ExoMol line lists – XLIV. IR and UV line list for silicon monoxide ($^{28}Si^{16}O$)

Sergei N. Yurchenko¹, Jonathan Tennyson¹, Anna-Maree Syme², Ahmad Y. Adam³, Victoria H. J. Clark¹, Bridgette Cooper¹, C. Pria Dobney¹, Shaun T. E. Donnelly², Maire N. Gorman², Anthony E. Lynas-Gray^{1,5,6}, Thomas Meltzer¹, Alec Owens¹, Qianwei Qu¹, Mikhail Semenov^{1,5}, Wilfrid Somogyi¹, Apoorva Upadhyay¹, Samuel Wright¹, Juan C. Zapata Trujill

- ⁵ Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Rd., Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
- ⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of the Western Cape, Bellville 7535, South Africa

11 November 2021

ABSTRACT

A new silicon monoxide (²⁸Si¹⁶O) line list covering infrared, visible and ultraviolet regions called SiOUVenIR is presented. This line list extends the infrared EBJT ExoMol line list by including vibronic transitions to the $A^{1}\Pi$ and $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ electronic states. Strong perturbations to the $A^{1}\Pi$ band system are accurately modelled through the treatment of 6 dark electronic states: $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$. Along with the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ground state, these 9 electronic states were used to build a comprehensive spectroscopic model of SiO using a combination of empirical and *ab initio* curves, including the potential energy (PE), spin-orbit (SO), electronic angular momentum (EAM) and (transition) dipole moment curves. The *ab initio* PE and coupling curves, computed at the multireference configuration interaction (MRCI) level of theory, were refined by fitting their analytical representations to 2617 experimentally derived SiO energy levels determined from 97 vibronic bands belonging to the $X^{-}X$, $E^{-}X$ and $A^{-}X$ electronic systems through the MARVEL procedure. 112 observed forbidden transitions from the $C^{-}X$, $D^{-}X$, $e^{-}X$, and $d^{-}X$ bands were assigned using our predictions, and these could be fed back into the MARVEL procedure. The SiOUVenIR line list was computed using published *ab initio* transition dipole moments for the $E^{-}X$ and $A^{-}X$ bands; the line list is suitable for temperatures up to 10 000 K and for wavelengths longer than 140 nm. SiOUVenIR is available from www.exomol.com and the CDS database.

Key words: molecular data, opacity, astronomical data bases: miscellaneous, planets and satellites: atmospheres, stars: low-mass.

1 INTRODUCTION

Silicon monoxide (SiO) has been observed in a wide variety of astronomical environments since its original detection in the interstellar medium (Wilson et al. 1971), and has been found in late-type stars (Cudaback et al. 1971) in addition to being a key astrophysical maser (Snyder & Buhl 1974). Recently, there has been speculation that SiO will be present in the atmospheres of exoplanets, notably in hot rocky planets close to their host star where extreme temperatures cause vaporization of the silicate surface of the planet (Schaefer et al. 2012). Significant quantities of SiO can accumulate in a planetary atmosphere and a detection of SiO would shed light on the interaction between the atmosphere and the crust of hot rocky exoplanets at high temperatures (Herbort et al. 2020). Calculations have shown that SiO absorption should dominate

the infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) wavelength regions in the atmospheres of hot rocky super-Earths with molten surfaces, © The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $^{^1}$ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, WC1E 6BT London, UK

² School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, 2052, Sydney, Australia

³ Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie, Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften,

Bergische Universität Wuppertal, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

⁴ Department of Physics, University of Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, Wales SY23 3BZ, UK

⁷ Department of Science and Research, Moscow Witte University, 2nd Kozhukhovskiy passage, Moscow, Russian Federation

with prominent features at 4, 10 and 100 μ m (Ito et al. 2015). SiO can also become a major opacity source at wavelengths shorter than 0.35 μ m and dominate the transmission spectra of ultra-hot Jupiters (Lothringer et al. 2020).

Any observation of SiO in exoplanets relies on accurate molecular opacity data for this molecule. Currently, models use the SiO rotation-vibration-electronic (rovibronic) line list of Kurucz (2011), which covers the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, $A^{1}\Pi-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ and $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ electronic bands. Computational approaches to construct molecular line lists have undergone considerable development since the Kurucz SiO line list (Tennyson 2016; Tennyson & Yurchenko 2017). Notably, a robust computer program Duo (Yurchenko et al. 2016) has been developed to compute the rovibronic spectra of diatomic molecules and is able to treat multiple interacting electronic states and their couplings, as is the case in SiO. Secondly, an efficient algorithm known as MARVEL (Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels) (Furtenbacher et al. 2007; Császár et al. 2007; Furtenbacher & Császár 2012; Tóbiás et al. 2019) can analyse all the available published spectroscopic data (line positions) on a molecule and convert it into a consistent set of highly accurate empirical-quality energy levels. These can be used to empirically refine the spectroscopic model of a molecule, ultimately yielding line positions that can meet the demands of high-resolution spectroscopy of exoplanets (Birkby 2018) and be incorporated into the line list directly by replacing calculated values. We thus find it worthwhile to generate a new SiO rovibronic line list that exploits these tools within the ExoMol computational framework (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2018). The line list has been produced for the ExoMol Database (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2012; Tennyson et al. 2016b, 2020), which is providing key molecular data on a range of important molecules for the study of exoplanets and other hot atmospheres.

Experimental sources of SiO spectroscopic data selected for this work include those of Törring (1968); Elander & Lagerqvist (1971); Field et al. (1976); Bredohl et al. (1976); Manson et al. (1977); Lovas et al. (1981); Mohaaghababa et al. (1991); Wallace & Livingston (1992); Tsuji et al. (1994); Campbell et al. (1995); Wallace et al. (1996); Sanz et al. (2003) and Müller et al. (2013). Experimentally, the $A^{1}\Pi - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ band of SiO has been extensively studied in the 1970s by Field et al. (1976) and Bredohl et al. (1976). This band undergoes strong perturbations with nearby 'dark' electronic states $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$, i.e. states with transitions forbidden from the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ state. These perturbations were thoroughly analysed by Field et al. (1976) and Bredohl et al. (1976) with a significant number of transitions to dark states characterised. This valuable information plays an important role in our calculations as it allows us to use experimental constraints for these 6 dark states, which are otherwise not available.

The strong $E^{1}\Sigma^{+} - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ system of ²⁸Si¹⁶O was studied by Elander & Lagerqvist (1971) who reported rovibronic transitions up to vibrational levels with v' = 14. For this system, the perturbations were not reported with the necessary level of detail and are therefore not covered in our work. The F-X, G-X, I-X, K-X, J-X, M-X, L-X, N-X, P-X, O-X band systems have also been observed experimentally (Lagerqvi et al. 1973), but not at a resolution high enough for our analysis. These data were not included in the present study.

Lifetimes of SiO states were studied experimentally by Smith & Liszt (1972); Elander & Smith (1973) and comparison with these data provides an important test of the transition intensities predicted by our model.

Some of the key *ab initio* studies of SiO are by Langhoff & Bauschlicher (1993); Drira et al. (1998); Chattopadhyaya et al. (2003); Guo-Liang et al. (2008); Shi et al. (2012); Bauschlicher (2016); Feng & Zhu (2019a) and Feng & Zhu (2019b). The most valuable is the recent work by Bauschlicher (2016) who reported high level potential energy and transition dipole moment curves of SiO, which we have made extensive use of in this work.

We present a new ²⁸Si¹⁶O line list, called SiOUVenIR, built using an accurate spectroscopic model: empirical potential energy curves (PECs), spin-orbit curves, electronic angular momentum curves (EAMCs) and *ab initio* dipole moment curves (DMCs) and transition dipole moment curves (TDMCs). As part of the construction of the empirical curves, a MARVEL (Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels) set of empirical energies for ²⁸Si¹⁶O are produced. The line list covers the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X^{4}\Sigma^{+}$, $A^{1}\Pi-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ and $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ electronic systems and includes transitions from the 'dark' bands. The SiOUVenIR line list is suitable for temperatures up to 10 000 K and spans wavelengths longer than 140 nm (72 000 cm⁻¹) The SiOUVenIR line list builds upon the previous ExoMol study of Barton et al. (2013), which produced the EBJT rotation-vibration line lists for the ground electronic state $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ of the main isotopologue ²⁸Si¹⁶O and the four monosubstituted isotopologues ²⁹Si¹⁶O, ³⁰Si¹⁶O, ²⁸Si¹⁸O, and ²⁸Si¹⁷O.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we perform an analysis of all the published spectroscopic literature on SiO using the MARVEL procedure. The underlying potential energy curves (PECs), dipole moment curves (DMCs), and angular momentum coupling curves of our SiO spectroscopic model are discussed in Section 3. The solution of the coupled Schrödinger equations using DUO (Yurchenko et al. 2016), fitting of the curves via deperturbation of states and the production of the rovibronic line list are discussed in Section 4. The line list and its applications, including lifetimes and comparisons with lab and stellar spectra are presented in Section 5. We conclude in Section 6.



wavenumber, cm⁻¹

Figure 1. Spread of uncertainties across transition wavenumber for each electronic band within SiO. Forbidden bands are grouped together in the bottom right plot. Note the different y axis for the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ subplot (top left panel).

2 MARVEL

All available experimental transition frequencies of SiO were extracted from the published spectroscopic literature and analysed using the MARVEL algorithm (Furtenbacher et al. 2007; Császár et al. 2007; Furtenbacher & Császár 2012; Tóbiás et al. 2019). This procedure takes a set of assigned transition frequencies with measurement uncertainties and converts it into a consistent set of empirical-quality energy levels with the uncertainties propagated from the input transitions. The SiO data extracted from the literature covers the three main bands involving the $X^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi$, and $E^1\Sigma^+$ electronic states: $X^1\Sigma^+$ - $X^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi-X^1\Sigma^+$ and $E^1\Sigma^+-X^1\Sigma^+$ as summarised in Table 1. Due to interactions with other electronic states, 112 of the measured rovibronic transitions belong to the four forbidden systems $C^1\Sigma^--X^1\Sigma^+$, $D^1\Delta-X^1\Sigma^+$, $e^3\Sigma^--X^1\Sigma^+$ and $d^3\Delta-X^1\Sigma^+$, which are usually not identified in the experimental data but can be assigned using our variational calculations. It is important for MARVEL that all transitions are interconnected into a single network, which is not always the case. Here we include the empirical energies of SiO from the accurate ExoMol EBJT line list (Barton et al. 2013) for $v \leq 7$ and $J \leq 100$ in order to fill gaps and connect all experimental data into a single network. According to our experience, EBJT calculated energies are accurate enough for this task.

2.1 Description of experimental sources

Experimental transitions from the ground state system X-X are the same as used by Barton et al. (2013) to construct the EBJT line list. The other sources, denoted by the MARVEL tag, are follows:

13MuSpBi (Müller et al. 2013): reported 29 rotational microwave transitions of the X-X system for a number of isotopologues of SiO, including ²⁸Si¹⁶O, for vibrational levels with v up to 51. They have also compiled an extensive source

Table 1. Breakdown of the assigned transitions by electronic bands for the sources used in this study. A is the number of available transitions and V is the number of validated. The mean and maximum uncertainties obtained using the MARVEL procedure are given in cm^{-1} .

Electronic Band	Vibrational Bands	J Range	Wavenumber Range $\rm cm^{-1}$	Mean/Max	A/V
13BaYuTe X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	$ (0-0), \ (1-0), \ (1-1), \ (2-0), \ (2-1), \ (2-2), \ (3-0), \ (3-1), \ (3-2), \ (3-3), \ (4-0), \ (4-1), \\ (4-2), \ (4-3), \ (4-4), \ (5-0), \ (5-1), \ (5-2), \ (5-3), \ (5-4), \ (5-5), \ (6-0), \ (6-1), \ (6-2), \\ (6-3), \ (6-4), \ (6-5), \ (7-1), \ (7-2), \ (7-3), \ (7-4), $	0 - 103	1.4 - 7216.6	7.37e-02/2.28e-01	3426/3426
68Torring X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(0-0), (1-1), (2-2), (3-3),	0 - 1	1.4 - 1.4	3.27e-06/4.00e-06	4/4
71ElLa E ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	$ (0-0), \ (0-1), \ (0-2), \ (1-0), \ (1-1), \ (1-2), \ (10-0), \ (11-0), \ (12-0), \ (13-1), \ (14-1), \ (2-0), \ (2-1), \ (2-2), \ (3-0), \ (3-1), \ (4-0), \ (5-0), \ (6-0), \ (7-0), \ (8-0), \ (9-0), \ (8-0), \ (9-0), \ (8-0), \ (9-0), \ (8-0), \ (9-0), \ (8-0), \ (9-0), \ (8-0), \ (8-0), \ (9-0), \ (8-0$	2 - 64	49762.1 - 60025.8	1.91e-01/9.20e-01	2079/2076
76FiLaRe A ${}^{1}\Pi$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ D ${}^{1}\Delta$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ d ${}^{3}\Delta$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ e ${}^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ C ${}^{1}\Sigma^{-}$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$		0 - 49 35 - 36 11 - 41 9 - 38 13 - 42	$\begin{array}{r} 42439.1 - 49752.3 \\ 42453.1 - 42558.4 \\ 42487.9 - 46720.6 \\ 44089.1 - 48255.8 \\ 44898.4 - 45912.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.60e{-}02/5.00e{-}01\\ 5.00e{-}02/5.00e{-}02\\ 5.88e{-}02/2.00e{-}01\\ 5.08e{-}02/6.00e{-}02\\ 5.00e{-}02/5.00e{-}02 \end{array}$	1032/1032 3/3 34/34 24/24 9/9
76BrReCo D $^{1}\Delta$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ A $^{1}\Pi$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ d $^{3}\Delta$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	$\begin{array}{l} (6\text{-}1), \ (6\text{-}2), \ (6\text{-}3), \\ (0\text{-}1), \ (0\text{-}2), \ (0\text{-}3), \\ (9\text{-}1), \ (9\text{-}2), \ (9\text{-}3), \end{array}$	34 - 36 28 - 39 28 - 39	38821.0 - 41349.4 38825.2 - 41372.0 38841.4 - 41374.5	3.18e-01/5.50e-01 3.82e-01/9.00e-01 3.39e-01/7.10e-01	18/18 42/42 24/24
77MaClDe X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(0-0), (1-1), (2-2), (3-3), (4-4),	1 - 7	2.9 - 10.1	1.00e-05/1.00e-05	17/17
81LoMaOI X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(1-0), (2-1), (3-2), (4-3), (5-4),	0 - 61	1213.0 - 1294.0	1.48e-03/3.00e-03	35/35
91MoGoVr X ¹ Σ+-X ¹ Σ+	$ \begin{array}{l} (1-1),(10-10),(11-11),(13-13),(14-14),(15-15),(16-16),(17-17),(18-18),(19-19),(2-2),(20-20),(21-21),(22-22),(25-25),(3-3),(30-30),(31-31),(32-32),(33-33),(34-34),(36-36),(37-37),(38-38),(39-39),(4-4),(40-40),(5-5),(6-6),(7-7),(8-8),(9-9), \end{array}$	5 - 9	7.6 - 11.4	3.56e-07/5.67e-07	62/62
92WaLi X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(2-0), (3-1), (4-2), (5-3), (6-4),	3 - 101	2381.4 - 2497.3	1.01e-02/2.00e-02	507/507
94TsOhHi X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X ${}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(2-0), (3-1), (4-2), (5-3),	2 - 120	2420.3 - 2486.6	1.10e-02/2.00e-02	50/50
95CaKlDu ^{a} X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	$ (1-0), (10-9), (101-100), (102-101), (103-102), (104-103), (105-104), (106-105), \\ (11-10), (12-11), (13-12), (2-1), (3-2), (4-3), (5-4), (6-5), (61-60), (62-61), (63-62), (64-63), (65-64), (66-65), (7-6), (8-7), (9-8), \\ $	0 - 140	926.6 - 1241.3	9.52e-03/5.00e-02	1661/1622
95CaKlDu:lab X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(1-0), (2-1), (3-2), (4-3), (5-4),	1 - 82	1080.9 - 1311.9	5.54e-04/6.30e-03	375/375
03SaMcTh X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ -X $^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	$ (0-0), \ (1-1), \ (10-10), \ (11-11), \ (12-12), \ (13-13), \ (14-14), \ (15-15), \ (16-16), \ (17-17), \ (18-18), \ (19-19), \ (2-2), \ (20-20), \ (21-21), \ (22-22), \ (23-23), \ (24-24), \ (25-25), \ (26-26), \ (27-27), \ (28-28), \ (29-29), \ (3-3), \ (30-30), \ (31-31), \ (32-32), \ (33-33), \ (34-34), \ (35-35), \ (36-36), \ (37-37), \ (38-38), \ (39-39), \ (4-4), \ (40-40), \ (41-41), \ (42-42), \ (43-43), \ (44-44), \ (45-45), \ (5-5), \ (6-6), \ (7-7), \ (8-8), \ (9-9), \) $	0 - 1	1.0 - 1.4	1.02e-07/6.00e-07	46/46
13MuSpBi $X {}^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X {}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	(0-0), (1-1), (2-2), (3-3), (4-4), (46-46), (47-47), (48-48), (49-49), (5-5), (50-50), (51-51),	0 - 19	0.9 - 27.5	1.77e-07/5.00e-07	29/29

^a From Müller et al. (2013),

of all important spectroscopic data for X-X of SiO from other sources, some of which were sourced to the original lab or observational data, for example their version of the sunspot IR line positions by Campbell et al. (1995) which appears to give more decimal places.

68Torring: Four microwave transitions by Törring (1968).

77MaClDe: 17 microwave transitions by Manson et al. (1977).

81LoMaOl: 35 lines in the 1240 cm^{-1} region by Lovas et al. (1981).

91MoGoVr: Microwave data (62 lines) by Mollaaghababa et al. (1991) taken from the compilation by Müller et al. (2013).

94TsOhHi: IR data (50 lines) by Tsuji et al. (1994) from the region of the first overtone, 2400 cm^{-1} , observed in spectra of giant M-stars.

95CaKlDu:lab and 95CaKlDu:sunspot: IR sunspot spectra of SiO by Campbell et al. (1995), which were then re-



Figure 2. The spread of uncertainties for each vibronic state.

compiled by Müller et al. (2013). Here we use the data provided by Müller et al. (2013), which appeared in two parts, as lab and sunspot data. We retain this structure in our analysis.

92WaLi: 507 IR line positions covering the region of the 1st overtone band (2400 cm^{-1}) of ²⁸Si¹⁶O were extracted from the IR Spectral Atlases of the Sun by Wallace & Livingston (1992), see Wallace et al. (1996). There is a further sunspot Atlas due to Wallace et al. (1994) which contains identified SiO lines. However this Atlas does not provide line positions so it was not used.

03SaMcTh: 46 rotational (microwave) transitions of SiO by Sanz et al. (2003) covering high vibrational excitations, up to v = 44.

76FiLaRe,76BrReCo (Field et al. 1976; Bredohl et al. 1976): The $A^{1}\Pi - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ system was reported by two main sources, Field et al. (1976), (0-0), (1-0), (2-0), (3-0), (4-0), (5-0), (6-0), (7-0), (8-0), (9-0), 1102 lines, and Bredohl et al. (1976) (0-1), (0-2), (0-3), 84 lines. This system is heavily perturbed by $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$. In this study, a significant number of forbidden transitions to these dark states were measured, which were all assigned as additional A-Xtransitions. MARVEL requires that all transitions are uniquely identified. To this end we initially gave a dummy label A' to all duplicates, which were then properly resolved by comparing to the calculated values using Duo (see below). These data are very important as they provide (the only) access to these forbidden states, which strongly affect the behavior of the 'bright' states.

71ElLa: UV data covering the E-X system by Elander & Lagerquist (1971), 2079 lines, $v'_{\text{max}} = 14$.

13BaYuTe: 3426 pseudo-experimental values for v = 0...7 and J = 0...100 constructed from the EBJT energies as 'transitions' from the zero-point state, $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, v = 0, J = 0 to fill gaps in the MARVEL set and connect otherwise disjoint clusters (so called 'orphans' Császár & Furtenbacher (2011)). They play the same role as MARVEL's 'Magic numbers'. The uncertainties σ of these pseudo-experimental energies were decided based on the following scheme:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 0.01 + 0.0005J(J+1) & v \le 6, \\ 0.2 + 0.0005J(J+1) & v = 7 \end{cases}$$

The vibrational analysis of the higher E-X, G-X band systems by Barrow & Rowlinson (1954) was not included in the current study as this work does not contain line positions.

In total, 6051 experimental and 3426 pseudo-experimental (13BaYuTe) transitions were processed via the online MARVEL app (available through a user-friendly web interface at http://kkrk.chem.elte.hu/marvelonline) using the Cholesky (analytic) approach with a 0.5 cm⁻¹ threshold on the uncertainty of the "very bad" lines. The spread of transition wavenumbers and their uncertainties is shown in Fig. 1, split by the electronic bands of the transitions. Unsurprisingly the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}-X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ band has the lowest uncertainties, reaching an uncertainty of 10^{-7} cm⁻¹ for rotational transitions from Sanz et al. (2003) and Müller et al. (2013). The final MARVEL process for SiO resulted in one main spectroscopic network, shown in Fig. 3, containing 2617 energy levels with rotational excitation up to J = 103 for molecular states below 61 881 cm⁻¹. The energy levels are described in Table 2, giving the J and energy range for each vibronic state, as well as the sources of data that contribute to these energy levels. The spread of uncertainties for each vibronic state is shown in Fig. 2, clearly showing the higher accuracy and reliability of the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ state energy levels. These energy levels were used in Duo to refine our rovibronic spectroscopic model (PECs, SOCs and EAMCs) corresponding to all states but $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, which was kept unchanged. We also



Figure 3. Rovibrational spectroscopic networks for SiO. Each node represents a unique rovibronic energy level with the color indicating the electronic state and the connections between nodes are from input transition data.

did not include the EAM coupling into the fit between A and X in order not to destroy the integrity of the X PEC. The MARVEL input transitions and output energy files are given as part of the supplementary material. Figure 3 is an illustration of the MARVEL spectroscopic network where the nodes show the ro-vibrationic energy levels of each electronic state and the lines between them represent the transitions. Almost all energy levels from higher electronic states transition to low vibrational states from $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ which leads to the many offshoots of higher ro-vibrational levels of the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ state.

3 SPECTROSCOPIC MODEL OF SiO

3.1 Potential energy, spin orbit and electronic angular momentum curves

The initial singlet $A^{1}\Pi$, $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ PECs of SiO were taken from Bauschlicher (2016), see Fig. 4. The initial PECs for the triplet states (Fig. 4) and initial SOCs and EAMCs shown in Fig. 5 were computed *ab initio* in this work using the MRCI/aug-cc-pV5Z level of theory. The *ab initio* curves were then refined by fitting to MARVEL energies. For the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ PEC we used the empirical curve from Barton et al. (2013).

The *ab initio* calculations in this work were performed using the internally contracted multireference configuration interaction (IC-MRCI-F12c) approach with the F12-optimised correlation consistent basis set, QZ-F12 (Peterson et al. 2008) in the frozen core approximation. The active space and state-averaging was chosen as in Bauschlicher (2016): occupied (8,3,3,0), closed (5,1,1,0) with two A_1 and one Π states.

Calculations employed the diagonal fixed amplitude ansatz 3C(FIX) (Ten-No 2004) and a Slater geminal exponent value of $\beta = 1.0 \ a_0^{-1}$ (Hill et al. 2009). MOLPRO2015 (Werner et al. 2012) was used for all electronic structure calculations. A dense grid of 130 Si–O bond lengths was used.

In Duo all PECs were represented using an Extended Morse Oscillator (EMO) function (Lee et al. 1999) as given by

$$V(r) = V_{\rm e} + (A_{\rm e} - V_{\rm e}) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{N} B_k \xi_p^k (r - r_{\rm e})\right) \right]^2,$$
(1)

where $A_{\rm e} - V_{\rm e} = D_{\rm e}$ is the dissociation energy, $A_{\rm e}$ is the corresponding asymptote, $r_{\rm e}$ is an equilibrium distance of the PEC, and ξ_p is the Šurkus variable (Šurkus et al. 1984) given by

$$\xi_p = \frac{r^p - r_e^p}{r^p + r_e^p} \tag{2}$$

with $V_e = 0$ for the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ state. All states except $A^{1}\Pi$ have a common dissociation limit which we fixed to the ground state dissociation energy D_e 8.26 eV given by Huber & Herzberg (1979); this value was used to define the X PEC in (Barton et al.

v	J range	E-range (cm ⁻¹)	No	Mean/Max	Sources
$\mathbf{X}^{1}\Sigma^{+}$					
0	0 - 103	0.0 - 7643.7	104	0.001/0.007	03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 71ElLa, 76FiLaRe, 81LoMaOI, 93WaLi, 94TrObH: 05CaKIDurlah, 05CaKIDurgungant
1	0 - 102	1229.6 - 8675.5	102	0.002/0.028	32 wall, 341 Solini, 35 carribulato, 55 carribulato, 55 carribulato, 55 carribulato, 55 carribulato, 55 carribulato, 56 carrib
2	0 - 103	2447.3 - 9983.1	104	0.002/0.01	95CaKIDurab, 95CaKIDurab, 95CaKIDurab, 71ELLA, 70BrReco, 92WaLi, 94TSOHH 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYur, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92WaLi, 94TEOUV. 25C. UKD 14, 65CC. UKD 25C, 92WaLi, 94TSOHH 94TEOUV. 25CC. UKD 14, 65CC. UKD 25CC. 100 Control of the second statement of the secon
3	0 - 103	3653.2 - 11135.1	102	0.003/0.01	94TsOhhi, 95CakIDu:lab, 95CakIDu:sunspot, 71ELLa, 76BrReCo 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 68Torring, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92WaLi,
4	0 - 103	4847.2 - 12275.3	104	0.004/0.02	941sOhth, 95CakIDu:lab, 95CakIDu:sunspot, 76BrReCo 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 77MaClDe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92WaLi, 94TsOhHi,
5	0 - 102	6029.5 - 13264.1	103	0.005/0.014	95CaKIDu:lab, 95CaKIDu:sunspot 03SaMcTh, 13MuSpBi, 13BaYuTe, 81LoMaOI, 91MoGoVr, 92WaLi, 94TsOhHi, 95Cd: WDb support
6	0 101	7200.0 14244.5	109	0.005/0.01	95CaKiDu:lab, 95CaKiDu:sunspot 02SaMaTh 12BaVuTa 01MaCoVr 02WaLi 05CaKiDucaunenat
7	0 - 101	7200.0 - 14244.0 9259 9 1591 <i>6 6</i>	102	0.005/0.01	035aMcTh, 13BaTuTe, 91MoGoVr, 92WaLl, 95CaKIDusunspot
1	0 - 100	0514.1 10100.2	02 70	0.005/0.05	03Samerin, isbaruite, 91MoGOVI, 95Cakibusunspot
8	3 - 99	9514.1 - 10180.3 10054.0 17195.0	70 CO	0.006/0.02	03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKIDu:sunspot
9	4 - 98	10654.9 - 17135.6	60	0.007/0.02	03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKIDu:sunspot
10	5 - 91	11785.4 - 17338.4	47	0.005/0.02	03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKIDu:sunspot
11	5 - 83	12897.5 - 17493.7	41	0.006/0.02	03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKIDusunspot
12	8 - 80	14026.0 - 18239.5	22	0.01/0.03	03SaMcTh, 95CaKIDu:sunspot
13 A $^{1}\Pi$	9 - 60	15126.7 - 17466.3	16	0.014/0.04	03SaMcTh, 91MoGoVr, 95CaKlDu:sunspot
0	2 - 47	42643.8 - 44047.9	85	0.054/0.275	76BrReCo, 76FiLaRe
1	2 - 45	43483.9 - 44759.0	85	0.052/0.141	76FiLaBe
2	1 - 49	44306 4 - 45803 1	92	0.043/0.05	76FiLaBe
3	1 - 47	45124 0 - 46485 8	92	0.044/0.05	76FiLaBe
4	1 - 49	45925.8 - 47388.4	92	0.043/0.05	76FiLaBe
5	1 - 43	46715.6 - 47834.2	76	0.040/0.00	76FiLaBa
6	1 - 40	47494 4 - 48551 2	70	0.044/0.018	
7	3 - 34	48264 5 - 48949 5	45	0.046/0.05	76FiLaBa
2	2 21	40021.0 40582.2	55	0.046/0.05	
0	3 - 31 4 - 91	49021.0 - 49080.2	26	0.045/0.004	76FiLaRe
$^{9}C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$	4 - 21	49700.3 - 30012.0	20	0.05/0.05	for illance
10	40 - 42	46125.4 - 46203.1	3	0.05/0.05	76FiLaRe
11 D 14	13 - 18	46044.6 - 46122.6	6	0.05/0.05	76FiLaRe
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D} & \Delta \\ 6 \\ - & 1 - 1 \end{array}$	35 - 36	43433.3 - 43469.6	4	0.074/0.127	76BrReCo, 76FiLaRe
$\mathbf{E}^{-1}\Sigma^{+}$					
0	2 - 55	52581.6 - 54241.7	54	0.104/0.283	71ElLa
1	3 - 64	53252.4 - 55463.2	62	0.089/0.212	71EILa
2	2 - 59	53907.8 - 55775.0	58	0.097/0.6	71EILa
3	3 - 61	54561.1 - 56531.4	59	0.105/0.2	71EILa
4	1 - 59	55198.0 - 57029.0	59	0.149/0.503	71EILa
5	4 - 58	55840.5 - 57583.7	55	0.144/0.283	71ELA
6	2 - 56	56458.2 - 58075.2	55	0.14/0.2	
7	5 - 55	57087.1 - 58619.4	51	0.137/0.2	
8	3 - 53	57687.6 - 59104.2	51	0.139/0.2	71EILa
9	1 - 53	58281.3 - 59689.4	53	0.137/0.212	71EILa
10	4 - 56	58881.7 - 60425.5	53	0.132/0.141	71EILa
11	1 - 51	59456.0 - 60734.1	51	0.142/0.2	71EILa
12	6 - 50	60049.3 - 61245.7	45	0.139/0.2	71ElLa
13	10 - 47	60647.2 - 61660.3	38	0.139/0.2	71ElLa
14	15 - 39	61263.9 - 61881.1	25	0.127/0.141	71ElLa
$d^{3}\Delta$				7	
9	29 - 39	43187.3 - 43615.4	6	0.11/0.136	76BrReCo, 76FiLaRe
14	37 - 41	46770.1 - 46957.8	8	0.05/0.05	76FiLaRe
$15 e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$	12 - 24	46816.1 - 47073.3	20	0.044/0.05	76FiLaRe
7	15 - 15	48403.6 - 48403.6		0.05/0.05	76FiLaRe
9	26 - 37	44743.3 - 45160.3	10	0.048/0.05	76FiLaRe
14	38 - 38	48367.7 - 48367.7	1	0.05/0.05	76FiLaRe
15	9 - 16	48319.4 - 48421.0	8	0.046/0.05	76FiLaRe

Table 2. Summary of experimentally-derived MARVEL energy levels, including uncertainties and data sources in SiO. No is the number of energy levels in that vibronic state; Mean/Max are the mean and maximal values of uncertainties.

2013). A mass spectrometric dissociation energy by Hildenbrand & Murad (1969) gives $D_0^0 = 7.93$ eV while Langhoff & Arnold (1979) obtained 8.1 eV computed using an *ab initio* configuration interaction method. The spin-orbit curves as the EAMC of A-E were morphed using the expansion

$$F(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} B_k z^k (1 - \xi_p) + \xi_p B_{\infty},$$
(3)

where z is the damped-coordinate polynomial given by (for SOCs, EAMCs A-B, SRCs):

$$z = (r - r_{\rm ref}) e^{-\beta_2 (r - r_{\rm ref})^2 - \beta_4 (r - r_{\rm ref})^4},\tag{4}$$

see also Prajapat et al. (2017) and Yurchenko et al. (2018a). Here $r_{\rm ref}$ is a reference position chosen to be close to $r_{\rm e}$ of $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ and β_{2} and β_{4} are damping factors.





3.2 Dipole moment curves

The dipole moment curve of $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ was taken from Barton et al. (2013). The (transition) DMCs $A^{1}\Pi - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, $E^{1}\Sigma^{+} - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ (most important for this study), $A^{1}\Pi - A^{1}\Pi$, $E^{1}\Sigma^{+} - E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, $E^{1}\Sigma^{+} - A^{1}\Pi$, $C^{1}\Sigma^{-} - A^{1}\Pi$ were taken from Bauschlicher (2016), and the $D^{1}\Delta - A^{1}\Pi$ TDMC has been computed in this work. The phases of these non-diagonal TDMCs were selected to be consistent with the phases of the *ab initio* curves produced in our MOLPRO calculations. The *ab initio* (transitional) dipole moment curves used in this work are shown in Fig. 6.

The *ab initio* DMC of $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ was modelled using the expansion

$$\mu(r) = (1 - \xi_p) \sum_{k=0}^{N} C_k \, z^k + \xi_p \, C_{\infty},\tag{5}$$

where z is taken as the damped-coordinate z from Eq. (4), see also Prajapat et al. (2017) and Yurchenko et al. (2018a). This was done to reduce the numerical errors commonly appearing in simulations of spectra of high overtones, see Medvedev et al. (2016). Here C_k and C_{∞} are adjustable parameters. The expansion centre $r_{\rm ref}$ is typically chosen to be close to the equilibrium



Figure 6. Ab initio (transition) dipole moment curves used in this work are from Bauschlicher (2016) except X-X which is taken from (Barton et al. 2013) and the $D^{1}\Delta - A^{1}\Pi$ TDMC which is computed in this work.

Table 3. Comparison of experimental (molecular beam electric resonance spectra (Raymonda et al. 1970)) and theoretical (this work) diagonal vibrational transition dipole moments (Debye) of SiO in its ground X electronic state for v' = v' = 0 - 4.

Band	Exp. (D)	This work (D)
0–0	3.098	3.080
1 - 1	3.118	3.100
2 - 2	3.118	3.120
3–3	3.137	3.139
4-4	3.157	3.159

value of the ground electronic state. The X state dipole moment curve from the EBJT model was transformed to the analytical representation of Eq. (4).

The vibrational transitional dipole moment for the ground (X) vibrational state v = 0 is -3.0803 D, which compares well with the experimentally determined values of 3.0982 (D_0) and 3.088 D (D_e) using the molecular beam electric resonance spectra (Raymonda et al. 1970). In Table 3 we compare diagonal vibrational transition dipole moments with the experimental values by (Raymonda et al. 1970) for a few v' = v'' vibrational bands, showing excellent agreement.

All expansion parameters or curves defining our spectroscopic model are given as supplementary material to the paper as a Duo input file.

4 DEPERTURBATION

In Duo calculations a grid of 501 Sinc DVR points ranging from 0.2 to 7 Å was used. The vibrational basis set consisted of 40, 40, 50, 30, 30, 30, 30, 30, 30, 30 vibrational basis functions for the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, $A^{1}\Pi$, $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$ states, respectively, generated by solving the three independent J = 0 Schrödinger equations using the Sinc DVR method (Yurchenko et al. 2016).

Figure 7 illustrates the main perturbations of the $A^{1}\Pi$ system (v = 0, ..., 9) caused by the nearby lying electronic states $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$. This figures shows all empirical and theoretical (Duo) term values as a function of J, reduced as

$$\tilde{E}_i^{\text{red}} = \tilde{E}_i - 0.631J(J+1)$$

$\tilde{\nu} \ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	State'	J'	v'	e/f'	Ω'	J"	v''	$\tilde{\nu} \ ({\rm cm}^{-1})$	State'	J'	v'	e/f'	Ω'	J"	v''
41349.36	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	е	2	34	1	40160.70	$d^{3}\Delta$	29	9	е	2	28	2
41247.78	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	e	2	36	1	40076.77	$d^{3}\Delta$	29	9	e	2	30	2
41299.36	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	f	2	35	1	40119.35	$d^{3}\Delta$	29	9	f	2	29	2
40137.54	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	e	2	34	2	38958.90	$d^3\Delta$	29	9	e	2	28	3
40036.45	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	e	2	36	2	38875.30	$d^{3}\Delta$	29	9	e	2	30	3
40087.69	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	f	2	35	2	38917.80	$d^3\Delta$	29	9	f	2	29	3
38937.63	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	e	2	34	3	41351.85	$d^3\Delta$	34	9	e	1	33	1
38837.16	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	e	2	36	3	41252.76	$d^{3}\Delta$	34	9	e	1	35	1
38888.06	$D^1\Delta$	35	6	f	2	35	3	41302.91	$d^3\Delta$	34	9	f	1	34	1
41335.65	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	e	2	35	1	40139.25	$d^3\Delta$	34	9	е	1	33	2
41230.94	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	e	2	37	1	40040.92	$d^{3}\Delta$	34	9	е	1	35	2
41283.97	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	f	2	36	1	40090.85	$d^{3}\Delta$	34	9	f	1	34	2
40123.76	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	e	2	35	2	38938.91	$d^3\Delta$	34	9	е	1	33	3
40020.00	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	e	2	37	2	38841.40	$d^{3}\Delta$	34	9	e	1	35	3
40072.57	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	f	2	36	2	38890.89	$d^{3}\Delta$	34	9	f	1	34	-3
38924.43	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	e	2	35	3	41209.05	$d^{3}\Delta$	39	9	е	0	40	1
38821.02	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	e	2	37	3	41266.66	$d^{3}\Delta$	39	9	f	0	39	1
38873.36	$D^1\Delta$	36	6	\mathbf{f}	2	36	3	40111.69	$d^{3}\Delta$	39	9	е	0	38	2
41374.54	$d^{3}\Delta$	29	9	e	2	28	1	39999.18	$d^{3}\Delta$	39	9	e	0	40	2
41289.93	$d^3\Delta$	29	9	e	2	30	1	40056.34	$d^3\Delta$	39	9	f	0	-39	2
41332.80	$d^{3}\Delta$	29	9	f	2	29	1	41322.36	$d^3\Delta$	39	9	e	0	38	1

Table 4. Assignment of forbidden transitions from Bredohl et al. (1976).

to remove the major J(J+1) contribution.

The empirical term values representing the A-X system were obtained by combing the experimental transition frequencies by Field et al. (1976); Bredohl et al. (1976) and the accurate lower state X of SiO as taken from the ExoMol line list EBJT for SiO. All perturbing states are dark, i.e. electric dipole transitions to and from the $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ state are forbidden, and appear in the experiment only because of the interaction with the 'bright' $A^{1}\Pi$ state, allowed for $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, via the so-called intensity borrowing. These interactions are very local to the crossing, giving rise to more transitions than would otherwise be expected for the A-X system. The J dependencies of the energies around each crossing break the rovibronic sequences of the A term values. The shape of the crossing is very specific to the character of the crossing state, not only to its multiplicity, but also to the curvature exhibiting the differences between the corresponding rotational constants: the larger the difference of B from 0.631 cm^{-1} , the steeper the J curve. These shapes represent signatures of the state in question and are used to reconstruct their relative positions on the energy diagrams as in Fig. 7.

Field et al. (1976) reported a detailed analysis of all perturbations that appeared in the A-X spectra they observed, including assignments of the crossing rovibronic energies and estimates of the corresponding spectroscopic constants. This information was extremely valuable for our analysis. We first used their spectroscopic constants to reconstruct rovibronic energies of the perturbing state $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$ around crossing points with the program PGOPHER (Western 2017) and then to initially refine the corresponding *ab initio* curves by fitting to these empirical energies using Duo. This allowed us to correlate the Duo energy levels to the experimental (MARVEL) values and properly assign some of the duplicate transitions from Bredohl et al. (1976) and Field et al. (1976) to forbidden vibronic systems C-X, D-X, c-X and d-X, with the help of the detailed description of interactions provided by Field et al. (1976). This was important because the *ab initio* spectroscopic model (PECs and SOCs) is not accurate enough for predicting the exact vibronic state, especially when the corresponding vibrational quantum numbers are very high (v = 10-20). The re-assignments of 112 transitions from Bredohl et al. (1976) and Field et al. (1976) are listed in Tables 4 and 5.

There are no experimental line positions for the $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$ state. Hager et al. (1975) estimated T_{0} as 33 409 cm⁻¹, which we used to adjust the corresponding T_{e} constant of this state.

Our coupled model significantly improves the description of the perturbations. The residual errors between the observed and calculated values (obs-calc) are illustrated in Fig. 8. In fitting, we used the EMO form in Eq. (1) to represent the PECs and the damped form in Eq. (5) to represent the morphing of SOCs of the couplings with the perturbing states. Owing to the scarcity of information on dark states, only a minimal number of the expansion parameters B_k could be obtained. The assignment of the dark states around state crossings is illustrated in Fig. 7, where the experimental energy values (open red circles) are overlaid with the theoretical ones. Most of the experimental points nicely follow the A state sequences, except around the crossing points where these sequences break and follow the corresponding patterns of the dark states, forming distinct patterns. It should be noted that the rovibronic assignment of interacting levels exactly at their crossing is always ambiguous owing to the mixed nature of the resonating states.

Figure 8 shows the residuals representing our fit. Some of the A state energies still show small perturbations due to interactions with dark electronic states, which are not fully accounted for in our model.



Figure 7. Perturbations of the $A^{1}\Pi$ state term values by 'dark' sates. Reduced energy term values E - 0.631J(J+1) are shown as a function of J. The red open circles represent experimental levels from the A system. The filled symbols represent the calculated (Duo) levels with the red circles indicating the A states.

v″ J' Ω' J" v'' $\tilde{\nu} \ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$ State J' Ω' J" $\tilde{\nu} (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$ State v'e/f v'e/f $C^1\Sigma$ 46677.51 $d^{3}\Delta$ 21 13 152045912.81 11 f 0 130 1 0 e $C^1\Sigma^-$ 46647.05 $d^3\Delta$ 2145906.48 1411 f 0 140 15f 210 1 $C^1\Sigma^$ $d^3\Delta$ 45899.971511f 0 150 46615.1221151 220 е 45893.63 $C^1\Sigma$ 16 0 16 0 46673.81 $d^3\Delta$ 243 230 11 15f e $C^1\Sigma^-$ 45882.76 1711f 0 170 46639.16 $d^{3}\Delta$ 2415f 3 240 $C^1\Sigma$ 45875.02 $d^3\Delta$ 18 11 f 0 18 0 46602.9224153 250 e $C^1\Sigma$ $d^3\Delta$ 44940.32 40 45753.8837 $\mathbf{2}$ 37 4010f 0 0 14f 0 $C^1\Sigma^$ $d^3\Delta$ 44914.70 4110 f 0 41 0 45698.9437 14 2 38 0 e 44898.36 $C^1\Sigma$ 42543.94 $d^{3}\Delta$ 39 0 4210f 0420 9 380 e $d^3\Delta$ $D^1\Delta$ 42453.07 $\mathbf{2}$ 37 42487.91 39 q 0 39 0 36 6 0 f e $d^3\Delta$ $D^1\Delta$ 42558.4536 6 $\mathbf{2}$ 350 45713.6541 14f 1 410 e $D^1\Delta$ $e^3\Sigma$ 2 36 42506.7136 6 f 0 48254.239 15f 1 9 0 46720.58 $d^3\Delta$ 12153 11 0 48249.98 $e^3\Sigma$ 10 151 100 \mathbf{f} e $e^3\Sigma$ $d^3\Delta$ 46703.19 1215f 3 120 48245.561115f 1 110 $e^3\Sigma^-$ 46684.37 $d^3\Delta$ 3 48230.81 121215130 12151 f 0 е 46715.29 $d^{3}\Delta$ 13 $\mathbf{2}$ 120 48225.27 $e^3\Sigma^-$ 13150 15 \mathbf{f} 1 13e $e^3\Sigma$ $d^{3}\Delta$ $\mathbf{2}$ 1346696.51 13 15f 130 48255.85 14 15e 1 46676.19 $e^3\Sigma$ $d^{3}\Delta$ 1315 $\mathbf{2}$ 1448213.84 14150 e 1 150 е $d^3\Delta$ $e^{3}\Sigma$ $\mathbf{2}$ 7 46710.10 1415130 48251.55150 140 e e 46689.90 $d^3\Delta$ $\mathbf{2}$ 48206.65 $e^3\Sigma^$ ò 1415f 140 1515160 e $d^3\Delta$ $e^3\Sigma$ 2 46668.111415150 48247.24 1615150 e $e^3\Sigma^-$ 46700.44 $d^3\Delta$ 15153 140 48199.561615170 e е $e^3\Sigma$ $d^3\Delta$ 46678.731515f 3 150 44272.9426Q e 0 250 $d^3\Delta$ $e^3\Sigma^-$ 46655.61 3 16 0 44264.79 279 0 260 1515e $e^3\Sigma^-$ 46670.75 $d^{3}\Delta$ 1615f $\mathbf{2}$ 160 44227.74 29 290 9 1 $e^3\Sigma$ $d^3\Delta$ $\mathbf{2}$ 30 160 30 0 46646.05 15e 17 44215.43 9 f 1 46703.19 $d^{3}\Delta$ 18 153 17 0 44203.50 $e^3\Sigma$ 319 310 e f 1 $e^3\Sigma$ 46677.20 $d^3\Delta$ 18 3 44183.19329 15f 18 0 f 1 320 $e^3\Sigma$ 46649.70 $d^{3}\Delta$ 44170.00 33 1815e 3 190 9 f 1 33 0 $d^3\Delta$ $e^{3}\Sigma$ 9 46696.69 19153 18 0 44155.7434f 1 340 e $^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ 46669.20 $d^3\Delta$ 1915f 3 190 44215.4336 9 350 1 e $d^3\Delta$ $e^{3}\Sigma$ 46640.241915e 3 200 44110.1936 9 e 1 37 0 $d^3\Delta$ $e^{3}\Sigma$ 46685.22 200 44197.39 37 36 0 1519 9 1 1 e e $e^3\Sigma$ $d^3\Delta$ 46656.19 2015f 1 200 44089.0837 9 380 e 1 $d^3\Delta$ $e^3\Sigma$ 46625.89 20210 47296.58 38 f 38 0 151 14 1 е

Table 5. Assignment of forbidden transitions from Field et al. (1976).





Table 6. Extract from the states file of the SiOUVenIR line list for ²⁸Si¹⁶O.

i	Energy (cm $^{-1}$)	g_i	J	unc	au	g	Pa	rity	State	v	Λ	Σ	Ω	Label	Calc.
1666	44144.709385	7	3	8.920000	9.0168E-03	1.1217	+	f	d3Delta	11	2	1	3	Ca	44144.709385
1667	44149.467240	7	3	8.920000	2.6619E-04	0.4728	+	f	d3Delta	11	2	0	2	Ca	44149.467240
1668	44154.189842	7	3	8.920000	1.0477E-04	-0.2607	+	f	d3Delta	11	2	-1	1	Ca	44154.189842
1669	44209.302522	7	3	8.920000	6.3159E-01	0.5301	+	f	b3Pi	11	1	1	2	Ca	44209.30252
1670	44276.546978	7	3	8.920000	1.0252E-03	0.0905	+	f	b3Pi	11	1	0	1	Ca	44276.546978
1671	44314.520663	7	3	0.050000	2.8958E-08	0.0835	+	f	A1Pi	2	1	0	1	Ma	44314.59782
1672	44342.823666	7	3	8.920000	4.8501E-01	-0.0368	+	f	b3Pi	11	1	-1	0	Ca	44342.82366
1673	44421.259298	7	3	7.320000	1.7643E-05	0.1667	+	f	e3Sigma-	9	0	1	1	Ca	44421.25929
1674	44463.770855	7	3	12.120000	1.8929E+00	0.5833	+	f	a3Sigma+	15	0	1	1	Ca	44463.77085 <u>5</u>
1675	44472.524815	7	3	12.120000	8.5180E+00	-0.4164	+	f	a3Sigma+	15	0	0	0	Ca	44472.52481
1676	44644.518546	7	3	7.320000	1.8475E-02	0.0000	+	f	C1Sigma-	9	0	0	0	Ca	44644.518546
1677	44787.014146	7	3	7.320000	3.0209E-01	0.3333	+	f	D1Delta	9	2	0	2	Ca	44787.014146
1678	44814.070066	7	3	9.720000	1.1037E-02	1.1218	+	f	d3Delta	12	2	1	3	Ca	44814.07006
1679	44818.761972	7	3	9.720000	3.3377E-04	0.4729	+	f	d3Delta	12	2	0	2	Ca	44818.76197
1680	44823.424247	7	3	9.720000	1.3523E-04	-0.2609	+	f	d3Delta	12	2	-1	1	Ca	44823.424242
1681	45075.695373	7	3	9.720000	6.4266E-01	0.5298	+	f	b3Pi	12	1	1	2	Ca	45075.695373

i: State counting number.

 \tilde{E} : State energy term values in cm⁻¹, MARVEL or Calculated (Duo).

 g_i : Total statistical weight, equal to $g_{ns}(2J+1)$.

 $J{:}$ Total angular momentum.

unc: Uncertainty, cm^{-1} .

 τ : Lifetime (s⁻¹).

g: Landé g-factors.

+/-: Total parity.

State: Electronic state.

 $v{:}$ State vibrational quantum number.

 Λ : Projection of the electronic angular momentum.

 $\Sigma:$ Projection of the electronic spin.

 $\Omega:$ Projection of the total angular momentum, $\Omega=\Lambda+\Sigma.$

Label: 'Ma' is for MARVEL and 'Ca' is for Calculated.

5 LINE LIST AND SIMULATIONS OF SPECTRA OF SIO

The SiOUVenIR line list was produced with Duo using the refined spectroscopic model of SiO (provided as supplementary material). It contains 91395763 transitions and 174250 states for $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ $A^{1}\Pi$, $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$, $C^{1}\Sigma^{-}$, $D^{1}\Delta$, $a^{3}\Sigma^{+}$, $b^{3}\Pi$, $e^{3}\Sigma^{-}$ and $d^{3}\Delta$, covering wavenumbers up to 72000 cm⁻¹ ($\lambda < 140$ nm) and J = 0...250. The line list is provided in State and Transition files, as is customary for the ExoMol format (Tennyson et al. 2020). Extracts from the States and Trans files are shown in Tables 6 and 7, respectively; the full files are available from www.exomol.com and CDS. The lines list contains state uncertainties, Landé-g factors (Semenov et al. 2017), lifetimes (Tennyson et al. 2016a) and a partition function which is very similar to that of EBJT due to the negligible contribution from the energy levels belonging to the excited electronic states.

The calculated energies were replaced with the MARVEL values (MARVELised), where available. We have used the labels 'Ca' and 'Ma' in the penultimate column of the States file to indicate if the value is calculated (Duo) or MARVELised, respectively.

The uncertainty values in the States file correspond to two cases: the MARVEL uncertainties are used for MARVELised energies, while for the calculated values the following approximate expression is used:

$$unc = av + bJ(J+1), (6)$$

where a and b are electronic state dependent constant, defined in Table 8.

Figure 9 illustrates the three main bands of SiO compared against a spectrum generated from the Kurucz (2011) line list at 2000 K. We see increased deviation between the spectra with increased energy due to Figure 10 illustrates the evolution of the spectrum with increasing temperature.

Figure 11 shows a spectrum of SiO computed using transitions between the 'MARVELised' (i.e. more accurate) states only. It illustrates the completeness of the MARVEL part of the line list for high-resolution spectroscopic applications, such as high-dispersion spectroscopy of exoplanets (Snellen 2014).

As an illustration of of the quality of the SiO line list in the UV, we compare theoretical SiO spectra with existing experimental spectroscopic studies of SiO from this region of two prominent bands, A-X and E-X. Figure 12 compares absorption spectra from the A-X bands from two different regions, 234–236 nm and 250-290 nm to the fluorescence spectrum by Coursimault et al. (2003) (Left) and shock-tube absorption spectrum by Park & Arnold (1978) (Right), respectively. To reproduce the fluorescence spectrum at the 235 nm band, a non local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) population

14

Table 7. Extract from the transitions file of the SiOUVenIR line list for ²⁸Si¹⁶O.

f	i	A_{fi} (s ⁻¹)	$ ilde{ u}_{fi}$
18	506	2.4093E+01	2097.041341
508	16	8.0507E+00	2099.618181
818	506	9.6724E+00	2100.876729
1928	816	1.0376E+01	2102.115330
2358	1926	1.0772E+01	2103.333959
3528	2356	1.1030E+01	2104.532592
7157	8325	1.1301E+01	2105.654263
3958	3526	1.1212E+01	2105.711204
5128	3956	1.1350E+01	2106.869772
6727	7155	1.1372E+01	2107.160357
5558	5126	1.1458E+01	2108.008270
5557	6725	1.1455E+01	2108.646782
6728	5556	1.1546E+01	2109.126673

Table 8. a and b constants defining state dependent uncertainties via Eq. (6).

$\begin{array}{cccc} X^1\Sigma^+ & 0.002 & 0.0001 \\ A^1\Pi & 0.5 & 0.001 \\ E^1\Sigma^+ & 0.5 & 0.001 \\ \text{All other} & 0.8 & 0.001 \end{array}$	State	a	b
$\begin{array}{cccc} A {}^{1}\Pi & 0.5 & 0.001 \\ E {}^{1}\Sigma^{+} & 0.5 & 0.001 \\ \text{All other} & 0.8 & 0.001 \end{array}$	$X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	0.002	0.0001
$E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ 0.5 0.001 All other 0.8 0.001	$A {}^{1}\Pi$	0.5	0.001
All other 0.8 0.001	$E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	0.5	0.001
	All other	0.8	0.001

of $T_{\rm rot} = 1000$ K and $T_{\rm vib} = 5000$ K was used. Figure 13 provides an illustration for the E - X band by comparing the shock-tube absorption spectrum by Park (1978) a SiOUVenIR synthetic spectrum computed for T = 3740 K (LTE). All three cases demonstrate fairly good agreement with experiment.

<page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><text> Lebzelter et al. (2012). This part of the spectrum features the 2–0 IR band, with the band head at 4044 nm. The small satellite bandhead belongs to the 3-1 hot band. The absorption spectrum (air) of SiO was generated from the SiOUVenIR line list using the Lorentzian line profile with a HWHM of 0.2 cm^{-1} with the Beer-Lambert law then applied plotted next to the HD61913 spectrum. In addition to the local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) spectrum calculated with the star's effective temperature of 3530 K, a non-LTE spectrum (calculated with a rotational temperature of 1800 K and a vibrational temperature of 3530 K) is also plotted. The non-LTE spectrum demonstrates improved similarity to the stellar spectrum, particularly with regard to the satellite 3-1 bandhead next to the 2-0 band head.

1979; Drira et al. 1998; Bauschlicher 2016; Feng & Zhu 2019a) and experimental (Smith & Liszt 1972; Elander & Smith 1973) works. Table 9 shows a comparison of these works with the calculated lifetimes for the $A^{1}\Pi$ and $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ states. In our work, the output from Duo was used as the input for the ExoCRoss program (Yurchenko et al. 2018b) to calculate lifetimes, see also Tennyson et al. (2016a). It should be noted that the theoretical lifetimes show slow dependence on J and tend to monotonically increase with v up to about 100 ns for v = 40. This means that an observed lifetime for the state will be temperature dependent.

For the $A^{1}\Pi$ state at v' = 0, J' = 0 our lifetime value is 28.5 ns, which is in close agreement with some previous theoretical works (Bauschlicher 2016; Feng & Zhu 2019a; Chattopadhyaya et al. 2003), but is twice the calculated lifetime predicted by Langhoff & Arnold (1979) and Drira et al. (1998), which seem to be closer to the experimental value of Smith & Liszt (1972). While it is hard for us to reconcile these large differences, as we use a very high accuracy *ab initio* model for TDMCs, we attribute the difference with the experimental work Smith & Liszt (1972) to be due to the lower perturbing states.

For the $E^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ state at v' = 0, J' = 0 our value is 11.23 ns, which is in close agreement with some very recent calculations (Bauschlicher 2016; Feng & Zhu 2019a) and experiment (Elander & Smith 1973).



Figure 9. Simulated SiO absorption spectra at 2000 K showing the three main bands of the UV-IR system. A Gaussian profile of half width of half maximum (HWHM) of 1 cm⁻¹ was used. The spectrum based on the line list by Kurucz (2011) is indicated for comparison.

Table 9. Comparison of the experimental and calculated lifetimes, τ (ns), for the $A^1\Pi$ and $E^1\Sigma^+$ vibrational ground states. 'This work' values are quoted for v' = 0, J' = 0.

$A^{1}\Pi$	source		$E^1\Sigma^+$		source	
28.5	This work	Cal	11.23		This work	Cal
9.6	Smith & Liszt (1972)	Exp	10.5	\mathbf{V}	Elander & Smith (1973)	Exp
28.9	Chattopadhyaya et al. (2003)	Cal	7.10	Cha	ttopadhyaya et al. (2003)	Cal
49.5	Oddershede & Elander (1976)	Cal				
16.6	Langhoff & Arnold (1979)	Cal	6.80	1	Langhoff & Arnold (1979)	Cal
12.5	Drira et al. (1998)	Cal	6.00		Drira et al. (1998)	Cal
29.3	Bauschlicher (2016)	Cal	11.0		Bauschlicher (2016)	Cal
32.34	Feng & Zhu (2019a)	Cal	10.58		Feng & Zhu (2019a)	Cal

6 CONCLUSION

A new IR and UV line list for SiO is presented. The SiOUVenIR line list supersedes the original SiO ExoMol line list from Barton et al. (2013). SiOUVenIR is available from www.exomol.com and the CDS database, via ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J, or http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/MNRAS/

As part of the line list construction, a MARVEL analysis for ²⁸Si¹⁶O was performed. All experimental line positions from the literature (to the best of our knowledge) covering the IR and UV regions (X-X, A-X and E-X systems) were collected and processed to generate a comprehensive set of empirical energies of ²⁸Si¹⁶O. Forbidden experimental transitions connecting the X state with the dark electronic states of the C, D, e, and d system were assigned using our fully coupled description of the rovibronic system. An accurate spectroscopic model for SiO was built: *ab initio* TDMC and empirically refined PECs, SOCs, EAMCs. This allowed us to make full line assignments to transitions associated with perturbing states.

The line list was MARVELised, where the theoretical energies are replaced with the MARVEL values (where available). The line list provides uncertainties of the rovibronic states in order to help in high-resolution applications. Comparisons of simulated UV spectra show close agreement with experiment, similarly with computed lifetimes.

This SiOUVenIR linelist was largely constructed through two online "Hackathon" days during 2020 via the online videoconferencing Zoom platform. The team was split into "Breakout rooms" which each had a particular task (*Ab initio*, MARVEL, fitting, etc.). These tasks were largely independent for initial iterations of this new linelist. The online Hackathon days had the advantage of including co-authors who would not normally be able to participate in "in-person" "Hackathons".



Figure 10. Temperature dependence of the SiO spectra using the SiOUVenIR line list. A Gaussian profile with half width at half maximum (HWHM) of 1 cm⁻¹ was used.



Figure 11. A SiO absorption spectrum at T = 2000 K simulated using transitions between MARVEL states only. A Gaussian profile with HWHM of 1 cm⁻¹was used.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the STFC Projects No. ST/R000476/1, ST/S506497/1 and ST/P006736/1 and by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme through Advance Grant number 883830. The authors acknowledge the use of the UCL Legion High Performance Computing Facility (Legion@UCL) and associated support services in the completion of this work, along with the Cambridge Service for Data



Figure 12. SiO A - X band. Left display: Absorption spectrum of SiO computed using $T_{\rm rot} = 1000$ K, $T_{\rm vib} = 5000$ K, using the Voigt line profile with HWHM = 0.015 nm compared to a fluorescence spectrum by Coursimault et al. (2003); Right display: SiO absorption spectrum computed using T = 3740 K and the Voigt line profile with using HWHM = 0.03 nm compared to the shock-tube absorption spectrum by Park & Arnold (1978).



Figure 13. SiO E - X band. An absorption spectrum of SiO computed using T = 3740 K and the Voigt line profile compared to a shock-tube absorption spectrum by Park (1978) using HWHM = 0.04 nm.

Driven Discovery (CSD3), part of which is operated by the University of Cambridge Research Computing on behalf of the STFC DiRAC HPC Facility (www.dirac.ac.uk). The DiRAC component of CSD3 was funded by BEIS capital funding via STFC capital grants ST/P002307/1 and ST/R002452/1 and STFC operations grant ST/R00689X/1. DiRAC is part of the National e-Infrastructure. This research was undertaken with the assistance of resources from Supercomputing Wales and the Australian National Computational Infrastructure (NCI Australia), a NCRIS enabled capability supported by the Australian Government. Additional supported was provided by UK research councils EPSRC, under grant EP/N509577/1. We would like to thank the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) for funding through grant NE/T000767/1. We also want to thank Moscow Witte University for sponsoring the fellowship enabling this research.



Figure 14. A comparison between cross sections generated from SiOUVenIR and the experimental data from Hermann et al. (2001). SiOUVenIR cross sections are generated using Lorentzian line profiles with HWHM = 4.5 cm^{-1} , in simulated non-LTE with a rotational temperature of 3000 K and a vibrational temperature of 4000 K.



Figure 15. A comparison of the SiO spectrum (in air) using the SiOUVenIR line list with a section of the stellar spectrum of HD61913 from Lebzelter et al. (2012) showing the 2-0 (middle) and 3-1 (left) IR band region. A Lorentzian line profile with HWHM of 0.2 cm⁻¹ was used. For the LTE spectrum, the equilibrium temperature of 3530 K was used. The non-LTE spectrum was computed for $T_{\rm rot} = 1800$ K and $T_{\rm vib} = 3530$ K.

7 DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

The MARVEL input transitions file and output energy levels file, the Duo input file, which contains all the potential energy, dipole moment and coupling curves of SiO used in this work, and the temperature-dependent partition function of ²⁸Si¹⁶O up to 10000 K are given as supplementary only data to this article. The full SiOUVenIR line list is available from www.exomol.com and the CDS database, via ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J/MNRAS/, or http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/MNRAS/.

REFERENCES

Barrow R. F., Rowlinson H. C., 1954, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 224, 374 Barton E. J., Yurchenko S. N., Tennyson J., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 1469 Bauschlicher C. W., 2016, Chem. Phys. Lett., 658, 76 Birkby J.-L., 2018, arXiv e-prints, Bredohl H., Remy F., Cornet R., 1976, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 9, 2307 Campbell J. M., Klapstein D., Dulick M., Bernath P. F., 1995, ApJS, 101, 237 Chattopadhyaya S., Chattopadhyay A., Das K. K., 2003, J. Phys. Chem. A, 107, 148 Coursimault F., Motret O., Viladrosa R., Pouvesle J. M., 2003, J. Phys. IV, 108, 131 Császár A. G., Furtenbacher T., 2011, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 266, 99 Császár A. G., Czakó G., Furtenbacher T., Mátyus E., 2007, Annu. Rep. Comput. Chem., 3, 155 Cudaback D. D., Gaustad J. E., Knacke R. F., 1971, ApJL, 166, L49 Drira I., Spielfiedel A., Edwards S., Feautrier N., 1998, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 60, 1 Elander N., Lagerqvist A., 1971, Phys. Scr., 3, 267 Elander N., Smith W. H., 1973, ApJ, 184, 311 Feng Y., Zhu Z., 2019a, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 236, 106576 Feng Y., Zhu Z., 2019b, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 239, 106647 Field R. W., Lagerquist A., Renhorn I., 1976, Phys. Scr., 14, 298 Furtenbacher T., Császár A. G., 2012, J. Mol. Struct., 1009, 123 Furtenbacher T., Császár A. G., Tennyson J., 2007, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 245, 115 Guo-Liang X., Wen-Jing L., Yu-Fang L., Zun-Lue Z., Xian-Zhou Z., Jin-Feng S., 2008, Chinese Phys. B, 17, 448 Hager G., Harris R., Hadley S. G., 1975, J. Chem. Phys., 63, 2810 Herbort O., Woitke P., Helling C., Zerkle A., 2020, A&A, 636, A71 Hermann J., Coursimault F., Motret O., Acquaviva S., Perrone A., 2001, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 34, 1917 Hildenbrand D. L., Murad E., 1969, J. Chem. Phys., 51, 807 Hill J. G., Peterson K. A., Knizia G., Werner H.-J., 2009, J. Chem. Phys., 131, 194105 Huber K. P., Herzberg G., 1979, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-0961-2, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-0961-2 Ito Y., Ikoma M., Kawahara H., Nagahara H., Kawashima Y., Nakamoto T., 2015, ApJ, 801, 144 Kurucz R. L., 2011, Can. J. Phys., 89, 417 Lagerqvi A., Renhorn I., Elander N., 1973, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 46, 285 Langhoff S. R., Arnold J. O., 1979, J. Chem. Phys., 70, 852 Langhoff S. R., Bauschlicher C. W., 1993, Chem. Phys. Lett., 211, 305 Lebzelter T., et al., 2012, A&A, 539, A109 Lee E. G., Seto J. Y., Hirao T., Bernath P. F., Le Roy R. J., 1999, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 194, 197 Lothringer J. D., Fu G., Sing D. K., Barman T. S., 2020, ApJL, 898, L14 Lovas F. J., Maki A. G., Olson W. B., 1981, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 87, 449 Manson E. L., Clark W. W., Delucia F. C., Gordy W., 1977, Phys. Rev A, 15, 223 Medvedev E. S., Meshkov V. V., Stolyarov A. V., Ushakov V. G., Gordon I. E., 2016, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 330, 36 Mollaaghababa R., Gottlieb C. A., Vrtilek J. M., Thaddeus P., 1991, ApJ, 368, L19 Müller H.-S.-P., Spezzano S., Bizzocchi L., Gottlieb C.-A., Degli Esposti C., McCarthy M.-C., 2013, J. Phys. Chem. A, 117, 13843 Oddershede J., Elander N., 1976, J. Chem. Phys., 65, 3495 Park C., 1978, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 20, 491 Park C., Arnold J. O., 1978, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 19, 1 Peterson K. A., Adler T. B., Werner H.-J., 2008, J. Chem. Phys., 128, 084102 Prajapat L., Jagoda P., Lodi L., Gorman M. N., Yurchenko S. N., Tennyson J., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 3648 Raymonda J. W., Muenter J. S., Klemperer W. A., 1970, J. Chem. Phys., 52, 3458 Sanz M. E., McCarthy M. C., Thaddeus P., 2003, J. Chem. Phys., 119, 11715 Schaefer L., Lodders K., Fegley Jr. B., 2012, ApJ, 755, 41 Semenov M., Yurchenko S. N., Tennyson J., 2017, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 330, 57 Shi D., Li W., Sun J., Zhu Z., 2012, Spectra Chimica Acta A, 87, 96 Smith W. H., Liszt H. S., 1972, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 12, 505 Snellen I., 2014, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London A, 372, 20130075 Snyder L. E., Buhl D., 1974, ApJ, 189, L31 Ten-No S., 2004, Chem. Phys. Lett., 398, 56 Tennyson J., 2016, J. Chem. Phys., 145, 120901 Tennyson J., Yurchenko S. N., 2012, MNRAS, 425, 21 Tennyson J., Yurchenko S. N., 2017, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 117, 92 Tennyson J., Yurchenko S. N., 2018, Atoms, 6, 26 Tennyson J., Hulme K., Naim O. K., Yurchenko S. N., 2016a, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 49, 044002 Tennyson J., et al., 2016b, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 327, 73 Tennyson J., et al., 2020, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 255, 107228 Tóbiás R., Furtenbacher T., Tennyson J., Császár A. G., 2019, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 21, 3473 Törring T., 1968, Z. Naturforsch. A, 23, 777

Tsuji T., Ohnaka K., Hinkle K. H., Ridgway S. T., 1994, A&A, 289, 469

Wallace L., Livingston W. C., 1992, Technical report, An atlas of a dark sunspot umbral spectrum from 1970 to 8640 cm⁻¹ (1.16 to 5.1 μ m). National Solar Observatory

Wallace L., Livingston W. C., Bernath P., 1994, Technical report, An Atlas of the Sunspot Spectrum from 470 to 1233 cm⁻¹ (8.1 to 21 μ m) and the Photospheric Spectrum from 460 to 630 cm⁻¹ (16 to 22 μ m). National Solar Observatory

MAI

- Wallace L., Livingston W., Hinkle K., Bernath P., 1996, ApJS, 106, 165
- Werner H.-J., Knowles P. J., Knizia G., Manby F. R., Schütz M., 2012, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci., 2, 242
- Western C. M., 2017, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 186, 221
- Wilson R. W., Penzias A. A., Jefferts K. B., Kutner M., Thaddeus P., 1971, ApJ, 167, L97
- Yurchenko S. N., Lodi L., Tennyson J., Stolyarov A. V., 2016, Comput. Phys. Commun., 202, 262
- Yurchenko S. N., Sinden F., Lodi L., Hill C., Gorman M. N., Tennyson J., 2018a, MNRAS, 473, 5324
- Yurchenko S. N., Al-Refaie A. F., Tennyson J., 2018b, A&A, 614, A131
- Šurkus A. A., Rakauskas R. J., Bolotin A. B., 1984, Chem. Phys. Lett., 105, 291