

Research Article

Acute Generalized Peritonitis in a Peripheral Hospital Centre in Benin: Can It Be Managed by a Local General Practitioner?

Semevo Romaric Tobome ¹, Adrien Montcho Hodonou,² Anifa Wahide,¹
Kadiri Alassan Boukari,¹ Moïse Kponou,¹ Christelle Hermione Elvire Bankole,³
and Roberto Caronna ⁴

¹Atacora Departmental Hospital Center, Atacora, Benin

²General Surgery Department, Centre Hospitalier Départemental Universitaire de Parakou, Parakou, Benin

³Centre de Santé Communal de Natitingou, Zone Office Natitingou, Boukoumbé Toucountouna, Natitingou, Benin

⁴Department of Surgical Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Roberto Caronna; roberto.caronna@uniroma1.it

Received 12 January 2021; Revised 5 April 2021; Accepted 12 April 2021; Published 19 April 2021

Academic Editor: Christophoros Foroulis

Copyright © 2021 Semevo Romaric Tobome et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Acute generalized peritonitis in resource-poor countries is still a health challenge due to late diagnosis, surgical delay, and specialists' unavailability. These are the foremost determinants of surgical morbidity and mortality. We report the experience of a peripheral hospital in Benin not equipped with specialized surgeons. **Methods.** This is an observational, retrospective, and descriptive study including patients operated for acute generalized peritonitis at the Atacora Departmental Hospital Centre, Benin, where unfortunately CT scan and intensive care unit are still not available. Most of surgical activities were performed by a general practitioner with previous surgical training (but no surgical specialization). Age, gender, cause of peritonitis, surgical procedures, and postoperative outcome were evaluated. **Results.** Sixty-three patients were included. The mean age was 23.2 years and sex ratio M/F 1.5. The mean surgical delay was 26 hours (range: 6–92 hours). An ileal typhoid perforation was found in 40 patients (63.5%), and 35 of them (87.5%) underwent a primary perforation repair without bowel resection. 73% of surgical procedures were performed by the general practitioner. Morbidity was 34.9% and mortality was 14.3%. The average postoperative hospital stay was 12 days (range: 11–82 days). These results were comparable to those observed in the subgroup of patients (17 cases) operated by the general surgeons (morbidity 32.6%, mortality 13.0%, and average postoperative hospital stay 11 days, range: 1–58 days). **Conclusion.** Acute generalized peritonitis requires urgent management, and it can be effectively carried out, in a context of limited resources, by a general practitioner with surgical skills.

1. Introduction

Due to etiological heterogeneity, acute generalized peritonitis (AGP) remains a frequent abdominal emergency with high lethality. Several factors including patient's conditions, onset, cause, and quality/promptness of treatment significantly affect the outcome [1]. In sub-Saharan Africa, most patients look at modern medical care only after traditional treatment failure. Moreover, lack of financial resources entails delayed hospitalization until evident critical conditions and lack of specialized physicians make the surgical management late or

unfeasible. Most of AGP in this African context are commonly caused by nontraumatic ileal perforations, often of typhoid origin due to the unavailability of clean water.

We report the results of our experience in a peripheral hospital centre in Benin (sub-Saharan Africa), addressing etiological and therapeutic aspects.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was carried out at the Centre Hospitalier Départemental de l'Atacora (CHD-A), Benin. This is a

referral hospital of the northern part of Western Benin (891,528 inhabitants) [2], placed in Natitingou, the Department's main town. Since July 2019, this hospital has undergone a redefinition of its activities becoming a general hospital. Unfortunately, CHD-A does not have the intensive care unit, CT scan, and microbiological laboratory.

This is an observational, retrospective, and descriptive study, with a data collection from March 1st 2018 to November 30th 2019 (21 months). Patients admitted to CHD-A for abdominal pain underwent clinical examination, standard abdominal radiography, and basic laboratory exams (blood count and blood typing).

All patients received a preoperative medical approach, essentially involving hydroelectrolytic imbalance correction, hemodynamic stabilization, empirical broad-spectrum antibiotics infusion, and analgesics.

Patients who died before laparotomy were excluded from this study, while all patients admitted for abdominal pain due to AGP confirmed at laparotomy were included. Age, gender, surgical delay (time between admission to CHD-A and laparotomic incision), ASA score (American Society of Anesthesiologists Score) [3], cause of peritonitis disclosed at laparotomy, type of operation, postoperative outcome, and length of hospital stay were the variables considered. Patient's data were obtained from the surgical and anesthesiological reports. Depending on patients' clinical conditions, a locoregional anesthesia (spinal anesthesia supplemented by general anesthesia with mask) or a general anesthesia with orotracheal intubation was performed before laparotomy.

The majority of laparotomies were carried out by a permanent medical general practitioner graduated since three years, with surgical skills acquired by attending African and European hospitals, but not yet admitted to any surgical specialization. Two general surgeons were occasionally present.

Each patient discharged was systematically reviewed 7–10 days later, and all were monitored for 30 days after discharge. Postoperative complications were recorded according to the Clavien–Dindo classification [4].

Quantitative data were expressed as averages \pm standard deviation and qualitative data as frequency and percentage. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the interval between surgery and last follow-up or death.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Centre Hospitalier Départemental de l'Atacora (CHD-A), Benin (Ref. N. 045/2020), and was conducted following the rules of the Helsinki Declaration of the World Medical Association.

3. Results

3.1. Sixty-Three Patients were Included and Operated for AGP. There were 38 males (60.3%) and 25 females (39.7%), with a sex ratio of 1.5. The mean age of the patients was 23.2 ± 17.7 years (range: 2–75 years). 75% of patients were less than 33 years old (Table 1).

Surgical delay was 26 hours (range: 6–92 hours). Nineteen patients (30.2%) were operated within 24 hours,

TABLE 1: Patients' data.

| Variable | Numbers of patients | % |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 38 | 60.3 |
| Female | 25 | 39.7 |
| Age range (years) | | |
| 2–10 | 17 | 27.0 |
| 10–20 | 16 | 25.4 |
| 20–30 | 11 | 17.4 |
| 30–40 | 8 | 12.7 |
| 40–50 | 4 | 6.4 |
| 50–60 | 3 | 4.7 |
| ≥ 60 | 4 | 6.4 |
| Operating time delay range (hours) | | |
| <24 | 19 | 30.2 |
| 24–48 | 32 | 50.8 |
| 48–72 | 5 | 7.9 |
| Preoperative anemia* | | |
| Yes | 22 | 34.9 |
| No | 41 | 65.1 |
| ASA score | | |
| 3 | 47 | 74.6 |
| 4 | 16 | 25.4 |

*Hemoglobin < 8 g/dL.

TABLE 2: Peritonitis etiology.

| | No. of patients | % |
|--|-----------------|------|
| Ileal perforation | 40 | 63.5 |
| Gastric-duodenal ulcer perforation | 9 | 14.3 |
| Appendicular | 5 | 7.9 |
| Cryptogenetic | 5 | 7.9 |
| Gynecological | 1 | 1.6 |
| Postoperative | 1 | 1.6 |
| Colonic necrosis by volvulus | 1 | 1.6 |
| Ileal necrosis by hernia strangulation | 1 | 1.6 |

and 32 (50.8%) were operated between 24 and 48 hours after admission.

On admission, the hemoglobin level ≤ 8 g/dL was detected in 22 patients (34.9%), and they received pre- or intraoperative blood transfusions. Most patients were in critical clinical conditions (ASA score ≥ 3), but sixteen (25.4%) were in extremely serious conditions (ASA score 4) (Table 1).

AGPs causes are presented in Table 2. There were 40 AGPs (63.5%) by nontraumatic ileal perforations (on the antimesenteric rim with enlarged mesenteric nodes) presumably of typhoid origin.

Anesthesiological and surgical procedures are given in Table 3.

Most laparotomies were performed by the general practitioner (46/63 cases, 73%), while the remaining 17 cases (27.0%) were operated by two general surgeons.

In patients with ileal perforation of presumed typhoid origin, a primary repair without resection/anastomosis was the main performed surgical procedure (55.6%) (Figure 1). All patients received a peritoneal lavage and peritoneal cavity drains: 3 drains in 34 patients (54.0%), 2 drains in 21

TABLE 3: Perioperative and postoperative data.

| | No. of patients | % |
|---|-----------------|------|
| Type of anesthesia | | |
| General anesthesia + orotracheal intubation | 33 | 52.4 |
| Spinal anesthesia + sedation | 30 | 47.6 |
| Main operating procedures | | |
| Simple ileal suture | 35 | 55.6 |
| Antropyloroplasty | 9 | 14.3 |
| Washing drainage | 6 | 9.5 |
| Appendectomy | 5 | 7.9 |
| Ileal resection-ileoileal anastomosis | 4 | 6.3 |
| Wedge ileal resection-ileal suture | 2 | 3.2 |
| Right hemicolectomy-ileocolic anastomosis | 1 | 1.6 |
| Unilateral adnexectomy | 1 | 1.6 |
| Postoperative complications | | |
| Yes | 22 | 34.9 |
| No | 41 | 65.1 |
| Outcome | | |
| Discharge | 54 | 85.7 |
| Deaths | 9 | 14.3 |




FIGURE 1: Typhoid ileal perforation (a) and primary repair (b). Primary repair was often adopted and consists of a single-layer suture with 2–4 large Vicryl 2/0 “U” stitches passed through the seromuscular intestinal layer far from perforation where less inflammatory involvement is evident, without edge excision and achieving a good introflexion.

patients (33.3%), and 1 drain in 8 patients (12.7%). The average operating time was 61 ± 19 minutes (range 45–130 minutes), and the mean drains removal time was 6 ± 2 days (range 1–15 days).

Postoperative outcomes were unremarkable and uncomplicated in 41 patients (65.1%), but we observed several

postoperative complications (morbidity 34.9%), more than one in few patients, as given in Table 4 according to Clavien–Dindo classification.

The average postoperative hospital stay was 12 days (range: 11 hours–82 days), and the overall mortality was 14.3%.

TABLE 4: Distribution of postoperative complications according to Clavien–Dindo.

| | Type of complications | N (%) |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| Grade I | Incisional surgical site infection | 19 (30.1) |
| Grade II | Undernutrition requiring parenteral nutrition | 7 (11.1) |
| Grade III | Fecaloid fistula | 3 (4.8) |
| Grade IVa | Acute pulmonary edema | 1 (1.6) |
| Grade IVb | Septic shock | 4 (6.3) |

TABLE 5: Acute generalized peritonitis etiologies according to sub-Saharan countries.

| Authors | Ileal perforation (%) | Gastric or duodenal perforation (%) | Appendicular (%) |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Sambo et al. (Benin, 2017) [5] | 52.8 | 17.0 | 11.3 |
| Kassegne et al. (Togo, 2013) [6] | 64.2 | 16.7 | 16 |
| Ouangre et al. (Burkina Faso, 2013) [7] | 42.5 | 06.8 | 33.0 |
| Our series | 63.5 | 14.3 | 7.9 |

In the patients subgroup operated by the general surgeons (17/63 cases), morbidity was 32.6%, mortality was 13.0%, and the average postoperative hospital stay was 11 days with range of 1–58 days, comparable to those observed in the general practitioner treated group.

4. Discussion

Diagnosis of AGPs in poor settings is mainly based on physical examination with few diagnostic imaging and laboratory data. With remarkable frequency, AGPs are the most important abdominal surgical emergency at CHD-A, as confirmed by a Northern Benin District Hospital study [5]. The same came up from other sub-Saharan Africa countries, notably Togo, Burkina Faso, and Niger [6–8]. AGPs are often a consequence of the unfavorable evolution of typhoid infection not or badly managed. Primary and secondary prevention could be crucial to decrease AGPs incidence, and a greater commitment from the local authorities is still needed and waited.

Patients with AGP were frequently admitted to CHD-A in critical clinical conditions (ASA score 3 or 4) with a strong prognostic negative impact.

All ages were affected, but young patients (mean age: 23 years, range: 2–40) were the most affected (in our series 82.5%), as reported also by studies carried out in Burkina Faso [7], Togo [6], and the Central African Republic [9] (24, 25, and 23 years, respectively). All these studies confirmed male predominance [5–7, 9].

The most common cause of AGP was nontraumatic ileal perforation of presumed typhoid origin. Indeed, poor hygiene conditions and troubles of access to potable water, especially in rural areas, suggest typhoid origin. Moreover, salmonellosis qualified as a disease of “dirty hands” frequently affects in this context children and adolescents who are effectively the most represented in our series (patients <20 years old account for 40% of all individuals with ileal perforation). Several studies carried out both in Benin sub-regions and in other sub-Saharan countries report the same results (Table 5) [5–7] to confirm the evidence of this major public health problem [10, 11].

Elsewhere, as in Togo and Madagascar, gastric or duodenal ulcer perforations were the most frequent cause of nontraumatic AGP [12, 13], while in Central African Republic, appendicular AGPs were the most common [9]. Other causes, such as trauma, tuberculosis, Crohn’s disease, and tumors, have been also reported by others [14–16] according to the context, environment, and lifestyle of each country.

Divergent views also exist about the best treatment of typhoid ileal perforation. Different surgical procedures have been reported, namely, primary repair, excision and suture, ileostomy only, resection, and ileoileal anastomosis. The choice may depend on various factors including cause of peritonitis, location and perforations’ number, patient’s conditions, and surgeon’s experience.

Mehinto et al. (Cotonou) performed ileal resections followed by immediate terminal ileoileal anastomosis in 94.2% of cases with a morbidity of 21.2% [17]. Ouangre and Kambire (Burkina Faso) preferred ileostomy or excision followed by ileal suture, similar to Sambo (Benin) and Kassegne (Togo) [18].

In our experience, the average number of ileal perforations was 3, and a single perforation occurred in 47.5%. Primary ileal repair was the most common procedure (87.5%) at CHD-A (Figure 1). The attitude of the CHD-A operators towards ileal perforation primary repair is based on the results of a study carried out in Benin peripheral hospital in 2013. The objective of this study was to compare primary ileal suture with the ileal resection in patients with typhoid ileal perforations. The authors showed that primary ileal repair offered more advantages than ileal resection and anastomosis, especially in terms of morbidity [19].

Nonetheless, it is evident that AGP-related morbidity and mortality remains high. Sambo (Benin), Ouangre (Burkina Faso), and Kassegne (Togo) report morbidity of 39.6%, 40.2%, and 59.3%, respectively [5–7], higher than ours (34.9%).

Furthermore, mortality is still unfortunately very high. The rates reported by Doui Doumgha (Central African Republic) (7.5%), Sambo (Benin) (11.3%), Kassegne (Togo) (14.8%), and Ouangre (Burkina Faso) (19%) were,

respectively, lower and higher than in our series (14.3%) [5–7, 9]. Patients' clinical conditions, prompt, or late management with perioperative "resuscitation" and successful surgical treatment are surely crucial for AGP prognosis.

Finally, few comments we would like to do about anesthesia. In AGP patients, anesthesia is frequently performed as general anesthesia with orotracheal intubation. However, almost half of our patients were operated under regional anesthesia (spinal anesthesia) with sedation and mask ventilation. This choice was motivated by the attempt to limit the surgical trauma. As described, AGP diagnosis was always performed clinically (advanced radiological imaging not available) often in critically compromised patients (ASA 3 and ASA 4). Therefore, laparotomy started with a median limited suprapubic/periumbilical incision under regional anesthesia and sedation. When the bowel perforation was confirmed, we extended laparotomy upwards only if unavoidable under general anesthesia with orotracheal intubation.

In conclusion, AGP remains a common and serious abdominal surgical emergency in resource-poor countries. The outcome depends on several prognostic factors, including length of time before hospitalization, patients' conditions at admission, and management quality. For typhoid ileal perforations, primary repair seems to show better results and lower morbidity. Prevention and proper medical management are fundamental actions to decrease AGP incidence, morbidity, and mortality. Wide availability of experienced and qualified physicians/surgeons certainly would ensure a rapid and effective management of such abdominal surgical emergencies. However, we believe that the presence of general practitioners with adequate surgical skills, even without a specialization, can allow reaching acceptable results in disadvantaged contexts.

Abbreviations

CHD-A: Centre Hospitalier Départemental de l'Atacora
 ASA Score: American Society of Anesthesiologists Score
 OS: Overall survival
 AGP: Acute generalized peritonitis.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors upon request and with permission of Atacora Departmental Hospital Centre, Benin, as restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Centre Hospitalier Départemental de l'Atacora (CHD-A), Benin (Ref. No. 045/2020), and was conducted following the rules of the Helsinki Declaration of the World Medical Association.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

All authors participated in the study. TSR came up with the idea for the study and performed most of the surgery and data analysis. TSR and WA collected the data. TSR and BCHE wrote the manuscript. CR finalized the writing of the manuscript. All authors have read and accepted the contents of the article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Sapienza University of Rome and the University of Parakou for their didactic support.

References

- [1] A. A. Malik, K. A. Wani, and L. A. Dar, "Mannheim Peritonitis Index and APACHE II-prediction of outcome in patients with peritonitis," *Turkish Journal of Trauma & Emergency Surgery*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 27–32, 2010.
- [2] Service de la Planification de l'Informatique et de la Recherche en Santé Annuaire des statistiques sanitaires du Département 2018.
- [3] R. D. ParrayWani, A. Lamont, and J. E. Eckenhoff, "The role of anesthesia in surgical mortality," *JAMA*, vol. 178, no. 3, pp. 261–266, 1961.
- [4] D. Dindo, N. Demartines, and P.-A. Clavien, "Classification of surgical complications," *Annals of Surgery*, vol. 240, no. 2, pp. 205–213, 2004.
- [5] B. T. Sambo, S. A. Allodé, D. S. Wekpon, M. A. Hodonou, and B. Dossou, "Prise en charge des péritonites aiguës dans un Hôpital de District en Afrique sub-saharienne: cas du Bénin," *European Scientific Journal*, vol. 13, no. 36, pp. 388–395, 2017.
- [6] I. Kassegne, K. K. Kanassoua, E. V. Sewa et al., "Prise en charge des péritonites aiguës généralisées au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara," *Saranf*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 115–121, 2013.
- [7] E. Ouangre, M. Zida, P. G. Bonkoungou et al., "Les péritonites aiguës généralisées en milieu rural au Burkina Faso: à propos de 221 cas," *Rev. Cames Sante*, vol. 2, pp. 75–79, 2013.
- [8] A. Harissou, A. M. M. Ibrahim, H. Oumarou et al., "Retard diagnostique et implication pronostique en milieu africain. Cas des Urgences en chirurgie digestive à l'Hôpital National de Zinder, Niger," *European Scientific Journal*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 251–262, 2015.
- [9] D. A. Doumgbal. Nghario et al., "Aspects diagnostiques et thérapeutiques des péritonites aiguës généralisées à propos de 214 cas à Bangui," *Revue Africaine de Chirurgie et Spécialités*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 18–24, 2015.
- [10] N. F. Crum, "Current trends in typhoid fever," *Current Gastroenterology Reports*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 279–286, 2003.
- [11] A. Ukwenya, E. Garba, and A. Ahmed, "Progress in management of typhoid perforation," *Annals of African Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 259–265, 2011.
- [12] F. Alassani, B. Tchangai, A. K. Amavi et al., "Aspects étiologiques et thérapeutiques des péritonites aiguës diffuses non traumatiques au CHU Sylvanus Olympio," *Journal de la Recherche Scientifique de l'Université de Lomé*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 395–402, 2017.

- [13] F. A. Rakotomavo, A. M. Riel, R. C. N. Rakotoarison, T. Randrianambinina, and M. J. Randriamiarana, "Péritonite aigüe: aspects épidémiologique et étiologique dans un service des urgences chirurgicales malgache. A propos de 60 cas," *Journal Africain d'Hépatogastroentérologie*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 33–37, 2012.
- [14] A. S. Randrianambinina, F. M. Dossou, and A. M. Hodonou, "Non-traumatic intestinal perforation in the regional hospital Borgou of Benin: epidemiological and therapeutic characteristics," *International Surgery Journal*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1376–1379, 2017.
- [15] M. P. Sétó and V. Bhatia, "Abdominal tuberculosis," *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 120, no. 4, pp. 305–315, 2004.
- [16] J. P. Hu, "Difficulties in diagnosis and treatment of Crohn disease," *Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 301–303, 2013.
- [17] D. K. Mehinto, I. Gandaho, O. Adoukonou et al., "Aspects épidémiologiques, diagnostiques et thérapeutiques des perforations du grêle d'origine typhique en chirurgie viscérale du Centre National Hospitalier et Universitaire-Hubert Koutoucou Maga de Cotonou," *Médecine d'Afrique Noire*, vol. 5711, pp. 535–540, 2010.
- [18] J. L. Kambire, S. Ouedraogo, S. Ouedraogo, and S. S. Traore, "Résultats de la prise en charge des perforations iléales typhiques: à propos de 29 cas à Ouahigouya (Burkina Faso)," *Bulletin de la Société de pathologie exotique*, vol. 110, no. 5, pp. 298–299, 2017.
- [19] R. Ouangre, A. K. Boukari, D. Zaongo et al., "Comparative analysis of primary repair vs resection and anastomosis, with laparostomy, in management of typhoid intestinal perforation: results of a rural hospital in northwestern Benin," *BMC Gastroenterology*, vol. 13, p. 102, 2013.