

Taxonomy of fibre mat misalignments in pultruded GFRP bridge decks

M. Poulton¹, W.M. Sebastian^{1,*}

¹Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London,
Chadwick Building, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

**Corresponding author email address: w.sebastian@ucl.ac.uk*

Abstract: This paper presents a taxonomy of the fibre mat misalignments found in a multi-celled pultruded GFRP deck, based on high-resolution images of the polished cross sections. A dual approach to misalignment taxonomy is presented, one based on misalignment morphology, the other grounded in the manufacturing provenance of the misalignments. Each misalignment is characterised using, alternately, a Gaussian function, the angle to its steepest tangent, its length and its height. Some scatter in the type and severity of the misalignments was found within the web-flange junctions. The deck's top flange was found to be thicker in regions containing a double-backed or flipped mat layer, which was probably due to displacement of the internal mandrels during pultrusion. A previously verified analytical approach based on classical laminate theory predicts that, owing to stress concentrations, the present Gaussian misalignments lead to a 57% knockdown in tensile load capacity.

Keywords: Glass fibres, fabrics/textiles, defects, pultrusion

1. Introduction

Glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP) cellular bridge decks offer numerous advantages compared to conventional steel or reinforced concrete alternatives. The cellular design and high stiffness- and strength-to-weight ratio of GFRP enables prefabricated decks to be quickly lifted onto the abutments using low capacity cranes, which minimises traffic disruption [1]. The modular design is eminently suited to increasing the load capacity of existing bridges through dead weight reduction. Also, the superior corrosion resistance (to de-icing salts in particular) can reduce the maintenance and inspection costs over a prolonged design life.

Of the range of manufacturing techniques available, pultrusion has proved popular for GFRP decks as it facilitates the continuous production of standardized, thin walled sections of complex geometries and fibre architectures. The standardization of the geometry and material properties of

30 pultruded GFRP sections has been crucial to their adoption in bridge decks and in civil engineering
31 structures more widely. Thus, any discrepancies that exist between the assumed material properties and
32 those of the as-built deck must be properly understood.

33 To that end, this paper investigates a key source of material variability in pultruded decks;
34 namely, misalignment of the fibre mats. As shown in Figure 1(a), the fibre mats in a GFRP deck are often
35 randomly misaligned in the transverse (normal-to pultrusion) direction. The term “fibre waviness” is
36 commonly used to describe this type of defect [2]. However, for pultruded decks the trajectory of the mats
37 can take various forms. Hence, throughout this paper the term *misalignment* is used to refer to any
38 deviation of the mats from their optimal location; that is, the location that maximises the mechanical
39 properties of the deck when subject to realistic in-service loads.

40 Fibre mat misalignments are only problematic if their adverse effects are not accounted for at the
41 design stage. There are three reasons why this is often the case for GFRP decks:

- 42 1. The mat misalignments arise due to variabilities in the pultrusion process, and so their location
43 and severity are highly random.
- 44 2. The mechanical properties of the deck provided by the manufacturer are established from
45 standard tests on flat coupons taken from the webs and flanges. However, the properties of the
46 flats are not representative of the web-flange junctions (WFJs) due in part to the presence of mat
47 misalignments and other defects (including voids and resin-rich zones) that are *unique* to the
48 junctions.

49 It should be noted that, given the definition of misalignment provided above, even in the absence of
50 the *random* misalignments that arise during the pultrusion process, the fibre mats may still be considered
51 misaligned if they do not produce the *optimal* deck performance. This becomes an important
52 consideration at the junctions, which are subject to complex, multi-directional stress states due to the local
53 contact pressure distribution exerted by heavy lorry tyres [3]. The positioning of fibre mats that produces
54 the optimal resistance to these loads is difficult to determine and may not be consistent with the
55 positioning specified by the manufacturer. Furthermore, manufacturers of GFRP decks do not typically
56 disclose detailed information regarding the ‘intended’ fibre architecture and mat positioning.

57 The above concerns have precluded quality standards from adequately addressing the issue of
58 mat misalignments in pultruded decks. For example, the US standard ASTM D4385-19 [4] states that
59 misalignments are permissible if the section achieves the material properties stated by the manufacturer,
60 which is inadequate for the reasons outlined in point 2 above. The European standard EN 13706-2 [5]
61 outlines a defect state in pultruded sections as “*An unintentional or unspecified misalignment of mat or*
62 *fabric reinforcing material in relation to the contour of a pultruded section*”, which is limited to an out-
63 of-plane deviation of 1.5 mm (or 20% of the part thickness). However, as outlined in the paragraph above,
64 in areas of complex geometry the ‘contours’ of the GFRP deck are ill-defined and may not describe the
65 optimal trajectory for the fibre mats. Thus, the specified limits on mat misalignment should be relative to
66 the *performance* of the deck.

67 A lack of clear guidance regarding the acceptable positioning of the fibre mats has resulted in
68 many previous studies reporting on misaligned fibre mats in pultruded GFRP decks. For example,
69 Coogler et al. [6] described “misoriented” and “wavy” fibre mats in the WFJs of a section of Duraspan
70 deck. For the same decking system, Yanes-Armas et al. [7] described “wrinkling” of the inner mats,
71 “variable prolongation” of the mats from the WFJ into the adjoining flange and “asymmetric distribution”
72 of the mats through-the-thickness of the web. These misalignments were inconsistent between two
73 nominally identical WFJs. Xin et al. [8] commented on mat “folding” in the WFJs of a cellular GFRP
74 deck, which was described as more pronounced for the WFJs with thicker webs, flanges and fillet radii. In
75 a recent study by Sa et al. [9], Figure 3 of their paper clearly shows misalignment of the mats passing
76 through the WFJ for a ‘snap-fit’ pultruded GFRP deck manufactured in Korea. Sebastian et al. [2]
77 presented a first attempt at measuring the fibre mat “waviness” in a unit of Fiberline ASSET deck, by
78 manually plotting points along each fibre mat layer on a transparent plastic sheet using a sharp-pointed
79 marker.

80 Aside from bridge decks, several other studies have demonstrated similar mat misalignments in
81 standard pultruded sections. For example, misalignments in both the flats [10], free ends [11] and WFJs
82 [12] [13] of I-sections are reported to produce significant variability in the local buckling loads, likewise
83 for box beams [14]. This demonstrates the prominence of mat misalignments as a generic issue in
84 pultruded sections. However, beyond these initial observations, no data that relate the severity of mat
85 misalignment to the properties of the pultruded section have been produced. Indeed, a search through

86 Mottram’s constantly renewed database of over 2700 publications on research and development with
87 pultruded profiles [15] shows that the present paper is the first to address this important issue.

88 Despite this paucity of data, the influence of variable mat misalignments on the failure of
89 pultruded sections is evidenced by the fracture patterns that develop during failure. The effect is most
90 pronounced at key junctions within the deck that exhibit significant misalignments. As shown in Figure
91 1(b), failure of the WFJs manifests as delaminations along the misaligned mat layers and kinking at the
92 steepest tangent angle, which is accompanied by transverse cracks that occasionally propagate to the
93 surface. These surface cracks create paths for water ingress, which can further deteriorate the fibre-to-
94 resin bond strengths. A comprehensive summary of the figures in previous studies that show similar
95 fractures within the WFJs of both pultruded GFRP decks and I-sections is provided in Table 1 of
96 Sebastian et al. [2].

97 A complete understanding of fibre mat misalignments starts with the pultrusion process. To that
98 end, Figure 2 shows an illustration of the pultrusion process, where the key stages that influence the
99 positioning of the mats are emphasized (in bold). The specific process details for a given pultruded
100 section vary and are typically undisclosed by the manufacturer, so the illustration is based on generic
101 information provided in Shaw-Stewart and Sumerack [16] and Meyer [17]. A description of the key
102 stages is given below.

103 During pultrusion, layers of fibre mats are pulled and progressively formed from their initial
104 planar shape into that of the final section using a series of metal or high-density polyethylene forming
105 guides. Rovings are pulled in a similar manner through a series of guiding holes and inserted as discrete
106 layers between the mats. This process (referred to as reinforcement *collimation*) is more complex for
107 cellular sections as the fibre mats must be completely wrapped around an internal mandrel in order to
108 form the hollow shape. This wrapping method is preferable to inserting separate flat mats into each of the
109 webs and flanges, which does not achieve continuity of the mats through the web-flange junctions
110 (WFJs).

111 Thick, multi-celled pultruded sections with multiple layers of fibre mats and rovings – as used in
112 GFRP bridge decks – require many forming guides and mandrels that afford only approximate control
113 over the positioning of the fibres. Lateral shifting and crowding of the rovings prior to die entry can
114 misalign the surrounding mats, and wrinkles in the mats can also arise during the wrapping process.

115 Within the die, compression of the fibre rovings and impregnation of the resin using die-injection can
116 result in further movement of the fibres, producing wrinkles and resin-rich zones near the surface of the
117 section. For cellular sections, transverse gaps or overlaps in the mats are inevitable due to the wrapping
118 process, but their location and extent are subject to variability during manufacture.

119 As a result, the cross section of the cured profile can exhibit multiple *types* of misalignment that
120 occur randomly and simultaneously throughout the section, as illustrated in the insert to the bottom right
121 of Figure 2. It is important to distinguish between these different types, as they may have a differing
122 impact on the structural performance of the GFRP deck. Unlike the previous studies listed above that use
123 terms such as “misoriented”, “wavy”, “wrinkled” and “folded” interchangeably, the study reported on in
124 the rest of this paper defines multiple categories of mat misalignment based on their morphology and
125 origin in manufacture.

126 The issue of fibre misalignments has been studied extensively in the context of aerospace- and
127 automotive-grade FRPs [18]. In this case, experimental investigations typically involve inducing a
128 localised misalignment in a small flat coupon under lab conditions, enabling the severity to be precisely
129 controlled and quantified. For example, the effect of wrinkles in CFRP prepregs has been investigated by
130 inserting either steel rods [19], aluminium wire [20], or prepreg strips [21] transversely across the
131 laminate. Potter et al. [22] built on these studies by developing a taxonomy of defect states in prepreg
132 composite components, highlighting that a significant proportion of misalignments are influenced
133 predominantly by design decisions, including the choice of corner radii and part curvature, rather than by
134 errors in the manufacturing process itself.

135 The studies mentioned in the preceding paragraph produce misalignments in flat FRP coupons
136 that are easily characterised using simple sinusoidal functions, which enables theoretical prediction of the
137 laminate stiffness and strength reduction as a function of the wave height and amplitude. Bogetti et al.
138 [23] applied 2D piecewise classical laminate theory to a cross-ply laminate with a half sine-wave middle
139 layer. This method was later shown by Hsiao and Daniel to correlate well with experimentally obtained
140 stiffness [24] and strength [25] values for carbon- and glass-FRP coupons under uniaxial compression. El-
141 Hajjar and Peterson [19] used the method to accurately predict the stiffness of unidirectional carbon-FRP
142 laminates with wrinkles described using a graded Gaussian function. It is now prudent to transfer the
143 above concepts to the mat misalignments found in as-manufactured pultruded GFRP bridge decks.

144 **2. Objectives of the present study**

145 From the issues outlined above, the objectives of this paper are as follows, namely to:

- 146 • Develop a suitable technique for imaging and measuring the transverse fibre mat misalignments
147 within a pultruded GFRP bridge deck.
- 148 • Present a taxonomy of fibre mat misalignment based on morphology and origin in manufacture.
- 149 • Quantify the inconsistency of misalignments observed in nominally identical WFJs of the deck.
- 150 • Assess whether the fibre mat misalignments can be characterised using simple mathematical
151 functions that can be implemented into an analytical model to predict the reduction in
152 mechanical properties of the deck.

153 A specific pultruded bridge deck has been used throughout this paper to illustrate the above
154 objectives. The mat misalignments observed in the bridge deck used in this study are broadly
155 representative of those found in other commercial pultruded, cellular bridge decks to date. Similar
156 misalignment morphologies to those presented in this paper can be seen in previously published cross-
157 sectional images of a variety of bridge decks from different manufacturers (for example [7], [8] and [9])
158 and from a larger decking system from the same manufacturer [2]. Thus, the imaging and characterisation
159 technique proposed in this paper can be applied to a wide range of pultruded, cellular decks.

160 The scope of this paper is limited to mat misalignments in the transverse-to-pultrusion direction,
161 as can be seen in the cut cross-sections of the deck. It is noted that the tensile force applied to the fibre
162 mats during pultrusion should minimise misalignments in the longitudinal direction.

163 The following section outlines the imaging technique used and gives details of the different fibre
164 mats observed in the chosen deck specimens. The taxonomy of fibre mat misalignments is presented in
165 Section 4, which is then used in Section 5 to compare the WFJs from each of the five specimens. Section
166 6 presents the analytical analysis, which is followed by conclusions and recommendations for future
167 work.

168 **3. Methodology**

169 3.1 GFRP deck preparation and imaging

170 Figure 3(a) shows the geometry of the GFRP decking system used in this study, which is a
171 rectangular unit that encloses two diagonal stiffeners to form three internal cells. The short sides of the
172 deck have complementary tongue-and-groove connectors to facilitate adhesive bonding. The GFRP
173 material consists of E-glass fibres embedded in an isophthalic polyester resin. Specific details of the fibre
174 mats and rovings used in the deck are provided in Section 3.2. The deck geometry was optimised to
175 satisfy the traffic loading in the Dutch standard NEN 6788: 1995 [26] and was manufactured by Fiberline
176 using resin injection within the die. The decking system has been used in several bridges throughout
177 Europe, including a 99 m cyclist and pedestrian bridge in Reinbek, Germany in 2009. In this and other
178 bridges, the deck has spanned transversely between longitudinal girders with the thicker flange on top to
179 provide adequate resistance to local tyre loads.

180 Five panels of bridge deck were selected for analysis based on differences in the type of mat
181 misalignments observed within the cross section of the deck, indicating that the panels were produced in
182 different batches. These were labelled specimens A to E, where specimen A exhibited the fewest
183 misalignments and E the most. A comparison of the misalignments within the WFJs of each specimen is
184 provided in Section 5 of this paper.

185 A single 80 mm wide section of deck was cut from each panel, and the cut surface was then
186 deburred and polished using progressively finer sandpaper up to 600-grit. The application of an oil-based
187 substance to the cut surface of the deck increased the reflectivity of the 0° fibres, thus enhancing the
188 contrast between the rovings and mats. Petroleum jelly was used for this purpose as it did not fade
189 significantly with time.

190 The cross sections from each specimen were imaged using a Canon 7D DSLR camera with a
191 telephoto zoom lens that had a minimum focal length of 200 mm at maximum magnification, as shown in
192 Figure 3(b). At this distance, the image window was approximately 80 mm by 53 mm (2/3 aspect ratio)
193 with a resolution of 3456 x 5184 pixels. Through trial and error, it was found that placing a light source at
194 a 45° angle above the cut surface produced maximum contrast between the roving and mat layers.

195 For each specimen, images were taken of the four WFJs at the ends of the diagonal stiffeners,
196 named top left (TL), top right (TR), bottom left (BR) and bottom right (BR) as can be seen in Figure 3(a).

197 Centring the image at each of these junctions provided sufficient coverage of the adjoining ‘flats’ of the
198 deck and any additional misalignments that occurred in the webs or flanges.

199 The images were post-processed in ImageJ, a freely available image editing software that
200 enables scaling, transformation and measurements of images. First, each image was scaled using the
201 estimated pixels/mm obtained from scaling the horizontal ruler aligned with the top surface of the deck.
202 Then, the images were rotated and translated to ensure the top deck surface was perfectly horizontal and
203 at a fixed distance from the edge of the image.

204 The distortion effects from the lens were checked by taking an image of a 4 mm square grid and
205 measuring the displacement of the vertices relative to a uniform grid overlaid onto the image in
206 postprocessing. The image showed a minimal degree of pincushion distortion, with a maximum corner
207 displacement of 0.2 mm. In the centre of the image (where the web-flange junction was located) this
208 distortion was negligible.

209 Once scaled, the trajectory of each mat could be traced by placing markers every 0.25 mm along
210 the top and bottom edges of the fibre mat layers. The misalignment of the layer was evaluated from the
211 midline of the top and bottom markers. The uncertainty with regards to the placement of the markers was
212 influenced by the geometry of the fibre mats, as outlined in the following section.

213 **3.2 Geometry of fibre mats**

214 The cross-sectional images of the WFJs revealed the geometry and layup of the fibre mats and
215 rovings used within the bridge deck panels. As shown in Figure 4(a), the GFRP deck comprised a
216 combination of biaxial, triaxial and complex stitched mats either side of a large core of textured rovings.
217 The biaxial mats appear as a thick white layer (90° bundles) with a row of blue ellipses (0° bundles) that
218 have areas of pure white resin in the gaps between them. The triaxial mats have a similar cross-sectional
219 appearance to the biaxial, as the $+45^\circ$ and -45° bundles appear as a single white layer, with an adjacent
220 row of blue ellipses. Note that these mats were inserted either with the 0° bundles above or below the off-
221 axis bundles. The third type of fibre mat present in the deck was a thin complex mat, shown to the right of
222 Figure 4(a), which had a combination of small $0^\circ/90^\circ$ woven bundles and randomly orientated fibres. A
223 surface veil also lined the outer perimeter of the deck.

224 The fibre rovings within the core of the deck exhibit a marble-like texture due to patches of non-
225 straight and non-uniformly distributed fibres. Detailed micro-CT images of the fibres within a pultruded
226 roving core showing a similar macro-structure can be found in a recent study by Baran et al. [27].

227 Given this fibre architecture, the trajectory of the mats was measured by manually placing
228 markers along the top and bottom interfaces of the off-axis bundles (white bands). The fibre mat-to-
229 roving interface was very clear, and the markers could be placed with an error of less than 0.08 mm (the
230 height of 5 pixels). However, marking the fibre mat-to-fibre mat interface required occasional
231 interpolation through the small areas of pure resin that were present due to the gaps between the 0°
232 bundles.

233 At this point it is useful to define a bridge deck section that has the ‘idealised’ mat positioning
234 that can be used as a reference for the fibre mat misalignments reported on in the rest of this paper. This
235 idealisation is shown in Figure 4(b), which also gives a magnified view of the WFJ in the insert at the top
236 of the figure. As the manufacturer’s intended fibre architecture is not disclosed, the idealised section
237 assumes the mats are parallel with the contours of the profile, as is consistent with EN 13706-2 [5].

238 From Figure 4(b), it is seen that just below the external surfaces of the deck is a surface veil
239 (black) and a biaxial mat (red). The internal cells are lined with a veil, biaxial and triaxial mat (blue),
240 which wrap completely around the cells. In the top flange, an additional horizontal biaxial mat runs
241 continuously from the left to the right side, passing through the WFJs. The underlying complex mat layer
242 (green) is terminated immediately before the junction. The rovings (grey) form a thick layer within the
243 core of the webs and flanges between the mat layers. The schematic diagrams, such as the one shown in
244 Figure 4(b), are used throughout the following section in conjunction with the images of the deck cross-
245 sections to clearly illustrate the trajectory of the fibre mat layers for each misalignment category.

246 **4. Taxonomy**

247 Figure 5 presents a summary of the different categories of mat misalignments observed within
248 the GFRP bridge deck cross-sections. As shown, six categories are defined based on the morphology of
249 the misalignment, where the name given to each category refers to a distinct shape as follows:

- 250 1. **Double-Back (DB)**: a mat layer returns upon itself.

- 251 2. **Flip (F)**: at a web-flange junction, the end of a mat layer is flipped to the other side of the
252 junction.
- 253 3. **Corner Wrinkle (CW)**: a localised loop in the corner of a mat layer.
- 254 4. **Near-Surface Wrinkle (NSW)**: a localised dip in the mat layers near the surface of the
255 specimen.
- 256 5. **Waviness (W)**: a pronounced undulation of a mat layer, generally as it passes between layers of
257 roving.
- 258 6. **Drop (D)**: a mat layer that drops down due to the termination of the layer below.

259 A detailed description of each category is provided in the following sub-sections. An example
260 image is provided for each category. The top of each figure containing the image indicates where on the
261 deck cross section and from which specimen (A to E) the image was taken. Within each figure a
262 schematic of the fibre mat trajectories is provided for clarity. Note, that when discussing a single WFJ,
263 the terms ‘closed side’ and ‘open side’ refer to the adjoining flanges that form acute and obtuse angles
264 with the web, respectively.

265 4.1 Double-back

266 An extreme example of mat misalignment is a double-back, which is caused by errors during the
267 mat wrapping process of cellular profiles. Figure 6 shows an example of this feature; note that the
268 schematic diagram shows a larger portion of the top flange than the image below. It is seen that the two
269 internal fibre mats did not follow their intended path along the top flange of the deck. Rather, near the
270 local midpoint of the flange the two layers simultaneously double-backed, and again for a second time at
271 the centre of the WFJ before terminating on the closed side of the junction. It is only this second double
272 back that is seen in the example image given in the figure. The biaxial mat layer that ran continuously
273 along the top flange was forced up and over these double-backed layers. Consequently, the WFJ was
274 highly asymmetric; the closed side of the junction had more than double the intended number of fibre mat
275 layers, which then also significantly increased the thickness of that side of the junction from 9.0 mm to
276 10.5 mm (due to movement of the mandrel, as explained in Section 5.3).

277 4.2 Flip

278 A mat flip is a class of misalignment that occurred only within the WFJs where the end of a mat
279 layer was flipped over from one side of the junction to another, as shown in Figure 7. In this example, the
280 end of the triaxial mat layer on the closed side of the junction was flipped over and terminated on the
281 open side, rather than traverse around the fillet radius as intended. The flipped layer pushed up the biaxial
282 mat layer on the open side of the junction, which then dropped down a steep gradient within the junction
283 before rising again as it entered the closed side. Another side-effect was a triangular-shaped area of pure
284 resin that formed in the gap between the flipped triaxial mat and the biaxial mat above. Shrinkage of the
285 pure-resin area during curing created a void at the centre of the WFJ, as seen in the example image. The
286 flipping of the mat is also a consequence of errors in the collimation process.

287 4.3 Corner wrinkle

288 A corner wrinkle is a localised loop in a mat layer, typically manifesting at the corner of one of
289 the internal mats that was wrapped around the mandrel during pultrusion. The example shown in Figure 8
290 occurred in the triaxial mat layer at the bottom right WFJ of specimen B. Corner wrinkles form during
291 collimation of the mat, as incorrect wrapping of one layer can result in excess width of mat being taken
292 up in the form of a wrinkle, which then gets pushed to the corners of the mat when the fibres are
293 compressed into the die. A pure resin zone also formed in the gap behind the wrinkle.

294 4.4 Near-surface wrinkle

295 A second type of wrinkling occurred in the mat layers near the outer surfaces of the specimen
296 due to a different stage of the pultrusion process. During resin injection within the die, the flow of the
297 resin through the fibre mats can cause depressions in the outer layers, typically adjacent to the injection
298 ports within the die. The example in Figure 9 shows a surface wrinkle in the top biaxial mat and surface
299 veil, which occurred at the centreline of the WFJ. Note how the height of the wrinkle is greater in the
300 biaxial mat than in the surface veil. This is likely due to the lower porosity of the biaxial mat leading to
301 greater drag forces as the resin passed through the fibres. A pure-resin area filled the 'well' formed by the
302 wrinkle, which could be seen from the outer surface of the specimen.

303 The morphology of surface wrinkles roughly approximates a bell-curve, so the severity of
304 misalignment is characterised by fitting a Gaussian function to the coordinates of the layer mid-thickness.
305 The resulting curve-fits are used to compare the WFJs in Section 5, and as the basis for the analytical
306 analysis in Section 6. The Gaussian function is given by the equation:

$$f(x) = A_w e^{-\frac{x^2}{2c^2}} \quad (1)$$

307 Where A_w is the amplitude (height) of the wrinkle and c is the horizontal distance between the
308 centre of the curve and the inflection point (point of maximum misalignment angle).

309 **4.5 Waviness**

310 Waviness is defined as the gradual undulation of one or more mat layers within the section, in
311 contrast to wrinkles that occur over a finite length of mat. Waviness is a consequence of the non-uniform
312 distribution of rovings either side of the mat, which arises due to lateral shifting or crowding of the
313 rovings during reinforcement collimation.

314 For the GFRP deck used in this study, there is only one layer of rovings within the core of the
315 section. Hence, the only location where a fibre mat passes through the middle of the roving core is at the
316 WFJs. As seen in the example in Figure 10, the rovings within the web were shifted up and to the closed
317 side of the junction, whilst in the flange they were shifted down, creating a misalignment in the biaxial
318 mat layer that was 'intended' to pass horizontally through the junction. As shown later in Section 5, the
319 randomness of roving shifting led to a range of wavy shapes that were inconsistent between the different
320 WFJs. Unlike wrinkles, the morphology of the wavy mat layer within the junction did not lend itself to
321 characterisation using a mathematical function. Instead the severity of waviness was evaluated using the
322 length (L_w), height (H_w) and maximum misalignment angle ($\theta_{\max,w}$), as defined in Figure 10.

323 **4.6 Drops**

324 A drop refers to a mat layer that is terminated within the section. For cellular sections, the
325 wrapping of the internal mats inherently creates a discontinuity at the point where the ends meet. The
326 guidance in EN 13706-2 [5] specifies a minimum of 5 mm overlap of the mats for closed sections.
327 However, in practice the size and location of the overlap are highly random, due in part to the wrinkling

328 and waviness of the mat layer which shortens the path length. For the bridge deck used in this study, large
329 gaps between the ends of the two internal mats were observed along the top flange of the deck. These
330 gaps caused pronounced drops in the mat layers above, as shown in Figure 11. The thin complex mat
331 above these layers also terminated immediately before the WFJ. The distance from the junction at which
332 the drops occurred were inconsistent between different specimens. This inconsistency was evaluated by
333 calculating the distance from the WFJ centreline of termination of the biaxial (D_b), triaxial (D_t) and
334 complex (D_c) mat layers, as shown in Figure 11. A comparison of these values for each WFJ is presented
335 in Section 5.

336 **5. Inconsistency of misalignments**

337 **5.1 WFJ comparison**

338 Using the taxonomy of misalignments defined in the previous section, it is now possible to
339 compare the misalignments observed in the five different bridge deck specimens used for this study. This
340 comparison focuses on the area surrounding the internal WFJs on the top side of the deck, as these
341 junctions are directly beneath the applied tyre load. Figure 12(a) to (e) show the top-left and top-right
342 WFJs for each of the five specimens. The junctions are labelled with two letters, the first denoting the
343 specimen designation (A to E) and the second whether the junction was on the left or the right side, for
344 example 'CL' for specimen C, left side. On each image, the misaligned mat layers have been identified
345 and the class of misalignment labelled using the coding system defined at the bottom of the figure. Note
346 that in Figure 12(e) the image of junction ER has a discontinuity on the open side of the junction due to a
347 cut made in the specimen prior to imaging for other purposes.

348 From this figure, the inconsistencies pertaining to each class of misalignment are as follows:

- 349 • **Double back (DB):** the double-back occurred only in EL.
- 350 • **Flip (F):** the flip occurred only in DL, DR and CR. However, the flips in DL and DR were
351 inconsistent. In DL, the flipped mat layer kinks towards to closed side of the junction before
352 flipping over to the open side. Conversely, the flipped mat in DR had almost no kink and flipped
353 immediately to the open side. The kink in DL decreased the area of the triangular zone of pure
354 resin to approximately 4.1 mm², compared to 10.3 mm² in DR and 6.7 mm² in CR. The smaller

355 area of pure resin in DL precluded the development of a shrinkage hole that can be seen clearly
 356 in the other two flipped junctions.

- 357 • **Corner wrinkle (CW):** as noted in Section 4.3, the corner wrinkle appeared only in the *bottom*
 358 right WFJ of specimen B, and thus is not included in Figure 12.
- 359 • **Near-surface wrinkles (NSW):** specimens A and B had negligible wrinkling of the top mat
 360 layers, whereas specimens C and D had pronounced wrinkles at the centre of the junction. For
 361 comparison, Figure 13 shows the Gaussian function fits of the surface wrinkles at the centre of
 362 junctions CL, CR, DL, DR. The Gaussian constants A_w and c , along with the R^2 values for each
 363 curve are given in the top right of the figure. It is seen that this function provides a good fit to the
 364 wrinkle geometry, with R^2 values of greater than 0.97 except for CL, which had the smallest
 365 wrinkle height and was asymmetric about its peak. The c values (horizontal distance to inflection
 366 point of curve) ranged between 4.7 mm and 5.9 mm and were largely independent of the wrinkle
 367 height. The right WFJs of specimens C and D showed a 135% and 34% increase in wrinkle
 368 height compared to the left side, respectively.
- 369 • **Waviness (W):** the waviness of the horizontal biaxial mat was highly variable both *between* the
 370 different specimens and *within* the same specimen in the left and right junctions. The measured
 371 length (L_w), height (H_w) and steepest tangent angle ($\theta_{\max,w}$) of the wavy profiles are given in
 372 Figure 14(a), (b) and (c) respectively, as defined in Section 4.5. The maximum waviness length
 373 was 30.52 mm (for BL) due to the severe shifting of the rovings, which were pushed up and into
 374 the closed side of the junction. Compared to BR, this shifting produced a 66%, 30% and 16%
 375 increase in the waviness length, height and angle respectively. The maximum height and tangent
 376 angle were 2.9 mm and 26° , respectively, both of which occurred in CR. However, in this case
 377 the increased waviness is primarily a consequence of the flipped layer itself rather than shifting
 378 of the rovings.
- 379 • **Drops (D):** the dotted lines labelled 'D' in Figure 12 show the drops in the biaxial mat layer that
 380 runs across the full width of the top flange due to termination of the three underlying layers
 381 (refer back to Figure 11 for a clear illustration of these drops). The distance from the WFJ
 382 centreline at which the complex, biaxial and triaxial mats terminated are shown in Figure 15(a),
 383 (b) and (c) respectively, but only for the junctions that did *not* contain a flip or double back.

384 Negative distances are on the closed side of the junction and positive on the open side. This
385 figure demonstrates yet again the asymmetry of the junctions, this time both between the left and
386 right WFJs (AL vs AR for example) and on either side of the same junction.

387 For context, the values of misalignment severity reported above can be compared to analogous
388 misalignment morphologies observed in industrial FRP components manufactured using techniques other
389 than pultrusion. For example, for a CFRP prepreg component, Wang et al. [21] reported a maximum
390 waviness length, height and tangent angle of 28.17 mm, 0.50 mm and 5.8°, respectively. While the
391 waviness length of the CFRP prepreg is comparable to that of the GFRP deck, the maximum height and
392 tangent angle are 480% and 350% greater in the GFRP deck, respectively.

393 In conclusion, the six classes of mat misalignment defined in Section 4 were highly inconsistent
394 between the top WFJs of the deck. This inconsistency was often greater between WFJs from the *same*
395 deck specimens than between the WFJs from *different* specimens. As outlined earlier, this is likely due to
396 lack of control over the positioning of the fibre mats during pultrusion, which introduced significant
397 randomness into the observed misalignments.

398 **5.2 Flange wrinkles**

399 In addition to the near-surface wrinkles at the centre of the WFJs, significant wrinkling was
400 observed in the top flange of specimen D (labelled DT) and the bottom flange of specimen B (labelled
401 BB), shown in Figure 16(a) and (b) respectively. From the Gaussian curve fits in Figure 16(c), it is seen
402 that these wrinkles exhibited the maximum and minimum values of amplitude A_w (2.0 mm) and width c
403 (2.8 mm), respectively, when compared to the WFJ wrinkles. That these wrinkles occurred near to the
404 local flange midspan is problematic, as the top mat layer is subject to high stresses that alternate between
405 tensile and compressive as a tyre rolls over the surface of the deck.

406 The influence of these near-surface wrinkles on the mechanical properties of the top flange are
407 investigated in Section 6 using an analytical model based on classical lamination theory.

408 5.3 Mandrel displacement

409 The WFJs also varied with respect to the thicknesses of their adjoining flanges. Figure 17 shows
410 the measured flange thicknesses either side of each WFJ, along with a horizontal line indicating the
411 manufacturers stated flange thickness of 9.0 mm. It is seen that the measured values differ significantly
412 from the intended thickness, are inconsistent between the different WFJs and show a high degree of
413 asymmetry between the open and closed side of the same WFJ. The largest asymmetry occurred in EL,
414 where the closed-side thickness was 16% larger than that on the open side. That the increased thickness
415 occurred on the side containing the double-backed mat layers suggests that the variable flange thicknesses
416 were a result of displacement of the mandrel during pultrusion.

417 As illustrated in Figure 18(a) during pultrusion of the decking system used in this study, there
418 are three mandrels that are cantilevered into the die. Within the die, the free ends of the mandrels are
419 subject to compressive forces from the fibre mats and rovings, and from the resin that is injected at high
420 pressure. If these forces are unbalanced around the perimeter of the mandrels, for example due to a
421 double-back or flipped mat layer, then the mandrels may move out of concentric alignment with the die.
422 The severity of mandrel misalignment can be estimated by measuring the wall thicknesses, which was
423 carried out for the left-hand cell of specimen E. The results are shown in Figure 18(b), where the centroid
424 of the intended mandrel position (in black) is compared to that of the estimated mandrel position (in red)
425 based on the wall thicknesses. It is seen that the double backed layers appear to have rotated the mandrel
426 approximately 1° towards and shifted 1.02 mm away from the junction, causing a step-change in
427 thickness in both the top and bottom junctions. This effect likely explains the variable flange thicknesses
428 in all specimens. Indeed, the WFJs containing the flipped mat layer (CR, DL and DR), which transferred
429 a layer from the closed to the open side, also had a pronounced step change in thickness. Conversely, the
430 flange thickness of specimen A was relatively constant, owing to minimal misalignment of the mats.

431 6. Influence of near-surface wrinkles on mechanical properties

432 6.1 Methodology

433 An analytical approach based on the work of Bogetti et al. [23] is used in this section to evaluate
434 the influence of near-surface wrinkles on the local stiffness and failure of the top flange of the GFRP

435 deck. An idealised model of top the flange with a near-surface wrinkle is shown in Figure 19(a). The
 436 model comprises a core of rovings sandwiched between two mat layers with 90° fibres. The top and
 437 bottom mat layers are of equal thickness t_m . The top mat undergoes a single out-of-plane undulation as
 438 described by the Gaussian parameters A_w and c using Eq.(1), with the centroid located at $x = 0$.

439 As shown in Figure 19(b), the local orientation of the wrinkled mat layer (in 1-3 coordinates)
 440 rotates an angle θ with respect to the global (x - z) coordinates of the laminate. The variation of θ as a
 441 function of x is given by the following relation:

$$\theta(x) = \tan^{-1} \left(-\frac{A_w x}{c^2} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2c^2}} \right) \quad (2)$$

442 where A_w is the wrinkle amplitude and c the width. Table 1 gives the geometric values used in
 443 the analysis, as well as the maximum and minimum values of the Gaussian parameters A_w and c based on
 444 the curve fits in Figure 16(c). The length L of the laminate was 30 mm, calculated from the height of the
 445 wrinkle at $\pm L/2$ being less than 1% of A_w for the maximum wrinkle width c_{\max} . This ensured that the
 446 stiffnesses were calculated only for the portion of the flange containing the wrinkle. The mat thickness t_m
 447 was measured from the cross-sectional images and the total flange thickness t_T was taken to be the
 448 manufacture's specified thickness. For the sensitivity analysis, c was varied between values based on
 449 those measured on the real specimens. The wrinkle amplitude A_w was varied from 0 mm to the maximum
 450 *practical* amplitude of 6.5 mm, where the top fibre mat dips down and touches the bottom fibre mat. Note
 451 that this amplitude is over three times greater than the maximum *measured* amplitude of 2.0 mm shown in
 452 Figure 16(c).

453 Both the elastic properties of the top flange and the failure load were determined using the
 454 approach outlined in Bogetti et al. [23], which is based on dividing the model into discrete segments dx
 455 and systematically applying 2D classical laminate theory to each segment (Figure 19(b)). The elastic and
 456 strength properties of each lamina were taken from those reported in a previous study [28] for a similar
 457 pultruded GFRP material, and are given in Table 2. The average axial and bending stiffnesses were
 458 obtained by integrating the laminate stiffness matrices of each differential segment over the total length L .

459 A quadratic failure criterion was used to determine intralaminar failure for each dx segment
 460 within the laminate. Additionally, interlaminar failure between the wrinkled mat-to-roving interface was
 461 considered by applying a weakening factor of 0.3 to the normal and shear strengths of the mat layer. This

462 weakening factor was derived in [28] for a FE model of a similar pultruded GFRP material using a
463 sensitivity analysis. A uniaxial tensile load was considered in this analysis as this partially reflects the
464 stress state at the near surface wrinkles present in the pultruded deck under tyre loading. Under a tensile
465 load the wrinkled mat layer will develop local out-of-plane normal σ_3 and interlaminar shear τ_{13} stresses
466 due to the misalignment angle θ . These stresses are calculated by tensorial transformation of the x -
467 direction stress σ_x .

468 6.2 Results and discussion

469 Figure 20(a) and (b) show the reduction in axial and bending stiffnesses as a function of wrinkle
470 amplitude A_w , respectively. The stiffnesses are normalised relative to the values for $A_w = 0$. In each figure,
471 two curves are shown for different wrinkle widths of $c = 2.0$ mm and $c = 5.0$ mm, and the area less than
472 $A_w = 2.0$ is shaded in grey to reflect the maximum range of measured wrinkle amplitude in the bridge
473 deck specimens. From these figures the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 474 • The maximum *practical* wrinkle amplitude of 6.3 mm results in a reduction in axial and bending
475 stiffnesses of 13% and 20%, respectively. As expected, the influence on bending stiffness is
476 greater than that on axial stiffness due to the reduction in second moment of area as a
477 consequence of the wrinkled fibre mat being closer to the flange mid-surface.
- 478 • At the maximum *measured* amplitude of 2.0 mm, the axial and bending stiffnesses reduced by
479 4.8% and 7.5% respectively. However, at this amplitude, a *smaller* c value of 2.0 mm produced a
480 greater reduction in stiffness. The cross-over point of the two curves for $c = 2.0$ mm and $c = 5.0$
481 mm occurs because a narrower wrinkle produces a greater misalignment angle but over a smaller
482 length of flange compared to a wide wrinkle.

483 In Figure 21, the normalised knockdown tensile load – defined as the tensile failure load divided by
484 the failure load at $A_w = 0$ – is plotted as a function of wrinkle amplitude for two different wrinkle widths
485 of $c = 2.0$ mm and $c = 5.0$ mm, for an applied uniaxial tensile load. For $A_w = 0$, failure manifests as tensile
486 failure in the mat layers. However, as the wrinkle amplitude is increased, the interlaminar normal and
487 shear stress demand increases, resulting in interlaminar failure at the mat-roving interface. This transition
488 point occurs at $A_w = 0.3$ mm and $A_w = 0.8$ mm for values of $c = 2.0$ mm and $c = 5.0$ mm, respectively.

489 The predicted tensile load capacity reduction for the two measured flange wrinkles shown
490 previously in Figure 16, which had $A_w = 2.0$ mm, $c = 4.7$ mm for specimen DT and $A_w = 1.5$ mm, $c = 2.8$
491 mm for specimen BB, are 53% and 57%, respectively. The greater load capacity reduction in BB is due to
492 the fact that the wrinkle has a higher maximum tangent angle and thus a higher interlaminar stress
493 demand, despite the wrinkle having a smaller amplitude.

494 An important feature of Figure 21 is the sensitivity of the failure load to small changes in
495 wrinkle amplitude once interlaminar failure becomes the limiting criterion. For example, for a wrinkle
496 width of $c = 2.0$ mm, starting at $A_w = 0.3$ mm a 100% increase in A_w leads to a 40% drop in failure load.
497 This sensitivity suggests that the manufacturing tolerance for wrinkle amplitude should be well below that
498 which leads to interlaminar failure, which for small wrinkle widths is approximately $A_w = 0.3$ mm.

499 **7. Conclusions and future work**

500 The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

- 501 • The fibre mats observed in a specific pultruded, cellular GFRP bridge deck exhibited different
502 classes of misalignment in the transverse-to-pultrusion direction, each of which had a distinct
503 morphology. Six different classes of mat misalignment were distinguished: double-backs, flips,
504 near-surface wrinkles, corner wrinkles, waviness and drops.
- 505 • Double-backs, flips and corner wrinkles arose due to errors during the wrapping of the mats
506 around the internal mandrel, near-surface wrinkles were caused by resin injection, waviness
507 from shifting of the longitudinal rovings adjacent to the mats, and drops from the gaps between
508 the internal mats.
- 509 • The mat misalignments were inconsistent between nominally identical WFJs taken from the
510 different specimens of the same decking system. Out of the ten WFJs analysed, double backs
511 occurred only in one, flips in three and wrinkles in four of the WFJs. The length, height and
512 steepest tangent angle of the wavy mat layer passing through each junction were highly variable,
513 as was the distance from the centre of the junctions at which the different mat layers were
514 terminated.

- 515 • Extreme forms of mat misalignment, for example double backs and flips, can result in
516 displacement of the mandrels during pultrusion due to unbalanced compression forces from the
517 fibres. Mandrel displacement resulted in variable flange thicknesses either side of the WFJs.
- 518 • Mat misalignments are not solely a feature of the WFJs. Near-surface wrinkles near the local
519 flange midspan were more severe than those in the WFJs. Predictions based on classical laminate
520 theory showed that the near surface wrinkles observed in the flanges led to a laminate tensile
521 load capacity reduction of up to 57% due to the increased interlaminar stress demand and the
522 point of steepest tangent angle at the fibre mat-to-roving interface.

523 The taxonomy of fibre mat misalignments provided in this paper can be readily adopted by
524 pultruders as a framework for improving the nature and consistency of mat misalignments within cellular
525 profiles. In particular, the different misalignment categories outlined in this paper have been intentionally
526 linked to a specific aspect of the pultrusion process so that remediation measures can be targeted
527 appropriately. For example, the near-surface wrinkles observed at the junctions and local flange midspans
528 may be minimised or relocated through better control of the resin injection pressure within the die.
529 Currently, this defect occurs in areas of maximum local bending and shear when the deck is subjected to
530 the local contact pressure distribution of heavy lorry tyres, and hence may be compromising the deck's
531 long-term performance. Furthermore, the optical technique for measuring and characterising the severity
532 of mat misalignment can, with further research into the underlying mechanics, be implemented into
533 existing quality standards for pultruded profiles.

534 To further substantiate and extend the practical implications of the findings presented in this
535 paper, future work should use experimental observations of fracture patterns developed during both quasi-
536 static and fatigue loading of the deck to establish which categories of misalignment are most significant to
537 structural performance. This can be supplemented with data from FE simulations that independently vary
538 the severity of each type of mat misalignment identified in this paper. Furthermore, the variability of mat
539 misalignments should be assessed in the direction of pultrusion by making multiple section cuts along a
540 representative length of deck, although such longitudinal misalignments are expected to be much less
541 owing to the longitudinal tension exerted on the associated fibres during the pultrusion manufacturing
542 process.

543 **References**

- 544 [1] Hollaway LC. A review of the present and future utilisation of FRP composites in the civil
545 infrastructure with reference to their important in-service properties. *Constr Build Mater*
546 2010;24:2419–45.
- 547 [2] Sebastian WM. Fibre waviness in pultruded bridge deck profiles: Geometric characterisation and
548 consequences on ultimate behaviour. *Compos Part B Eng* 2018;146:270–80.
- 549 [3] Sebastian WM, Ralph M, Poulton M, Goacher J. Lab and field studies into effectiveness of flat
550 steel plate – rubber pad systems as tyre substitutes for local loading of cellular GFRP bridge
551 decking. *Compos Part B Eng* 2017;125:100–22.
- 552 [4] ASTM International. D4385-19 Standard Practice for Classifying Visual Defects in
553 Thermosetting Reinforced Plastic Pultruded Products. West Conshohocken (PA): 2019.
- 554 [5] British Standards Institute. BS EN 13706-2:2002, Reinforced plastics composites. Specifications
555 for pultruded profiles. Method of test and general requirements. 2002.
- 556 [6] Coogler K, Rizos DC, Wan B, Harries KA, Petrou MF. Critical Evaluation of Strain
557 Measurements in Glass Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Bridge Decks. *J Bridg Eng* 2005;10:704–12.
- 558 [7] Yanes-Armas S, de Castro J, Keller T. Energy dissipation and recovery in web-flange junctions of
559 pultruded GFRP decks. *Compos Struct* 2016;148:168–80.
- 560 [8] Xin H, Mosallam AS, Liu Y, Wang C, He J. Experimental and numerical investigation on
561 assessing local bearing behavior of a pultruded GFRP bridge deck. *Compos Struct* 2018;204:712–
562 30.
- 563 [9] Sá MF, Correia JR, Silvestre N, Gomes AM. Transverse bending and in-plane shear behaviours
564 of multicellular pultruded GFRP deck panels with snap-fit connections. *Thin-Walled Struct*
565 2020;154.
- 566 [10] Wang Y, Zureick AH. Characterization of the longitudinal tensile behavior of pultruded I-shape
567 structural members using coupon specimens. *Compos Struct* 1994;29:463–72.
- 568 [11] Bank LC, Yin J, Nadipelli M. Local buckling of pultruded beams - nonlinearity, anisotropy and
569 inhomogeneity. *Constr Build Mater* 1995;9:325–31.
- 570 [12] Turvey GJ, Zhang Y. Characterisation of the rotational stiffness and strength of web-flange
571 junctions of pultruded GRP WF-sections via web bending tests. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*

- 572 2006;37:152–64.
- 573 [13] Liu TQ, Yang JQ, Feng P, Harries KA. Determining rotational stiffness of flange-web junction of
574 pultruded GFRP I-sections. *Compos Struct* 2020;236:111843.
- 575 [14] Liu T, Harries KA. Flange local buckling of pultruded GFRP box beams. *Compos Struct*
576 2018;189:463–72.
- 577 [15] Mottram JT. Reference and Bibliography Database on Research and Development with Pultruded
578 Fibre Reinforced Polymer Shapes and Systems 2020.
579 https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/eng/staff/jtm/pfrp_latest.pdf (accessed November 20, 2020).
- 580 [16] Shaw-Stewart D, Sumerak J. The Pultrusion Process. In: Starr T, editor. *Pultrusion Eng.*,
581 Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited; 2000, p. 19–65.
- 582 [17] Meyer R. *Handbook of Pultrusion Technology*. New York: Chapman and Hall; 1985.
- 583 [18] Kulkarni P, Mali KD, Singh S. An overview of the formation of fibre waviness and its effect on
584 the mechanical performance of fibre reinforced polymer composites. *Compos Part A Appl Sci*
585 *Manuf* 2020;137:106013.
- 586 [19] El-Hajjar RF, Petersen DR. Gaussian function characterization of unnotched tension behavior in a
587 carbon/epoxy composite containing localized fiber waviness. *Compos Struct* 2011;93:2400–8.
- 588 [20] Adams DOH, Hyer MW. Effect of Layer Waviness on the Compression Strength of
589 Thermoplastic Composite Laminates. *J Reinf Plast Compos* 1993;12:414–29.
- 590 [21] Wang J, Potter KD, Hazra K, Wisnom MR. Experimental fabrication and characterization of out-
591 of-plane fiber waviness in continuous fiber-reinforced composites. *J Compos Mater*
592 2012;46:2041–53.
- 593 [22] Potter K, Khan B, Wisnom M, Bell T, Stevens J. Variability, fibre waviness and misalignment in
594 the determination of the properties of composite materials and structures. *Compos Part A Appl*
595 *Sci Manuf* 2008;39:1343–54.
- 596 [23] Bogetti TA, Gillespie JW, Lamontia MA. The Influence of Ply Waviness with Nonlinear Shear
597 on the Stiffness and Strength Reduction of Composite Laminates. *J Thermoplast Compos Mater*
598 1994;7:76–90.
- 599 [24] Hsiao HM, Daniel IM. Elastic properties of composites with fiber waviness. *Compos Part A Appl*
600 *Sci Manuf* 1996;27(10):931–41.

- 601 [25] Hsiao HM, Daniel IM. Effect of Fibre Waviness on Stiffness and Strength Reduction of
602 Unidirectional Composites under Compressive Loading. *Compos Sci Technol* 1996;56(5):581–
603 93.
- 604 [26] Sobek W, Trumpf H. The new lightweight bridge deck FBD300 made from pultruded fiber-
605 reinforced composites for traffic bridges. *Proc 4th Int Conf FRP Compos Civ Eng CICE 2008*
606 2008:22–4.
- 607 [27] Baran I, Straumit I, Shishkina O, Lomov S V. X-ray computed tomography characterization of
608 manufacturing induced defects in a glass/polyester pultruded profile. *Compos Struct*
609 2018;195:74–82.
- 610 [28] Girão Coelho AM, Mottram JT, Matharu N. Virtual characterization of delamination failures in
611 pultruded GFRP angles. *Compos Part B Eng* 2016;90:212–22.
- 612