

Document downloaded from:

[\[http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/4314\]](http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/4314)

This paper must be cited as:

[Panno, Stefano, Davino, Salvatore, Rubio, L., Rangel, Ezequiel, Davino, Mario, Garcia-Hernandez, J., Olmos, A. (2012). Simultaneous detection of the seven main tomato-infecting RNA viruses by two multiplex reverse transcription polymerase chain reactions. *Journal of virological methods*, 186(1-2), 152-156.]

ivia
Institut Valencià
d'Investigacions Agràries

The final publication is available at

[\[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2012.08.003\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2012.08.003)

Copyright [Elsevier]

Simultaneous detection of the seven main tomato-infecting RNA viruses by two multiplex reverse transcription polymerase chain reactions

Stefano Panno¹, Salvatore Davino¹, Luis Rubio², Ezequiel Rangel²,
Mario Davino³, Jorge García-Hernández² and Antonio Olmos^{2*}

¹Dipartimento DEMETRA, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze ED 5, 90128 Palermo, Italy

²Centro de Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA) 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

³Dipartimento DISPA, University of Catania, Via S. Sofia 100, 95123 Catania, Italy

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Antonio Olmos
Telephone +34 963424054
Fax +34 963424001
Email: aolmos@ivia.es

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Abstract

Cucumber mosaic virus, Tomato spotted wilt virus, Tomato mosaic virus, Tomato chlorosis virus, Pepino mosaic virus, Torrado tomato virus and Tomato infectious chlorosis virus cause serious damage and significant economic losses in tomato crops worldwide. The early detection of these pathogens is essential for preventing the viruses from spreading and improving their control. In this study, a procedure based on two multiplex RT-PCRs was developed for the sensitive and reliable detection of these seven viruses. Serial dilutions of positive controls were analysed by this methodology, and the results were compared with those obtained by ELISA and singleplex versions of RT-PCR. The multiplex and singleplex RT-PCR assays were able to detect specific targets at the same dilution and were 100 times more sensitive than ELISA. The multiplex versions were able to detect composite samples containing different concentrations of specific targets at ratios from 1:1 to 1:1000. In addition, 45 symptomatic tomato samples collected in different tomato-growing areas of Sicily (Italy) were analysed by multiplex RT-PCR, singleplex RT-PCR and commercially available ELISA tests. Similar results were obtained using the RT-PCR techniques, with a higher sensitivity than ELISA, and revealed a common occurrence of mixed infections and confirmed the presence of these seven virus species in Italy.

Keywords: Multiplex RT-PCR; Tomato viruses; Detection; Diagnosis

1

2 Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) is the most important vegetable crop, with a world
3 production of 153 million metric tons and a value of over 558 thousand million dollars in
4 2009 (FAO, 2009). In the last decade, emerging viral diseases of tomato have been reported
5 worldwide and have caused considerable economical losses (Hanssen et al., 2010). In the
6 Mediterranean Basin and other subtropical areas, the following seven RNA viruses have
7 been described as the most important viral pathogens of tomato: i) *Cucumber mosaic virus*
8 (CMV), the type member of the genus *Cucumovirus* of the family *Bromoviridae* and the
9 virus having the widest host plant species range in addition to transmission by aphids
10 (Palukatis and Garcia-Arenal, 2003); ii) *Tomato spotted wilt virus* (TSWV), the type
11 member of the *Tospovirus* genus of the family *Bunyaviridae* that is transmitted by thrips
12 (Adkins, 2000); iii) *Tomato mosaic virus* (ToMV), a member of the genus *Tobamovirus* of
13 the family *Virgaviridae* that infects many solanaceous species, is able to survive for several
14 years in dried plant debris and can be transmitted by seeds or mechanical contact
15 (Broadbent, 1976); iv) *Tomato chlorosis virus* (ToCV) and v) *Tomato infectious chlorosis*
16 *virus* (TICV), members of the genus *Crinivirus* of the family *Closteroviridae* having limited
17 host range (Martelli et al., 2002) - ToCV is transmitted by *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*, *T.*
18 *abutilonea* and *Bemisia tabaci* biotypes A and B (Wisler et al., 1998), whereas TICV is only
19 transmitted by *T. vaporiarum* (Duffus et al., 1996); vi) *Pepino mosaic virus* (PepMV), genus
20 *Potexvirus*, family *Alphaflexiviridae*, has a restricted host range and is transmitted by
21 contact (Jones et al., 1980; Mumford and Metcalfe, 2001); and vii) *Torrado tomato virus*
22 (ToTV), the type member of the genus *Torradovirus* of the family *Secoviridae* (Verbeek et
23 al., 2007) that has a restricted host range, including solanaceous species, and is transmitted
24 by whiteflies *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* (Amari et al., 2008).

25 The most important strategy to control these viral diseases in tomato is based on preventing
26 virus introduction and establishment in nurseries and fields and the eradication of infected

1 plants in the early stages of outbreaks. This approach requires surveillance using specific,
2 sensitive and rapid diagnostic methods. Virus detection in tomato plants is traditionally
3 performed by serological tests (DAS-ELISA) using polyclonal antibodies, but the low viral
4 titre often found in nursery plants or during the early stages of virus infection can produce
5 false negative results (Jacobi et al., 1998). In addition, there are often no commercially
6 available ELISA tests for the main virus species that infect tomato, as is the case for ToCV,
7 TICV and ToTV. Consequently, there is a current need for the implementation and design of
8 reliable diagnostic methods having high sensitivity and specificity for testing plant material.
9 In this study, a procedure based on two multiplex RT-PCR assays was developed and
10 successfully tested in plant material for the detection of CMV, TSWV, ToMV, ToCV,
11 PepMV, ToTV and TICV.

12 To design appropriate primers that are compatible with a multiplex reaction, nucleotide
13 sequences of different isolates of each virus species were retrieved from the GenBank
14 database. The sequences were aligned using the ClustalW programme implemented within
15 the software Geneious Pro 5.4.6 (Biomatters, New Zealand). To allow the discrimination of
16 the different virus species by electrophoresis, oligo sequences having similar melting
17 temperatures and flanking genomic regions of different sizes were selected using Primer
18 Express 2.0 (Applied Biosystems, USA) and Vector NTI 9.0 (Invitrogen, USA). The
19 nucleotide sequences, genomic position of the primers and size of the amplicons are
20 provided in Table 1.

21 Greenhouse-grown tomato plants naturally and artificially infected by well-characterised
22 viral species were used as the positive controls. These controls were employed to prepare
23 tenfold serial dilutions using healthy plant extract as diluent and also to obtain specific PCR
24 products that were cloned and used for the optimisation of the multiplex reactions. The plant
25 extracts were prepared by grinding leaves 1:20 (w/v) in PBS buffer, pH 7.2, supplemented
26 with 0.2% DIECA, and 2% (w/v) polyvinyl-pyrrolidone (PVP-10) in individual plastic bags

1 with a net (Bioerba, Switzerland) to avoid contaminations among the samples. Total RNA
2 was purified from approximately 100 mg of plant leaves using the Ultraclean Plant RNA
3 Isolation Kit (MoBio, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. One-step
4 singleplex RT-PCRs were performed for each virus using a Mastercycler gradient thermal
5 cycler (Eppendorf, Germany), which allowed the inclusion of a gradient temperature from
6 50 to 60°C to test the optimal annealing temperatures. Optimal RT-PCR reactions were
7 performed in a 25 µl volume consisting of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.9), 50 mM KCl, 0.3%
8 Triton X-100 (w/v), 1 µM each primer (TICV1/TICV2 for TICV detection; ToTV1/ToTV2
9 for ToTV detection; TSWV1/TSWV2 for TSWV detection; CMV1/CMV2 for CMV
10 detection; and ToMV1/ToMV2 for ToMV detection) or 1 µM PepMV3, 0.5 µM PepMV1,
11 0.5 µM PepMV2 for PepMV detection, 250 µM dNTPs, 0.25 units AMV-RT (Promega), 0.5
12 units GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega), and 5 µl of RNA template. The one-step RT-PCR
13 was performed at 45°C for 30 min for the cDNA synthesis, followed by 95°C for 5 min for
14 denaturation and 40 cycles of amplification (95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30
15 s), with a final step of 72 °C for 5 min. The expected amplicons were obtained from the
16 virus-infected plants, whereas no amplification products were obtained from the healthy
17 plants. These specific RT-PCR products were inserted into the pGem-T vector (Promega)
18 and cloned into *E. coli* JM-109. The transformants were selected by ampicillin resistance,
19 and the presence of the fragments was verified by PCR using specific primers. The
20 subsequent plasmid purification was performed using the UltraClean Standard Mini Plasmid
21 Prep Kit (MoBio, USA), and the specific nucleotide sequences of the viral targets were
22 confirmed by sequencing in both directions using the ABI PRISM DNA 377 sequencer
23 (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA, USA). The plasmid concentration was estimated using the
24 NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). In an initial attempt, a
25 single multiplex assay for the simultaneous detection of the seven viruses was evaluated. For
26 this purpose, 1 ng of each purified viral target-specific plasmid was used to prepare a mixed

1 template sample containing all of the targets. The multiplex PCR was performed as
2 described above for the one-step RT-PCR, but the reverse transcription step was eliminated
3 due to the DNA nature of the composite template. The amplicons were visualised on 2%
4 agarose gels after staining with ethidium bromide, and problems in the simultaneous
5 detection of the amplicons were observed. Specifically, the primers for ToTV and TICV
6 appeared to be incompatible, showing an inhibition in the amplification of the ToTV and
7 TICV targets when their specific primers were included in the same reaction cocktail. In
8 addition, to evaluate the theoretical sensitivity of the multiplex PCR, tenfold serial dilutions
9 of each prepared viral target plasmid were prepared, ranging from 1 to 10^{-4} ng. The results
10 showed sensitivities that were from 10 to 100-fold less than the singleplex versions. To
11 overcome these drawbacks and to discriminate among the seven viruses, the initial multiplex
12 PCR was divided into two multiplex assays: the first multiplex included the simultaneous
13 detection of PepMV, TSWV, ToTV and ToCV viruses, and the other multiplex allowed the
14 detection of CMV, ToMV and TICV (Figure 1). The reaction cocktail for each multiplex
15 assay was the same as that described above but included only the specific primers for the
16 corresponding virus. The theoretical sensitivity using the dilutions of the specific target
17 plasmids was the same as that obtained by the singleplex assays. Subsequently, tenfold serial
18 dilutions of infected tomato plant extracts diluted into healthy plant extracts were used to
19 compare ELISA, singleplex RT-PCR and the two multiplex RT-PCRs for PepMV, TSWV,
20 CMV and ToMV. However, due to the lack of commercially available ToTV, ToCV TICV
21 ELISA tests, the comparisons of these viruses was performed only between the two RT-PCR
22 versions. In all cases, the multiplex and singleplex RT-PCRs were able to detect specific
23 targets up to the same dilution and were at least 100 times more sensitive than ELISA in
24 those cases in which serological tests were available. To determine the reliability of the viral
25 detection in mixed infections and the interference of amplifications due to relative
26 concentration issues, twenty-eight samples were prepared, combining four or three viral

1 target plasmids of the different viruses by changing the concentration of one target (1 ng to
2 10^{-4} ng) and maintaining the same concentration (1 ng) for the other targets. The PCR
3 analyses of these samples showed that any target was detectable in a relative ratio of 1:1000
4 with respect to the other targets present in the sample (Figure 2).

5 In addition, these two multiplex RT-PCRs were used to analyse 45 tomato samples collected
6 from greenhouses and fields in different provinces of Sicily in 2011, and the results were
7 compared with the results of ELISA (except for ToCV, TICV and ToTV) and singleplex
8 RT-PCRs described in the literature: PepMV (Mumford and Metcalfe 2001), TSWV
9 (Mumford et al., 1994), ToTV (Pospieszny et al., 2007), ToCV (Louro et al., 2000), CMV
10 (Lin et al., 2004), ToMV (Kumar et al., 2011) and TICV (Vaira et al., 2002). The plant
11 extracts were prepared using a similar methodology as that employed for the infected tomato
12 plants used as the controls. The same crude plant extracts were used for the ELISA tests and
13 for the purification of total RNA. The results are shown in Table 2; we obtained the same
14 diagnostic for all of the plants using both PCR techniques. In the case of the ELISA tests (by
15 which only four viruses could be analysed), we obtained the same results as the RT-PCR
16 methods, with the exception of one sample that tested negative for PepMV by ELISA and
17 positive by RT-PCR versions. The most prevalent virus was ToCV, which was detected in
18 32 plants, followed by TSWV in 25 plants, CMV in 20 plants, PepMV in 17 plants, ToMV
19 in 12 plants, TICV in 6 plants and ToTV in 2 plants. Three tomato samples were not
20 infected by any virus. Single infections were present in 8 plants, whereas 10 plants were
21 infected by two different viruses, 13 plants by three viruses, 8 plants by four viruses and 3
22 plants by five viruses (Table 2). These data show that mixed infections with several viruses
23 are very frequent. The interactions between viruses co-infecting the same plant could have
24 an important impact in epidemics and could increase the damage in crops if synergisms arise
25 (Syller, 2012). Testing an additional number of plants should confirm these results for the
26 distribution of certain viruses, which was different in each province in Italy. Although ToCV

1 was spread throughout Sicily, ToTV and TICV were only found in the Ragusa Province. In
2 addition, CMV and TSWV were more prevalent in Agrigento and Trapani. These different
3 prevalences and infections in each region could be explained in part by the tomato
4 genotypes, vectors and climatic conditions and in part by the different agronomical
5 practices, as tomatoes in Ragusa Province are cultivated mainly under greenhouse
6 conditions and in open fields in Agrigento and Trapani.

7 The procedure developed in this study based on two multiplex RT-PCR assays allows for
8 the rapid identification of the main RNA viruses that infect tomato and for the analysis of
9 mixed infections, thus saving time, reagents and costs. This methodology could be included
10 in phytosanitary protocols for early detection of these viruses in nurseries or in certification
11 programmes.

12

13

1

2 **References**

- 3 Adkins, S., 2000. *Tomato spotted wilt virus*-positive steps towards negative success. Mol.
4 Plant Pathol. 1, 151-157.
- 5 Amari, K., Gonzales-Ibeas, D., Gómez, P., Sempere, R.N., Sanchez-Pina M.A., Aranda
6 M.A, Diaz-Pendon, J.A., Navas-Castillo, J., Moriones, E., Blanca, J., Hernández-Gallardo,
7 M.D., Anastasio, G., 2008. *Tomato torrado virus* is transmitted by *Bemisia tabaci* and
8 infects pepper and eggplant in addition to tomato. Plant Disease 92, 1139.
- 9 Broadbent, L., 1976. Epidemiology and control of *Tomato mosaic virus*. Annu. Rev.
10 Phytopathol. 14, 75–96.
- 11 Duffus, J.E., Liu, H.Y., Wisler, G.C., 1996. *Tomato infectious chlorosis virus* - a new
12 clostero-like virus transmitted by *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 102, 219-
13 226.
- 14 Hanssen, I.M., Lapidot, M., Thomma, B.P., 2010. Emerging viral diseases of tomato crops.
15 Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 23, 539-548.
- 16 Jacobi, V., Bachand, G.D., Hamelin, R.C., Castello, J.D., 1998. Development of a multiplex
17 immunocapture RT-PCR assay for detection and differentiation of Tomato and Tobacco
18 mosaic tobamoviruses. J. Virol. Methods, 74, 167-178.
- 19 Jones, R.A.C., Koenig, R., Lesemann, D.E., 1980. *Pepino mosaic virus*, a new potexvirus
20 from pepino (*Solanum muricatum*). Ann.Appl. Biol. 94, 61-68.
- 21 Kumar, S., Shankar, A.C.U., Nayaka, S.C., Lund, O.S., Prakash, H.S., 2011. Detection of
22 *Tobacco mosaic virus* and *Tomato mosaic virus* in pepper and tomato by multiplex RT-PCR.
23 Lett Appl. Microbiol. 53, 359-363.

1 Lin, H.X., Rubio, L., Smythe, A.B., Falk, B.W., 2004. Molecular population genetics of
2 *Cucumber mosaic virus* in California: Evidence for founder effects and reassortment. J.
3 Virol. 78, 6666-6675.

4 Louro, D., Accotto, G.P., Vaira, A.M., 2000. Occurrence and diagnosis of *Tomato chlorosis*
5 *virus* in Portugal. Eur. J. Plant Pathol., 106, 589-592

6 Martelli, G.P., Agranovsky, A.A., Bar-Joseph, M., Boscia, D., Candresse, T., Coutts, R.H.,
7 Dolja, V.V., Falk, B.W., Gonsalves, D., Jelkmann, W., Karasev, A.V., Minafra, A., Namba,
8 S., Vetten, H.J., Wisler, G.C., Yoshikawa, N., 2002. The family *Closteroviridae* revised.
9 Arch. Virol. 147, 2039–2044

10 Mumford, R.A., Barker, I., Wood, K.R., 1994. The detection of *Tomato spotted wilt virus*
11 using the polymerase chain reaction. J. Virol. Methods 46, 303-311.

12 Mumford, R.A., Metcalfe E.J., 2001. The partial sequencing of the genomic RNA of a UK
13 isolate of *Pepino mosaic virus* and the comparison of the coat protein sequence with other
14 isolates from Europe and Peru. Arch. Virol. 146, 2455-2460.

15 Palukatis, P., Garcia-Arenal, F., 2003. Cucumoviruses. Adv. Virus Res. 62: 241-323.

16 Pospieszny, H., Borodynko, N., Obrepalska-Stepłowska, A., Hasiów, B., 2007. The First
17 Report of *Tomato torrado virus* in Poland. Plant Dis. 91, 1364.

18 Syller, J., 2012. Facilitative and antagonistic interaction between plant viruses in mixed
19 infections. Mol. Plant Pathol. 13, 204-216.

20 Vaira, A.M., Accotto, G.P., Vecchiati, M., Bragaloni, M., 2002. *Tomato infectious*
21 *chlorosis virus* causes leaf yellowing and reddening of tomato in Italy. Phytoparasitica 30,
22 290-294.

23 Verbeek, M., Dullemans, A.M, van der Heuvel, J.F.J., Maris, P.C., Van der Vlugt, R.A.A.,
24 2007. Identification and characterization of *Tomato torrado virus*, a new plant picorna-like
25 virus from tomato. Arch. Virol. 152, 881-990.

- 1 Wisler, G.C., Duffus, J.E., Liu H.Y., Li, R.H., 1998. Ecology and epidemiology of whitefly-
- 2 transmitted closteroviruses. *Plant Dis.* 82,270-279.

Table 1. Designed primers, nucleotide sequences and sizes of amplicons for each viral species.

Primer	Virus	Nucleotide sequence (5' to 3')	GenBank (Acc. Number)	Genomic Position	Amplicon Size
TICV 1 TICV 2	TICV	TTGGCTGTGAGTCAAGGAGGT CTGATTTGATAGCCGATTTCCC	FJ815441	5414 RNA2 5528 RNA2	136
ToTV 1 ToTV 2	ToTV	TGGTGCTCAACAGTGCAATCA CACACTGCATCCACTTCTTCCA	DQ388879	859 RNA1 1026 RNA 1	189
ToCV 1 ToCV 2	ToCV	CATTCCGGCTAATCCTAATCGA CCCTAGTGGAGTGTACCTTCAATTTC	AY903448	4461 RNA2 4536 RNA2	101
TSWV 1 TSWV 2	TSWV	GCCATGGTCTTCTTCTGATGAA AGTTATTGTCCCCTGACCCTTC	S48091	161 RNA M 595 RNA M	451
CMV 1 CMV 2	CMV	ATTAACCACCCAACCTTTG TGGGAATGCGTTGGTGCTC	D10538	1413 RNA 3 1872 RNA 3	480
PepMV 1 PepMV 2 PepMV 3	PepMV	CATAGTTGTGCACGGAATTGC TTCCGTCTTGATACTGACCA TGCCGTCTTGATATTGGCCA	AF484251 HQ663892 AF484251	4503 5256 5260	778 782
ToMV 1 ToMV 2	ToMV	GATAATTTGATTGAAGATGAAGCC CTGTACACCTTATAAACATCGCC	AF332868	5644 5895	274

Table 2. Analysis of 45 tomato plants collected in Sicily using multiplex RT-PCR, singleplex RT-PCR and ELISA.

Geographic origin	multiplex RT-PCR							DAS-ELISA (1) and singleplex RT-PCR (2)						
	PepMV	TSWV	ToTV	ToCV	CMV	ToMV	TICV	PepMV (1,2)	TSWV (1,2)	ToTV (2)	ToCV (2)	CMV (1,2)	ToMV (1,2)	TICV (2)
Ragusa Province	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+,+	-,-	+	-	-,-	+,+	+
	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+,+	-,-	+	+	-,-	+,+	+
	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+,+	-,-	-	+	+,+	-,-	+
	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-,+	+,+	-	+	+,+	-,-	+
	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+,+	-,-	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+,+	-,-	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-,-	-,-	-	+	-,-	-,-	+
	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+,+	+,+	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+,+	+,+	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+,+	-,-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-,-	-,-	-	+	-,-	-,-	+	
Agrigento Province	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+,+	-,-	-	+	+,+	+,+	-
	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+,+	-,-	-	+	+,+	+,+	-
	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+,+	+,+	-	-	+,+	+,+	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+,+	-,-	-
	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	-,-	+,+	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-,-	-,-	-	+	-,-	+,+	-
	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+	+,+	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+	+,+	-
	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+	+,+	-
	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-,-	+,+	-	-	+	+,+	-
	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-,-	+,+	-	-	+	+,+	-
-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-,-	+,+	-	-	+	+,+	-	
Trapani Province	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	-,-	-	+	+,+	-,-	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+,+	-,-	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+,+	-,-	-
	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+,+	+,+	-	+	+,+	+,+	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+,+	+,+	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+,+	+,+	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+,+	+,+	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	-,-	-,-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-	-	-,-	-,-	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+,+	-,-	-
	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-,-	+,+	-	+	+,+	-,-	-

Figure captions

Figure 1. Panel A: Multiplex PCR for the detection of PepMV, TSWV, ToTV and ToCV; lane M, molecular weight marker 1 kB plus (Invitrogen); lane 1, simultaneous detection of PepMV, TSWV, ToTV and ToCV; lane 2, specific detection of PepMV; lane 3, specific detection of TSWV; lane 4, specific detection of ToTV; lane 5, specific detection of ToCV; lane 6, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant. Panel B: Multiplex PCR for the detection of CMV, ToMV, TICV; lane M, molecular weight marker 1 kB plus (Invitrogen); lane 1, simultaneous detection of CMV, ToMV and TICV; lane 2, specific detection of CMV; lane 3, specific detection of ToMV; lane 4, specific detection of TICV; lane 5, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant.

Figure 2. Panel A: Multiplex PCR for the detection of PepMV, TSWV, ToTV and ToCV; lane M, molecular weight marker 1 kB plus (Invitrogen); lane 1 to lane 4, tenfold serial dilutions of PepMV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the TSWV, ToTV and ToCV target concentrations at 1 ng; lane 5, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant; lane 6 to lane 9, tenfold serial dilutions of TSWV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the PepMV, ToTV and ToCV target concentrations at 1 ng; lane 10, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant; lane 11 to lane 14, tenfold serial dilutions of ToTV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the PepMV, TSWV and ToCV target concentrations at 1 ng; lane 15, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant; lane 16 to lane 19, tenfold serial dilutions of ToCV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the PepMV, TSWV and ToTV target concentrations at 1 ng; lane 20, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant. Panel B: Multiplex PCR for the detection of CMV, ToMV, TICV; lane M, molecular weight marker 1 kB plus (Invitrogen); lane 1 to lane 4, tenfold serial dilutions of CMV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the ToMV and TICV target concentration at 1 ng; lane 5, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant; lane 6 to lane

9, tenfold serial dilutions of ToMV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the CMV and TICV target concentrations at 1 ng; lane 10, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant; lane 11 to lane 14, tenfold serial dilutions of TICV target (from 1 ng to 10^{-4} ng), maintaining the CMV and ToMV target concentrations at 1 ng; lane 15, negative control of a virus-free tomato plant.

Figure 1





Figure 2

