Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM)

# Developing an Improved Shift-and-Invert Arnoldi Method 

H. Saberi Najafi<br>Guilan University<br>M. Shams Solary<br>Guilan University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pvamu.edu/aam
Part of the Partial Differential Equations Commons

## Recommended Citation

Najafi, H. Saberi and Solary, M. Shams (2010). Developing an Improved Shift-and-Invert Arnoldi Method, Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM), Vol. 5, Iss. 1, Article 13.
Available at: https://digitalcommons.pvamu.edu/aam/vol5/iss1/13

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @PVAMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM) by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @PVAMU. For more information, please contact hvkoshy@pvamu.edu.

# Developing an Improved Shift-and-Invert Arnoldi Method 

H. Saberi Najafi and M. Shams Solary<br>Department of Mathematics<br>Faculty of Sciences \& Computer Center<br>Guilan University<br>P.O. Box 1914<br>Rasht, Iran<br>hnajafi@guilan.ac.ir<br>shamssolary@gmail.com

Received: May 6, 2009; Accepted: April 12, 2010


#### Abstract

An algorithm has been developed for finding a number of eigenvalues close to a given shift and in interval $[L b, U b]$ of a large unsymmetric matrix pair. The algorithm is based on the shift-andinvert Arnoldi with a block matrix method. The block matrix method is simple and it uses for obtaining the inverse matrix. This algorithm also accelerates the shift-and-invert Arnoldi Algorithm by selecting a suitable shift. We call this algorithm Block Shift-and-Invert or BSI. Numerical examples are presented and a comparison has been shown with the results obtained by Sptarn Algorithm in Matlab. The results show that the method works well.
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## 1. Introduction

The eigenvalue problem is one of the most important subjects in Applied Sciences and Engineering. So this encouraged scientists into gaining new methods for this problem. For standard problems, powerful tools are available such as QR, Lanczos, Arnoldi Algorithm and etc. see Datta (1992) and Saad (1994). Computing the eigenvalues of the generalized eigenvalue problem $(A x=\lambda B x)$ is one of the most important topics in numerical linear algebra.

The shift-and-invert Arnoldi method has been popularly used for computing a number of eigenvalues close to a given shift and/or the associated eigenvectors of a large unsymmetric matrix pair $(A x=\lambda B x)$.

We consider the large unsymmetric generalized eigenproblem

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \phi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \phi_{i} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ large matrices. An obvious approach is to transform (*) to a standard eigenproblem by inverting either $A$ or $B$ but if $A$ or $B$ are singular or ill-conditioned this manner will not work well. We are interested in computing some interior eigenvalues of $(A, B)$ in the complex plane or some eigenvalues that are situated in the interval [ $L b, U b$ ]. We will describe this problem and show a new method for solving this problem.

One of the most commonly used techniques for this kind of problem is the shift-and-invert Arnoldi method Jia and Zhang (2002), which is a natural generalization of the shift-and-invert Lanczos method for the symmetric case Ericsson and Ruhe (1980).

When $A-\sigma B$ is invertible for $\sigma$, the eigenvectors of the matrix pair $(A, B)$ are the same as those of the matrix $(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B$. Therefore, we can run the Arnoldi method on the matrix $(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B$. If the shift $\sigma$ is suitably selected, we set $C=(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B$ so the Arnoldi method applied to the eigenproblem of the shift- and- invert matrix $C$. It may give a much faster convergence with eigenvalues in interval including shift $\sigma$. Instead of a fixed or constant shift $\sigma$, Ruhe provided an effective technique Ruhe (1994) for selecting the shift $\sigma$ dynamically, also can see Saberi and Shams (2005).

The shift-and-invert can be used when both $A$ and $B$ are singular or near singular. Since the shift-and-invert Arnoldi method for problem (*) is mathematically equivalent to the Arnoldi method for solving the transformed eigenproblem, the former has the same convergence problem as the latter; it is described in Section 2. This motivates us to derive a Block Shift-and-Invert Algorithm and to develop corresponding more efficient algorithms. In section 3, we will discuss on Block Shift-and-Invert Algorithm by block matrix and LDV decomposition. Then, we try to find eigenvalues for system $A \varphi_{i}=\lambda B \varphi_{i}$ in interval $[l b, u b]$ by selecting a suitable shift. Section 4 describes Sptarn function in Matlab and some properties of it. Section 5 reports several numerical examples and compares Block Shift-and-Invert Algorithm with Sptarn Algorithm.

## 2. Shift-and-Invert

We start this section with a definition in generalized eigenvalue problem $A \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \varphi_{i}$ and then describe shift-and-invert method.

## Definition 2.1:

In the generalized eigenvalue problem $\left(A \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \varphi_{i}\right)$, the matrix $(A-\lambda B)$ is called a matrix pencil. It is conveniently denoted by $(A, B)$. The pair $(A, B)$ is called regular if $\operatorname{det}(A-\lambda B)$ is not identically zero; otherwise, it is called singular.

We would like to construct linearly transformed pairs that have the same eigenvalues or eigenvectors as $(A, B)$ and such that one of the two matrices in the pair is nonsingular. We have a theorem, see Golub and Van Loan (1989), Saad (1988) that shows when the pair ( $A, B$ ) is a regular pair, then there are two scalars $\sigma_{*}, \tau_{*}$ such that the matrix $\tau_{*} A-\sigma_{*} B$ is nonsingular.

When one of the components of the pair $(A, B)$ is nonsingular, there are simple ways that generalized problem transfer to a standard problem. For example $A \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \varphi_{i} \rightarrow B^{-1} A \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} \varphi_{i}$ or $B A^{-1} \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} \varphi_{i}$, or when $A, B$ are both Hermitian and, in addition $B$ is positive definite, we have $B=L L^{T}$ (Cholesky factorization) $L^{-1} A L^{-T} \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} \varphi_{i}$. None of the above transformations can be used when both $A$ and $B$ are singular. In this particular situation, a shift can help for solving the equation.

### 2.1. Reduction to Standard Form

We know that for any pair of scalars $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ the pair $\left(A-\sigma_{1} B, B-\sigma_{2} A\right)$ has the same eigenvectors as the original pair $(A, B)$ in $\beta A x=\alpha B x$ or $A x=\frac{\alpha}{\beta} B x$. An eigenvalue $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ of the transformed matrix pair is related to an eigenvalue pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ of the original matrix pair by $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}+\sigma_{1} \beta^{\prime}, \beta=\beta^{\prime}+\sigma_{2} \alpha^{\prime}$.

Shift-and-invert for the generalized problems corresponds through two matrices $(A, B)$, typically the first. Thus, the shift-and-invert pair would be as follows:

$$
\left(I,\left(A-\sigma_{1} B\right)^{-1}\left(B-\sigma_{2} A\right)\right) .
$$

The most common choice is $\sigma_{2}=0$ and $\sigma_{1}$ which is close to an eigenvalue of the original matrix Saad (1992).

### 2.2. The Shift- and- Invert Arnoldi Method

If the matrix $A-\sigma B$ is invertible for some shift $\sigma$, the eigenproblem $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ can be transformed into the standard eigenproblem

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \phi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \phi_{i}  \tag{1}\\
& A \phi_{i}-\sigma B \phi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \phi_{i}-\sigma B \phi_{i} . \\
& (A-\sigma B) \phi_{i}=\left(\lambda_{i}-\sigma\right) B \phi_{i} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}-\sigma} \phi_{i}=(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B \phi_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \phi_{i}=\theta_{i} \phi_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{i}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}-\sigma}$.

It is easy to verify that $\left(\lambda_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ is an eigenpair of problem $\left(^{*}\right)$ if and only if $\left(\theta_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ is an eigenpair of the matrix $C$. Therefore, the shift- and- invert Arnoldi method for the eigenproblem (1) is mathematically equivalent to the standard Arnoldi method for the transformed eigenproblem (2). It starts with a given unit length vector $v_{1}$ (usually chosen randomly) and builds up an orthonormal basis $V_{m}$ for the krylov subspace $k_{m}\left(c, v_{1}\right)$ by means of the GramSchmidt orthogonalization process.

In finite precision, reorthogonalization is performed whenever same sever cancellation occurs Jia and Zhang (2002), Saad (1988). Then the approximate eigenpairs for the transformed eigenproblem (2) can be extracted from $k_{m}\left(c, v_{1}\right)$. The approximate solutions for problem (1) can be recovered from these approximate eigenpairs. The shift- and- invert Arnoldi process can be written in matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B V_{m}=V_{m} H_{m}+h_{m+1, m} v_{m+1} e_{m}^{*}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B V_{m}=V_{m} \tilde{H}_{m}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{m}$ is the $m^{t h}$ coordinate vector of dimension $m, V_{m+1}=\left(V_{m}, v_{m+1}\right)=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m+1}\right)$ is an $n \times(m+1)$ matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis of the $(m+1)$ dimensional krylov subspace $k_{m+1}\left(c, v_{1}\right)$, and $\widetilde{H}_{m}$ is the $(m+1) \times m$ upper Hessenberg matrix that is same as $H_{m}$ expect for an additional row in which the only nonzero entry is $h_{m+1, m}$ in the position $(m+1, m)$.

Suppose that

$$
\left(\tilde{\theta}_{i}, \tilde{y}_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

are the eigenpairs of the matrix $H_{m}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m} \tilde{y}_{i}=\tilde{\theta}_{i} \tilde{y}_{i}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}=\sigma+\frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}_{i}} \text { and } \tilde{\phi}_{i}=V_{m} \tilde{y}_{i} \text {. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the shift-and-invert Arnoldi method uses $\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{i}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{i}\right)$ to approximate the eigenpairs $\left(\lambda_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ of the problem (1), the $\widetilde{\lambda}_{i}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{i}$ are called the Ritz values and the Ritz vectors of $A$ with respect to $k_{m}\left(c, v_{1}\right)$. For details, refer to Ericsson and Ruhe (1980).

Defining the corresponding residual

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}_{i}=\left(A-\tilde{\lambda}_{i} B\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have the following theorem:

## Theorem 2.1:

The residuals $\widetilde{r}_{i}$ corresponding to the approximate eigenpairs $\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{i}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{i}\right)$ by the shift-and-invert Arnoldi method satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{r}_{i}\right\| \leq h_{m+1, m}\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{i}-\sigma\right|\|A-\sigma B\|\left|e_{m}^{*} \tilde{y}_{i}\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof:

From relations (3), (4) and (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{r}_{i}\right\| & =\left\|\left(A-\tilde{\lambda}_{i} B\right) \tilde{\phi}_{i}\right\|=\left\|\left(A-\tilde{\lambda}_{i} B\right) V_{m} \tilde{y}_{i}\right\|=\left\|\left((A-\sigma B)-\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{i}-\sigma\right) B\right) V_{m} \tilde{y}_{i}\right\| \\
& =\left\|(A-\sigma B)\left(I-\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{i}-\sigma\right)(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B\right) V_{m} \tilde{y}_{i}\right\| \\
& =\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{i}-\sigma\right|\left\|(A-\sigma B)\left((A-\sigma B)^{-1} B-\tilde{\theta}_{i} I\right) V_{m} \tilde{y}_{i}\right\| \\
& \leq\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{i}-\sigma\right|\|A-\sigma B\|\left\|V_{m+1}\left(\tilde{H}_{m}-\tilde{\theta}_{i} \tilde{I}_{i}\right) \tilde{y}_{i}\right\| \\
& =h_{m+1, m}\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{i}-\sigma\right|\|A-\sigma B\|\left|e_{m}^{*} \tilde{y}_{i}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. A Technique for Computing Eigenvalues ( $A \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \varphi_{i}$ )

Below, we try to show the inverse matrix by LDV decomposition and block matrix. Then by selecting a suitable shift we try to find eigenvalues for $A \varphi_{i}=\lambda B \varphi_{i}$ in special interval [ $\left.L b, u b\right]$.

In the last section, we described that the shift-and-invert Arnoldi method for the eigenproblem $A \varphi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \varphi_{i}$ is mathematically equivalent to the standard Arnoldi method for the transformed eigenproblem

$$
(A-\sigma B) \varphi_{i}=\left(\lambda_{i}-\sigma\right) B \varphi_{i} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}-\sigma} \varphi_{i}=(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B \varphi_{i} \Rightarrow C \varphi_{i}=\theta_{i} \varphi_{i}
$$

or

$$
A \phi_{i}=\lambda_{i} B \phi_{i} \rightarrow(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B \phi_{i}=\theta_{i} \phi_{i}, \quad \theta_{i}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}-\sigma}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a shift. For computing $(A-\sigma B)^{-1}$, we can use block matrix method as follows:
In this method the matrix divided in 2 -block $\times 2-$ block matrix and by applying LDV decomposition, Datta (1994) the inverse is computed

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M=(A-\sigma B) & M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & B_{1} \\
C_{1} & D_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & S_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & A_{1}^{-1} B_{1} \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right] . \\
S_{1}=\left(D_{1}-C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} B_{1}\right) \\
M^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -A_{1}^{-1} B \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & S_{1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{-1}+A_{1}^{-1} B_{1} S_{1}^{-1} C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & -A_{1}^{-1} B_{1} S_{1}^{-1} \\
-S_{1}^{-1} C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & S_{1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

We can show that if the inverse of $M$ exists, then the matrices $A_{1}$ and $S_{1}$ are invertible. In fact, by this decomposition instead of finding $M^{-1}$ we compute the inverse of $L, D$, and $V$, which is
much easier and faster than finding the inverse of $M$ directly. In Matlab "inv" function (it calculates inverse matrix) requires $2 n^{3}$ operations for a matrix with dimension $n$ but we can see block inverse needs only $n^{3}$ operations. When we can find $M^{-1} \operatorname{set} C=M^{-1} B$ and Arnoldi Algorithm can be used for solving $C \varphi_{i}=\theta_{i} \varphi_{i}$.

We choose $\sigma_{1}=\frac{L b+U b}{2}$. If $M=\left(A-\sigma_{1} B\right)$ is not invertible we set $U b=\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\frac{L b+U b}{2}$. So, we bisect the interval $[L b, u b]$ to find a suitable shift.

## Algorithm (Block Shift-and-Invert [BSI]):

Step 1: Input $A, B, L b, U b$;

Step 2: While $(L b \neq U b)$ do
(a) $\sigma=\frac{L b+U b}{2}, M=A-\sigma B$;
(b) If $M$ is singular
$U b=\sigma$;
go to (a);
Else go to the next step;
Step 3: Use Block Inverse method for computing $M^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & B_{1} \\
C_{1} & D_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & S_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & A_{1}^{-1} B_{1} \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right], \\
& S_{1}=\left(D_{1}-C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} B_{1}\right), \\
& M^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -A_{1}^{-1} B \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & S_{1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1}^{-1}+A_{1}^{-1} B_{1} S_{1}^{-1} C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & -A_{1}^{-1} B_{1} S_{1}^{-1} \\
-S_{1}^{-1} C_{1} A_{1}^{-1} & S_{1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: $C=M^{-1} * B$;
Step 5: Gain eigenvectors and eigenvalues ( $V, l m$ ) of matrix $C$ by Arnoldi Algorithm;
Step 6: For $i=1$ to (rank matrix)

$$
\ln (i)=\sigma+\frac{1}{\operatorname{lm}(i)}
$$

Step 7: For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to (rank matrix)

$$
\text { If }(L b \leq \ln (i) \leq U b)
$$

$\ln (i)$ is the eigenvalue.

## Else

("There are not any eigenvalues for input argument");
Step 8: Stop.

## 4. Sptarn

In this function the Arnoldi algorithm with spectral transformation is used.

$$
[x v, \operatorname{lmb}, \text { iresult }]=\operatorname{sptarn}(A, B, L b, U b) .
$$

This command finds eigenvalues of the equation $(A-\lambda B) x=0$ in the interval $[L b, U b] . A, B$ are $n \times n$ matrices, $L b$ and $U b$ are lower and upper bounds for eigenvalues to be sought. A narrower interval makes the algorithm faster. In the complex case, the real parts of $\operatorname{lmb}$ are compared to $L b$ and $U b$. $x v$ are eigenvectors, ordered so that norm $(A \times x v-B \times x v \times \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{lmb}))$ is small. lmb is the sorted eigenvalues.

If iresult $\geq 0$ the algorithm succeeded and all eigenvalues in the intervals have been found. If iresult $<0$ the algorithm is not successful, there may be more eigenvalues, try with a smaller interval. Normally the algorithm stops earlier when enough eigenvalues have converged. The shift is chosen at a random point in the interval $[L b, U b]$ when both bounds are finite. The number of steps in the Arnoldi run depends on how many eigenvalues there are in the interval. After a stop, the algorithm restarts to find more Schur vectors in orthogonal complement to all those already found. When no eigenvalues are found in $L b \leq \operatorname{lmb} \leq U b$, the algorithm stops. If it fails again check whether the pencil may be singular.

## 5. Numerical Tests and Comparisons

Sptarn Algorithm and Block Shift-and-Invert (BSI) Algorithm are tested for various matrices by Matlab Software. All tests are performed on a Intel(R) Celeron(R) M, CPU 1.46 GHZ Laptop, Matlab Version 7.5. We save eigenvalues in box [ $L b, U b$ ] for different Matrix with different conditions. Sptarn Algorithm and BSI Algorithm are marked with (1) and (2), for example $i r_{1}$ shows the value "iresult" in Sptarn function and $i r_{2}$ shows the number of eigenvalues by BSI Algorithm.

- $\quad i r_{1}, i r_{2}$, denote the number of eigenvalues in interval [ $L b, U b$ ]
- $t_{1}, t_{2}$ are the CPU times in seconds
- $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are the smallest eigenvalue in [ $L b, U b$ ]
- $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are the largest eigenvalue in $[L b, U b]$
- "n.c" failure to compute all the desired eigenvalues
- $\quad r_{1}, r_{2}$ are residual $(\operatorname{norm}(A x-\lambda B x))$ for the smallest eigenvalue


## Example 1:

We were interested in finding the eigenvalues of $A x=\lambda B x . A, B$ are sprandom, and unsymmetric matrices of different rank. Set a region [ $L b, U b$ ] with $L b=-5+i$, and $U b=5+i$.

Table 1: Results of Example 1

| Dimension | $\mathrm{Ir}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{ir}_{2}$ | $t_{1}(S)$ | $t_{2}(s)$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \times 10$ | 9 | 9 | 0.0514 | 0.0022 | $8.7155 \times 10^{-15}$ | $4.739 \times 10^{-15}$ | $-0.1347+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.1347+0 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.750700 | -2.75070 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 19 | 19 | 0.0493 | 0.0053 | $9.7515 \times 10^{-15}$ | $8.6045 \times 10^{-15}$ | $0.0262+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $0.0262+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $3.4984+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $3.4984+0 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $50 \times 50$ | 50 | 50 | 0.1198 | 0.0673 | $9.6086 \times 10^{-14}$ | $3.6083 \times 10^{-14}$ | $-0.1509+0.0758 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.1509+0.0758 \mathrm{i}$ | $-4.6028+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $-4.6028+0 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $100 \times 100$ | 98 | 98 | 0.5520 | 0.4170 | $2.8376 \times 10^{-13}$ | $2.1188 \times 10^{-13}$ | $-0.029+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.029+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $4.1323-4.8307 \mathrm{i}$ | $4.1323-4.8307 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $300 \times 300$ | -68 | 290 | 118.8775 | 10.3623 | n.c | $1.1161 \times 10^{-12}$ | $-0.8611+0.647 \mathrm{i}$ | $0.0127+0.0435 \mathrm{i}$ | n.c | $0.7588+9.8360 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $500 \times 500$ | -82 | 486 | 404.9588 | 49.5601 | n.c | $8.8866 \times 10^{-12}$ | $-0.224+0.3783 \mathrm{i}$ | $0.0016+0 \mathrm{i}$ | n.c | $-2.6521-7.3638 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $1000 \times 1000$ | -80 | 977 | $2.7393 \times 10^{3}$ | 395.7286 | n.c | $9.6210 \times 10^{-11}$ | $-0.1023+0.6254 \mathrm{i}$ | $0.015+0 \mathrm{i}$ | n.c |  |

It is seen from Table 1 that BSI Algorithm is much more efficient than Sptarn Algorithm in all cases. For example Sptarn Algorithm fails for some matrices such as $\mathrm{n}=300,500,1000$.

## Example 2:

In this example we assume $A$ to be an ill conditioned matrix such as Hilbert and $B$ is Identity matrix on region $[0,1]$.

Table 2: Results of Example 2

| Dimension | Cond A | $i r_{1}$ | $i r_{2}$ | $t_{1}(s)$ | $t_{2}(s)$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10x10 | $1.0625 \times 10^{13}$ | 9 | 9 | 0.5635 | 0.3804 | $3.6456 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.3112 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.0930 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.0925 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.3429 | 0.3429 |
| 20x20 | $2.9373 \times 10^{18}$ | 19 | 19 | 0.1922 | 0.0020 | $1.3004 \times 10^{-14}$ | $5.1988 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.3307 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.3307 \times 10^{-16}$ | 0.4870 | 0.4870 |
| 50x50 | $2.6060 \times 10^{19}$ | 20 | 39 | 0.1684 | 0.0070 | $7.375 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.1470 \times 10^{-16}$ | $5.5511 \times 10^{-17}$ | $5.5511 \times 10^{-17}$ | 0.6797 | 0.6797 |
| 100x 100 | $4.2276 \times 10^{19}$ | 26 | 74 | 0.2485 | 0.0694 | $8.9034 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.735 \times 10^{-16}$ | $2.70756 \times 10^{-17}$ | $2.70756 \times 10^{-17}$ | 0.8214 | 0.8214 |
| 200x200 | $1.5712 \times 10^{20}$ | 20 | 125 | 0.1374 | 0.2500 | $1.4683 \times 10^{-15}$ | $3.5940 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.2934 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.2934 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.9571 | 0.9571 |
| 500x500 | $5.1045 \times 10^{20}$ | 22 | 286 | 0.6536 | 4.2190 | $5.0413 \times 10^{-15}$ | $3.7338 \times 10^{-16}$ | $2.5535 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.5535 \times 10^{-15}$ | 0.4056 | 0.4056 |
| 1000x 1000 | $9.1197 \times 10^{20}$ | 25 | 590 | 2.9654 | 38.0649 | $5.9611 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.5014 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.1102 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.1102 \times 10^{-16}$ | 0.4925 | 0.4925 |
| 2000x2000 | $3.7286 \times 10^{21}$ | 26 | 1192 | 17.0001 | 365.7796 | $8.3289 \times 10^{-15}$ | $8.2702 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.1697 \times 10^{-14}$ | $3.1697 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.5809 | 0.5809 |

We can see that BSI Algorithm works better than Sptarn Algorithm for large and ill conditioned matrices. For example we can compare columns $i r_{1}$ and $i r_{2}$ for large matrices. Numbers of eigenvalues that are gained by BSI Algorithm are more than the eigenvalues that are gained of Sptarn Algorithm in region [0, 1], for matrix with dimension 2000 Sptarn Algorithm finds only 26 eigenvalues in region [0, 1] but BSI Algorithm finds 1192 eigenvalues in this interval.

## Example 3:

Has been taken from Bai and Barret (1998), Consider the constant coefficient convention diffusion differential equation

$$
-\Delta u(x, y)+p_{1} u_{x}(x, y)+p_{2} u_{y}(x, y)-p_{3} u(x, y)=\lambda u(x, y)
$$

On a square region $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. With the boundary condition $u(x, y)=0$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are positive constants discretization by five point finite differences on uniform $n \times n$ grid points using the row wise natural ordering gives a block tridiagonal matrix of the form

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
T & (\beta+1) I & & & \\
(-\beta+1) I & T & (\beta+1) I & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & (\beta+1) I \\
& & & (-\beta+1) I & T
\end{array}\right]
$$

with

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
4-\tau & \gamma-1 & & & \\
-\gamma-1 & 4-\tau & \gamma-1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \gamma-1 \\
& & & -\gamma-1 & 4-\tau
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\beta=(1 / 2) p_{1} h, \gamma=(1 / 2) p_{2} h, \tau=p_{3} h^{2}$ and $h=1 /(n+1)$. The order of $A$ is $N=n^{2}$. By taking $p_{1}=1, p_{2}=p_{3}=0$ and $B=$ Identity matrix, for different order on the region [5,7] we have Table 3.

Table 3. Results of Example 3

| Dimension | $\mathrm{ir}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{ir}_{2}$ | $t_{1}(S)$ | $t_{2}(S)$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 \times 9$ | 3 | 3 | 0.5072 | 0.1179 | $5.9618 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.4409 \times 10^{-16}$ | 5.4142 | 5.4142 | 5.4142 | 5.4142 |
| $36 \times 36$ | 2 | 12 | 0.0619 | 0.0043 | $2.2767 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.6398 \times 10^{-15}$ | 5.247 | 5.2470 | 5.8019 | 50.8019 |
| $100 \times 100$ | 30 | 30 | 0.2147 | 0.0204 | $2.2828 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.0940 \times 10^{-15}$ | 5.3097 | 5.3097 | 5.9190 | 5.9190 |
| $225 \times 225$ | 72 | 75 | 1.5919 | 0.2692 | $5.0565 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.5288 \times 10^{-15}$ | 5.1111 | 5.1111 | 5.9616 | 5.9616 |
| $400 \times 400$ | 95 | 139 | 9.6975 | 1.5786 | $8.5051 \times 10^{-15}$ | $3.9222 \times 10^{-15}$ | 5.4661 | 5.0000 | 5.9777 | 5.9777 |
| $900 \times 900$ | 99 | 300 | 37.7165 | 18.9227 | $4.6226 \times 10^{-15}$ | $5.5997 \times 10^{-15}$ | 5.6415 | 5.0579 | 5.9897 | 5.9897 |
| $1600 \times 1600$ | 61 | 520 | 157.3872 | 116.0561 | $2.6665 \times 10^{-15}$ | $9.0427 \times 10^{-15}$ | 5.0871 | 5.0871 | 5.3307 | 5.9941 |
| $2500 \times 2500$ | 73 | 834 | 369.7465 | 551.2750 | $3.9148 \times 10^{-15}$ | $1.5793 \times 10^{-14}$ | 5.1047 | 5.0000 | 5.2053 | 5.9962 |

As we can see BSI Algorithm gives more eigenvalues in less time and with higher accuracy than Sptarn Algorithm for different matrices.

## Example 4:

Has been taken from Bai and Barret (1998) Dielectric channel waveguide problems arise in many integrated circuit applications.

Discretization of the governing Helmholtz equation for the magnetic field $H$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla^{2} H_{x}+k^{2} n^{2}(x, y) H_{x}=\beta^{2} H_{x} \\
& \nabla^{2} H_{y}+k^{2} n^{2}(x, y) H_{y}=\beta^{2} H_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

By finite difference leads to an unsymmetric matrix eigenvalue problem of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{11} & C_{12} \\
C_{21} & C_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
H_{x} \\
H_{y}
\end{array}\right]=\beta^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{11} & \\
& B_{22}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
H_{x} \\
H_{y}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $C_{11}$ and $C_{22}$ are five- or- tridiagonal matrices, $C_{12}$ and $C_{21}$ are (tri-) diagonal matrices, $B_{11}$ and $B_{22}$ are nonsingular diagonal matrices. The problem has been tested in the region [0, 10].

Table 4: Results of Example 4

| Dimension | $i r_{1}$ | $i r_{2}$ | $t_{1}(s)$ | $t_{2}(s)$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10x10 | 10 | 10 | 0.0504 | 0.0018 | $\underset{\text { 7.7702x } 10^{-}}{ }$ | $6.0311 \times 10^{-15}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3692- \\ (0.0871) \mathrm{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.36920- \\ & (0.0871) \mathrm{i} \end{aligned}$ | 8.3760 | 8.3760 |
| 50x50 | 50 | 50 | 0.0678 | 0.0181 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{2.6101 \times 10^{-}}$ | $1.2672 \times 10^{-14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0145- \\ & (0.1722) i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0145- \\ (0.172) i \end{gathered}$ | 8.9395 | 8.9395 |
| 100x100 | 100 | 100 | 0.2743 | 0.1334 | $5.577 \times \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.6864 \times 10^{-14}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0038- \\ 0.087 \mathrm{i} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0038- \\ & 0.087 \mathrm{i} \end{aligned}$ | 8.9634 | 8.9634 |
| 300x300 | n.c | 300 | 147.1122 | 3.8444 | n.c | $2.7922 \times 10^{-14}$ | n.c | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0004- \\ & 0.0294 i \end{aligned}$ | n.c | 8.9708 |
| 500x500 | n.c | 500 | 463.1583 | 18.5093 | n.c | $3.3542 \times 10^{-14}$ | n.c | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0002- \\ & 0.0177 \mathrm{i} \end{aligned}$ | n.c | 8.9714 |

We can see Sptarn Algorithm failed to compute the desired eigenvalues for some matrices. The results of this example are plotted as Figure1 and Figure2. The broken lines are shown the results of BSI Algorithm and connected lines are shown the results of Sptarn Algorithm.



## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have considered Block Shift-and-Invert (BSI) Algorithm. In this method we compute $M^{-1}=(A-\sigma B)^{-1}$. This computation has been done by block matrix and a suitable shift. As we have shown that if we need all eigenvalues in interval $[L b, u b]$ or close to a given shift for singular matrix, the BSI Algorithm obtains them with very high speed and accuracy. All numerical examples have been compared with corresponding results from Sptarn Algorithm in Matlab. It has been shown that BSI results were much more efficient than Sptarn results.
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