

1                   **“Green and simple extraction of free seleno-amino acids from**  
2                   **powdered and lyophilized milk samples with natural deep eutectic**  
3                   **solvents”**

4 Romina LÓPEZ<sup>1</sup>, Roberto D´AMATO<sup>2</sup>, Massimo TRABALZA-MARINUCCI<sup>3</sup>, Luca  
5 REGNI<sup>2</sup>, Primo PROETTI<sup>2</sup>, Ariel MARATTA<sup>4</sup>, Soledad CERUTTI<sup>1</sup>, Pablo PACHECO<sup>1\*</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Química San Luis (INQUISAL-CONICET), Área de Química Analítica, Facultad de Química,  
7 Bioquímica y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Argentina.

8 <sup>2</sup>DSA3, Department of Agricultural, Food, and Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, 06121,  
9 Perugia, Italy.

10 <sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, 06121, Perugia, Italy.

11 <sup>4</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Mineras (CONICET-IIM), Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de San  
12 Juan, Av. Lib. San Martín 1109(O), San Juan 5400, Argentina.

13  
14 Emails:

15 Romina López ([romilopez19@gmail.com](mailto:romilopez19@gmail.com)),

16 Roberto D´Amato ([roberto.damato@unipg.it](mailto:roberto.damato@unipg.it)),

17 Massimo Trabalza-Marinucci ([massimo.trabalzamarinucci@unipg.it](mailto:massimo.trabalzamarinucci@unipg.it))

18 Luca Regni ([regni.luca.agr@gmail.com](mailto:regni.luca.agr@gmail.com))

19 Primo Proetti ([primo.proietti@unipg.it](mailto:primo.proietti@unipg.it))

20 Ariel Maratta ([arielmaratta@gmail.com](mailto:arielmaratta@gmail.com))

21 Soledad Cerutti ([ecerutti@gmail.com](mailto:ecerutti@gmail.com))

22  
23 Abbreviated running title: Free seleno-amino acid extraction from milk with NADES.

24  
25 \*Corresponding author:

26 Pablo Pacheco ([ppacheco@unsl.edu.ar](mailto:ppacheco@unsl.edu.ar))

27 **Abstract.**

28 Natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES) were introduced for the extraction of free seleno-  
29 amino acids from lyophilized and powdered milk samples. Different NADES were  
30 evaluated, and lactic acid:glucose (LGH) showed the highest selenium recoveries.  
31 Selenium analysis was performed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP  
32 MS). Se-NADES analysis in ICP MS was optimized according to the radio frequency  
33 power and nebulization gas flow rate. Se-NADES extraction was optimized by an  
34 experimental design. LGH dilution, LGH volume, sample quantity, and ultrasound time  
35 were factors influencing the extraction. Seleno-amino acids were determined by liquid  
36 chromatography-ICP MS. After optimization, the limits of detection obtained were 7.37,  
37 8.63, and 9.64  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  for selenocysteine, selenomethionine, and seleno-methyl-  
38 selenocysteine, respectively. The NADES-extraction is a green procedure with 2 penalty  
39 points in the EcoScale. The method was applied to the analysis of powdered milk,  
40 lyophilized Se-fortified sheep milk, and ERM-BD151 skimmed milk powder.

41

42 **Keywords:** free seleno-amino acid; powder milk; lyophilized Se-biofortified sheep milk,  
43 NADES, LC-ICP MS, Eco-Scale

44           **1. Introduction**

45           Selenium (Se) is an important micronutrient, essential for animals, that exists  
46 ubiquitously in the environment (Ullah, Liu, Yousaf, Ali, Irshad, Abbas, et al., 2019).  
47 Selenium is an essential component of selenoproteins like glutathione peroxidase (GxP),  
48 which has antioxidant properties; thioredoxin reductases (TR) and desiodase, proteins that  
49 regulate the functioning of the thyroid gland; and selenoprotein P (SeP), a protein that  
50 participates in the transport of Se between different organs (Kuras, Reszka, Wieczorek,  
51 Jablonska, Gromadzinska, Malachowska, et al., 2018). As a result of different geological  
52 conditions, selenium is distributed in nature in a non-uniform way in animals and crops  
53 worldwide (D'Amato, De Feudis, Hasuoka, Regni, Pacheco, Onofri, et al., 2018).

54           Milk and milk products are foods recognized for their high nutritional value since  
55 they provide macronutrients like proteins and carbohydrates. They are also an important  
56 source of essential vitamins and minerals such as calcium, magnesium, and selenium  
57 (Kanwar, Kanwar, Sun, Punj, Matta, Morley, et al., 2009). In milk and its derivatives, most  
58 selenium is associated with proteins in the form of seleno-amino acids like  
59 selenomethionine (SeMet) or selenocysteine (SeCys) (Vacchina, Bierla, Szpunar, &  
60 Lobinski, 2018). The highest levels of Se are found in whey and casein, the lowest levels  
61 are in fat (Liu, Zhu, Lu, Wei, & Ren, 2015). The remaining selenium is present in the  
62 water-soluble fraction of milk in the form of free seleno-amino acids (Acosta, Torres,  
63 Mariño-Repizo, Martinez, & Gil, 2018; Dorea, 2002). In dairy farming, different amino  
64 acids are easily incorporated into the milk protein, and they may become a good source of  
65 Se for humans (Ling, Henno, Jōudu, Püssa, Jaakson, Kass, et al., 2017).

66           Currently, the development of selenium-fortified foods is promoted in order to  
67 reach the optimal levels of this micronutrient in the diet (Kieliszek & Błażej, 2013) for  
68 populations with low selenium rates. Dietary supplements have been added to the feed of

69 dairy cows with the objective of fortifying milk with selenium. (Ceballos, Espíndola,  
70 Uslar, Neumann, Quiroz, Chihuailaf, et al., 2013). In the framework of a balanced diet, the  
71 ingestion of Se in organic form is recommended, mainly as amino acids present in food,  
72 because the human body assimilates organic forms of Se more easily than inorganic forms  
73 (Thiry, Ruttens, De Temmerman, Schneider, & Pussemier, 2012). It has been stated that  
74 fortified supplements like selenized yeast increased Se status to an extent similar to SeMet  
75 (Thiry, Ruttens, De Temmerman, Schneider, & Pussemier, 2012). However, the actual  
76 selenium bioavailability would depend on the digestion and biotransformation of selenium  
77 into free SeMet (Yang, Liu, & Zhou, 2017). In selenized yeast, the results showed that  
78  $89 \pm 3\%$  of the total Se was extracted after gastrointestinal digestion, but surprisingly only  
79  $34 \pm 1\%$  was quantified as free SeMet (Reyes, Encinar, Marchante-Gayón, Alonso, &  
80 Sanz-Medel, 2006). Foods with a higher concentration of free SeMet are more valuable in  
81 terms of selenium nutrition. In this sense, it is important to evaluate the form of selenium  
82 that is used to fortify milk. It is worth to mention that proteins containing SeMet are not  
83 regarded as selenoproteins due to the non-specific nature of Se utilization in these proteins  
84 (Lobanov, Hatfield, & Gladyshev, 2009).

85         Conventional chromatographic methods are usually used for the separation and  
86 identification of selenium species. Recently, free seleno-amino acids have been determined  
87 by enantioselective hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-tandem mass  
88 spectrometry (Piovesana, Montone, Antonelli, Cavaliere, La Barbera, Canepari, et al.,  
89 2019). Amino acids found in proteins are *L*-amino acids, and it has been reported that *D*-  
90 and *L*-amino acids have different intestinal absorption and metabolic pathways. More  
91 specifically, the absorption rate of *D*-isomers is slower than *L*-isomers. *L*-SeMet was  
92 determined in olive oils (Capriotti, Montone, Antonelli, Cavaliere, Gasparrini, La Barbera,  
93 et al., 2018). In wheat bran, the results showed that seleno-methyl-*L*-selenocysteine was

94 the major seleno-amino acid, while SeMet and SeCys were both minor species (Montone,  
95 Antonelli, Capriotti, Cavaliere, La Barbera, Piovesana, et al., 2019). These techniques have  
96 not been applied to free seleno-amino acid analysis in milk samples.

97 An extraction process must be performed before seleno-amino acids can be  
98 analysed in milk. Conventionally, the use of organic solvents is necessary in order to  
99 eliminate the fatty phase of milk. However, according to green chemistry principles  
100 (Gałuszka, Migaszewski, Konieczka, & Namieśnik, 2012), organic solvents should be  
101 avoided because they represent an environmental hazard. Recently, works have been  
102 published that apply natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES) to replace conventional  
103 solvents for the extraction of proteins in different foods (Lores, Romero, Costas, Bendicho,  
104 & Lavilla, 2017). Deep eutectic solvents (DES) are mixtures of substances that form a joint  
105 super-lattice that melts and freezes at a single temperature that is lower than the melting  
106 points of the separate constituents (Abbott, Capper, Davies, Rasheed, & Tambyrajah,  
107 2003). NADES are mixtures formed by molecular constituents such as sugars, alcohols,  
108 amino acids, organic acids, and choline derivatives (Fernández, Boiteux, Espino, Gomez, &  
109 Silva, 2018). They are considered as the third solvent in living cells, which explains their  
110 high solubilizing capacity for natural products. NADES in combination with ultrasonic  
111 energy is a green approach for proteins solubilisation (Lores, Romero, Costas, Bendicho, &  
112 Lavilla, 2017).

113 This research describes a new process for extraction of free seleno-amino acids  
114 from milk samples with NADES. To this end different NADES were tested and an  
115 experimental design was performed to define the optimized values of the extraction  
116 parameters. Seleno-amino acids in milk were determined by LC-ICP MS. The introduction  
117 of NADES to ICP MS was optimized. As a result, a green extraction procedure was  
118 obtained and applied to analyse commercial and selenium fortified milk samples.

119 **Hypothesis statement.** Free seleno-amino acids are extracted with NADES from powder  
120 and lyophilized milk samples in a simple green procedure.

121

## 122 **2. Experimental**

123

### 124 **2.1. Reagents and Standards.**

125 The reagents were used directly as purchased or purified according to standard  
126 procedures (Armarego, 2017). Certified multi-elemental standard solutions from Perkin  
127 Elmer Pure Plus-Atomic Spectroscopy Standards (Norwalk, USA) were used for  
128 calibration and recovery studies (St. Louis, MO, USA). SeMet, SeCys, and seleno-methyl-  
129 selenocysteine (Se-Met-SeCys) standards were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis,  
130 MO). Standard solutions were prepared by dissolving the respective substances in 0.1 M  
131 hydrochloric acid, except for SeMet, which was prepared in 0.5% 2-mercaptoethanol (0.3  
132 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). Stock solutions were prepared once and stored at -20 °C. Dilutions were made  
133 with a 0.004% (w v<sup>-1</sup>) aqueous solution of 2-mercaptoethanol to avoid oxidation of SeMet  
134 (Torres, Martínez, & Pacheco, 2018). Compounds for NADES preparation, including  
135 anhydrous glucose (99%), anhydrous citric acid (99%), D-(–)-fructose (99%), and L-(+)-  
136 lactic acid (85–90%) were purchased from Biopack (Bs. As., Argentina). Ultrapure water  
137 with a resistivity of 18.2 mΩ cm<sup>-1</sup> was obtained from a Milli-Q system (Millipore,  
138 Billerica, MA, USA). Skimmed milk powder, (ERM® -BD151) certified by ERM  
139 European reference materials and the European Commission, was used as a reference  
140 material (Spain-Europe).

141

### 142 **2.2. Preparation of NADES.**

143 NADES were prepared following the recommendations described by different  
144 authors (Dai, van Spronsen, Witkamp, Verpoorte, & Choi, 2013; Pisano, Espino, de los

145 Ángeles Fernández, Silva, & Olivieri, 2018). The components LGH (lactic acid: glucose  
146 5:1); CGH (citric acid: glucose, 1:1) and FCH (fructose: citric acid; 1:1) were mixed with  
147 18% H<sub>2</sub>O (v v<sup>-1</sup>) in a glass beaker. The mixture was heated on a magnetic stirrer with  
148 temperature control (Decalab, Buenos Aires, Argentina) at 80 °C for approximately 60  
149 minutes until a transparent and homogeneous mixture was obtained. The synthesized  
150 NADES were stored at 4 °C to ensure conservation until their use. Different dilutions were  
151 made in the molar ratios 1:9, 3:7, and 5:5 (NADE:H<sub>2</sub>O) of these solvents for additional  
152 studies (Lores, Romero, Costas, Bendicho, & Lavilla, 2017). The prepared NADES were  
153 evaluated for selenium extraction from milk powder samples.

154

### 155 **2.3. Instrumentation**

156 The following laboratory equipment was used for sample treatment: ultrasound  
157 bath (Testlab, Buenos Aires, Argentina), magnetic stirrer with hot plate (Decalab, Buenos  
158 Aires, Argentina), ultracentrifuge U-320-R (Boeco-Germany), analytical balance (Ohaus,  
159 New Jersey).

160 Selenium was analysed by an ICP MS (ELAN DRC-e, Perkin-Elmer SCIEX,  
161 Thornhill, Canada). Air Liquide (Rio IV-Córdoba, Argentina) supplied argon gas with a  
162 purity of 99.996%. An HF-resistant and high performance perfluoracetate nebulizer, model  
163 PFA-ST, was used. Before changing to the microconcentric nebulizer, a performance  
164 check was carried out for sensitivity, oxide and doubly charged ion formation, using a  
165 conventional PTFE cross flow nebulizer and a Scott-type spray chamber. Peristaltic pump  
166 tubing, Tygon black/black 0.76 mm i.d. and 40 cm long, was used. The instrument  
167 conditions were as follows: autolens mode, peak hop scanning mode, dwell time of 500 ms  
168 in standard mode, 3 replicates, and dual mode detector. Nickel sampler and skimmer cones  
169 were used. Gas flow rates correspond to plasma, 13 L min<sup>-1</sup>; auxiliary, 1.35 L min<sup>-1</sup>; and

170 nebulizer, 0.87 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The radio frequency power was optimized to 1200 W, and the  
171 sample flow rate corresponded to 400 μL min<sup>-1</sup>.

172 At a later stage, seleno-amino acids were determined by liquid chromatography  
173 (LC) using a C8 column, Phenomenex, Luna (4.6 mm x 150mm x 5μ), under isocratic  
174 conditions. The mobile phase consisted of 10 mM trifluoroacetic buffer (pH 3.0) and 2% (v  
175 v<sup>-1</sup>) methanol pumped at 2.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The sample volume injected was 200μL. The  
176 chromatographer used was a Perkin-Elmer 200 Series (Thornhill, Canada) coupled to an  
177 ICP MS equipment detailed above.

178

## 179 **2.4. Samples.**

180 Samples of sheep's milk were obtained from the AZD farm of the Department of  
181 Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia (Italy). Twenty Sarda ewes in mid lactation  
182 (3rd – 4th month after parturition) were randomly divided in two groups of equal number.  
183 Both groups were fed with two isoenergetic and isonitrogenous pelleted concentrates.  
184 However, one received a control concentrate containing ground dehydrated olive leaves  
185 (202.9 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), while the second was treated with an experimental concentrate that included  
186 the same amount of olive leaves from sodium selenate-fertilized trees (Se content in  
187 leaves: 7.83 ± 0.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

188 In addition, samples of milk powder of bovine origin were obtained from various  
189 commercial brands produced in Argentina. Table 1 SM shows the characteristics of each of  
190 the milks studied.

191

## 192 **2.5. Sample treatment.**

193 For each experiment, 0.94 g of homogenized milk sample were accurately weighted  
194 in a 10 mL centrifuge tube. Subsequently, 3.09 mL of LGH (lactic acid-glucose-water)



195 25% (v v<sup>-1</sup>) were added. The test tubes were shaken at 300 rpm for 30 seconds. Ultrasound  
196 assisted extraction was performed for 34 min using an ultrasound equipped with a digital  
197 timer. The mixture was centrifuged for 15 minutes (8335 g, 4 °C). After centrifugation,  
198 three phases were defined in the mixture: the upper layer corresponds to the fats present in  
199 milk, the medium phase represents the NADES extract where the concentrated free seleno-  
200 amino acids are present, and the final precipitate contains mainly high molecular weight  
201 protein. For comparison, ultrapure water and SDS-Tris pH 7.5 were also tested as  
202 extraction solvents.

203 Sample treatment for total selenium concentration analysis was performed by  
204 microwave-assisted acid digestion. For the digestion procedure, 0.5 g of milk sample were  
205 mixed with 7.0 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> and 1.0 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in PTFE flasks. Then they were  
206 introduced to an optimized MW temperature program. Finally, digests were diluted to 50  
207 mL.

208

## 209 **2.6. Optimization strategy for ICP MS for Se-NADES analysis.**

210 NADES solutions with 50 µg L<sup>-1</sup> selenium were prepared by dilution 20% (v v<sup>-1</sup>)  
211 with LGH, CGH, and FCH solutions. HNO<sub>3</sub> 1.0% (v v<sup>-1</sup>) was added to Se-NADES  
212 solutions to favour nebulization and sustain plasma. The following ICP MS parameters  
213 were tested: selenium isotopes, Se<sup>77</sup>, Se<sup>78</sup>, and Se<sup>82</sup>; nebulization gas flow rates (NGFR),  
214 0.75, 0.80, 0.85, and 0.90 L min<sup>-1</sup>; and the RF power, 900, 1000, 1100, 1200, and 1300 W.  
215 After the optimization process was set for all further determinations, the solutions were  
216 introduced into the plasma source at 400 µL min<sup>-1</sup> applying 1200 W RF power and 0.87  
217 mL min<sup>-1</sup> nebulizer gas flow rate.

218

219           **2.7. Application of the experimental design for optimization of the**  
220 **method.**

221           After NADES evaluation to identify the extractant with the higher Se recovery from  
222 milk samples, an experimental design was performed to obtain accurate data on the most  
223 influential factors in the system. A Box-Behnken design was applied using a Design  
224 Expert® 7.0.0 software. The minimum and maximum ranges of each evaluated factor were  
225 as follows: NADES concentration, 10-50% v v<sup>-1</sup>; NADES volume, 0.5-5 mL; extraction  
226 time, 15-45 minutes; sample amount, 0.01-1 g. The intensity of the selenium signal was  
227 selected as the response variable, which was measured for each of the design points.

228

229           **3. Results and discussion**

230           **3.1. NADES selection according to selenium extraction from milk**  
231 **samples.**

232           NADES represent a green alternative for the extraction of bioactive compounds  
233 from various complex matrices. These environmentally safe extractants have been used in  
234 ultrasound-assisted microextractions to determine different selenium species from water  
235 and food samples such as fruit juices, eggs, cow's milk, sheep's milk, yogurt, etc (Panhwar,  
236 Tuzen, & Kazi, 2017). Among the most studied mixtures are combinations of organic acids  
237 and sugars or choline chloride (Espino, de los Ángeles Fernández, Gomez, & Silva, 2016).  
238 The incorporation of water into the eutectic system is a factor that affects the physical-  
239 chemical properties and also influences the extraction performance. Although it has been  
240 reported that low water content is more suitable for low polarity compounds, satisfactory  
241 results are obtained with higher water content for polar compounds (Bosiljkov, Dujmić,  
242 Bubalo, Hribar, Vidrih, Brnčić, et al., 2017). Based on these observations, it was decided to

243 evaluate the extraction of organic selenium species by NADES formed from natural  
244 organic compounds such as glucose and an 18% (v v<sup>-1</sup>) NADE dilution (Shishov, Bulatov,  
245 Locatelli, Carradori, & Andruch, 2017).

246 LGH, lactic acid: glucose 5:1; CGH, citric acid: glucose 1:1, and FCH, fructose:  
247 citric acid 1:1 were evaluated for selenium extraction. The results obtained are shown in  
248 Figure 1. Extraction is expressed as relative recovery, considering the recovery of the  
249 NADES extractant with the higher efficiency as 100 %. Comparatively, a typical amino  
250 acid extractant, SDS-Tris, was also evaluated (Huang, Feng, Chen, Wu, & Wang, 2018).  
251 The highest recoveries were obtained with LGH, which showed higher extraction  
252 efficiency than a typical amino acid extractant. Accordingly, LGH was selected for the  
253 subsequent optimization of seleno-amino acid extraction.

254

### 255 **3.2. ICP MS optimization for Se-NADES solution analysis.**

256 Thanks to its high sensitivity, selectivity, and its multi-elemental and isotopic  
257 nature, one of the analytical techniques that is most often used for the determination of  
258 trace elements and oligo-elements in complex food samples is ICP MS (Sola-Larrañaga &  
259 Navarro-Blasco, 2009). NADES present a complex organic matrix representing a challenge  
260 for the conventional sample introduction system of ICP MS, because NADES can generate  
261 instability in the plasma and even alterations in the interface (Dubascoux, Andrey, Vigo,  
262 Kastenmayer, & Poitevin, 2018). In addition, carbon resulting from NADES and the milk  
263 matrix can generate deposits in the cones and lenses with the consequent loss of sensitivity  
264 in the signal (Azcarate, Savio, Smichowski, Martinez, Camiña, & Gil, 2015). To maintain  
265 a reproducible analysis without losing sensitivity, water dilution, along with NGFR and RF  
266 optimization, is a strategy to overcome these difficulties. To evaluate NADES polyatomic  
267 molecules' contribution to the ICP MS background signal, 50 µg L<sup>-1</sup> Se - LGH solutions

268 (as described in section 2.6) were analysed by ICP MS monitoring  $\text{Se}^{77}$ ,  $\text{Se}^{78}$  and  $\text{Se}^{82}$   
269 under different NGFR and RF power levels. The results can be observed in Figure 2.  $\text{Se}^{77}$   
270 may be interfered since an exaltation of the signal-noise ratio (S/N) is observed at an RF  
271 power of 1100 W.  $\text{Se}^{77}$ ,  $\text{Se}^{78}$  and  $\text{Se}^{82}$  signals are exalted in the NGFR range of 0.85-0.9  
272  $\text{mL min}^{-1}$ . RF power analysis in the 950 – 1100 W range shows an improved signal  
273 stability for  $\text{Se}^{78}$  and  $\text{Se}^{82}$  isotopes. The Se isotopes studied are stable, and increasing the  
274 RF power enhances the degree of ionization and collisions, improving selenium  
275 atomization. Despite the fact that  $\text{Se}^{78}$  has a higher relative abundance, the S/N is low  
276 compared to  $\text{Se}^{82}$ ; so,  $\text{Se}^{82}$  was selected for monitoring the selenium signal. The best  
277 condition found was at an Ar gas flow rate of  $0.85 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$  and an RF power of 1000 W.  
278

### 279 **3.3. Study of the factors influencing the Selenium extraction process.**

280 A design of experiments is an application of the scientific method to generate  
281 knowledge about a system or process. It is a set of techniques that allows one to achieve  
282 maximum efficiency at the lowest cost. In addition, it is a useful tool to achieve  
283 improvements in established processes (Gutiérrez Pulido & Salazar, 2004). Accordingly, it  
284 was decided to propose an experimental design to improve the extraction of free selenium  
285 species with LGH from milk samples. After evaluation of the extraction process, the  
286 following factors were considered relevant and should be studied since they can influence  
287 the response: water percentage incorporated into the NADE (% LGH), volume of NADE  
288 (mL), sample quantity (g), and ultrasound time (min). The working ranges of each factor  
289 were detailed in section 2.7.

290 A multivariate strategy was adopted to study the influence of the selected variables  
291 and their interactions according to the experimental design shown in Table 2 SM. The final  
292 optimization of the proposed methodology and the expected response according to the

293 selected factors was carried out using the response surface method (RSM). The RSM has  
294 been seen in other reports where multivariate optimization strategies are applied (Maratta,  
295 Carrizo, Bazán, Villafaña, Martínez, & Pacheco, 2018). A Box-Behnken design was  
296 exploited. This design is formed by combining factorial designs on two levels with  
297 incomplete balanced block designs (IBBD). The most significant variables were  
298 considered in order to determine the values for the best selenium signal intensity.

299         The response surfaces from the experimental design can be observed in Figure 3.  
300 An improvement in the extraction performance of the selected seleno-amino acid was  
301 observed in Figure 3a by increasing the volume of NADE extractant and increasing the  
302 sample quantity. Despite the fact that increasing the extractant volume might decrease the  
303 Se signal by dilution, this is compensated by the higher quantity of sample.

304         Figure 3b shows an analysis of the compromise between the best dilution  
305 percentages of LGH and the volumes. The results showed that the extraction process is  
306 considerably favoured by lower LGH percentage and higher volume. One of the variables  
307 that significantly affects the extraction system is the percentage of water added to the  
308 selected NADES. The super-molecular structure of NADES changes after dilution with  
309 water because of the progressive rupture of hydrogen bonds. The physicochemical  
310 properties such as viscosity, conductivity, density, water activity, and polarity vary to some  
311 extent depending on the chemical nature of the components (Dai, Witkamp, Verpoorte, &  
312 Choi, 2015). A compromise situation was found at an optimal dilution without NADE  
313 losing its capacity as an extraction solvent, because at 10% ( $v v^{-1}$ ) dilution, LGH loses  
314 hydrogen bridge bonds which affect its characteristic as a DES (Pisano, Espino, de los  
315 Ángeles Fernández, Silva, & Olivieri, 2018). Finally, from the analysis of Figure 3c, it is  
316 observed that the selenium signal increases with increasing the ultrasound time, thus  
317 improving the extraction process. The results showed that the optimal working conditions

318 were as follows: 21.94% of LGH, 33.26 minutes of ultrasound time, 0.94 g of sample, and  
319 an extractant volume of 3.09 mL.

320

### 321 **3.4. Evaluation of the greenness of the extraction procedure.**

322 The greenness of the extraction procedure was evaluated according to the penalty  
323 points of the Eco-Scale (Gałuszka, Migaszewski, Konieczka, & Namieśnik, 2012). An  
324 ideal green procedure has 100 points on the Eco-Scale; penalty points lower the total score.  
325 Penalty points are calculated by considering the amount of reagents, hazards, energy,  
326 occupational hazards, and waste. After NADES addition to milk samples, three layers are  
327 formed, an upper one containing fats, a NADES middle one, and proteins are separated at  
328 the bottom. Selenium concentration in the protein fraction corresponds to 4-28% of the  
329 total selenium concentration in the milk samples. This selenium percentage in the protein  
330 fraction is low compared to the 70% obtained when acetone ratio is used to precipitate  
331 proteins (Bierla, Szpunar, & Lobinski, 2008). NADES molecular structure increase free-  
332 seleno amino acids extraction avoiding co-precipitation with proteins.

333 Fats and proteins are separated from milk in one step. This avoids the use of  
334 hazardous reagents, saves energy, and decreases waste. A comparison of the penalty points  
335 of a NADES extraction with a reported milk sample treatment involving defatting and  
336 protein precipitation is presented in Table 1. Free seleno-amino acid extraction with  
337 NADES represents advantages compared to other techniques, because it avoids several  
338 extraction steps that are necessary with common solvents, such as dilution, defatting, and  
339 protein precipitation of lyophilized or powdered milk samples for seleno-amino acid  
340 analysis (Bierla, Szpunar, & Lobinski, 2008).

341

### 342 **3.5. Validation of the proposed method**

343 The proposed methodology was validated at 4 concentration levels for SeCys,  
344 SeMet, and Se-Met-SeCys with 3 replicates. The results can be observed in Table 2.  
345 Calibration curves were obtained by linear regression between the signal intensity for the  
346 isotope  $\text{Se}^{82}$  (cps) and the concentration of each seleno-amino acid, observing excellent  
347 linearity in the working range studied (25-200  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ); linearity coefficients ( $R^2$ ) were  
348 0.989-0.995. The tests are statistically similar to the *t*-test of paired samples ( $\rho = 0.05$ ). The  
349 average results were used to represent the data. Microsoft Excel® was used to test  
350 unidirectional variance analysis (ANOVA) with 95% confidence. Additionally, the F test  
351 showed that the linear regression was statistically acceptable in the working range, and this  
352 model showed a good fit. The limit of detection (LoD) and the limit of quantification  
353 (LoQ) for SeCys were calculated according to the recommendations of the IUPAC  
354 (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry), (Uhrovčík, 2014), and they were  
355 7.37 and 22.36  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  respectively. The LoD and LoQ for SeMet and Se-Met-SeCys  
356 corresponded to 8.63, 26.25 and 9.64, 29.2  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ , respectively. On the other hand, the  
357 percentage relative standard deviation (RSD %), was less than 7.08%.

358 As observed in Table 2, the extraction efficiency was evaluated in lyophilized Se-  
359 fortified sheep milk and cow milk powder samples by spiking SeCys, SeMet, and Se-Met-  
360 SeCys at 4 concentration levels. Recoveries were quantitative for free seleno-amino acids  
361 in the range of 90.44-109.44% after the application of the NADES extraction method.  
362 Figure 1 SM shows a chromatogram of seleno-amino acids analysis after NADES  
363 extraction of a commercial cow milk sample, and the same sample spiked at LoQ levels.  
364 Trifluoroacetic acid present in the mobile phase acts as an ionic pair with seleno-amino  
365 acids, being retained in the C8 column. This column allowed a faster analysis, since  
366 retention was lower compared with a C18 column; this is a desirable aspect because of the  
367 high running costs of ICP MS.

368

### 369 **3.6. Application of the developed method.**

370 To demonstrate the applicability of the system to real samples, the developed  
371 method was applied to free seleno-amino acid analysis in lyophilized samples of Se-  
372 enriched sheep milk and commercial samples of powdered cow's milk. The method could  
373 only be applied to free seleno-amino acids analysis, because selenium in milk samples is  
374 associated with proteins that are found in the high molecular weight protein fraction  
375 (Bierla, Szpunar, & Lobinski, 2008).

376 The free seleno-amino acids concentrations determined are shown in Table 3. The  
377 following ranges of concentrations were found: SeCys, 61.8-181.9  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ; Se-Met-SeCys,  
378 46.7-237.7  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ , and finally, 46.07-180.94  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  for SeMet. Total free seleno-amino  
379 acids in the analysed milk samples ranged from 4.06-5.38% compared to the total selenium  
380 concentration. The Certified Reference Material ERM®-BD150 was also analysed, and  
381 SeMet was detected with a concentrations of 46.07  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ . These results are in good  
382 agreement with the results reported by Krata et al. who introduced dilution analysis (IDA)  
383 and species-unspecific isotope dilution analysis with LC-ICP MS (Krata, Wojciechowski,  
384 Karasinski, & Bulska, 2018). However, the results reported in this study refer to proteic  
385 and free SeMet in ERM®-BD150. Specific reports of free seleno-amino acid  
386 concentrations in milk samples were not found in the literature.

387

## 388 **4. Conclusions**

389 The proposed hypothesis statement was confirmed. This research describes a new  
390 methodology for free seleno-amino acids analysis in milk samples with selenium  
391 determination by LC-ICP MS. Free seleno-amino acid extraction is based on a simple and  
392 green analytical procedure employing NADES for solubilization and ultrasound assisted



393 extraction. In a first approach, Se determination in NADES solution was optimized in ICP  
394 MS, since NADES viscosity affects Se atomization. RF power and gas carrier were  
395 successfully adjusted to achieve an increase in sensitivity and an adequate quantification of  
396 selenium.

397 Free seleno-amino acid extraction was performed with different NADES, and LGH  
398 showed the best extraction performance. The extraction experimental conditions were  
399 optimized by an experimental design. Water percentage in LGH, ultrasound time, sample  
400 quantity, and LGH volume proved to be the most influential variables in the extraction, and  
401 consequently these factors were optimized.

402 The optimized extraction of free seleno-amino acids with NADES showed  
403 quantitative recoveries with good precision and sensitivity compatible with the SeCys,  
404 SeMet and Se-Met-SeCys concentrations in the samples. The method was applied  
405 successfully to real samples like cow's milk powder samples, freeze dried selenium-  
406 biofortified sheep's milk, and a CRM ERM-BD150 skimmed milk powder.

407 The proposed extraction method is a green method and a one-step alternative to  
408 traditional milk powder sample solubilization and extraction processes with organic  
409 solvents for seleno-amino acid analysis. This study represents an important contribution to  
410 assess the nutritional quality of milk samples according to the different bioavailability of  
411 seleno-species to humans.

412

#### 413 **Acknowledgement.**

414 Funding: This work was supported by the National Council of Scientific and  
415 Technical Research (CONICET) [2 grants, Lopez, Maratta], National Agency for  
416 Scientific and Research Promotion (ANPCyT) and the National University of San Luis  
417 (UNSL).



419 **References**

- 420 Abbott, A. P., Capper, G., Davies, D. L., Rasheed, R. K., & Tambyrajah, V. (2003). Novel  
421 solvent properties of choline chloride/urea mixtures. *Chemical Communications*(1),  
422 70-71.
- 423 Acosta, M., Torres, S., Mariño-Repizo, L., Martinez, L. D., & Gil, R. A. (2018). Novel  
424 method for metalloproteins determination in human breast milk by size exclusion  
425 chromatography coupled to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Journal*  
426 *of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis*, 158, 209-213.
- 427 Armarego, W. L. (2017). *Purification of laboratory chemicals*: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- 428 Azcarate, S. M., Savio, M., Smichowski, P., Martinez, L. D., Camiña, J. M., & Gil, R. A.  
429 (2015). Single-step solubilization of milk samples with N, N-dimethylformamide  
430 for inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry analysis and classification based  
431 on their elemental composition. *Talanta*, 143, 64-70.
- 432 Bierla, K., Szpunar, J., & Lobinski, R. (2008). Specific determination of selenoaminoacids  
433 in whole milk by 2D size-exclusion-ion-pairing reversed phase high-performance  
434 liquid chromatography–inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HPLC–ICP  
435 MS). *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 624(2), 195-202.
- 436 Bosiljkov, T., Dujmić, F., Bubalo, M. C., Hribar, J., Vidrih, R., Brnčić, M., Zlatic, E.,  
437 Redovniković, I. R., & Jokić, S. (2017). Natural deep eutectic solvents and  
438 ultrasound-assisted extraction: Green approaches for extraction of wine lees  
439 anthocyanins. *Food and bioproducts processing*, 102, 195-203.
- 440 Capriotti, A. L., Montone, C. M., Antonelli, M., Cavaliere, C., Gasparri, F., La Barbera,  
441 G., Piovesana, S., & Laganà, A. (2018). Simultaneous Preconcentration,  
442 Identification, and Quantitation of Selenoamino Acids in Oils by Enantioselective

443 High Performance Liquid Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry. *Analytical*  
444 *Chemistry*, 90(14), 8326-8330.

445 Ceballos, A., Espíndola, S., Uslar, D., Neumann, J., Quiroz, E., Chihuailaf, R., & Wittwer,  
446 F. (2013). Concentración de selenio en leche de estanques de rebaños lecheros del  
447 sur de Chile y su relación con indicadores productivos. *Archivos de medicina*  
448 *veterinaria*, 45(1), 33-39.

449 Dai, Y., van Spronsen, J., Witkamp, G.-J., Verpoorte, R., & Choi, Y. H. (2013). Natural  
450 deep eutectic solvents as new potential media for green technology. *Analytica*  
451 *chimica acta*, 766, 61-68.

452 Dai, Y., Witkamp, G.-J., Verpoorte, R., & Choi, Y. H. (2015). Tailoring properties of  
453 natural deep eutectic solvents with water to facilitate their applications. *Food*  
454 *chemistry*, 187, 14-19.

455 Dorea, J. G. (2002). Selenium and breast-feeding. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 88(5), 443-  
456 461.

457 Dubascoux, S., Andrey, D., Vigo, M., Kastenmayer, P., & Poitevin, E. (2018). Validation  
458 of a dilute and shoot method for quantification of 12 elements by inductively  
459 coupled plasma tandem mass spectrometry in human milk and in cow milk  
460 preparations. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 49, 19-26.

461 D'Amato, R., De Feudis, M., Hasuoka, P. E., Regni, L., Pacheco, P. H., Onofri, A.,  
462 Businelli, D., & Proietti, P. (2018). The Selenium Supplementation Influences  
463 Olive Tree Production and Oil Stability Against Oxidation and Can Alleviate the  
464 Water Deficiency Effects. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9(1191).

465

- 466 Espino, M., de los Ángeles Fernández, M., Gomez, F. J., & Silva, M. F. (2016). Natural  
467 designer solvents for greening analytical chemistry. *TrAC Trends in Analytical*  
468 *Chemistry*, 76, 126-136.
- 469 Fernández, M. d. I. Á., Boiteux, J., Espino, M., Gomez, F. J. V., & Silva, M. F. (2018).  
470 Natural deep eutectic solvents-mediated extractions: The way forward for  
471 sustainable analytical developments. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1038, 1-10.
- 472 Gałuszka, A., Migaszewski, Z. M., Konieczka, P., & Namieśnik, J. (2012). Analytical Eco-  
473 Scale for assessing the greenness of analytical procedures. *TrAC Trends in*  
474 *Analytical Chemistry*, 37, 61-72.
- 475 Gutiérrez Pulido, H., & Salazar, V. (2004). Análisis y diseño de experimentos.
- 476 Huang, Y., Feng, F., Chen, Z.-G., Wu, T., & Wang, Z.-H. (2018). Green and efficient  
477 removal of cadmium from rice flour using natural deep eutectic solvents. *Food*  
478 *chemistry*, 244, 260-265.
- 479 Kanwar, J. R., Kanwar, R. K., Sun, X., Punj, V., Matta, H., Morley, S. M., Parratt, A., Puri,  
480 M., & Sehgal, R. (2009). Molecular and biotechnological advances in milk proteins  
481 in relation to human health. *Current Protein and Peptide Science*, 10(4), 308-338.
- 482 Kieliszek, M., & Błazejak, S. (2013). Selenium: significance, and outlook for  
483 supplementation. *Nutrition*, 29(5), 713-718.
- 484 Krata, A. A., Wojciechowski, M., Karasinski, J., & Bulska, E. (2018). Comparative study  
485 of high performance liquid chromatography species-specific and species-unspecific  
486 isotope dilution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. A case study of  
487 selenomethionine and the origin of its oxidized form. *Microchemical Journal*, 143,  
488 416-422.
- 489 Kuras, R., Reszka, E., Wieczorek, E., Jablonska, E., Gromadzinska, J., Malachowska, B.,  
490 Kozłowska, L., Stanisławska, M., Janasik, B., & Wasowicz, W. (2018). Biomarkers

491 of selenium status and antioxidant effect in workers occupationally exposed to  
492 mercury. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 49, 43-50.

493 Ling, K., Henno, M., Jõudu, I., Püssa, T., Jaakson, H., Kass, M., Anton, D., & Ots, M.  
494 (2017). Selenium supplementation of diets of dairy cows to produce Se-enriched  
495 cheese. *International dairy journal*, 71, 76-81.

496 Liu, H., Zhu, W., Lu, B., Wei, Z., & Ren, D. (2015). Effect of feed selenium  
497 supplementation on milk selenium distribution and mozzarella quality. *Journal of*  
498 *dairy science*, 98(12), 8359-8367.

499 Lobanov, A. V., Hatfield, D. L., & Gladyshev V. N. (2009). Eukaryotic selenoproteins and  
500 selenoproteomes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1790, 1424-1428.

501 Lores, H., Romero, V., Costas, I., Bendicho, C., & Lavilla, I. (2017). Natural deep eutectic  
502 solvents in combination with ultrasonic energy as a green approach for  
503 solubilisation of proteins: application to gluten determination by immunoassay.  
504 *Talanta*, 162, 453-459.

505 Maratta, A., Carrizo, B., Bazán, V. L., Villafañe, G., Martínez, L. D., & Pacheco, P.  
506 (2018). Antimony speciation analysis by hydride trapping on hybrid nanoparticles  
507 packed in a needle trap device with electro-thermal atomic absorption spectrometry  
508 determination. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 33(12), 2195-2202.

509 Montone, C. M., Antonelli, M., Capriotti, A. L., Cavaliere, C., La Barbera, G., Piovesana,  
510 S., & Laganà, A. (2019). Investigation of free and conjugated seleno-amino acids in  
511 wheat bran by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography with tandem mass  
512 spectrometry. *Journal of Separation Science*, 42(10), 1938-1947.

513 Panhwar, A. H., Tuzen, M., & Kazi, T. G. (2017). Ultrasonic assisted dispersive liquid-  
514 liquid microextraction method based on deep eutectic solvent for speciation,

515           preconcentration and determination of selenium species (IV) and (VI) in water and  
516           food samples. *Talanta*, 175, 352-358.

517   Piovesana, S., Montone, C. M., Antonelli, M., Cavaliere, C., La Barbera, G., Canepari, S.,  
518           Samperi, R., Laganà, A., & Capriotti, A. L. (2019). Investigation of free seleno-  
519           amino acids in extra-virgin olive oil by mixed mode solid phase extraction cleanup  
520           and enantioselective hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-tandem mass  
521           spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, 278, 17-25.

522   Pisano, P. L., Espino, M., de los Ángeles Fernández, M., Silva, M. F., & Olivieri, A. C.  
523           (2018). Structural analysis of natural deep eutectic solvents. Theoretical and  
524           experimental study. *Microchemical Journal*, 143, 252-258.

525   Reyes, L. H., Encinar, J. R., Marchante-Gayón, J. M., Alonso, J. I. G., & Sanz-Medel, A.  
526           (2006). Selenium bioaccessibility assessment in selenized yeast after “in vitro”  
527           gastrointestinal digestion using two-dimensional chromatography and mass  
528           spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1110(1), 108-116.

529   Shishov, A., Bulatov, A., Locatelli, M., Carradori, S., & Andruch, V. (2017). Application  
530           of deep eutectic solvents in analytical chemistry. A review. *Microchemical Journal*,  
531           135, 33-38.

532   Sola-Larrañaga, C., & Navarro-Blasco, I. (2009). Chemometric analysis of minerals and  
533           trace elements in raw cow milk from the community of Navarra, Spain. *Food*  
534           *Chemistry*, 112(1), 189-196.

535   Thiry, C., Ruttens, A., De Temmerman, L., Schneider, Y.-J., & Pussemier, L. (2012).  
536           Current knowledge in species-related bioavailability of selenium in food. *Food*  
537           *Chemistry*, 130(4), 767-784.

538   Torres, S., Martínez, L. D., & Pacheco, P. H. (2018). Determination of arsenic species  
539           distribution in extra virgin olive oils from arsenic-endemic areas by dimensional

540 chromatography and atomic spectroscopy. *Journal of Food Composition and*  
541 *Analysis*, 66, 121-126.

542 Uhrovčík, J. (2014). Strategy for determination of LOD and LOQ values—Some basic  
543 aspects. *Talanta*, 119, 178-180.

544 Ullah, H., Liu, G., Yousaf, B., Ali, M. U., Irshad, S., Abbas, Q., & Ahmad, R. (2019). A  
545 comprehensive review on environmental transformation of selenium: recent  
546 advances and research perspectives. *Environmental geochemistry and health*, 41(2),  
547 1003-1035.

548 Vacchina, V., Bierla, K., Szpunar, J., & Lobinski, R. (2018). Quantification of SeMet and  
549 SeCys in biological fluids and tissues by liquid chromatography coupled to  
550 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HPLC-ICP MS). In  
551 *Selenoproteins*, (pp. 153-162): Springer.

552 Yang, R., Liu, Y., & Zhou, Z. (2017). Selenium and Selenoproteins, from Structure,  
553 Function to Food Resource and Nutrition. *Food Science and Technology Research*,  
554 23(3), 363-373.

555

556

557

558

559



560 **Table 1.** Comparison of the penalty points of EcoScale of extraction procedures for seleno-  
 561 amino acids from milk.

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Experimental conditions</b>	<b>Penalty points</b>	<b>562</b>
<b>NADES EXTRACTION</b>			<b>563</b>
Reagents (quantity, hazard)	LGH (3.09 mL)	1 (<10 mL, 0 hazard)	<b>564</b>
Energy	Ultrasonic bath (34 min, 160w)	0 (<0.1kW h <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>565</b>
Occupational hazard		0	<b>566</b>
Waste	□4 mL (0.94 g sample, 3.09 mL LGH)	1 (1-10 mL)	
<b>TOTAL PENALTY POINTS</b>		<b>2</b>	
<b>REPORTED MILK TREATMENT</b>			
<b>Milk deffating</b>			
Reagents (quantity, hazard)	Ciclohexane (20 mL)	1 x 8 (<10 mL, 8 hazard)	
Energy	Centrifuge + heater	2	
Occupational hazard	Emission of vapors and gases to the air	3	
Waste	4 g sample, 20 mL ciclohexane	5 (>10 mL)	
Penalty Points		18	
<b>Protein precipitation</b>			
Reagents (quantity, hazard)	Acetone	1 x 4 (<10 mL, 4 hazard)	
Energy	-	0	
Occupational hazard	Emission of vapors and gases to the air	3	
Waste		1 (<1 mL)	
Penalty Points		8	
<b>TOTAL PENALTY POINTS</b>		<b>26</b>	

567 **Table 2.** Recovery study of free seleno-amino acids from sheep biofortified milk.

568

Se Amino Acids	Lyophilized Se-fortified sheep milk				Cow milk powder			
	Base Concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	Added Concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	Determined Concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	RR (%)	Base Concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	Added Concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	Determined Concentration ( $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ )	RR (%)
Se Cysteine (SeCys)	76.2	25	111 $\pm$ 10.3	109	29.8	25	51.7 $\pm$ 5	94.5
	76.2	50	138 $\pm$ 12.7	109	29.8	50	75.9 $\pm$ 8.1	95.1
	76.2	100	190 $\pm$ 21.4	108	29.8	100	125 $\pm$ 17.2	96.6
	76.2	200	277 $\pm$ 21.6	100	29.8	200	226 $\pm$ 19.1	98.3
Se-Methyl-Se-Cysteine (Se-Met-Se-Cys)	99.4	25	119 $\pm$ 20.2	95.6	38.0	25	61.1 $\pm$ 6.2	92.5
	99.4	50	155 $\pm$ 14.9	104	38.0	50	79.6 $\pm$ 8.5	90.4
	99.4	100	205 $\pm$ 16.6	103	38.0	100	132 $\pm$ 14.7	95.6
	99.4	200	325 $\pm$ 29.1	109	38.0	200	236 $\pm$ 17.5	99.2
Seleno-Methionine (Se-Met)	69.7	25	97.2 $\pm$ 11.1	103	33.6	25	54.5 $\pm$ 5.5	93.1
	69.7	50	128 $\pm$ 12.5	107	33.6	50	80.1 $\pm$ 11.4	93
	69.7	100	182 $\pm$ 15.3	107	33.6	100	115 $\pm$ 22.3	85.9
	69.7	200	279 $\pm$ 33.8	103	33.6	200	216 $\pm$ 17.6	92.7

569 **Table 3.** Free seleno-amino acids concentration in milk powder samples.

Sample	SeCys ( $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ )	Se-Met-SeCys ( $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ )	SeMet ( $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ )	Total Selenium ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )
Commercial / cow #1	29.8 $\pm$ 3.1	38.0 $\pm$ 3.6	33.6 $\pm$ 2.1	1.15 $\pm$ 0.06
Commercial / cow #2	45.4 $\pm$ 3.9	52.9 $\pm$ 6.3	77.6 $\pm$ 9.4	1.24 $\pm$ 0.06
Commercial / cow #3	39.5 $\pm$ 4.7	44.6 $\pm$ 4.9	58.2 $\pm$ 5.2	1.07 $\pm$ 0.05
Freeze dried sheep's Milk (control)	31.8 $\pm$ 4.1	35.1 $\pm$ 4.5	44.1 $\pm$ 5.7	0.96 $\pm$ 0.05
Freeze dried sheep's Milk (enriched)	76.2 $\pm$ 6.1	99.4 $\pm$ 8.9	69.7 $\pm$ 8.07	2.19 $\pm$ 0.19
Certified Reference Material ERM®-BD150	ND	ND	17.8 $\pm$ 2.30	0.2 $\pm$ 0.01

\*ND: not detectable. LoD SeCys: 7.37  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ , LoD Se-Met-SeCys: 9.64  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$   
n=10

570

571 **Figure Captions.**

572 **Figure 1.** Comparison of selenium relative recovery from cow powder milk and  
573 lyophilized biofortified sheep milk between different NADES. LGH, lactic acid: glucose  
574 5:1; CGH, citric acid: glucose 1:1; FCH, fructose: citric acid 1:1. Dilution: 18% (v v<sup>-1</sup>).

575 **Figure 2.** Variations of signal to noise ratio (S/N) of Se<sup>82</sup>, Se<sup>77</sup> and Se<sup>78</sup> according to radio  
576 frequency (RF) power and nebulization gas flow rate (NGFR).

577 **Figure 3.** Response surfaces obtained using central composite design. (A) Response  
578 surface of LGH volume vs. Sample quantity; (B) response surface of LGH % vs. LGH  
579 volume (C); response surface of US time vs. LGH volume. LGH, lactic acid: glucose 5:1;  
580 US, ultrasound.

581