

## I. IDENTIFICATION

<b>Thesis Title:</b>	<b>Load rating of the stone arch bridge at Poniklá using 2D and 3D models</b>
<b>Author's Name:</b>	<b>Lucy Jane Davis</b>
<b>Thesis Type:</b>	Master
<b>Faculty:</b>	Faculty of Civil Engineering
<b>Department:</b>	Department of Mechanics
<b>Thesis Supervisor:</b>	doc. Ing. Petr Fajman, CSc., prof. Ing. Petr Řeřicha, DrSc.
<b>Thesis Examiner:</b>	doc. Ing. Roman Šafář, Ph.D., Faculty of Civil Engineering of CTU in Prague

## II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

<b>Assignment</b>	<b>exacting</b>
The diploma thesis includes a survey of assessment methods for determination of a load-bearing capacity of masonry arch bridges, preparation and use of several calculation models (2D, 3D, linear and non-linear, various material properties, various number of spans) of the bridge at Poniklá and a comparison of their results. The assignment was exacting.	

<b>Fulfilling of Assignment</b>	<b>fulfilled</b>
The assignment was completely fulfilled.	

<b>Used methods</b>	<b>A - excelent</b>
The methods used in the master thesis are correct.	

<b>Professional Level</b>	<b>A - excelent</b>
Professional level of the master thesis is very high.	

<b>Arrangement and Extent of Thesis</b>	<b>A - excelent</b>
The master thesis is logically arranged and contains a high number of diagrams and tables with the used data and obtained results. Extent of the thesis is large.	

<b>Other Commentaries and Evaluation</b>	
-	

## III. FINAL EVALUATION

In the first part, the master thesis includes a survey of methods for determination of a load-bearing capacity of masonry vaulted bridges. In other parts, the thesis includes calculations made with various calculation models and comparison of their results. The thesis is very well done. I have just a few smaller remarks:

- within the methods and standards for determination of a load-bearing capacity of bridges, it would be convenient to mention also a Czech standard ČSN 73 6222,
- p. 24: is it possible to say the value of the compressive strength of the sandstone itself?,
- p. 30, fig. 13: piers and their foundations are probably a little deeper below the ground level,

- p. 36: could you please explain, how the values of LC2 (52,6 kN) and LC3 (4,02 kN/m) were determined?,
- could you please principally explain, how the results would be influenced, if vertical as well as horizontal supports in the calculation models were considered as „elastic“ („spring supports“)?

I propose a final evaluation of the master thesis: **A - excelent**

Date: 19.7.2021

Signature: