WellBeing International

WBI Studies Repository

2012

Research on pre-slaughter stress and meat quality: A review of challenges faced under practical conditions

A. Y. Chulayo University of Fort Hare

O. Tada *University of Fort Hare*

V. Muchenje University of Fort Hare

Follow this and additional works at: https://www.wellbeingintlstudiesrepository.org/slaapra

Part of the Agribusiness Commons, Animal Studies Commons, and the Operations and Supply Chain Management Commons

Recommended Citation

Chulayo, A. Y., Tada, O., & Muchenje, V. (2012). Research on pre-slaughter stress and meat quality: A review of challenges faced under practical conditions. Appl. Anim. Husb. Rural Develop, 5, 1-6.

This material is brought to you for free and open access by WellBeing International. It has been accepted for inclusion by an authorized administrator of the WBI Studies Repository. For more information, please contact wbisr-info@wellbeingintl.org.



Research on pre-slaughter stress and meat quality: A review of challenges faced under practical conditions

A.Y. Chulayo, O. Tada & V. Muchenje[#]

Department of Livestock and Pasture Science, Faculty of Science and Agriculture, University of Fort Hare, P Bag X 1314, Alice, 5700, South Africa

Abstract

Transportation and handling of animals are important components in meat production systems. Animals destined for slaughter are stressed by factors such as loading, transportation, restraint, handling, and novelty of the slaughter environment, adverse weather conditions, hunger, thirst and fatigue. When an animal is stressed in the pre-slaughter environment, there is a rapid release of enzymes, cortisols and catecholamines which may lead to depletion of glycogen, high meat ultimate pH (pH_n) and dark cuts. Pre-slaughter stress also affects the physiology of the animal resulting in an increase in creatine kinase (CK) activity, glucose, lactate and other blood metabolites. Pre-slaughter stress and meat quality is a wide topic and some research have been done albeit was conducted under experimental and controlled conditions. In real life situations many variables are not controlled. Data collection in practical conditions is complex because it is difficult to accurately take some of the measurements; for example the onset of the release of the enzymes and hormones. Moreover, there are difficulties in taking measurements from slaughterhouses and butcheries. Challenges that researchers, marketers and consumers face under practical conditions either on-farm, research stations, transfer centres, slaughter plants, abattoirs or butcheries; with regard to pre-slaughter stress and meat quality may affect the quality of the final products developed under such conditions. This review therefore aims to give a detailed outline on pre-slaughter stress, meat science research and challenges under practical conditions.

Keywords: Abattoirs, animal behaviour, animal welfare, meat industry, meat science, practical conditions, pre-slaughter stress

**Corresponding Author: vmuchenje@ufh.ac.za

Introduction

Most animals such as cattle are transported at least once in their lifespan; from the source/farm to auction centres or abattoirs. Through the processes of rounding up, kraaling, loading, transporting and off-loading cattle at the abattoir, there are animal welfare compromises. Fear of either the handling procedures and novelty of the environment makes the animal feel pain (Villarroel *et al.*, 2003; Grandin, 2006; Ohl & van der Staay, 2012). Stress experienced during routine handling and restraint procedures reduces productivity and efficiency (Dodzi & Muchenje, 2011). Apart from causing poor meat quality and economic losses, stress has negative implications on animal welfare (Hemsworth *et al.*, 2011). Although animal welfare and meat quality has become a critical issue in the meat industry, not much research has been carried out under practical conditions. Animal welfare in relation to road transportation has received much attention in terms of experimental research (Stockman *et al.*, 2013). The effects of road transportation on animal welfare are difficult to monitor and validate under practical conditions because of differences in speed limits, terrain of the road and stoppages within the process. This is one major problem among the challenges that exist when conducting research on-farm, transfer centres, slaughter plants/abattoirs, animal markets, and butcheries.

Several studies that include pre-slaughter stress (Muchenje *et al.*, 2009; Miranda-de la Lama *et al.*, 2009, 2010), meat quality (Muchenje *et al.*, 2008a), consumer perception (Muchenje *et al.*, 2008b; Dyubele *et al.*, 2010; Chulayo *et al.*, 2011; Ngambu *et al.*, 2011; Xazela *et al.*, 2011), animal behaviour at pastures (Dodzi & Muchenje, 2011; Kilgour, 2012) and the effect of supplementation on meat quality (Marume *et al.*, 2012; Xazela *et al.*, 2012) have been conducted under experimental conditions. Countries like Latin America, Japan, USA and South Korea have examined pre-slaughter stress taking into account the incidence

it has on bruising and poor meat quality due to adverse handling during transportation of animals and behaviour at lairages. Although this topic has received limited attention in South Africa, few studies (Chulayo, 2011; Gajana, 2011; Vimiso & Muchenje, 2012) have addressed pre-slaughter stress and meat quality under practical conditions. These authors have realised that there are several challenges with community-based or industry-based research such as difficulties with data collection, small sample sizes, reliability of data collected and in some cases non-cooperation by stakeholders. This mini-review therefore focuses on outlining challenges found when assessing pre-slaughter stress and meat science research under practical conditions.

Discussion

Animal welfare and pre-slaughter stress

Animal welfare stresses ranges from physical (high ambient temperature, vibration and changes in acceleration, confinement, noise and crowding) to psychological (breakdown of social groupings and mixing with unfamiliar animals, unfamiliar or noxious smells and novel environment) during pre-slaughter handling. During this period, animals are prone to weight loss, produce poor meat quality and considerably reduced profit for the producer (Adzitey, 2011). Although much progress has been made in developing new indices of animal welfare, no single measure can be used alone. Several measures that include the behaviour of animals at the abattoir (Table 1), biochemical and physiological measurements may be used. There is a need to integrate these measures so as to come up with better results and recommendations for improved animal welfare (Dawkins, 2006; Bourguet *et al.*, 2011).

Animal behaviour refers to the scientific study of everything animals do, whether they are single-celled organisms, invertebrates, fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, or mammals (Bourguet *et al.*, 2011). It involves investigating the relationship of the animals with their physical environment as well as with other organisms such as their interaction with humans. The assessment of animal behaviour is still a highly debated issue in domestic animals as is the case with behavioural indicators in response to fear or pain during pre-slaughter. Poor environmental conditions may lead to stress that can be exhibited in the form of abnormal behaviour. Animals that are experiencing pain, fear, discomfort, thirst and hunger in a certain environment exhibit abnormal behaviour (Dodzi & Muchenje, 2011). A combination of both behavioural and physiological measures has been used to assess animal welfare (Broom, 1991).

Individuals of the same species; same breed and reared under the same conditions show a wide variability in behaviour (Miranda-de la Lama et al., 2011). Studies have shown that cattle and sheep react to stressful settings (e.g. at the abattoir) with increased concentrations of catecholamines and creatine kinase activity (Muchenje et al., 2009; Chulayo, 2011). Release of these hormones and enzymes adversely affect meat quality due to rapid glycolysis and increased lactate production, resulting in elevated blood lactate (Lewis et al., 2006). Stress causes changes in the immune system mainly due to physiological changes (Ekiz et al., 2012). Such changes include increased heart rate, respiratory rate and temperature. Stress in pigs activates the hypothalamic pituitary-adrenal axis causing release glucocorticoids into the blood stream (Lewis et al., 2006). In general, an increase in physiological stress or physical activity in farm animals during pre-slaughter handling leads to depletion of muscle glycogen reserves, which may result in a higher ultimate meat pH, greater water holding capacity, darker meat colour and tougher meat (Muchenje et al., 2009; Ekiz et al., 2012). However, animals that are accustomed to humans at an early stage of their life exhibit less fear towards the latter and stress-related behaviours at the abattoir. Conducting research on pre-slaughter stress and meat quality under real life conditions may help in implementing easier technologies and practices/procedures that enhance good welfare of animals and meat quality. However, conducting studies under such conditions is quite challenging.

Challenges of pre-slaughter stress and meat science research under practical conditions

There are several challenges that may negatively impact the quality of research conducted under practical conditions such as abattoirs. These challenges include locating a convenient study site, transport arrangements, small sample sizes in some cases, problems with blood sample collections, preservation and

Table 1 Presentation of the general behaviour observed at the abattoir and in various sections within the slaughter floor

| Variable | Description | Stage |
|---|---|--|
| Behavioural reactions | | |
| Slipping (no of times) | At least one leg skidding | Unloading, Traceability corridor, Slaughter corridors |
| Falling (no of times) | At least one knee on the floor | Unloading, Traceability, corridor, Slaughter corridors |
| Vocalisation (no of times) | Intentional vocalisations (excludes gasps, sighs and groans) | Unloading, Traceability corridor, Slaughter corridors, Restraining boxes |
| Compression (no of times and no of scans) | Animal having a curved back because it is lacking space between two other animals or between 1 animal and a barrier or wall | Slaughter corridors |
| Backward movements (no of times) | Making 1 or more steps backwards | Slaughter corridors, Stunning box |
| Bumping into rear door (no of times) | Bumping into the rear door of the stunning box when walking backwards | Stunning box |
| Head lifting (no of times) | Upwards movement of the head | Stunning box |
| Kicks (no of times) | Kicks given in the rotary box | Rotary box |
| Head rising (no of times) | Upward movement of the head due to increased neck tension | On the slaughter line |
| Slaughter procedure | | |
| Time spent in the abattoir (h) | Delay between unloading and bleeding | Abattoir |
| Transport duration (min) | Delay between departure from the exploitation and arrival at the abattoir | Transport |
| Unloading duration (min) | Delay between the first and the last animal getting of the lorry | Unloading |
| Time spent in the traceability corridor (s) | Delay between the entering and exiting the traceability corridor | Traceability corridor |
| Time spent in the slaughter corridor (min) | Delay between the entering and exiting the halal or the conventional slaughter corridor | Slaughter corridor |
| Delay until stunning (s) | Delay between the entrance into the stunning box and the last shot | Stunning box |
| Delay until inversion of the rotary box (s) | Delay between the entrance into the rotary box and the beginning of the inversion of the rotary box | Rotary box |
| Delay until bleeding (s) | Delay between the entrance into the restraining box and the beginning of the bleeding | Restraining boxes (stunning or rotary box) |

Source: (Bourguet et al., 2011).

analysis, urine and meat specimen collections and the reliability of data since experimental unit measurements may be highly variable (Engstrom *et al.*, 2010). Data collection under practical conditions is complex because of the difficulty of accurately taking some of the measurements; for example, the onset of the release of the enzymes and hormones. In addition, taking measurements from slaughterhouses and butcheries is often difficult to accomplish. A lot of effort and diplomacy is required to convince the abattoir personnel, farmers and butchery managers to allow researchers to use the premises and other available resources.

Involving abattoir personnel, farmers and butchery managers in research activities such as collection of blood samples, urine samples and cutting carcasses to get the required samples may not be easy because such activities interfere with normal duties and routine operations. The other huge challenge is that some butchery owners may be reluctant to have carcasses that were tempered with if the research should include getting meat samples. Those who agree may offer parts not necessarily used when conducting some specific studies. This may also result in reduced sample sizes (Engstrom *et al.*, 2010), numbers of breeds as well as age and sex categories. Furthermore, the selection of experimental units may not be easy. Categorising the units, for example, according to the age groups, sex and origin may also present difficulties such as interfering with abattoir operations.

Information on when animals arrive at the abattoir is another huge challenge since this may mostly depend on other stakeholders, for example the farmers and transporters. It may also be difficult to get some measurements (Engstrom *et al.*, 2010), namely the time taken and/or distance travelled by animals to the abattoir, weather conditions and vehicle measurements. Language, gender and cultural barriers between the researchers and abattoir staff and management may also affect research under practical conditions (Goma *et al.*, 2001; Gillespie *et al.*, 2007). Ultimately, publishing work from such studies may be a problem since they may be considered 'scientifically' insignificant or inappropriate or being defined rare studies.

In order to minimise the negative effects of the problems explained above, the researcher needs to explain to the stakeholders the overall objectives, benefits and procedures to be followed when conducting research under such practical conditions (Goma *et al.*, 2001). Where research demands giving feedback; all the participating bodies should cooperate so as to improve production efficiency although this usually happens only after some time (Gillespie *et al.*, 2007). An efficient and useful feedback system relies on reliable data collection at the farm, abattoir and/or at the butcher. Developing a good working relationship among all the partners involved in such research brings a huge potential of minimising some of the challenges raised above. Despite these challenges, technologies developed under practical conditions tend to be more easily adopted by the stakeholders (Goma *et al.*, 2001; Gillespie *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, research on pre-slaughter stress and meat quality can be a better way of resolving these challenges by suggesting and coming up with new innovations for improvement in the meat industry sector.

Conclusion

Conducting pre-slaughter and meat science research is important in devising good welfare technologies for slaughtering animals and in improving the quality of meat. Although there are challenges in conducting such studies under real life situations, technologies developed under these conditions may be easier to transfer because of the quick feedback that may affect the participating stakeholders. Therefore, there is a need to conduct more research under practical conditions on pre-slaughter stress and meat quality for the meat industry sector improvement.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the following funders: National Research Foundation - Technology and Human Resources Programme (NRF-THRIP) Project T113; and Red Meat Research and Development South Africa (RMRD SA) for the financial support; farmers, abattoirs and butcheries.

References

Adzitey, F., 2011. Effect of pre-slaughter animal handling on carcass and meat quality: Mini Review. Int. Food Res. J. 18, 484-490.

- Bourguet, C., Deiss, V., Tannugi, C.C. & Terlouw, E.M.C., 2011. Behavioural and physiological reactions of cattle in a commercial abattoir: Relationships with organisational aspects of the abattoir and animal characteristics. Meat Sci. 88, 158-168.
- Broom, D.M., 1991. Animal welfare: concepts and measurement. J. Anim. Sci. 20, 5-19.
- Chulayo, A.Y., Muchenje, V., Mwale, M. & Masika, P.J. 2011. Effects of some medicinal plants on consumer sensory characteristics of village chicken meat. Afri. J. Biotechnol. 10 (5), 815-820.
- Chulayo, A.Y., 2011. Effects of pre-slaughter sheep handling and animal-handling factors on creatine kinase levels and physico-chemical attributes of mutton. MSc. thesis, University of Fort Hare, South Africa.
- Dawkins, M.S., 2006. Using behaviour to assess animal welfare. U. Feder. Anim. Welfar. 13, S3-S7.
- Dodzi, M. S. & Muchenje, V., 2011. Avoidance-related behavioural variables and their relationship to milk yield in pasture-based dairy cows. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 133, 11-7.
- Dyubele, N.L., Muchenje, V., Nkukwana, T.T. & Chimonyo, M., 2010. Consumer sensory characteristics broiler and indigenous chicken meat: A South African example. Food Qual. Prefer. 21, 815-819.
- Ekiz, B., Ekiz, E.E., Kocak, O., Yalcintan, H. & Yilmaz, A., 2012. Effect of pre-slaughter management regarding transportation and time in lairage on certain stress parameters, carcass and meat quality characteristics in Kivircik. Meat Sci. 90, 967-976.
- Engstrom, M., Sanchez ,W., Stone, W. & St-Pierre, N.R., 2010. Applications of population data analysis in on-farm dairy trials. J. Anim. Sci. 88, 25-31.
- Gajana, C.S., 2011. Effects of pre-slaughter handling on pork quality from a smallholder abattoir. MSc. thesis, University of Fort Hare, South Africa.
- Gillespie, J., Ae Kim, S. & Paudel, K. 2007. Why don't producers adopt best management practices? An analysis of the beef cattle industry. Agric. Econ. 36, 89-102.
- Goma, H.C., Rahim, K., Nangendo, G., Riley, J. & Stein, A. 2001. Participatory studies for agro-ecosystem evaluation. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 87, 179-190.
- Grandin, T., 2006. Progress and challenges in animal handling and slaughter in the US. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 100, 129-139.
- Hemsworth, P.H., Rice, M., Karlen, M.G., Collega, L., Barnett, J.N. & Coleman, G.J., 2011. Human-animal interactions at abattoirs: Relationships between handling and animal stress in sheep and cattle. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 135, 24-33.
- Kilgour, R.J., 2012. In pursuit of "normal": A review of the behaviour of cattle at pasture. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. (In Press).
- Lewis, C.R.G., Hulbert, L.E. Lund, V., Coleman, G., Gunnarsson, S., Appleby, M.C. & Karkinen, K., 2006. Animal welfare science Working at the interface between the natural and social sciences. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 97, 37-49.
- Marume, U., Chimonyo, M. & Dzama, K., 2012. Influence of dietary supplementation with *Acacia karroo* on experimental haemonchosis in indigenous Xhosa lop-eared goats of South Africa. Livest. Sci. 144, 132-139.
- Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Leyva, I.G., Barreras-Serrano, A., Pérez-Linares, C., Sánchez-López, E., María, G.A. & Figueroa-Saavedra, F., 2010. Assessment of cattle welfare at a commercial slaughter plant in the northwest of Mexico. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 44, 497-507.
- Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Sepúlveda, W.S., Montaldo, H.H., María, G.A. & Galindo, F., 2011. Social strategies associated with identify profiles in dairy goats. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 134, 48-55.
- Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Villarroel, M., Olleta, J.L., Alierta, S., Sańudo, C. & María, G.A., 2009. Effect of the pre-slaughter logistic chain on meat quality of lambs. Meat Sci. 83, 606-609.
- Muchenje, V., Dzama, K., Chimonyo, M., Raats, J.G. & Strydom, P.E., 2008a. Meat quality of Nguni, Bonsmara and Aberdeen Angus steers raised on natural pasture in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Meat Sci. 79, 20-28.
- Muchenje, V., Dzama, K., Chimonyo, M., Strydom, P.E. & Raats, J.G., 2009. Relationship between pre-slaughter stress responsiveness and beef quality in three cattle breeds. Meat Sci. 81, 653-657.
- Muchenje, V., Dzama, K., Chimonyo, M., Strydom, P.E., Hugo, A. & Raats, J.G., 2008b. Sensory evaluation and its relationship to physical meat quality attributes of beef from Nguni and Bonsmara steers raised on natural pasture. Anim. 2, 1700-1706.

- Ngambu, N., Muchenje, V., Chimonyo, M. & Marume, U., 2011. Correlations among sensory characteristics and relationships between aroma scores, flavour scores, off-flavour scores and off-flavour descriptors of chevon from four goat genotypes. Afri. J. Biotechnol. 10 (34), 6575-6580.
- Ohl, F. & van der Staay, F.J., 2012. Animal welfare: At the interface between science and society. The Vet. J. 192, 13-19.
- Stockman, C.A., Collins, T., Barnes, A.L., Miller, D., Wickham, S.L., Beatty, D.T., Blache, D., Wemelsfelder, F. & Fleming, P.A., 2013. Flooring and during conditions during road transport influence the behavioural expression of cattle. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 143, 18-30
- Villarroel, M., María, G.A., Sańudo, C., Olleta, J.L. & Gebresenbet, G., 2003. Effect of transport time on sensorial aspects of beed meat quality. Meat Sci. 63, 353-357.
- Vimiso, P. & Muchenje, V. 2012. Effect of transport logistical channel on bruises, pH and colour of meat from cattle slaughtered at a low throughput abattoir. S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci. (submitted).
- Xazela, N.M., Chimonyo, M., Muchenje, V. & Marume, U., 2011. Consumer sensory evaluation of meat from South African goat genotypes. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10 (21), 4436-4443.
- Xazela, N.M., Chimonyo, M., Muchenje, V. & Marume, U., 2012. Effect of sunflower cake supplementation on meat quality of indigenous goat genotypes of South Africa. Meat Sci. 90, 204-208.