts of the world, most of the evidence is based on the US data. The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between gender diversity in the boardrooms and firm financial performance in a region, where it has never been studied before. Using a sample of 60 firms listed in Abu Dhabi and Dubai Stock Exchanges, first the impact of gender diverse boards on the accounting value of the firms is analyzed. Afterwards, stock price reactions to the announcement of the gender quotas on corporate boards in the UAE are examined. The results do not show a significant impact of female directors on the firm's both accounting and market value. However, these results should be interpreted carefully since the presence of women in leading positions might affect different aspects of the firm practices.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Board of Directors, Gender Diversity, UAE

1. INTRODUCTION

Boardroom diversity is a hotly debated issue in the corporate finance area. The literature has proved that diversity enhances the boardroom decision making and subsequently leads to better financial performance. Gender diversity in the boardrooms, however, is more of a controversial topic since the results of empirical evidence is mixed. While some studies have confirmed the positive relationship between female existence on boards and financial performance, some found no significant relationship. One of the reasons of the non-established evidence of the relationship between gender diversity and firm financial performance is the low number of female participation in the boardroom, while another is the lack of readily available data which would enable researchers to study the relationship. The highest percentage of female representation is seen in Scandinavian countries, Norway being the highest (22% in 2008), while the number gets exceptionally low in Arab countries (1.8% in the UAE as end of 2008). The aim of this study is to investigate the effects of female board participation on firm financial performance in the UAE. The study will contribute to the literature by providing evidence from a region where this issue had never been studied before.

Although gender diversity is generally viewed as a norm for equity and fairness, representation of women on corporate boards is very low throughout the world. As of 2009, only 15.2% of board members of the US Fortune 500 companies were females (Catalyst, 2009). Other Western countries also show a similar trend, except for Scandinavian countries where legal and political pressure enforces greater women representation on boards. However, even in Norway where the women representation is the highest, female participation in the boardroom

reaches only to 36.3 % by 2012. The numbers get worse when we look at the Middle East. By 2008, percentage of female board of members was only 1.5% for the whole GCC and 1.8 % for the UAE. Although the numbers are still very small, the future looks more promising as the percentages increase. While in 2008 there were only 6 females sitting on the boards of the UAE listed companies, as of 2012 the number has more than doubled and reached to 18. It is very likely that this increasing trend will continue since more educated and highly qualified females join the UAE workforce every year, who are as well supported by the legislation passed in 2012, requiring female board members in every public company.

The corporate world, legislators and regulators had reached a consensus on the benefits of diversity in the boardroom. Having board members with different ethnic backgrounds, age, experience, education and gender is believed to add value to the firm, bring diverse thoughts to the boardroom, enhance the decision making and subsequently increase the firm financial performance. However, this issue still needs further empirical investigation-particularly for the Middle East region, which is traditionally shaped by conservative and patriarchal cultures. In these societies, authority from women can be met by resistance. Even though these views and attitudes are relaxed compared to those over 50 years ago, Mostafa (2005) showed that only 20% of older people viewed themselves as having open minds towards female managers. Although many studies had shown the positive effects of gender diversity on financial performance in the Western World, studies concentrating outside the US and Europe are very scarce. Therefore, the aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between the female existence on corporate boards and the firm financial performance in the UAE.

The remainder of the study is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the previous evidence on the relationship between gender diversity in the boards and the firm performance. Section 3 discusses data and variables, Section 4 explains methodology and hypothesis. The results are presented in Section 5 and Section 6 concludes.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Literature has shown that better corporate governance practices lead to better firm performance (Iren and Bathala, 2009). Diversity in the boardrooms is one of the mostly researched attributes of good corporate governance. Diversity is perceived to increase the firm value. Proponents of diverse boardrooms argue that boardroom diversity enhances boardroom decision making by lessening the tendency to engage in groupthink (O'Connor, 2003&2006) and increasing the diversity of opinions represented in the boardroom (Ramirez, 2003 & Polden, 2005). Besides, the literature has shown that women are financially more risk averse than men (Jianakoplos and Bernasek, 1998; Ermer, Cosmides and Tooby, 2008) which would lead them to take financially more sound decisions. In a panel at World Economic Forum in Davos, a moderator asked the question: "Would the world be in this financial mess if it had been Lehman Sisters?" and the participants had agreed that the answer would be "No", cause if women were the ones to decide, they would be more cautious and wouldn't let the corrosive gambling culture to dominate the financial decisions (The New York Times, February 1, 2009). Furthermore, Adams and Ferreira (2009) documented that gender diverse boards are better monitors, more effective and have less attendance problems.

Despite boardroom diversity is socially desirable and believed to enhance the corporate performance, empirical evidence on positive effects of gender diversity is inconclusive. Although many studies showed a positive relationship between female participation on boards and firm performance, some failed to present a significant relationship. Utilizing US data, Erhardt, Werbel and Shroder (2003) documented a significant positive relationship between gender diversity on boards and firm performance when return on assets (ROA) and return on investment (ROI) are used as a proxy of firm performance. Using Tobin's Q to measure the firm performance, Carter, Simkins and Simpson (2003) also confirmed the significant relationship between women existence on boards and firm financial performance. On the other hand, using data from US Fortune 1000 firms, Carter, D'Souza, Simkins and Simpson (2010) couldn't verify a significant relationship between women representation on boards and firm financial performance. Bonn (2004) confirmed a positive impact of female board members on the Australian firms' book-to-market ratios, while Nguyen and Faff (2007) observed similar results on Canadian firms' value measured by Tobin's Q. In earlier studies, Shrader, Blackburn and Iles (1997) didn't find a significant impact on firms' profitability and return, likewise Zahra and Stanton (1988) found no relationship between gender diversity and firm's ROA, profitability or dividends.

Data outside the US, also presented inconclusive evidence. While a positive effect on firm value is shown by Campbell and Minguez - Vera (2008) in Spain and by Luckerath-Rovers (2013) in Denmark; Smith, Smith and Verner (2006) found no significant relationship between female representation on boards and Danish firms' performance.

In sum, the evidence on the effects of gender diverse boards on financial performance is mixed. Since the data for the Middle Eastern countries is not readily available, researchers were inclined to focus on economies where data collection is not an issue. Therefore, there is no evidence on the relationship between gender diversity and firm performance in that region. This study aims to contribute to the literature by providing evidence from a region and country, UAE, where no such a study had been conducted before.

3. DATA AND VARIABLES

The sample includes 60 firms listed on the Abu Dhabi and Dubai Stock Exchanges for the period from 2005 to 2012. Since the directors data for companies listed in the UAE exchanges is not readily available, the data about the gender of the directors is hand collected from the companies' annual reports. To identify the gender of the board members, first, photos in the annual reports are utilized. If the photos are not published in the annual report, identifying pronouns like he/she, him/her, his/her are used. If these are not available, first name of the person is used to identify the gender. If the name is a unisex name, an internet search with the full name of the director is used. Companies whose annual reports are not available, missing or doesn't include the relevant information are excluded from the final sample. Data needed to compute Tobin's Q, ROA and the control variables are taken from the COMPUSTAT database.

Consistent with the past literature (Campbell and Miguez-Vera (2008); Adams and Ferreira (2009); Carter et. al. (2010), Ahern and Ditmar (2012)), Tobin's Q is used a proxy for the firm value. Tobin's Q is calculated as the sum of total assets and market value of equity less common equity divided by total assets. Gender diversity is measured by a dummy variable which takes a value of 1 in case of the existence of a female board director, and 0 otherwise. As for robustness, percentage of female board members is used as another gender diversity measure.

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for the sample firms. The average size of the firms in the sample is AED 358 billion. The average board is made up of 8 directors. Firms in the sample are around 20 years old and their CEOs have been serving that role for an average of 5 years. The percentage of female directors in the boardroom is quite low. However, when the number of female directors is examined through years, an increasing trend in the female existence in the UAE boardrooms is noticed.

4. METHODOLOGY AND HYPOTHESIS

To understand the impact of female existence on the firm financial performance, first the means of

different firm characteristics across firms are compared for the firms that have female directors versus the firms that have boards comprised of only male directors. Then, a two-stage least squares (2SLS) model is estimated to understand the effect

of gender diversity on firm value and finally an event study is conducted to see the impact of female board members quota announcement on firms' stock prices.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

This table presents descriptive statistics for sample firms. The sample includes 60 firms listed on Abu Dhabi and Dubai Securities Exchanges for the period from 2005 to 2012.

Variable	n	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Total Assets	287	358,417	3,978,183	29.23	51,210,100
Board size	228	8.237	1.768	5.00	14.00
CEO/Chair duality	230	0.026	0.160	0.00	1.00
Firm Age	280	21.657	13.175	0.00	49.00
CEO Tenure	135	5.607	6.577	1.00	31.00
Leverage	264	60.258	662.075	0.06	8,088.83
ROA	264	2.264	7.856	-44.35	26.11
Tobin's Q	264	1.052	0.555	0.39	7.24
Female director existence dummy	218	0.064	0.246	0.00	1.00
Number of female directors on the board	222	0.036	0.187	0.00	1.00
Percentage of Female directors	222	0.004	0.019	0.00	0.11

The fundamental hypothesis tested is as follows:

Ho: "All else being equal, gender diversity on corporate boards has no impact on firm financial performance"

If the fundamental hypothesis is rejected, it will be inferred that the gender diversity affects firm value. Significant negative or insignificant estimates for the gender diversity variable must be interpreted carefully, as it wont mean that female directors are hindering the financial performance of firms. Instead, these results might imply that the female board members are used simply as window-dressing (Helland and Sykuta, 2004).

When analyzing the female directors' effect on governance, endogeneity is always a concern. While it might be true that firms which have females on their boards have better financial performance, it might also be true that firms which perform better and have better governance practices choose to include women in their boardrooms. To control for the possibility of the endogeneity, which could bias the coefficients obtained, the following system of equations is estimated using 2SLS:

$$Firm\ value = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Gender\ Diversity + \sum ax + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

$$Gender\ Diversity = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Firm\ Value + \sum \beta z + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

Where x and z are vector of several control variables. Following the previous literature (Carter et. al, 2003; Campbell and Miguessvera, 2008), vector x consists of board size (measured by the natural logarithm of the number of directors), CEO/Chair duality dummy, CEO tenure, leverage, firm size (measured by the natural logarithm of total assets), return on assets (ROA) and industry dummies. Vector z includes board size, firm size, CEO/Chair duality dummy, CEO tenure, firm age and industry dummies.

After analyzing the impact of gender diversity on accounting measures of the performance, it is interesting to observe same impact on the market measure of the performance. Therefore, at the last stage of the study, stock price effect of the announcement of gender quotas on boards is analyzed by event study approach.

In recent years, several countries introduced gender quotas on the boardrooms; including

Norway, Italy, France, Belgium, Spain, Iceland and Malaysia. Quotas have been seriously discussed in Sweden, Germany, United Kingdom and Canada. By the end of 2012, UAE cabinet has made it compulsory for all public and private sector organizations to include women on their board of directors. The announcement is made to public by Vice President and Prime Minister of the UAE Sheik Mohammed bin Rashid Al Maktoum on December 9th, 2012. He stated that: "Women proved themselves in many workplaces & today we want them to have a strong presence in decision-making positions in our institutions. We have made a decision to make the representation of women, in all the boards of directors of companies and government entities compulsory."

An event study is conducted to understand the stock price reaction to the initial announcement of the quota. The standard market model is used to estimate the abnormal return (AR) for stock i on day t as follows:

$$AR_{it} = R_{it} - \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_i R_{mt} \quad (3)$$

Where R_{it} is observed return on stock i on event day t ; R_{mt} is return on Abu Dhabi/Dubai Stock Exchange index on event day t ; $\hat{\alpha}_i$ and $\hat{\beta}_i$ are estimated regression parameters for stock i .

Market model parameters are calculated over a -201 to -2 day estimation period, where day 0 is the event date. Daily abnormal returns are then combined to form cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) over several event windows

5. RESULTS

5.1. Comparisons of firms with female directors and no female directors

In Table 2, means of firms with female directors are compared with means of firms with no female directors on their boards, utilizing standard t-tests of differences. When the different firm characteristics are analyzed for firms with at least one female director serving in the boardroom with the firms without female directors, there is not a big and significant difference in terms of board size (on average 8 directors) or Tobin's Q (on average 0.94-1.05).

Table 2. Comparison of means

This table presents t tests to compare the means for several variables between firms with female directors on boards and firms with no female directors on boards. The sample includes 60 firms listed on Abu Dhabi and Dubai Securities Exchanges for the period from 2005 to 2012. . ***, **, * indicates 1%, 5%, 10% significance levels respectively.

	<i>Boards with female directors</i>	<i>Boards with no female directors</i>	<i>t-test</i>
Board size	8.7143	8.2549	-0.95
ROA	-0.3344	2.4826	1.27
ROE	6.4344	5.3971	-0.13
Total Assets	59795.3	497392	0.35
Total Equity	10079.2	4551.8	-2.84***
Organizational Age	27.4286	20.2915	-1.93**
Average Price	3.4822	3.517	0.02
Tobin's Q	0.9401	1.0529	0.4947
CEO Tenure	3.3077	6.0826	1.38

Bigger firms (average total assets of AED 59 billion) choose to have at least one female director when compared to smaller firms (average total assets of AED 49 billion) but the difference is not significant. On average, firms with female directors have lower ROAs (-0.33%) when compared to firms without female directors (2.48%). However, this difference is not statistically significant. On average, more established firms (on average 27 years old) employ more females in their boardrooms when compared to younger firms (on average 20 years old) and this difference is statistically significant. Also,

firms financed with more solid equity base (AED 10 billion) choose to have female directors while firms with less equity (AED 4,5 billion) do not have a female director. And this difference is statistically significant. These comparisons suggest that firm characteristics might influence the firms' decisions to include female directors in their boardrooms. However, difference in means test only gives a comparison. Therefore, further tests are conducted to analyze the impact of gender diversity on firm financial performance.

Table 3. 2SLS panel data regression of gender diversity on boards and firm value

This table presents 2SLS results for the relationship between gender diversity on boards and firm value. The sample includes 60 firms listed on Abu Dhabi and Dubai Securities Exchanges for the period from 2005 to 2012. Firm value is measured by Tobin's Q while gender diversity is measured by two different variables: (1) a dummy variable which takes a value of 1 if there are any female directors on the board, 0 otherwise, (2) percentage of female directors on the board. Standard errors are reported in parentheses. ***, **, * indicates 1%, 5%, 10% significance levels respectively.

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Tobin's Q</i>	<i>Female presence on board</i>	<i>Tobin's Q</i>	<i>% of females on board</i>
Firm size	0.0338* (0.0177)	0.0132 (0.0201)	0.0293* (0.0168)	-0.0007 (0.0014)
Board size	.0886 (0.1166)	0.0514 (0.1708)	0.1154 (0.1165)	0.0309** (0.0126)
CEO/Chair duality	-0.1693* (0.1045)	-0.2392* (0.1417)	-0.1783* (0.1014)	-0.0185* (0.0105)
CEO tenure	0.0004 (0.0033)	-0.0111** (0.0045)	0.0007 (0.0032)	-0.0004 (0.0003)
Leverage	-0.0003 (0.00002)		-0.00002 (0.00002)	
ROA	.0052* (0.0029)		0.0045* (0.0027)	
Firm age		0.0064** (0.0027)		0.0002 (.0002)
Tobin's Q		-0.1303 (0.1355)		-0.0145 (0.0101)
Female presence on board	-0.0404 (0.0722)			
% of females on board			-1.0442 (0.9333)	
Constant	0.4746 (0.3444)	0.0468 (0.4873)	0.4562 (0.3342)	-0.0372 (0.0361)
Industry dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
N	107	106	111	109
Adjusted R	0.0476	0.0928	0.0487	0.0734

5.2. Regression results: gender diversity and firm value

Table 3 reports the results of the 2SLS panel data regression. In models (1) and (3), Tobin's Q is the dependent variable, while in models (2) and (4)

female presence on boards is used as the dependent variable.

In the Tobin's Q models firm size, ROA and CEO/Chair duality dummy are found to have significant effect on the firm value. The coefficient estimates for CEO/Chair duality is negative which suggest that the firm value declines when the CEOs

also serve as the Chair of the Board. As expected, coefficient estimate for ROA is positive, suggesting that more profitable firms have higher value. Also, the significant positive coefficient of firm size variable shows that bigger firms are able to attain higher values. These results are consistent with the literature (Carter et. al., 2003). When the hypothesis variables are examined, no significant impact of women presence on board on the firm value is observed.

In the female presence on boards models, CEO/Chair duality has a negative significant effect which implies that when the CEO also serves as the Chairman of the board, it is less likely that there will be female directors on the board. When the female presence is measured by the dummy variable, firm size and ROA has a positive and significant effect, which shows that bigger and more profitable firms will be more likely to have female members on their boards. When female presence is measured by the percentage of female directors on the board, board size has a positive effect, which suggests that in larger boards there is a greater probability of having females represented on the board.

5.3. Event study results: gender quota on boards and stock price

Event study results are presented at Table 4. The sample includes 47 companies with available daily stock price data over the estimation period and at the announcement date. Sheik Mohamed Mohammed bin Rashid Al Maktoum's announcement on December 9th, 2012 is taken as the event date. Both the average daily abnormal returns and the cumulative abnormal returns over several different event windows were insignificant. The results suggest that the market has not reacted to this initial announcement. When stock price reactions of companies with female directors on their boards are analyzed separately, all of them showed a positive abnormal return, with only one of them being significant.

Table 4. Event study- stock price reactions to gender quota on boards announcement

This table presents the results of stock return event study. The sample includes 47 companies listed on Abu Dhabi and Dubai Securities Exchanges. AR is the abnormal stock return for the portfolio of companies used in the analysis in event time; CAR is the cumulative abnormal stock return for the portfolio of companies used in the analysis in event time. ***, **, * indicates 1%, 5%, 10% significance levels respectively.

Event window	CAR	t-statistic	
[-1,0]	-0.238%	-0.71	
[0,+1]	-0.004%	-0.02	
[0,+2]	0.244%	0.56	
[0,+3]	0.192%	0.41	
Day	AR	t-statistic	N
-1	-0.125%	-0.44	47
0	-0.112%	-0.39	47
1	0.107%	0.5	47
2	0.249%	0.73	47
3	-0.052%	-0.26	47

These results might mean that the market perceives this legislation as window dressing and do not expect a change in firm value with the addition of female directors, or alternatively, it might also mean that the market is indifferent to having male or female directors and do not perceive the gender diversity on corporate boards as a value-enhancing practice. Even though the quotas were announced by the end of 2012, they are not fully implemented yet. Future studies should look into the market reaction to quotas when they are fully implemented.

6. CONCLUSION

Although the whole world has been changing rapidly, empowerment of women is still a debated issue. When compared to a few decades ago, today women are more educated, highly qualified and ready to assume responsibilities for high-level, highly-impactful positions in the corporate world. However, glass ceiling, traditional views on women and social structure still prevents women to reach higher positions. Even though it applies to the whole world, in the Middle Eastern region especially, women workforce is underutilized. Although the female representation in the corporate governance is socially desirable, the empirical evidence which proves the positive impact of female directors' existence on the firm value is still inconclusive. Especially, there are no studies covering the Middle Eastern region, partly due to the low number of female participation on the corporate boards and partly due to the lack of readily available data.

To fill this gap, this study focuses on the UAE markets and creates the first dataset regarding the boardroom diversity in the country. First, the impact of gender diverse boards on the accounting value of the firm is analyzed, followed by an event study to understand the change in the market value of the firm to the announcement of gender quotas on boards. The analysis of the results has failed to show a significant impact of female directors on the firm's both accounting and market value. However, these results are mainly due to the extremely low number of female directors serving on the UAE firms' boards.

Future studies should investigate and include more countries from the Middle East and compare the different trends in different countries. Besides, even after including more data to get better results, if the positive impact of female directors on the firm value cannot be proved, that doesn't necessarily imply a policy recommendation to lift or lower the gender quotas on the corporate boards. Female existence does not only bring diversity to the boardrooms but it might also affect many different aspects of the firm practices. For example, it is important to research if having females in the key positions in the firms affect hiring policies of the firms, or creating perceptions of female corporate leaders change the career paths of young women. Also, an interesting further avenue for research would be to compare the before and after the gender quota implementation in the UAE.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, R. B. and Ferreira D. (2009) "Women in the Boardroom and their Impact on Governance and

- Performance" *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94, 2, 291-309.
2. Ahern, K. R. and Dittmar, A. K. (2012) "The Changing of the Boards: The Impact on Firm Valuation of Mandated Female Board Representation" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127, 137-197.
 3. Bonn, I. (2004) "Board Structure and Firm Performance: Evidence from Australia" *Journal of the Australian & New Zealand Academy of Management*, 10, 1, 14-24.
 4. Campbell, K. and Minguez-Vera, A. (2008) "Gender Diversity in the Boardroom and Firm Financial Performance" *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83, 435-451.
 5. Carter, D. A., Simkins, B. J., & Simpson, W. G. (2003) "Corporate Governance, Board Diversity, and Firm Value" *Financial Review*, 38, 33-53.
 6. Carter, D.A., F. D'Souza, B.J. Simkins and W.G. Simpson (2010) "The Gender and Ethnic Diversity of US Boards and Board Committees and Firm Financial Performance" *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 18, 5, 396-414.
 7. Catalyst Inc. (2009) *Catalyst Census: Fortune 500 Women Board Directors*, New York
 8. Erhardt N., J. Werbel and C. Sharder (2003) "Board of Director Diversity and Firm Financial Performance" *Journal of Corporate Governance*, 11, 2, 102-111.
 9. Ermer, E., L. Cosmides and J. Tooby (2008) "Relative Status Regulates Risky Decision Making About Resources in Men: Evidence for the Co-Evolution of Motivation and Cognition" *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 29, 106-118.
 10. Hawkamah (2008) *Power matters: A survey of GCC boards*, UAE
 11. Hawkamah (2012) *UAE Women Board Directors Careers, Board Experiences and Recommendations for Change*, UAE
 12. Helland, E. and M. Sykuta (2004) "Regulation and the Evolution of Corporate Boards: Monitoring, Advising, or Window Dressing?" *Journal of Law and Economics*, 47, 167-193.
 13. Iren, P. and C. Bathala (2009) "Relation between Corporate Governance and Firm Valuation: Analysis of International Data" *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 2,1, 4-22.
 14. Jianakoplos, N.A. and A. Bernasek (1998) "Are Women More Risk Averse? Attitude toward Financial Risk" *Economic Inquiry*, 36, 620-631.
 15. Luckerath-Rovers, M. (2013) "Women on Board and Firm Performance" *Journal of Management and Governance*, 17, 2, 491-509.
 16. Mostafa, M.M. (2005) "Attitudes towards women managers in the United Arab Emirates: The effects of patriarchy, age, and sex differences", *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 20, 6, 522-540
 17. Nguyen, H. and R. Faff (2007) "Board Size and Board Diversity on Firm Value: Australian Evidence", *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 4, 24-32.
 18. O'Connor, M. A. (2003) "The Enron Board: The Perils of Groupthink" *University of Cincinnati Law Review*, 71, 1233-1319.
 19. O'Connor, M. A. (2006) "Women Executives in Gladiator Corporate Cultures: The Behavioral Dynamics of Gender, Ego, and Power" *Maryland Law Review*, 65, 465-481.
 20. Polden, D.J. (2005) "Forty Years After Title VII: Creating An Atmosphere Conducive to Diversity in the Corporate Boardroom" *University of Memphis Law Review*, 36, 67-91.
 21. Ramirez, S. (2003) "A Flaw in the Sarbanes-Oxley Reform: Can Diversity in the Boardroom Quell Corporate Corruption?" *St. John's Law Review*, 77, 837-856.
 22. Shrader, C.B., V.B. Blackburn and P. Iles (1997) "Women in Management and Firm Financial Performance: An Exploratory Study" *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 9, 355-372.
 23. Smith, N., V. Smith and M. Verner (2006) "Do Women in Top Management Affect Firm Performance? A Panel Study of 2500 Danish Firms" *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 55, 569-593.
 24. *The New York Times* (2009), February 1.
 25. Zahra, S.A. and Stanton, W.W. (1988) "The Implications of Board of Directors' Composition for Corporate Strategy and Performance" *International Journal of Management*, 5, 229-236.