

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species™ ISSN 2307-8235 (online) IUCN 2020: T44644A2998902 Scope(s): Global Language: English

Dipturus leptocaudus, Thintail Skate

Assessment by: Pollom, R., Charvet, P., Chiaramonte, G.E., Cuevas, J.M., Herman, K., Paesch, L., Pompert, J. & Rincon, G.



View on www.iucnredlist.org

Citation: Pollom, R., Charvet, P., Chiaramonte, G.E., Cuevas, J.M., Herman, K., Paesch, L., Pompert, J. & Rincon, G. 2020. *Dipturus leptocaudus*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2020: e.T44644A2998902. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-3.RLTS.T44644A2998902.en</u>

Copyright: © 2020 International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

Reproduction of this publication for resale, reposting or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission from the copyright holder. For further details see <u>Terms of Use</u>.

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species[™] is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN</u> <u>Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>. The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State</u> <u>University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.

If you see any errors or have any questions or suggestions on what is shown in this document, please provide us with <u>feedback</u> so that we can correct or extend the information provided.

Taxonomy

Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Family
Animalia	Chordata	Chondrichthyes	Rajiformes	Rajidae

Scientific Name: Dipturus leptocaudus (Krefft & Stehmann, 1975)

Synonym(s):

• Raja leptocauda Krefft & Stehmann, 1975

Common Name(s):

- English: Thintail Skate
- Portuguese: Emplastro

Taxonomic Source(s):

Fricke, R., Eschmeyer, W.N. and Van der Laan, R. (eds). 2020. Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes: genera,species,references.Updated03August2020.Availableat:http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp.http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp.http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp.

Assessment Information

Red List Category & Criteria:	Vulnerable A2d <u>ver 3.1</u>			
Year Published:	2020			
Date Assessed:	July 1, 2019			

Justification:

The Thintail Skate (*Dipturus leptocaudus*) is a medium-sized (to 88 cm total length) skate that occurs in the Southwest Atlantic from Santa Catarina, Brazil to Uruguay (and possibly Argentina). It inhabits the continental shelf and upper slope at depths of 10–550 m. It is captured in commercial deep-water trawl, longline, and gillnet fisheries, which operate throughout its known range. Little information is available regarding the amount of catches due to identification issues. *Dipturus* spp. were the most abundant elasmobranchs caught in the monkfish (*Lophius gastrophysus*) deep-water gillnet fishery off southern Brazil, which intensified in the 2000s. These industrial gillnet fisheries often also target skates, and have led to declines in other ecologically similar species such as angel sharks (*Squatina* spp.). *Dipturus* spp. were shown to be highly vulnerable to such fisheries in a productivity-susceptibility analysis. There are also deep-water trawlers operating in the area targeting shrimp and squid, which are intense. Overall, due to the presence of intense and inadequately managed trawl fisheries that operate throughout its range, it is suspected that the Thintail Skate has undergone a population reduction of 30–49% over the past three generations (21 years), and it is assessed as Vulnerable A2d.

Previously Published Red List Assessments

2004 – Data Deficient (DD) https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2004.RLTS.T44644A10931422.en

Geographic Range

Range Description:

The Thintail Skate occurs in the Southwest Atlantic and is known from Santa Catarina, Brazil to Uruguay and possibly Argentina (Gomes and Costa 2003, Menni and Lucifora 2007). It may have a wider distribution than currently known, as identification is problematic. The locality of Rio de Janeiro, cited in Last *et al.* (2016), is erroneous and likely arises from a supposed typographical error in the original description by Krefft and Stehmann (1975) (Gomes and Picado 2001).

Country Occurrence:

Native, Extant (resident): Brazil; Uruguay

Native, Possibly Extant (resident): Argentina

FAO Marine Fishing Areas:

Native: Atlantic - southwest

Distribution Map



Legend EXTANT (RESIDENT)

Compiled by: IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group 2018





do not imply any official endorsement, acceptance or opinion by IUCN.

Population

In southern Brazil, fisheries are intense and are suspected to have led to declines in abundance there. In Uruguay, trawl landings are typically dominated by other skate species, but landings data are lumped into generic categories and management is not adequate; declines in abundance may have occurred there as a result. In Argentina, reported landings of skates in general increased from 900 t in 1993 to a peak of 28,000 t in 2007, and then declined to 24,000 t in 2009–2010 (Ministerio de Agricultura Ganadería y Pesca 2010, cited in Estalles *et al.* 2011). Overall, due to the presence of intense and inadequately managed trawl fisheries that operate throughout its range and its lack of refuge at depth, it is suspected that the Thintail Skate has undergone a population reduction of 30–49% over the past three generations (21 years).

Current Population Trend: Decreasing

Habitat and Ecology (see Appendix for additional information)

The Thintail Skate is benthic on the continental shelf and upper slope at depths of 10–550 m (Weigmann 2016). It reaches a maximum size of 88 cm total length (TL). Reproduction is oviparous and young hatch at 17 cm TL (Last *et al.* 2016). Generation length is suspected to be ~7 years, similar to that of the related Whitespotted Skate (*Dentiraja cerva*), which has an age-at-maturity of 5 years and a maximum age of 9 years (M.A. Treloar unpubl. data 2007). Little else is known of its biology.

Systems: Marine

Use and Trade

This skate is likely to be utilized as bycatch where caught, and is sometimes targeted. Other skates are consumed and sold locally, and in some cases are exported to Asia (Dent and Clarke 2015).

Threats (see Appendix for additional information)

This skate is captured in commercial and artisanal deep-water trawl, longline, and gillnet fisheries, which operate throughout its known range (Rincon et al. 2017). Little information is available regarding the amount of catches due to the difficulty in identifying this species: it is confused with other Dipturus spp. and also the Rio Skate (Rioraja agassizii) and Bignose Fanskate (Sympterygia acuta) (Last et al. 2016, Rincon et al. 2017). In southern Brazil, the trawl fishery began in the 1960s and entered a period of rapid expansion in the 1990s and 2000s, resulting in over 650 vessels fishing at depths of 20–1,000 m (Port et al. 2016). Artisanal fisheries there are also intense, and 58% of stocks targeted by artisanal fishers were over-exploited by 2010, half of those being collapsed (Vasconcellos et al. 2011). Dipturus spp. were the most abundant elasmobranchs caught in the monkfish (Lophius gastrophysus) deepwater gillnet fishery off southern Brazil, which intensified in the 2000s (Perez and Wahrlich 2005). These industrial gillnet fisheries often target skates, and have led to declines in other ecologically similar species such as angel sharks (Squatina spp.) (Mafra Pio et al. 2016). Dipturus spp. were shown to be highly vulnerable to such fisheries in a productivity-susceptibility analysis (Visintin and Perez 2013). There are also deep-water trawlers operating in the area targeting shrimp and squid. Development of these fisheries was intense; the entire area fished for deep-water shrimp species was estimated to be swept nearly twice over a three-year period (2003–2006) (Dallagnolo et al. 2009). In Uruguay, the industrial trawl fleet was developed in the late 1970s, and many stocks were over-exploited by the 1990s (Defeo *et al.* 2011, Lorenzo *et al.* 2015). Trawl and gillnet fisheries also operate in Argentina and are intense in some areas. If this species does occur there, there is not likely much, if any, refuge from fishing. This skate is poorly known, and it may have a depth range deeper than is currently known and thus refuge at depth. Overall, as currently known, its range is subjected to intense and unmanaged fishing pressure, and it has no refuge at depth.

Conservation Actions (see Appendix for additional information)

There are no species-specific protections or conservation measures in place for the Thintail Skate. Further research is needed on taxonomy, distribution, life history and population size and trends.

Credits

Assessor(s):	Pollom, R., Charvet, P., Chiaramonte, G.E., Cuevas, J.M., Herman, K., Paesch, L., Pompert, J. & Rincon, G.
Reviewer(s):	Dulvy, N.K. & Kyne, P.M.
Facilitator(s) and Compiler(s):	Kyne, P.M., Pollom, R., Charvet, P. & Dulvy, N.K.
Authority/Authorities:	IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group (sharks and rays)

Bibliography

Dallagnolo, R., Alvarez Perez, J.A., Pezzuto, P.R. and Wahrlich, R. 2009. The deep-sea shrimp fishery off Brazil (Decapoda: Aristeidae): development and present status. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 37(3): 327–346.

Defeo, O., Puig, P., Horta, S. and Álava, A. de. 2011. Coastal fisheries of Uruguay. In: Salas, S., Chuenpagdee, R., Charles, A. and Seijo, J.C. (eds), Coastal Fisheries of Latin America and the Caribbean. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 544. FAO, Rome, Italy.

Dent, F. and Clarke, S. 2015. State of the global market for shark products. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 590. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy. 187 pp.

Estalles, M., Coller, N.M., Perier, M.R. and Di Giácomo, E.E. 2011. Skates in the demersal trawl fishery of San Matías Gulf: species composition, relative abundance and maturity stages. *Aquatic Living Resources* 24(2): 193–199.

Gomes, U.L. and Costa, S.R. 2003. New records of the thintail skate, *Dipturus leptocauda* (Rajidae, Rajinae, Rajini), with notes on its taxonomy. *Zoologia* 11(1):91-95.

Gomes, U.L. and Picado, S.D.S. 2001. Distribution of the species of *Dipturus* Rafinesque (Rajidae, Rajinae, Rajini) off Brazil and first record of the Caribbean skate *D. teevani* (Bigelow & Schroeder), in the Western South Atlantic. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 18(1): 171–185.

IUCN. 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-3. Available at: <u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>. (Accessed: 10 December 2020).

Last, P., White, W., de Carvalho, M., Séret, B., Stehmann, M. and Naylor, G. 2016. *Rays of the World*. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton.

Lorenzo, M.I., Defeo, O., Moniri, N.R. and Zylich, K. 2015. Fisheries catch statistics for Uruguay. Working Paper Series. Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.

Mafra Pio, V., Pezzuto, P.R. and Wahrlich, R. 2016. Only two fisheries? Characteristics of the industrial bottom gillnet fisheries in southeastern and southern Brazil and their implications for management. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 44(5): 882-897.

Menni, R.C. and Lucifora, L.O. 2007. *Condrictios de la Argentina y Uruguay*. ProBiota, FCNyM, UNLP, Serie Técnica-Didáctica, La Plata, Argentina.

Perez, J.A.A. and Wahrlich, R. 2005. A bycatch assessment of the gillnet monkfish *Lophius gastrophysus* fishery off southern Brazil. *Fisheries Research* 72: 81-95.

Port, D., Perez, J.A. and Menezes, J.T. de. 2016. The evolution of the industrial trawl fishery footprint off southeastern and southern Brazil. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 44(5): 908–925.

Rincon, G., Mazzoleni, R.C., Palmeira, A.R.O. and Lessa, R.P.T. 2017. Deep-water sharks, rays, and chimaeras of Brazil. In: Rodrigues-Filho, L.F. and De Luna Sales, J.B. (eds), *Chondrichthyes: Multidisciplinary Approach*, pp. 83–112. IntechOpen, London, UK.

Vasconcellos, M., Diegues, A.C. and Kalikoski, D.C. 2011. Coastal Fisheries of Brazil. In: Salas, R. Chuenpagdee, A. Charles and J.C. Seijo (eds), *Coastal fisheries of Latin America and the Caribbean*, pp. 73-116. FAO, Rome.

Visintin, M.R. and Perez, J.A.A. 2018. Vulnerabilidade de espécies capturadas pela pesca de emalhe-de-

fundo no Sudeste-Sul do Brasil: produtividade-suscetibilidade (PSA). *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca* 42(1): 119–133.

Weigmann, S. 2016. Annotated checklist of the living sharks, batoids and chimaeras (Chondrichthyes) of the world, with a focus on biogeographical diversity. *Journal of Fish Biology* 88(3): 837-1037.

Citation

Pollom, R., Charvet, P., Chiaramonte, G.E., Cuevas, J.M., Herman, K., Paesch, L., Pompert, J. & Rincon, G. 2020. *Dipturus leptocaudus*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2020: e.T44644A2998902. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2020-3.RLTS.T44644A2998902.en

Disclaimer

To make use of this information, please check the Terms of Use.

External Resources

For <u>Supplementary Material</u>, and for <u>Images and External Links to Additional Information</u>, please see the Red List website.

Appendix

Habitats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Habitat	Season	Suitability	Major Importance?
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.3. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Loose Rock/pebble/gravel	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.4. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.5. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy-Mud	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.6. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Muddy	Resident	Suitable	Yes
11. Marine Deep Benthic -> 11.1. Marine Deep Benthic - Continental Slope/Bathyl Zone (200-4,000m)	-	-	-

Use and Trade

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

End Use	Local	National	International
Food - human	Yes	Yes	Yes

Threats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Threat	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact Score
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.1. Intentional use: (subsistence/small scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- Slow, significant Medium 90%) declines impact: 6		Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	es -> 2.1. Species moi	rtality
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.2. Intentional use: (large scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- 90%)	Slow, significant declines	Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	es -> 2.1. Species moi	rtality
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.3. Unintentional effects: (subsistence/small scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- 90%)	Slow, significant declines	Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	es -> 2.1. Species moi	rtality
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.4. Unintentional effects: (large scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	Majority (50- 90%)	Slow, significant declines	Medium impact: 6
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	es -> 2.1. Species moi	rtality

Conservation Actions in Place

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Conservation Action in Place
In-place research and monitoring
Action Recovery Plan: No
Systematic monitoring scheme: No
In-place land/water protection
Conservation sites identified: No
Area based regional management plan: No
Occurs in at least one protected area: Unknown
Invasive species control or prevention: Not Applicable
In-place species management
Harvest management plan: No
Successfully reintroduced or introduced benignly: No
Subject to ex-situ conservation: No
In-place education
Subject to recent education and awareness programmes: No
Included in international legislation: No
Subject to any international management / trade controls: No

Conservation Actions Needed

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Conservation Action Needed

- 1. Land/water protection -> 1.1. Site/area protection
- 3. Species management -> 3.1. Species management -> 3.1.1. Harvest management
- 3. Species management -> 3.1. Species management -> 3.1.2. Trade management

3. Species management -> 3.2. Species recovery

5. Law & policy -> 5.1. Legislation -> 5.1.2. National level

5. Law & policy -> 5.4. Compliance and enforcement -> 5.4.2. National level

Research Needed

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Research Needed
1. Research -> 1.1. Taxonomy
1. Research -> 1.2. Population size, distribution & trends
1. Research -> 1.3. Life history & ecology
1. Research -> 1.4. Harvest, use & livelihoods
3. Monitoring -> 3.1. Population trends
3. Monitoring -> 3.2. Harvest level trends
3. Monitoring -> 3.3. Trade trends

Additional Data Fields

Distribution
Lower depth limit (m): 550
Upper depth limit (m): 10
Habitats and Ecology
Generation Length (years): 7

The IUCN Red List Partnership



The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species[™] is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species</u> <u>Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>.

The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens</u> <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.