

Document downloaded from:

[\[http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/5506\]](http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/5506)

This paper must be cited as:

[Llorens, Eugenio, Vicedo, Begonya, López, María M., Lapeña, Leonor, Graham, James H., García-Agustín, P. (2015). Induced resistance in sweet orange against 'Xanthomonas citri' subsp. 'citri' by hexanoic acid. *Crop Protection*, 74(0), 77-84.]

ivia
Institut Valencià
d'Investigacions Agràries

The final publication is available at

[\[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.04.008\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.04.008)

Copyright [Elsevier]

1 **Induced resistance in sweet orange against *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* by hexanoic**
2 **acid**

3 **Eugenio Llorens^a, Begonya Vicedo^a, María M. López^b, Leonor Lapeña^a, James H.**
4 **Graham^c, Pilar García-Agustín^a**

5 ^aGrupo de Bioquímica y Biotecnología, Departamento de Ciencias Agrarias y del Medio
6 Natural, Universitat Jaume I, Spain. *Correspondence to: Eugenio Llorens. Av Sos Baynat
7 S/N, 12071.Castellón de la Plana e-mail: ellorens@uji.es

8 ^b Departamento de Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de
9 Investigaciones Agrarias, Valencia Spain,

10 ^c Soil and Water Science Department, Citrus Research and Education Center, University of
11 Florida, IFAS, Lake Alfred, USA.

12

13 **ABSTRACT**

14 Citrus canker, caused by *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri*, is a serious and wide-spread
15 disease of citrus, causing losses in fruit yield and quality. There are no highly effective
16 citrus canker disease control measures. Repeated spray applications of copper are often
17 employed to protect fruit from bacterial infection with consequences for copper
18 phytotoxicity and accumulation in the soil. Alternatively, innate plant defense mechanisms
19 can be enhanced by plant treatments with specific natural and synthetic inducers for control
20 of bacterial diseases. In this study, hexanoic acid applied as a soil drench or foliar spray on
21 9-month-old potted citrus trees reduced lesions on leaves by 50% compared with control
22 plants. Disease-reducing activity lasted up to 50 days after application. Induction of
23 resistance mediated by hexanoic acid was demonstrated by enhanced expression of

24 Pathogenesis-related (*PR*) genes and callose deposition in treated and infected plants. These
25 findings indicated that hexanoic acid applications trigger a defensive response in the plants.
26 The application of this natural compound may have potential for management of citrus
27 canker in conjunction with other disease control measures and may reduce the frequency or
28 rate of copper bactericides.

29

30 **Keywords:** hexanoic acid, citrus, *Xanthomonas citri*, induced resistance.

31 **1. INTRODUCTION**

32 Citrus canker, caused by the bacterial pathogen, *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* (Xcc;
33 syn. *X. axonopodis* pv. *citri*), is a serious disease of commercial citrus cultivars including
34 grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi* Macf.) and early mid-season oranges (*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb) for
35 juice processing. The pathogen causes necrotic, erumpent lesions on leaves, stems, and
36 fruits that create a range of symptoms including defoliation, blemished fruit, premature fruit
37 drop, and twig dieback to general tree decline (Graham and Leite, 2004)

38 Currently, citrus canker is present in wet subtropical citrus-producing regions of the
39 world, but it has not been reported from areas dominated by Mediterranean climates such as
40 southern Europe, where it is considered a quarantine pathogen (EPPO, 2012). Rapidly
41 expanding leaves and continuously growing fruit tissues are most vulnerable to infection,
42 and field resistance of trees to Xcc is directly related to tissue juvenility (Graham et al.,
43 1992). Citrus cultivars and species with greater frequency, size, and duration of leaf flushes
44 and duration of fruit growth are more field-susceptible to Xcc than less vigorous cultivars
45 or those whose foliage matures more rapidly (Favaro et al., 2014; Gottwald et al., 1993).

46 There are no highly effective canker disease treatments when susceptible cultivars are
47 growing in areas with favorable conditions for bacterial multiplication. Copper reduces
48 bacterial populations on leaf surface, but multiple applications are needed to protect fruit of
49 susceptible citrus varieties. Disadvantages of long-term use of copper bactericides include
50 induced copper resistance in xanthomonad populations (Behlau et al., 2012) and
51 accumulation in soils with potential phytotoxic and adverse environmental effects. The
52 protective activity of copper is diminished by wind-blown rain that introduces bacteria
53 directly into stomata (Behlau et al., 2008; Serizawa and Inoue, 1974). However, other
54 bactericides such as antibiotic-based products are not as effective as copper because they
55 lack sufficient residual activity to protect leaf and fruit surfaces for extended periods
56 (Behlau et al., 2008; Graham et al., 2006). On the other hand, the lack of effective means of
57 control of this bacterium promoted new lines of investigation focused on the development
58 of transgenic plants with genes that confer resistance to Xcc (Cardoso et al., 2010; Mendes
59 et al., 2010).

60 Plant-pathogen interaction during infection induces signal cascades which activate a
61 cellular response to minimize lesions. Depending on the pathogen that activates the
62 response we can divide the defensive models in systemic acquired resistance (SAR),
63 herbivore-induced resistance (HIR) and induced systemic resistance (ISR). ISR is defined
64 as the induced resistance independent of Salicylic acid and mediated by Jasmonic acid,
65 which could include the resistance against herbivores, resistance against necrotrophic
66 pathogens and resistance induced by beneficial microbes. The SAR term defines the
67 resistance that is dependent of salicylic acid (SA) accumulation and resistance against
68 biotrophic pathogens (Pieterse et al., 2012).

69 Once the pathogen attack is recognized by the plant, it activates cell wall-associated
70 defense such as the callose deposition and the rapid accumulation of reactive oxygen
71 species (ROS) which is the principal initial barrier against *Xanthomonas* infection in citrus
72 plants (Enrique et al., 2011). In addition to these physical barriers, innate plant defenses
73 trigger a broad spectrum of metabolic and hormonal responses (Durrant and Dong, 2004;
74 Lorenzo et al., 2004). Natural induced resistance can be activated by pathogens, beneficial
75 microbes and herbivores. It is widely known that the exposure of plants to some stresses can
76 induce a state of sensitization of the whole plant for enhanced defense; characterized by a
77 faster and stronger activation of cellular defenses upon invasion. This state is known as
78 Priming of defense (Conrath, 2009; Goellner and Conrath, 2008; Jung et al., 2009; Pastor et
79 al., 2012).

80 In the recent years, various synthetic compounds including β -aminobutyric acid (BABA)
81 and acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) have been investigated for systemic disease control
82 without expression of a direct toxic effect on the pathogen (Jakab et al., 2001). In addition,
83 plant extracts of neem (*Azadirachta indica*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) and
84 curcuma rhizomes (*Curcuma longa* L.) (Vechet et al., 2009) have been reported to be
85 capable of controlling plant disease without directly inhibiting the pathogen.

86 Acibenzolar- S-methyl (ASM; Actigard or Bion; Syngenta Crop Protection), a functional
87 homolog of salicylic acid (SA), is the most widely known commercial resistance inducer
88 (Tally et al., 1999). Although ASM has been extensively evaluated as a component for
89 plant disease control in the field, its effectiveness in disease management has been
90 questioned due to variability of control (Walters and Fountaine, 2009). Field studies
91 showing promise for control of bacterial diseases have been conducted with foliar sprays of

92 ASM either alone or in combination with copper on tomato and pepper (Huang et al., 2012;
93 Louws et al., 2001; Ortuno et al., 2008; Romero et al., 2001). Recently, reductions in foliar
94 infection and canker-induced defoliation on young non-bearing grapefruit trees were
95 measured after soil applications with the neonicotinoids (imidacloprid and thiamethoxam)
96 and ASM (Graham and Myers, 2011). Expression of the PR (β -1,3 glucanase) gene, *PR2*, in
97 citrus increased in response to soil drenches of ASM and neonicotinoids (Francis et al.,
98 2009). Moreover, reduction of lesions was sustained for weeks with soil drenches, whereas
99 after a foliar spray of ASM, *PR2* activity and disease control lasted only weeks.

100 Similarly, our research group has demonstrated the efficacy of soil applications of
101 carboxylic acids for protecting tomato plants against *Alternaria solani* and *Phytophthora*
102 *citrophthora* (Flors et al., 2003). More recently, we found that hexanoic acid (Hx) can
103 protect Arabidopsis and tomato plants against *Botrytis cinerea* (Kravchuk et al., 2011;
104 Vicedo et al., 2009) and citrus plants against *Alternaria alternata* (Llorens et al., 2013).
105 This natural short-chain monocarboxylic acid displays antimicrobial activity and can also
106 induce plant defense responses when used as a priming agent. Post-infection, oxylinin (1,2-
107 oxo-phytodienoic acid; OPDA) and the bioactive molecule jasmonate-isoleucine (JA-Ile)
108 were significantly induced in treated plants. Additionally, abscisic acid (ABA) acted as a
109 positive regulator of Hx-induced resistance (Hx-IR) by enhancing callose accumulation
110 (Vicedo et al., 2009).

111 Plant disease control based on systemic resistance induced by a compound like Hx with
112 low toxicity could potentially be integrated with copper for citrus canker control as recently
113 proposed for other inducers of systemic acquired resistance (Graham and Myers, 2013).
114 Hence, the aim of this work was to evaluate the efficacy of Hx as an inducer of resistance

115 in citrus against Xcc and to compare the disease control and resistance responses with those
116 obtained after treatment of ASM. In addition, method of application, and longevity of the
117 systemic activity was assessed to determine whether Hx as an inducer of resistance has
118 potential for sustained control of citrus canker in the field.

119

120 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

121 *2.1 Bacterial strain, culture media and growth conditions*

122 The Xcc strain X2002-0014 used in this study was isolated in 2002 from sweet orange in
123 Dade County, FL and was routinely grown on Luria Bertani broth (LB) (10 g tryptone, 5 g
124 yeast extract and 5 g sodium chloride per liter) or on LB plates (1.5% bacteriological agar)
125 at 27°C for 48 h.

126 *2.2 Bacterial growth assay*

127 Growth of Xcc was measured in LB broth adjusted to pH 7 with addition of MES buffer
128 and amended with Hx (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO ref.153745) at 0, 0.06, 0.6, 1.5, 3, 6,
129 10, and 20 mM. Xcc was grown in LB broth overnight and the bacterial suspension was
130 centrifuged, washed and resuspended in 10 mM MgSO₄. The growth assay was carried out
131 in a total volume of 300 µL in microtiter wells using an initial bacterial density of 1.5×10^3
132 colony-forming units (cfu) mL⁻¹ adjusted with a spectrophotometer set at A_{600nm}. Bacteria
133 were incubated on a rotary shaker for 96 h at 26°C and optical density of the suspension
134 was measured every 10 min using a Bioscreen C Reader (Labsystems Oy, Helsinki,
135 Finland) set at A_{600nm}. After the growth assay, a LIVE/DEAD[®] (Life Technologies Corp,
136 Carlsbad, CA, USA) test was performed to assess cell mortality caused by Hx acid.

137 ***2.3 Inoculum preparation, plant treatment and inoculation procedures***

138 Xcc inoculum was prepared in nutrient broth and grown at 28°C for 24 h to log phase.
139 Bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 10,000g for 20 min, re-suspended in phosphate
140 buffer saline (PBS; 40 mM Na₂HPO₄ + 25 mM KH₂PO₄), and adjusted to 10⁴ cfu mL⁻¹ for
141 attached leaf inoculations and 10⁵ cfu mL⁻¹ for detached leaf inoculations as previously
142 described (Francis et al., 2010).

143 Nine-month-old ‘Pineapple’ sweet orange plants growing in 2.5-L containers of a
144 general purpose peat-based soil (Pro-Mix BX; Premier Horticulture, Red Hill, PA) were
145 maintained in a greenhouse located at the Citrus Research and Education Center in Lake
146 Alfred, FL. Four weeks prior to the treatments, seedlings were cut back to approximately
147 40 cm, and only one shoot per plant was allowed to grow to approximately 20–30 cm in
148 order to obtain 4–5 immature leaves (75% expanded) suitable for treatment and/or
149 inoculation.

150 Test compounds were applied in a single application as a soil drench (500 ml of solution
151 per pot) or as a foliar spray (100 mL of solution per plant) using an airbrush (Crown Spra-
152 Tool, Aervoe Industries, Inc.). The timing of treatments and their rates were chosen based
153 in previous reports (Francis et al., 2009; Llorens et al., 2013) of effective dosages and
154 timing of applications. In brief: acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM; Actigard® 50WG, Syngenta
155 Crop Protection) used as a positive control was applied as a foliar spray at 1 mM 4 days
156 before inoculation or as a soil drench applied 7 days before inoculation; Hx was applied as
157 a foliar spray at 1mM or 3 mM one day before inoculation or as a soil drench at 1 mM 4
158 days before inoculation. In all the experiments, nontreated and inoculated plants were
159 included as nontreated checks (NTC). Inoculation of all plants was made on the same day.

160 For Xcc inoculation, immature leaves (75% expanded) were injection-infiltrated in the
161 abaxial side with 10^4 or 10^5 colony forming units (cfu) mL^{-1} respectively for attached leaf
162 or detached leaf assay using a tuberculin syringe (1 cm^3) with no needle as previously
163 described (Francis et al., 2009). A 6-mm diameter area of the leaf was infiltrated with
164 approximately 2 μL of bacterial suspension. Three injections were performed on each side
165 of the leaf mid-vein.

166 ***2.4 Detached leaf assay***

167 After spray applications, five leaves per treatment were collected from greenhouse
168 plants in the morning, rinsed and disinfested as previously described (Francis et al., 2010).
169 Leaves were rinsed three times with sterile distilled water in the same plastic bags to
170 remove any debris or spray residues, dipped in 70% ethanol for 30 s, immersed in 0.5%
171 sodium hypochlorite for 30 s, and then immediately rinsed three times with sterile distilled
172 water. Leaves handled by the petiole end placed on a sterile paper towel and inoculated
173 with 10^5 cfu mL^{-1} as described above. Excess inoculum was wiped from the leaf surface
174 with a sterile paper towel. Inoculated leaves were placed on the surface of soft water agar
175 (0.5%) with the abaxial side up. The petiole was removed and the leaf pressed onto the agar
176 surface with a plastic spreader to obtain as much contact as possible. Petri dishes were
177 sealed with Parafilm and incubated in an environmentally controlled growth chamber under
178 fluorescent light at $60 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 12 h photoperiod at 28°C . Symptoms on the
179 inoculated detached leaves were assessed 7, 10 and 14 days post inoculation (dpi). The
180 experiment was conducted three times with six replications per treatment.

181 ***2.5 Attached leaf assay***

182 After spray or soil drench treatments, 4 leaves per plant were injection-infiltrated with
183 inoculum on the abaxial surface of the leaf. Four plants per treatment were inoculated with
184 Xcc and a buffer control was mock inoculated with sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS).

185 Greenhouse inoculations were performed from 9:00 to 12:00 h when stomata were fully
186 open with 10^4 cfu ml⁻¹ as described above. Inoculated shoots were immediately enclosed in
187 plastic bags for 48 h. After removal of the bags, plants were rotated on the greenhouse
188 bench twice weekly. Leaf samples were taken at 10 and 20 dpi. Lesions on the inoculated
189 leaves were counted under a hand lens ($\times 10$) at 20 dpi.

190 To determine the persistence of the treatment effect over time, after the first set of
191 inoculations, all the leaves were removed to force a new flush. Shoots were allowed to
192 grow another 2 weeks until 4–6 new leaves developed. When the leaves achieved the
193 requisite size, a second set of inoculations was performed (5 weeks after initial treatment)
194 without an additional chemical application. The experiment was conducted three times with
195 six replications per treatment.

196 ***2.6 Quantification of Xcc***

197 Viable bacteria in the inoculated areas were estimated at 14 dpi for detached leaf assay
198 and 20 for attached leaf assay. Leaf disks (6 mm diameter) were excised from three
199 infiltrated sites and ground in 1 mL of PBS buffer using a glass homogenizer. Serial
200 dilutions of bacterial suspension were plated on KCC medium (nutrient agar plus
201 kasugamycin 16 mg L⁻¹, cephalixin 16 mg L⁻¹, and chlorothalonil 12 mg L⁻¹) as
202 previously described (Francis et al., 2010). Total bacterial colonies were expressed as log
203 cfu per inoculation site. Total Xcc populations per inoculation site were quantified by
204 quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) as previously described (Francis et al., 2009). In brief,

205 leaf disks (6 mm diameter) were excised from three infiltrated sites and processed for DNA
206 extraction with the mini DNA kit for plant tissue (QIAGEN Sciences Inc., Germantown,
207 MD). Q-PCR assays were carried out using primers and probe for the *Xanthomonas*
208 pathogenesis gene (*pth* gene) that occurs universally in Xcc (Francis et al., 2009).

209 ***2.7 Reverse transcription and real-time quantitative PCR analysis of plant gene*** 210 ***expression***

211 Three discs of 6-mm diameter per plant and treatment were collected 10 and 20 days
212 after first and 10 and 20 days after second, inoculations and frozen in liquid nitrogen and
213 stored at -80°C until processed. Each plant was processed as a different biological sample.
214 RNA was extracted using an RNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following the
215 manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription and real-time quantitative PCR (RT-
216 qPCR) were performed as previously described (Francis et al., 2009). The primers used in
217 the RT-qPCR were *pth* and *PR2* previously described by Francis et al. (2009), Callose
218 synthase 1 (*CALs1*) described by Enrique et al. (2011) and Allene oxide synthase (*AOS*)
219 and *PR5* described by Fernández-Crespo et al. (2012). Actin and 18S gene expression were
220 used as an internal standard (Yan et al., 2012) (supplementary table 1: primer sequences).

221 ***2.8 Callose deposition***

222 Callose deposition was determined as described by Flors et al. (2007) in control and
223 infected leaves at 20 days after first and 20 days after second inoculation. Leaves were
224 collected at the time-points indicated and incubated in 95% ethanol at room temperature.
225 De-stained leaves were washed in 0.07 M phosphate buffer (pH 7), incubated for 15 min in
226 0.07 mM phosphate buffer containing 0.01% aniline blue at room temperature, and then
227 incubated in 0.1% aniline blue one week at room temperature. Observations were

228 performed with an epifluorescence microscope. Callose deposition was quantified from
229 digital photographs of aniline blue-stained leaves. Fluorescence emitted by stained callose
230 was observed under UV light as bright yellow spots and were analysed for number of pixels
231 using ADOBE PHOTOSHOP CS4 software. Callose intensity was expressed as the average
232 of yellow pixels/million pixels on digital photography.

233 ***2.9 Data analysis***

234 Data were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test prior to the statistical analysis.
235 Data not following a normal distribution (bacterial populations) were log-transformed
236 before analysis. Treatments were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using Statgraphics
237 centurion XVI.I software (Statistical Graphycs Corp.), and means were separated using
238 Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) at 95%. Treatments were 1) non-inoculated
239 nontreated plants, 2) inoculated nontreated plants, 3) inoculated Hx soil drench treated
240 plants 4) inoculated Hx spray treated plants 5) inoculated ASM soil drench treated plants
241 and 6) inoculated ASM spray treated plants. All experiments were repeated three times with
242 six plants per treatment. Figures show the average of three independent experiments.

243 **3. RESULTS**

244 ***3.1 Characterisation of antibacterial activity of hexanoic acid***

245 To characterise the effect of Hx on Xcc *in vitro*, bacterial growth was measured for 96 h
246 in LB medium amended with increasing concentrations of Hx (0.06, 0.6, 1.5, 3, 6, 10 and
247 20 mM). Hx at 0.06 mM did not affect the growth of Xcc compared with the non-amended
248 control whereas 0.6, 1.5 and 3 mM Hx inhibited bacterial growth observed in a reduction of
249 optical density by 8%, 11% and 16%, respectively (Fig. 1). At these concentrations, Hx

250 reduced the rate of bacterial growth because entry into the lag phase was significantly
251 ($P < 0.05$) delayed compared with the control and 0.06 mM treatments. Concentrations
252 greater than 3 mM completely inhibited Xcc growth. Hx at 0.6, 1.5 and 3 mM apparently
253 had a temporary bacteriostatic effect which may explain the reduction in the rate of
254 bacterial growth. Assay with the Live/Dead Cell Viability kit indicated that
255 concentrations greater than 3 mM Hx did not kill Xcc cells.

256 ***3.2 Lesion development and bacterial populations in a detached leaf assay***

257 Treatments with Hx and ASM of detached leaves inoculated with Xcc almost
258 completely prevented development of lesions compared with nontreated checks (NTC) at
259 15 days post-inoculation (dpi) (Fig. 2) Based on quantification of total Xcc populations at
260 15 dpi by RTq-PCR population was reduced by 1.41 log units with 1mM Hx and 0.91 log
261 units with Hx 3 mM, compared to the NTC (7.99 log), whereas ASM reduced the
262 populations 1.57 log units (Table 1)."

263 Recovery of viable bacteria was 2.32 log units lower in the 1.0 mM Hx-treated leaves
264 (3.97 log), 1.81 log units lower in the 3.0 mM Hx-treated leaves (4.48 log) and 2.51 log
265 units lower in the ASM-treated leaves (3.78 log) compared with the NTC leaves (6.29 log)
266 (Table 1).

267 For subsequent experiments, 1.0 mM Hx was chosen because, *in vitro*, this
268 concentration significantly reduced infection and bacterial population development without
269 causing direct toxicity to Xcc.

270 ***3.3 Lesion development and bacterial populations in the attached leaf assay***

271 At 20 days after the initial inoculation of attached leaves with Xcc, soil drench and foliar
272 spray treatments with Hx reduced lesions by 50.7% and 47.4%, respectively, compared to
273 the NTC (Table 2). Hx treatments also significantly reduced the viable Xcc populations in
274 leaves when compared with the NTC plants (Table 2). Hx treatments reduced the number
275 of lesions to similar levels to those obtained in plants treated with the ASM in spray.
276 However, this reduction was lower than that produced by the ASM soil drench. After the
277 second inoculation of the treated plants, Hx soil drench and foliar treatments reduced
278 lesions by 68.5% and 65.5%, respectively, compared to the NTC. Soil drench and foliar Hx
279 reduced Xcc populations by more than 50%. The magnitude of bacterial population control
280 was similar to that obtained after treatment with ASM. After the second inoculation, the
281 reductions produced by Hx treatments were greater than that for ASM spray, but less than
282 that for ASM soil drench. The Xcc populations estimated by Q-PCR in the treated leaves
283 after the first and second inoculations did not differ from the NTC (Table 2).

284 ***3.4 Expression analysis of defense-related genes after Xcc inoculation***

285 Transcription of the *PR2*, *PR5* and allene oxide synthase (*AOS*) genes was monitored in
286 leaves located immediately below the inoculation point on the shoot (basal leaves). After
287 the first inoculation of plants at 10 dpi, the expression of *PR5* was unaffected, and *AOS* and
288 *PR2* slightly increased. At 20 dpi, expression of both of the *PR* genes was significantly
289 increased by Hx and ASM applied as spray or in soil drench compared to the nontreated
290 and infected control (Fig. 3a and c), However, *AOS* gene only showed significant induction
291 with soil drench treatment.

292 After the second inoculation at 10 dpi, *AOS* expression was promoted by all the
293 treatments (Fig. 3b), but a lesser effect was observed for the Hx soil drench treatment.

294 Expression of *PR2* (Fig. 3d) was induced by Hx and ASM. This gene, after the second
295 inoculation, showed an expression much greater than observed after the initial inoculation
296 with both compounds, and the induced expression was also much greater for ASM as
297 compared to Hx. Unlike the *AOS* and *PR2* gene responses, *PR5* only responded at 20 days
298 after the first inoculation but showed no significant differences from NTC after the second
299 inoculation (Fig. 3e and f). The treated non-inoculated control did not show changes in the
300 gene expression compared with the nontreated non-inoculated plants (Fig. 3).

301 ***3.5 Callose deposition***

302 To assess the mechanism of resistance induced by Hx, callose formation was observed at
303 the Xcc infection site. Callose accumulation significantly increased upon infection in the
304 plants treated with soil drench-applied Hx after the first inoculation (Fig. 4a), whereas all
305 the treatments produced significant differences after the second inoculation. Callose
306 accumulation was 4 times higher than the other treatments. A higher *CALSI* gene
307 expression in the Hx-soil drench treated plants confirmed the *in situ* callose response (Fig.
308 4b). In contrast, Hx applied with spray and the ASM treatments did not enhance callose.

309

310 **4. DISCUSSION**

311 Hx is a natural monocarboxylic acid produced by several plants including strawberry
312 (Zabetakis et al., 2000) and *Arbutus unedo* (Soufleros et al., 2005). Hx is also detected in
313 butter and butter oil (Peterson and Reineccius, 2003) and in cheeses (Morales et al., 2006),
314 and contributes to their aromatic character. This acid has been tested as a resistance inducer
315 in crop plants such as tomato against *B. cinerea* (Vicedo et al., 2009), *P. syringae* (Scalschi

316 et al., 2013), and in citrus against the fungus *Alternaria alternata* (Llorens et al., 2013). In
317 the present work we evaluated the effectiveness of Hx for host-mediated resistance against
318 Xcc, the cause of citrus canker, the direct activity against the bacterium, and the longevity
319 of the protective effect.

320 *In vitro*, Hx concentrations over 0.6 mM in LB medium delay Xcc growth. Results
321 indicated that Hx delayed entry of the bacterium into the stationary phase, but did not
322 reduce the size of bacterial population. The LIVE/DEAD[®] assay demonstrated that the
323 growth inhibition was a bacteriostatic effect. In detached citrus leaf inoculations, Hx at 1.0
324 and 3.0 mM reduced lesion number and viable and total Xcc populations. In attached leaf
325 inoculation of plants, both soil drench and foliar Hx spray applications reduced the number
326 of lesions produced by Xcc. Hx produced a similar reduction in lesions and the bacterial
327 population as ASM. These disease control effects are consistent with those reported by
328 Francis et al. (2009), who demonstrated that soil drenches with ASM reduced lesions and
329 Xcc populations in leaves and differences in response between the spray and soil
330 applications. Hx and ASM significantly reduced canker symptoms for up to 45 days after
331 treatment, but disease control activity was longer-lasting after soil drenching.

332 Activation of defense pathways was confirmed by elevated expression of PR genes.
333 Wang et al. (2012) demonstrated that the exogenous application of SA promoted the
334 expression of PR genes and reduced the occurrence of canker disease. Moreover, Francis et
335 al. (2009) observed a correlation between the expression of PR2 and lesion reduction. Gene
336 expression responses suggest that Hx activates both the AOS and PR responses, but AOS
337 induction was significant only at 20 dpi after the first inoculation in soil drench. Similar
338 results were obtained by Fu et al. (2011) using transgenic sweet orange plants

339 overexpressing spermidine synthase, which induced high constitutive levels of *PR* gene
340 expression and resistance to *Xcc*. These authors (Fu et al., 2011) observed an induction of
341 *AOS* after *Xcc* infection, which implies that jasmonic acid (JA) synthesis is involved in
342 resistance. A relationship between the inductions of JA/SA pathways is supported by
343 previous results for Hx activity in tomato against *P. syringae* (Scalschi et al., 2013).

344 The activation of defense pathways also shows differences in accordance with the mode
345 of application and the time that elapsed after treatment. Hx and ASM produced a quicker
346 *PR2* response in the spray-treated plants, but gene expression was higher in the soil drench-
347 treated plants after the second inoculation. This elevated *PR2* expression after the ASM
348 application was previously described by Francis et al. (2009).

349 Enhanced callose deposition in Hx soil drench-treated plants after the first inoculation
350 was correlated with the *CALSI* gene expression. This accumulation was not observed in the
351 Hx spray- and ASM-treated plants. Callose acts as a physical barrier that concentrates
352 antimicrobial compounds at fungal penetration sites (Huang et al., 2006). Lee et al. (2009)
353 proposed that callose also contributes to resistance against invading bacterial pathogens by
354 providing a physical barrier. Recently, Enrique et al. (2011) demonstrated in callose-
355 silenced citrus plants, that reducing callose levels weakened this barrier and led to enhanced
356 *Xcc* susceptibility compared to wild-type plants. Moreover, Yun et al. (2006) found that
357 callose is required for resistance to *Xcc* and that suppressing callose deposition induces
358 susceptibility to *Xcc* in *N. benthamiana* and *Arabidopsis*. In contrast, no accumulation of
359 callose in the Hx spray-treated plants was detected after the first inoculation or in any of the
360 treatments after the second inoculation. This lack of callose accumulation correlated with a

361 higher *PR2* expression, as previously found by Kaliff et al. (2007) for the *Arabidopsis abi*
362 *1-1* mutant.

363 In conclusion, Hx applications by spray or soil drench systemically reduced citrus
364 canker lesions and viable Xcc populations with similar effectiveness to the commercial
365 inducer ASM. Hx is a natural plant product with low phytotoxicity that has been
366 demonstrated to be effective under greenhouse conditions, and now should be tested in field
367 trials as previously reported for ASM (Graham and Myers, 2011, 2013). At this time, Hx
368 has been patented and commercialized in Spain (Induct, Salquisa; Patent nº 200501535/0)
369 for systemic resistance in tomato against Botrytis. The recommended doses of commercial
370 compound in tomato are 2-3 L/Ha, which supposes a cost lower than 50\$/Ha. Similar doses
371 of application in citrus would suppose an effective protection with not excessively high
372 prices. The toxicological data about this compound (<http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>)
373 indicates low environmental risk, since in soil hexanoic acid was shown to biodegrade
374 quickly in a variety of screening tests (Dore et al., 1975; Gaffney and Heukelekian, 1961;
375 Mackay and Boethling, 2010), which suggest that the application of this compound in the
376 field would not have side effects to the environment. Based on our results, the application
377 of hexanoic acid could be used to augment current chemical inducers for citrus canker
378 control to possibly reduce the number frequency of applications of copper bactericides.

379 **Acknowledgements**

380 This work has been supported by the “Programa de mobilitat del personal investigador” of
381 the Fundació Caixa Castelló-Bancaixa, the Spanish National R&D Plan (AGL2010-22300-
382 C03-01 and AGL2010-22300-C03-02) and Prometeo 2012/066. The authors are grateful to
383 the IVIA and CREC.

384 **Literature cited**

385 Behlau, F., Belasque Jr, J., Bergamin Filho, A., Graham, J.H., Leite Jr, R.P., Gottwald,
386 T.R., 2008. Copper sprays and windbreaks for control of citrus canker on young
387 orange trees in southern Brazil. *Crop Protection* 27, 807-813.

388 Behlau, F., Canteros, B.I., Jones, J.B., Graham, J.H., 2012. Copper resistance genes from
389 different xanthomonads and citrus epiphytic bacteria confer resistance to
390 *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 133, 949-963.

391 Cardoso, S., Barbosa-Mendes, J., Boscariol-Camargo, R., Christiano, R., Filho, A., Vieira,
392 M., Mendes, B., Mourão Filho, F.d., 2010. Transgenic sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*
393 L. Osbeck) Expressing the attacin A gene for resistance to *Xanthomonas citri* subsp.
394 *citri*. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 28, 185-192.

395 Conrath, U., 2009. Chapter 9 Priming of Induced Plant Defense Responses, In: Loon,
396 L.C.V. (Ed.), *Advances in Botanical Research*. Academic Press, pp. 361-395.

397 Dore, M., Brunet, N., Legube, B., 1975. Contribution of different organic compounds to the
398 value of comprehensive criteria of pollution. *Trib.cebedeau* 28, 3-11.

399 Durrant, W.E., Dong, X., 2004. Systemic acquired resistance. *Annual Review of*
400 *Phytopathology* 42, 185-209.

401 Enrique, R., Siciliano, F., Favaro, M.A., Gerhardt, N., Roeschlin, R., Rigano, L., Sendin,
402 L., Castagnaro, A., Vojnov, A., Marano, M.R., 2011a. Novel demonstration of RNAi
403 in citrus reveals importance of citrus callose synthase in defence against
404 *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri*. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 9, 394-407.

405 EPPO, 2012. EPPO A1 and A2 lists of pests recommended for regulation as quarantine

406 pests, in: European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization EPPO (Ed.).

407 Favaro, M.A., Micheloud, N.G., Roeschlin, R.A., Chiesa, M.A., Castagnaro, A.P., Vojnov,
408 A.A., Gmitter, F.G., Gadea, J., Rista, L.M., Gariglio, N.F., Marano, M.R., 2014.
409 Surface barriers of mandarin 'Okitsu' leaves make a major contribution to vanker
410 disease resistance. *Phytopathology* 104, 970-976.

411 Fernández-Crespo, E., Camañes, G., García-Agustín, P., 2012. Ammonium enhances
412 resistance to salinity stress in citrus plants. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 169, 1183-
413 1191.

414 Flors, V., Leyva, M.d.l.O., Vicedo, B., Finiti, I., Real, M.D., García-Agustín, P., Bennett,
415 A.B., González-Bosch, C., 2007. Absence of the endo- β -1,4-glucanases Cell1 and
416 Cel2 reduces susceptibility to *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato. *The Plant Journal* 52, 1027-
417 1040.

418 Flors, V., Miralles, M.C., Gonzalez-Bosch, C., Carda, M., Garcia-Agustin, P., 2003.
419 Induction of protection against the necrotrophic pathogens *Phytophthora citrophthora*
420 and *Alternaria solani* in *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. by a novel synthetic
421 glycoside combined with amines. *Planta* 216, 929-938.

422 Francis, M.I., Peña, A., Graham, J.H., 2010. Detached leaf inoculation of germplasm for
423 rapid screening of resistance to citrus canker and citrus bacterial spot. *European*
424 *Journal of Plant Pathology* 127, 571-578.

425 Francis, M.I., Redondo, A., Burns, J.K., Graham, J.H., 2009. Soil application of
426 imidacloprid and related SAR-inducing compounds produces effective and persistent
427 control of citrus canker. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 124, 283-292.

428 Fu, X.Z., Chen, C.W., Wang, Y., Liu, J.H., Moriguchi, T., 2011. Ectopic expression of
429 MdSPDS1 in sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck) reduces canker susceptibility:
430 involvement of H₂O₂ production and transcriptional alteration. *Bmc Plant Biology*
431 2011; 11: 55

432 Gaffney, P.E., Heukelekian, H., 1961. Biochemical oxidation of the lower fatty acids.
433 *Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation* 33, 1169-1184.

434 Goellner, K., Conrath, U., 2008. Priming: it's all the world to induced disease resistance.
435 *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 121, 233-242.

436 Gottwald, T.R., Graham, J.H., Civerolo, E.L., Barrett, H.C., Hearn, C.J., 1993. Differential
437 host-range reaction of citrus and citrus relatives to citrus canker and citrus bacterial
438 spot determined by leaf mesophyll susceptibility. *Plant Disease* 77, 1004-1009.

439 Graham, J.H., Gottwald, T.R., Leite, R.P., Florida State Horticultural, S., 2006. Prospects
440 for control of citrus canker with novel chemical compounds, *Proceedings of the 119th*
441 *Annual Meeting of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, pp. 82-88.

442 Graham, J.H., Gottwald, T.R., Riley, T.D., Achor, D., 1992. Penetration through leaf
443 stomata and growth of strains of *Xanthomonas campestris* in citrus cultivars varying
444 in susceptibility to bacterial diseases. *Phytopathology* 82, 1319-1325.

445 Graham, J.H., Leite, R.P., 2004. Lack of control of citrus canker by induced systemic
446 resistance compounds. *Plant Disease* 88, 745-750.

447 Graham, J.H., Myers, M.E., 2011. Soil Application of SAR Inducers Imidacloprid,
448 Thiamethoxam, and Acibenzolar-S-Methyl for citrus canker control in young
449 grapefruit trees. *Plant Disease* 95, 725-728.

450 Graham, J.H., Myers, M.E., 2013. Integration of soil applied neonicotinoid insecticides and
451 acibenzolar-S-methyl for systemic acquired resistance (SAR) control of citrus canker
452 on young citrus trees. *Crop Protection* 54, 239-243.

453 Huang, C.-H., Vallad, G.E., Zhang, S., Wen, A., Balogh, B., Figueiredo, J.F.L., Behlau, F.,
454 Jones, J.B., Momol, M.T., Olson, S.M., 2012. Effect of Application frequency and
455 reduced rates of Acibenzolar-S-Methyl on the field efficacy of induced resistance
456 against bacterial spot on tomato. *Plant Disease* 96, 221-227.

457 Huang, Q., Wu, J., Li, X., Liu, M., Kong, Y., 2006. Toxicity and biochemical action of
458 amicarbazol on citrus canker pathogen, *Xanthomonas citri* ex Hasse. *Pesticide*
459 *Biochemistry and Physiology* 84, 188-195.

460 Jakab, G., Cottier, V., Toquin, V., Rigoli, G., Zimmerli, L., Mettraux, J.P., Mauch-Mani, B.,
461 2001. beta-Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance in plants. *European Journal of Plant*
462 *Pathology* 107, 29-37.

463 Jung, H.W., Tschaplinski, T.J., Wang, L., Glazebrook, J., Greenberg, J.T., 2009. Priming in
464 systemic plant immunity. *Science* 324, 89-91.

465 Kaliff, M., Staal, J., Myrenas, M., Dixelius, C., 2007. ABA is required for *Leptosphaeria*
466 *maculans* resistance via ABI1- and ABI4-dependent signaling. *Molecular Plant-*
467 *Microbe Interactions* 20, 335-345.

468 Kravchuk, Z., Vicedo, B., Flors, V., Camañes, G., González-Bosch, C., García-Agustín, P.,
469 2011. Priming for JA-dependent defenses using hexanoic acid is an effective
470 mechanism to protect *Arabidopsis* against *B. cinerea*. *Journal of Plant Physiology*
471 168, 359-366.

472 Lee, I.J., Kim, K.W., Hyun, J.W., Lee, Y.H., Park, E.W., 2009. Comparative ultrastructure
473 of nonwounded Mexican lime and Yuzu leaves infected with the citrus canker
474 bacterium *Xanthomonas citri* pv. *citri*. Microscopy Research and Technique 72, 507-
475 516.

476 Lorenzo, O., Chico, J.M., Sánchez-Serrano, J.J., Solano, R., 2004. Jasmonate-insensitive1
477 encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different
478 Jasmonate-regulated defense responses in arabidopsis. The Plant Cell Online 16,
479 1938-1950.

480 Louws, F.J., Wilson, M., Campbell, H.L., Cuppels, D.A., Jones, J.B., Shoemaker, P.B.,
481 Sahin, F., Miller, S.A., 2001. Field control of bacterial spot and bacterial speck of
482 tomato using a plant activator. Plant Disease 85, 481-488.

483 Llorens, E., Fernandez-Crespo, E., Vicedo, B., Lapena, L., Garcia-Agustin, P., 2013.
484 Enhancement of the citrus immune system provides effective resistance against
485 *Alternaria* brown spot disease. Journal of Plant Physiology 170, 146-154.

486 Mackay, D., Boethling, R.S., 2010. Handbook of Property Estimation Methods for
487 Chemicals: Environmental Health Sciences. CRC Press.

488 Mendes, B.M.J., Cardoso, S.C., Boscariol-Camargo, R.L., Cruz, R.B., Mourão Filho,
489 F.A.A., Bergamin Filho, A., 2010. Reduction in susceptibility to *Xanthomonas*
490 *axonopodis* pv. *citri* in transgenic *Citrus sinensis* expressing the rice Xa21 gene. Plant
491 Pathology 59, 68-75.

492 Morales, P., Calzada, J., Fernandez-Garcia, E., Nunez, M., 2006. Free fatty acids in model
493 cheeses made with a *Micrococcus* sp INIA 528 milk culture or with a high enzymatic

494 activity curd of this strain. *International Dairy Journal* 16, 784-787.

495 Ortuno, A., Nemsá, I., Alvarez, N., Lacasa, A., Porrás, I., Lidón, A.G., Del Río, J.A., 2008.
496 Correlation of ethylene synthesis in Citrus fruits and their susceptibility to *Alternaria*
497 *alternata* pv. *citri*. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 72, 162-166.

498 Pastor, V., Luna, E., Mauch-Mani, B., Ton, J., Flors, V., 2013. Primed plants do not forget.
499 *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 94, 46-56.

500 Peterson, D.G., Reineccius, G.A., 2003. Characterization of the volatile compounds that
501 constitute fresh sweet cream butter aroma. *Flavour and Fragrance Journal* 18, 215-
502 220.

503 Pieterse, C.M.J., Van Der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A., Van Wees, S.C.M.,
504 2012. Hormonal modulation of plant immunity, *Annual Review of Cell and*
505 *Developmental Biology*, pp. 489-521.

506 Romero, A.M., Kousik, C.S., Ritchie, D.F., 2001. Resistance to bacterial spot in bell pepper
507 induced by acibenzolar-S-methyl. *Plant Disease* 85, 189-194.

508 Scalschi, L., Vicedo, B., Camañes, G., Fernandez-Crespo, E., Lapeña, L., González-Bosch,
509 C., García-Agustín, P., 2013. Hexanoic acid is a resistance inducer that protects
510 tomato plants against *Pseudomonas syringae* by priming the jasmonic acid and
511 salicylic acid pathways. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 14, 342-355.

512 Serizawa, S., Inoue, K., 1974. Studies on citrus canker. III. The influence of wind on
513 infection. *Bulletin of the Shizuoka Citrus Experiment Station* 11, 54-67.

514 Soufleros, E.H., Mygdalia, S.A., Natskoulis, P., 2005. Production process and
515 characterization of the traditional Greek fruit distillate "Koumaro" by aromatic and

516 mineral composition. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 18, 699-716.

517 Tally, A., Oostendorp, M., Lawton, K., Staub, T., Bassi, B., 1999. Commercial
518 development of elicitors of induced resistance to pathogens., In: Agrawal, A.A.,
519 Tuzun, S., Bent, E. (Eds.), *Induced plant defenses against pathogens and herbivores:*
520 *biochemistry, ecology, and agriculture.* . American Phytopathological Society, St.
521 Paul, MN., pp. 357-369.

522 Vechet, L., Burketova, L., Sindelarova, M., 2009. A comparative study of the efficiency of
523 several sources of induced resistance to powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis* f. sp
524 *tritici*) in wheat under field conditions. *Crop Protection* 28, 151-154.

525 Vicedo, B., Flors, V., Leyva, M.D., Finiti, I., Kravchuk, Z., Real, M.D., Garcia-Agustin, P.,
526 Gonzalez-Bosch, C., 2009. Hexanoic acid-induced resistance against *Botrytis cinerea*
527 in tomato plants. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 22, 1455-1465.

528 Walters, D.R., Fountaine, J.M., 2009. Practical application of induced resistance to plant
529 diseases: an appraisal of effectiveness under field conditions. *Journal of Agricultural*
530 *Science* 147, 523-535.

531 Wang, Y., Liu, J.H., 2012. Exogenous treatment with salicylic acid attenuates occurrence of
532 citrus canker in susceptible navel orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck). *Journal of Plant*
533 *Physiology* 169, 1143-1149.

534 Yan, J., Yuan, F., Long, G., Qin, L., Deng, Z., 2012. Selection of reference genes for
535 quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis in citrus. *Molecular Biology Reports* 39,
536 1831-1838.

537 Yun, M.H., Torres, P.S., El Oirdi, M., Rigano, L.A., Gonzalez-Lamothe, R., Marano, M.R.,

538 Castagnaro, A.P., Dankert, M.A., Bouarab, K., Vojnov, A.A., 2006. Xanthan induces
 539 plant susceptibility by suppressing callose deposition. *Plant Physiology* 141, 178-187.

540 Zabetakis, I., Kouliantanos, A., Orruno, E., Boyes, I., 2000. The effect of high hydrostatic
 541 pressure on strawberry flavour compounds. *Food Chemistry* 71, 51-55.

542

543 **Table 1.** Effect of a single spray application of hexanoic acid (Hx) and
 544 acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) on bacterial population at 15 days after
 545 inoculation with *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* (Xcc) at 10^5 cfu mL⁻¹ in the
 546 detached leaf assay. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly
 547 different at $P \leq 0.05$ according to LSD test. P value lower than 0.05 indicates
 548 differences between groups

	Log viable Xcc *	Log total Xcc **
NTC	6.29a	7.99a
Hx 1 mM	3.97b	6.58b
Hx 3 mM	4.48b	7.08ab
ASM	3.78b	6.42b
P-value	0.0001	0.047

549 *Xcc recovered per inoculation site on KCC semi-selective medium

550 **Xcc detected per inoculation site by RT-qPCR

551 **Table 2.** Effect of spray or soil drench application of hexanoic acid (Hx) and
 552 acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) on development of citrus canker lesions or
 553 bacterial populations on sweet orange leaves inoculated with *Xanthomonas citri*
 554 subsp. *citri* (Xcc) at 10^4 cfu mL⁻¹ in the greenhouse assay. (A) 20 days after
 555 first inoculation and (B) 20 days after second inoculation. Values represent the
 556 average of three experiments. Numbers followed by the same letter are not
 557 significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ according to the LSD test. P value lower than
 558 0.05 indicates differences between groups

A	Day 20 after first inoculation (4 weeks after treatments)		
	Lesion number	Log viable Xcc*	Log Total Xcc**
NTC	116a	6.96a	8.42a
Hx soil drench	57b	6.27a	8.85a
Hx spray	61b	6.67a	8.75a
ASM soil drench	36c	5.55a	8.85a
ASM spray	40bc	6.71a	8.43a
P value	0.0158	0.4465	0.7360

559

B	Day 20 after second inoculation (9 weeks after treatment)		
	Lesion number	Log viable Xcc*	Log Total Xcc**
NTC	138a	4.276a	8.33a
Hx soil drench	67b	3.67b	8.26a
Hx spray	71b	3.60b	8.39a
ASM soil drench	38c	3.48b	8.30a
ASM spray	60b	3.66b	8.35a
P value	0.0248	0.046	0.7091

560

561 *Xcc recovered per inoculation site on KCB semi-selective medium

562 **Xcc detected per inoculation site by RT-qPCR


563

564

565 **Figure Captions**

566

567 **Figure 1.** Growth of *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* (Xcc) in Luria Bertani broth
568 amended with different concentrations of hexanoic acid in mM along 96 hours.



569

570

571

572

573


574

575

576

577

578 **Figure 2.** Effect of a single spray application of hexanoic acid (Hx) and
579 acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) on development of citrus canker lesions in
580 detached sweet orange leaves at 15 days after inoculation with *Xanthomonas*
581 *citri* subsp. *citri* at 10^5 cfu mL⁻¹ in the detached leaf assay. a) Hx at 1.0 mM, b)
582 Hx at 3.0 mM, c) ASM, 1 mM and d) nontreated control.



583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590


591

592

593

594

595 **Figure 3.** Effect on AOS, PR2 and PR5 gene expression relative to Actin and
 596 *18S* gene expression of spray or soil drench application of hexanoic acid (Hx)
 597 and acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) in sweet orange leaves inoculated with
 598 *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* at 10^4 cfu mL⁻¹ in the greenhouse assay. Values
 599 represent the average of three experiments at 10 days and 20 days after first and
 600 second inoculations (4 and 9 weeks after treatment respectively) bars represent
 601 standard error of the mean values, and different letters represent significant
 602 differences for each time point at $P \leq 0.05$ according to LSD test. Relative
 603 expression lower than 2 (without letter) is considered not differential expression



604

605 **Figure 4.** Effect of spray or soil drench application of hexanoic acid (Hx) and
 606 acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) at 20 days after first and second inoculation (4 and
 607 9 weeks after treatment respectively) on (a) callose accumulation (Readings
 608 were calculated from the average number of blue pixels in the images), (b)
 609 *CALS1* gene expression relative to actin and *18S* gene expression of in sweet
 610 orange leaves inoculated with *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* at 10^4 cfu mL⁻¹ in
 611 the greenhouse assay. Values represent the average of three experiments, bars
 612 represent standard error of the mean values, and different letters represent
 613 significant differences in each time point at P≤0.05 according to LSD test.
 614 Relative expression lower than 2 (without letter) is considered not differential
 615 expression

