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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is first to investigate the stability of the zero solution to a new Liénard type equation with multiple variable delays by two different methods. The methods to be used in the proofs involve the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach and the fixed point technique under an exponentially weighted metric, respectively. We make a comparison between the applications of these methods with the established conditions on the same stability problems. Then, we obtain three new results for uniformly stability and boundedness/ uniformly boundedness of the solutions to the considered equation by the LyapunovKrasovskiĭ functional approach. An example is given to verify the results obtained by the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach. Our results complement and improve some recent ones in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction

In the past years, many researchers claimed that the fixed point theory has an important advantage over the Lyapunov's direct method. Because, while the Lyapunov 's direct method usually requires pointwise conditions, fixed point theory needs average conditions, (see Burton (2005), Burton (2006) and Burton and Furumochi (2001)). In 2001, Burton and Furumochi (2001) observed some difficulties that occur in studying the stability theory of ordinary and functional differential equations by the Lyapunov's second (direct) method. Rather than invent new modifications of the standard Lyapunov function(al) method to
overcome the difficulties, the authors demonstrate by various examples that the contraction mapping principle can do the magic in many circumstances. It should be noted that, by using the fixed point theory, Burton (2001) considered the Liénard type equation with constant delay, $L(>0)$ :

$$
\ddot{x}+f(t, x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}+b(t) g(x(t-L))=0 .
$$

The author obtained conditions for each solution $x(t)$ to satisfy $\left(x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \rightarrow(0,0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. After that, Pi (2011) investigated the stability of functional Liénard type equation with variable delay

$$
\ddot{x}+f(t, x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}+b(t) g(x(t-\tau(t)))=0 .
$$

By the fixed point theory, under an exponentially weighted metric, the author gave proper interesting sufficient conditions for the stability and asymptotically stability of the zero solution.

Meanwhile, Tunç and Biçer (2014) considered the Liénard type equation with multiple variable delays

$$
\ddot{x}+f(t, x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right)=0 .
$$

The authors studied the stability of the zero solution of this equation by the fixed point technique under an exponentially weighted metric.

Further, by means of the Lyapunov's function or functional approach, Korkmaz and Tunç (2015), Tunç (2010), Tunç (2011a), Tunç (2011b), Tunç (2013a), Tunç (2013b), Tunç (2014), and Tunç and Yazgan (2013) discussed some problems on the stability, boundedness, uniform-boundedness and existence of periodic solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations of second order without and with delay.

In this paper, we consider the following Liénard type equation with multiple variable delays:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+a_{0}(t) f(t, x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}+a_{1}(t) f_{1}(\dot{x})+a_{2}(t) g(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right)=p(t), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}, \quad \mathfrak{R}^{+}=[0, \infty), \quad a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{j}: \mathfrak{R}^{+} \rightarrow(0, \infty) \quad$ are continuous functions, $f: \mathfrak{R}^{+} \times \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{+}, f_{1}, g, g_{j}: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}, f_{1}(0)=0, \quad g(0)=0, g_{j}(0)=0, \quad p: \mathfrak{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, and $\tau_{j}: \mathfrak{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{+}$are all continuous functions with $t-\tau_{j}(t) \geq 0$.

We can write equation (1) in the system form as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}=y \\
y^{\prime}=-a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y) y-a_{1}(t) f_{1}(y)-a_{2}(t) g(x) \\
-\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) g_{j}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} g_{j}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s+p(t) . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The continuity of $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{j}, f, f_{1}, g, g_{j}$ and $\tau_{j}$ is a sufficient condition for existence of the solution of equation (1). Further, it is assumed as basic that $f, f_{1}, g$ and $g_{j}$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition. Hence, the uniqueness of solutions of equation (1) is guaranteed. Finally, we assume that the derivatives $a_{2}^{\prime}(t), b_{j}^{\prime}(t), \tau_{j}^{\prime}(t), \frac{d f_{1}}{d x^{\prime}} \equiv f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\frac{d g_{j}}{d x} \equiv g_{j}^{\prime}(x)$ exist and are continuous, and throughout the paper $x(t)$ and $x^{\prime}(t)$ are abbreviated as $\mathcal{X}$ and $x^{\prime}$, respectively.

Define

$$
F_{1}(y)= \begin{cases}\frac{f_{1}(y)}{y}, & y \neq 0 \\ f_{1}^{\prime}(0), & y=0 .\end{cases}
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider the general non-autonomous delay differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=G\left(t, x_{t}\right), \quad x_{t}=x(t+\theta),-r \leq \theta \leq 0, t \geq 0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G:[0, \infty) \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{n}$ is a continuous mapping, $G(t, 0)=0$, and we suppose that $G$ takes closed bounded sets into bounded sets of $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$. Here $(C,\|\cdot\|)$ is the Banach space of continuous function $\phi:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{n}$ with supremum norm; $r>0, C_{H}$ is the open $H$-ball in $C ; C_{H}:=\left\{\phi \in C\left([-r, 0], \mathfrak{R}^{n}\right):\|\phi\|<H\right\}$. Standard existence theory, see Burton [2], shows that if $\phi \in C_{H}$ and $t \geq 0$, then there is at least one continuous solution $x\left(t, t_{0}, \phi\right)$ such that on $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\alpha\right)$ satisfying equation (3) for $t>t_{0}, \quad x_{t}(t, \phi)=\phi$ and $\alpha$ is a positive constant. If there is a closed subset $B \subset C_{H}$ such that the solution remains in $B$, then $\alpha=\infty$. Further, the symbol $|\cdot|$ will denote a convenient norm in $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$ with $|x|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right|$. Let us assume that $C(t)=\left\{\phi:[t-\alpha, t] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^{n} \mid \phi\right.$ is continuous $\}$ and $\phi_{t}$ denotes the $\phi$ in the particular $C(t)$, and that $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|=\max _{t-\alpha \leq s \leq t}|\phi(t)|$. It can be seen that equation (1) is a particular case of (3).

Definition 1. [Burton (2006)]
$1^{0}$ ) A continuous positive definite function $W: \mathfrak{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a wedge.
$2^{0}$ ) A continuous function $W:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $W(0)=0, W(s)>0$ if $s>0$, and $W$ strictly increasing is a wedge. (We denote wedges by $W$ or $W_{i}$, where $i$ is an integer.)

Definition 2. [Burton (2006)]

Let $D$ be an open set in $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$ with $0 \in D$. A function $V:[0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called positive definite if $V(t, 0)=0$ and if there is a wedge $W_{1}$ with $V(t, x) \geq W_{1}(|x|)$, and is called decrescent if there is a wedge $W_{2}$ with $V(t, x) \leq W_{2}(|x|)$.

Theorem 1. [Burton (2006)]

Let $V\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ be a differentiable scalar functional defined when $x:[\alpha, t] \rightarrow \Re^{n}$ is continuous and bounded by some $D \leq \infty$. If
(A1) $V(t, 0)=0, W_{1}(|x(t)|) \leq V\left(t, x_{t}\right), \quad$ (where $W_{1}(r)$ is a wedge),
(A2) $\dot{V}\left(t, x_{t}\right) \leq 0$.
Then, the zero solution of equation (3) is stable.

Theorem 2. [Burton (2006)]
Assume that there exists a Lyapunov-Krasovskiì functional for (3) and wedges satisfying;
(B1) $W_{1}(|\varphi(0)|) \leq V(t, \varphi) \leq W_{2}(\|\varphi\|)$, (where $W_{1}(r)$ and $W_{2}(r)$ are wedges), (B2) $\dot{V}(t, \varphi) \leq 0$.

Then, the zero solution of equation (3) is uniformly stable.

Theorem 3. [Yoshizawa (1966)]

Suppose that there exists a continuous Lyapunov-Krasovskiì functional $V(t, \varphi)$ defined for all $t \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}$and $\varphi \in S^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, which satisfies the following conditions;
(C1) $\quad a(|\varphi(0)|) \leq V(t, \varphi) \leq b_{1}(|\varphi(0)|)+b_{2}(\| \varphi \mid)$,
where $a(r), b_{1}(r), b_{2}(r) \in C I,(C I$ denotes the families of continuous increasing functions), and are positive for $r>H$ and $a(r)-b_{2}(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, (C2) $\dot{V}(t, \varphi) \leq 0$.

Then, the solutions of equation (3) are uniformly bounded.

## 3. Stability and boundedness by the Lyapunov-Krasovskiì functional approach

First, we prove three new theorems by the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach (see Krasovskiĭ (1963)).

Let $p(t) \equiv 0$ in equation (1).
The first main result of this paper is the following theorem.

## Theorem 4.

We assume that there exist positive constants $L, L_{j}$ and a continuous function $a(t)$ such that the following conditions hold:
(D1) $t-\tau_{j}(t)$ is strictly increasing, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)=\infty$;
(D2) $a_{2},\left(a_{2} \geq 1\right)$, and $b_{j}$ are positive and decreasing functions and $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ are positive and increasing functions such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y)+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y) \geq a(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\left(b_{j}(t)+1\right) \tau_{j}(t) \geq 0, \\
& b_{j}(t) \leq 1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

(D3) $f_{1}(0)=0, y f_{1}(y) \geq 0, g(0)=0, x g(x)>0,(x \neq 0),\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq L$,

$$
g_{j}(0)=0, x g_{j}(x)>0,(x \neq 0),\left|g_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq L_{j},(j=1,2, \ldots, n) .
$$

Then, the zero solution of equation (1) is stable.

## Proof:

Define the Lyapunov-Krasovskiì functional $V=V(t)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g_{j}(s) d s+a_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+1\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \int_{-\tau_{j}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s\right\}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ are positive constants which will be determined later and $e(t)$ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$ and where $|e().| \in L^{1}(0, \infty), L^{1}(0, \infty)$ is space of Lebesgue integrable functions, that is, $\int_{0}^{\infty}|e(s)| d s<\infty$, say $\int_{0}^{\infty}|e(s)| d s=K, K \in \mathfrak{R}, K>0$. Then, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{\infty}|e(s)| d s\right) \times\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g_{j}(s) d s+a_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s\right. \\
\left.\quad+\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \int_{-\tau_{j}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s\right\} \leq V .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, in view of assumptions of Theorem 4, it may be seen that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \exp (-2 K)\left(L x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq V\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \exp (-2 K) L_{0}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq V\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}\right)
$$

where $L_{0}=\min \{1, L\}$. Thus, one can easily show that assumption (A1) of Theorem 1 holds, that is, $V(t, 0)=0, V\left(t, x_{t}\right) \geq W_{1}(|x(t)|)$.

Calculating the time derivative of the Lyapunov-Krasovskiì functional $V$ along system (2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}= & -2|e(t)| \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \\
& \times\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g_{j}(s) d s+a_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \int_{-\tau_{j}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s\right\} \\
& -\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times\left\{a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y)+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \tau_{j}(t)\right\} y^{2} \\
& +\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g_{j}(s) d s+a_{2}^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s\right. \\
& +\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \\
& \times\left\{y \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} g_{j}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}\left\{1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right\} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumptions of Theorem 4 and the estimate $2|a b| \leq a^{2}+b^{2}$, it follows that

$$
a_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s \geq \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s>0
$$

so that

$$
-2|e(t)| \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times a_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s \leq \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s<0
$$

since $a_{2},\left(a_{2} \geq 1\right), g(0)=0, x g(x)>0,(x \neq 0)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{j}(t) y \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} g_{j}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s & \leq \frac{b_{j}(t)}{2} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t}\left|g_{j}^{\prime}(x(s))\right|\left(y^{2}(t)+y^{2}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{b_{j}(t)}{2} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} L_{j}\left(y^{2}(t)+y^{2}(s)\right) d s \\
& =\frac{L_{j} b_{j}(t) \tau_{j}(t)}{2} y^{2}(t)+\frac{L_{j} b_{j}(t)}{2} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in view of the assumptions of Theorem 4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{V} \leq-\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times\left\{a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y)+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \tau_{j}(t)\right\} y^{2} \\
&+\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times\left\{y \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} g_{j}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}\left\{1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right\} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s\right\} \\
& \leq-\left\{a(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \tau_{j}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} b_{j}(t) \tau_{j}(t)\right\} y^{2} \times \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \times \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left[L_{j} b_{j}(t)-2 \lambda_{j}\left(1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right] \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda_{j}=\frac{L_{j}}{2}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} \leq & -\left\{a(t)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\left(b_{j}(t)+1\right) \tau_{j}(t)\right\} \times \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) y^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\{L_{j}\left[b_{j}(t)-\left(1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right] \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s\right\} \times \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by the assumptions of Theorem 4. This estimate completes the proof of Theorem 4 (see Theorem 1).

Let $p(t) \equiv 0$ in equation (1).
The second main result of this paper is the following theorem.

## Theorem 5.

We assume that assumptions $(D 1)-(D 3)$ of Theorem 4 hold. Then, the zero solution of equation (1) is uniformly stable.

## Proof:

In the light of the assumptions of Theorem 5, it can be easily completed the proof. Therefore, we omit the details of the proof (see Theorem 2).

The third main result of this paper is the following theorem.
Let $p(t) \neq 0$ in equation (1).

## Theorem 6.

We assume that assumptions $(D 1)-(D 3)$ of Theorem 4 and the following assumption hold:

$$
(D 4)|p(t)| \leq q(t)
$$

where $q \in L^{1}(0, \infty), L^{1}(0, \infty)$ is space of Lebesgue integrable functions. Then there exists a positive constant $K$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of equation (1) defined by the initial function

$$
x(t)=\varphi(t), x^{\prime}(t)=\varphi^{\prime}(t), t_{0}-\tau \leq t \leq t_{0}
$$

satisfies the estimates

$$
|x(t)| \leq K,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K,
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}-\tau, t_{0}\right], \mathfrak{R}\right)$.

## Proof:

Since $|e().| \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$, we can assume that

$$
\exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{\infty}|e(s)| d s\right)=K_{1}, \text { where } K_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}, K_{1}>0
$$

Then, it is clear to see that

$$
V \geq K_{1}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g_{j}(s) d s+a_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{x} g(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+1+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \int_{-\tau_{j}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s\right\} .
$$

In the light of the assumptions of Theorem 6, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} & \leq p(t) \exp \left(-2 \int_{0}^{t}|e(s)| d s\right) y \\
& \leq|y||q(t)| \\
& \leq|q(t)|+K_{2}|q(t)| V
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{2}=2 K_{1}^{-1}$.
Integrating the last estimate from O to $t,(t \geq 0)$, and using the Gronwall inequality, we can conclude that all solutions of equation (1) are bounded.

## Remark

If the assumptions of Theorem 6 hold, then by Theorem 3, we conclude that all solutions of equation (1) are uniformly bounded (see Theorem 3).

## Example

As a special case of equation (1), we consider the following nonlinear differential equation of second order with two variable delays, $\tau_{1}(t)=\frac{t}{4}, \tau_{2}(t)=\frac{t}{2}, t \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}+(1+\exp (t))\left(3+\frac{t}{2}+x^{2}+x^{\prime 2}\right) x^{\prime}+\left(1+t^{2}\right) x^{\prime}+(2+\exp (-t))\left(x^{3}+x\right) \\
+\left(1+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\right) x\left(\frac{3 t}{4}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\right) x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We write this equation in system form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}= & y, \\
y^{\prime}= & -(1+\exp (t))\left(3+\frac{t}{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}\right) y-\left(1+t^{2}\right) y-(2+\exp (-t))\left(x^{3}+x\right) \\
& -\left(1+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\right) x+\left(1+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\right) \int_{\frac{3 t}{4}}^{t} y(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\right) x+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}\right) \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} y(s) d s+\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{2}} .
$$

When we compare this system with system (2), it can be seen the existence of the following relations:
(E1) $t-\tau_{1}(t)=\frac{3 t}{4}, t-\tau_{2}(t)=\frac{t}{2}, t>0$,
$t-\tau_{1}(t)$ and $t-\tau_{2}(t)$ are strictly increasing functions,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t-\tau_{1}(t)\right)=\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t-\tau_{2}(t)\right)=\infty ;
$$

(E2) $a_{0}(t)=1+\exp (t), t>0, a_{0}$ is a positive and increasing function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t, x, y)=3 & +\frac{t}{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}, \\
a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y) & =(1+\exp (t))\left(3+\frac{t}{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
& \geq 2\left(3+\frac{t}{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \geq 6+t
\end{aligned}
$$

(E3) $a_{1}(t)=1+t^{2}, \quad t>0, a_{1}$ is a positive and increasing function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(y)=y, a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y)=\left(1+t^{2}\right) \frac{f_{1}(y)}{y}=\left(1+t^{2}\right) \frac{y}{y}=1+t^{2}, \\
& a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y)+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y) \geq t^{2}+t+7=a(t) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(E4) $\left.a_{2}(t)=2+\exp (-t)\right), t>0, a_{2}$ is a positive and decreasing function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}(t)=1+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}, \quad b_{1} \text { is a positive and decreasing function, and } \\
& b_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}, \quad b_{2} \text { is a positive and decreasing function; } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} L_{j}\left(b_{j}(t)+1\right) \tau_{j}(t) & =\frac{1}{2} L_{1}\left(b_{1}(t)+1\right) \tau_{1}(t)+\frac{1}{2} L_{2}\left(b_{2}(t)+1\right) \tau_{2}(t) \\
& =\left(1+\frac{1}{2 t^{2}+2}\right) \frac{t}{4}+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2 t^{2}+2}\right) \frac{t}{2} \\
& =\frac{5 t}{8}+\frac{3 t}{8 t^{2}+8}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

(E5) $g(x)=x^{3}+x, g(0)=0, x g(x)=x^{4}+x^{2}>0,(x \neq 0)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}+1,\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq 1=L, \\
g_{1}(x)=g_{2}(x)=x, g_{1}(0)=g_{2}(0)=0, \\
x g_{1}(x)=x^{2}>0, x g_{2}(x)=x^{2}>0,(x \neq 0), \\
g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=g_{2}^{\prime}(x)=1,\left|g_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq L_{j}=1,(j=1,2) ; \\
\text { (E6) } a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y)+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y) \geq a(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\left(b_{j}(t)+1\right) \tau_{j}(t) \geq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

that is,

$$
t^{2}+t+7 \geq a(t) \geq \frac{5 t}{8}+\frac{3 t}{8 t^{2}+8} \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

where the choice of the function $a(t)$ can be performed easily;
(E7) $p(t)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{2}},|p(t)|=\left|\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1+t^{2}}=q(t)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+s^{2}} d s=\frac{\pi}{2}<\infty .
$$

Finally, in view of the former choice of the functions for the special case of equation (1) and a proper and suitable choice of function $e(t)$, we can also reach the results of Theorems 1-3 by means of the Lyapunov-Krasovskiì functional $V$. We omit here the details of the mathematical operations. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 4 and 5 and Theorem 6 hold, when $p(t)=0$ and $p(t) \neq 0$, respectively. The above discussion implies that the zero solution of the above equation is stable and uniformly stable when $p(t) \equiv 0$ and all solutions of the same equation are bounded and uniformly bounded when $p(t) \neq 0$.

## 4. Stability by the fixed point theory

Finally, we prove a new result by the fixed point theory. Let $p(t) \equiv 0$ in equation (1). We can write equation (1) in the system form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=y, \\
& \dot{y}=-f(t, x, y) y-a_{1}(t) f_{1}(y)-a_{2}(t) g(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

For each $t_{0} \geq 0$, we define $m\left(t_{0}\right)=\inf \left\{s-\tau_{1}(s), \ldots, s-\tau_{n}(s): s \geq t_{0}\right\}$ and $C\left(t_{0}\right)=$ $C\left(\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right], R\right)$ with the continuous function norm $\|\cdot\|$, where

$$
\|\psi\|=\sup \left\{|\psi(s)|: m\left(t_{0}\right) \leq s \leq t_{0}\right\} .
$$

It will cause no confusion even if we use $\|\phi\|$ as the supremum on $\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right)$. It can be seen from [2] that for a given continuous function $\phi$ and a number $y_{0}$, there exists a solution of system (5) on an interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right)$, and, if the solution remains bounded, then $T=\infty$.

We introduce some basic assumptions:
(A) Let $t-\tau_{j}(t)$ is strictly increasing and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)=\infty$. The inverse of $t-\tau_{j}(t)$ exists, denoted by $P_{j}(t), \quad 0 \leq a_{2}(t) \leq M_{0}$, and $0 \leq b_{j}(t) \leq M_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $M=\max \left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}\right\}$. Hence, $0 \leq b_{j}(t) \leq M$.

Now, instead of the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach, we use the fixed point technique under an exponentially weighted metric to discuss the stability of zero solution of equation (1).

Before giving our fourth main result, we introduce some auxiliary results.

## Lemma 1.

Let $\psi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a given continuous function. If $(x(t), y(t))$ is the solution of system (5) on $\left[t_{0}, T_{1}\right)$ satisfying $x(t)=\psi(t), t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right]$ and $y\left(t_{0}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$, then $x(t)$ is the solution of the following integral equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \psi\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(s) d s}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} B(u) d u \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} D(u) g(x(u)) d u+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(u, s) g(x(s)) d s \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{u} E(u, s) g(x(s)) d s\right] e^{-\int_{0}^{t} K(s) d s} K(s) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u)\left[x(u)-g_{j}(x(u))\right] d u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s-\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} K(s) d s} \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s\right] e^{-\int K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0} t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{j}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s\right] e^{--\frac{j}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, if the continuous function $x(t)=\psi(t), t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right]$ is the solution of equation (1) on $\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$, then $(x(t), y(t))$ is the solution of system (5) on $\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$.

## Proof:

Let $f(t, x(t), y(t))+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y(t))=A(t)$. Since we assume that $p(t) \equiv 0$, then equation (1) can be written as the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=y, \\
& \dot{y}=-A(t) y-a_{2}(t) g(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}+A(t) y+a_{2}(t) g(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t) g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right)=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (8) by $e^{\int_{10}^{\prime} A(s) d s}$ and then integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(t)=y\left(t_{0}\right) e^{\substack{-\frac{1}{t_{0}}(s) d s}}-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\substack{-f(A(s) d s \\
u}} a_{2}(u) g(x(u)) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}(t)=\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\int_{0}^{t} t_{0}(s) d s}-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\iint_{u(s) d s}^{t}} a_{2}(u) g(x(u)) d u \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\iint^{t} u(s) d s} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(u) g_{j}\left(x\left(u-\tau_{j}(u)\right)\right) d u . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose $\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left(-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} A(s) d s\right)=B(t)$, then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t)= & B(t)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{t}{-\int(s) d s}} a_{2}(u) g(x(u)) d u \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int \frac{t}{-t}(s) d s} b_{j}(u) g_{j}\left(x\left(u-\tau_{j}(u)\right)\right) d u, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

by (9). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-\iint_{i(s) d s}^{t}} a_{2}(u)=C(t, u), \\
& \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} C\left(u+t-t_{0}, t\right) d u=D(t) \geq 0, \\
& \int_{t_{0}+t-s}^{\infty} C\left(u+s-t_{0}, s\right) d u=E(t, s) \geq 0, \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{e^{-j} u(s) d s} b_{j}(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}(t, u), \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} C_{j}\left(u+t-t_{0}, t\right) d u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}(t), \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{D_{j}(t)}{1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{D}_{j}(t), \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{D}_{j}\left(p_{j}(t)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}+t-s}^{\infty} C_{j}\left(u+s-t_{0}, s\right) d u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}(t, s) \geq 0 .
$$

In view of the mentioned estimates, it can be written from (10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}(t)= B(t)-g(x(t)) \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} C\left(u+t-t_{0}, t\right) d u+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) g(x(s)) d s \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} C_{j}\left(u+t-t_{0}, t\right) d u \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
&= B(t)-g(x(t)) D(t)+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) g(x(s)) d s \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right) D_{j}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
&= B(t)-g(x(t)) D(t)+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) g(x(s)) d s \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{D}_{j}\left(p_{j}(t)\right) g_{j}(x(t))+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \tilde{D}_{j}\left(p_{j}(s)\right) g_{j}(x(s)) d s \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right) d s\right. \\
&= B(t)-g(x(t)) D(t)+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) g(x(s)) d s \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}(t) x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}(t)\left[x(t)-g_{j}(x(t))\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s . \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}(t)=K(t)$ and $\sup _{t \geq 0} D(t) \leq \sup \hat{D}_{j \geq 0}(t)$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t)+K(t) x(t)= & B(t)-g(x(t)) D(t)+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) g(x(s)) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}(t)\left[x(t)-g_{j}(x(t))\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (11) by $\exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(s) d s\right)$ and then integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=\psi\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{t}{t_{0}} K(s) d s}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int K K(s) d s} B(u) d u-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{t}{-}{ }^{-t} K(s) d s} D(u) g(x(u)) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{t}{u} K(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u)\left[x(u)-g_{j}(x(u))\right] d u \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\frac{t}{u} K(s) d s}\left[\frac{d}{d u} \int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) g(x(s)) d s\right] d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{-t} K(s) d s}\left[\frac{d}{d u} \int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s\right] d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int-\int K(s) d s}\left[\frac{d}{d u} \int_{t_{0}}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s\right] d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the integration by parts formula for the last three terms, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=\psi\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\int_{0}^{\prime} K(s) d s}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u} K(s) d s} B(u) d u-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} D(u) g(x(u)) d u \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(u, s) g(x(s)) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{u} E(u, s) g(x(s)) d s\right] e^{-\frac{t}{-f} K(s) d s} K(s) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{--\frac{t}{-} K(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u)\left[x(u)-g_{j}(x(u))\right] d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s-\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(s) d s} \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(x(s)) d s\right] e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) g_{j}\left(x\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s\right] e^{-\int-\int K(s) d s} K(u) d u .
$$

Conversely, we assume that a continuous function $x(t)=\psi(t)$ for $t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right]$ and satisfies the integral equation on $t \in\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Then, it is differentiable on $\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Hence, it is only needed to differentiate the integral equation. When we differentiate the integral equation, we can conclude the desired result.

Let $(C,\|\cdot\|)$ be the Banach space of bounded continuous functions on $\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right)$ with the supremum norm $\|\phi\|=\sup \left\{|\phi(t)|: t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right)\right\}$ for $\phi \in C$. Let $\rho$ denote the supremum metric and $\rho\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left\|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right\|$, where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in C$. Next, let $\psi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right] \rightarrow \Re$ be a given continuous initial function.

Define the set $S \subset C$ by:

$$
S=\left\{\phi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \mid \phi \in C, \phi(t)=\psi(t), t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

and its subset

$$
S^{\prime}=\left\{\phi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \mid \phi \in C, \phi(t)=\psi(t), t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right] \text { and }|\phi(t)| \leq l, t \geq m\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\psi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right] \rightarrow[-l, l]$ is a given initial function, $l$ is a positive constant. Define the mapping $P: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ by

$$
(P \phi)(t)=\psi(t), \quad \text { if } t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right],
$$

and if $t>t_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P \phi)(t)= & \psi\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\int_{0}^{t} K(s) d s}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{i^{\prime}} K(s) d s} B(u) d u \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\iint_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} D(u) g(\phi(u)) d u+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(u, s) g(\phi(s)) d s \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) g(\phi(s)) d s\right] e^{-\iint^{t} K(s) d s} K(s) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int \frac{t}{u}(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u)\left[\phi(u)-g_{j}(\phi(u))\right] d u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(\phi(s)) d s-\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\frac{j}{t_{0}} K(s) d s} \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(\psi(s)) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}(\phi(s)) d s-\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} \int\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(\phi(s)) d s\right] e^{-\int \frac{t}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) g_{j}\left(\phi\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right) d s\right] e^{-\int K(s) d s} K(u) d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g(x)$ and $g_{j}(x)$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition, let $L_{0}, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}, L_{0} \leq L_{j}$, denote the common Lipschitz constants for $g(x), g_{j}(x)$ and $x-g_{j}(x)$.

It is also clear that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\iint^{t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u=\left.e^{-\iint^{t} K(s) d s}\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t}=1-e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(s) d s} \approx 1 \text { for large } t .
$$

But, since $g(x)$ and $g_{j}(x)$ are non-linear, then $L_{0}$ and $L_{j}$ may not be small enough. Hence, $P$ may not be a contracting mapping. We can solve this problem by giving an exponentially weight metric via the next lemma.

## Lemma 2.

We suppose that there exist a constant $l>0$ such that $g(x)$ and $g_{j}(x)$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition on $[-l, l]$. Then there exists a metric on $S^{\prime}$ such that
( $F 1$ ) the metric space $\left(S^{\prime}, d\right)$ is complete,
( $F 2$ 2) $P$ is a contraction mapping on $\left(S^{\prime}, d\right)$ if $P$ maps $S^{\prime}$ into itself.

## Proof:

(F1) We change the supremum norm to an exponentially weighted norm $|\phi|_{h}$, which is defined on $S^{\prime}$. Let $X$ be the space of all continuous functions $\phi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right) \rightarrow \Re$ such that

$$
|\phi|_{h}=\sup _{n}\left\{|\phi(t)| e^{-h(t)}: t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right)\right\}<\infty,
$$

where $h(t)=k L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}[\hat{D}(s)+D(s)] d s+k \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\hat{D}_{j}(s)+D_{j}(s)\right] d s, \quad k$ is a constant, $L_{0}$ and $L_{j}$ are the common Lipschitz constants for $g(x), x-g_{j}(x)$ and $g_{j}(x)$. Then $\left(X,|\cdot|_{h}\right)$ is a Banach space. Thus $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space with $d(\phi, \varphi)=|\phi-\varphi|_{h}$, where $\phi, \varphi \in S$. Under this metric, the space $S^{\prime}$ is a closed subset of $X$. Thus, the metric space ( $S^{\prime}, d$ ) is complete.
(F2) Let $P: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$. It is clear that $D(t) \geq 0, E(t, s) \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}(t) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}(t, s) \geq 0$.
Then, for $\phi, \varphi \in S^{\prime}$, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |(P \phi)(t)-(P \varphi)(t)| e^{-h(t)} \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} D(u)|g(\phi(u))-g(\varphi(u))| e^{-h(t)} d u \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(u, s)|g(\phi(u))-g(\varphi(u))| e^{-h(t)} d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s)|g(\phi(s))-g(\varphi(s))| e^{-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\frac{t}{-J(s) d s}} K(s) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\substack{-f \\
u}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} E_{j}^{t}(t, s)\left|g_{j}(\phi(s))-g_{j}(\varphi(s))\right| e^{-h(t)} d s \\
& +\sum_{j=l_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s)\left|g_{j}(\phi(s))-g_{j}(\varphi(s))\right| e^{-h(t)} d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s\left|g_{j}(\phi(s))-g_{j}(\varphi(s))\right| e^{-h(t)} d s\right] e^{--\frac{-}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} \iint_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(u, s)\left|g_{j}\left(\phi\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right)-g_{j}\left(\varphi\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)\right)\right| e^{-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\frac{f}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $u \leq t$, since $D(t) \geq 0$ and $D_{j}(t) \geq 0$, we have

$$
h(u)-h(t)=-k L_{0} \int_{u}^{t}[\hat{D}(s)+D(s)] d s-k \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{u}^{t}\left[\hat{D}_{j}(s)+D_{j}(s)\right] d s
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq-k L_{0} \int_{u}^{t} D(s) d s, \\
h(u)-h(t)=-k L_{0} \int_{u}^{t} \hat{D}(s) d s, \\
h(u)-h(t) \leq-k \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{u}^{t} D_{j}(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u)-h(t) & =-k L_{0} \int_{u}^{t}[\hat{D}(s)+D(s)] d s-k \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{u}^{t}\left[\hat{D}_{j}(s)+D_{j}(s)\right] d s \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-k) L_{j} \int_{u}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further for $s \leq t$, it can be seen that

$$
h\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)-h(t) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-k) L_{j} \int_{s}^{t} D_{j}(u) d u .
$$

Since $E_{j}(t, s) \geq 0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}(t, s) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}+t-s}^{\infty} C_{j}\left(u+s-t_{0}, s\right) d u \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} C_{j}\left(u+s-t_{0}, s\right) d u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(P \phi)(t)-(P \varphi)(t)| e^{-h(t)} \leq & |\phi-\varphi|_{h} \times\left\{L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-k L_{0} \int_{u}^{t} D(s) d s} D(u) d u\right. \\
& +L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s \\
& \left.+L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\iint^{t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u\right] \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{--\frac{t}{u} K(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u) e^{h(u)-h(t)} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(t)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\int \frac{t}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) e^{h\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\int \frac{t}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in view of the above discussion, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s \leq L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} D(s) e^{-k L_{0} \int_{u}^{t} D(s) d s} d s \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\
& L_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} D(u) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d u \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\
& \left.L_{0} \int_{t_{0} t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u\right] \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{j} K(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u) e^{h(u)-h(t)} d u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u) e^{h(u)-h(t)} d u \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{u}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} k L_{j} \int_{u}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s} d u \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(k L_{j}+1\right) \int_{u}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u) d u \\
& \leq\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(k L_{j}+1\right)} e^{\left.-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(k L_{j}+1\right)\right)_{u}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s}\right|_{t_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} k L_{j}} \leq \frac{1}{k} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} D_{j}(s) e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-k) L_{j} \int_{s}^{t} D_{j}(s) d s} d s \\
\leq\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} k L_{j}} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} k L_{j} \int_{u}^{t} D_{j}(s) d s}\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t} \leq \frac{1}{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, it can be easily obtained that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(t)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) e^{h(s)-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\int \frac{t}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \leq \frac{1}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \iint_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) e^{h\left(s-\tau_{j}(s)\right)-h(t)} d s\right] e^{-\frac{t}{-t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \leq \frac{1}{k} .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
|(P \phi)(t)-(P \varphi)(t)| e^{-h(t)} \leq \frac{8}{k}|\phi-\varphi|_{h}, \quad t>t_{0}
$$

For $t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right],(P \phi)(t)=(P \varphi)(t)=\theta(t)$. Thus,

$$
d(P \phi, P \varphi) \leq \frac{8}{k} d(\phi-\varphi),(k>8)
$$

Therefore, $P$ is contraction mapping on $\left(S^{\prime}, d\right)$.
The fourth and last main result of this paper is the following theorem.

## Theorem 7.

We suppose that the assumption (A) holds. Moreover, we assume the following:
(G1) There exists a positive constant $l$ such that $g$ and $g_{j}$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition on $[-l, l]$ and $g$ and $g_{j}$ are odd and they are strictly increasing on $[-l, l]$, and $x-g(x)$ and $x-g_{j}(x)$ are non-decreasing on $[-l, l]$;
(G2) There exist an $\alpha_{j}, \beta \in(0,1)$, and a continuous function $a(t):[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, x(t), y(t))+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y(t)) \geq a(t) \text { for } t \geq 0, x \in \mathfrak{R}, y \in \mathfrak{R}, \\
& \quad \sup _{t \geq 0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t-s}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{u+s} a(v) d v} b_{j}(s) d u d s \leq \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
2 \sup _{t \geq 0} \int_{t}^{P_{j}(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{w+s} a(v) d v} b_{j}(s) d w d s+2 \sup _{t \geq 0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t-s}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{m+s} a(v) d v} b_{j}(s) d w d s \leq \alpha_{j} ;
$$

(G3) There exist constants $a_{0}>0$ and $Q>0$ such that for each $t \geq 0$, if $J \geq Q$, then

$$
\int_{t}^{t+J} a(v) d v \geq a_{0} J .
$$

Then, there exists $\delta \in(0, l)$ such that for each initial function $\psi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right] \rightarrow \Re$ and $\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)$ satisfying $\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+\|\psi\| \leq \delta$, there is a unique continuous function $x:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right) \rightarrow \Re$ satisfying $x(t)=\psi(t)$, which is a solution of equation (1) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Moreover, the zero solution of equation (1) is stable.

## Proof:

Choosing $\psi:\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right] \rightarrow \Re_{\text {and }} \dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} \cdot Q}}{a_{0}}\right)\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+\delta+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(\delta) \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s \\
& \quad \leq\left[1-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}\right)\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the assumptions of Theorem 7, $g(0)=0$ and $g_{j}(0)=0$, it follows that $g(l) \leq l$. and $g_{j}(l) \leq l$. Since $g(x)$ and $g_{j}(x)$ satisfy Lipschitz condition on $[-l, l], g(x)$ and $g_{j}(x)$
are continuous function on $[-l, l]$. Then, there exists a constant $\delta$ such that $\delta<l$. Thus, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |(P \phi)(t)| \leq \delta+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int-\int K(s) d s}\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| e^{\left.-\frac{\int_{0}}{t_{0}} \right\rvert\, A(s) d s} d u \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} D(u) g(l) d u+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(u, s) g(l) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) g(l) d s\right] e^{-\frac{t}{-\int K(s) d s}} K(s) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} e^{t} e^{-\frac{t}{k} K(s) d s} \hat{D}_{j}(u)\left(l-g_{j}(l)\right) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(l) d s+\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} \int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{t}\left[\int_{j}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(l) d s\right] e^{-\frac{-T}{u} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1 t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{n} \hat{D}_{j}^{t_{0}}(s) g_{j}(\delta) d s+\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} \int_{j}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}(l) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{u}\left[E_{j}(u, s) g_{j}(l) d s\right] e^{-\int-\int K(s) d s} K(u) d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the assumptions, it also follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int K(s) d s} D(u) g(l) d u \leq g(l), \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} E(t, s) g(l) d s & =g(l) \int_{t_{0} t_{0}+t-s}^{t} \int_{i}^{\infty} C\left(u+s-t_{0}, s\right) d u d s \\
& =g(l) \int_{t_{0} t_{0}+t-s}^{t} \int_{\substack{u+s-t_{0} \\
-\int_{s} A(v) d v}(s) d u d s=g(l) \int_{t_{0} t-s}^{t} e^{-\int_{s} A(v) d v} b(s) d u d s .} \\
& \leq g(l) \sup \int_{t \geq 0}^{t} \int_{t_{0} t-s}^{\infty} e^{-\iiint_{s}^{u+s}(v) d v} b(s) d u d s .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) g(l) d s\right] e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} K(s) d u \leq g(l) \sup _{t \geq 0}^{t} \int_{t_{0} t-s}^{t} \int^{\infty} e^{\substack{u+s \\
s}} s^{(v) d v} b(s) d u d s, \\
& \left.\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) g(l) d s\right] e^{-\frac{t}{-\int} K(s) d s} K(s) d u \leq g(l) \iint_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{u} E(u, s) d s\right] d u \\
& \sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} E_{j}(t, s) d s=\sum_{j=1 t_{0}}^{n} \int_{t_{0}+t-s}^{t} \int_{j}^{\infty}\left(u+s-t_{0}, s\right) d u d s \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}+t-s}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{u+s-t_{0}} A(v) d v} b_{j}(s) d u d s=\sum_{j=1 t_{0} t-s}^{n} \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\iint_{s} A(v) d v} b_{j}(s) d u d s \\
& \leq \sup \int_{t \geq 0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{--\int_{s}^{u s} a(v) d v} b_{1}(s) d u d s+\ldots+\sup _{t \geq 0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t-s}^{\infty} e^{\substack{-\int s \\
s}}{ }^{-s(v) d v} b_{n}(s) d u d s, \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \tilde{D}_{j}\left(P_{j}(s)\right) d s \\
& =\sum_{j=1 t_{t-\tau_{j}}(t)}^{t} \frac{D_{j}\left(P_{j}(s)\right)}{1-\tau_{j}^{\prime}(s)} d s=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{P_{j}(t)} D_{j}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t}^{P_{j}(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{w+s} a(v) d v} b_{j}(s) d w d s \\
& \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} \int_{t}^{P_{1}(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{w t s} a(v) d v} b_{1}(s) d w d s+\ldots+\sup _{t \geq 0}^{P_{n}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{-\substack{w+s}} e^{-\int_{s}(v) d v} b_{n}(s) d w d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

From assumption (G2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} E_{j}(t, s) g_{j}(l) d s+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{u-\tau_{j}(u)}^{u} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(l) d s\right] e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t-\tau_{j}(t)}^{t} \hat{D}_{j}(s) g_{j}(l) d s \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{u} E_{j}(u, s) g_{j}(l) d s\right] e^{-\int_{u}^{t} K(s) d s} K(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(l)\left\{2 \sup _{t \geq 0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t-s}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{s}^{-\int_{s}(v) d v}} b_{1}(s) d u d s+\ldots+2 \sup \int_{t \geq 0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{t-s}^{-\iint^{-\int s} a(v) d v} b_{n}(s) d u d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+2 \sup _{t \geq 0}^{P_{1}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\iint_{s}^{w+s} a(v) d v} b_{1}(s) d w d s+\ldots+2 \sup _{t \geq 0} \int_{t}^{P_{n}(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\iint_{s}^{w+s} a(v) d v} b_{n}(s) d w d s\right\} \\
& \leq\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(P \phi)(t)| \leq & \delta+g(l)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(\delta) \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(l-g_{j}(l)\right) \\
& +2 \beta g(l)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(l) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\iint^{t} K(s) d s}\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\int_{0}^{t_{0}} A(s) d s} d u \\
\leq & \delta+g(l)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(\delta) \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \hat{D}_{j}(s) d s+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(l-g_{j}(l)\right) \\
& +2 \beta g(l)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(l)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\int_{0}^{t_{0}} A(s) d s} d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using condition (G3) of the theorem, we get

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{u} A(s) d s} d u=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+Q} e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{u} A(s) d s} d u+\int_{t_{0}+Q}^{t} e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{u} A(s) d s} d u \leq Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} \cdot Q}}{a_{0}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(P \phi)(t)| \leq & \delta+g(l)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(\delta) \int_{t_{0}-\tau_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{t_{0}} \tilde{D}_{j}(s) d s+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(l-g_{j}(l)\right) \\
& +2 \beta g(l)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(l)+\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left(Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} \cdot Q}}{a_{0}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
|(P \phi)(t)| \leq(1+2 \beta) l+\sum_{j=1}^{n} l .
$$

It is obvious that if $t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right]$, then $(P \phi)(t)=\psi(t)$. Moreover, for $t \in\left[m\left(t_{0}\right), \infty\right)$, we get $|(P \phi)(t)| \leq(1+2 \beta) l+\sum_{j=1}^{n} l$. Therefore, $P \phi: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$. Since $P$ is a contraction mapping, then $P$ has unique fixed point $x(t)$ such that $|x(t)| \leq(1+2 \beta) l+\sum_{j=1}^{n} l$.

From equation (6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| \leq & \left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int-f A(s) d s} a_{2}(u)|g(x(u))| d u \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int A(s) d s} b_{j}(u)\left|g_{j}\left(x\left(u-\tau_{j}(u)\right)\right)\right| d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $t \in[0, \infty), 0 \leq a_{2}(t) \leq M_{0}, 0 \leq b_{j}(t) \leq M_{j}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| & \leq\left|\dot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+M_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int u^{-} A(s) d s}|x(u)| d u+\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int u^{t} A(s) d s}\left|x\left(u-\tau_{j}(u)\right)\right| d u \\
& \leq l M_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int f(s) d s} d u+\sum_{j=1}^{n} l\left(1+M_{j} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int e^{-\int} A(s) d s} d u\right) \\
& <M_{0} l\left(Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} Q}}{a_{0}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} l\left[1+M_{j}\left(Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} Q}}{a_{0}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
|x(t)|+|y(t)|<(1+2 \beta) l+M_{0} l\left(Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} Q}}{a_{0}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} l\left[2+M_{j}\left(Q+\frac{e^{-a_{0} Q}}{a_{0}}\right)\right] .
$$

If we replace $\mathcal{E}$ by $l$, then we can conclude that the zero solution of equation (1) is stable.

## 5. Discussion

A Liénard type equation with multiple variable delays, equation (1), is considered. First, the stability/uniformly stability when $p(t) \equiv 0$ and the boundedness/uniformly boundedness of solutions of this equation, equation (1), when $p(t) \neq 0$, are discussed by the LyapunovKrasovskiĭ functional approach. Later, the stability of the solutions of the same equation, when $p(t) \equiv 0$ in equation (1), is investigated by the fixed point technique under an exponentially weighted metric. The claim made by the author is illustrated as the following:
$1^{0}$ ) The obtained results, Theorem 4 and Theorem 7, extend and improve that of Burton (2005), Burton (2006), Burton and Furumochi (2001), Pi (2011) and Tunç and Biçer (2014), and in addition we give additional three new results, Theorem 5, Theorem 6 and Remark to that of Burton (2005), Burton (2006), Burton and Furumochi (2001), Pi (2011) and Tunç and Biçer (2014) by using the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach.
$2^{\circ}$ ) It is clear that our equation, equation (1), includes the equations investigated by Burton (2005), Burton (2006), Burton and Furumochi (2001), Pi (2011) and Tunç and Biçer (2014). This case is an extension and contribution to the works of Burton (2005), Burton (2006), Burton and Furumochi (2001), Tunç (2010) and Tunç and Biçer (2014).
$3^{\circ}$ ) It follows that that the assumptions of Theorem 4 and Theorem 7 are completely different from each other except the similarity of the assumption $f(t, x(t), y(t))+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y(t)) \geq a(t)$ of Theorem 7 and the assumption $a_{0}(t) f(t, x, y)+a_{1}(t) F_{1}(y) \geq a(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\left(b_{j}(t)+1\right) \tau_{j}(t) \geq 0$ of Theorem 4.
$4^{\circ}$ ) On the other hand, the assumptions of Theorem 4 are very clear, elegant and comprehensible. That is, the assumptions of Theorem 4 have very simple forms and the applicability and correctness of them can be easily checked and verified. In spite of this fact, to the best of our knowledge, it may be difficult to say the same for the assumptions of Theorem 7. That is, to show the applicability Theorem 7 may be more difficulty. This shows the advantage of the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach over the fixed point technique.
$5^{\circ}$ ) We assume the existence and continuity of the derivatives $a_{2}^{\prime}(t), b_{j}^{\prime}(t), \tau_{j}^{\prime}(t)$ and $g_{j}^{\prime}(x)$ when applying the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional. However, it is assumed $g$ and $g_{j}$ are odd and they are strictly increasing on $[-l, l]$, and $x-g(x)$ and $x-g_{j}(x)$ are nondecreasing on $[-l, l]$ when applying the fixed point technique. It is not needed the differentiability of the mentioned functions when we use the fixed point technique. This is the advantage of the fixed point technique over the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach. Finally, we do not need the restriction of $g$ and $g_{j}$ are odd and they are strictly increasing on $[-l, l]$, and $x-g(x)$ and $x-g_{j}(x)$ are non-decreasing on $[-l, l]$ when applying the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach. This case shows that there is no more restriction on the functions $\quad g$ and $g_{j}$ when applying the LyapunovKrasovskiĭ functional approach.
$6^{\circ}$ ) When we change equation (1) into a more complex form, finding an appropriate Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional, which gives meaningful results, may be very difficult. It should be noted construction or definition of Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functionals remain as an open problem in the literature by now. This fact shows that the advantage of the fixed point theory over the Lyapunov's direct method for the special cases. Further, in spite of more effectiveness of the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functionals for ordinary and functional differential equations of higher order, the application of the fixed point theory for those type equations is very difficult because of multiple integrals to be
arisen in proofs. By this fact, we mean that the observations of Burton (2005), Burton (2006) and Burton and Furumochi (2001) may not be true in general cases. Depending on the form and order of given functional differential equations, sometimes, the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach has an advantage over the fixed point theory, and sometimes it is in the contrast. However, so far, the most effective method to investigate the qualitative behaviors of non-linear ordinary and functional differential equations of higher order is still the Lyapunov's direct method. At the end, the Lyapunov's direct method is old but it is still more an active method in the scientific literature.

## 6. Conclusion

A Liénard type differential equation with multiple variable time-lags is considered. The stability of zero solutions of the differential equation considered is investigated by the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach and the fixed point technique under an exponentially weighted metric, respectively. It is done a comparison between the applications of both methods with the established conditions on the same stability problems. A comment is made on the effectiveness of the methods applied. In addition, three new results for uniformly stability and boundedness/ uniformly boundedness of the solutions to the equation considered are obtained by the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach. An example is also given to verify the results obtained by the Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional approach. The results established complement and improve some recent results found in the literature.
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