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## ITMPRODUCTION

For years educators have been concerned about the effect of athletie participation on the scholastie grades of athletes. They have wondered if the number of sports participated in should be limited. such questions as: Is the curriculum too rigid? Is there enough time being spent on the development of athletice? or, is it that the participants don't have the ability to think well. These and countless other questions romain unanswered. All of these things have left questions in the mind of educators as to which has the greater influence upon athletic success, superior physical material or the ability to adjust to new situations?

The curricula is being broadened anually to help meet the needs of the youth in our rapid changing times. The curriculum must be arranged to meet this challenge of the change. Bducators are seeking means, methods, and standards whoreby tomorrow's leaders may be prepared to keop pace with the space orea. If we fail to prepare our young people, we have not completed the task for which we have dedicated ourselves, We as educators mast meet the challenge of the presont age and antioipate that of the future, and by so doing prepare our young people to live useful lives in the present and in times to come auring their entire life time.

The objective of the schools of this age is to prepare the student, mantally, socially, acadomically, and physically for the vocation he may pursue after graduation, The literature reveals that administrators want to provide a program of such nature, that it will allow
pupils to participate in whetever outside of the clasa room aetivities, under achool suyerviaions, they hay desixe. It is with these mentioned racts in mind that have lea the witter in the asrection of this stuag.

## THE PROBLIME

vith the queations and rects mantionea above in mind, the problem is to aetermine whethar thore is any dirforence in the crades of athletes as oompared with the gradea of noneathletes.

## 

The IIterature presents much inforastion to the erfect, that edueators seem to agree with the ganesal publia in thought if not in action, that holds that athletiea are ovoy-amphasized. on the other hand, a Large sogment of the publie supposts competitive athieties prognons because of a dosire for emtertainoent. In vien of this, it is hoped that this study $\mathbf{\text { will }}$ help educators and publise supposters to rom opinions which will be basod on ovidonce sathey than that of prejudice oz self Interest. It 4 s also hoped that this atudy will help those eaucatoss who foel that acadenie work is of prinary importance and in the procosis $\mathrm{wil2}$ woigh tho values of ath2atios in toma of scholantio advancemont. And further, this study might also help orientate those who think that ath2etios aro of pximasy importanee in weapect to acadamic achievee ment. Since there has been constant controvessy about the mersts and Aemerite of athleties, it is elso thooght that this study win1 show whethes the athletes of asstmict 3 MA ase balow, on the leval with, or above the average academic lovel of thely clasamatos, in the aroas eonsiderea.

## LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

This study is confined to the male students who matriciculated. In the high schools making up District 3 AAA of the Interscholastic League of Texas, for the school years, 1959-1961 inclusively; Further, only those subjects which carry one unit crealt per year will be considered. Nextly, attention will be placed only on the number of sports in which the athlete participated wathes than emphesizing any particular sport, In this study comparisons are also made in the areas of mombership in honor societies, attendanee, intelligence quotients, Mnglish, and participation in extracurricular activities not of athletic nature.

## MOEHODOLOGY

This study is based on comparison of athletes and non-athletes In several areas, and they are as follows Gradea, intelligonce quotients, attendance recoris, menbership in honor societies, and participation in other special activities. The data are primarily statistical and at least some amount of variability and error can be expected.

The students' grades in solld courses for the three years of high school are taken from the ifles of each school. An "A" is recorded as a 5, a "B" is recorded as a 4, a "C" is recorded as a 3, a "D" is mecorded as a 2, and a "PY is recorded as a 2 . The numbers were added together and divided by the number of grades received. The quotiont mecelved comprises the student"s index number. The average inder number is also takem for the group of athletes, and non-athletes. The dif
ference in the index number is the basis from which the conclusions are Arame.

Comparison of absences wore given the same consideration as grades. The comparison in the other areas, alroady mentioned, also receive attention in this report.

The athletes are also indexed according to the sport in whidh they participated, football, basketball, baseball, tomnis, or traek. The Index average for eeah sport fom basis for this part of the report. The final conclusions of this study were drawn from the assombled data which have been taken from the hich school files of the 1962 senior boys of Distriet 3 AAA.

There are eight schools comprising Distriet 3 AMA as set up by the Texas Interscholastic League of Prairie Viem A. and M. college. Namely, Carthage, Gladewater, Henderson, Jaeksonville, Kilgore, Luikin, Nacogdoches, and Pittsburg. The schools are loeated in the heart of East Trexas. The greatest aistance sepayating any two sehools in this district is 125 miles.

The general industrial activitios of this area range from farming to ofl production area, Most of the parents of the athletes and non-athletes ineluded in this study are in the low to medium income bracket, with annual salaries renging from approximately two thousand dollars to five thousand dollars.

Sehools are elassified aceording to the number of students in the grades nine through twelve inclusive. Nacogdoches is the only sohool in the district with its Junior High school on another campus.

## DETIMTTION OF TBROIS

AAA CLASTEXCATION. AMA classification means any school having an enzollment of 250 to 325 students in the upper four grades.

Athlete. For the purpose of this study the word "athlete" refers to the boy who has participated in either football, basketball, basoball, tennis, or twack during his high school tenure.

Mon-athlete. Non-athlete refers to the boy who did not participate in one of the five sports at any time during his high school days.

High School In many cases high school includes the last four grades, but in this study it includes oniy the sophomore, junior, and semior years.

Grades. Grades are those marks, $A, B, C, D$, or $F$, that the student received in his solid courses.

Solid Courges. Solid courses mean those subjects that give full eredit per year, or, one-half oredit per semester. This includes, Rnglish, social studies, mathematics, seience, and vocational subjects. It does not include co-curyiculaz subjects such as band and physical Bducation.

Honor Societies. Honor societies include those organizations or clubs that are a part of the cumpiculum and receive as members only those students who have high grades and good charactez.

Other Activities. Other activities refers to those activities that require time other than school time and are not of athletic nature.

Intelligence Quotient. Intelligence quotient is dexived by dividing the student's mental age, as detemined by a standardizod test. by his chronologieal age and then multiplying the quotient by 100. In this study, intelligence quotient refers to the scose made on a standaxdized intelligence test.

Grade Index. Grade index is the average grade received by a student through high school stated in terms of index numbers.

Average. The average in this study was obtained when all of the grades scores had been added together and divided by the total number of athletes, or non-athletes included in this study. The Avers age constitutes the basis for which the conolusions of this study were drawn.

## RELATHID ITTEERATURE

Somers made the latest available stuay on the comparisom of the grades of athletes and non-athletes. The purpose of the gtudy was to compare the academic grades of participants in intramural class team competition at smith College with those of non-participants. In oxder to secure a comparison between the two groups of students as it exist from year to year in normal college situation, it was decided to follow the four-year careers of members of a specific class. The class of 1948 was selected.

She concluded that: Participation in class team competition does not appreciably affect, either adversely or favorably, the aoademic grades of student participants: during any single yeas; nor dusing the cumulative four year period. Students in the upper 9 pas oent of the class who participated in class team competition were slightly superios In academic average than non-participants. Students in the lower per cent of the class who participated in class team competition obtained an academic average equal to that of non-participants. The intramurel participants, in both the upper and lower 9 per cent of the class is more active in additional extracursicular activities than the nonpariticipant. The participants in the intramural sports seem to have a slight edge in their academic achievement.

IMadeline Somers, " A Comparative stuay of Participation in Extracurricular Sports and Academic Grades," Research Quartorly, Loxx (March, 1951), 84-90.

Ray ${ }^{2}$ made a stuady of inter-relationship of physical and mental abilities and achievenent. He found that within the limit of an I. Q. group, this study finds physical ability a more reliable predictor of academic stanaing than is relative I. Q. At the low I. Q. level, some urimeasured quality soems to influence achievement of all sorts in the individual who persist in school attendance.

There are teachers who believe that athletic participation is hammul to scholastic success of students, as shown in a study made by Powers in twenty Galifomia high schools. As reported by Jacobsen ${ }^{2}$, the instructors in these sehools were polled. The results showed that from 60 to 95 per cent believe athleties detrimental to intelluctual offorts, scholarship, memory, concentration, reasoning, and will power.

As has beon stated, some teachers believe participation in athletius is unfavorable to scholastic attainnent. But, to the contrary, Washke $s^{3}$ study of scholastic attainment in intrenurel sports to determine what effeet, if any, intramuxal sports participation had on the scholastic attainment of certain men students at the University of oregon. He Coneluded that:

Itasold C. Ray, "A Study of Inter-relationship of Physical and Montal abilities and Achievements of High School Boys in Palo Alto Public Schools," Regearch Quartomly, IX (Harch, 1930), 121-140.

ZJohn M. Jacobsen, "Athletics and Soholarship in the High School," School Review, X00XI (Apri1, 1931), 280-282.

3paul R. vashice, "A study of Intramural sports partioipation and Scholastic Attainment," Research Quaxtexly, XI (May, 2940), 22-27.


#### Abstract

The figures show that the intramural program, as it is functioning at the University of Oregon at least, has no deliterious effect on the participant?s scholastic attainment.


Further study on this problem will undoubtedly be valuable to the research worker in this field, which there have been few to date.

A more complete study was made by Purdy ${ }^{1}$ of Franklin High
School, Franklin, N. J. The study was made over a ten year period between 1939 and 1948. Purdy found that baseball players had the highest scholastic record of all the athletic groups, and that a higher percentage of athletes go to college than non-athletes.

Purdy drew four conclusions from his study of this high
school, and they are as follows:

> The fact that the grades of the athletes averaged 1.8 percentage points above the non-athletes indicated that participation in athletics is no drawback scholastically, and that sports may actually serve as a stimulant to many who otherwise would neglect their studies. The scholastic eligibility requirement alone constitutes a sharp-edge spur for the athletes. The boy who pariticipates in all four sports is more likely to be a better student than the boy who only participates in one or two. This shows that additional time spent in athletic activity is not a drawback to scholastic achievement. The athlete is more likely to enter college than the nonathlete. Since practically all investigations to the effect of interscholastie athletics on scholarship have shown

[^0]gible results, it would seem to follow that the non-athlete s the looser in experience.

Cook and Thompson ${ }^{2}$ made a stuey of the letter boys and nonletter boys in the Hughes High School, Cincinnati, who were in the class of 1922 to 1926 , inclusive. The general purpose of the study was to ase certain some facts concerning the scholarship and the educational progress of high school athletes.

There was a total of exactly 100 boys who won letters in one or more of the following sports, football, baseball, track, swimming, and tennis. Ninety-one of the byys graduated in 1926, or earlier; the other 9 failed to graduate.

For comparison with the letter boys who graduated, 20, nonletter boys were selected in alphabetical order from each class for the five years indicated. A special group for comparison with the 9 letter boys who did not graduate was secured by pairing each of these boys with a non-letter boy who entered at the same time and remained the same number of semesters.

When a subject was repeated because of failure, the mark received the first time the subject was taken was the only one recorded. It was found that the athletes had both the highest and the lowest grades, but the non-athletes had a slightly higher average than the athletes. The average of the boys in the lettergroup ranged from a 94.0 to 68.4 ; those of the non-letter boys varied from 91.5 to 70.9 .

## $1_{\text {William A. Cook and Mable Thompson, "A Comparison of Letter }}$

Boys ad Non-letter Boys in a City High School," School Review, LxCXV (May, 1928) , 370-358

Whereas in $2932-33$, Eaton and Shannon ${ }^{2}$ made a study of the athletes and non-athletes entering Indiana State Teacherg college of that year. On the day of enrollment in the college each male student was asked to add to the information called for on the registration form filed with the dean of men the answer to the question, "Did you earn a letter in athleties while you were in high school?" From these forms were compiled two lists of names, one of 291 lottermen, and the other of 388 non-lettermen, a total of 679 students.

The purpose of the study was two fold: (1) to compare the high school lettermon with respect to scholastic achievement, and (2) to find the comparative number of high school letter men and non-lettermen who entered college and who also graduated from college.

> of the high school graduates who entered Indiana state Teacher s College and were included in this study, those who had earned letters in high school athletics were somewhat lower in intelligence than the men who had not. The high school athletes were also slightly less successful in college scholarship than the non-athletes. However, their scholarship was higher in proportion to their intelligence than of nonathletes. The coefficients of corre lation between intelligence and college scholarship for both groups of men were similar to those found elsewhere in the United States. The proportion of high school athletes entering college was uniformly higher than that of nonathletes in the same high school graduating classes. This fact may account for the finding that
$1_{\text {Dorothy Eaton and J. R. Shannor, "College Careers of High }}$ School Athletes and Non-athletes," School Review, XLII (May, 1934), $356-361$.

> the group of athletes entering college was somewhat below the other group in average intelligence. The proportion of high school athletes graduating from college was also greater than the similar proportion on nonathletes in the same high school graduating classes both in the case of the two groups that graduated from high school and also in the case of the two groups that entered college.

In the final summary the authors were of the opinion that the active or passive influences exerted by colleges to draw athletes might explain why a larger percentage of high school athletes than non-athletes entered college. To counteract this, the idea was also expressed that colleges actively seek exceptional scholars by means of scholarships, and this factor may also have had its influence.

But findings by Hakensmith ${ }^{2}$ does not support those views. Hakensmith made a comparison of academic and intelligence scores of 322 students at the University of Kentucky. The purpose of the study was to study the relationship of intranuxal participation to the academic grades of the university students. The result of the study suggest that: the freshman participation in intramural athleties does not have a marked effect upon the student's academic grade. Participants in intramuxal athletics as a whole have a higher mean intelligence rating than those who do not participate. Sophomore participants show slightly higher mean academic grade, and that junior and senior intramural par-
${ }^{1}$ C. W. Hakensmith, "A Comparison of Academic and Intelligence Scores of Intramural Participants of the University of Kentucky," Research Quarterly, VIII (March, 1938) , 94-97.
tielpents denonstrate a definite highor meen academic grede than do non-participants of tho same classes.

Finch $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ investigation in 2y41, in which he attempted to roveal any relationship existing between interscholsstio participation and scholestic achievement. Among boys greduating from oniversity wieh School, University, Minnesota, 274 boys were used in the study,

Five sports were included, footbsil, baskatball, baseball, traek, and swimaing. Pive standardized test were used to eampute intelilgence quotionts. These intelligence quotionts along with the quartor grades of the students formed the basis of the study.

The following conclusions were arawn aster careful computation and study was given to the problem.

There is a slight tendency for boys of high intelligence to engago less in intersohool athletios, Boys who play on teans engaging in intersehool athleties contest rooeive grades approximately equal to those recelved by boys of equal mentel ability who are not members of such teans. Boys ongaging in more than one sport receive marks approximately the same as those of non-athletes of equal mental ability. Finaliy, there is no evidence that boys engaging in any particular sport differ markedly in achiovoment from boys ongoging in exy other sport.

[^1]A study made by Jones ${ }^{1}$ with reference to the intelligence of high school athletes and non-athletes. He pointed out that for years the relationship between brain and brawn has led many interesting discussions in respect to the idea that some people consider athletics an activity in which physical strength is the only requirement; while others contend that intelligence is also necessary. Jones arew the Pollowing conclusions: High school athletes are more intelligont than nonathletes. There is a smaller percentage of athletes than non-athletes in the lower intelligence level. A larger per cent of the athletes than of the non-athletes is in normal and superior groups of intelligence. In the very superior and near genius groups the percentage of athletes and non-athletes is approximately the same.

A further study was made by Seeger and Postpichal ${ }^{2}$ on intelligence and certain physical abilities. The purpose of the study was to determine if Philadelphia teachers of physical education found lower levels of achievement in physical activities in the school organized. primarily to care for children of low mentality.

The subjects of the study were 656 boys in two special schools in the city of Philadelphia. A mental score for each boy under consideration was obtained from the offices of Philadelphia schools. Each boy
$\mathbf{I L}_{\text {. }}$ S. Jones, "A Comparison of the Intelligence of Athletes with Non-athletes," School and Society, XLII (September 21, 1935), 416-419

2J. C. Seeger and Otto Postpichal, "Relation Between Intelligence and Certain Aspects of Physical Abilities "Journal of Educational Research, XXX (0ctober, 1936), 104-106.
was given athletic test in the following events: Overhead ball throw; fifty yard dash; standing hop; step and jump; and chinning. The results were recorded.

The results showed the correlations between I. Q. and scores in athletic events were positive, but too low to be of much forecasting usefulness, The I. Q. score correlations were high for the more complicated events. The facts indicated a definite correlation between desirable attributes of intelligence and measured other factors in this study, and the athletic ability tested in the Iive events. Bxighter boys tend to achieve better scores. However, the individual variation is so great, and the forecasting ability is so small that these tendencies should not be given an individual application.

These studies shows that there is no significant difference between the athletes and non-athletes in the areas considered in these studies.

## CHAPTGR III

ANALYSIS OF DATA

The grades of the athletes and non-athletes are of primary importance in this study. Instead of using number averages beginning at " 0 " and expending through " 100 ", or letter averages such as " $A$ ", "B" or " $\mathrm{C}^{*}$, this study uses index averages that can be translated from either the letter system or the number system. Since one schcol uses one type of marking system, and another school uses another type, the index averages are used in this study are more suitablo for the comparisons of the two groups. It may well be repeated that an "A" or number equivalent to an "A" is assigned the index number 5; a "B" or equivalent number Is assigned and index number $4 ; A{ }^{n} C^{t r}$ or equivalent number is assigned an index number of 3 ; a " $D^{\prime \prime}$ or equivalen number is assigned an index number of 2 ; and a "F" or equivalent number is assigned an index number of 1 . The number obtained by taking the arithmetical average of the index number which were computed from the student's grades, represents the student's total index number. This index is computed correct to the nearest thousandth of a point.

The grades come from solid courses thet offer one-hale eredit for a semester's work. English, social studies, vocational subjects, mathematics, foreign language, and science all fall into this catagory. Grades for band, even though it offers full aredit in some cases, are not considered because in some of the schools athletes are not permitted to take band and vice-versa. The subject areas considered are those in which both athletes and non-athletes have an equal opportunity to participate. Plus or minus marks are not taken into consideration.

The index number of each group is the average derived from the total number of students in each group. It is easy to see that if the grades for each school were averaged and then the grades from all of the schools were averaged again, it would not give the desired index average, because each school average had been computed to the nearest thousandth of a point previously. The fact that each school does not have the same number of students would also make an average computed by schools inadequate for this study.

This study is designed to give correct to the thousandth of a point, computed by arithematical computation, the average which seem to give the true image of the average grades of all of the schools included in this study. Since this study is primarily interested in the average grades for the athletes and the average grades for the nonathletes of all of the schools, rather than for each school, it again seem impractical to emphasize the average for the individual schools. The average for each school was computed, this was done only for the purpose of finding the general average for all of the schools and was was given no consideration in this study.

Tables are provided to show all of the comparisons of the athletes, and of the non-athletes included in this study. Each table is explained, and the final average for the athletes and for the nonathletes are pointed out.

All other arears considered in this study are treabed in
a like manner.

Table I gives the index averages of grades for both athletes and the averages for the nor-athletes according to sehools.

## TABLE I

GOMPARISON OF AIL HICH SCHOOL GRADES MADE BY

$$
\text { ATHLETES AND NON-ATHISWES TN DISTHI CT } 3 \text { AAA }
$$

| Number of <br> Athletes | Average of <br> Athletes | Nonber of <br> Sohool | 12 | 3.942 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Average of |
| :---: |

It was found from Table I that the average for athletes is 3.695, and that the average for non-athletes is 3.476 . It can be noted the total number of each group, and the total index average for the athletes and the total average for the non-athletes.

The athletes have a higher average than the non-athletes in Gladewater, Henderson, Kilgore, Nacogdoches, and Lurkin, while the nonathletes lead in the other three schools, those being PIttsburg, Carthage, and Jacksonville. The athletes (Table I) from Henderson regi stered the
highest grades of any group with an index average of 3.976 . If this grade was transferred baek to a regular number or letter grade, it would probably amount to about an 85, or "B".

In the elective subjects, a careful check was made to determine If there was any difference in the type of subjects preferred by each of the groups. With the exception of band, there was no evidence preference. Voeational subjects, music and art were about evenly distributed among the athletes and non-athletes.

The average grede for each of the 247 athlates was taken and then averaged as a group to find the index average. The average grade for all athletes of the 1961-62 senior olass of District 3 AAA was 3.605 . This average is . 12 g of an index point higher than the avarage for the non-athletes. The 107 non-athletes included in this study represents 42.5 per cent of the total number of senior boys who graduated from distriet 3 AAA. high schools in 1962.

The highest non-athlete average was made at carthage, but, since; this included only one boy, the average is not of particular value. The 40 non-athletes from Nacogdoches probably presents the most acceptable grades with an average of 3.594 . As has alroady been mentioned the five non-athletes from pittsburg, and one non-athlete from carthage, and the one non-athlete from Jacksonville are the only three groups that led the athletes in index average.

The above mentioned information indicates that there is very little difference between the actual grades made by athletes and nonathletes who are included in this study, and thet the iittle difference
that does exist is in favor of the athletes.
Grades recelved in Rnglish were the only grades that were compared in an individual subject matter area. With such a wide range of electives it seem impractical to try to compare grades in other subjects. Since Inglish is required throughout high school, it makes an tieal subject for comparison.

The index average for the 1.47 athletes is . 161 of an index point higher then that of the 107 non-athletes as will be seen in Table II.

## TABLE II

## COMPARISON OF HIGK SCHOOL, SNGUISH GRADES MADE

 BY AMEDTISS WITH THOSE MADS BY NON-ATHLSTES OF DISTRICT 3 AAA SGHOOL GRUDUATES OF 1962| Number of | Arerage of <br> Athletes | Number of <br> Athletes | Average of <br> Non-athletes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Son-athletes |  |  |  |

Table II shows that the eleven athletes from Henderson have the highest index average for athletes with an average of 3.985 . The one
non-athlete from Carthage posted a 4.000 average, but of course this average represents only one person, and therefore does not reflect the average of all of the non-athletes. The 44 non-athletes from Nacogdoches vere next with an average of 3.467 .

The index average for the athlates is 3.413 (Table IT), page 20 gives this information. If this avarage was evaluated back to a letter grade it would be about a "C". The index average for the nonathletes is 3. 252, (Table II), page 20, gives this information. If this average was evaluated back to a letter grade it would also be equivalent to a " g ".

For many years various means of testing ones mental ability have been devised. The most common type of testing is the group intelIigence teat which is designed to be used to check at intervals throughout the student's school career. The score used in each case in this stuay is from the latest test that was given to the student during his high school career. In any one school the test may have been made when the students were sophomores, or possibly while they were somiors.

In order for the conclusions to heve real statistical value, it would probably be necessayy for the same type test to be given to all students at the same time. Since this is not possible, the intelligence quotients as they were found, are presented in this study. Due to the fact that some students were absent on the days that the tests were given, and due to new students moving in, the average does not represent the total number of students involved in the study. Pittsburg had no records whatsoever, but the other seven schools had records on
most of their students. The schools wecorded intelligence quotients for 132 of the athletes and 97 of the nom-athletes. The writer is mindeur of the athletes and the non-athletes that are not include.

Table III presents the intelligence quotients as they were found in the different schools. The average is computed corsect to the nearest whole number, and that average is found in the table.

## TABLIE III

COMPARTSON OF INTELITGENCE GUOTE MNS MADS BY ATHLETESS AND NON $A$ ATHLETESS OT DISTRTOT 3 AAA


It can be detomined from the scores in reble III that the average intelligence quotient for athletes is 106. It may also be determined from the data in Table IIX that the average for the non-athletes is 207.

The athletes lead, as far as averages are concorned, in four of the schools, and had the same average as non-athletes in another one of the sehools. The highest average for athletes was that of Nacogioches students who had a mean I. Q. of 111. The average for all of the athletes is 106.

The average for the intelligence scores of the 97 non-athletes is 107. This is a differenee of 1 point in favor of the non-athletes. This mall difference does not justify any conclusions since the intelligence test themselves are not considered to be 200 per cent correct. This indicates that the athletes of Distriet 3 AAA made better marics in relation to their intelligence quatients in the 1961-62 school year, but the difference is not statistically significant.

Securing good attendance is a problem that has plagued some people since the beginning of modern schools. Some pupils are absent through necessity, while others are absent simply because they want to be absent. Work is the excuse most offered for absences among both the athletes, and the non-athletes. Days, or periods out of the class room due to sehool trips such as Interscholastic League Sports, band trips, agriculiture trips, Hi Y organization trips, Home Eeonomic trips, and student council trips are not counted as absences against the strdent since they were school sponsored affairs. This part of the report does not take into consideration, whether the absences were excused, or unexcused.

The absentee records of the 147 athletes and the 107 nonathletes were taken directly from the permanent attendance records of each sehool, and represents the absences for the 1961-62 school year only.

Table IV compares the absentees of the athletes with those of the non-athletes.

## MABLE $V$

## COMPARESON OF THE ABSHICES OF ATHLETES WITH THOS\$ OF NON-ATHLESEES DURUNG THE 1g61-62 SCHOOL YEAR



Table IV reveals that the athletes wese absent on an average of 9 times, and that the non-athletes were absent on an average of 8 times. The athletes were absent about 5.8 per cent of the time and pree sent about 94.2 per cent of the time auring the 1961-62 school year. The schools themselves show very little afference in the average of the athletes and the non-athletes.

The non-athletes were absent about 5.1 per cent of the time, and, (Table IV), present about 94.9 per cont of the time. Again, the
margin is very close which indicates that littie can be assumed in the way of comparison. Seven-tenths of one per cent is the total difference, so it can haxaly be said that one group is far better than the other In attenance.

The data inaicates that the location of the school has very iittle to do with the number of times a student was absent, and the data also indicates that it makes very $11 t t l e$ atfforonce whether the student is an athlete or a non-athlete.

Academie honors are awarded to those who achieve eertain high standards. Usually the rewards consist of medala, or citafions but ocassionally, scholarship to Colleges, or Universities are given as inducements to the achievement of academic success.

The National Honor society is one of those organizations whieh recognizes each year the seniors who have maintained high standards in leadorship, oftizensh1p, scholarship, and service. The student not only has to make high marks, but must possess other admirable characteristies as well, in order to be a momber of the National Honor society. The. teachers evaluate these other characteristies plus academic averages of the student and the result determine whether the student is eligible for admission to the society.

Not all of the schools in District 3 AAA participate in the selection of students for membership in the National Honor society, but each school has some type of local society that recognizes those students of outstanding ability and achievement. The student council. which is found in several of the schools, and the Hall of pame at

Ludkin are two examples of local societies found in District 3 AAA schools.

At the end of each school year there is still another honor which is bestowed upon two members of the graduating class. The Valedictorian, the student having the highest average through out high school, and the salutatorian, the student having the second highest average, are indeed honors. The students who achieve either of these honors had to do so in competition with girls as well as the other boys.

The writer recognizes the fact that there are numerous other societies, and onganizations that has some type of sereening process for the selection of its members. Sumner training instutites, fellowship grants, and others. only those honor societies mentioned above are the ones considered in this study. It is the usual custom to think of the girls receiving most of the honors from the societies. Girls are not included in this stuey, but a careful survey of the records was made, and the greater number of honors were won by the Pemale students.

In spite of the fact that most girls do not utilize as much time in athletic competition as do the athletes, did not conform to the idea of girls receiving all of the honors.

No logical explanation can be given for the lack of honors received by the non-athletes. Again this bears out the literature In that athletes seem to share in more of the honors than do the non-athletes.

Table V 2ists the types of honor society and the number of athletes and non-athletes in each society from each school.

## TABLE V

A COMPARLSON OF THE SPECLAL ACADBNIC HONORS
OF ATHL TRES AND MONATHLETES


From the information in Table V, it can be seen that Gladewaterts athletes headed the list of the National Honor society with 9. Nacogaochest athletes headed the list in the local Honor Society with 21. Henderson's athletes accounted for both the Valedietorian and the salutatomian of that school. The non-athletes dia not lead in any society.

[^2]The athletes of Distriet 3 AAA had a total of 24 students in the National Honor society, 22 , in local societies, and 4 of the athletes were elther Valedictorian, or Salutatorian. The total number of athletes represented in all of the honor soeieties is 40. This means that 27 per cent of the athletes in the 1961-62 graduating class were members of some type of honor society.

Out of the group of non-athletes, 8 were members of a National Honor Society, 7 were members of local societies, and non of the non-athletes had an average high enough to be valedictorian, or salutatorian at his respective school. The 25 non-athletes ree presents the students from that group who were members of an honor society: These 15 students represents 14 per cent of the total number of non-athletes.

The so-called extracurricular activities of school plays an important part in the school's program. The term extracurricular In this study means any school sponsored event that requires extra time on the part of the student. Band, Plays, annual staff, sohool paper, and choral music are the specilic activities chosen for this study. The activities were not chosen on the basis of importance to the school program, but on the basis of the use of extra-class time. of course there are many other activities that take a student's time, but they are usualiy of shorter duration than the ones mentioned above.

Selection of school favorites is another activity in which the popularity and personality of individuals is concemed. School favorites are selected by the stu:
must have faculty approval. The school favorites considered in this study include only those students elected by the entire student body. class favorites are not included.

Table VI presents the number of students of each of the two groups considered who participated in the activities in each school.

## TABLE VI

COMPARISON OF THE GROUPS IN EXTR-CURRICULAR PARTICIPATION OTHER THAN SPORTS AND IN CHOICE OF SCHOOL FAVORITES


Table VI shows a comparison of the athletes and the nonathletes in extracurricular participation other than sports and in the choice of school favorites. Including both athletes and non-athletes, twenty-seven students participated in plays, 31 participated in bend, and 21 were members of the annual staff, 12 were members of the choral group, and 20 were elected school favorites.

The athletes as a group had 22 participants in plays, 9 band participants, 12 annual staff and school paper participants, 6 members in the choral group, and 17 school favorites. This is a total of 49 athletes in activities that require tine outside of school hours.

The group of non-athletes had 5 partieipants in plays, 21 participants in band, 6 annual staff and school paper members, 6 choral participants, and 3 school favorites. In some schools athletes were not allowed to participate in band, so this may account in part for the nonathletes having the larger group in this area.

Comparisons of the athletes and non-athletes shows that about 25 per cent of the athletes, and about 5 per cent of the nonathletes perticipated in plays. This is a difference of 10 per cent in favor of the athletes. Six per cent of the athletes and 15 per cent of the non-athletes participated in band. This is a difference of 9 per cent in favor of the non-athletes. Fight per cent of the athletes and about 5 per cent of the non-athletes participated on the school paper, or annual staff. This is a difference of three per cent in favor of the athletes. Four por cent of the athletes and 5 per cent of the nonathletes participated in choral. The athletes had 1. per cent of their total number elected as school favorites, while the non-athletes had only 3 per cent.

The above information indicated athletes, even though they spend time in athletics, thay also spend more time in other activities than do the non-athletes. It is also noticed that the athletes rate higher in popularity than do the members of the non-athletes ${ }^{\text { }}$ group.

There were 60 senior boys who participated in football in District 3 AMA during the years of 1959-1961 inclusive. This total also represents the largest number of participants in any one sport. Since eleven players are required to constitute a football team, this indicates that there is a large number of participants who are below the senior class.

Table VII column 1, gives the football average for each school, and the average for all schools is found in Table VIII.

## TABLE VII

A COMPARISON OF THE AVERAGES OF E CH
SGHOOL ACCORDING TO THE SPORT

| School | Football | Basketball | Baseball | Track | Tennis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carthage | 3.435 | 4.000 | 3.386 | 3.122 | 3.602 |
| Gladewater | 3.302 | 3.876 | 4.297 | 4.045 | 3.811 |
| Henderson | 4.177 | 3.926 | 3.842 | 3.286 | No Participants |
| Jacksonville | 3.443 | 3.845 | 4.064 | 3.442 | 3.636 |
| Kilgore | 3.847 | 3.096 | 3.307 | 3.150 | 3.728 |
| Lufkin | 4.062 | 3.263 | 3.684 | 3.108 | 3.981 |
| Nacogdoches | 3.222 | 2.989 | 3.496 | 3.618 | 4.252 |
| Pittsburg | 3.306 | 3.444 | 3.132 | 3.501 | No Participants |

It can be noted from Table VII, the senior boys who participated in football from Henderson had the highest index average in all grades with 4.117. The football participants from Lufkin were second highest with an average of 4.062 .

The study reveals that the grades made by football players have no apparent difference from those mede by players of any of the other sports. The fact that the football season is longer than the season for any of the other sports, and requires more time outside of the class room, had no decided effect on the participants.

There were 25 senior boys in the graduating elass of 1962, who participated in basketball during the three seasons of their high school career. This number represents 17.00 g per cent of all of the senior athletes in this study.

The fact that only 5 players are required to constitute a team offers no logical explanation for the small participation. The fact that some of the latest trends in coaching this sport is to use as many underclassmen, as is feasibly possible, probably accounts to a large extent for the small participation in this spoxt by senior boys. Since this is the only indoor sport in this study, and it is played during the winter months of the school term, the small per cent of 17.009 is astonishing for the basketball participation.

The basketball participants from carthage had the highest average with 4.000 . This average has very little significance, since there was only one senior participant in basketball from that school.

Henderson was second highest in average, with an average of 3.926 . This, too; does not indicate any significant difference in sverage since there was only one basketball participant from that school. Table VII, column 2, page 31, gives the basketball averages for each scool. The averages of 3.556 for all of the schools is found
in Table VIII.

## TABLE VIII

## A COMPARISON OF THE AVERAGES

ACCORDING TO SPORI

| Name of Sport | Average of Sport |
| :---: | :---: |
| Football | 3.609 |
| Basketball | 3.556 |
| Baseball | 3.676 |
| Track | 3.490 |
| Tennis | 3.835 |

The basketball average, as in the averages previously discussed, show no appreciable difference from those of any other sport.

There were 59 senior boys who participated in baseball in District 3 AMA during the $1959-1961$ school yers inclusive. This total represents the second lergest number of participants in any one sport. Since it takes 9 players to constitute a baseball team, this indicated that juniors, and sophomores are used in many instances in order to field a team. Baseball season arrives near the end of the school year, which may account for the lack of participation by senior boys.

The senior boys who participated in basebal from Gladewater had the highest index average in all grades with 4.297 . The Jacksonville students were second highest with an average of 4.064 .

Table VII, column 3, page 31, gives the baseball averages for District 3 MAA schools, and the averages for all of the school or 3.676 , for the same sport is found in Table VIII on page 33.

The track participents had the lowest grades with an average for all of the schools of $3 \cdot 490$. There were 50 seniors who competed in track, which means about 34 per cent of the athletes were out for track. The track participants from Gledewater had the highest grades with an average of 4.045 . The above mentioned tables on pages 31 and 33 , supplies the averages for the grades of the track participants.
only 6 schools in District 3 AAA ontered players in tennis in the Interscholastic League competition. Those were; Gladewater, Kilgore, Nacogdoches, Carthage, Jacksonville, and Iufkin. Lufkin had three boys who advanced to the innals in the 1962 state Tennis Tournament at Prairie View $A_{0}$ and $M$. College. The tennis players from Nacogdoches had the highest average of the 6 schools represented with an average of 4.252 . The average of 3.835 for all of the schools made by tennis players was the highest avorage made in any of the sports. There were only 16 , or approximately 10 per cent of the athletes, who participated in tennis. This small number is probably due to the fact that it takes only 1 or 2 players to constitute a team.

Tables VII and VIII, on pages 31, and 33 respectively, also; have the same type of information regarding tennis players as Is shown for the participents in the other sports.

The stimilus for this part of the study came from the discussion of whether it is best for a boy to participate in more than one sport.

Some contends that a boy who participates in sports all yaar, will neglect his studies to such en extent that his grades will be lowered. The data as found in this study indicates that the boys, in District 3 AAA, who participated in only one sport made the lawest grades out of the group of athiotes.

Among the 8 schools of this study, the boys from Henderson Who participated in only one sport had the best grades with an average of 3.538 .

Table IX lists the averages by schoolse
TABLE IX

> GRADES AVERAGES OF PARITCIFANIS IN ONE, TWO, THREES, OR FOUR SPORTS

| School | Participants in one sport | Participants in two sports | participants Participants <br> In three Sparts four sports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carthage | 3.814 | 3.998 | 4.356 |
| Gladewater | 3.151 | 4.122 | 4.458 ( 4.557 |
| Henderson | 4.050 | 3.983 | $3.768 \quad 4.577$ |
| Jacksonville | 3.038 | 3.432 | 4.479 3.847 |
| Kilgore | 3.539 | 3.656 | 3.762 None |
| Luflein | 3.332 | 3.624 | $3.538 \quad 3.347$ |
| Nacogatoches | 3.647 | 3.643 | 3.183 None |
| Pittsburg | 3.079 | 2.933 | 2.695 2.227 |

There were 45 boys who participated in 2 of the 5 sports. These athletes had the highest grades with an index average of 3.679 .

Among the schools included in this study, the boys who partieipated in 2 sports from Gladewater, had the highest grades with an average of 4.122. The two sport participants from carthage were second,
with an average of 3.998.
There were 22 senior boys out of the 147 athletes, who participated in 3 of the four sports, and their average was 3.562 , which ranks third. This is only 0.117 of an index point behind the first ranking participators.

The three sport participators from Jacksonville made the highest grades with an avarage of 4.479 .

Table X gives the number of athletes and the number of sports in which they participated.

## TABLE X

AVERAGMS FOR PARTICIPANTS IN ONE, THO, THREE, OR FOUR SPORIS

|  | Number of | Sports | Number of <br> Partiaipants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One Sport | 60 | Average |  |
| Two Sports | 45 | 3.538 |  |
|  | Three sports | 22 | 3.679 |
| Four Sports | 15 | 3.562 |  |
|  |  |  | 3.645 |

Tables $I X$, and $X$ above gives the information concerning the boys who participated in 3 sports.

The 15 boys who participated in 4 sports ranked second in acsdemic standing with an average of 3.645 . Roughly, this represents approximately 10 per cent of the total number of participants. The
participants Irom Gladewater had an average of $4 \cdot 557$, this is the highest average among the school in this study.

The data collected in this study seem to indicate that it makes very little difference as to how many sports an individual participates.

## CHAPTER IV

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The sumnary of the previous chapters and the conclusions that may be drawn from the information received is the primary concern of this chapter.

It is recognized that the findings of this study will not hold true in every community for several reasons. Pirst, changes come with time and it is not probable that findings revealed in this study will be true ten, five, or even one year from now. second, it is not probable that the teachers in the different schools teach or record grades alike. There is always the chance that a teacher may favor or disfavor the athlete, or non-athlete when the grades are recorded. A certain amount of umbrage, and the human element is always present, which usualiy causes a lack of objectivity in the averages. Third, the economic status of the oil field schools and the oil ifeld people is somewhat different from other communities. This may cause the findings to vary from what might be found elsewhere. Fourth, the curriculum offered varies from school to school. Where the larger city school may stress college preparatory subjects, the small town school may stress vocational subjects. While in many cases the opposite may be true. Naturally the type of subject, and the interest created in class has much to do with the grades that a student achieves. These things vary somewhat from one school to another, and as enrollment increases the ratio of athletes to non-athletes may change.
some of the findings of this study which looms as important
are:

1. Very little data are available in this area of study, that was obtained about 25 years ago. The most recent available information preceeding this report was computed in New Jersey, in 1949.
2. The number of senior athletes in District 3 AAA high schools in 1962 exceeded the number of nom-athletes by 40 . The total number of athletes was 147, and the total number of non-athletes was 107, showing that in this district, competitive sports afford opportunities to a large percentage of the students.
3. The social and economic background of the students In the oil field schools is of a wide and varied range.
4. Some of the schools of District 3 AAA receives money from the school tax levied on real estate with veluations made high by the presence of oil and are thus able to spend more money on co-curricular activities in cluding athletios than would otherwise be the case.
5. In District 3 AAA schools, the average of the grades for the 1962 senior athletes are slightly above the grades for the 1962 senior non-athletos. The athletes had an average of 3.605 , and the non-athletes had an average of 3.476 . Statistically speaking, the difference is not significant.
6. In the only single subject comparison of the study which was English, the athletes made slightly better grades than did
non-athletes. The athletes index average for English was 3.413, and the average for the non-athletes was 3.252. Statistically, the difference is the same as in the previous area.
7. Records were available for 132 of the athletes in the area of intelligence quotients, and records were available for 97 of the non-athletes. The average intelligence quotient of lot which the non-athletes had is slightly higher than the average of 106 which the athletes had.
8. The senior athletes of District 3 AAA were absent from school an average of 9 times during the 1961-62 school year, and the non-athletes were absent an average of 8 times. on an average each athlete was absent one more day than each non-athlete.
9. Not only did the athletes make better grades, but also they had a larger percentage of students who were elected to honor societies, both on the national, and the local level. Tables I and II bears out the statement made above.
10. Out of all the seniors of district 3 AAA , including the girls, the athletes had one valedictorian and three salutatorians, while the non-athletes did not earn any of these honors.

11 The athletes had a larger percentage of students who engaged in activities other than sports, such as plays, annual staff, and the school paper, than did the non-athletes.
12. The athletes had a larger percentage of students who were elected school favorites than did the non-athletes. The athletes had 17 student body favorites out of 1.47 students, and the
non-athletes had 3 favorites out of 107 students.
13. About 50 per cent of the occupations, in which the parents engaged, dealt directly with the oil field, this reveals no noticeable difference in relation to the type of work performed by the parents of athletes and the parents of the non-athletes.
14. The study indicates that the kind of sport in which the athlete participated, had no apparent affect on the grades made by the athletes in the solid courses.
25. The study bears out the iiterature in that athletes seemingly rank a bit higher than the non-athletes. However, this ifgure is so small, it has no particular significance.

In view of the findings, the writer suggest the following reccommendations:

1. To ascertain what courses, if any, in which the athletes make their lowest grades.
2. To determine what effect, if any, athletics have on the physical and emotional development of participants.
3. To determine the effect participation in one, two, three, or more sports have on individual acaddmic success.
4. Longitudinal studies over the entire high school career of athletes and follow-up after graduation.
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