

UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

| Title | Jurassic scorpionflies (Mecoptera) with swollen first metatarsal segments suggesting sexual dimorphism |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Author(s) | Zhang, Yan-jie; Shih, Peter J. M.; Wang, Jun-you; McNamara, Maria E.; Shih, Chungkun; Ren, Dong; Gao, Tai-ping |
| Publication date | 2021-03-20 |
| Original citation | Zhang, Yj., Shih, P. J. M., Wang, Jy., McNamara, M. E., Shih, C., Ren, D. and Gao, Tp. (2021) 'Jurassic scorpionflies (Mecoptera) with swollen first metatarsal segments suggesting sexual dimorphism', BMC Ecology and Evolution, 21(1), 47 (21 pp). doi: 10.1186/s12862-021- 01771-3 |
| Type of publication | Article (peer-reviewed) |
| Link to publisher's version | https://bmcecolevol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12862-021- 01771-3 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12862-021-01771-3 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription |
| Rights | © The Author(s) 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ |
| Item downloaded from | http://hdl.handle.net/10468/11861 |

University College Cork, Ireland

Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Jurassic scorpionflies (Mecoptera) with swollen first metatarsal segments suggesting sexual dimorphism

Yan-jie Zhang¹, Peter J. M. Shih², Jun-you Wang³, Maria E. McNamara⁴, Chungkun Shih^{1,5}, Dong Ren^{1*} and Tai-ping Gao^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Sexual dimorphism is widespread in insects. The certain specialized structures may be used as weapons in male–male combats or as ornaments to enhance mating opportunities.

Results: We report striking swollen first tarsal segments in two families, four genera and six species of scorpionflies from the Middle Jurassic Yanliao Biota of Northeastern China. Swollen tarsal segments are restricted to male specimens and to hind leg tarsi. The geometric morphometric analyses reveal that the degree of swelling within the orthophlebiid species possessing swollen first metatarsal segments is species-specific, which can be used as a diagnostic character for taxonomic and phylogenetic studies.

Conclusions: The new findings indicate that swollen first metatarsal segments are relatively common in the family Orthophlebiidae during the Middle Jurassic. The tarsal swellings are considered to be sexually dimorphic, potentially associated with sexually display by males and/or camouflage of a "nuptial gift" in the mating process.

Keywords: Fossil insect, Holcorpidae, Mesozoic, Orthophlebiidae, Tarsal swelling, Nuptial gift, Yanliao Biota

Background

Specializations of insect legs are relatively universal and potentially multifunctional for many insects, such as feeding, predation, fighting, digging, jumping, swimming, walking on water, etc. [1]. Modifications of the tarsi are known in extant insects. For example, webspinners (Embioptera) and the flies of the genus *Hilara* (Empididae, Diptera) produce silk from enlarged prothoracic basitarsi [2–4]. Certain bee species use their strigilis, combs of the spurs on the first tarsal joints of their forelegs, for cleaning antennae [5]. Oversized tarsi in male dance flies (*Empis* sp. (Empididae, Diptera)) are

Capital Normal Univers

Beijing 100048, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/A/J. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

a secondary sexual character [6]. Male carabid beetles (Coleoptera) have broadened tarsi; Cerambycidae and Scarabaeidae (Euchirinae and Cetoniinae) have prolonged tarsi. Many representatives of beetles possess specialised setae on the tarsi, presumably enabling them to grasp to female elytra during copulation; male diving beetles, most notably Dytiscidae, possess adhesive structures on tarsi [7–9].

Similar tarsal modifications are rarely found in fossil insects. The only examples known are preserved in male specimens of the scorpionflies, *Orthophlebia elenae* and *Orthophlebia longicuada* from the Upper Jurassic Karabastau Formation in Russia, which have swollen first tarsal segments in the hindlimbs. These structures were originally interpreted as a "metatarsal organ" of unknown function [10, 11].

^{*}Correspondence: rendong@mail.cnu.edu.cn; tpgao@cnu.edu.cn

¹ College of Life Sciences and Academy for Multidisciplinary Studies, Capital Normal University, 105 Xisanhuanbeilu, Haidian District,

Here, we report the preservation of similar enlarged metatarsal segments in abundant scorpionflies from the Middle Jurassic (late Callovian, ca. 165–164 Mya) [12–15] Jiulongshan Formation at Daohugou, Inner Mongolia, China. Most of the studied specimens belong to the Orthophlebiidae; one specimen is a newly collected holcorpid species, *Conicholcorpa longa* sp. nov. We tested whether the tarsal morphology is sexually dimorphic, providing new insights into the behaviour and life history of Jurassic scorpionflies.

Results

We studied and reported a total of 87 scorpionfly specimens, including one new holcorpid (*Conicholcorpa stigmosa* sp. nov.) and 86 orthophlebiids in five known species. The orthophlebiids represent five species belonging to three genera (Additional file 1: Figures S1–S5): *Orthophlebia extensa* Martynov, 1937; *Orthophlebia elenae* Willmann & Novokshonov, 1998; *Mesopanorpa densa* Zhang, 1996; *Mesopanorpa luanpingensis*, Hong, 1983; *Juraphlebia eugeniae* Soszyńska-Maj and Krzemińsk, 2020.

Systematic palaeontology

Order Mecoptera Packard, 1886.

Family Holcorpidae Willmann, 1989.

Genus Conicholcorpa Li, Shih, Wang & Ren, 2017.

Type species *Conicholcorpa stigmosa* Li, Shih, Wang & Ren, 2017.

Conicholcorpa longa Zhang, Shih & Ren sp. nov.

Holotype. CNU-MEC-NN2015108p/c, male, part and counterpart. Measurements (length in mm) of the holotype are: body excluding antenna, 50.0; forewing, 20.0; hind wing, 16.7; the 6th, 7th, 8th segment of abdomen (A6, A7, A8) 9.2, 13.3 and 14.8, respectively.

Characterization. Mid-sized insect with the 6th, 7th and 8th segments of abdomen extremely elongated. The 6th segment of abdomen equal to the combination of head, thorax, and 1st to 5th segments of abdomen. The abdominal segment A7 is nearly 1.5 times as long as A6, just slightly shorter than A8. Forewing: Rs with six branches, M forking almost at the same level or slightly distal to Rs forking, several transverse veins dispersed among Rs.

Remark. *Conicholcorpa longa* sp. nov. is placed in *Conicholcorpa* Li, Shih, Wang & Ren, 2017 based on its forewing with M forking almost at the same level of Rs, the 7th segment (A7) much longer than 6th segment (A6) of the abdomen, the 6th segment (A6) without spur at the posterior margin, and A6, A7 and the 8th segment (A8) all straight. *Conicholcorpa longa* sp. nov. differs from the

type species *Conicholcorpa stigmosa* (male) by the length of A6 nearly equal to the length of anterior part of the body before A6 (excluding antenna), A6 much thicker than A7 or A8, and A8 just slightly longer than A7.

Etymology. The specific name is derived from the Latin word 'longus', indicating the elongated and extended terminalia of this taxon.

Type locality. Collected from the Jiulongshan Formation of Daohugou Village, Shantou Township, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia, China, latest Middle Jurassic, as for all new orthophlebiid specimens in this study.

Description. Head: subcircular, relatively small; antenna filiform, at least 23 flagellomeres; mouthpart elongated (Fig. 1a, c).

Thorax: prothorax, mesothorax and metathorax discernible; forelegs: tibia (3.04 mm) slightly longer than the basitarsus (2.17 mm) and bears two spurs at apical; basitarsus as long as the following three segments combined; third tarsal segment shortest; the mid legs disarticulated and not preserved; tibia of hind legs (6.64 mm) much longer than the basitarsus (3.00 mm), two long spurs also fixed on the top of tibia; the 1st segment of metatarsus, spindle-shaped, the widest point about 0.70 mm in diameter (Fig. 1d, e); the swollen segments covered with dense setae and several setae much longer than others (Fig. 1f).

Wings: forewing with R forking at one-fourth of wing length; the branches of M not obvious, but the points of forking very close; Rs with 6 branches, M with 5 branches; hind wing not clear, due to poor preservation.

Abdomen: segments clear, the lengths of A1–A5 segments nearly equal and the third segment (A3) widest; A6–A8 elongated (Fig. 1b), A6 without two tergal spurs, A8 distinctly longest; A6 with rough surface, slightly tapering toward the terminal; A7 and A8 enlarged and slender, clearly thickened at both ends.

Genitalia: male external genitalia relatively large compared with the terminal of A8; genital bulb enlarged; dististyli not preserved, details invisible.

Family Orthophlebiidae Handlirsch, 1906;

Genus Orthophlebia Westwood, 1845.

Orthophlebia extensa Martynov, 1937.

Description of new materials: Head roughly circular, antenna filiform, chewing mouthparts robust and long, compound eye oval and large. Pronotum short, 0.6 times as long as mesonotum; metanotum 1.2 times as long as mesonotum. Forewing: long and relatively broad (elliptical to spatulate) with several anomalous white spots; Sc long, reaching the costal margin with a crossvein to C; R long, unforking and curving just before reaching the wing margin; the stem of Rs 1.8 times as long as that of Rs + MA; Rs with five branches, MA two





branches, MP five branches; CuA long, connecting to MP with a short crossvein; CuP fusing with CuA basally. Hind wing similar to forewing but smaller, Sc short, reaching nearly the middle of the costal margin, MP four branches. Abdomen with nine segments, the last segment of male enlarged, bulbous, resembling the stinger of a scorpion (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Orthophlebia elenae Willmann & Novokshonov,1998.

Description of new materials: Forewing: Sc short and straight without a crossvein to C, and ending at anterior margin slightly distal of the middle of the wing; R long, unforking and curving just before reaching the wing margin; the stem of Rs + MA almost as long as that of Rs, the forking of Rs distal of the forking of MA, Rs with four branches, MA two branches, MP five branches, forking distal of the forking of the stem of Rs + MA, CuA connecting to MP with a short crossvein; CuP fusing with

CuA basally, A1, A2, A3 veins long and parallel to each other. Hind wing, MP with four branches; two crossveins between A1 and A2, one crossvein between A2 and A3 (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

Genus Mesopanorpa Handlirsch, 1906.

Mesopanorpa densa Zhang, 1996.

Description of new materials: Forewing: long and relatively broad (elliptical to spatulate); Sc long, reaching the costal margin with a crossvein to C; R long and straight, parallel to Sc and ending at anterior margin just distal of ending of Sc; the stem of Rs + MA forking at one-third of wing length from base; Rs with five branches, MA two branches, MP five branches; stem of Rs + wo times as long as that of MA and equal to stem of Rs + MA in length; stem of R + Rs + MA almost as long as that of Rs + MA; MP, forking slightly distal of the forking of Rs + MA, with five branches, CuA long, curving slightly, connecting to

MP near base by a short crossvein; A1, A2 and A3 veins long and straight (Additional file 1: Figure S3).

Mesopanorpa luanpingensis Hong, 1983.

Description of new materials: Forewing: long and relatively broad (elliptical to spatulate); Sc long, almost parallel with C with a crossvein to C; the stem of R + Rs + MAbending posteriorly at one-fourth of wing length, R parallel to Sc and ending at anterior margin just distal of ending of Sc; the stem of Rs + MA forking at one-third of wing length from base; Rs with four branches, MA two branches, MP five branches; stem of Rs 1.5 times as long as that of MA and equal to that of Rs + MA in length; stem of R + Rs + MA about two times as long as that of Rs + MA; MP, forking just distal of the forking of Rs + MA, with five branches, CuA long, curving slightly, connecting to MP near base by a short crossvein; A1, A2 veins long and straight while A3 curving (Additional file 1: Figure S4).

Genus *Juraphlebia* Soszyńska-Maj & Krzemińsk, 2020. *Juraphlebia eugeniae* Soszyńska-Maj & Krzemińsk, 2020.

Description of new materials: Forewing: long and relatively broad (elliptical to spatulate), with a large area of color marking at base, middle and distal part of the wing; Sc long, reaching the pterostigmal area with a crossvein to C; R long, unforking and curving just in the pterostigmal area, thickened and convex dorsally; the stem of R + Rs + MA 0.9 times as long as stem of Rs + MA, Rs with five branches, MA two branches, Rs and MA forking almost at the same level; MP with five branches, Rs forking slightly before MP; CuA connecting to MP with a short crossvein; CuP fusing with CuA basally; A1, A2, A3 veins long, reaching the anal margin. Hind wing, narrower than forewing, shaped nearly triangular; Sc short without crossvein to *C*, MP with four branches (Additional file 1: Figure S5).

Different degree of metatarsal swellings of scorpionflies

We identified five discrete categories of metatarsal swelling: non-swollen (Figs. 2a, b and 3a, b), slightly swollen (Figs. 2c, d and 3e, f), moderately swollen (Figs. 2e, f and 3g, h), heavily swollen (Figs. 2g, j; 3c, d) and extremely swollen (Fig. 2 h, i), respectively. The cuticles of the first metatarsal segments corresponding to non-swollen (Fig. 2b) or slightly swollen categories (Figs. 2d and 3f) are typically light-toned, smooth and continuous. Segments corresponding to moderately (Fig. 2e) and heavily swollen (Fig. 2g) categories typically show a light-toned central region enveloped by darker-toned margins (Fig. 3d, h). These differences in visual tone, in particular in local light-toned cuticle regions, may indicate the presence of a locally thinner cuticle as a result of cuticle swelling. Extremely swollen segments frequently exhibit dark-toned irregular lines and blotches and extensive fracturing of the cuticle, sometimes exposing the interior of the metatarsus (Fig. 2i).

(A) Statistical analysis

Our dataset includes 87 scorpionfly specimens: one holcorpid and 86 orthophlebiids represent six species belonging to four genera from the Middle Jurassic of Northeastern China (Additional file 1: Figures S1-S5). The aspect ratio (AR) of the first metatarsal segment (width/length) was used as a proxy for the degree of swelling. The AR of the metatarsus is 0.09 ± 0.02 in specimens with non-swollen metatarsi (n = 24) and 0.26 ± 0.08 in specimens with swollen ones (n=50), respectively (We were unable to obtain measurement data for the remaining specimens due to incomplete preservation of the metatarsi). Differences between these two groups are statistically significant (two-tailed t = 10.88, p < 0.0001). For each taxon, the AR of swollen metatarsi is markedly higher than that of non-swollen metatarsi (Fig. 4) and each taxonomic group has a relatively distinct range in shape of metatarsi (Tables 1 and 2). As shown in Fig. 4, O. elenae (AR: 0.15–0.19, s(n)=17) was classified as slightly swollen, J. eugeniae (AR: 0.20-0.29, s(n) = 33) and *M. densa* (AR: 0.27-0.31, s(n) = 8) as moderately swollen, *M. luanpingensis* (AR: 0.32-0.40, s(n) = 8) as heavily swollen, and O. extensa as extremely swollen (AR: 0.42-0.47, s(n) = 6). The metatarsal AR is 0.23 in one holcorpid, Conicholcorpa longa sp. nov., and 0.21 in one specimen of Orthophlebia longicauda [10]. The ARs of J. eugeniae (0.20-0.29) and *M. densa* (0.27-0.31) overlap, while the other three species of orthophlebiids have distinctly different aspect ratios.

Measurement data (Tables 1 and 2) for specimens (with non-swollen or swollen first metatarsal segments) of five species of Orthophlebiidae and one of Holcorpidae were analysed as follows:

The AR of the first metatarsal segment Specimens lacking measurable data were excluded. The mean and standard deviation for AR of non-swollen examples (n=24) of the first metatarsal segment is 0.09 ± 0.02 , and those for swollen examples (n=50) is 0.26 ± 0.08 . The t-test result (t=10.88, df=83, p<0.0001) indicates that these two groups are statistically different. The higher standard deviation values for the swollen metatarsal segments indicate greater variability in swelling morphology than the non-swollen counterparts.

The length ratios of 1st metatarsal segment/metatibia We also calculated the ratios of the length of the first metatarsal segment to that of the metatibia. The mean and standard deviation for non-swollen (n=18) and swollen (n=45) specimens are 0.55 ± 0.08 and 0.55 ± 0.09 , respectively. The t-test result (t=0.002,



Fig. 2 Comparison of non-swollen versus swollen first metatarsal segments. **a**, **b** Non-swollen, *Orthophlebia extensa* (CNU-MEC-NN2014059). **c**, **d** Slightly swollen, *Orthophlebia elenae* (CNU-MEC-NN2014005). **e**, **f** Moderately swollen, *Juraphlebia eugeniae* (CNU-MEC-NN2014009). **g**, **j** Heavily swollen, *Mesopanorpa luanpingensis* (CNU-MEC-NN2016222). **h**, **i** Extremely swollen, *O. extensa* (CNU-MEC-NN2006046). **b**, **d**, **f**, **h**, **j** Habitus, first metatarsal segments of (**a**, **c**, **e**, **g**, **i**). Scale bars represent: 4 mm in (**a**, **c**, **g**, **h**, **j**); 0.5 mm in (**b**, **d**, **e**, **g**, **i**)

df = 61, p = 0.98) demonstrates that these two groups are statistically similar, suggesting that swelling did not affect the length of the 1st metatarsal segment.

The AR of the 1st metatarsal segments for non-swollen males/non-swollen females We calculated the AR's of the first metatarsal segment for non-swollen and swollen representatives of males and females. The mean and standard deviation of ARs for non-swollen tarsi of males (n=4) and females (n=7) are 0.09 ± 0.02 and 0.09 ± 0.01 , respectively. The t-test result



Fig. 3 Comparison of non-swollen versus swollen first metatarsal segments. **a**, **b** Non-swollen, *Mesopanorpa densa* (CNU-MEC-NN2016270). **c**, **d** Heavily swollen, *Mesopanorpa luanpingensis* (CNU-MEC-NN2016229). **e**, **f** Slightly swollen, *Orthophlebia elenae* (CNU-MEC-NN2014020). **g**, **h** Moderately swollen, *Mesopanorpa densa* (CNU-MEC-NN2016253). **i** Artist's reconstruction of orthophlebiids with the first metatarsal segment slightly swollen (male at left) or extremely swollen (male at center) (credit: Dr. Chen Wang). **b**, **d**, **f**, **h** Habitus, first metatarsal segments of (**a**, **c**, **e**, **g**). Scale bars represent: 4 mm for (**a**, **c**, **e**, **g**); 0.5 mm for (**b**, **d**, **f**, **h**)



demonstrates that the differences in non-swollen tarsi between males and females are not statistically significant. The AR's cannot be compared for swollen tarsi between males (n = 31) and females (n = 0), due to lack of swollen tarsi in female specimens.

(B) Geometric morphometric analyses (GMA)

We conducted GMA for the same set of 87 scorpionfly specimens as mentioned above. The metatarsi show the non-swollen condition (i.e., the margins of the metatarsi are oriented approximately parallelly to each other) in 25 specimens (Figs. 2a, b and 3a, b). Sixtyone orthophlebiids show swollen first metatarsal segments (Fig. 2d, e, g, i). Sex could not be determined for 32 of these specimens due to poor preservation; all of the remaining 29 specimens are males.

Calculations of the Procrustes distance and Spline bending energy for each specimen show that nonswollen metatarsi have consistently low Procrustes distance and Spline bending energy (Fig. 5). In contrast, swollen metatarsi have higher and more variable values for both Procrustes distance and spline bending energy, reflecting more distortion and more variable first metatarsal geometries. Furthermore, the results of GMA also show that three species, *O. extensa, O. elenae* and *M. luanpingensis* with swollen metatarsi have distinctly different data ranges. However, *M. densa* and *J. eugeniae* have overlapping data ranges, consistent with the AR data in Fig. 4.

Discussion

Metatarsal swellings in diverse scorpionflies

In this study, swollen first metatarsal segments have been reported in two families, four genera and seven species of Panorpoidea. Discovery of numerous specimens that show this feature indicates that swollen metatarsal first segments were relatively common in Orthophlebiidae in the mid-Mesozoic.

The species-specific of metatarsal swelling in scorpionflies

Our data show that the shape of the swollen metatarsi is diverse in orthophlebiids and is distinct at the species level. These morphological characters appear to be taxonomically and phylogenetically informative.

Based on measurement data for specimens with nonswollen or swollen first metatarsal segments as shown in Tables 1 and 2, statistical analyses as shown in Fig. 4 and GMA of the first metatarsal segments in non-swollen and swollen morphotypes as shown in Fig. 5; Table 3, we discovered that all fossil specimens with swollen first metatarsal segments are males in contrast to the females and three male specimens of *O. elenae* having non-swollen

| Table 1 🛛 | Aeasurement data for | specimens with r | ion-swollen n | netatarsi | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Q | Taxa Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg.⁄tibia | Length ratio (1 st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/ forewing) |
| 2014032 | Orthophlebia M elenae | 18.93 | 3.70 | 0.26 | 6.06 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| 2014035 | Orthophlebia U elenae | 21.18 | 3.14 | 0.31 | 6.82 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.32 |
| 2014043 | Orthophlebia U elenae | | 2.09 | 0.19 | 3.56 | 0.29 | 60.0 | 0.08 | 0.66 | 0.59 | | |
| 2014060 | Orthophlebia M elenae | 23.80 | 2.72 | 0.31 | | | 0.11 | | | | 0.11 | |
| 2006033L | Orthophlebia M elenae | 11.80 | 2.30 | 0.21 | 3.48 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0.19 | 0.29 |
| 2006033R | Orthophlebia M elenae | | 2.08 | 0.21 | 3.50 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.59 | | |
| PI 11782 | Orthophlebia F extensa | 23.67 | 3.10 | 0.33 | | 0.67 | 0.11 | | 0.49 | | 0.13 | |
| 2014059 | Orthophlebia F extensa | 19.50 | 3.00 | 0.31 | 7.60 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.62 | 0.39 | 0.15 | 0.39 |
| 2006016 | Mesopanorpa U Iuanpin- gensis | 15.60 | 2.90 | 0.27 | 6.35 | 0.40 | 60.0 | 0.06 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0.19 | 0.41 |
| 2006030 | Mesopanorpa U Iuanpin- gensis | 13.70 | 1.94 | 0.18 | 4.14 | 0.35 | 60.0 | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.30 |
| 2014051 | Mesopanorpa U luanpin- gensis | 15.53 | 2.82 | 0.26 | 5.61 | 0.38 | 60.0 | 0.07 | 0.68 | 0.50 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| 2016142 | Mesopanorpa U luanpin- gensis | 19.17 | 3.29 | 0.30 | 5.93 | 0.39 | 60.0 | 0.07 | 0.77 | 0.55 | 0.17 | 0.31 |
| 2016270 | Mesopanorpa F densa | 13.74 | 2.51 | 0.19 | 4.39 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.32 |
| 2016304 | Mesopanorpa U densa | | 3.90 | 0.29 | 7.80 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.63 | 0.50 | | |
| 2016109 | Juraphlebia U eugeniae | 17.01 | 3.50 | 0.28 | | | 0.08 | | | | 0.21 | |
| 2014034 | Juraphlebia U eugeniae | 16.72 | 2.65 | 0.21 | 5.26 | 0.46 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.31 |

/ith . ot data fo Table 1 Meas

| 0 | Таха | Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia | Length ratio (1 st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/ forewing) |
|----------|-------------------------|-----|-------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 2014038 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ш | 17.08 | 3.27 | 0.23 | | 0.60 | 0.07 | | 0.38 | | 0.19 | |
| 2014046 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ц | 16.57 | 3.15 | 0.25 | 6.12 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.37 |
| 2014056 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 18.65 | 2.41 | 0.18 | | | 0.07 | | | | 0.13 | |
| 2014058 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 17.13 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014064 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ц | 18.90 | 3.43 | 0.29 | 6.62 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.18 | 0.35 |
| 2014065 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 17.39 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2016338 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 17.74 | 3.34 | 0.24 | 5.25 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 60.0 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.30 |
| PI 11784 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ш | 18.45 | 3.15 | 0.26 | 5.53 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.76 | 0.57 | 0.17 | 0.30 |
| PI 11783 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | | 3.00 | 0.31 | | | 0.10 | | | | | |
| 2014033 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Л | 19.67 | 2.17 | 0.29 | 3.01 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.11 | 0.15 |

| Table 2 | Measurement | data: | for specime | ens with swolle | n first metatar: | sal segmer | nts | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| | Taxa | Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/forewing) |
| 2006028 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 19.17 | 2.70 | 0.43 | 6.24 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 06.0 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.33 |
| 2014004 | Orthophlebia elenae | \supset | 19.14 | 3.00 | 0.53 | 6.57 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.34 |
| 2014005 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 21.59 | 3.13 | 0.50 | 7.02 | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 1.1.1 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.33 |
| 2014016 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 23.78 | 3.04 | 0.58 | 6.89 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.95 | 0.44 | 0.13 | 0.29 |
| 2016134 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 19.75 | 2.39 | 0.44 | | | 0.18 | | | | 0.12 | |
| 2016212 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 22.90 | 3.20 | 0.52 | 7.10 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 1.06 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.31 |
| 2016224 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 25.43 | 3.73 | 0.56 | 7.80 | 0.60 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.93 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.31 |
| 2016227 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 23.32 | 3.16 | 0.54 | 7.32 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.90 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.31 |
| 2016334 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | | 2.64 | 0.42 | | | 0.16 | | | | | |
| PI 11778 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 18.97 | 2.59 | 0.43 | 6.44 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.96 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.34 |
| PI 11779 | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 19.71 | 2.64 | 0.43 | | 0.47 | 0.16 | | 0.91 | | 0.13 | |
| 2014020L | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 23.25 | 3.27 | 0.56 | 7.24 | 0.63 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.89 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.31 |
| 2014020R | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 23.25 | 3.29 | 0.57 | | 0.68 | 0.17 | | 0.84 | | 0.14 | |
| 2016213L | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | | 3.39 | 0.58 | 7.49 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 0.45 | | |
| 2016213R | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | | 3.34 | 0.51 | 7.56 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 1.04 | 0.44 | | |
| 2016228L | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | | | 0.52 | | | | | | | | |
| 2016228R | Orthophlebia elenae | Σ | 19.98 | 3.11 | 0.50 | 6.45 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.85 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.32 |
| 2006046 | Orthophlebia extensa | Σ | 18.91 | 5.20 | 2.25 | | 0.62 | 0.43 | | 3.63 | | 0.27 | |
| 2009622 | Orthophlebia extensa | Σ | 18.08 | > 5.28 | 2.04 | | | | | | | | |

ĥ /ith -E ç 7

| Table 2 | (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| | Таха | Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/forewing) |
| 2014068 | Orthophlebia extensa | Σ | 18.65 | 5.31 | 2.23 | | | 0.42 | | | | 0.28 | |
| 2016345 | Orthophlebia extensa | Σ | 17.70 | 4.58 | > 1.59 | | | | | | | 0.26 | |
| 2014022L | Orthophlebia extensa | \supset | 18.17 | 4.13 | 1.90 | >2.4 | 0.74 | 0.46 | | 2.57 | | 0.23 | |
| 2014022R | Orthophlebia extensa | \supset | | 4.26 | 2.01 | >2.33 | 0.73 | 0.47 | | 2.75 | | | |
| 2014012 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 18.99 | > 3.3 | 0.94 | | | | | | | | |
| 2016158 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | | 2.63 | 1.05 | | | 0.40 | | | | | |
| 2016222 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 15.15 | 2.70 | 0.87 | 4.75 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 1.78 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.31 |
| 2016229 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 16.84 | > 2.51 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| PI 11785 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 19.13 | 2.90 | 1.12 | | | 0.39 | | | | 0.15 | |
| 2016233 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 18.70 | > 3.36 | 1.08 | | 0.67 | | | 1.61 | | | |
| 2016269 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | | 2.65 | 0.84 | | | 0.32 | | | | | |
| 2016329 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 17.35 | | 06.0 | | | | | | | | |
| 2016348 | Mesopanorpa Iuanpin- gensis | \supset | 16.10 | > 3.35 | 0.95 | | | | | | | | |
| 2014003 | Mesopanorpa densa | Σ | 25.30 | 3.87 | 1.18 | 4.90 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 1.97 | 0.79 | 0.15 | 0.19 |

Zhang et al. BMC Ecol Evo (2021) 21:47

| Table 2 | (continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| | Таха | Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia | Length ratio (1 st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/forewing) |
| 2014024 | Mesopanorpa densa | Σ | 22.10 | > 2.46 | 0.76 | 4.60 | 0.45 | | 0.10 | 1.69 | | | 0.21 |
| 2016211 | Mesopanorpa densa | \supset | | 3.29 | 1.06 | 5.99 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 60.0 | 2.00 | 0.55 | | |
| 2016253 | Mesopanorpa densa | \supset | 17.88 | 3.17 | > 0.51 | 6.58 | 0.47 | | 0.07 | | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.37 |
| 2014010L | Mesopanorpa densa | \supset | 18.33 | 2.70 | 0.82 | 5.26 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 1.34 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| 2014010R | Mesopanorpa densa | \supset | 18.33 | 2.74 | 0.97 | 5.19 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 1.62 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.28 |
| 2014023 | Mesopanorpa densa | Σ | 26.24 | 4.40 | 1.34 | 6.38 | 0.85 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 1.58 | 0.69 | 0.17 | 0.24 |
| 2014021 | Mesopanorpa densa | Σ | 23.20 | 4.02 | 1.23 | | | 0.31 | | | | 0.17 | |
| 2014001 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 18.77 | 3.65 | 1.00 | 6.38 | 0.61 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 1.64 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.34 |
| 2014002 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 18.38 | 3.67 | 1.03 | 7.60 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 2.06 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.41 |
| 2014007 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 17.76 | 3.80 | 1.00 | 5.74 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 2.08 | 0.66 | 0.21 | 0.32 |
| 2014008 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | \supset | 17.40 | 3.70 | 1.08 | 6.33 | 0.68 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 1.59 | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.36 |
| 2014011 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | \supset | 15,80 | | 0.79 | | | | | | | | |
| 2014014 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | \supset | | 3.57 | 1.05 | | | 0.29 | | | | | |
| 2014017 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | | 3.67 | 1.08 | | | 0.29 | | | | | |
| 2014018 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | \supset | 16.05 | 3.39 | 0.85 | 5.44 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 1.52 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 0.34 |
| 2014025 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | \supset | 17.40 | 3.65 | 1.02 | 6.57 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 1.57 | 0.56 | 0.21 | 0.38 |
| 2014027 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 18.77 | 3.64 | 0.95 | 6.08 | 0.60 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 1.58 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 0.32 |
| 2014028 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ⊃ | 18.30 | 3.30 | 0.92 | | 0.57 | 0.28 | | 1.61 | | 0.18 | |

| Table 2 | continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Q | Таха | Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia | Length ratio (1 st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/forewing) |
| 2014054 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ⊃ | 16.05 | 3.02 | 0.86 | 5.47 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 1.72 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.34 |
| 2016215 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 17.86 | 3.88 | 0.96 | 5.60 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 1.71 | 0.69 | 0.22 | 0.31 |
| 2016216 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 19.94 | 3.76 | 1.07 | 6.14 | 0.65 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 1.65 | 0.61 | 0.19 | 0.31 |
| 2016217 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 16.02 | 3.23 | 0.86 | 5.75 | 0.58 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 1.48 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 0.36 |
| 2016218 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | | 3.29 | 0.84 | | | 0.26 | | | | | |
| 2016221 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 15.83 | 3.18 | 0.92 | 5.60 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 1.64 | 0.57 | 0.20 | 0.35 |
| PI 11781 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 16.02 | | 1.02 | | | | | | | | |
| 2014009L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 19.25 | 3.68 | 1.04 | 5.83 | 0.69 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 1.51 | 0.63 | 0.19 | |
| 2014009R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | | 3.51 | 1.01 | 00.9 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 1.55 | 0.59 | | |
| 2014015L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 18.50 | 3.20 | 0.76 | 6.16 | | 0.24 | 0.00 | | 0.52 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| 2014015R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | | 3.40 | 0.84 | 6.25 | 0.54 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 1.56 | 0.54 | | |
| 2014019L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 15.77 | 3.20 | 0.89 | 5.19 | 0.52 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 1.71 | 0.62 | 0.20 | 0.33 |
| 2014019R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | | 3.09 | 0.80 | 5.25 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 1.60 | 0.59 | | |
| 2014026L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 17.80 | 3.21 | 0.86 | 5.60 | | 0.27 | 0.00 | | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.31 |
| 2014026R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | | 3.40 | 0.83 | 5.40 | 0.54 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 1.54 | 0.63 | | |
| 2014029L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 18.16 | 3.65 | 0.72 | 5.30 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 1.60 | 0.69 | 0.20 | 0.29 |
| 2014029R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | | 3.76 | 0.82 | | | 0.22 | | | | | |
| 2014030L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | | 15.85 | 3.21 | 0.91 | 6.01 | | 0.28 | 0.00 | | 0.53 | 0.20 | 0.38 |

Zhang et al. BMC Ecol Evo (2021) 21:47

| ₽ | Taxa | Sex | Forewing length (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. length, L (mm) | Swollen 1st tarsal seg. width, W (mm) | Tibial length (mm) | Tibia Width at distal end (mm) | 1st tarsal seg. ratio (W/L) | Tibia ratio (W/L) | Width ratio (1st tarsal seg./tibia | Length ratio (1 st tarsal seg./tibia) | Length ratio (1st tarsal seg./ forewing) | Length ratio (tibia/forewing) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 2014030R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | ⊐ | | 3.00 | 0.88 | 6.17 | 0.55 | 0.29 | 0.0 | 1.60 | 0.49 | | |
| 2015116L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 18.16 | 3.50 | 0.98 | 6.13 | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 1.63 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.34 |
| 2015116R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | | 3.69 | 0.96 | 6.13 | 0.64 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 1.50 | 0.60 | | |
| PI 11780 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | Σ | 16.24 | > 2.93 | 1.88 | 5.57 | 0.44 | | 0.08 | 4.27 | | | 0.34 |
| 2015108 | Conicholcorpa Ionga sp. nov | X | 20.18 | 3.00 | 0.70 | 6.64 | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.0 | 1.23 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2 (continued)

Zhang et al. BMC Ecol Evo (2021) 21:47



tarsi. We suggest that swollen metatarsi might be related to sexual dimorphism. The GMA results in Fig. 5 clearly indicate the level of swelling for four species of orthophlebiids, i.e., *O. extensa, M. luanpingensis, M. densa* and *J. eugeniae*, not having males with non-swollen first metatarsal segments, is much higher and more significant than the swelling of male *O. elenae*.

These swellings were previously interpreted first as non-pathological structures used for releasing pheromones, detecting vibration or sound, grasping female mates or providing mating gifts [10], and later as "metatarsal organ[s] of unknown function" [11]. The results of our statistical analyses indicate that the extent of swelling is independent of the lengths of tibia and wing (Additional file 1: Figure S6). It is more likely to represent a specialised structure with certain functions for a specific individual, not as a "metatarsal organ" proposed by Willmann and Novokshonov [11].

Phylogenetic relationships between Holcorpidae and Orthophlebiidae

Orthophlebiidae and Holcorpidae are two extinct families of Mecoptera established by Handlirsch and Willmann [17, 18]. The phylogenetic relationship between these two families has been controversially discussed. Willmann proposed that *Holcorpa* may be basal to the clade Panorpidae + Panorpodidae, in a lineage perhaps descended from the Mesozoic "Orthophlebiidae" [18, 19]. Grimaldi and Engel placed Holcorpa as a sister group to Panorpidae + Panorpodidae + Bittacidae [20]. Archibald supported that Holcorpidae arose from the orthophlebiid grade and might be a sister group to Panorpidae + Panorpodidae [21]. Orthophlebiidae is regarded to be a paraphyletic stem group of the Panorpoidea by Archibald et al. [22]. Soszyńska-Maj et al. suggested that Panorpidae and Panorpodidae do not represent the sister taxa, and Panorpidae and Orthophlebiidae form one clade [23]. The conclusion agrees with the two molecular studies on Mecoptera [24, 25]. Based on our analyses, Holcorpidae may be a sister group to Orthophlebiidae. The tarsal swelling phenomenon should be a specialization of this clade rather than a specific monophyletic group of O. longicauda and O. elenae excluding Holcorpa from their proposed clade in Willmann and Novokshonov [11]. Soszyńska-Maj et al. proposed that Orthophlebiidae and Panorpidae derived from common evolutionary lines, different from Panorpodidae and Protorthophlebiidae [23]. Therefore, Holcorpidae and Orthophlebiidae together with Panorpidae might have descended from a common ancestor.

Functional suggestion about swollen metatarsal segments

Specialised structures of the legs, especially femura and tibiae, are always associated with special functions for

| Table 3 | Summary o | f relative Procrustes | distance and | l spline | bending energy | for non-swoller | n and swollen | specimens |
|---------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
|---------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|

| Non-swollen 2014032 Orthophebia elenae 0.04494 0.25617 Non-swollen 2014035 Orthophebia elenae 0.03385 0.316470 Non-swollen 2014060 Orthophebia elenae 0.0252790 0.324300 Non-swollen 20060338 Orthophebia elenae 0.02668 0.08266 Non-swollen 20060338 Orthophebia elenae 0.035385 0.21477 Non-swollen 2014059 Orthophebia elenae 0.03538 0.29472 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.03513 0.2307 Non-swollen 201612 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 201612 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02161 0.03524 Non-swollen 201612 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02161 0.03524 Non-swollen 201620 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02161 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa lensa 0.03763 0.04617 Non-swollen 201620 Mesopanorpa lensa | Metatarsal 1st segment | Specimen ID | Species | (Procrustes dista | ance) ² | Spline bending energy |
|---|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Non-swollen 2014035 Orthophlebia elenae 0.03385 0.316470 Non-swollen 2014060 Orthophlebia elenae 0.03238 0.08051 Non-swollen 20060331. Orthophlebia elenae 0.02668 0.08767 Non-swollen 20060337. Orthophlebia extensa 0.01777 0.04785 Non-swollen 2104059 Orthophlebia extensa 0.0577 0.04785 Non-swollen 2006030 Orthophlebia extensa 0.0585 0.2302 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016070 Mesopanorpa densa 0.0219 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0312 0.03643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0324 0.04171 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae | Non-swollen | 2014032 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.04494 | 0.25617 | |
| Non-swollen 2014043 Orthophlebia elenae 0.03238 0.08051 Non-swollen 20060331 Orthophlebia elenae 0.025790 0.234300 Non-swollen 20060331 Orthophlebia elenae 0.02568 0.0826 Non-swollen 2014059 Orthophlebia extensa 0.01777 0.04785 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0558 0.2302 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0258 0.2302 Non-swollen 2016122 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0258 0.02574 Non-swollen 201627 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02192 0.08519 Non-swollen 201627 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02192 0.08519 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02192 0.08519 Non-swollen 2016304 Juaphlebia eugeniae 0.02360 0.04617 Non-swollen 2014036 Juaphlebia eugeniae 0.03240 0.2144 Non-swollen 2014036 Juaphlebia eugeniae 0.03 | Non-swollen | 2014035 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.03385 | 0.316470 | |
| Non-swollen 2014060 Orthophlebia elenae 0.052790 0.324300 Non-swollen 2006033L Orthophlebia elenae 0.02668 0.0826 Non-swollen 2006033L Orthophlebia elenae 0.01777 0.04785 Non-swollen 2006031 Orthophlebia extensa 0.0558 0.29472 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02581 0.23202 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02681 0.03513 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luapingensis 0.02681 0.03524 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02058 0.29774 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02058 0.02017 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02354 0.04617 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02134 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014036 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02236 0.04617 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae < | Non-swollen | 2014043 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.03238 | 0.08051 | |
| Non-swollen 2006033L Orthophlebia elenae 0.02668 0.0826 Non-swollen P11782 Orthophlebia extensa 0.03338 0.07957 Non-swollen P11782 Orthophlebia extensa 0.03584 0.29472 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luarpingensis 0.0585 0.2302 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luarpingensis 0.02618 0.03513 Non-swollen 201612 Mesopanorpa luarpingensis 0.02618 0.03524 Non-swollen 201627 Mesopanorpa luarpingensis 0.02958 0.02774 Non-swollen 201627 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03266 0.04017 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.04916 0.2134 Non-swollen 2014036 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03241 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014065 Juraphlebia eugeniae | Non-swollen | 2014060 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.052790 | 0.324300 | |
| Non-swollen 2006033R Orthophlebia elenae 0.0333R 0.07957 Non-swollen P11782 Orthophlebia extensa 0.01777 0.04785 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0558 0.29472 Non-swollen 2006030 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0585 0.2302 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.05524 Non-swollen 2016120 Mesopanorpa duapingensis 0.02958 0.02774 Non-swollen 2016324 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02958 0.04617 Non-swollen 2016324 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02958 0.04617 Non-swollen 2016334 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.04617 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05763 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014035 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03247 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03247 | Non-swollen | 2006033L | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.02668 | 0.0826 | |
| Non-swollen PI 11782 Orthophlebia extensa 0.01777 0.04785 Non-swollen 2014059 Orthophlebia extensa 0.0558 0.29472 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0585 0.2302 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02081 0.02774 Non-swollen 2016270 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02786 0.02744 Non-swollen 2016109 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05633 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0214 0.014704 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02232 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03474 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03474 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae | Non-swollen | 2006033R | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.03338 | 0.07957 | |
| Non-swollen 2014059 Orthophlebia extensa 0.0558 0.29472 Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.03513 0.25596 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02051 0.02051 Non-swollen 201627 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02051 0.02051 Non-swollen 201627 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02361 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014045 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0221 0.3066 Non-swollen 2014045 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03172 0.15473 Non-swollen P11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03147 0.15473 Non-swollen P11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06 | Non-swollen | PI 11782 | Orthophlebia extensa | 0.01777 | 0.04785 | |
| Non-swollen 2006016 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.03513 0.25596 Non-swollen 2006030 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0365 0.2302 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0274 Non-swollen 2016170 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02396 0.04617 Non-swollen 201609 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.04916 0.2134 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.49416 0.2134 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0235 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0235 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0235 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02152 0 | Non-swollen | 2014059 | Orthophlebia extensa | 0.0558 | 0.29472 | |
| Non-swollen 2006030 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.0585 0.2302 Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016270 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016192 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02396 0.04617 Non-swollen 2016192 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02396 0.04617 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02134 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014036 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03247 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03247 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1147 | Non-swollen | 2006016 | Mesopanorpa luanpingensis | 0.03513 | 0.25596 | |
| Non-swollen 2014051 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.02061 0.03524 Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02958 0.02774 Non-swollen 2016270 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02563 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.04016 0.2134 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0347 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03215 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03172 0.25493 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03255 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06125 0.25696 Non-swollen 2014064 Orthophlebia elenae 0.01397 0.25296 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 | Non-swollen | 2006030 | Mesopanorpa luanpingensis | 0.0585 | 0.2302 | |
| Non-swollen 2016142 Mesopanorpa luarpingensis 0.02958 0.02774 Non-swollen 2016270 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02396 0.04617 Non-swollen 2016109 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05763 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.04916 0.2134 Non-swollen 2014036 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02326 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03252 0.07408 Non-swollen P11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Non-swollen P11784 Juraphlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15659 0.58123 Swollen 2016124 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15659 | Non-swollen | 2014051 | Mesopanorpa luanpingensis | 0.02061 | 0.03524 | |
| Non-swollen 2016270 Mesopanorpa densa 0.03192 0.08019 Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanorpa densa 0.02396 0.04617 Non-swollen 2016109 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05763 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 0 Non-swollen 2014036 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 0 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03241 0.15473 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03255 0.07408 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Non-swollen P11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.01472 0.44573 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1555 0.54123 Swollen 2016124 Orthophlebia elenae 0.19923< | Non-swollen | 2016142 | Mesopanorpa luanpingensis | 0.02958 | 0.02774 | |
| Non-swollen 2016304 Mesopanora densa 0.02396 0.04617 Non-swollen 2016109 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05763 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014038 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03255 0.07408 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0235 0.07408 Non-swollen P11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05569 0.58 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1555 0.54123 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016212 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 | Non-swollen | 2016270 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.03192 | 0.08019 | |
| Non-swollen 2016109 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.05763 0.05643 Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014038 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03255 0.07408 Non-swollen 2014054 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03255 0.21697 Non-swollen P11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.19923 0.45225 Swollen 2016124 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 <tr< td=""><td>Non-swollen</td><td>2016304</td><td>Mesopanorpa densa</td><td>0.02396</td><td>0.04617</td><td></td></tr<> | Non-swollen | 2016304 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.02396 | 0.04617 | |
| Non-swollen 2014034 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.04916 0.2134 Non-swollen 2014038 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03447 0.15473 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03255 0.07408 Non-swollen P1 11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Non-swollen P1 11784 Juraphlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15055 0.54123 Swollen 2016124 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15055 0.54123 | Non-swollen | 2016109 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.05763 | 0.05643 | |
| Non-swollen 2014038 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0 0 Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03247 0.15473 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.07408 Non-swollen P11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen P11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.9829 0.31304 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15555 0.54123 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1183 0.22143 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11833 0.23143 | Non-swollen | 2014034 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.04916 | 0.2134 | |
| Non-swollen 2014046 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.0223 0.39066 Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03447 0.15473 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.07408 Non-swollen PI 11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06125 0.21697 Non-swollen PI 11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1555 0.54123 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15055 0.54123 Swollen 2016224 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12271 0.39442 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12271 0.30799 | Non-swollen | 2014038 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0 | 0 | |
| Non-swollen 2014056 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03261 0.20964 Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03447 0.15473 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.07408 Non-swollen Pl 11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06125 0.21697 Non-swollen Pl 11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15659 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09923 0.45225 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1992 0.2794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1927 0.39442 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen 2016324 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12446 0.21122 < | Non-swollen | 2014046 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.0223 | 0.39066 | |
| Non-swollen 2014064 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03447 0.15473 Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03225 0.07408 Non-swollen Pl 11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06125 0.21697 Non-swollen Pl 11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1559 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15055 0.54123 Swollen 2016212 Orthophlebia elenae 0.19923 0.45225 Swollen 2016212 Orthophlebia elenae 0.19923 0.45225 Swollen 2016224 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen Pl 11778 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1246 0.21122 | Non-swollen | 2014056 | luraphlebia eugeniae | 0.03261 | 0.20964 | |
| Non-swollen 2016338 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.02325 0.07408 Non-swollen P111783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06125 0.21697 Non-swollen P11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15550 0.54123 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016224 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1927 0.39442 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10275 0.30799 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10363 0.24293 Swollen P111778 Orthophlebia elenae 0.13363 0.24293 S | Non-swollen | 2014064 | luraphlebia eugeniae | 0.03447 | 0.15473 | |
| Non-swollen Pl 11783 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.06125 0.21697 Non-swollen Pl 11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09829 0.31304 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09923 0.45225 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11929 0.22794 Swollen 201622 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11833 0.23143 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1271 0.39442 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12077 0.30799 Swollen Pl 11778 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12047 0.2093 Swollen Pl 11779 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12047 0.60943 | Non-swollen | 2016338 | luraphlebia eugeniae | 0.02325 | 0.07408 | |
| Non-swollen Pl 11784 Juraphlebia eugeniae 0.03397 0.25296 Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09829 0.31304 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09829 0.45225 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09923 0.45225 Swollen 2016212 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1929 0.2794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12271 0.39442 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12271 0.39442 Swollen Pl 11778 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen Pl 11779 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12446 0.21122 Swollen Pl 11779 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12047 0.60943 | Non-swollen | PI 11783 | luraphlebia eugeniae | 0.06125 | 0.21697 | |
| Swollen 2006028 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11472 0.44573 Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09829 0.31304 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09829 0.45225 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09923 0.45225 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11929 0.22794 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.112271 0.39442 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12271 0.39442 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen Pl 11778 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12446 0.21122 Swollen Pl 11779 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12047 0.60943 Swollen 2014020L Orthophlebia elenae 0.1034 0.2826 | Non-swollen | PI 11784 | luraphlebia eugeniae | 0.03397 | 0.25296 | |
| Swollen 2014004 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15569 0.58 Swollen 2014005 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09829 0.31304 Swollen 2014016 Orthophlebia elenae 0.15055 0.54123 Swollen 2016134 Orthophlebia elenae 0.09923 0.45225 Swollen 2016212 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11929 0.22794 Swollen 2016224 Orthophlebia elenae 0.11383 0.23143 Swollen 2016227 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12271 0.39442 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.10575 0.30799 Swollen 2016334 Orthophlebia elenae 0.1246 0.21122 Swollen P11778 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12047 0.60943 Swollen 2014020L Orthophlebia elenae 0.1034 0.2826 Swollen 2014020R Orthophlebia elenae 0.11034 0.2826 | Swollen | 2006028 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.11472 | 0.44573 | |
| Swollen2014005Orthophlebia elenae0.098290.31304Swollen2014016Orthophlebia elenae0.150550.54123Swollen2016134Orthophlebia elenae0.099230.45225Swollen2016212Orthophlebia elenae0.119290.22794Swollen2016224Orthophlebia elenae0.113830.23143Swollen2016227Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenP11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenP11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826 | Swollen | 2014004 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.15569 | 0.58 | |
| Swollen2014016Orthophlebia elenae0.150550.54123Swollen2016134Orthophlebia elenae0.099230.45225Swollen2016212Orthophlebia elenae0.119290.22794Swollen2016224Orthophlebia elenae0.113830.23143Swollen2016227Orthophlebia elenae0.122710.39442Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenP1 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenP1 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.102470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826 | Swollen | 2014005 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.09829 | 0.31304 | |
| Swollen2016134Orthophlebia elenae0.099230.45225Swollen2016212Orthophlebia elenae0.119290.22794Swollen2016224Orthophlebia elenae0.113830.23143Swollen2016227Orthophlebia elenae0.122710.39442Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenPI 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenPI 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.102470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 2014016 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0 1 5 0 5 5 | 0.54123 | |
| Swollen2016212Orthophlebia elenae0.119290.22794Swollen2016224Orthophlebia elenae0.113830.23143Swollen2016227Orthophlebia elenae0.122710.39442Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenPI 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenPI 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 2016134 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.09923 | 0.45225 | |
| Swollen2016224Orthophlebia elenae0.113830.23143Swollen2016227Orthophlebia elenae0.122710.39442Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenPI 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenPI 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 2016212 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.11929 | 0.22794 | |
| Swollen2016227Orthophlebia elenae0.122710.39442Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenPI 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenPI 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 2016224 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.11383 | 0.23143 | |
| Swollen2016334Orthophlebia elenae0.105750.30799SwollenPI 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenPI 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 2016227 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.12271 | 0.39442 | |
| SwollenPl 11778Orthophlebia elenae0.124460.21122SwollenPl 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 2016334 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.10575 | 0.30799 | |
| SwollenPl 11779Orthophlebia elenae0.133630.24293Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | PI 11778 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.12446 | 0.21122 | |
| Swollen2014020LOrthophlebia elenae0.120470.60943Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | PI 11779 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0 1 3 3 6 3 | 0.24293 | |
| Swollen2014020ROrthophlebia elenae0.110340.2826Swollen2016213LOrthophlebia elenae0.114440.25325 | Swollen | 20140201 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.12047 | 0.60943 | |
| Swollen 2016213L Orthophlebia elenae 0.11444 0.25325 | Swollen | 2014020B | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.11034 | 0.2826 | |
| | Swollen | 2016213 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.11444 | 0.25325 | |
| Swollen 2016213B Orthophlebia elenae 0.15175 0.3055 | Swollen | 2016213B | Orthophlebia elenae | 0 15175 | 0 3055 | |
| Swollen 2016228l Orthophlebia elenae 0.10943 0.17148 | Swollen | 2016228 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0 10943 | 0 17148 | |
| Swollen 2016228E Orthophlebia elenae 0.10515 0.11110 Swollen 2016228B Orthophlebia elenae 0.12513 0.24025 | Swollen | 2016228E | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.12513 | 0.24025 | |
| Swollen 2016232 Orthophlebia elenae 0.12764 0.38622 | Swollen | 2016232 | Orthophlebia elenae | 0.12764 | 0.38622 | |
| Swollen 2006046 Orthophlebia extensa 0.28473 1.44194 | Swollen | 2006046 | Orthophlebia extensa | 0.28473 | 1 44194 | |
| Swollen 20096222 Orthophlebia extensa 0.27395 1.45784 | Swollen | 20096222 | Orthophlebia extensa | 0.27395 | 1 45784 | |
| Swollen 2016158 Mesonanorna luanningensis 0.35679 1.27539 | Swollen | 2016158 | Mesonanorna luanningensis | 0.35679 | 1 27539 | |
| Swollen 2016329 Mesopanorpa Juanpingensis 0.35677 1.3663 | Swollen | 2016329 | Mesopanorpa luanpingensis | 0 34577 | 1 3663 | |
| Swollen 2016222 Mesonanorna luanningensis 0.319/7 1.5005 | Swollen | 2016222 | Mesopanorpa luanpingensis | 0.31902 | 0.9822 | |
| Swollen 2016229 Mesopanorpa luanningensis 0.31302 0.3022 Swollen 2016229 Mesopanorpa luanningensis 0.33734 1.30988 | Swollen | 2016229 | Mesopanorpa luanningensis | 0 33734 | 1 30988 | |
| Swollen PL11785 Mesonanorna luanninaensis 0.33459 1.05804 | Swollen | PI 11785 | Mesopanorpa luanningensis | 0 33459 | 1 05804 | |
| Swollen 2016233 Mesopanorpa luanpingensis 0.33253 1.1858 | Swollen | 2016233 | Mesopanorpa luanninaensis | 0.33253 | 1.1858 | |

Table 3 (continued)

| Metatarsal 1st segment | Specimen ID | Species | (Procrustes o | distance) ² | Spline bending energy |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Swollen | 2014003 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.22926 | 0.89847 | |
| Swollen | 2014010L | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.26062 | 1.14765 | |
| Swollen | 2014010R | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.34781 | 1.65662 | |
| Swollen | 2014021 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.18693 | 0.61767 | |
| Swollen | 2014023 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.24431 | 0.8924 | |
| Swollen | 2016221 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.21518 | 1.00841 | |
| Swollen | 2014024 | Mesopanorpa densa | 0.27208 | 1.10139 | |
| Swollen | 2014001 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.19577 | 0.89062 | |
| Swollen | 2014002 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.21672 | 0.87158 | |
| Swollen | 2014007 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.25346 | 1.04426 | |
| Swollen | 2014008 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.23662 | 0.95072 | |
| Swollen | 2014014 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.2738 | 1.02411 | |
| Swollen | 2014017 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.22751 | 0.83356 | |
| Swollen | 2014018 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.17129 | 0.59885 | |
| Swollen | 2014025 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.19211 | 0.66528 | |
| Swollen | 2014027 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.28677 | 1.15687 | |
| Swollen | 2014054 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.29526 | 1.07421 | |
| Swollen | 2014028 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.26493 | 1.16629 | |
| Swollen | 2016215 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.20442 | 0.67271 | |
| Swollen | 2016216 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.23296 | 0.80632 | |
| Swollen | 2016217 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.20412 | 0.58381 | |
| Swollen | 2016211 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.24622 | 0.823 | |
| Swollen | 2014009L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.24013 | 0.97214 | |
| Swollen | 2014009R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.25676 | 1.06644 | |
| Swollen | 2014015L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.17079 | 0.63429 | |
| Swollen | 2014015R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.19465 | 0.68952 | |
| Swollen | 2014019L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.19402 | 0.79919 | |
| Swollen | 2014019R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.23133 | 0.91442 | |
| Swollen | 2014026L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.1952 | 0.79003 | |
| Swollen | 2014026R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.21481 | 0.65204 | |
| Swollen | 2014029L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.18162 | 0.68703 | |
| Swollen | 2014029R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.13321 | 0.40661 | |
| Swollen | 2014030L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.28065 | 1.16283 | |
| Swollen | 2014030R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.26533 | 1.16815 | |
| Swollen | 2015116L | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.21063 | 0.82531 | |
| Swollen | 2015116R | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.20159 | 0.76289 | |
| Swollen | PI 11780 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.27179 | 1.11337 | |
| Swollen | PI 11781 | Juraphlebia eugeniae | 0.2053 | 0.82166 | |
| Swollen | 2015108 | Conicholcorpa longa sp. nov | 0.17963 | 0.75963 | |

insects, such as bees' pollen-carrying legs, some beetle's digging legs, mantises' grasping legs, etc. The pollen basket is localised on the flattened and enlarged tibia of hind legs and is found in some eusocial bees [26]. Praying mantises have rows of spines on the edges of the ventral surface of the forefemur and foretibia [27]. In terms of our specimens, though some setae are found on the swollen basitarsi of scorpionflies (Additional file 1: Figure S4h), they do not seem to be used for predation. Compared with other extant insects, the swollen basitarsi are similar to the dance flies (Empididae of Diptera) with glandular cells in the basitarsi [16]. In addition, some silk production structures in webspinners are located on the forelegs [2] without any exaggerated swellings on the hind legs. However, we cannot find similar opening structures as those of dance flies or webspinners in the first swollen tarsal segment, nor detect the position of the pheromone receptor.

The enlarged structures are all located in the first metatarsal segments of the male specimens. That is why we agree with the previous view that this is a sexually dimorphic feature. Although holcorpids and orthophlebiids have extremely exaggerated male genitalia, the swollen metatarsal segments are likely to serve as an alternative supporting tool to attract potential mating partners. It is well known that extant scorpionflies (Panorpidae and Bittacidae) adopt a mating strategy by providing nuptial gifts to potential mating partners before mating or courtship to increase the likelihood of mating [28]. Orthophlebiidae and Panorpidae share a common origin [23], thus, orthophlebiids might also have the same behaviours of offering nuptial gifts. Panorpa liui offers only prey items rather than salivary secretions as nuptial gift, which is considered to be relatively basal [29]. Male bittacids carry nuptial gifts impaled on the beak or gripped with the hind tarsi while they hang from a perch with their front legs [30]. Orthophlebiids were more likely to carry out the nuptial gifts in a less sophisticated way. Dance flies are also unique in their mating behaviour. Males may successfully 'cheat' the female with inanimate objects such as a willow seed or an empty silk 'balloon' [31, 32]. In the study of dance flies, the size and the ornamentation of the modified fore tarsi of males of Empis jaschhoforum, Daugeron 2011 could play an important role in mate selection [9]. Therefore, we suggest that the function of the swollen first metatarsal segments in holcorpids and orthophlebiids have been associated with nuptial gift behaviour in order to guard the prey and disguise it as a bigger and richer gift waiting for females. This trick might have allowed the orthophlebiids to flourish in the Mid-Mesozoic, but the evolution of such functional extremes may have reduced fitness, resulting in the extinction and extinction of holcorpids and orthophlebiids later.

Conclusions

A new species of the Holcorpidae, *Conicholcorpa longa* Zhang, Shih & Ren sp. nov., represented by a male specimen from the Middle Jurassic Jiulongshan Formation of China, has the swollen first metatarsal segments. In addition, analyses of 86 orthophlebiid fossil specimens indicate that the degree of tarsal swelling within the Orthophlebiidae species possessing swollen first metatarsal segments is species-specific. This report not only adds to the increase of the known diversity of the Mid-Mesozoic scorpionflies, but also enhances our understanding of the relationships between Orthophlebiidae and Holcorpidae, as well as implications for the extant groups including Panorpidae and Panorpodidae in the superfamily Panorpoidea. The tarsal swellings are considered to be sexual dimorphic, potentially associated with sexual display by males and/or camouflage of a "nuptial gift" in the mating process.

Materials and methods

Fossil specimens

All fossil specimens used in this study are from Daohugou village, Shantou Township, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia, Northeastern China. The Daohugou locality is considered to be one of the most important fossil insect sites globally, where a diverse insect fauna has been studied extensively [14, 33, 34].

Eight of the scorpionfly specimens used in this study are housed in the collections of the Inner Mongolia Museum of Natural History (IMMNH), Huhhot, Inner Mongolia, China (Additional file 1). All remaining specimens are categorized by Taiping Gao and housed in the fossil insect collection of the Key Laboratory of Insect Evolution and Environmental Changes, College of Life Sciences and Academy for Multidisciplinary Studies, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China. (CNUB; Dong Ren, Curator). The specimen identification consists of year and number. The environment of the Fossil Museum requires dryness, avoiding abrasion and overtaking.

Photography and light microscopy

The specimens were examined and studied with the use of a Leica M205C stereomicroscope and photographed using a Nikon SMZ 25 stereomicroscope coupled to a Nikon DS-Ri 2 digital camera system under reflected light. Alcohol wetting was used for some specimens to enhance character observation. Line drawings were manually prepared using Adobe Illustrator CC 2020 and Adobe Photoshop CC 2020 graphics software.

Statistical analysis

We measured all specimens and list the data in Tables 1 and 2. The aspect ratio (AR) of the first metatarsal segment (width/length) was used as a proxy for the degree of swelling.

Geometric morphometric analyses (GMA)

GMA are commonly applied to the study of phenetic relationships among extant and fossil insects and their associations with plants [35–38]. Common parameters used in GMA include, e.g., Procrustes distance and Spline bending energy. The Procrustes distance is a measure of the difference between two outlines after the two have been 'superimposed' via translation, scaling and rotation, and is defined as the square root of the sum of 100 sets of the square of the distance between each specific landmark divided by the number of data points. The Spline bending energy is the energy required to bend a sample shape on a thin plate to conform to the reference shape. These indices measure similarity between normalised shapes with homologous landmarks, and the energy required to bend the shape onto a theoretical flat plate.

Digital line drawings were prepared from photographs of all scorpionflies specimens (22 non-swollen and 54 swollen) with complete first metatarsal segments (23 non-swollen and 65 swollen segments, due to inclusion of both left and right legs of one specimen having nonswollen and 11 specimens having swollen segments) and inputted into the tps-UTILS software feature [39]. The first metatarsal segment was defined by two curves (one for each of the left and right sides), each comprising 50 data points (Fig. 6), using tps-DIG; these curves were then converted into landmarks using tps-UTILS [39]. The average of all swollen and non-swollen outlines was calculated using tps-SPLIN and a global least square



(GLS) reference [40]. This average was used to calculate the relative Procrustes distance and relative Spline bending energy of the dataset by comparing 100 landmarks of the studied specimens with those of a reference specimen with a non-swollen first metatarsal segment (i.e., CNU-MEC-NN2014038) (Fig. 6; Table 3). Finally, data for Spline bending energy were plotted against the square of the Procrustes distance. For background information, theory, applications, and details about the use of tps-UTILS and tps-SPLIN to model and visualize deformation, please see Bookstein and Wahba [41, 42].

Abbreviations

GMA: Geometric morphometric analyses; s/s(n): Number of swollen specimens; n: Number of specimens or number of non-swollen specimens; AR: Aspect ratio; ID: Identification; M: Male; F: Female; U: Unknown sex; Seg.: Segment; Sc: Subcostal; R₁: First branch of the radius; Rs: Radial sector; MA: Anterior media ; MP: Posterior media; CuA: Anterior cubitus; CuP: Posterior cubitus; A₁, A₂, A₃: The first to third branch of the anal vein.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12862-021-01771-3.

Additional file 1. Additional Figures S1–S6.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Fernando E. Vega (Agricultural Research Service of U.S.A) for his guidance and advice. We thank Lei Li of the Capital Normal University for his effort in organization and early studies of the specimens. We are grateful to Dr. Chen Wang (Capital Medical University) for the reconstruction picture. We thank the Editorial Board of the *BMC Ecology and Evolution*, in particular, Dr. Alison Cuff, Dr. Alexandria Latto and Dr. Catherine Rice. We express our gratitude to three anonymous reviewers for their critical but valuable reviews of this manuscript. We thank Sheng Li and Yimo Wang of the Capital Normal University for their help in collecting materials. We are grateful to Matthew J. H. Shih for his help and guidance on the use of the GMA software.

Authors' contributions

TPG and DR conceived and designed the study; YJZ, PJMS, JYW, MEM, CKS, DR and TPG performed the analyses; YJZ and TPG prepared photographs and line drawings; PJMS prepared the geometric morphometric analyses; MEM preformed the scanning electron microscopy; YJZ, PJMS, CKS, MEM and TPG wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

D.R. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant nos. 31730087, 41688103 and 32020103006), and Capacity Building for Sci-Tech Innovation-Fundamental Scientific Research Funds (Grant no. 010-20530290089). T.P.G. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 31872277), Project supported by the Fok Ying-Tong Education Foundation for Young Teachers in the Higher Education Institutions of China (171016), and Support Project of High-level Teachers in Beijing Municipal Universities (No. IDHT20180518). The authors declare that there are no competing interests in the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and (its additional information files).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

There are no any permissions were required to perform experiments and analyses on the fossil samples used in this study. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.P.G. (email: tpgao@cnu.edu.cn) or D.R. (email: rendong@mail.cnu.edu.cn)

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ College of Life Sciences and Academy for Multidisciplinary Studies, Capital Normal University, 105 Xisanhuanbeilu, Haidian District, Beijing 100048, China.² Academy for Allied Health Sciences, 1776 Raritan Road, Scotch Plains, NJ 07076, USA.³ Inner Mongolia Museum of Natural History, No.13, South 2nd Ring Road, Saihan District, Hohhot City 010010, Inner Mongolia, China. ⁴ School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University College Cork, Cork T23 TK30, Ireland. ⁵ Department of Paleobiology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20013-7012, USA.

Received: 5 November 2020 Accepted: 26 February 2021 Published online: 20 March 2021

References

- Gullan PJ, Cranston P. The insects: an outline of entomology. 5th ed. UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.
- Büsse S, Büscher TH, Kelly ET, Heepe L, Edgerly JS, Gorb SN. Pressureinduced silk spinning mechanism in webspinners (insecta: Embioptera). Soft Matter. 2019;15:9742–50.
- 3. Edgerly JS, Davilla JA, Schoenfeld N. Silk spinning behavior and domicile construction in webspinners. J Insect Behav. 2002;15:219–42.
- Sutherland TD, Young JH, Sriskantha A, Weisman S, Okada S, Haritos VS. An independently evolved dipteran silk with features common to lepidopteran silks. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2007;37:1036–43.
- Schönitzer K, Lawitzky GA. Phylogenetic study of the antenna cleaner in Formicidae, Mutillidae, and Tiphiidae (Insecta, Hymenoptera). Zoomorphology. 1987;107:273–85.
- Daugeron C, Plant A, Winkler I, Stark A, Baylac M. Extreme male leg polymorphic asymmetry in a new Empidine dance fly (Diptera: Empididae). Biol Lett. 2011;7:11–4.
- Bergsten J, Töyrä A, Nilsson AN. Intraspecific variation and intersexual correlation in secondary sexual characters of three diving beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Biol J Linn Soc. 2001;73:221–32.
- Voigt D, Schuppert JM, Dattinger S, Gorb SN. Sexual dimorphism in the attachment ability of the Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) to rough substrates. J Insect Physiol. 2008;54:765–76.
- Vendl T, Šípek P, Kouklík O, Kratochvíl L. Hidden complexity in the ontogeny of sexual size dimorphism in male-larger beetles. Sci Rep. 2018;8:5871.
- Novokshonov VG. Mysterious organs of Jurassic Orthophlebiidae males (Insecta, Mecoptera) from Karatau. Zool Zhur. 1996;75:1491–5.
- Willmann R, Novokshonov VG. New Mecoptera from the Upper Jurassic of Karatau (Kazachstan) (Insecta, Mecoptera: 'Orthophlebiidae'). Paläontol Z. 1998;72:281–98.
- Gao T, Shih CK, Ren D. Behaviors and interactions of insects in ecosystems of Mid-Mesozoic Northeastern China. Annu Rev Entomol. 2021;66:337–54.
- 13. Huang D-Y. Trace back the origin of recent insect orders-evidence from the Middle Jurassic Daohugou Biota. Sci Found China. 2014;22:34–42.
- Ren D, Shih CK, Gao T, Yao Y, Wang Y. Rhythms of insect evolutionevidence from the Jurassic and Cretaceous in Northern China. New York: Wiley Blackwell; 2019.

- Yang H, Shi CK, Engle MS, Zhao Z, Ren D, Gao T. Early specializations for mimicry and defense in a Jurassic stick insect. Nat Sci Rev. 2021;8:nwaa056.
- Young J, Merritt DJ. The ultrastructure and function of the silk-producing basitarsus in the hilarini (Diptera: Empididae). Arthropod Struct Dev. 2003;32:157–65.
- Handlirsch A. Die fossilen Insekten und die phylogenie der Rezenten Formen: ein Handbuch für paläontologen und Zoologen. Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann; 1906–1908.
- Willmann R. Evolution und phylogenetisches System der Mecoptera (Insecta: Holometabola). Abh Senckb naturforsch Ges. 1989;544:1–153.
- 19. Willmann R. The phylogenetic system of Mecoptera. Syst Entomol. 1987;12:519–24.
- Grimaldi D, Engel MS. Evolution of the insects. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2005.
- Archibald SB. Revision of the scorpionfly family Holcorpidae (Mecoptera), with description of a new species from Early Eocene McAbee, British Columbia, Canada. Ann Soc Entomol Fr. 2010;46:173–82.
- 22. Archibald SB, Mathewes RW, Greenwood DR. The Eocene apex of panorpoid scorpionfly family diversity. J Paleontol. 2013;87:677–95.
- Soszyńska-maj A, Krzemiński W, Kopeć K, Cao YZ, Ren D, Krzemiński W, Kopeć K. New Middle Jurassic fossils shed light on the relationship of recent Panorpoidea (Insecta, Mecoptera). Hist Biol. 2020;32:1081–97.
- Pollmann C, Misof B, Sauer K. Molecular phylogeny of panorpodid scorpionfies: an enigmatic, species-poor family of Mecoptera (Insecta). Org Divers Evol. 2008;8:77–83.
- 25. Whiting MF. Mecoptera is paraphyletic: multiple genes and phylogeny of Mecoptera and Siphonaptera. Zool Scr. 2002;31:93–104.
- 26. Medved V, Huang ZY, Popadić A. *Ubx* promotes corbicular development in *Apis mellifera*. Biol Lett. 2014;10:20131021.
- Brannoch SK, Frank W, Julio R, Klaus-Dieter K, Olivier B, Svenson GJ. Manual of praying mantis morphology, nomenclature, and practices (Insecta, Mantodea). ZooKeys. 2017;696:1–100.
- 28. Wang J, Hua B. A color atlas of the Chinese Mecoptera. Zhengzhou: Henan Science and Technology Press; 2018.
- Ma N, Hua BZ. Structural evidence why males of *Panorpa liui* offer prey rather than salivary mass as their nuptial gift. Acta Zool. 2011;92:398–403.
- 30. John A. Selective mate choice by females of *Harpobittacus Australis* (Mecoptera: Bittacidae). Psyche A J Entomol. 2008;86:213–7.
- Plant AR. *Hilara* Meigen (Diptera: Empididae) in Britain: a provisional synopsis of distribution, habitat preferences and behaviour. Acta Univ Carol Biol. 2014;48:165–96.

- Preston-mafham KG. Courtship and mating in *Empis (Xanthempis)* trigramma Meigen, *E. tessellata* F. and *E. (Polyblepharis) opaca* F. (Diptera: Empididae) and the possible implications of 'cheating' behaviour. J Zool. 1999;247:239–46.
- Lin X, Labandeira CC, Shih CK, Hotton C, Ren D. Life habits and evolutionary biology of new two-winged long-proboscid scorpionflies from mid-Cretaceous Myanmar amber. Nat Commun. 2019;10:1.
- Ren D, Labandeira CC, Santiago-Blay JA, Rasnitsyn AP, Shih CK, Bashkuev A, Amelia VL, Hotton L, Dilcher D. A probable pollination mode before angiosperms: Eurasian, long-proboscid scorpionfies. Science. 2009;326:840–7.
- Bai M, Beute RG, Shih CK, Ren D, Yang X. Septiventeridae, a new and ancestral fossil family of Scarabaeoidea (Insecta: Coleoptera) from the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous Yixian Formation. J Syst Palaeontol. 2013;11:359–74.
- Li L, Shih PJM, Kopylov DS, Li D, Ren D. Geometric morphometric analysis of Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera: Apocrita) with two new Mesozoic taxa from Myanmar and China. J Syst Palaeontol. 2020;18:931–43.
- Lin X, Shih MJH, Labandeira CC, Ren D. New data from the Middle Jurassic of China shed light on the phylogeny and origin of the proboscis in the Mesopsychidae (Insecta: Mecoptera). BMC Evol Biol. 2016;16:1.
- Shih PJM, Li L, Li D, Ren D. Application of geometric morphometric analyses to confirm three new wasps of Evaniidae (Hymenoptera: Evanioidea) from mid-Cretaceous Myanmar amber. Cretac Res. 2019;109:104249.
- Rohlf FJ. Tps-UTIL, File Utility Program, Version 1.38 (Software and Manual) Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook; 2006.
- Rohlf FJ. Tps -SPLIN. Thin-Plate Spline. Version 1.20 (Software and Manual). Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook; 2004.
- Bookstein FL. Principal warps: thin-plate splines and the decomposition of deformations. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal. 1989;11:567–85.
- 42. Wahba G. Spline models for observational data. Philadelphia: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics; 1990.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

