

# **Dear Author**

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- Please return your proof together with the permission to publish confirmation.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the journal title, article number, and your name when sending your response via e-mail, fax or regular mail.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.

### **Please note**

Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.** 

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10914-020-09499-5

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to: <u>http://www.springerlink.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

## Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

1	Article Title	An Ecomorphological Approach to Craniomandibular Integration in Neotropical Deer				
2	Article Sub- Title					
3	Article Copyright - Year	Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)				
4	Journal Name	Journal of Mamm	nalian Evolution			
5		Family Name	Cassini			
6		Particle				
7		Given Name	Guillermo H.			
8		Suffix				
9		Organization	<del>División Mastozoología,</del> Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia"			
10		Division				
11	Corresponding	Address	Av. Ángel Gallardo 470, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires C1405DJR, Argentina			
12	Author	Organization	Universidad Nacional de Luján			
13		Division	Departamento de Ciencias Básicas			
14		Address	Ruta 5 y Av. Constitución s/n, Luján 6700, Buenos Aires, Argentina			
15		Organization	Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)			
16		Division				
17		Address	Buenos Aires, Argentina			
18		e-mail	gcassini@macn.gov.ar			
19		Family Name	Toledo			
20		Particle				
21		Given Name	Néstor			
22		Suffix				
23	A	Organization	Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo			
24	Author	Division	División Paleontología Vertebrados, <del>Museo de La Plata,</del> Unidades de Investigación Anexo Museo			
25		Address	Av. 60 y 122, La Plata 1900, Argentina			
26		Organization	Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)			
27		Division				

28		Address Buenos Aires, Argentina
29		e-mail
30		Received
31	Schedule	Revised
32		Accepted
33	Abstract	South American cervids have a relatively recent evolutionary history in the Neotropics. Present taxonomical richness includes six genera and 17 species grouped in at least two clades, Blastocerina and Odocoileina. With few exceptions, functional morphology or ecomorphological approaches have not been rigorously applied to the masticatory apparatus of Neotropical deer. In order to understand the relationship between craniomandibular integration and feeding behavior, we used geometric morphometric methods (3D landmarks) to quantify the strength and significance of the correlation between morphology and feeding behavior. Two blocks Partial Least Squares analyses, angular comparison, regression analysis, and independent contrast were performed to explore the patterns of covariation between cranial and mandibular shape and size, and between them and continuous dietary characters. The main variation in shape is related to a gradient from a brachycephalic cranium with a robust mandible in small deer to a dolicocephalic cranium with a gracile mandible in large deer. These shape changes seem to be modeled by a complex interplay of allometric trends and biomechanically significant features related to the proportions of dietary monocotyledon, fruit, or dicotyledonous plant material. We find remarkable convergences in the brocket deer ecomorphotype in the two clades of Neotropical cervids, as well as similar craniomandibular traits between marsh and pampas deer with African mixed feeder bovids related to monocotyledon consumption. These findings lead us to share Radinsky's interest on convergences in the masticatory apparatus of herbivorous mammals.
34	Keywords separated by ' - '	Skull integration, jaw biomechanics - Brocket ecomorphotype - Herbivorous morphofunctional convergences - 3D landmarks - Radinsky
35	Foot note information	The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007 /s10914-020-09499-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

## Electronic supplementary material

ESM	1
(PDF	514 kb)
ESM	<b>2</b>
(PDF	7074 kb)

ESM 3 (PDF 2820 kb)

Journal of Mammalian Evolution https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-020-09499-5

#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**

### An Ecomorphological Approach to Craniomandibular Integration in Neotropical Deer

Q17 Guillermo H. Cassini<sup>1,2,3</sup> • Néstor Toledo<sup>4,3</sup>

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

#### 11 Abstract

32

4

5

6

8 9 10

South American cervids have a relatively recent evolutionary history in the Neotropics. Present taxonomical richness 12includes six genera and 17 species grouped in at least two clades, Blastocerina and Odocoileina. With few excep-13tions, functional morphology or ecomorphological approaches have not been rigorously applied to the masticatory 14apparatus of Neotropical deer. In order to understand the relationship between craniomandibular integration and 1516feeding behavior, we used geometric morphometric methods (3D landmarks) to quantify the strength and significance of the correlation between morphology and feeding behavior. Two blocks Partial Least Squares analyses, angular 17comparison, regression analysis, and independent contrast were performed to explore the patterns of covariation 18between cranial and mandibular shape and size, and between them and continuous dietary characters. The main 19 20variation in shape is related to a gradient from a brachycephalic cranium with a robust mandible in small deer to a dolicocephalic cranium with a gracile mandible in large deer. These shape changes seem to be modeled by a 2122complex interplay of allometric trends and biomechanically significant features related to the proportions of dietary monocotyledon, fruit, or dicotyledonous plant material. We find remarkable convergences in the brocket deer 23ecomorphotype in the two clades of Neotropical cervids, as well as similar craniomandibular traits between marsh 24and pampas deer with African mixed feeder boyids related to monocotyledon consumption. These findings lead us to 25share Radinsky's interest on convergences in the masticatory apparatus of herbivorous mammals. 26

Keywords Skull integration; jaw biomechanics · Brocket ecomorphotype · Herbivorous morphofunctional convergences · 3D
 landmarks · Radinsky

29

01

02

03

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-020-09499-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Guillermo H. Cassini gcassini@macn.gov.ar

- División Mastozoología, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia", Av. Ángel Gallardo 470, C1405DJR Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina
  - <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Nacional de Luján, Ruta 5 y Av. Constitución s/n, 6700 Luján, Buenos Aires, Argentina
  - <sup>3</sup> Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina
  - <sup>4</sup> División Paleontología Vertebrados, Museo de La Plata, Unidades de Investigación Anexo Museo, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Av. 60 y 122, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

### Introduction

Fifty years ago, the considerable parallelism in the early evo-31lution of Perissodactyla drew Radinsky's (1969) attention. His 32 04 interest in functional and adaptive explanations for the evolu-33 tionary changes was evident during the course of his profes-34 sional career (Kay 2019). Radinsky (1985) was interested in 35how morphological variation correlates with phylogenetic re-36 lationships and ecology, and studied the biomechanics of the 37 ungulate jaw apparatus. In chapter 17 of the posthumously 38 published "The Evolution of Vertebrate Design", Radinsky 39 (1987) expressed intrigue in the convergences of the mastica-40tory and locomotor apparatus of distant lineages of herbivo-41 rous mammals. 42

Today, terrestrial artiodactyls constitute the morphological-43ly and taxonomically richest and most diverse clade of extant44ungulates (Janis 2007). In South America they have become45the most diverse small to large herbivores, represented mainly46

### AU TIPLO Rtb 849 PRt 100 200

47by cervids (Cassini et al. 2016). The phylogenetic analyses of Duarte et al. (2008) highlighted convergent evolution for 48 brocket deer morphology in Neotropical deer. This seems to 4950occur at least once in both Blastocerina and Odocoileina sensu 51Heckeberg et al. (2016). Here, we honor Leonard Radinsky's work by studying these morphological convergences in an 52ecomorphological approach, using geometric morphometric 53methods to evaluate the morphological integration between 54

55 the cranium and mandible of Neotropical deer.

### 56 Neotropical Deer

The evolutionary history of cervids in South America is rela-57tively recent; they arrived approximately 1.8 Ma in the early 58Pleistocene and survive to Recent times (Cassini et al. 2016). 59According to current hypotheses Neotropical deer are united 60 in Capreolinae (with Alces, Capreolus, Hydropotes, and 61Rangifer) and are represented by six genera: Blastocerus 6263 (marsh deer), Hippocamelus (huemuls), Mazama (brockets), Odocoileus (white tailed deer), Ozotoceros (pampas deer), and 64 Pudu (pudus) with 17 species recognized (Duarte and 65González 2010). Recent phylogenetic studies highlighted the 66 67 paraphyly of Hippocamelus and Pudu, and the polyphyly of Mazama (see Gutiérrez et al. 2017 and references therein). 68 With the exception of Pudu mephistophiles, Neotropical deer 69 70are included in Blastocerina (sensu Heckeberg et al. 2016), including B. dichotomus, H. antisensis, H. bisulcus, 71M. chunvi, M. gouazoubira, M. nemorivaga, Ozotoceros 7273bezoarticus, and Pudu puda, and Odocoileina (sensu 74Heckeberg et al. 2016), including M. americana, M. bororo, M. bricenii, M. nana, M. pandora, M. temama, M. rufina, and 7576 Odocoileus virginianus. As is evident, recent deer richness is dominated by species of Mazama, the brockets, which are 77 characterized by spike-like antlers. 78

79Neotropical deer occupy a variety of environments, from lowland plains to high mountains, each with open or closed 80 and dry or wet regions (Merino and Rossi 2010). In many 81 82 cases the geographic distribution of two or more species overlaps, although they do not necessarily use the same habitat or 83 occupy the same ecological niche. Duarte and González 84 (2010) compiled and reunited the scarce and scattered litera-85 ture on Neotropical deer, providing a great body of knowledge 86 suitable for ecomorphological studies. However, most 87 88 craniomandibular morphometric studies on Neotropical deer are aimed at identifying taxonomic or ontogenetic variations 89 (Delupi and Bianchini 1995; González et al. 2002; Cassini 90 et al. 2015; Gonzalez et al. 2018), and Merino et al. (2005) 91is the only published form-function study. Moreover, 92ecomorphological studies that have investigated the associa-93tions between feeding behavior and craniomandibular mor-9495phology include deer within a broad ungulate sample (e.g., Janis 1995; Mendoza and Palmqvist 2008; Cassini 2013; 96 Fraser and Rybczynski 2014). With few exceptions, such 97

123

124

works are aimed at recovering taxon-free ecomorphological 98 patterns from extant ungulates to predict feeding behavior in 99 extinct ones (i.e., the application of Radinsky's 1987 form-100 function correlation paradigm). In these studies, some authors 101 found that the mandible better reflects diet than does the cra-102 nium (see Vizcaíno et al. 2016). However, these structures (or 103morphological units) are linked not only by their anatomical 104 interactions, but also by developmental and functional de-105mands (Cuvier's correlation of parts principle; Vizcaíno and 106 Bargo 2019). This covariation of traits is known as morpho-107logical integration, and geometric morphometric methods are 108 particularly useful for quantifying the strength of association 109 between morphological traits, as well as with ecological ones 110(Klingenberg 2009; Olsen 2017). 111

Neotropical deer constitute an ideal group for testing hy-112potheses assessing craniomandibular integration and feeding 113behavior. They have a recent evolutionary history and had 114achieved their greatest diversity by the late Pleistocene 115(Lujanian South American Land Mammal Age), after which 116 all genera with large antlers (e.g., Antifer, Morenelaphus), 117except Blastocerus, became extinct and the brocket 118ecomorphotype became dominant (Cassini et al. 2016). If 119feeding behavior is a primary driver of craniomandibular 120shape diversification in Neotropical deer, then diet should ex-121plain most of the covariation in cranial and mandibular shape. 122

### Material and Methods

#### Specimens

We studied a sample of 194 crania and mandibles of both 125sexes of adult specimens representing 11 of the 17 126Neotropical cervid species (Table 1). Sample size reflects 127specimen availability and completeness. Mazama chunyi, M. 128bricenii, M. pandora, M. temama, and M. rufina are lacking. 129Their biology and ecology are poorly documented (Merino 130 and Rossi 2010), precluding ecomorphological analyses. 131Even so, the morphological and ecological diversity among 132Odocoileini is well represented. In addition, three Nearctic 133deer, Alces americanus (one female), Odocoileus virginianus 134(one female and five males), and Rangifer tarandus (three 135unsexed adults) were included in order to conduct the phylo-136genetic signal analyses. Materials are housed in the mammal-137ogy collections of the following institutions: American 138Museum of Natural History (AMNH, New York, USA); 139Administración de Parques Nacionales de la Delegación 140Regional Patagonia (APN-DRP, Bariloche, Argentina); 141 Museo Regional de la Reserva de Vida Silvestre "Campos 142Tuyú" (CDT, Buenos Aires, Argentina); Colección Félix de 143Azara, "Fundación Felix de Azara" (CFA, Buenos Aires, 144Argentina); Colección Mamíferos Lillo, "Instituto Miguel 145Lillo" (CML, Tucumán, Argentina); Museo Argentino de 146

J Mammal Evol

t1.1	Table 1	Neotropical deer	sexed sample and	diet composition	for each species
------	---------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

t1.2	Species	n (F/M)	body mass (kg) F/M	Diet	Fruit	Bryophyte and Pteridophyte	Gymnosperm	Dicot	Monocot
t1.3	Blastocerus dichotomus (marsh deer)	13/9	100/130	М.	0	0.031	0	0.423	0.546
t1.4	Hippocamelus antisensis (taruca)	1 / 1	55/60	В	0	0.058	0.031	0.723	0.188
t1.5	Hippocamelus bisulcus (huemul)	2 / 7	69.3/75.2	В	0.004	0.005	0.002	0.937	0.052
t1.6	Mazama americana (red brocket)	10/15	30	F	0.658	0	0	0.198	0.143
t1.7	Mazama bororo (small red brocket)	2 F	25	В	0.489	0	0	0.511	0
t1.8	Mazama gouazoubira (brown brocket)	13 / 20	16.4	В	0.106	0.025	0.0001	0.834	0.036
t1.9	Mazama nana (dwarf red brocket)	1 / 6	10	В	0.010	0	0	0.990	0
t1.10	Mazama nemorivaga (Amazonian gray brocket)	11 / 8	14	F	0.696	0	0	0.193	0.111
t1.11	Ozotoceros bezoarticus (pampas deer)	43 / 24	35/40	М	0.001	0	0	0.434	0.565
t1.12	Pudu mephistophiles (northern pudu)	2 / 1	5.8	В	0.250	0	0	0.75	0
t1.13	Pudu puda (southern pudu)	3 / 2	9.54	В	0.041	0	0.02	0.911	0.028

Notes: *N* number of specimens belonging to females (F) and males (M). Body mass (kg) following appendix 2 in Cassini et al. (2012), Mattioli (2011) and Duarte and Gonzalez (2010). When sexual dimorphism exists both values are expressed with F (female) and M (male). Diet categories follow Mendoza and Palmqvist (2008): browser (B), frugivore (F) and mixed feeder (M). Dietary composition expressed as proportion of each food item was obtained following Olsen (2017) (see M&M section and Supplementary information 1)

147 Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" (MACN, Buenos
148 Aires, Argentina); Museo de La Plata (MLP, La Plata,
149 Argentina); Museo Provincial de Ciencias Naturales

150 "Florentino Ameghino" (MFA-ZV, Santa Fe, Argentina);

151 Museo de Zoología de la Universidad de São Paulo

152 (MUZSP, São Paulo, Brazil); Nucleo de Pesquisa e

153 Conservação de Cervídeos (NUPECCE, Jaboticabal, Brazil);

and Yale Peabody Museum (YPM, New Haven, USA).

### 155 Landmark Data

The 3D landmark coordinates were acquired by GHC with a 156Microscribe G2L digitizer (Immersion Corporation, San José, 157CA, USA). The 84 landmarks are listed in Online Resources 1581–2, and shown in Fig. 1. They comprise type I (anatomic), II 159160(mathematic), and III (semilandmarks). Both sides and the midline of the cranium were included. Semilandmarks were 161placed over the horny pad scar (L9-11) and anterior margin 162163(L15-16) of the premaxilla; on the ventral (L25-27) and dorsal (L38-41) margin of the zygomatic arch; and on the dorsal 164(L31-32) and ventral (L35-36) margin of the orbital rim (Fig. 1651661a; Online Resource 1).

In the mandible 33 landmarks were digitized. 167Semilandmarks were placed along the dorsal aspect of the 168diastema (L7-8); anterior (L13-15) and posterior (L17-18) 169margin of the coronoid; posterior margin of the mandibular 170angle (masseteric scar L24-25); and the ventral margin of the 171alveolar region (L27-31; Fig. 1b and Online Resource 2). 172173During digitization sessions additional semilandmarks were taken to characterize the curves, and they were reduced in 174number and equispaced using "resample" software of 175

NYCEP (Reddy et al. 2007). On the first day of digitization176sessions on each collection, one specimen of each sex was177digitized five times in order to assess measurement error.178

### **Dietary Information**

Information on the feeding ecology of Neotropical deer 180 species was obtained from the published literature and 181 theses available online, including 16 quantitative studies 182based on microhistological fecal and gut analyses, six 183 quantitative studies based on direct observations, and 184six qualitative descriptions (Online Resource 3). 185Following Olsen (2017), we transformed diet composi-186tion for each deer species as relative percentages, so 187 that frequencies would sum to 1. In order to make the 188 categories comparable across studies, we aggregated the 189 botanical classes into five categories: fruits (including 190fungi when present), bryophytes and pteridophytes, 191gymnosperms, dicotyledons (dicots), and monocotyle-192dons (monocots). For each deer species, we averaged 193the proportions within each diet category across differ-194ent habitats or seasonal values in all studies (Table 1). 195The use of proportions violates assumption in linear 196models (Warton and Hui 2011). For this reason, these 197five continuous dietary characters were logit-transformed 198prior to the analysis using the function "logit" from the 199R package car 2.1–6 (Fox and Weisberg 2011) with an 200adjustment factor of 0.1 to avoid values of zero or one. 201This protocol allows inclusion of dietary information, 202 even when it is scarce and no consistent experiments 203were developed for all species (Olsen 2017). 204

J Mammal Evol



Fig. 1 Landmarks used in this study. a cranium and b mandible of *Mazama gouazoubira* (brown brocket deer) showing the landmarks on the right side and midline. Definitions listed in Online Resources 1 and 2

#### 205 Geometric Morphometrics

Spatial variation that does not correspond to shape in the landmark configurations were removed using Generalized
Procrustes Analysis applying rotation, translation, reflection,
and scaling transformations (Rohlf 1990). Centroid size was
used as a proxy for size (Dryden and Mardia 1998). To assess

the influence of phylogeny on both craniomandibular shape211and size on the one hand and on continuous dietary characters212on the other hand, two evolutionary scenarios were considered213(Online Resource 4). The first scenario was obtained from the21410KTrees website version 1 (Arnold et al. 2010). The northern215pudu (*Pudu mephistophiles*) was added as a sister group of216Rangiferini + Odocoileini based on the phylogeny of217

J Mammal Evol

Heckeberg et al. (2016). The second scenario was the 218Cetartiodactyla tree by Zurano et al. (2019), pruned to consid-219220 er only the species present in this study. In this scenario, the 221northern pudu is the sister group of Blastocerina sensu 222Heckeberg et al. (2016). The branch weighted squared-223change parsimony method was used to reconstruct the ancestral stages of internal nodes (Maddison 1991), and the phylo-224 225genetic signal was tested by a permutation test (with squaredchange parsimony) with 10,000 rounds of permutation test. 226 Independent contrasts of shape and size were stored for sub-227 228sequent analyses.

229 The Partial Least Squares analysis (PLS) was used to find correlated pairs of linear combinations between two 230block sets that maximize covariation between them 231(Klingenberg 2013). The PLS produces vectors of shape 232variation and individual scores that accounts for major 233 234covariation between the two blocks, and provides an estimate of covariation  $(R^2)$  based on Pearson's correla-235tion coefficient. Significance of each PLS axis was cal-236culated by 10,000 rounds of permutation test. 237

Evolutionary craniomandibular integration (i.e., cranium Block-1 and mandible Block-2) was studied using PLS on both the superimposed coordinates and the independent contrasts (Klingenberg and Marugán-Lobón 2013). The extent and significance of the association between each significant PLS and log-transformed centroid size was assessed via a permutation test with 10,000 rounds.

Following Merino et al. (2005), two ecomorphological 245patterns of covariation were evaluated in: (1) Neotropical 246deer as a whole and (2) small and large Neotropical deer. 247A cut-off point between 30 and 35 kg was applied for 248249distinguishing the small species without sexual size dimorphism from large species with reported size dimor-250phism. The correlation between cranial shape and diet, 251252and between mandibular shape and diet, were assessed via two-block PLS. Cranial or mandibular shape (i.e., 253254landmark configurations) and continuous diet characters (i.e., the logit-transformed diet proportions matrix) were 255defined as Block-1 and Block-2, respectively. 256

All morphometric analyses produce vectors in shape 257space (Drake and Klingenberg 2008). Angular compari-258sons of vector directions were performed to evaluate the 259similarity in shape changes between them. The angles 260261between these vectors were compared under the null hypothesis of orthogonality. When these angles are close 262to zero the shape change vectors are similar and conse-263quently explain a similar shape change (Klingenberg 264and Marugán-Lobón 2013). 265

All morphometric analyses were performed in MorphoJ 1.07a software (Klingenberg 2011). The visualization and graphics were made using the <u>Morpho</u> 2.6 R-package (Schlager 2017; Core Team 2018), which allows visualizing shape changes using color patterns. 271

275

276

Data Availability

All data generated during our analyses in the current study are272available from the corresponding author on reasonable273request.274

### Results

### Evolutionary Integration

The analyses showed no significant phylogenetic signal in cranial and mandibular shape (Procrustes coordinates) or size (i.e., log-transformed centroid size). The exception was the cranial shape evaluated on the phylogenetic hypothesis of Zurano et al. (2019) (Table 2). Similar results were found for continuous dietary characters for both phylogenetic hypotheses (Table 2). 283

The PLS analysis on the Capreolinae species (PLSca) 284showed that the first two pairs of PLS explains about 91% 285of covariation (Table 3). The shape change vectors associated 286with the first pair were very similar to those of analysis on the 287independent contrasts obtained on the Zurano et al. (2019) 288phylogeny (PLSicz; Online Resources 5, 6). The angular com-289parison showed an angle between vectors of 12.139° for cra-290nium (Block-1) and 13.831° for mandible (Block-2), both p-291values <0.00001. In addition, scores of the first pair of axes 292(PLSca1) of the two blocks correlated significantly and posi-293tively with cranium and mandible log-transformed centroid 294size  $(R^2 = 0.75 \text{ and } R^2 = 0.56 \text{ respectively for Block-1 and})$ 295Block-2, both p value <0.0001; see Table 3). 296

The PLSca1 vectors were visualized as surface plus thin 297plate spline (TPS) gridline deformations (Fig. 2). The shape 298changes associated with Block-1 ranged from a short but 299 broad cranium (negative values) to a long and slender cranium 300 (positive values, Fig. 2a). Consequently, small forms were 301 characterized by the muzzle tip placed above the occlusal 302 plane, short but high rostrum, broad palate, similar premolar 303 and molar row lengths, anteriorly displaced large orbits, a 304 laterally expanded zygomatic arch delimiting a large temporal 305fossa, and a posteriorly displaced occiput and foramen mag-306 num (negative end, Fig. 2-a). By contrast, large forms were 307 characterized by the muzzle tip placed below the occlusal 308 plane, long and low rostrum, narrow palate, premolar row 309 shorter than molar row, posteriorly displaced small orbits, me-310 dially compressed zygomatic arch delimiting a small temporal 311fossa, and an anteriorly displaced occiput and foramen mag-312num (positive end, Fig. 2-a). These shape changes correlated 313 to a range of shapes in Block-2 from a mandible with an acute 314angle between the alveolar region and the ascending ramus on 315the negative end to an obtuse angle on the positive end. In 316 correspondence with cranial shape changes, small forms were 317characterized by a mandible with robust symphysis with 318

### AUTIMO Rtb S49 PRt 0 00 P2020

	Cranial shape	Cranial log(CS)	Mandible shape	Mandible log(CS)	Fruit	Bryo-	Gymno	Dicot	Monocot
	Crumar shape	Clainar log(CD)	Walkible shape	Walkible log(CD)	Tun	Pterid	Gynnio	Dicot	
Scenario 1									
Tree length	0.0563	1.133	0.0272	1.389	7.573	0.167	0.0531	11.285	4.852
p value	0.2308	0.4436	0.6206	0.4370	0.1844	0.6265	0.3548	0.9678	0.2281
Scenario 2									
Tree length	0.0512	1.0011	0.0229	1.231	9.227	0.158	0.0567	10.0871	5.7919
p value	0.0262*	0.1355	0.0506	0.1279	0.2722	0.3508	0.4273	0.7152	0.3924

Notes; Scenario 1: 10ktree consensus; scenario 2: Zurano et al. (2019); log(CS) – log-transformed centroid size; Bryo-Pterid - bryophites; Gymno – gymnosperms; diet continuous character test performed on logit transformed values; tree length based on weighted squared-change parsimony; *p* values after 10,000 rounds of permutation; value marked by asterisk (\*) significant at 0.05 level

319incisor alveoli placed above the occlusal plane, short diaste-320 ma, curved and high alveolar region, more developed 321 coronoid process, posteriorly directed masseteric fossa, and 322 comparatively lower position of the condyle (Fig. 2-b). Conversely, large forms were characterized by a mandible 323 with gracile symphysis with incisor alveoli placed below the 324occlusal plane, long diastema, straight and low alveolar re-325326 gion, less developed coronoid process, anteriorly directed masseteric fossa and comparatively higher position of the con-327 dyle (Fig. 2-b; Online Resource 5). 328

The PLSca1 scores showed a high correlation between blocks (r = 0.85, p < 0.00001 after 10,000 rounds of permutation tests). The pudus and brockets (i.e., small deer) are in the double negative quadrant, showing a right point cloud with a 332 common pattern of covariation mainly associated with a 333 brachycephalic cranium with robust mandible (Fig. 2c). 334 Conversely, the large deer including the Neotropical pampas 335 deer, marsh deer, and huemuls, and the Nearctic caribou, 336 white-tailed deer, and moose clustered in a small region on 337 the double positive quadrant, slightly displaced to positive 338 values of Block-1 showing a pattern of covariation mainly 339 associated with a dolicocephalic cranium with gracile mandi-340 bles (Fig. 2c). The dimensions displayed a clear distinction 341between the small and large species, suggesting a slightly 342 different pattern of covariation between these two groups re-343 gardless of sex or their phylogenetic relationships. 344

t3.1 Table 3 Partial Least Squares analysis for each data sets

t3.2	Data set	Pair of axes	Singular value	S.v. p value	% Total covar.	Correlation	Corr. p value
t3.3	Capreolinae	PLSca1	0.001009	<0.0001	90.610	0.85502	< 0.0001
t3.4	Cr and Md	PLSca2	0.00022315	< 0.0001	4.432	0.72998	< 0.0001
t3.5	independent	PLSicz1	0.0003408	0.0005	82.111	0.92062	0.0002
t3.6	contrast Cr and Md	PLSicz2	0.0001411	0.0023	14.067	0.90137	0.0021
t3.7	Neotropical deer	PLScd1	0.04409008	< 0.0001	93.993	0.84457	< 0.0001
t3.8	Cr shape and diet	PLScd2	0.01110595	< 0.0001	5.964	0.65176	< 0.0001
t3.9	Neotropical deer	PLSmd1	0.01934187	< 0.0001	76.520	0.63990	< 0.0001
t3.10	Md shape and diet	PLSmd2	0.01068946	< 0.0001	23.372	0.57772	< 0.0001
t3.11	Small deer Cr and diet	PLSscd1	0.03067931	<0.0001	99.763	0.71940	< 0.0001
t3.12	Small deer Md and diet	PLSsmd1	0.02731151	<0.0001	99.695	0.63227	<0.0001
t3.13	Large deer Cr and diet	PLSlcd1	0.01363645	<0.0001	98.224	0.84822	<0.0001
t3.14	Large deer Md and diet	PLSImd1	0.01220972	<0.0001	98.957	0.73461	<0.0001

Notes: PLS: Partial Least Squares; S.v. p value: permutation test on Singular values; % Total covar.: Total covariance percent; Correlation: Pearson correlation coefficients between PLS scores of Block 1 and Block 2; Corr. p value: permutation test on correlation values from the PLS scores; Cr: cranium; Md: mandible; PLS subindices: (ca) the whole Capreolinae sample, (icz) independent constrast from Zurano et al. (2009) phylogeny, (cd) cranial shape and diet, (md) mandibular shape and diet, (scd) cranial shape and diet in small neotropical deer, (smd), mandibular shape and diet in small neotropical deer, (lcd) cranial shape and diet in large neotropical deer and (lmd) mandibular shape and diet in large neotropical deer

J Mammal Evol



Fig. 2 PLSca of Capreolinae specimens. a thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of cranium shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLS; b thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-2) of negative and positive most first pair of PLS; c taxa distribution on the morphospace depicted by the two first PLS dimensions. Reference: Alces americanus (asterisk - Alma); Blastocerus dichotomus (squares - Bidi); Hippocamelus spp. (circles - Hian, H. antisensis and Hibi, H. bisulcus); Mazama spp. (triangles - Maam, M. americana; Mabo, M. bororo; Mago, M. gouazoubira; Mana, M. nana; Mane, M. nemorivaga); Odocoileus virginianus (crossed rhombus - Odvi); Ozotoceros bezoarticus (rhombus - Ozbe); Pudu spp. (inverted triangles - Pume, P. mephistophiles; Pupu, P. puda); and Rangifer tarandus (crossed squares - Rata)

### **Ecomorphology of Neotropical Deer**

The PLS analysis on both cranium and mandible of 346 Neotropical deer showed a significant relationship between 347 shape and diet. The PLS analysis on the cranial and mandib-348 ular shape indicated that the first pair of PLS explains about 34994% and 76% of covariation, respectively (PLScd1 and 350 PLSmd1, Table 3). In both analyses the shape change vectors 351associated with the first block (i.e., cranium and mandible; 352 Online Resource 7) were similar to the respective Block-1 353 and Block-2 of the Capreolinae PLSca1 (angle between vec-354tors: 17.22° for cranium and 19.591° for mandible; both 355 p < 0.00001). The Block-2 PLS coefficients of the five diet 356 categories for each analysis were quite similar. In both, the 357 monocot items showed similar high negative values (ca. 358-0.77) and the fruit items very similar high positive value 359(ca. 0.59 for cranium and ca. 0.57 for mandible). While the 360 PLScd1 scores showed a very high and significant correlation 361between Block-1 and Block-2 (r = 0.845, p < 0.00001; 362 Table 3), the scores of first pair of PLS for mandible and diet 363 (PLSmd1) showed lower but significant correlation (r =364 0.6399; p < 0.00001; Table 3). The morphospace of both anal-365 yses are strongly similar and lack any sexually dimorphic 366 pattern (Online Resource 7). Among the large Neotropical 367 deer, the mixed feeders Ozotoceros bezoarticus and 368 Blastocerus dichotomus fall into the double negative quad-369 rant, and the browser Hippocamelus spp. on negative values 370 of Block-1 and near the zero and positive values of Block-2 371 scores (Online Resource 7). The small deer, the browser Pudu 372spp. and Mazama spp., including browsers and frugivores, are 373 in the double positive quadrant. 374

### Ecomorphological Integration in Small Deer

The PLS analysis on both the cranium and mandible of small376deer showed a significant relationship between shape and diet.377Both PLS analyses showed that the first pair of PLS explains378about 100% of covariation (PLSscd1 and PLSsmd1, respectively; Table 3). The shape changes associated with the380PLSscd1 vector of Block-1 (Fig. 3a) ranged from a short381

375



Fig. 3 PLS of cranial and mandibular shape (Block-1) vs Logit continuous dietary characters (Block-2) in small deer specimens, a thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of cranium shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSscd; b thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first

pair of PLSsmd; **c** taxa distribution on the morphospace depicted by the two first PLS dimensions of cranium analysis (PLSscd), with pie charts depicting dietary composition near extreme and midpoint y-axis values; and **d** pairwise correlation coefficients between diet PLS axis and each dietary category (logit-transformed) for PLSscd. References as in Fig. 2

J Mammal Evol

382 and high cranium with the muzzle tip above the occlusal plane, orbits placed above the masseter's origin, laterally ex-383 panded and dorsally curved zygomatic arch, wide cranial 384 385 vault, and posteriorly directed occiput and foramen magnum 386 (on the negative end) to a short but low cranium with the muzzle tip below the occlusal plane, orbits placed towards 387 388 the third molar, medially compressed and straight zygomatic arch, narrow cranial vault, and anteriorly directed occiput and 389 foramen magnum (on the positive end). 390

Shape changes associated with the PLSsmd1 vector of 391Block-1 (Fig. 3b) ranged from a mandible with a curved dia-392 393 stema and high alveolar region, ventrally placed distal margin of angular process, and high and anteriorly directed coronoid 394 process (on the negative end) to a mandible with a straight 395 diastema, low alveolar region, dorsally placed angular pro-396 cess, and low and posteriorly directed coronoid process (on 397 the positive end). 398

The Block-2 PLS coefficients of the five diet categories for 399 400 each analysis were very similar. In both cranial and mandibular PLS the dicot items showed similar high negative values 401 (ca. -0.73) and the fruit items high positive values (ca. 0.64). 402 While the PLSscd1 scores showed a high and significant cor-403 404 relation between Block-1 and Block-2 (r = 0.719, p < 0.00001; Table 3), the PLSsmd1 scores showed lower 405but significant correlation (r = 0.632; p < 0.00001; Table 3). 406 407 In both analyses the morphospaces looked quite similar but in mandible Block-1 PLS axis showed greater dispersion. 408 There was no sexual dimorphism pattern in the morphospace 409 410 depicted by the first PLSscd and PLSsmd dimensions. The browser pudus and brockets Mazama gouazoubira and 411 M. nana are in the double negative quadrant, and the frugiv-412413orous brockets M. nemorivaga and M. americana in the double positive quadrant (see Fig. 3c for PLSscd). 414

### 415 Ecomorphological Integration in Large Deer

416 The PLS analysis of both the cranium and mandible of large deer showed a significant relationship between 417 shape and diet. Both PLS analyses showed that the first 418 pair of PLS explains about 98% covariation (PLSlcd1 419and PLSImd1, respectively, Table 3). The PLSIcd1 vec-420 tor of Block-1 shape changes (Fig. 4a) ranged from a 421 cranium with a flexion between the rostrum and the 422423orbital region plus cranial vault, muzzle tip above the occlusal plane, ventral and anteriorly placed large orbits 424 and infraorbital foramen, robust zygomatic arch, and 425posteriorly directed occiput and foramen magnum (on 426 the negative end) to a cranium with flexion between 427 the orbitofacial region and the cranial base and vault, 428muzzle tip above the occlusal plane, dorsal and posteri-429430 orly placed orbits and infraorbital foramen, gracile zygomatic arch, and anteriorly oriented occiput and fora-431 men magnum (on the positive end). 432

The shape changes associated with PLSImd1 vector of 433 Block-1 (Fig. 4b) ranged from a mandible with a curved and 434high alveolar region (particularly along the molar region), 435 more developed angular process, and anteriorly directed 436 coronoid process on the negative end to a mandible with a 437 straight diastema and alveolar region, less developed angular 438process, and posteriorly directed coronoid process (on the 439 positive end). 440

The Block-2 PLS coefficients of the five diet categories for 441 each analysis showed very similar values. In both the cranial 442 and mandibular PLS the monocot items showed similar high 443 negative values (ca. -0.72) and the dicot items very high pos-444 itive values (ca. 0.69). While the PLSlcd1 scores show a very 445high and significant correlation between Block-1 and Block-2 446 (r = 0.848, p < 0.00001; Table 3), the PLSImd1 scores showed 447 lower but significant correlation (r = 0.734; p < 0.00001; 448 Table 3). In both analyses, the morphospace depicted by first 449 dimensions of these PLS looked quite similar and clustered 450 the mixed feeders Ozotoceros bezoarticus and Blastocerus 451 dichotomus towards the negative quadrant, while the browsers 452Hippocamelus spp. clustered towards positive scores (see Fig. 453 4c and d for PLSlcd). No sexual dimorphic pattern was 454detected. 455

### Discussion

Our study suggests that feeding ecology in Neotropical deer 457has strongly influenced craniomandibular shape and size di-458versification. There is a remarkable convergence between the 459brockets in their feeding behavior (e.g., high proportion of 460fruit in their diet) and morphology (brachycephalic cranium 461 with robust mandible). Conversely, marsh and pampas deer 462share craniomandibular traits (e.g., large attachment areas for 463 masseter plus pterygoid muscles) related to monocotyledon 464 consumption. It is noteworthy how close the morphology re-465flects the actual diet instead of the phylogeny, suggesting a 466 high correspondence between fundamental and realized niche 467 (see Vizcaíno et al. 2016 and references there in). In addition, 468 as noted in Merino et al. (2005), sex differences were not 469apparent in any of the analyses performed. Phylogenetic sig-470 nal was not significant in almost all the morphogeometric data 471and continuous diet characters. Similar results were obtained 472using the independent contrast, suggesting a lack of phyloge-473 netic constraints, conversely to what was proposed for shape 474data of other ungulates and mammalian groups (e.g., Cardini 475 and Elton 2008; Barčiová 2009; Cassini 2013). 476

### Evolutionary Integration

Size emerges as one important factor modeling slightly differ-478ent patterns of covariation in small and large Neotropical deer.479Results were similar between the PLS analysis of the entire480

477



**Fig. 4** PLS of cranial and mandibular shape (Block-1) vs Logit continuous dietary characters (Block-2) in large deer specimens. **a** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of cranium shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of mandibular shape (Block-1) of negative and positive most first pair of PLSlcd; **b** thin plate spline gridlines and meshes of

pair of PLSImd; **c** taxa distribution on the morphospace depicted by the two first PLS dimensions of cranium analysis (PLSIcd), with pie charts depicting dietary composition near extreme and midpoint y-axis values; and **d** pairwise correlation coefficients between diet PLS axis and each dietary category (logit-transformed) for PLSIcd. References as in Fig. 2

481 Capreolinae sample and independent contrast of both phylo-482 genetic hypotheses (i.e., PLSca and PLSicz; Online Resourse 6). In the morphospace depicted by the first dimensions of 483 these PLS (Fig. 2), it clearly emerges that the morphological 484

J Mammal Evol

485covariation pattern shared by Capreolinae ranges from a brachycephalic cranium with robust mandible to a 486 dolicocephalic cranium with a gracile mandible (Fig. 2a-b; 487 488 Online Resource 5). The log-transformed centroid size ex-489 plains 75% and 56% of cranial and mandibular variation, respectively (see Results), suggesting that craniomandibular in-490 tegration could be explained by allometric scaling (note that 491 our sample includes the pudu and moose, the smallest and 492largest extant cervids). In accordance with Cassini (2013), 493 many ungulate lineages shared common allometric shape 494 changes in both cranium (e.g., small forms were characterized 495496 by a narrow muzzle, short rostrum and large temporal fossa versus a wide muzzle, long rostrum and small temporal fossa, 497 i.e., brachycephalic vs. dolicocephalic) and mandible (small 498 forms are characterized by an acute angle between the alveolar 499region and the ascending ramus, whereas larger forms are 500 501characterized by an obtuse angle, i.e., robust vs. gracile). A similar allometric pattern was reported as appearing 502503convergently in many other mammal groups (e.g. antelopes, bats, mongooses, and squirrels, see Cardini and Polly 2013). 504In addition, Janis and Theodor (2014) indicated that within 505Ruminantia there are many highly homoplastic morphological 506507 features related to functional similarities but not to phylogeny, and Neotropical deer are not an exception. Recent molecular 508phylogenetic hypotheses (Heckeberg et al. 2016; Zurano et al. 5095102019) confirmed the evolutionary scenario of Duarte et al. (2008), in which brachycephalic morphology (e.g., brockets) 511evolved at least twice. Therefore, allometry would be an in-512513fluential factor shaping the evolutionary craniomandibular in-514tegration in deer.

### 515 Ecomorphology of Neotropical Deer

In addition to the craniomandibular covariation pattern ex-516517plained by allometric scaling, the Neotropical deer species analyzed here show shape changes that were found to be re-518519lated to diet composition. Surprisingly, as is shown by angular 520comparison, the shape changes were similar to the evolutionary integration analyses (compare Fig.2 with online Resources 5216 and 7 shape changes). The covariation between shape vari-522523ation and diet was higher for the cranium (94%) than for the mandible (76%; Table 3; Online Resource 7). This contradicts 524the accepted hypothesis that the mandible better reflects mas-525526 ticatory function than the cranium (Janis 1995; Vizcaíno et al. 2016, and references therein). 527

In both the cranium and mandible, axes of correlation were 528consistent with differences at the level of the primary plant-529food preference (i.e., monocot vs. fruit). However, both shape 530changes are quite similar to the craniomandibular integration 531in the PLS of Capreolinae (angular between vectors of ~17° 532533for cranium and 19° for mandible). Both covariation morphospaces reveal that the small and large Neotropical deer 534have slightly different covariation patterns (Online Resource 535

7). The most conspicuous features correlated with more than 53650% of monocots in diet were: a long rostrum, braincase 537flexed on the facial axis, narrow palate, depth of the facial 538region below the orbit, small temporal fossa (in the cranium): 539and a long diastema, short premolar row, deep masseteric fos-540 sa and high articular process with a short and posteriorly ori-541ented coronoid process (in the mandible). As also noted by 542 Greaves (2012), this morphology emphasizes the 543 anteroposterior resultant force of jaw musculature, rendering 544it more horizontal (because the masseter and pterygoid are 545large; Radinsky 1985), with a shorter component of temporal 546 muscle in the stroke phase in comparison with pterygoid-547 masseteric component. In agreement with Merino et al. 548 (2005), these traits are shared mainly by pampas deer and 549marsh deer, which are also very selective on the plant parts 550they consume (see Duarte and González 2010). According to 551Janis (2007), cervids never became specialized grazers (i.e., 552>90% of monocot) or developed highly hypsont teeth as bo-553vids. However, the above mentioned features were demon-554strated to be related to grass consumption in grazing and 555mixed feeding African bovids (Spencer 1995; Janis 2000; 556Clauss et al. 2008). Thus, this pattern suggests that grass con-557sumption is correlated to distinctive skull features, even if 558grass is not the dominant item in the diet. 559

Traits condensed in the opposite extreme of the 560morphospace, i.e., short and high rostrum, anteriorly directed 561orbits, wide zygomatic arch, and mandible with short diaste-562ma and right angle between the alveolar region and the angu-563 lar process, among others, are shared by the red-brocket and 564the Amazonian grey-brocket deer, and correlates with a high 565percentage of fruit in the diet (i.e., >60%; See 566Online Resource 7). While most ungulates have an 567anteriorly-directed jaw musculature resultant (Greaves 5681991), these traits seems to favor a more posteriorly directed 569 vector, rendering it more vertical, because of the great devel-570opment of the temporal fossa and muscle (Greaves 2012). 571This arrangement brings the third molar closer to the 572craniomandibular joint and emphasizes the component of the 573temporal muscle during the stroke phase (Greaves 2012). In 574addition, a lower condyle leads to reduced muscle stretch, 575which can enable a wider gape (Herring and Herring 1974). 576 This scheme seems be coherent with a high percentage (both 577regular and seasonal) of fruit in the diet (Table 1). 578

### Ecomorphological Integration in Small Deer

Size emerges as one important factor that modeled patterns of 580 covariation within small deer. The correlation of shape variation 581 and diet was extremely high in both the cranium and mandible (ca. 100%, respectively; Fig. 3; Table 3). They follow the general allometric pattern described above for the entire 584 Capreolinae sample but within a general brachycephalic-585 robust mandible morphotype (compare Figs. 2 and 3 shape 586

587 changes). Surprisingly, within the small deer the shape change gradient was inverted, as the Pudu spp. consume more dicots 588(browser) than Mazama nemorivaga and M. americana, which 589590consume more fruits. The covariation morphospaces reveal in-591consistencies in shape and diet correlation that might be explained by the scarcity and low-grade information on diet. For 592 593example, Mazama nana is similar to M. bororo in size, habitat use, and Block-1 (shape) scores, and it would thus be expected 594to have similar fruit content (45%, Table 3), rather than the 595596higher percentage of dicots noted here. Some authors consider that further studies are required to provide a better understand-597598 ing of the ecology of these species (see Duarte and González 2010). Indeed, Radinsky claimed on the needs of including 599direct field or lab observations of behavior or responses to en-600 vironmental factors in adaptation research programs (see 601 Vizcaíno and Bargo 2019). 602

#### 603 Ecomorphological Integration in Large Deer

604 The observed correlation between cranial and mandibular shape and diet within the large deer appears to be explained 605 not by allometric scaling, but rather by the monocot-dicot 606 607 gradient. The correlation of shape variation and diet was slightly higher in the mandible than cranium (ca. 100% and 608 98%, respectively; Fig. 4; Table 3). In both, axes of correla-609 610 tions are consistent with differences at the level of the primary plant-food preference (i.e., dicots), as in the entire Capreolinae 611 sample. There seems to be a gradient in diet composition from 612 613 Hippocamelus bisulcus, feeding primarily on dicots (>90%), 614 to the mixed feeders Ozotoceros and Blastocerus, consuming lower proportions of dicots (ca. 40%; Table 3). Monocot con-615616 sumption greater than 50% is associated with flexion between the rostrum and orbital region, a posteriorly oriented occiput, 617 and a robust zygomatic arch (Fig. 4-a) in the cranium, and a 618 619 high curved alveolar region, expanded angular process, and 620 masseteric fossa providing more attached surface area for 621 masseter and pterygoid muscles in the mandible. 622 Conversely, a high dietary consumption of dicots is associated with flexion between the orbit and basicranium, an anteriorly 623 oriented occiput and gracile zygoma (Fig. 4-b), and a slender 624 625 mandible with a straight diastema, an alveolar region forming an obtuse angle with the ascending ramus, and a small mas-626 seteric fossa. This gradient of shape variation associated with 627 628 monocot to dicot dominated diets (Fig. 4c-d) is consistent with the convergent functional complex related to habitat/diet and 629 tooth dimensions described by Cassini (2013) and Fraser and 630 631 Theodor (2011) for extant and extinct ungulates.

### 632 Conclusions

The covariation between craniomandibular features and feeding behavior, investigated with continuous dietary characters 671

672

and modern geometric morphometry techniques, reveals mor-635 phological convergences within small and large Neotropical 636 deer that are explained by a complex interplay of allometric 637 trends and biomechanically significant features. Notable is the 638 high correspondence between morphology and feeding be-639 havior in Neotropical cervids, which emerges apparently from 640 the novel approach of considering diet as a continuous char-641 acter rather than as discrete categories. The association of 642 craniomandibular traits with diets high in monocot, fruit, or 643 dicot plant material underscores the importance of relative 644 toughness of food items as an ecological factor shaping skull 645 variation. Radinsky (1987) highlighted remarkable conver-646 gences between distant lineages of herbivorous mammals; 647 here we postulate some between Neotropical cervids with 648 African bovids. Although cervids never reached the same de-649 gree of dietary specialization as bovids, growing evidence 650 from several research fields will contribute to understanding 651 the adaptive role of craniomandibular shape in the recent evo-652 lutionary history of deer in the Neotropics. 653

Acknowledgments We thank N. Simmons (AMNH), H. Pastore and E. 654 Ramilo (APN-DRP), M. Beade (CDT), S. Bogan (CFA), R. Barquez 655 (CML), Pablo Teta (MACN), D. Verzi and I. Olivares (MLP), A. 656 Pautaso (MFA), M. De Vivo (MUZSP), J.M. Barbanti Duarte 657 (NUPECCE), and K. Zyskowski (YPM) for access to mammalogy col-658 lections; the organizers of the XXXI Jornadas Argentinas de 659Mastozoologia, La Rioja, Argentina, A. Chemisquy and F. Prevosti for 660 allowing us organizing the symposium: "El paradigma de correlación 661 forma-función en mastozoología: un tributo a Leonard Radinsky 662 (1937-1985)"; S. Vizcaíno and R. Fariña for having introduced us to 663 Radinsky's delightful text as students; S. Bargo, N. Milne, N. Muñoz, 664 and M. Merino for fruitful discussions on form-function, geometric mor-665 phometrics, and deer that inspired this manuscript; G. De Iuliis and the 666 reviewers whose comments greatly enhanced this manuscript. This is a 667 contribution to the projects Universidad Nacional de Luján CDDCB 650/ 668 14; Agencia Nacional Promoción Científica y Tecnológica PICT-2015-669 670 2389.

### References

- Arnold C, Matthews LJ, Nunn CL (2010) The 10kTrees website: A new 673 online resource for primate phylogeny. Evol Anthropol 19:114–118 674
- Barčiová L (2009) Advances in insectivore and rodent systematics due to geometric morphometrics. Mammal Rev 39:80–91 676
- Cardini A, Elton S (2008) Does the skull carry a phylogenetic signal? 677 Evolution and modularity in the guenons. Biol J Linn Soc 93:813– 834 679
- Cardini A, Polly PD (2013) Larger mammals have longer faces because 680 of size-related constraints on skull form. Nat Commun 4:2458 681
- Cassini GH (2013) Skull geometric morphometrics and paleoecology of Santacrucian (late early Miocene; Patagonia) native ungulates (Astrapotheria, Litopterna, and Notoungulata). Ameghiniana 50: 193–216 683
- Cassini GH, Vizcaíno SF, Bargo MS (2012) Body mass estimation in early Miocene native south American ungulates: A predictive equation based on 3D landmarks. J Zool 287:53–64 688

morphology. Mammalia 79:69-79

Cassini GH, Flores DA, Vizcaíno SF (2015) Postnatal ontogenetic scaling

Cassini GH, Muñoz NA, Merino ML (2016) Evolutionary History of

of pampas deer (Ozotoceros bezoarticus celer: Cervidae) cranial

South American Artiodactyla. In: Agnolin FL, Lio GL, Brissón

Egli F, Chimento NR, Novas FE (eds) Historia evolutiva y

 $\begin{array}{c} 710 \\ 711 \end{array}$ 

 $715 \\ 716$ 

 $725 \\ 726 \\ 727$ 

 $741 \\ 742$ 

 $743 \\744 \\745 \\746 \\747$ 

Janis CM (2007) Artiodactyl paleoecology and evolutionary trends. In:	751
Prothero DR, Foss SE (eds) The Evolution of Artiodactyls. John	752
Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 292-302	753
Janis CM, Theodor JM (2014) Cranial and postcranial morphological	754
data in ruminant phylogenetics. Zitteliana 32:15–31	755
Kay RF (2019) Leonard B. Radinsky (1937-1985), radical biologist. J	756
Mammal Evol https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-019-09479-4	757
Klingenberg CP (2009) Morphometric integration and modularity in con-	758
figurations of landmarks: Tools for evaluating a priori hypotheses.	759
Evol Dev 11:405–421	760
Klingenberg CP (2011) MorphoJ: An integrated software package for	762
Klingenberg CP (2012) Croniel integration and modularity: Incights into	762
avalution and development from morphometric data. Hystrix 24:	764
43-58	765
Klingenberg CP Marugán-Lobón I (2013) Evolutionary covariation in	766
geometric mornhometric data: Analyzing integration modularity	767
and allometry in a phylogenetic context. Syst Biol 62:591–610	768
Maddison WP (1991) Squared-change parsimony reconstructions of an-	769
cestral states for continuous-valued characters on a phylogenetic	770
tree. Syst Biol 40:304–314	771
Mattioli S (2011) Family Cervidae, deer. In: Wilson DE, Mittermeier RA	772
(eds) Handbook of the Mammals of the World – Volume 2. Hoofed	773
Mammals. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona	774
Mendoza M, Palmqvist P (2008) Hypsodonty in ungulates: An adaptation	775
for grass consumption or for foraging in open habitat? J Zool 274:	776
134–142	777
Merino ML, Rossi RV (2010) Origin, systematics and morphological	778
radiation. In: Duarte JMB, González S (eds) Neotropical	779
Cervidology. Biology and Medicine of Latin American Deer.	780
FUNEP & IUCN, Jaboticabal, pp 2–11	781
Merino ML, Milne N, Vizcaino SF (2005) A cranial morphometric study	782
of deer (Mammalia, Cervidae) from Argentina using three-	783
dimensional landmarks. Acta Theriol 50:91–108	784
Olsen AM (2017) Feeding ecology is the primary driver of beak shape	(85 796
D Core Team (2018) D. A Language and Environment for Statistical	180
Computing R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna	788
Radinsky I B (1985) Patterns in the evolution of ungulate jaw shape. Am	780
Zool 25:303–314	790
Radinsky LB (1987) The Evolution of Vertebrate Design University of	791
Chicago Press, Chicago	792
Reddy DP, Kim J, Raaum R, 2007. Resample.exe: http://pages.nycep.org/	793
nmg/programs.html	794
Rohlf FJ (1990) Rotational fit (Procrustes) methods. In: Rohlf FJ,	795
Bookstein FL (eds) Proocedings of the Michigan Morphometrics	796
Workshop. Univ Michigan Mus Zool Spec Publ 2: 227-236	797
Schlager S (2017) Morpho and Rvcg - shape analysis in R. In: Zheng G,	798
Li S, Szekely G (eds) Statistical Shape and Deformation Analysis.	799
Academic Press, London, pp 217–256	800
Spencer LM (1995) Morphological correlates of dietary resource	801
partitioning in the African Bovidae. J Mammal 76:448-471	802
Vizcaíno SF, Bargo MS (2019) Views on the form-function correlation	803
and biological design. J mammal Evol doi.org/10.1007/s10914-019-	804
09487-4	805
vizcaino SF, Bargo MS, Cassini GH, Toledo N (2016) Forma y Función	806
en raieopiologia de vertebrados. Editorial de la Universidad Nacional de La Plate (EDULP). La Plate	000
Warton DI Hui EKC (2011) The argoing is goining: The analysis of mo	000 800
nortions in ecology Ecology 92.3-10	810
Zurano IP Magalhães FM Asato AF Silva G Ridau CI Mesquita DO	811
Costa GC (2019) Cetartiodactula: Undating a time-calibrated mo-	812
lecular phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol 133:256–262	813
	010

Deringer

paleobiogeográfica de los vertebrados de américa del sur.	Mammal E
Contribuciones del MACN:6, Buenos Aires, pp 673-689	Klingenberg CP
Clauss M, Kaiser T, Hummel J (2008) The morphophysiological adapta-	figurations
tions of browsing and grazing mammals. In: Gordon IJ, Prins HHT	Evol Dev 1
(eds) The Ecology of Browsing and Grazing. Springer-Verlag,	Klingenberg CP
Berlin, pp 47–88	geometric 1
Delupi LH, Bianchini JJ (1995) Análisis morfológico comparado de los	Klingenberg CP
caracteres craneo-faciales en el ciervo de las pampas Odocoileus	evolution a
bezoarticus y formas relacionadas. Physis 50:23-36	43–58
Drake AG, Klingenberg CP (2008) The pace of morphological change:	Klingenberg CP
Historical transformation of skull shape in St Bernard dogs. Proc R	geometric
Soc Lond B Bio 275:71–76	and allome
Dryden IL, Mardia KV (1998) Statistical Shape Analysis. John Wiley &	Maddison WP (
Sons, Chichester	cestral stat
Duarte JMB, González S (2010) Neotropical Cervidology. Biology and	tree. Syst E
Medicine of Latin American Deer. FUNEP & IUCN, Jaboticabal	Mattioli S (2011
Duarte JMB, González S, Maldonado JE (2008) The surprising evolu-	(eds) Hand
tionary history of south American deer. Mol Phylogenet Evol 49:	Mammals.
17–22	Mendoza M, Pal
Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) An R Companion to Applied Regression. Sage,	for grass co
Los Angeles	134–142
Fraser D, Rybczynski N (2014) Complexity of ruminant masticatory	Merino ML, Ro
evolution. J Morphol 275:1093-1102	radiation.
Fraser D, Theodor JM (2011) Anterior dentary shape as an indicator of	Cervidolog
diet in ruminant artiodactyls. J Vertebr Paleontol 31:1366–1375	FUNEP &
González S. Álvarez-Valin F. Maldonado JE (2002) Morphometric dif-	Merino ML, Mil
ferentiation of endangered pampas deer ( <i>Ozotoceros bezoarticus</i> ).	of deer (N
with description of new subspecies from Uruguay. J Morphol 84:	dimensiona
1127–1140	Olsen AM (201
Gonzalez S. Bonfim Mantellatto AM, Duarte JMB (2018) Craniometrical	diversificat
differentiation of gray brocket deer species from Brazil. Rev Mus	R Core Team (.
Arg Cien Nat ns 20:179–193	Computing
Greaves WS (1991) The orientation of the force of the jaw muscles and	Radinsky LB (1)
the length of the mandible in mammals, Zool J Linnean Soc 102:	Dodinglay I.D. (1
367–374	Chicago Dr
Greaves WS (2012) The Mammalian Jaw: A Mechanical Analysis.	Daddy DD Vim
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge	Reduy DF, KIII
Gutiérrez EE, Helgen KM, McDonough MM, Bauer F, Hawkins MTR	Dahlf EL (1000
Escobedo-Morales I.A. Patterson BD. Maldonado JE (2017) A	Rollin FJ (1990
gene-tree test of the traditional taxonomy of American deer: The	Workshop
importance of voucher specimens, geographic data, and dense sam-	Schlager S (201)
pling. ZooKeys 697:87–131	LiS Szek
Heckeherg NS Erpenbeck D Wörheide G Rössner GE (2016)	A andomia
Systematic relationships of five newly sequenced cervid species	Spancer I M (1
PeerJ 4:e2307	nartitioning
Herring SW. Herring SE (1974) The superficial masseter and gape in	Vizcaíno SE Ba
mammals. Am Nat 108:561–576	and biologi
Janis CM (1995) Correlations between craniodental morphology and	09487-4
feeding behavior in ungulates: Reciprocal illumination between liv-	Vizcaíno SE Ba
ing and fossil taxa. In: Thomason II (ed) Functional Morphology in	en Paleoh
Vertebrate Paleontology Cambridge University Press Cambridge	Nacional d
nn 76–98	Warton DI Hui
Janis CM (2000) Patterns in the evolution of herbivory in large terrestrial	nortions in
mammals: The Paleogene of North America In: Sues HD	Zurano IP Mag
Labanderia C (eds) Evolution of Herbivory in Terrestrial	Costa GC
Vertebrates, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 168–222.	lecular phy
	recular pily

### AUTHOR QUERIES

### AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. Please check if the following ORCID information is/are correct: [Guillermo H. Cassini:0000-0002-6485-6532, Néstor Toledo:0000-0002-6833-3165]
- Q2. Please check if the affiliations are presented correctly.
- Q3. (Country / City) has been provided to affiliation 3, please check if it is correct.
- Q4. Ref. "Radinsky's (1969)" is cited in the body but its bibliographic information is missing. Kindly vide its bibliographic information in the list.

infor