

Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM)

Volume 11 | Issue 1

Article 1

6-2016

Construction of Energy Preserving QMF

Jian-ao Lian Prairie View A&M University

Yonghui Wang Prairie View A&M University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pvamu.edu/aam

Part of the Computer Sciences Commons, Harmonic Analysis and Representation Commons, and the Numerical Analysis and Computation Commons

Recommended Citation

Lian, Jian-ao and Wang, Yonghui (2016). Construction of Energy Preserving QMF, Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM), Vol. 11, Iss. 1, Article 1. Available at: https://digitalcommons.pvamu.edu/aam/vol11/iss1/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @PVAMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM) by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @PVAMU. For more information, please contact hvkoshy@pvamu.edu.



Available at <u>http://pvamu.edu/aam</u> Appl. Appl. Math. **ISSN: 1932-9466** Vol. 11, Issue 1 (June 2016), pp. 1 – 44 Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM)

Construction of Energy Preserving QMF

Jian-ao Lian^{\dagger} & Yonghui Wang^{\ddagger}

Department of {Mathematics[†], Engineering Technology[‡]} Prairie View A&M University Prairie View, TX 77446-0519 USA *e*-mail: <u>{jilian, yowang}@pvamu.edu</u>

Received February 6, 2016; accepted May 9, 2016

Abstract

Recently, a family of perfect reconstruction (PR) quadrature mirror filterbanks (QMF) with finite impulse response filters (FIR) from systems of biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets were introduced and also applied to image processing. However, a detailed procedure was absent. The main objective of this paper is to present extensive examples that will provide a thorough process of construction of the new family of PR QMF with FIR filterbanks. These new filters are linear-phase due to the symmetry property of their corresponding biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets. In addition, these filters have odd lengths so that the symmetric extension can be easily applied. Another important feature is that the filters preserve energy (EP) very well. The notion of Condition EP was thus introduced for the purpose of further examining these features.

Key Words: biorthogonality; energy preservation; quadrature mirror filterbank; wavelets

MSC 2010 No.: 42C40; 65T60; 68U10; 94A08

1. Introduction

S UBBAND image coders require high performance filterbanks. A family of these highperformance filterbanks can be created from *biorthogonal* pairs of refinable functions and wavelets. Contrary to the orthonormal wavelets (Daubechies, 1988), it is well-known that one of the major advantages of the compactly supported biorthogonal wavelets is its symmetry (Le Gall and Tabatabai, 1988; Cohen et al., 1992), (Daubechies, 1992, p.259). The symmetry property, in turn, implies the existence of the linear-phase finite impulse response (FIR) lowpass and highpass perfect reconstruction (PR) quadrature mirror filters (QMF), which originate from the symmetry of the underlying compactly supported refinable functions and wavelets. To avoid artifacts along image boundaries when an image coder is applied, odd length filterbanks (FB) are desired so that the symmetric extension can be easily applied. Moreover, wavelet subbands' *energy* on consecutive decomposition levels need to be relatively well *preserved*, where the energy of wavelet coefficients is determined by the *weights* of the biorthogonal filterbanks (BFB). Here, weights of a system of BFB is defined in Section 3 by the ℓ_2 -norm squares of the lowpass and highpass filters. Certainly, filterbanks from orthonormal wavelets have perfect energy preservation, namely, their ℓ_2 -norm squares are always one. However, filterbanks from biorthogonal wavelets are generally not (Woods and Naveen, 1992; Usevitch, 1996; Usevitch, 2001). This criterion of determining their performance can be defined by how close they are to filterbanks from orthonormal wavelets. In other words, a pair of biorthogonal refinable function and wavelet is *good* only if they are *near orthonormal*. Or, equivalently and more specifically, their weights must be as close to one as possible.

For convenience, filterbanks (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}) , with lowpass filter $\mathbf{h} = \{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and highpass filter $\mathbf{g} = \{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, are said to be *energy-preserving*, denoted by Condition EP, if their L_2 norms are close to 1, i.e., $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2 \approx 1$ and $\|\mathbf{g}\|_2 \approx 1$, with the ℓ_2 -norm of \mathbf{h} , e.g., defined by $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k|^2$. To be more specific, Conditions EP1–EP4 are introduced if both $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2$ and $\|\mathbf{g}\|_2$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

Condition EP1:	$\ \mathbf{h}\ _2 = 1,$	$\left \ \mathbf{g}\ _2^2 - 1 \right = $ the smallest;	(1)
----------------	-------------------------	--	-----

Condition EP2:
$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_2 = 1$$
, $\|\|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 - 1|$ = the smallest; (2)

Condition EP3:
$$\|\mathbf{h}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{g}\|_2$$
, $\|\|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 - 1\|$ = the smallest; (3)

Condition EP4:
$$(\|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 - 1)^2 + (\|\mathbf{g}\|_2^2 - 1)^2 = \text{the smallest.}$$
 (4)

JPEG 2000, the new image compression standard, uses the biorthogonal 5/3, called LeGall 5/3 (Le Gall and Tabatabai, 1988) for lossless compression. It is also referred to as Cohen-Daubechies-Feauveau (CDF) wavelet filters (Cohen et al., 1992), or CDF 5/3 for short. For lossy compression, JPEG 2000 uses the biorthogonal wavelet filters CDF 9/7 (Cohen et al., 1992). Notice that both LeGall 5/3 and CDF 9/7 do not satisfy any of the four specific EP conditions in (1)–(4). However, as we will see at the end of Section IV, CDF 9/7 does feature the energy-preserving property very well, though LeGall 5/3 does not preserve energy well enough.

New filterbanks fin this paper eature all of the above listed properties, with Condition EP in particular. Some of our results in this paper was successfully applied to image processing (Lian and Wang, 2014). However, a detailed construction of the new family of biorthogonal PR FIR QMF was not provided in (Lian and Wang, 2014). Henceforward, the main purpose of this paper is to furnish more specifics or fill the gaps of the construction.

To facilitate presentation, some background information or preliminaries and literature review are given in Section 2. Following (Woods and Naveen, 1992; Usevitch, 1996; Usevitch, 2001), weights are re-defined and re-formulated in terms of two-scale sequences by convolution in Section 3. A convenient algorithm of how to build up a system of biorthogonal wavelets constitutes Section 4.

A new family of biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets, and consequently, BFBs with certain Condition EP's, are constructed in Section 5. Smoothness of the new biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are analyzed in Section 6. We demonstrate our new BFBs in Section 7. by applying them to image compression and image denoising. Some concluding remarks and discussions are found in Section 8. An efficient algorithm for evaluating the Riesz bounds by using Euler-Frobenius polynomials is illustrated in Appendix I. In addition, for completeness, some additional BFBs with certain EP conditions, including 7/5, 9/7, 11/9, and 13/11, are provided in the Appendix II, while the even-length BFBs with Condition EP3 are discussed in Appendix III.

2. Background

It is well-known that under appropriate normalization conditions, a pair of compactly supported *refinable function* ϕ and *eavelet* ψ are determined by functional equations

$$\phi(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k \phi(2t - k), \tag{5}$$

$$\psi(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g_k \phi(2t - k), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(6)

where both $\{\sqrt{2}h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\sqrt{2}g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ have finite nonzero entries and are called the *two-scale* sequences of ϕ and ψ . The functional equations (5) and (6) are referred to as the *two-scale* relations of ϕ and ψ . In terms of Fourier transforms, the functional equations (5) and (6) determining such a wavelet system (ϕ, ψ) are equivalent to

$$\widehat{\phi}(\omega) = H(z) \,\widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right),\tag{7}$$

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k z^k; \tag{8}$$

$$\widehat{\psi}(\omega) = G(z)\,\widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right),\tag{9}$$

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} g_k z^k, \tag{10}$$

where $z = \exp(-j\omega/2)$, $j = \sqrt{-1}$; H and G are the *two-scale symbols* of ϕ and ψ , respectively. In signal and image processing, $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in (5) or (8) is used for the lowpass filter, and $\{g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in (6) or (10) is for the highpass filter. With H and G in (7)–(10), a square polynomial matrix $M_{H,G}$ of order 2 is introduced as

$$M_{H,G}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} H(z) & H(-z) \\ G(z) & G(-z) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(11)

For all QMF filters $(\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, \{g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}})$ to be with FIR, it is natural to require that

$$\det(M_{H,G}(z)) = \varepsilon z^{2K-1}, \quad |z| = 1,$$
(12)

for some integer K, where $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1. Under the condition (12), a new wavelet system (ϕ, ψ) can be established, which is *dual* or *biorthogonal* to (ϕ, ψ) . Analogous to (7)–(10) for (ϕ, ψ) ,

the biorthogonal wavelet system $(\widetilde{\phi},\widetilde{\psi})$ can also be formulated by

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\phi}}(\omega) = \widetilde{H}(z) \,\widehat{\widetilde{\phi}}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right),\tag{13}$$

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{h}_k z^k;$$
(14)

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}(\omega) = \widetilde{G}(z) \,\widehat{\widetilde{\phi}}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right),\tag{15}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{g}_k z^k,$$
(16)

with \widetilde{H} and \widetilde{G} being the two-scale symbols of $\widetilde{\phi}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}$, $\{\sqrt{2}\widetilde{h}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\sqrt{2}\widetilde{g}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ the two-scale sequences of $\widetilde{\phi}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}$. The biorthogonality conditions between the wavelet system (ϕ, ψ) and its dual $(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi})$ are governed and reflected by the fact that $M_{\widetilde{H},\widetilde{G}}(z)$ is the inverse of $M_{H,G}(z)^*$ on |z| = 1, namely,

$$M_{\tilde{H},\tilde{G}}(z) M_{H,G}(z)^{\star} = I_2, \quad |z| = 1,$$
(17)

where \star denotes the complex conjugation of the transpose, and I_2 is the identity matrix of order 2.

With the natural requirement (12), G and \tilde{G} can be obtained from H and \tilde{H} directly from the matrix identity (17):

$$G(z) = -\varepsilon z^{2K-1} \overline{\widetilde{H}(-z)},$$
(18)

$$\widetilde{G}(z) = -\varepsilon z^{2K-1} \overline{H(-z)},\tag{19}$$

with H and \widetilde{H} satisfying

$$H(z)\overline{\widetilde{H}(z)} + H(-z)\overline{\widetilde{H}(-z)} = 1, \quad |z| = 1.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

In other words, a complete biorthogonal wavelet system, denoted by, e.g., (ϕ, ψ) and $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})$, is completely determined if H and \tilde{H} are determined through the identity (20). For instance, for LeGall 5/3, $\varepsilon = -1$ and K = 2 in (12), and and brusque calculation yields

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^2 (-1+4z-z^2),$$
(21)

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = z \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^2.$$
(22)

For CDF 9/7, $\varepsilon = -1$ and K = 4 in (12), and

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^4 \left(s_0 + s_1 z + (1 - 2s_0 - 2s_1)z^2 + s_1 z^3 + s_0 z^4\right),\tag{23}$$

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = z \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^4 \left(\widetilde{s}_0 + (1-2\widetilde{s}_0)z + \widetilde{s}_0 z^2\right),\tag{24}$$

where

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{336} \left(70 - 7(5 - \sqrt{15})\alpha + (2\sqrt{15} - 5)\alpha^2 \right), \tag{25}$$

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{24} \left(-36 + 2(6 - \sqrt{15})\alpha - (\sqrt{15} - 3)\alpha^2 \right), \tag{26}$$

$$\widetilde{s}_0 = -\frac{1}{168} \left(56 + 14\alpha - (3\sqrt{15} - 11)\alpha^2 \right), \tag{27}$$

with

$$\alpha = \sqrt[3]{154 + 42\sqrt{15}}.$$
 (28)

In the wavelet literature, although there is no clear standard for BFB's energy preservation or energy compaction measure, there are some studies regarding the energy preservation or compaction of biorthogonal filterbanks (cf., e.g., (Wei et al., 1998; Yang et al., 1998; Abdelnour and Selesnick, 2004; de Saint-Martin et al., 1999)). Due to the fact that $|H(z)|^2 + |H(-z)|^2 = 1$ on |z| = 1 is necessary if H is the two-scale symbol of an orthonormal refinable function, Cohen et al. (Cohen et al., 1992) and Daubechies (Daubechies, 1992, p.283) used the method of minimizing

$$\left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[1 - |H(e^{-j\omega})|^2 - |H(-e^{-j\omega})|^2 \right] d\omega \right|,$$
(29)

to obtain H first then established \widetilde{H} afterward. In fact, for any two-scale symbol H in (8) and \widetilde{H} in (14),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[1 - |H(e^{-j\omega})|^2 - |H(-e^{-j\omega})|^2 \right] d\omega = 1 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k^2, \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[1 - |\widetilde{H}(e^{-j\omega})|^2 - |\widetilde{H}(-e^{-j\omega})|^2 \right] d\omega = 1 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{h}_k^2.$$
(31)

Hence, $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 = 1$ in (1) for Condition EP1 is equivalent to allowing the integral on the left of (30) to be zero; $\|\mathbf{\tilde{g}}\|_2^2 = 1$ in (2) for Condition EP2 is equivalent to allowing the integral for \tilde{H} on the left of (31) to be zero due to (18).

Wei et al. (Wei et al., 1998) constructed a family of general biorthogonal coifman wavelet systems (GBCW) where the energy compaction capability was also concerned but not considered during the filter construction. As an example, the GBCW 9/7 in (Wei et al., 1998) was

$$\mathbf{h} = \{h_k\}_{k=0,\dots,8} = \frac{1}{32\sqrt{2}} \{1, 0, -8, 16, 46, 16, -8, 0, 1\},\$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}} = \{\widetilde{h}_k\}_{k=1,\dots,7} = \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}} \{-1, 0, 9, 16, 9, 0, -1\}.$$

However,

$$\|\mathbf{h}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1379}{1024} = 1.3466796875,$$
$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{105}{128} = .8203125,$$

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

both relatively away from one.

6

Yang et al. (Yang et al., 1998) designed PR biorthogonal filterbanks that maximize orthonormality subject to adjustable structural constraints in order to achieve various degrees of energy compaction.

Due to the necessary conditions

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}h_kh_{k+2\ell}=\delta_{\ell,0},\quad \ell\in\mathbb{Z},$$
(32)

for an orthogonal filter $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, Abdelnour and Selesnick (Abdelnour and Selesnick, 2004) introduced the angles among all even-integer shifts of a lowpass filter $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and then constructed symmetric nearly orthogonal biorthogonal filterbanks by requiring all these angles to be close to 90°, where the angle between a vector $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and its even-integer shift $\{h_{k+2\ell}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ was conventionally defined and calculated by

$$\arccos \frac{\langle \{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{h_{k+2\ell}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\rangle}{\|\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_2^2}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(33)

The h and g had subsets of exactly equal coefficients, and lengths of both h and g were *even* only. Observe that all angles in (33) for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ are close to 90° is equivalent to that all coefficients of z^{ℓ} in $|H(z)|^2 + |H(-z)|^2$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ are close to zero, i.e., ϕ is near orthogonal.

A family $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of functions in a Hilbert space is a *Riesz basis*, if there are constants A, B > 0 such that

$$A\|\{c_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\|_2^2 \le \|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_k f_k\|_2^2 \le B\|\{c_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\|_2^2,$$
(34)

for all $\{c_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{R})$, where A and B are the *lower Riesz bound* (LRB) and *upper Riesz bound* (URB) for $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Saint-Martin et al. (de Saint-Martin et al., 1999) also concerned energy preserving and established two *even*-length biorthogonal filterbanks 26/14 and 18/10. The ratios of the upper and lower Riesz bounds were required to be close to one so the resulting biorthogonal filterbanks were nearly orthogonal, where the Reisz bounds were calculated iteratively. For the BFB 26/14 in (de Saint-Martin et al., 1999), $w_{0,0} = .9951120811543$ and $w_{0,1} = 1.0076522344272$; for the BFB 18/10 in (de Saint-Martin et al., 1999), $w_{0,0} = 1.0210046900565$ and $w_{0,1} = .9834108802518$, so that they both preserved energy well, but the corresponding wavelets have only one vanishing moment.

We end this section by indicating that Appendix I gives a detailed procedure of how to evaluate both the LRB and the URB for refinable functions ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$. All LRB and URB of ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ for our new BFBs with certain EP conditions will be listed at the end of Section 5.

3. Weights in Terms of Two-Scale Sequences

On one hand, lowpass and highpass filters $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ derived from any orthonormal wavelet system (ϕ, ψ) are *self-dual*. The filterbanks satisfy the Condition EP at any level of

decomposition, mainly due to the fact that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_k|^2 = 1,$$

and the Rayleigh Energy Theorem or Parseval's Theorem

$$\|x\|^{2} = \|X\|^{2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |X(e^{-j\omega})|^{2} d\omega,$$

where X is the normalized discrete Fourier transform of a discrete time sequence x with finite ℓ_2 -norm.

On the other hand, the energy of a biorthogonal wavelet system is not 100% preserved. Here, energy can be expressed in terms of the wavelet coefficients and can also be formulated by the variance of a signal's reconstructed output through its subband synthesis system. This variance, in turn, can be expressed in terms of the *weights*, or ℓ_2 -norm squares, of the lowpass and highpass filters (Woods and Naveen, 1992; Usevitch, 2001).

More precisely, let $({h_k}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, {g_k}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}})$ be the lowpass and highpass filters corresponding to a pair of refinable function and wavelet (ϕ, ψ) . Introduce $(\mathbf{h}_{\ell,0}, \mathbf{g}_{\ell,1})$, with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ indicating the decomposition level, 0 for lowpass subband, and 1 for highpass subband, by

$$\mathbf{h}_{\ell,0} = \mathbf{h}_{\ell-1,0} * \{h_{2^{\ell}k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},\tag{35}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\ell,1} = \mathbf{h}_{\ell-1,0} * \{g_{2^{\ell}k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(36)

$$\mathbf{h}_{0,0} = \{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},\tag{37}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{0,1} = \{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},\tag{38}$$

where * indicates the usual convolution, and $\{h_{2^{\ell_k}}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{g_{2^{\ell_k}}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are the ℓ -th 2-upsampling of $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. We define *weights* with respect to $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}) = (\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, \{g_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}})$, by

$$w_{\ell,0} = \|\mathbf{h}_{\ell,0}\|^2,\tag{39}$$

$$w_{\ell,1} = \|\mathbf{g}_{\ell,1}\|^2, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (40)

Similarly, let $(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{k}}) = \left(\{\widetilde{h}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\widetilde{g}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\right)$ be the lowpass and highpass filters with respect to $(\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi})$ that is biorthogonal to (ϕ, ψ) ; introduce $\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\ell,0}, \widetilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\ell,1}\right)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\ell,0} = \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\ell-1,0} * \{ \widetilde{h}_{2^{\ell}k} \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},\tag{41}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\ell,1} = \mathbf{h}_{\ell-1,0} * \{ \widetilde{g}_{2^{\ell}k} \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{0,0} = \{ \widetilde{h}_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

$$(42)$$

$$(43)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{0,0} = \{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},$$
(43)

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}_{0,1} = \{ \widetilde{g}_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}; \tag{44}$$

and define *weights* with respect to $(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{k}})$ by

$$\widetilde{w}_{\ell,0} = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\ell,0}\|^2,\tag{45}$$

$$\widetilde{w}_{\ell,1} = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\ell,1}\|^2, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (46)

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

Conditions EP1–EP4 in (1)–(4) include specific criteria regarding how close is to one for each of these weights at the initial level. For instance, Condition EP1 means minimizing $w_{0,1} - 1$ under the condition $w_{0,0} = 1$.

We end this section by pointing out the following.

Proposition 1: The weights in (39)–(40) can also be determined by the constant term of the two-scale symbols H and G of ϕ and ψ , i.e.,

$$w_{\ell,0} = \text{the constant term of} \quad 2^{\ell+1} \prod_{k=0}^{\ell} \left| H\left(z^{2^k}\right) \right|^2, \tag{47}$$

$$w_{\ell,1} = \text{the constant term of} \quad 2^{\ell+1} \left| G(z^{2^{\ell}}) \right|^2 \prod_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \left| H\left(z^{2^k}\right) \right|^2,$$
 (48)

for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

8

Proof. A straightforward application of the fact that the z-transform of a convolution of two sequences is the product of their z-transforms provides proof of Proposition 1. In details, without loss of generality, represent the z-transform of a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}\left[\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\right](z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_k z^{-k}.$$

Then, take the z-transforms of (35) both sides to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}\left[\mathbf{h}_{\ell,0}\right](z) &= \mathcal{Z}\left[\mathbf{h}_{\ell-1,0}\right](z) \,\mathcal{Z}\left[\left\{h_{2^{\ell}k}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\right](z) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}\left[\mathbf{h}_{\ell-1,0}\right](z) \,\sqrt{2}H\left(z^{-2^{\ell}}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}\left[\mathbf{h}_{0,0}\right](z) \,\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\ell} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} H\left(z^{-2^{k}}\right) \\ &= \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\ell+1} \,\prod_{k=0}^{\ell} H\left(z^{-2^{k}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where H in (8) has been used in the second equality. Similarly, utilize this result and G in (10) and take the z-transforms of (36) both sides to yield

$$\mathcal{Z}\left[\mathbf{g}_{\ell,1}\right](z) = \mathcal{Z}\left[\mathbf{h}_{\ell-1,0}\right](z) \mathcal{Z}\left[\left\{g_{2^{\ell}k}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\right](z)$$
$$= \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\ell+1} G\left(z^{-2^{\ell}}\right) \prod_{k=0}^{\ell-1} H\left(z^{-2^{k}}\right).$$

The conclusion follows simply by using the fact that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k|^2 = \text{the constant term of } \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k z^k\right) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k z^{-k}\right)$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

4. An Algorithm for Constructing Biorthogonal Refinable Functions and Wavelets

Let (ϕ, ψ) and $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})$ be a biorthogonal pair of refinable functions and wavelets, with two-scale symbols (H, G) and (\tilde{H}, \tilde{G}) . For both ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ to have even-length supports and both ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ to have 2m vanishing moments (VM), H and \tilde{H} can be written as

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{2m} S_{2n}(z),$$
(49)

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = z \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{2m} \widetilde{S}_{2n-2}(z),$$
(50)

where both S_{2n} and \widetilde{S}_{2n-2} are reciprocal polynomials of exact degrees 2n and 2n-2, and satisfy

$$(1+z) \not| S_{2n}(z), \quad (1+z) \not| \widetilde{S}_{2n-2}(z);$$

 $S_{2n}(1) = \widetilde{S}_{2n-2}(1) = 1.$

Here, a (Laurent) polynomial is *reciprocal* if it is symmetric, e.g., $P(z) = -3/z+2+z+2z^2-3z^3$ is reciprocal since $P(1/z) = z^2P(z)$. By doing so, the filterbanks will be CDF (2m + 2n + 1)/(2m + 2n - 1), i.e., both lowpass and highpass filters are with linear phases and both have odd lengths. The integer K in (12) or (18)–(19) is K = m + n. By selecting $\varepsilon = (-1)^{m+n+1}$ (so that both ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ look better in graphs), G and \tilde{G} in (18) and (19) can simply be calculated by

$$G(z) = (-1)^{m+n} z^{-1} \widetilde{H}(-z),$$
(51)

$$\widetilde{G}(z) = (-1)^{m+n} z^{-1} H(-z).$$
(52)

As immediate simple examples, the LeGall 5/3 is when m = n = 1, while the CDF 9/7 is when m = n = 2.

With the introduction of

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{z^{-1} + z}{2} \right), \tag{53}$$

the two polynomials H and \widetilde{H} in (49)–(50) can also be expressed as

$$H(z) = z^{m+n} (1-t)^m F_n(t),$$
(54)

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = z^{m+n} (1-t)^m G_{n-1}(t),$$
(55)

for some polynomials F_n and G_{n-1} of exact degrees n and n-1 that satisfy

$$F_n(0) = G_{n-1}(0) = 1.$$
(56)

The identity (20) then becomes

$$(1-t)^{2m} F_n(t)G_{n-1}(t) + t^{2m} F_n(1-t)G_{n-1}(1-t) = 1, \quad t \in [0,1].$$
(57)

Observe that $n \ge m$ in order for (57) to have any solution. Hence, it then follows from (Lian, 2001) that $F_n G_{n-1}$ must have the form

$$F_n(t)G_{n-1}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1+k}{k} t^k + t^{2m}(1-2t)f_{n-m-1}((1-2t)^2),$$
(58)

where f_{n-m-1} is a polynomial of exact degree n-m-1.

In summary, here is an algorithm for constructing biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets, and consequently their corresponding BFBs.

Algorithm 1 (for Constructing BFB with Condition EP): Step 1.

- (1) For ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ to have 2m vanishing moments, select an integer n > m; and write explicitly the three polynomials F_n , G_{n-1} , and f_{n-m-1} of exact degrees n, n-1, and n-m-1, respectively.
- (2) The identity (58), with the condition (56), gives rise to 2n 1 equalities for the n, n 1, and n m to-be-determined coefficients in F_n , G_{n-1} , and f_{n-m-1} . (Although the 2n 1 equations constitute a nonlinear system, there should still be n m additional freedoms.)
- (3) For Condition EP1, minimize $w_{0,1} 1$ under the condition $w_{0,0} = 1$; for Condition EP2, minimize $w_{0,0} 1$ under the condition $w_{0,1} = 1$; for Condition EP3, minimize $w_{0,0} 1$ (or $w_{0,1} 1$) under the condition $w_{0,0} = w_{0,1}$; for Condition EP4, minimize $(w_{0,0} 1)^2 + (w_{0,1} 1)^2$.
- (4) After both F_n and G_{n-1} being fixed, both H and \widetilde{H} are determined by (54)–(55), with t in (53); and G and \widetilde{G} are determined from H and \widetilde{H} through (51)–(52).
- (5) With H, G, \tilde{H} , and \tilde{G} being determined, BFB (2m + 2n + 1)/(2m + 2n 1) filters with appropriate EP conditions are consequently established through (8), (10), (14), and (16).

As convenient examples, for LeGall 5/3, m = n = 1 and

$$F_1(t) = 1 + 2t, \quad G_0(t) = 1;$$

for CDF 9/7, m = n = 2 and

$$F_2(t)G_1(t) = 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 20t^3,$$

which leads to

$$F_2(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2, (59)$$

$$G_1(t) = 1 + b_1 t, (60)$$

where the three coefficients a_1 , a_2 , and b_1 in (59)–(60) are explicitly expressed by

$$a_1 = \frac{8}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\alpha + \frac{3\sqrt{15} - 11}{42}\alpha^2,$$
(61)

$$a_2 = \frac{10}{3} - \frac{5 - \sqrt{15}}{3}\alpha + \frac{2\sqrt{15} - 5}{21}\alpha^2,$$
(62)

$$b_1 = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha - \frac{3\sqrt{15} - 11}{42}\alpha^2,$$
(63)

with α already having been introduced in (28). For comparison purposes, weights for LeGall 5/3 are calculated and listed in Table 1. It is clear that they do not satisfy any of the four EP conditions in (1)–(4); and the weights are relatively away from 1. Weights for CDF 9/7 are also calculated by using our formulations in (35)–(40) and (41)–(46), and included in Table 2. Though CDF 9/7 does not satisfy any of the four EP conditions in (1)–(4), Table 2 clearly indicates that CDF 9/7 filters do preserve energy for all the first 4 levels very well (as all weights are relatively close to 1).

We end this section by mentioning that the scenario n = m in Algorithm 1 corresponds to the CDF (4m + 1)/(4m - 1) in the literature (of both wavelets and image and signal processing). By allowing $n \ge m + 1$, we have more flexibility or can require H and \tilde{H} to satisfy certain desirable conditions, such as our newly proposed EP conditions.

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.4375000000000000000000000000000000000000	.75000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$.75000000000000000	1.4375000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.7382812500000000	.68750000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.3359375000000000	.9218750000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$	1.9162597656250000	.6718750000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.7592773437500000	.7929687500000000	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$	2.0167999267578125	.6679687500000000	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	2.0131530761718750	.7607421875000000	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 1. Weights of LeGall 5/3, with $\mathbf{h} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4} \right\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\}$

Table 2. Weights of CDF 9/7

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0404359637949253	.9829536572876483	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$.9829536572876483	1.0404359637949253	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9938066630262757	1.0306024684922561	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.1186419424407187	.9672158060329819	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9708630123538691	1.0520930222440912	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0443177567099245	1.0396277874758167	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9633462544497677	1.0584732545638578	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	1.0037017315870575	1.0751205695491978	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

12

5. New BFBs with Appropriate EP Conditions

We utilize the efficient Algorithm 1 in Section 4 to build a new family of compactly supported biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets, such that their corresponding BFBs satisfy one of the four EP conditions in (1)–(4). The selected values for m in (49)–(50) will be 1, 2, and 3, so that the numbers of VM for the corresponding wavelets will be 2, 4, and 6.

5.1. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP4: m = 1 and n = 2.

By writing the two polynomials F_2 and G_1 in (54)–(55) explicitly as

$$F_2(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2, (64)$$

$$G_1(t) = 1 + b_1 t, (65)$$

it follows from (58) that they must satisfy

$$F_2(t)G_1(t) = 1 + 2t + C_0 t^2 (1 - 2t), (66)$$

for some constant C_0 . Solve (64)–(66) for a_1 , a_2 , and C_0 to obtain

$$a_1 = 2 - b_1, \quad a_2 = \frac{2b_1(b_1 - 2)}{b_1 + 2}, \quad C_0 = -\frac{b_1^2(b_1 - 2)}{b_1 + 2},$$
 (67)

with the last parameter b_1 to be determined from one of the four EP conditions. For instance, $w_{0,0} = \|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 = 1$ in Condition EP1 leads to

$$b_1^4 - 12b_1^3 - 68b_1^2 - 192b_1 + 224 = 0, (68)$$

while $w_{0,1} = \|\mathbf{g}\|_2^2$ in Condition EP1 will remain a constant close to 1. Similarly, with Condition EP2, Condition EP3, and Condition EP4, b_1 is governed by

$$3b_1^2 + 16b_1 - 16 = 0, (69)$$

$$5b_1^4 + 68b_1^3 + 188b_1^2 + 192b_1 - 352 = 0, (70)$$

$$37b_1^8 + 634b_1^7 + 4560b_1^6 + 17424b_1^5 + 36720b_1^4$$

$$+43488b_1^3 + 21760b_1^2 + 40192b_1 - 109588 = 0, (71)$$

respectively. Solving (68)–(71) numerically, solutions for b_1 satisfying Condition EP1–Condition EP4 are given by

$$b_1 = .8645028006282423, \tag{72}$$

$$b_1 = .8610017480861208, \tag{73}$$

$$b_1 = .8627181302055645, \tag{74}$$

$$b_1 = .8626844958636667. \tag{75}$$

We point out that the value b_1 in (73) was also obtained in (Cohen et al., 1992) and (Daubechies, 1992, p.283) where the expression in (29) was minimized, or equivalently, $w_{0,0} = 1$. Similar

BFBs were also constructed in (Antonini et al., 1992), where filter entries were rational numbers, namely,

$$\{h_k\}_{k=-3,\dots,3} = \frac{1}{280\sqrt{2}} \{-3, -15, 73, 170, 73, -15, -3\}, \\ \{\tilde{h}_k\}_{k=-2,\dots,2} = \frac{1}{20\sqrt{2}} \{-1, 5, 12, 5, -1\}.$$

Here, by using our notations, the corresponding b_1 in (65), and consequently a_1 , a_2 , and C_0 in (67) are

$$b_1 = \frac{4}{5};$$
 $a_1 = \frac{6}{5},$ $a_2 = -\frac{24}{35};$ $C_0 = \frac{48}{175};$

and the weights $w_{0,0}$ and $w_{0,1}$ in (45)–(46) are

$$w_{0,0} = \frac{2859}{2800} = 1.0210714285714286,$$

$$w_{0,1} = \frac{49}{50} = .98.$$

Turning to the four values for b_1 in (72)–(75), they are all close to each other. We here only focus on the BFB with Condition EP4 in this section, i.e., with b_1 in (75). We use $\phi_{7,5}^{EP4}$, $\psi_{7,5}^{EP4}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{7,5}^{EP4}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{7,5}^{EP4}$ to denote the corresponding biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets. It follows from (54)–(55), (64)–(65), (67), and (75), the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP4 is created and listed in Table 3.

k	h	g	k
0, 6	0151469253895285	0762512571312121	0, 4
1, 5	0702315873863679	3535533905932738	1, 3
2, 4	.3687003159828022	.8596092954489717	2
	8475600550502833		
3	.0470033033032000		
$\frac{3}{k}$	h	ĝ	k
$\frac{3}{1,5}$	h 0762512571312121	ğ .0151469253895285	$\frac{k}{-1,5}$
$ \frac{3}{k} \frac{1,5}{2,4} $	h 0762512571312121 .3535533905932738	ğ .0151469253895285 0702315873863679	$\frac{k}{-1,5}$
$ \frac{3}{k} \frac{1,5}{2,4} \frac{3}{3} $	h 0762512571312121 .3535533905932738 .8596092954489717	ğ .0151469253895285 0702315873863679 3687003159828022	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,5 \\ 0,4 \\ 1,3 \end{array} $

Table 3. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP4

Weights of the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP4 are illustrated in Table 4. The graphs of $\phi_{7,5}^{EP4}$, $\psi_{7,5}^{EP4}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{7,5}^{EP4}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{7,5}^{EP4}$ are plotted in Fig. 1. Additional three BFB 7/5's with Conditions EP1–EP3 are included in the Appendix II.

5.2. BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1: m = 1 and n = 3.

Using $\phi_{9,7}^{EP1}$, $\psi_{9,7}^{EP1}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{9,7}^{EP1}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{9,7}^{EP1}$ to denote the biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets, it follows from (56)-(58) that we need to find F_3 and G_2 , e.g.,

$$F_3(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3, (76)$$

$$G_2(t) = 1 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2, (77)$$

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

Table 4. Weights of BFB 7/5 with Condition EP4			
Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0005784866875877	1.0005566492504580	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0005566492504580	1.0005784866875877	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.0076302279709638	.9942931852525390	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$

1.0082125289423012

.9911227078550452

.9987303196390679

.9898977949364849

.9935355807715416

 $\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$

 $\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$

 $\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$

 $\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$

 $\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

.9949013664034054

1.0109731444897238

1.0055307248134918

1.0121574495762324

1.0109555946963996

 $w_{1,1}$

 $w_{2,0}$

 $w_{2,1}$

 $w_{3,0}$

 $w_{3,1}$



Figure 1. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 7/5 with Condition EP4: $\phi_{7,5}^{EP4}$, $\psi_{7,5}^{EP4}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{7,5}^{EP4}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{7,5}^{EP4}$.

so that they satisfy

14

$$F_3(t)G_2(t) = 1 + 2t + t^2(1 - 2t)(C_0 + C_1(1 - 2t)^2),$$
(78)

for some constants C_0 and C_1 .

We focus on BFB filters, derived from the refinable function ϕ and wavelet ψ , satisfying Condition EP1 in (1), i.e.,

$$w_{0,0} = 1, |w_{0,1} - 1| =$$
the smallest. (79)

To demonstrate the elegance of our Algorithm 1, we enumerate the procedure for calculating the 7 to-be-determined coefficients a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , b_1 , b_2 , C_0 , and C_1 in details (similar details will be omitted for the remaining seven constructions in the sequel).

First of all, the identity (78) yields 5 equations involving the 7 coefficients a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , b_1 , b_2 , C_0 , and C_1 , namely,

$$a_1 + b_1 - 2 = 0, (80)$$

$$a_2 + a_1 b_1 + b_2 - C_0 - C_1 = 0, (81)$$

$$a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_3 + 2C_0 + 6C_1 = 0, (82)$$

$$a_2b_2 + a_3b_1 - 12C_1 = 0, (83)$$

$$a_3b_2 + 8C_1 = 0. (84)$$

Solve the 5 equations (80)–(84) in such a way that a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , C_0 and C_1 are being expressed in terms of b_1 and b_2 :

$$a_1 = -b_1 + 2, (85)$$

$$a_2 = \frac{(2b_1 + 3b_2)(b_1b_2 + 2b_1^2 - 4b_1 - 4b_2)}{(b_1 + b_2)(2b_1 + b_2 + 4)},$$
(86)

$$a_3 = -\frac{2b_2(b_2b_1 - 4b_2 + 2b_1^2 - 4b_1)}{(b_1 + b_2)(2b_1 + b_2 + 4)},$$
(87)

$$C_{0} = -\frac{1}{4} (b_{2}^{3}b_{1} - 8b_{2}^{3} + 6b_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{2} - 36b_{2}^{2}b_{1} - 48b_{2}b_{1}^{2} + 32b_{2}b_{1} - 16b_{1}^{3} + 32b_{2}^{2} + 8b_{1}^{4} + 12b_{1}^{3}b_{2})/((b_{1} + b_{2})(2b_{1} + b_{2} + 4)),$$
(88)

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{b_2^2 (b_2 b_1 - 4b_2 + 2b_1^2 - 4b_1)}{(b_1 + b_2)(2b_1 + b_2 + 4)}.$$
(89)

Second, by using (53)-(55) and (18), the two conditions in (79) are

$$w_{0,0} =$$
the constant term of $2(1-t)^2 F_3(t)^2 \Big|_{t=\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{z-1+z}{2})} = 1,$ (90)

and

$$w_{0,1} - 1 =$$
the constant term of $2(1-t)^2 G_2(t)^2 \Big|_{t=\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{z^{-1}+z}{2})} - 1 =$ the smallest. (91)

With (85)-(89), the requirement (90) leads to

$$28672b_{2}^{2} + 28672b_{1}^{2} + 57344b_{2}b_{1} - 58368b_{2}b_{1}^{2} - 43008b_{2}^{2}b_{1} - 9216b_{2}^{3} - 10144b_{2}^{3}b_{1} - 25168b_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{2} - 25088b_{1}^{3}b_{2} - 1736b_{2}^{3}b_{1}^{2} - 4016b_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{3} - 4096b_{2}b_{1}^{4} - 24576b_{1}^{3} - 8704b_{1}^{4} - 1360b_{2}^{4} - 1536b_{1}^{5} + 128b_{1}^{6} - 280b_{2}^{4}b_{1} + 11b_{2}^{4}b_{1}^{2} + 76b_{2}^{3}b_{1}^{3} + 204b_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{4} + 256b_{2}b_{1}^{5} = 0,$$
(92)

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

while, by denoting $w_{0,1} - 1$ in (91) by $T(b_1, b_2)$, meaning the *target* function, $T(b_1, b_2)$ becomes

$$T(b_1, b_2) = \frac{1}{512} (24b_1^2 + 24b_1b_2 + 7b_2^2 + 128b_1 + 48b_2 - 128).$$
(93)

Third, a direct application of the method of Lagrange multipliers to finding local extrema of the target function $T(b_1, b_2)$ in (93) under the constraint (92) gives rise to a group of four solutions for b_1 and b_2 . The best solution among these four solutions that minimizes $T(b_1, b_2)$ in (93) is

$$b_1 = 1.0165426408592217, \quad b_2 = -.3862070404605876,$$

so that

16

$$a_1 = .9834573591407783, \quad a_2 = -.2081412616407905,$$

 $a_3 = -.1838511497717023, \quad C_0 = .4142536149868504,$
 $C_1 = -.0088755760548257.$

Finally, with these seven coefficients and by using (53)–(55) and (51)–(52), all linear-phase filters for the BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1 are established and listed in Table 5.

Weights of the BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1 are illustrated in Table 6, and graphs of $\phi_{9,7}^{EP1}$, $\psi_{9,7}^{EP1}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{9,7}^{EP1}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{9,7}^{EP1}$ are plotted in Fig. 2.

k	h	g	k
0, 8	.0010156437088478	.0085340505391147	0, 6
1,7	0086618903838575	0727824232613753	1, 5
2, 6	0736649650247083	3620874411323884	2, 4
3, 5	.3622152809771313	.8526716277092981	3
4	.8524054238182686		
-			
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
$\frac{k}{1,7}$	$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0085340505391147}$	ğ .0010156437088478	$\frac{k}{-1,7}$
$\frac{k}{1,7}$ 2,6	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\widetilde{h}} \\0085340505391147 \\0727824232613753 \end{array}$	ğ .0010156437088478 .0086618903838575	$\frac{k}{-1,7}\\0,6$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0085340505391147 \\0727824232613753 \\ .3620874411323884 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0010156437088478 \\ \hline 0.0086618903838575 \\ \hline0736649650247083 \end{array}$	
	$\begin{array}{r} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0085340505391147 \\0727824232613753 \\ .3620874411323884 \\ .8526716277092981 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0010156437088478 \\ \hline 0.086618903838575 \\ \hline0736649650247083 \\ \hline3622152809771313 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,7 \\ 0,6 \\ 1,5 \\ 2,4 \\ \end{array} $

Table 5. BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1

Table 6. Weights of BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1

Weights	h	ñ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.0000037570608299	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0000037570608299	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	0.9990932489588953	1.0009111516155897	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0009115240449724	.9991011381205513	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9986816393628597	1.0013234581092678	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9995090864790113	1.0005030836707783	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9985328256234965	1.0014729408738587	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9988343927834451	1.0011779289611062	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$



Figure 2. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1: $\phi_{9,7}^{EP1}$, $\psi_{9,7}^{EP1}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{9,7}^{EP1}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{9,7}^{EP1}$.

5.3. BFB 9/7 with Condition EP2: m = 1 and n = 3.

The biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are denoted by $\phi_{9,7}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{9,7}^{EP2}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{9,7}^{EP2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{9,7}^{EP2}$. Completely analogous to the previous BFB 9/7, we simply change the two conditions for Condition EP1 in (79) to those for Condition EP2. , namely,

$$w_{0,1} = 1, \quad |w_{0,0} - 1| =$$
the smallest. (94)

Exact procedure yields slightly different values for the coefficients of F_3 and G_2 in (76)–(77), as follows:

 $\begin{array}{ll} a_1 = .9834776030341662, & a_2 = -.2081618721169732, \\ a_3 = -.1838536169545349, & b_1 = 1.0165223969658338, \\ b_2 = -.3861851306324918, & C_0 = .4142551992841438, \\ C_1 = -.0088751916351054, \end{array}$

which consequently gives rise to the BFB in Table 7.

18
18
10

k	h	g	k
0,8	.0010156573382361	.0085335663957390	0, 6
1,7	0086624003328945	0727816022238401	1, 5
2, 6	0736657889684743	3620869569890128	2, 4
3, 5	.3622157909261683	.8526699856342277	3
4	.8524070444470239		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$	k
$\frac{k}{1,7}$	$\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0085335663957390}$	ğ .0010156573382361	$\frac{k}{-1,7}$
$\begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,7 \\ 2,6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0085335663957390 \\0727816022238401 \end{array}$	ğ .0010156573382361 .0086624003328945	$\begin{array}{c} k\\ -1,7\\ 0,6 \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0085335663957390 \\0727816022238401 \\ .3620869569890128 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0010156573382361 \\ \hline 0.0086624003328945 \\ \hline0736657889684743 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k\\ -1,7\\ 0,6\\ 1,5 \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0085335663957390 \\0727816022238401 \\ .3620869569890128 \\ .8526699856342277 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0010156573382361 \\ \hline 0.0086624003328945 \\ \hline0736657889684743 \\ \hline3622157909261683 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,7 \\ 0,6 \\ 1,5 \\ 2,4 \\ \end{array} $

Weights of the BFB 9/7 with Condition EP2 are illustrated in Table 8, with graphs of $\phi_{9,7}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{9,7}^{EP2}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{9,7}^{EP2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{9,7}^{EP2}$ plotted in Fig. 3.

Again, two additional BFB 9/7 with Condition EP3 and Condition EP4 are included in the Appendix II.

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0000037622228576	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.00000000000000000	1.0000037622228576	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9990988954780349	1.0009054989309133	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0009134049382975	.9990992796363417	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9986879784923395	1.0013171027585935	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9995140471602881	1.0004981404135577	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9985393907845092	1.0014663545786470	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9988405145018811	1.0011718131709768	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 8. Weights of BFB 9/7 with Condition EP2

5.4. BFB 11/9 with Condition EP3: m = 2 and n = 3.

The biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are denoted by $\phi_{11,9}^{EP3}$, $\psi_{11,9}^{EP3}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{11,9}^{EP3}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{11,9}^{EP3}$. Again, write F_3 and G_2 the same as in (76)–(77). By using (56)-(58), F_3 and G_2 must satisfy

$$F_3(t)G_2(t) = 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 20t^3 + C_0t^4(1 - 2t),$$
(95)

for some constant C_0 . It turns out that, with (95) and the first condition of Condition EP3, all coefficients are settled. Among all possible solutions, select the one that satisfies the minimum ℓ_2 -norm in Condition EP3. By doing so, we arrive at

 $\begin{array}{ll} a_1 = 1.0097370825100568, & a_2 = 6.7709624774527519, \\ a_3 = -.4586576392294149, & b_1 = 2.9902629174899432, \\ b_2 = .2096581683029422, & C_0 = .0480806602594954, \end{array}$



Figure 3. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 9/7 with Condition EP2: $\phi_{9,7}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{9,7}^{EP2}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{9,7}^{EP2}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{9,7}^{EP2}$.

which gives rise to the BFB in Table 9. Weights of the BFB 11/9 with Condition EP3 are illustrated in Table 10. Graphs of $\phi_{11,9}^{EP3}$, $\psi_{11,9}^{EP3}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{11,9}^{EP3}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{11,9}^{EP3}$ are plotted in Fig. 4. Once more, the other additional BFB 11/9 with Conditions EP4 only is provided in the Appendix II.

5.5. BFB 13/11 with Condition EP1: m = 2 and n = 4.

The biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are denoted by $\phi_{13,11}^{EP1}$, $\psi_{13,11}^{EP1}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$. Similar to (76)–(77), write F_4 and G_3 as

$$F_4(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3 + a_4 t^4, (96)$$

$$G_3(t) = 1 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2 + b_3 t^3.$$
(97)

The identity that F_4 and G_3 must satisfy, from (58), is

$$F_4(t)G_3(t) = 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 20t^3 + t^4(1 - 2t)(C_0 + C_1(1 - 2t)^2),$$
(98)

)
k	h	g	k
0, 10	.0006334373573089	.0011582086917044	0, 8
1,9	.0361377618710581	.0660760995777440	1,7
2, 8	0242125601425010	0483966862739871	2, 6
3,7	1007871959770629	4196294901710178	3, 5
4, 6	.3771325133784659	.8015837363511130	4
5	.8364056493985571		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$	k
1,9	.0011582086917044	0006334373573089	-1,9

.0660760995777440

-.0483966862739871

-.4196294901710178

.8015837363511130

2, 8

3, 7

4, 6

5

Table 9 BEB 11/9 with Condition EP3

Table 10.	Weights	of BFB	11/9 with	Condition	EP3
-----------	---------	--------	-----------	-----------	-----

.0361377618710581

.0242125601425010

-.1007871959770629

-.3771325133784659

.8364056493985571

0, 8

1, 7

2, 6

3, 5

4

Weights	h	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0081335676751557	1.0081335676751557	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0081335676751557	1.0081335676751557	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9541164667665928	1.0655080241114951	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0852491451960252	.9745025929210217	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9302457372972378	1.0905114015916696	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9960085866006116	1.0610423509148224	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9227785728377510	1.0979194977962053	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9531638802345701	1.1017627855395372	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

where C_0 and C_1 are to-be-determined constants. Similar to BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1, eight nonlinear equations are generated from (98) and (1). Again, with another application of the method of Lagrange multiplier, all 7 coefficients of F_4 and G_3 in (96)–(97) and 2 constants C_0 and C_1 in (98) are determined and listed in the follows

$$a_1 = .9593321752494364, \quad a_2 = 12.2162082406675360,$$

$$a_3 = -24.4791147610354754, \quad a_4 = 22.6398493240698941,$$

$$b_1 = 3.0406678247505636, \quad b_2 = -5.1332187191964663,$$

$$b_3 = 12.2581453031028809, \quad C_0 = -68.0515225967840965,$$

$$C_1 = -34.6903203318505382.$$

These coefficients, consequently, give rise to the BFB in Table 11.

Weights of the BFB 13/11 with Condition EP1 are calculated by using (35)-(40) and (41)-(46), and are tabulated in Table 12, and graphs of $\phi_{13,11}^{EP1}$, $\psi_{13,11}^{EP1}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$ are plotted in Fig. 5.

5.6. BFB 13/11 with Condition EP2: m = 2 and n = 4.

The biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are denoted by $\phi_{13,11}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{13,11}^{EP2}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP2}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{13,11}^{EP2}$



Figure 4. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 11/9 with Condition EP3: $\phi_{11,9}^{EP3}$, $\psi_{11,9}^{EP3}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{11,9}^{EP3}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{11,9}^{EP3}$.

Similar to BFB 13/11 with Condition EP1, write F_4 and G_3 as in (96)–(97). It then naturally follows from (98) and (1) that the coefficients of F_4 and G_3 in (96)–(97) are

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_1 = .9606616521702165, & a_2 = 12.3210523814804282, \\ a_3 = -24.8502477444418170, & a_4 = 23.0390962745854443; \\ b_1 = 3.0393383478297835, & b_2 = -5.2408281802108841, \\ b_3 = 12.4370634141304899; & C_0 = -69.2965856199102679, \\ C_1 = -35.8173376714095869. \end{array}$$

The BFB 13/11 with Condition EP2 is now established, with all filters being listed in Table 13. Weights of the BFB 13/11 with Condition EP2 are included in Table 14; and the graphs of $\phi_{13,11}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{13,11}^{EP2}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{13,11}^{EP2}$ are plotted in Fig. 6.

21

22		

k	h	g	k
0, 12	.0078167924717244	.0169293313839728	0, 10
1, 11	.0025401505134383	.0055013677237460	1, 9
2, 10	.0155045979503007	.0164019070423589	2, 8
3, 9	0288188979943560	0679969256352202	3, 7
4, 8	0863780693064859	3868846290196054	4, 6
5,7	.3798321380741915	.8320978970094958	5
6	.8332201389554692		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
k 1,11	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$ 0169293313839728	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$.0078167924717244	$\frac{k}{-1,11}$
$\begin{array}{r} k \\ \hline 1,11 \\ \hline 2,10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0169293313839728 \\ .0055013677237460 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0078167924717244 \\0025401505134383 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k\\ -1,11\\ 0,10 \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0169293313839728 \\ .0055013677237460 \\0164019070423589 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{g} \\ \hline & .0078167924717244 \\ \hline &0025401505134383 \\ \hline & .0155045979503007 \end{array}$	
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0169293313839728 \\ .0055013677237460 \\0164019070423589 \\0679969256352202 \end{array}$	ğ .0078167924717244 0025401505134383 .0155045979503007 .0288188979943560	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,11 \\ 2,10 \\ 3,9 \\ 4,8 \\ 5,7 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0169293313839728 \\ .0055013677237460 \\0164019070423589 \\0679969256352202 \\ .3868846290196054 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline & .0078167924717244 \\0025401505134383 \\ \hline & .0155045979503007 \\ \hline & .0288188979943560 \\0863780693064859 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \\ 3, 7 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,11 \\ 2,10 \\ 3,9 \\ 4,8 \\ 5,7 \\ 6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0169293313839728 \\ .0055013677237460 \\0164019070423589 \\0679969256352202 \\ .3868846290196054 \\ .8320978970094958 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r c} & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \\ 3, 7 \\ 4, 6 \end{array}$

Table 11. BFB 13/11 with Condition EP1

Table 12. Weights of BFB 13/11 with Condition EP1

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.0021652860677171	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0021652860677171	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9691101165459206	1.0337693640811553	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0333369523914061	.9729356908868231	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9480793557865392	1.0561556123339834	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9922475548642841	1.0135738984465527	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9407923016330405	1.0642030540923618	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9569019736628954	1.0498050885055738	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

5.7. BFB 15/13 with Condition EP2: m = 3 and n = 4.

The biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are denoted by $\phi_{15,13}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{15,13}^{EP2}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{15,13}^{EP2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{15,13}^{EP2}$. With F_4 and G_3 in (96)–(97), and by using (58), the identity that F_4 and G_3 must satisfy

$$F_4(t)G_3(t) = 1 + 6t + 21t^2 + 56t^3 + 126t^4 + 252t^5 + C_0t^6(1 - 2t),$$
(99)

for some constant C_0 . Again, by using (98) and (2), the 8 coefficients a_1, a_2, \ldots, C_0 in (96)–(97) and (99) are

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_1 = 3.6479393214173282, & a_2 = 9.1438541182872717, \\ a_3 = -4.7482443902585054, & a_4 = 7.6579720661169862; \\ b_1 = 2.3520606785826718, & b_2 = 3.2759712459514760, \\ b_3 = 27.2908003439998804; & C_0 = -104.4960933481634606 \end{array}$$

which gives rise to the BFB 15/13 with Condition EP2 in Table 15.

Weights of the BFB 15/13 with Condition EP2 are in Table 16. Graphs of $\phi_{15,13}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{15,13}^{EP2}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{15,13}^{EP2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{15,13}^{EP2}$ are plotted in Fig. 7.



Figure 5. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 13/11 with Condition EP1: $\phi_{13,11}^{EP1}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{13,11}^{EP1}$.

5.8. BFB 15/13 with Condition EP3: m = 3 and n = 4.

The biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are denoted by $\phi_{15,13}^{EP3}$, $\psi_{15,13}^{EP3}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{15,13}^{EP3}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{15,13}^{EP3}$. The two polynomials F_4 and G_3 , again, can be expressed by (96)–(97), along with the identity (99) they must satisfy. With (99) and (3), we have all coefficients in (96)–(97) as

$$a_1 = 2.2984779015187734, \quad a_2 = 3.3402901113058788,$$

$$a_3 = 25.1604546292217135, \quad a_4 = 8.1821330613446772;$$

$$b_1 = 3.7015220984812266, \quad b_2 = 9.1518431433516251,$$

$$b_3 = -2.5599215147185578; \quad C_0 = 10.4728092300131280$$

Hence, this The new BFB 15/13 with Condition EP3 is listed in Table 17. Weights of the BFB 15/13 with Condition EP3 are posted in Table 18.

Graphs of their corresponding refinable functions and wavelets, $\phi_{15,13}^{EP3}$, $\psi_{15,13}^{EP3}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{15,13}^{EP3}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{15,13}^{EP3}$ are plotted in Fig. 8.

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

lications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM)	, Vol.	11	[2016

k	h	g	k
0, 12	.0079546392618013	.0171764294495679	0, 10
1, 11	.0025013232150223	.0054010999517869	1, 9
2, 10	.0153343593296121	.0156312352785074	2, 8
3, 9	0287317936661742	0675370994132515	3, 7
4, 8	0869964910685564	3863610553213491	4, 6
5,7	.3797838610444257	.8313787801094767	5
6	.8345217661408334		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
k 1,11	$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0171764294495679}$	ğ .0079546392618013	k = -1, 11
$\frac{k}{1,11}$ 2,10	$\begin{array}{c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0171764294495679 \\ .0054010999517869 \end{array}$	ğ .0079546392618013 0025013232150223	$k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10$
	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0171764294495679 \\ .0054010999517869 \\0156312352785074 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline & .0079546392618013 \\0025013232150223 \\ & .0153343593296121 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \end{array} $
$ \frac{k}{1,11} \\ 2,10 \\ 3,9 \\ 4,8 $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0171764294495679 \\ .0054010999517869 \\0156312352785074 \\0675370994132515 \end{array}$	ğ .0079546392618013 0025013232150223 .0153343593296121 .0287317936661742	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \end{array} $
$ \frac{k}{1,11} \\ \frac{1,11}{2,10} \\ \frac{3,9}{4,8} \\ \frac{5,7}{5,7} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0171764294495679 \\ .0054010999517869 \\0156312352785074 \\0675370994132515 \\ .3863610553213491 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline \\ .0079546392618013 \\0025013232150223 \\ .0153343593296121 \\ .0287317936661742 \\0869964910685564 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \\ 3, 7 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,11 \\ 2,10 \\ 3,9 \\ 4,8 \\ 5,7 \\ 6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0171764294495679 \\ .0054010999517869 \\0156312352785074 \\0675370994132515 \\ .3863610553213491 \\ .8313787801094767 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline \\ .0079546392618013 \\0025013232150223 \\ .0153343593296121 \\ .0287317936661742 \\0869964910685564 \\3797838610444257 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \\ 3, 7 \\ 4, 6 \end{array}$

Table 13. BFB 13/11 with Condition EP2

Table 14. Weights of BFB 13/11 with Condition EP2

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0022953021927952	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.00000000000000000	1.0022953021927952	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9722152774656418	1.0306138911543154	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0348547593000506	.9717849441532523	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9512382279231992	1.0527195851470402	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9953879658869954	1.0107808048799724	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9439283959214199	1.0606965102716203	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9602096683055518	1.0465689771764431	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

5.9. BFB 17/15 with Condition EP2: m = 3 and n = 5.

Denote by $\phi_{17,15}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{17,15}^{EP2}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{17,15}^{EP2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{17,15}^{EP2}$, the refinable functions and wavelets. With

$$F_5(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3 + a_4 t^4 + a_5 t^5,$$
(100)

$$G_4(t) = 1 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2 + b_3 t^3 + b_4 t^4,$$
(101)

the identity that F_5 and G_4 must satisfy is

$$F_5(t)G_4(t) = 1 + 6t + 21t^2 + 56t^3 + 126t^4 + 252t^5 + t^6(1 - 2t)(C_0 + C_1(1 - 2t)^2), \quad (102)$$

for some constants C_0 and C_1 . Again, the requirements (102) and (2), plus another application of the method of Lagrange multiplier, lead to the coefficients in (100)-(101) as

$$a_1 = 3.6850326693942465, \quad a_2 = 8.8887467265749782,$$

 $a_3 = -11.9308411108643810, \quad a_4 = 12.6664830888352934,$
 $a_5 = 23.4927022045793695;$

24





Figure 6. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 13/11 with Condition EP2: $\phi_{13,11}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{13,11}^{EP2}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{13,11}^{EP2}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{13,11}^{EP2}$.

and

$$b_1 = 2.3149673306057535, \quad b_2 = 3.5805230315624288,$$

 $b_3 = 34.1593384839883973, \quad b_4 = -16.7516163451564283;$
 $C_0 = -505.9056340980933762, \quad C_1 = 49.1925917802655278.$

All these coefficients consequently yield the BFB 17/15 with Condition EP2, being listed in Table 19.

Weights of the BFB 17/15 with Condition EP2 are in Table 20; and graphs of $\phi_{17,15}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{17,15}^{EP2}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{17,15}^{EP2}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{17,15}^{EP2}$ are plotted in Fig. 9.

All Riesz bounds of these new BFBs with certain EP conditions are calculated and listed in Table 21, by using Proposition 1 in Appendix I, where the lower Riesz bounds (LRB) and upper Riesz bounds (URB) for both LeGall 5/3 and CDF 9/7 are also included for reference.

26			

	-		
k	h	g	k
0, 14	.0006610112277940	0094226122984621	0, 12
1, 13	.0003173895595891	0045243388339540	1,11
2, 12	.0093232275587282	.0525909291493993	2, 10
3, 11	.0032000219790237	.0434496188269085	3, 9
4, 10	0904474953662203	0969250629132126	4, 8
5,9	0442917369506223	3924786705862283	5, 7
6, 8	.4340166471729719	.8146202733110984	6
7	.7886554320105664		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
$\frac{k}{1,13}$	$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0094226122984621}$	$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ 0006610112277940	k = -1, 13
$\frac{k}{1,13}$ 2,12	$\begin{array}{c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0094226122984621 \\ .0045243388339540 \end{array}$	ğ 0006610112277940 .0003173895595891	k = -1, 13 = 0, 12
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,13 \\ 2,12 \\ 3,11 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\ \hline0094226122984621 \\ .0045243388339540 \\ .0525909291493993 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{g} \\ \hline &0006610112277940 \\ \hline & .0003173895595891 \\ \hline &0093232275587282 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} k \\ -1, 13 \\ 0, 12 \\ 1, 11 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,13 \\ 2,12 \\ 3,11 \\ 4,10 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0094226122984621 \\ .0045243388339540 \\ .0525909291493993 \\0434496188269085 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0006610112277940 \\ \hline .0003173895595891 \\0093232275587282 \\ \hline .0032000219790237 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} k \\ -1, 13 \\ 0, 12 \\ 1, 11 \\ 2, 10 \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ \hline 1,13 \\ 2,12 \\ 3,11 \\ 4,10 \\ 5,9 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0094226122984621 \\ .0045243388339540 \\ .0525909291493993 \\0434496188269085 \\0969250629132126 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0006610112277940 \\ .0003173895595891 \\0093232275587282 \\ .0032000219790237 \\ .0904474953662203 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} k \\ -1, 13 \\ 0, 12 \\ 1, 11 \\ 2, 10 \\ 3, 9 \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,13 \\ 2,12 \\ 3,11 \\ 4,10 \\ 5,9 \\ 6,8 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0094226122984621 \\ .0045243388339540 \\ .0525909291493993 \\0434496188269085 \\0969250629132126 \\ .3924786705862283 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c} k \\ \hline -1, 13 \\ 0, 12 \\ \hline 1, 11 \\ 2, 10 \\ \hline 3, 9 \\ 4, 8 \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,13 \\ 2,12 \\ 3,11 \\ 4,10 \\ 5,9 \\ 6,8 \\ 7 $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0094226122984621 \\ .0045243388339540 \\ .0525909291493993 \\0434496188269085 \\0969250629132126 \\ .3924786705862283 \\ .8146202733110984 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c} k \\ -1, 13 \\ 0, 12 \\ 1, 11 \\ 2, 10 \\ 3, 9 \\ 4, 8 \\ 5, 7 \end{array}$

Table 15. BFB 15/13 with Condition EP2

Table 16. Weights of BFB 15/13 with Condition EP2

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0191987060160679	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.00000000000000000	1.0191987060160679	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.0776869258063536	.9418685936641299	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$.9857716654214979	1.0813468364854223	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$	1.0967326329441575	.9236485338394675	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0792592488226427	.9769729448918817	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$	1.1016426945108856	.9189712518338777	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	1.1108518864873426	.9431374022762024	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

6. Smoothness of Refinable Functions and Wavelets

The smoothness of all 9 new pairs of biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets (ϕ, ψ) and $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi})$ constructed in Section V can be described and determined by the *Hölder exponents* of the refinable function. A function f is said to have *Hölder exponent* $\nu = n + \alpha$, with n being a nonnegative integer and $0 \le \alpha < 1$, and can be denoted by $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\nu}(\mathbb{R})$, if $f \in \mathbb{C}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., f is n-th order differentiable, and

$$|f^{(n)}(u) - f^{(n)}(v)| \le C |u - v|^{\alpha}, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R},$$

where C is a positive constant.

All the Hölder exponents of the nine biorthogonal pairs of refinable functions we have established are listed in Table 22, where, for additional information, the numbers of VM for all nine biorthogonal wavelets are also included in the fourth column of the table.

The Hölder exponents for LeGall 5/3 (ϕ^{53}, ψ^{53}) and $(\widetilde{\phi}^{53}, \widetilde{\psi}^{53})$ and CDF 9/7 (ϕ^{97}, ψ^{97}) and



Figure 7. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 15/13 with Condition EP2: $\phi_{15,13}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{15,13}^{EP2}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{15,13}^{EP2}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{15,13}^{EP2}$.

 $(\tilde{\phi}^{97}, \tilde{\psi}^{97})$ were also included in Table 22 for comparison purposes. The negative value of the Hölder exponent for ϕ^{53} indicates that the corresponding refinable function ϕ^{53} was discontinuous.

7. Image Coding Performance Analysis

Energy compaction, as an important property, can be used to assess the coding efficiency of a transform. A transform with strong energy compaction property is desired for data compression applications. Here, to evaluate coding performance of wavelet transform with a specific set of filterbanks, we calculate the transform's *potential energy compaction* (PEC) (Hamou and El-Sakka, 2003)

Potential Energy Compaction (PEC) =
$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=0}^{M} x_n^2$$
, (103)

where x represents the coefficients in all of the high frequency subbands and M is the total number of such coefficients. PEC is a direct indicator of energy compaction property for a

27

	Table 17. DFD 13/13 with Condition EF5					
k	h	g	k			
0, 14	.0007062550991513	.0008838563781069	0, 12			
1, 13	0100995844590737	0126393170840225	1,11			
2, 12	.0010818920605614	0004727179798768	2, 10			
3, 11	.0571264253782391	.0976137198571110	3, 9			
4, 10	0361757858198670	0370670250452229	4, 8			
5, 9	1066062518195251	4385277933663622	5, 7			
6, 8	.3879410292534281	.7804185544805331	6			
7	.8262656029872668					
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k			
1, 13	.0008838563781069	0007062550991513	-1, 13			

 $.0126393170840225 \\ .0004727179798768$

-.0976137198571110

-.0370670250452229

.4385277933663622

.7804185544805331

2, 12

3, 11

4,10

5.9

6, 8

7

Table 17. BFB 15/13 with Condition EP3

Table 1	8. 1	Weights	of	BFB	15/13	with	Condition	EP3

-.0100995844590737

-.0010818920605614

.0571264253782391

.0361757858198670

-.1066062518195251

-.3879410292534281

.8262656029872668

0, 12

1,11

2, 10

3, 9

4, 8

5, 7

6

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0157926905885902	1.0157926905885902	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0157926905885902	1.0157926905885902	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9514641687951524	1.0783320075958603	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.1173065448109509	.9934809219211633	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9310991607803551	1.0984191634975949	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0007436172766918	1.0937005405721780	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9257638157233974	1.1036000188435020	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9626051964723454	1.1269848896338305	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

wavelet transform. The compression efficiency will be inversely proportional to the PEC rating. We compared the PEC values of our newly constructed BFBs to those of CDF 5/3 and CDF 9/7 on four different images (as shown in Fig. 10), and the results are tabulated in Table 23. From the results, we can see that BFB 15/13 with EP3 gives the smallest PEC values for all of the six images. In fact, BFB 11/9 with EP3 and CDF 9/7 produce similar PEC values as BFB 15/13 with EP3 does, which indicates that all of the three filterbanks shall demonstrate comparable compression performance, with BFB 15/13 with EP3 performs little better than the other two. This can be proven with real image compression results, which is tabulated in Table 24. Some image examples are shown in Fig. 10.

8. Conclusion

Weights within the energy of lowpass and highpass filters derived from a pair of compactly supported biorthogonal refinable functions and wavelets are re-defined and re-formulated. The recently newly introduced notion energy preservation (EP) is further investigated in detail. Exten-



Figure 8. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 15/13 with Condition EP3: $\phi_{15,13}^{EP3}$, $\psi_{15,13}^{EP3}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{15,13}^{EP3}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{15,13}^{EP3}$.

sive examples of biorthogonal filterbanks (BFB) with PR FIR QMF were established, by using the efficient construction algorithm. It is worth mentioning that there is no other choice to improve the performance of a PR FIR QMF system but make the length of each filter *longer* in order to have all desirable features when applied to image processing. These features include, but may not limited to, the high number of vanishing moments (VM), the symmetry or linear-phase, FIR, and, very substantially, the Condition EP proposed in this paper. Meanwhile, it is still immature with regard to how to choose one of the four EP conditions over the others. For a fixed VM of 2m, one or two of the EP conditions may not yield any solution. To demonstrate the performance of these new BFB m/n's with Condition EPs, their potential energy compaction (PEC) values are evaluated and compared to those of CDF 5/3 and CDF 9/7, and, also for comparison purposes, they are applied to four typical images for demonstrating their image coding performance.

30

k	h	g	k
0, 16	0005069534007951	.0014459450089716	0, 14
1,15	.0031211432035101	0089022017217417	1,13
2, 14	.0019326663143561	0121746705835542	2, 12
3, 13	0033297529577634	.0505145928320875	3, 11
4, 12	.0027378744569749	.0569052890877736	4, 10
5, 11	0680690006051480	0916641029662372	5, 9
6, 10	0505086931108201	3997299541064647	6, 8
7,9	.4218310009526751	.8072102048983305	7
8	.7997969926671159		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
$\frac{k}{1,15}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$ 0014459450089716	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$ 0005069534007951	k = -1, 15
$\frac{k}{1,15}$ 2,14	$\begin{array}{c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0005069534007951 \\0031211432035101 \end{array}$	k = -1, 15 = 0, 14
	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \\ .0121746705835542 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0005069534007951 \\0031211432035101 \\ .0019326663143561 \end{array}$	
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,15 \\ 2,14 \\ 3,13 \\ 4,12 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \\ .0121746705835542 \\ .0505145928320875 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0005069534007951 \\0031211432035101 \\ .0019326663143561 \\ .0033297529577634 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 15 \\ 0, 14 \\ 1, 13 \\ 2, 12 \\ \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,15 \\ 2,14 \\ 3,13 \\ 4,12 \\ 5,11 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \\ .0121746705835542 \\ .0505145928320875 \\0569052890877736 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0005069534007951 \\0031211432035101 \\ .0019326663143561 \\ .0033297529577634 \\ .0027378744569749 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 15 \\ 0, 14 \\ 1, 13 \\ 2, 12 \\ 3, 11 \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,15 \\ 2,14 \\ 3,13 \\ 4,12 \\ 5,11 \\ 6,10 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \\ .0121746705835542 \\ .0505145928320875 \\0569052890877736 \\0916641029662372 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline0005069534007951 \\ \hline0031211432035101 \\ \hline .0019326663143561 \\ \hline .0033297529577634 \\ \hline .0027378744569749 \\ \hline .0680690006051480 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 15 \\ 0, 14 \\ 1, 13 \\ 2, 12 \\ 3, 11 \\ 4, 10 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} k\\ \hline 1,15\\ 2,14\\ \hline 3,13\\ 4,12\\ \hline 5,11\\ \hline 6,10\\ \hline 7,9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \\ .0121746705835542 \\ .0505145928320875 \\0569052890877736 \\0916641029662372 \\ .3997299541064647 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline$	$\begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 15 \\ 0, 14 \\ 1, 13 \\ 2, 12 \\ 3, 11 \\ 4, 10 \\ 5, 9 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{r} k\\ \hline 1,15\\ 2,14\\ \hline 3,13\\ \hline 4,12\\ \hline 5,11\\ \hline 6,10\\ \hline 7,9\\ \hline 8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0014459450089716 \\0089022017217417 \\ .0121746705835542 \\ .0505145928320875 \\0569052890877736 \\0916641029662372 \\ .3997299541064647 \\ .8072102048983305 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r c} & & & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 15 \\ 0, 14 \\ 1, 13 \\ 2, 12 \\ 3, 11 \\ 4, 10 \\ 5, 9 \\ 6, 8 \end{array}$

Table 19. BFB 17/15 with Condition EP2

Table 20. Weights of BFB 17/15 with Condition EP2

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0099916839491913	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.00000000000000000	1.0099916839491913	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.0643121756317232	.9457021959789806	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$.9709123773677931	1.0685914739068977	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$	1.0842075968188536	.9272365112295471	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0568817300321149	.9743135751774849	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$	1.0895329160787905	.9224913670893828	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	1.0901047307450765	.9406097856641525	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

APPENDIX I Evaluation of Euler-Frobenius Polynomials

For a refinable function ϕ , its integer-translates $\{\phi(\cdot - k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a Riesz basis. Its *autocorrelation symbol* or *Euler-Frobinius polynomial* is

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \phi(\cdot), \phi(\cdot - k) \rangle z^k.$$
(104)

It is also known that, in terms of Fourier transforms,

$$\Phi(e^{-j\omega}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{\phi} \left(\omega + 2\pi k \right) \right|^2, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(105)



Figure 9. Plots of refinable functions and wavelets for BFB 17/15 with Condition EP2: $\phi_{17,15}^{EP2}$, $\psi_{17,15}^{EP2}$, $\widetilde{\phi}_{17,15}^{EP2}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{17,15}^{EP2}$.

Similarly, let $\widetilde{\Phi}$, Ψ , and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ be the Euler-Frobenius polynomials for $\widetilde{\phi}$, ψ , and $\widetilde{\phi}$; and introduce $\Theta(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot - k) \rangle z^k, \qquad (106)$

$$\widetilde{\Theta}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \widetilde{\phi}(\cdot), \widetilde{\psi}(\cdot - k) \rangle z^k.$$
(107)

Then, with the notation in (11), all two-scale relations and Fourier transforms lead us to the following matrix identity

$$M_{H,G}(z) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(z) & 0\\ 0 & \Phi(-z) \end{bmatrix} M_{H,G}(z)^* = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(z^2) & \Theta(z^2)\\ \overline{\Theta(z^2)} & \Psi(z^2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad |z| = 1,$$
(108)

which is equivalent to

$$H(z)|^{2}\Phi(z) + |H(-z)|^{2}\Phi(-z) = \Phi(z^{2}),$$
(109)

$$|G(z)|^{2}\Phi(z) + |G(-z)|^{2}\Phi(-z) = \Psi(z^{2}),$$
(110)

$$H(z)\overline{G(z)}\Phi(z) + H(-z)\overline{G(-z)}\Phi(-z) = \Theta(z^2).$$
(111)

	LRB	URB	LRB	URB	
$\Phi^{EP4}_{7,5}$.9875	1.0123	.9884	1.0125	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP4}_{7,5}$
$\Psi^{EP4}_{7,5}$.9833	1.0369	.9648	1.0187	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP4}_{7,5}$
$\Phi^{EP1}_{9,7}$.9983	1.0018	.9982	1.0017	${ ilde \Phi}^{EP1}_{9,7}$
$\Psi^{EP1}_{9,7}$.9948	1.0024	.9976	1.0052	$ ilde{\Psi}^{EP1}_{9,7}$
$\Phi^{EP2}_{9,7}$.9983	1.0019	.9982	1.0017	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP2}_{9,7}$
$\Psi^{EP2}_{9,7}$.9948	1.0024	.9976	1.0052	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP2}_{9,7}$
$\Phi^{EP3}_{11,9}$.9031	1.1135	.8917	1.1011	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP3}_{11,9}$
$\Psi^{EP3}_{11,9}$.7329	1.1531	.8713	1.3549	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP3}_{11,9}$
$\Phi_{13,11}^{EP1}$.9525	1.0663	.9368	1.0488	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP1}_{13,11}$
$\Psi^{EP1}_{13,11}$.9221	1.0801	.9255	1.0837	$\Psi_{13,11}^{EP1}$
$\Phi_{13,11}^{EP2}$.9511	1.0627	.9399	1.0504	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP2}_{13,11}$
$\Psi_{13,11}^{EP2}$.9185	1.0827	.9233	1.0879	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP2}_{13,11}$
$\Phi^{EP2}_{15,13}$.8643	1.1234	.8834	1.1447	$\tilde{\Phi}^{EP2}_{15,13}$
$\Psi^{EP2}_{15,13}$.8643	1.4217	.6959	1.1447	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP2}_{15,13}$
$\Phi^{EP3}_{15,13}$.8638	1.2021	.8173	1.1442	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP3}_{15,13}$
$\Psi^{EP3}_{15,13}$.6329	1.2021	.8173	1.5521	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP3}_{15,13}$
$\Phi^{EP2}_{17,15}$.8949	1.1052	.9003	1.1119	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{EP2}_{17,15}$
$\Psi^{EP2}_{17,15}$.8768	1.3555	.7341	1.1352	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{EP2}_{17,15}$
Φ^{53}	.4675	1.7662	.5000	1.5000	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{53}$
Ψ^{53}	.4416	1.7662	.5000	2.0000	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{53}$
Φ^{97}	.8920	1.1802	.8388	1.1085	$\widetilde{\Phi}^{97}$
Ψ^{97}	.6757	1.2016	.8336	1.4650	$\widetilde{\Psi}^{97}$

Table 21. Lower Riesz Bounds (LRB) and Upper Riesz Bounds (URB) of all New Biorthogonal Refinable Functions and Wavelets in Section 5 & with LRBs and URBs for LeGall 5/3 and CDF 9/7

Evidently $\Phi(z) = \Psi(z) = 1$ and $\Theta(z) = 0$ when ϕ is orthonormal. Certainly, with H, G, Φ , and Ψ replaced by $\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\Phi}, \text{ and } \widetilde{\Psi}, (109)$ –(111) becomes

$$|\widetilde{H}(z)|^{2}\widetilde{\Phi}(z) + |\widetilde{H}(-z)|^{2}\widetilde{\Phi}(-z) = \widetilde{\Phi}(z^{2}),$$
(112)

$$|\tilde{G}(z)|^2 \tilde{\Phi}(z) + |\tilde{G}(-z)|^2 \tilde{\Phi}(-z) = \tilde{\Psi}(z^2), \qquad (113)$$

$$\widetilde{H}(z)\overline{\widetilde{G}(z)}\widetilde{\Phi}(z) + \widetilde{H}(-z)\overline{\widetilde{G}(-z)}\widetilde{\Phi}(-z) = \widetilde{\Theta}(z^2).$$
(114)

The identities (109)–(111) and (112)–(114) are both convenient and ultrapractical for the evaluation of all Euler-Frobenius polynomials. For instance, for LeGall 5/3, a brusque calculation yields the following explicit expressions

$$\Phi^{53}(e^{-j\omega}) = 1 - \frac{201}{308}\cos\omega + \frac{9}{77}\cos 2\omega + \frac{1}{308}\cos 3\omega, \tag{115}$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{53}(e^{-j\omega}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos\omega,\tag{116}$$

$$\Psi^{53}(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{12}{11} + \frac{51}{77}\cos\omega + \frac{1}{77}\cos2\omega, \qquad (117)$$

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^{53}(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{9}{8} - \frac{3}{4}\cos\omega + \frac{1}{8}\cos 2\omega,$$
(118)

32

	ϕ	$\widetilde{\phi}$	VM of ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$
BFB 7/5 EP4	.4814	.4117	2
BFB 9/7 EP1	.4149	.4476	2
BFB 9/7 EP2	.4179	.4189	2
BFB 11/9 EP3	.9722	1.4355	4
BFB 13/11 EP1	.8749	.8958	4
BFB 13/11 EP2	.8684	.8941	4
BFB 15/13 EP2	2.0958	1.5348	6
BFB 15/13 EP3	1.4099	2.3061	6
BFB 17/15 EP2	1.6633	1.6825	6
LeGall 5/3	0606	1.0000	2
CDF 9/7	.9496	1.5467	4

Table 22. Hölder exponents of the nine BFBs

Table 23. Comparison of PEC in (103), with transform level being 5

				Poter	ntial Ene	rgy Con	paction	(PEC)			
image	LeGall	CDF	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB
	5/3	9/7	7/5	9/7	9/7	11/9	13/11	13/11	15/13	15/13	17/15
			EP4	EP1	EP2	EP3	EP1	EP2	EP2	EP3	EP2
barbara	1743	924	1233	1153	1153	897	1023	1025	1449	881	1359
house	3573	2015	2434	2314	2314	1954	2128	2133	2855	1952	2715
lenna	1277	591	860	792	792	570	679	682	995	552	923
sandiego	2141	1074	1293	1225	1225	1033	1137	1141	1536	1028	1447

and

$$\Theta^{53}(e^{-j\omega}) = e^{-j\omega/2} \left(-\frac{89}{308} \cos\frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{87}{308} \cos\frac{3\omega}{2} + \frac{1}{154} \cos\frac{5\omega}{2} \right), \tag{119}$$

$$\widetilde{\Theta}^{53}(e^{-j\omega}) = e^{-j\omega/2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \cos\frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \cos\frac{3\omega}{2} \right).$$
(120)

For comparison purposes, we plot all Euler-Frobenius polynomials for LeGall 5/3 and CDF 9/7 in Fig. 11: Φ^{53} and $\widetilde{\Phi}^{53}$, Φ^{97} and $\widetilde{\Phi}^{97}$, Ψ^{53} and $\widetilde{\Psi}^{53}$, Ψ^{97} and $\widetilde{\Psi}^{97}$, $e^{j\omega/2}\Theta^{53}(e^{j\omega})$ and $e^{j\omega/2}\widetilde{\Theta}^{53}(e^{-j\omega})$, and $e^{j\omega/2}\widetilde{\Theta}^{97}(e^{-j\omega})$ and $e^{j\omega/2}\widetilde{\Theta}^{97}(e^{-j\omega})$. The closer the $|\Phi|$ and $|\widetilde{\Phi}|$ to one, $|\Psi|$ and $|\widetilde{\Psi}|$ to one, and $|\Theta|$ and $|\widetilde{\Theta}|$ to zero, the more near-orthogonal the ϕ and $\widetilde{\phi}$ are.

In general, we establish an efficient procedure for finding all Euler-Frobenius polynomials of any BFB. First, Φ , Ψ , and Θ are clearly reciprocal polynomials satisfying

$$\overline{\Phi(z)} = \Phi(z), \tag{121}$$

$$\overline{\Psi(z)} = \Psi(z), \tag{122}$$

$$\overline{\Theta(z)} = z^{-1}\Theta(z). \tag{123}$$

With t in (53), $\Phi(z)$ is a polynomial of exact degree 2m + 2n - 1, denoted by

$$U_{2m+2n-1}(t) = \Phi(z).$$
(124)



Figure 10. Test images and compression results. Top to bottom: barbara, house, lenna, and sandiego. Left to right: original, CDF 9/7 with SPIHT (Said and Pearlman, 1996) at 0.5 bpp, BFB 11/9 EP3 with SPIHT at 0.5 bpp, and BFB 15/13 EP3 with SPIHT at 0.5 bpp

Hence,

34

$$\Phi(-z) = U_{2m+2n-1}(1-t), \tag{125}$$

$$\Phi(z^2) = U_{2m+2n-1}(4t(1-t)).$$
(126)

Second, with H and \tilde{H} in (54)–(55), together with F and G satisfying (56), the identity (109) becomes

$$(1-t)^{2m} [F_n(t)]^2 U_{2m+2n-1}(t) + t^{2m} [F_n(1-t)]^2 U_{2m+2n-1}(1-t)$$

= $U_{2m+2n-1}(4t(1-t)).$ (127)

]	PSNR (dB)				
Image	LeGall	CDF	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB	BFB
	5/3	9/7	7/5	9/7	9/7	11/9	13/11	13/11	15/13	15/13	17/15
			EP4	EP1	EP2	EP3	EP1	EP2	EP2	EP3	EP2
barbara	29.9	31.3	29.7	29.9	29.9	31.3	30.5	30.5	30.8	31.8	31
house	25.5	25.9	25.3	25.5	25.5	25.9	25.7	25.7	25.4	25.8	25.6
lenna	36.4	37.1	35.7	36	36	37.1	36.5	36.5	36.4	37.2	36.6
sandiego	23.4	23.9	23.3	23.4	23.4	23.9	23.6	23.6	23.5	24	23.7

Table 24. Comparison of rate-distortion performance with SPIHT (Said and Pearlman, 1996)algorithm, with bit rate being 0.5 bpp

Theorem 1: The polynomial $U_{2m+2n-1}$ in (127) is unique with $U_{2m+2n-1}(0) = 1$, and determined by the Maclaurin polynomial of degree 2m + 2n - 1 of the following function

$$-t^{2m}\frac{\left[F_{n}(1-t)\right]^{2}}{\left(1-t\right)^{2m}\left[F_{n}(t)\right]^{2}}U_{2m+2n-1}(1-t) + \frac{1}{\left(1-t\right)^{2m}\left[F_{n}(t)\right]^{2}}U_{2m+2n-1}(4t(1-t)).$$
(128)

Similarly, the unique polynomial $V_{2m+2n-3}(t) = \widetilde{\Phi}(z)$ of degree 2m + 2n - 3 and satisfying $V_{2m+2n-3}(0) = 1$ and

$$(1-t)^{2m} [G_{n-1}(t)]^2 V_{2m+2n-3}(t) + t^{2m} [G_{n-1}(1-t)]^2 V_{2m+2n-3}(1-t)$$

= $V_{2m+2n-3}(4t(1-t)),$ (129)

can also be evaluated by Proposition 1. After both Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are obtained, Ψ , $\tilde{\Psi}$, Θ and $\tilde{\Theta}$ can all be obtained by (110), (113), (111), and (114), respectively.

APPENDIX II Additional BFBs

k	h	g	k
0, 6	0151449638228338	0764119740848740	0, 4
1, 5	0700747935660952	3535533905932738	1, 3
2, 4	.3686983544161076	.8599307293562955	2
3	.8472563683187380		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
k 1,5	$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0764119740848740}$	ğ .0151449638228338	k -1, 5
$\begin{array}{c}k\\1,5\\2,4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0764119740848740 \\ .3535533905932738 \end{array}$	ğ .0151449638228338 0700747935660952	$\frac{k}{-1,5}$
$\begin{array}{c}k\\1,5\\2,4\\3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\ \hline0764119740848740 \\ \hline .3535533905932738 \\ \hline .8599307293562955 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0151449638228338 \\ \hline0700747935660952 \\ \hline3686983544161076 \end{array}$	$rac{k}{-1,5} \\ 0,4 \\ 1,3$

Table 25. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP1

Although there are no striking differences performance-wise, we have listed some additional BFBs here for additional reference.

B1. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP1



Figure 11. Plots of Euler-Frobenius polynomials Φ^{53} and $\widetilde{\Phi}^{53}$, Φ^{97} and $\widetilde{\Phi}^{97}$, Ψ^{53} and $\widetilde{\Psi}^{53}$, Ψ^{97} and $\widetilde{\Psi}^{97}$, $e^{j\omega/2}\Theta^{53}(e^{-j\omega})$ and $e^{j\omega/2}\widetilde{\Theta}^{97}(e^{-j\omega})$, and $e^{j\omega/2}\Theta^{97}(e^{-j\omega})$.

With \tilde{b} in (72), the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP1 is created and listed in Table 25. Weights of the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP1 are in Table 26.

B2. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP2

With \tilde{b} in (73), the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP2 is listed in Table 27. Weights of the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP2 are in Table 28.

B3. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP3

With \tilde{b} in (74), the BFB 7/5 with Condition EP3 is listed in Table 29. Weights of the BFB 7/5

Weights	h	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.0011584388583453	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0011584388583453	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.0068238600793053	.9951317153039584	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$.9945841422399645	1.0086122849043330	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$	1.0100895003967167	.9920412683722906	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0048421375340930	.9995308201053070	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$	1.0112494712026609	.9908412812103105	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	1.0101396571595314	.9944741248265543	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 26. Weights of BFB 7/5 with Condition EP1

Table 27. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP2

k	h	g	k
0, 6	0151486519354670	0761025218356459	0, 4
1, 5	0703768695900569	3535533905932738	1, 3
2, 4	.3687020425287408	.8593118248578394	2
3	.8478605203666614		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
$\frac{k}{1,5}$	$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0761025218356459}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$.0151486519354670	$\frac{k}{-1,5}$
$\frac{k}{1,5}$ 2,4	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0761025218356459 \\ .3535533905932738 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline .0151486519354670 \\0703768695900569 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k\\ -1,5\\ 0,4 \end{array}$
$\frac{k}{1,5}$ $\frac{2,4}{3}$	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\ \hline0761025218356459 \\3535533905932738 \\8593118248578394 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0151486519354670 \\ \hline0703768695900569 \\ \hline3687020425287408 \end{array}$	

with Condition EP3 are in Table 30.

B4. BFB 9/7 with Condition EP3

Similar to the BFB 9/7 with Condition EP1 & Condition EP2 in Section 5, BFB 9/7 with Condition EP3 is tabulated in Table 31, and weights of the BFB 9/7 with Condition EP3 are in Table 32.

B5. BFB 9/7 with Condition EP4

Meanwhile, BFB 9/7 with Condition EP4 is in Table 33, and weights of the BFB 9/7 with Condition EP4 are in Table 34.

B6. BFB 11/9 with Condition EP4

Due to their minor differences, we omit the BFB 11/9's with Condition EP1 & Condition EP2 and list only the BFB 11/9 with Condition EP4 here.

With (95), the BFB 11/9 with Condition EP4 is in Table 35, and weights of the BFB 11/9 with Condition EP4 are in Table 36.

B7. BFB 13/11 with Condition EP3

With (98) and (3), the BFB 13/11 with Condition EP3 is in Table 37, and weights of the BFB 13/11 with Condition EP3 are in Table 38.

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

Weights	h	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0011146251836722	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.0011146251836722	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.0083778820416620	.9935179995006257	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$.9951958546531894	1.0078431000042233	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$	1.0117926119215717	.990273777554436	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0061697083456300	.9979907125132796	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$	1.0129995565062208	.9890259382163199	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	1.0117128639350284	.9926686881641895	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 28.	Weights	of BFB	7/5 with	Condition	EP2
		· · · · ·			

Table 29. BFB 7/5 with Condition EP3

k	h	g	k
0, 6	0151468900092339	0762542300151167	0, 4
1, 5	0702286852630524	3535533905932738	1, 3
2, 4	.3687002806025077	.8596152412167809	2
3	.8475641517126523		
k	ĥ	ĝ	k
$\frac{k}{1,5}$	h 0762542300151167	ğ .0151468900092339	k -1, 5
$\frac{k}{1,5}$ 2,4	h 0762542300151167 .3535533905932738	ğ .0151468900092339 0702286852630524	$\frac{k}{-1,5}$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\ \hline0762542300151167 \\ \hline .3535533905932738 \\ \hline .8596152412167809 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0151468900092339 \\0702286852630524 \\3687002806025077 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k\\ -1,5\\ 0,4\\ 1,3 \end{array}$

APPENDIX III **Even-Length BFBs**

Although the odd-length BFB filters with certain EP conditions are emphasized and constructed, even-length BFBs are exhibiting eminence in some other applications (Balasingham et al., 1997; Muthuvel and Makur, 2000; Zanjani et al., 2006; Tay, 2008). For completeness, we include in this appendix the construction of even-length BFB, with Condition EP3 in particular.

Similar to (49)–(50) and to be more specific, we write

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{2m-1} S_{2m}(z),$$
(130)

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{2}\right)^{2m-1} \widetilde{S}_{2m}(z), \qquad (131)$$

where, again, S_{2m} and \widetilde{S}_{2m} are reciprocal polynomials of exact degree 2m that satisfy

$$(1+z) \not| S_{2m}(z), \ \widetilde{S}_{2m}(z);$$

 $S_{2m}(1) = \widetilde{S}_{2m}(1) = 1.$

Consequently, the filterbanks will be CDF 4m/4m, i.e., both lowpass and highpass filters are with linear phases and both have the same even length 4m. Moreover, all wavelets will have

38

Weights	h	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0005677781225810	1.0005677781225810	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0005677781225810	1.0005677781225810	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$	1.0076152978003301	.9943086876100272	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$.9948954892055626	1.0082199181408479	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$	1.0109567818589060	.9911396873261831	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0055179699539433	.9987451146284400	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$	1.0121406356051454	.9899152340376341	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$	1.0109404797885825	.9935529244813030	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 30. Weights of BFB 7/5 with Condition EP3

Table 31	1. BFB	9/7	with	Condition	EP3

k	h	g	k
0,8	.0010156505199965	.0085338086354344	0, 6
1,7	0086621451841133	0727820130233624	1, 5
2, 6	0736653767141652	3620871992287082	2, 4
3, 5	.3622155357773871	.8526708072332723	3
4	.8524062335748848		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
k 1,7	$\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0085338086354344}$	ğ .0010156505199965	$\frac{k}{-1,7}$
$\begin{array}{c} k\\ 1,7\\ 2,6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\0085338086354344 \\0727820130233624 \end{array}$	ğ .0010156505199965 .0086621451841133	$\frac{k}{-1,7}$
$\begin{array}{c} k\\ 1,7\\ 2,6\\ 3,5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline \mathbf{h} \\ \hline0085338086354344 \\ \hline0727820130233624 \\ \hline .3620871992287082 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline .0010156505199965 \\ \hline .0086621451841133 \\0736653767141652 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k\\ -1,7\\ 0,6\\ 1,5 \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,7 \\ 2,6 \\ 3,5 \\ 4 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r c} & & & \\ \hline h & \\ \hline0085338086354344 & \\ \hline0727820130233624 & \\ \hline .3620871992287082 & \\ \hline .8526708072332723 & \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0010156505199965 \\ \hline 0.0086621451841133 \\ \hline0736653767141652 \\ \hline3622155357773871 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,7 \\ 0,6 \\ 1,5 \\ 2,4 \\ \end{array} $

2m-1 VM. The integer K in (12) or (18)–(19) is 2m. Again, with t defined in (53) and similar to (54)–(55), H and \tilde{H} in (130)–(131) can be re-written as

$$H(z) = z^{2m-1} \frac{1+z}{2} (1-t)^{m-1} F_m(t), \qquad (132)$$

$$\widetilde{H}(z) = z^{2m-1} \frac{1+z}{2} (1-t)^{m-1} G_m(t),$$
(133)

for F_m and G_m of exact degrees m and satisfying

$$(1-t)^{2m-1}F_m(t)G_m(t) + t^{2m-1}F_m(1-t)G_m(1-t) = 1, \quad t \in [0,1];$$
(134)

$$F_m(0) = G_m(0) = 1. (135)$$

Hence, it follows from (Lian, 2001) that $F_m G_m$ must have the form

$$F_m(t)G_m(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-2} {\binom{2m-2+k}{k}} t^k + C_0 t^{2m-1}(1-2t),$$
(136)

for some constant C_0 , which is determined by one of the four EP conditions. With $\varepsilon = 1$, G and \widetilde{G} in (18) and (19) are

$$G(z) = \widetilde{H}(-z), \tag{137}$$

$$\widetilde{G}(z) = H(-z). \tag{138}$$

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

Weights	h	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0000018798175388	1.0000018798175388	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0000018798175388	1.0000018798175388	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9990960702729141	1.0009083272092260	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0009124638458001	.9991002095131160	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9986848067419229	.9995115651108249	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$	1.0013202826100966	1.0005006137345623	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9985361059398271	1.0014696499812666	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9988374515320433	1.0011748731599354	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 32	2. Weights	of BFB	9/7	with	Condition	EP3
10010 01			<i></i>		0011011011	

Table 33. BFB 9/7 with Condition EP4

k	h	g	k
0, 8	.0010156505153183	.0085338088016166	0, 6
1,7	0086621450090733	0727820133051835	1, 5
2, 6	0736653764313465	3620871993948904	2, 4
3, 5	.3622155356023471	.8526708077969146	3
4	.8524062330186039		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
$\frac{k}{1,7}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$ 0085338088016166	g .0010156505153183	$\frac{k}{-1,7}$
$\frac{k}{1,7}$ 2,6	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline & & \\0085338088016166 \\0727820133051835 \end{array}$	ğ .0010156505153183 .0086621450090733	$\frac{k}{-1,7}\\0,6$
$\begin{array}{c}k\\1,7\\2,6\\3,5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0085338088016166 \\0727820133051835 \\ .3620871993948904 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ .0010156505153183 \\ .0086621450090733 \\0736653764313465 \end{array}$	k = -1, 7 = 0, 6 = 1, 5
	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0085338088016166 \\0727820133051835 \\ .3620871993948904 \\ .8526708077969146 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0010156505153183 \\ \hline 0.086621450090733 \\ \hline0736653764313465 \\ \hline3622155356023471 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,7 \\ 0,6 \\ 1,5 \\ 2,4 \\ \end{array} $

Observe that if $(\phi_{4m,4m}^{EP1}, \psi_{4m,4m}^{EP1})$ and $(\widetilde{\phi}_{4m,4m}^{EP1}, \widetilde{\psi}_{4m,4m}^{EP1})$ constitute a biorthogonal system, then $\phi_{4m,4m}^{EP2} = \widetilde{\phi}_{4m,4m}^{EP1}$ and $\psi_{4m,4m}^{EP2} = \widetilde{\psi}_{4m,4m}^{EP1}$. In other words, BFBs 4m/4m with Condition EP1 and BFBs 4m/4m with Condition EP2 are constructed simultaneously mainly due to the fact that all filters have the same length 4m.

As a demonstrative example, we set m = 3 and construct the BFB 12/12 with Condition EP3. Write F_3 and G_3 as

$$F_3(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3,$$

$$G_3(t) = 1 + b_1 t + b_2 t^2 + b_3 t^3.$$

Then (134)-(136) and Condition EP3 lead to

 $\begin{array}{ll} a_1 = 1.3337433665494807, & a_2 = 5.4443351973811674, \\ a_3 = 14.0786114247078130; & b_1 = 3.6662566334505193, \\ b_2 = 4.6658193376861715, & b_3 = -5.2619470479918829; \\ C_0 = 37.0404539130330370. \end{array}$

40

Weights	h	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0000018785261557	1.0000018811071500	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0000018811071500	1.0000018785261557	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9990960683347463	1.0009083291495102	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0009124632001835	.9991002101510407	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9986848045660166	1.0013202847915708	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9995115634080709	1.0005006154313355	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9985361036863355	1.0014696522420125	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9988374494307633	1.0011748752591805	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Table 34. Weights of BFB 9/7 with Condition EP4

Table 35. BFB 11/9 with Condition EP4

k	h	g	k
0, 10	.0006498856781080	.0011905000307570	0, 8
1,9	.0360931817123812	.0661176809801392	1,7
2, 8	0242203237025032	0486090144349880	2, 6
3,7	1005257125375647	4196710715734130	3, 5
4, 6	.3771238286176690	.8019438099950095	4
5	.8359718428369145		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$	k
$\frac{k}{1,9}$	h .0011905000307570	$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}$ 0006498856781080	k -1, 9
	$\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}}{.0011905000307570}$ 0661176809801392	ğ 0006498856781080 .0360931817123812	$\begin{array}{c}k\\-1,9\\0,8\end{array}$
$ \frac{k}{1,9} \\ \frac{2,8}{3,7} $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\ \hline .0011905000307570 \\0661176809801392 \\0486090144349880 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\0006498856781080 \\ .0360931817123812 \\ .0242203237025032 \end{array}$	k = -1,9 = 0,8 = 1,7
$ \frac{k}{1,9} \\ 2,8 \\ 3,7 \\ 4,6 $	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ & \\ \hline h \\ .0011905000307570 \\0661176809801392 \\0486090144349880 \\ .4196710715734130 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\0006498856781080 \\ .0360931817123812 \\ .0242203237025032 \\1005257125375647 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,9 \\ 0,8 \\ 1,7 \\ 2,6 \\ \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ 1,9 \\ 2,8 \\ 3,7 \\ 4,6 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\ \hline \\ .0011905000307570 \\0661176809801392 \\0486090144349880 \\ .4196710715734130 \\ .8019438099950095 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\0006498856781080 \\ .0360931817123812 \\ .0242203237025032 \\1005257125375647 \\3771238286176690 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1,9 \\ 0,8 \\ 1,7 \\ 2,6 \\ 3,5 \\ \end{array} $

Table 36. Weights of BFB 11/9 with Condition EP4

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0072840523965583	1.0088330936461765	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0088330936461765	1.0072840523965583	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9530846410106563	1.0664856176370199	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.0843858100807528	.9747330034352886	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9291943194242402	1.0915900107533816	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9947688827217231	1.0616741591490110	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9217294743698444	1.0990277801836811	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9518735266152172	1.1025443025356975	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

Hence, (132)-(133) yields

$$h_0 = h_{11} = -.0097217593829114,$$
 $h_1 = h_{10} = .0247597528872896,$
 $h_2 = h_9 = .0489108688744086,$ $h_3 = h_8 = -.1087743617414911,$
 $h_4 = h_7 = .0488653797296422,$ $h_5 = h_6 = .7030669008196096;$

J.-A. Lian & Y. Wang

Table 37. BFB 13/11 with Condition EP3							
-	k	h	g	k			
	0.12	0078836658313995	0170492651873219	0.10			

n	11	5	n
0, 12	.0078836658313995	.0170492651873219	0, 10
1, 11	.0025213736454515	.0054527384641817	1, 9
2, 10	.0154219421943901	.0160277522896776	2, 8
3, 9	0287769207330297	0677737019116951	3, 7
4, 8	0866780132051877	3866304080702732	4, 6
5,7	.3798089376808520	.8317487080815741	5
6	.8338515915453438		
k	$\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}$	ĝ	k
k 1,11	$\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}}{0170492651873219}$	ğ .0078836658313995	$\frac{k}{-1,11}$
$\begin{array}{r} k\\ 1,11\\ 2,10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\ &0170492651873219 \\ & .0054527384641817 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0078836658313995 \\ \hline0025213736454515 \end{array}$	$\frac{k}{-1,11}\\0,10$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \\ \hline {\bf h} \\ \hline & \\0170492651873219 \\ \hline & .0054527384641817 \\ \hline & \\0160277522896776 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ \hline 0.0078836658313995 \\ \hline0025213736454515 \\ \hline 0.0154219421943901 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\ \hline &0170492651873219 \\ \hline & .0054527384641817 \\ \hline &0160277522896776 \\ \hline &0677737019116951 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathbf{g}} \\ 0.0078836658313995 \\0025213736454515 \\ 0.0154219421943901 \\ 0.0287769207330297 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k \\ -1,11 \\ 0,10 \\ 1,9 \\ 2,8 \end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{r} & \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\ \hline &0170492651873219 \\ .0054527384641817 \\0160277522896776 \\0677737019116951 \\ .3866304080702732 \end{array}$	ğ .0078836658313995 0025213736454515 .0154219421943901 .0287769207330297 0866780132051877	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \\ 3, 7 \\ \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ \hline 1,11 \\ 2,10 \\ 3,9 \\ 4,8 \\ 5,7 \\ 6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} \\0170492651873219 \\ .0054527384641817 \\0160277522896776 \\0677737019116951 \\ .3866304080702732 \\ .8317487080815741 \end{array}$	ğ .0078836658313995 0025213736454515 .0154219421943901 .0287769207330297 0866780132051877 3798089376808520	$ \begin{array}{r} k \\ -1, 11 \\ 0, 10 \\ 1, 9 \\ 2, 8 \\ 3, 7 \\ 4, 6 \\ \end{array} $

Table 38. Weights of BFB 13/11 with Condition EP3

Weights	h	ĥ	Weights
$w_{0,0}$	1.0011132049134615	1.0011132049134615	$\widetilde{w}_{0,0}$
$w_{0,1}$	1.0011132049134615	1.0011132049134615	$\widetilde{w}_{0,1}$
$w_{1,0}$.9706153388077445	1.0322355935379490	$\widetilde{w}_{1,0}$
$w_{1,1}$	1.034072922243983	.9723761734666035	$\widetilde{w}_{1,1}$
$w_{2,0}$.9496103586911090	1.0544853745092700	$\widetilde{w}_{2,0}$
$w_{2,1}$.9937697324329497	1.0122158919865541	$\widetilde{w}_{2,1}$
$w_{3,0}$.9423122148861941	1.0624985209271279	$\widetilde{w}_{3,0}$
$w_{3,1}$.9585048727295536	1.0482316824973282	$\widetilde{w}_{3,1}$

and

$$\widetilde{h}_0 = \widetilde{h}_{11} = .0036335531639449, \quad \widetilde{h}_1 = \widetilde{h}_{10} = .0092540737636692, \\ \widetilde{h}_2 = \widetilde{h}_9 = -.0457869346277827, \quad \widetilde{h}_3 = \widetilde{h}_8 = -.1107089552735895, \\ \widetilde{h}_4 = \widetilde{h}_7 = .1652490973141165, \quad \widetilde{h}_5 = \widetilde{h}_6 = .6854659468461891;$$

and, by using (137)-(138),

$$g_k = (-1)^k \widetilde{h}_k, \quad \widetilde{g}_k = (-1)^k h_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, 11.$$

The initial level weights are

$$w_{0,0} = w_{0,1} = \widetilde{w}_{0,0} = \widetilde{w}_{0,1} = 1.0232451703981401.$$

Again, by using (109)–(112), the Riesz bounds for $\{\phi_{12,12}^{EP3}(\cdot - k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\widetilde{\phi}_{12,12}^{EP3}(\cdot - k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are found in the following

$$.8440350201656507 \le \Phi_{12,12}^{EP3}(e^{-j\omega}) \le 1.2136551093739243,$$

$$.8046326219081530 \le \widetilde{\Phi}_{12,12}^{EP3}(e^{-j\omega}) \le 1.1673948582780465,$$

where $\Phi_{12,12}^{EP3}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{12,12}^{EP3}$ are the Euler-Frobenius polynomials of $\phi_{12,12}^{EP3}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,12}^{EP3}$, as introduced in (104) or (105). In addition, the corresponding refinable functions $\phi_{12,12}^{EP3}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,12}^{EP3}$ have Hölder exponents 1.0943 and 2.1108, i.e., $\phi_{12,12}^{EP3} \in C^{1.0943}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,12}^{EP3} \in C^{2.1108}$.

REFERENCES

- Abdelnour, A. F. and Selesnick, I. W. (2004). Symmetric nearly orthogonal and orthogonal nearly symmetric wavelets. *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 29(2C):3–16.
- Antonini, M., Barlaud, M., Mathieu, P., and Daubechies, I. (1992). Image coding using wavelet transform. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 1(2):205–220.
- Balasingham, I., Ramstad, T. A., and Lervik, J. M. (1997). Survey of odd and even length filters in tree-structured filter banks for subband image compression. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, volume 4, pages 3073–3076, Munich, Germany.
- Cohen, A., Daubechies, I., and Feauveau, J.-C. (1992). Biorthogonal bases of compactly supported wavelets. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 45(5):485–560.
- Daubechies, I. (1988). Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 41(7):909–996.
- Daubechies, I. (1992). *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA.
- de Saint-Martin, F. M., Siohan, P., and Cohen, A. (1999). Biorthogonal filterbanks and energy preservation property in image compression. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 8(2):168–178.
- Hamou, A. K. and El-Sakka, M. R. (2003). Wavelet-filter performance evaluation for digital images. In *IEEE CCECE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, volume 3, pages 2075–2078, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.
- Le Gall, D. and Tabatabai, A. (1988). Sub-band coding of digital images using symmetric short kernel filters and arithmetic coding techniques. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, volume 2, pages 761–764, New York, NY.
- Lian, J. and Wang, Y. (2014). Energy preserving QMF for image processing. *IEEE Transactions* on *Image Processing*, 23(7):3166–3178.
- Lian, J.-A. (2001). Polynomial identities of Bézout type. In Lyche, T., Kopotun, K., and Neamtu, M., editors, *Trends in Approximation Theory*, pages 243–252. Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville, TN.
- Muthuvel, A. and Makur, A. (2000). Design of two-channel linear-phase fir pr filter banks with even length filters using convolution matrices. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems Part II: Analog & Digital Signal Processing*, 47(12):1413–1418.
- Said, A. and Pearlman, W. A. (1996). A new, fast, and efficient image codec based on set

partitioning in hierarchical trees. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 6(3):243–250.

- Tay, D. B. H. (2008). ETHFB: A new class of even-length biorthogonal wavelet filters for hilbert pair design. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 55(6):1580–1588.
- Usevitch, B. E. (1996). Optimal bit allocation for biorthogonal wavelet coding. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE Data Compression Conference, pages 387–395, Snowbird, UT.
- Usevitch, B. E. (2001). A tutorial on modern lossy wavelet image compression: Foundations of JPEG 2000. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 18(5):22–35.
- Wei, D., Tian, J., Wells, Jr., R. O., and Burrus, C. S. (1998). A new class of biorthogonal wavelet system for image transform coding. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 7(7):1000– 1013.
- Woods, J. W. and Naveen, T. (1992). A filter based bit allocation scheme for subband compression of hdtv. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 1(3):436–440.
- Yang, X., Ramchandran, K., and Moulin, P. (1998). Optimization of high-energy-compaction, nearly-orthonormal, linear-phase filter banks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, volume 5, pages 106–109, Monterey, CA.
- Zanjani, S. M. M., Fakhraie, S. M., Shoaei, ., and Salehi, M. E. (2006). Design of fir filters using identical subfilters of even length. In 2006 International Conference on Microelectronics, pages 83–86, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia.