PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Genotoxicity evaluation of multiwalled carbon nanotubes: in vivo studies in mice

To cite this article: E Snegin et al 2020 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 433 012010

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Recent citations

- <u>Carbon Nanotubes Interference with</u> <u>Luminescence-Based Assays</u> Tomasz Szymaski *et al*



This content was downloaded from IP address 188.170.217.49 on 20/05/2021 at 14:02

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **433** (2020) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/433/1/012010

Genotoxicity evaluation of multiwalled carbon nanotubes: in vivo studies in mice

E Snegin¹, A Gusev^{2,3}, E Snegina¹, A Barkhatov¹, I Vasyukova² and O Artemchuk¹

¹Belgorod National Research University, 85 Pobeda Street, Belgorod 308015, Russian Federation

²Derzhavin Tambov State University, 33 Internatsionalnaya Street, Tambov 392000, Russian Federation

³Voronezh State University of Forestry and Technologies named after G F Morozov, 8 Timiryazeva Street, Voronezh 394087, Russian Federation

E-mail: nanosecurity@mail.ru

Abstract. The toxicity of carbon nanoparticles in recent years has attracted much attention due to their active use in industry, medicine and agriculture, which brings not only obvious benefits, but also potential danger to the environment and human health. Getting into the natural environment, bioaccumulating and bioconcentrating in them, carbon nanotubes pose a real threat to mammals, since due to their high migration ability they can penetrate the food chain of animals and humans. Therefore, the aim of this study was to study the genotoxicity of carbon nanotubes in in vivo experiments on laboratory mice. For this, based on the method of single cell alkaline gel electrophoresis assay, studies were conducted to determine the effect of aqueous colloidal solutions of multiwalled carbon nanotubes on genotoxicity towards various tissues in laboratory mice. During these acute and subacute in vivo experiments we have established that orally introduced multiwalled carbon nanotubes colloids damage deoxyribonucleic acid in various organs. In particular, 0.5 mg of multiwalled carbon nanotubes administered daily for 21 days have a prominent genotoxic effect. Thus, the obtained results have illustrated that multiwalled carbon nanotubes can potentially affect the genetic material in mammals, orally administered nanotubes increase the rate of nuclear deoxyribonucleic acid destruction.

1. Introduction

Nanomaterials with various properties have attracted wide attention in the modern world and are being increasingly manufactured and used. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) represent a group of nanomaterials having a considerable potential as multifunctional building blocks and they are promising substances applicable in multiple areas of nanotechnologies: from nanocomposites to nanoelectronics, nanomedicine and nanobiotechnology. However, there is a growing body of evidence clearly indicating that CNTs require thorough evaluation of their potential harmfulness for humans and other biological systems. Though the medical applications of nanomaterials have attracted huge interest, the attention of the academic community is mostly focused on revealing the nanomaterials toxicity at the cell level. CNTs are known to penetrate the cell membrane and interact with intracellular molecules, resulting oxidative stress, impaired metabolism, and apoptosis [1]. Such topics as the toxic action of nanomaterials at the molecular level and genotoxicity in mammal cells have never been thoroughly studied [2,3]. Some data suggest that CNTs can accumulate in the endoplasmic reticulum in mice, and that CNTs produce free radicals, known as reactive oxygen species (ROS). For example, in [4] was



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

International AgroScience Conference (AgroScience-2019)	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 433 (2020) 012010	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/433/1/012010

investigated the pulmonary health effects of 10 weeks of repeated oral or pulmonary exposures to multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) (4 or 40 µg each week) in Apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. Intratracheal instillation of MWCNTs was associated with oxidative damage to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) in lung tissue and elevated levels of lipid peroxidation products in plasma, whereas the exposure only caused a modest pulmonary inflammation in terms of increased numbers of lymphocytes in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. However, with subchronic exposure to BEAS-2B cell culture, small doses of multi-walled carbon nanotubes was shown high levels of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) associated to MWCNT exposure. Nevertheless, an important proportion of these ROS levels seems to be associated to solubilized metals contaminants present in MWCNT. No primary DNA damage was obtained in the Comet assay although significant levels of chromosome damage were detected using the micronucleus assay [5]. In addition to the dose and exposure time, the physicochemical characteristics of MWCNTs affect the level of genotoxicity [6,7]. Thus, in the studies of S. Poulsen et al. the example of 10 different MWCNTs shows the dependence of their physicochemical characteristics on the manifestation of the genotoxic effect in the lungs and liver tissues [6]. R. Snyder et al. was investigated the potential of MWCNTs to impair mitochondrial gene expression and function in human bronchial epithelial cells. Mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy and insertion/deletion mutations were not significantly affected by any treatment. It was conclude that carbon nanotubes cause mitochondrial dysfunction that leads to mitophagy in exposed BECs via a mechanism unrelated to its reported genotoxicity. In conclude that carbon nanotubes cause mitochondrial dysfunction that leads to mitophagy in exposed bronchial epithelial cells via a mechanism unrelated to its reported genotoxicity [1]. Thus, the question of the genotoxicity of MWNTs remains open. Therefore, the aim of this study was to study the genotoxicity of carbon nanotubes in *in vivo* experiments on laboratory mice.

2. Materials and methods

Taunit-M carbon nanomaterial (MWCNTs) manufactured by NanoTechCenter (Tambov, Russia) was used as a test material. Taunit-M is produced by the method of chemical vapor deposition of hydrocarbon in the presence of metallic catalysts (Fe) [8]. MWCNTs are hollow one-dimensional nanosized cylindrical formations (figure 1). Typically, Taunit is represented by 1-1000 μ m agglomerations of entangled MWCNTs bundles.

R	
-200 nm	—1 um

MWCNTs Parameters	Values
Outer diameter	11-28 nm
Inner diameter	5-10 nm
Length	5-10 μm
Impurity content	<1-2%

Figure 1. SEM micrograph of MCNTs and their major parameters.

All the tests were carried out on outbred laboratory male mice at an age of 2 months and weight of 20 ± 1 g. The animals were housed in plastic boxes with the floor area of 200 cm^2 at a semi-natural light cycle of 12:12, at +22°C and relative air humidity of 40-60%. The mice were handled in accordance with the Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals [9].

The MWCNTs colloids were blended for 20 min in distilled water using a Sonics VC750 ultrasonic homogenizer (300W, 23.7 kHz). The obtained solutions were administered forcefully orally using a medical syringe without a needle. The control group received distilled water. In the acute experiment the animals were divided into three groups of five mice. The first group received MWCNTs at 5 mg/day, the second group received 2.5 mg/day, the third group was used for control. The acute experiment lasted for 1 day. In the subacute experiment lasting for 21 days the experimental group received MWCNTs at

0.5 mg/day and the control group received distilled water. At the end of this period the animals were taken from the experiment.

Tissues from liver, seminiferous tubules, large intestine, brain, kidneys, lungs, as well as bone marrow cells and peripheral blood leukocytes were analyzed. The samples were prepared according to the Guidelines [10]. Tissue maceration was carried out at +4°C in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.5) containing 20 mM of EDTA-Na₂ and 10% of DMSO. The cell suspensions embedded in low-melting agarose were applied to glass slides at + 42°C. The proteins were lysed for 2 hours at +40°C (the lysis solution: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 10), 2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA-Na₂, 1% Triton X-100 and 10% DMSO). Electrophoresis was carried out in a dark room according to the neutral variant of the method in tris-acetate-EDTA buffer (pH 8.9; 20 min; 1 V/cm). Ethanol-fixated and dried samples were stained with SYBR Green I. An epifluorescence-equipped microscope was employed for image analysis (figure 2). The data was processed using CometScoreTM V. 1.5 software. The nuclei were ranged into four categories depending on the DNA destruction (figure 2). At least 100 nuclei were examined in each specific sample.

Kruskal-Wallis test was used to assess the DNA destruction values, it is sometimes referred to as index of DNA comet (IDC) and is calculated according to the formula:

$IDC = (0n0 + 1n1 + 2n2 + 3n3 + 4n4) / \Sigma$

where *n*0-*n*4 is the number of DNA-comets of each category and Σ is the total sum of all the calculated DNA-comets [11].



Figure 2. Typical DNA-comet image of various stages of destruction of mouse bone marrow cell nuclei.

3. Results and discussion

The calculation results for the DNA comet indices of the cell nuclei collected from the experimental groups in the acute experiment are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Values of the DNA damage i	indices i	in the acu	ute experiment
-------------------------------------	-----------	------------	----------------

Organ	MWCNTs	MWCNTs	Control (a 11)
$(M \pm m)$	5 mg/day (a.u.)	2.5 mg/day (a.u.)	control (u.u.)
Liver	0.9 ± 0.43	0.48±0.21	0.03 ± 0.01
Seminiferous tubules	1.02±0.21*	0.11 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.08
Bone marrow	0.53 ± 0.28	0.62 ± 0.35	0.03 ± 0.01
Large intestine	0.11 ± 0.05	$0.06 \pm 0.005*$	0.003 ± 0.001
Leukocytes	0.48 ± 0.21	0.33±0.03*	0.07 ± 0.01
Brain	0.67 ± 0.34	0.0*	$0.04{\pm}0.01$
Kidneys	$0.18 \pm 0.06*$	$0.06 \pm 0.002*$	0.02 ± 0.01
Lungs	0.45±0.16*	0.33±0.02*	0.06 ± 0.01

M is the group mean value, m is root-mean-square deviation

* – significant difference (at p < 0.05) compared to the control group

The calculation results for the DNA comet indices of the cell nuclei collected from the experimental groups in the subacute experiment are presented in Table 2.

Organ	MWCNTs	Control (a.u.)	
(M±m)	0.5 mg/day (a.u.)		
Liver	1.85±0.26*	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	
Seminiferous tubules	1.98±0.58*	0.22 ± 0.08	
Bone marrow	0.91±0.36*	0.05 ± 0.01	
Large intestine	2.5±0.33*	0.008 ± 0.001	
Leukocytes	1.85±0.61*	0.08 ± 0.01	
Brain	1.57±0.51*	0.06 ± 0.02	
Kidneys	1.55±0.20*	0.02 ± 0.01	
Lungs	1.15±0.63	0.07 ± 0.02	

Table 2. Values of the DNA damage indices in the subacute experiment.

M is the group mean value, *m* is root-mean-square deviation

* – significant difference (at p < 0.05) compared to the control group

According to the obtained data, administration of MWCNTs at the doses of 5 mg/day and 2.5 mg/day in the course of the acute experiment results in significantly increased values of damaged lung and kidney DNA compared to the control group. It is worth mentioning that the lower MWCNTs dosage of 2.5 mg/day leads, in addition to that, to increased IDC in the cells of the large intestine, brain and in leukocytes, which might be connected with the lower agglomeration levels of MWCNTs at lower doses and, consequently, their better distribution throughout the organism.

DNA destruction in leukocytes after exposition to MWCNTs has also been proven by the study of the human peripheral blood leukocyte culture. During the in vitro experiment the cells were exposed for 48 h to MWCNTs at the following concentrations: 12.5, 25 and 50 μ g/mL. As a result, the increased level of the intracellular reactive oxygen species, registered throughout the experiment, has led to the cell damage and death, proliferation inhibition, DNA damage and inflammatory response [12]. In another study the authors have used the DNA-comet method to show the genotoxic activity of MCNTs at 2 μ g/mL. Though it should be noted that under the same experimental conditions MWCNTs at the concentrations of 1, 5 and 10 μ g/mL displayed only negligible effect on the DNA structure [13].

Subacute MWCNTs administration at a dose of 0.5 mg/day has a statistically significant adverse effect on the cell DNA in all the studied organs. The only exception is IDC in the lung cells, where the values are close to those of the control. In all the organs, except lungs, we have registered the statistically significant (at $p \le 0.05$) increase in the numbers of damaged DNA compared to the control. It is worth mentioning that in the large intestine the IDC value was the highest of all (2.5±0.33). It might be explained by the method of MWCNTs administration, as this organ is the target for the substances and their metabolites which get excreted through the digestive tract. The influence of MWCNTs on the cell DNA in various organs has been reported in a number of other research papers [1,6,13,14]. In particular, the genotoxic influence of MWCNTs on the bone marrow cells in in vivo experiments performed on lab mice has been proven in [13]. The values of % tail DNA were higher at all treatment doses (2, 5 and 10 mg/kg body weight) as compared to the negative control.

The oxidative stress is considered to be the main DNA-damaging mechanism. MWCNTs stimulate generation of the reactive oxygen species which are known to attack not only lipids, carbohydrates and proteins, but also the DNA structure [15]. Normally, antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, GSH-Px, etc. reduce H_2O_2 and superoxide radicals, thus saving polyunsaturated fatty acids from lipid peroxygenation and providing additional protection to the cell membrane structure. However, excessive reactive oxygen species production disrupts the natural antioxidant system functions leading to certain sub-cellular damages, including protein denaturation, membrane deterioration and DNA damage [16-18].

4. Conclusion

Thus, the obtained results have illustrated that MWCNTs can potentially affect the genetic material in mammals, orally administered nanotubes increase the rate of nuclear DNA destruction. The strongest effect has been observed during the subacute experiment. It is especially important to note that the genotoxic effect has been registered in all the studied organs, being a definite precondition for further pathologies. Though a number of papers show that MWCNTs display little acute and subchronic toxicity, nevertheless, the observed DNA damages might result in long-term toxic effects, for example, they might have adverse effects on the progeny of the exposed animals [18-20]. Because among MWCNTs potential negative effects, the potential for DNA damage is of paramount relevance, it is especially important to understand their mechanism of action. Besides, in the literature there is an assumption that direct MWCNTs have a more pronounced genotoxic effect than tangled MWCNTs [14]. The relationship between MWCNT properties and their genotoxic potential should further be investigated to better understand the mechanisms involved.

5. Acknowledgements

The study was carried out within the state assignment of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (No. 14. 13544.2019 13.1).

References

- [1] Snyder R J, Verhein K C, Vellers H L, Burkholder A B, Garantziotis S 2019 Multi-walled carbon nanotubes upregulate mitochondrial gene expression and trigger mitochondrial dysfunction in primary human bronchial epithelial cells. *Nanotoxicology*. 1 https://doi.org/10.1080/17435390.2019.1655107
- [2] Moller P, Jacobsen N R 2017 Weight of evidence analysis for assessing the genotoxic potential of carbon nanotubes. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* 47 871 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2017.1367755
- [3] Fatkhutdinova L M, Khaliullin T O, Shvedova A A 2015 Carbon nanotubes exposure risk assessment: from toxicology to epidemiologic studies (Overview of the Current Problem). *Nanotechnol Russ.* 10(5) 501 https://doi.org/10.1134/S1995078015030064
- [4] Christophersen D V, Jacobsen N R, Andersen M H G, Connell S P, Barfod K K, Thomsen M B, Miller M R, Duffin R, Lykkesfeldt J, Vogel U, Wallin H, Loft S, Roursgaard M, Møller P 2016 Cardiovascular health effects of oral and pulmonary exposure to multi-walled carbon nanotubes in ApoE-deficient mice. *Toxicology* 371 29 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2016.10.003
- [5] Vales G, Rubio L, Marcos R 2016 Genotoxic and cell-transformation effects of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) following in vitro sub-chronic exposures. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **306** 193 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.12.021
- [6] Poulsen S S et al 2016 Multi-walled carbon nanotube physicochemical properties predict pulmonary inflammation and genotoxicity. *Nanotoxicology* **10**(9) https://doi.org/10.1080/17435390.2016.1202351
- [7] Aschberger K, Asturiol D, Lamon L, Richarz A, Gerloff K, Worth A 2019 Grouping of multiwalled carbon nanotubes to read-across genotoxicity: A case study to evaluate the applicability of regulatory guidance. *Computational Toxicology* 9 22 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2018.10.001
- [8] Mischenko S V, Tkachev A G 2008 Carbon nanomaterials. Production, properties, application (Moscow: Mashinostroenie) p 320 [in Russian]
- [9] Washington D C 2011 *Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. Eighth Edition* (The national academies press) p 220
- [10] Durnev A D, Zhanataev A K, Anisina E A 2006 Application of the alkaline gel method electrophoresis of isolated cells to assess the genotoxic properties of natural and synthetic compounds: Methodological recommendations (Moscow) p 27 [in Russian]

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 433 (2020) 012010 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/433/1/012010

- [11] Struwe M, Greulich K O, Suter W 2007 The photo comet assay-A fast screening assay for the determination of photogenotoxicity in vitro. *Mutation Research*. 632(1-2) 44 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2007.04.014
- [12] Kim J S, Song K S, Yu I J 2015 Multiwall carbon nanotube-induced DNA damage and cytotoxicity in male human peripheral blood lymphocytes. *International Journal of Toxicology* 35(1) 27 https://doi.org/10.1177/1091581815598749
- [13] Ghosh M, Chakraborty A, Bandyopadhyay M, Mukherjee A 2011 Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT): Induction of DNA damage in plant and mammalian cells. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 197 327 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.09.090
- [14] Catalán J 2016 In vitro and in vivo genotoxic effects of straight versus tangled multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Nanotoxicology* **10**(6) https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2015.1132345
- [15] Shvedova A A, Pietroiusti A, Fadeel B, Kagan V E 2012 Mechanisms of carbon nanotubesinduced toxicity: focus on oxidative stress. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 261 121 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2012.03.023
- [16] Nel A, Xia T, Madler L, Li N 2006 Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science 311 622 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1114397
- [17] Liu Y, Zhao Y, Sun B, Chen C 2013 Understanding the toxicity of carbon nanotubes. Acc. Chem. Res. 46(3) 702 https://doi.org/10.1021/ar300028m
- [18] Qi W, Bi J, Zhang X 2014 Damaging effects of multi-walled carbon nanotubes on pregnant mice with different pregnancy times. *Scientific Reports* **4** 13 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04352
- [19] Fujitani T, Ohyama K, Hirose A 2012 Teratogenicity of multi-wall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) in ICR mice. J. Toxicol. Sci. 37(1) 81 https://doi.org/10.2131/jts.37.81
- [20] Vasyukova I A, Gribanovskii S L, Gusev A A, Ubogov A Y, Khaliullin T O, Fatkhutdinova L M, Tkachev A G 2015 Assessment of reproductive toxicity of multiwalled carbon nanotubes and their putative effects on population ecology of mouselike rodents. *Nanotechnologies in Russia* 10(5) 458 https://doi.org/10.1134/S1995078015030179