



12-2017

Some Pre-filters in EQ-Algebras


M. Behzadi

Kerman Branch Islamic Azad University

L. Torkzadeh

Kerman Branch Islamic Azad University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.pvamu.edu/aam>

 Part of the [Algebra Commons](#), and the [Analysis Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Behzadi, M. and Torkzadeh, L. (2017). Some Pre-filters in EQ-Algebras, Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM), Vol. 12, Iss. 2, Article 26.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.pvamu.edu/aam/vol12/iss2/26>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @PVAMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM) by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @PVAMU. For more information, please contact hvkoshy@pvamu.edu.



Some Pre-filters in EQ -Algebras

M. Behzadi¹ and L. Torkzadeh²

Department of Mathematics
Kerman Branch Islamic Azad University
Kerman, Iran

m_behzadi12@yahoo.com; ltorkzadeh@yahoo.com

Received: August 8, 2016; Accepted: December 17, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, the notion of an obstinate prefilter (filter) in an EQ -algebra ζ is introduced and a characterization of it is obtained by some theorems. Then the notion of maximal prefilter is defined and is characterized under some conditions. Finally, the relations among obstinate, prime, maximal, implicative and positive implicative prefilters are studied.

Keywords: EQ -algebra; obstinate; (prime, maximal, implicative and positive implicative); pre-filter

MSC 2010 No.: 16B70, 18A15, 28E15, 06D72

1. Introduction

A special algebra called EQ -algebra has been recently introduced by Vil'ém Novák and B. De Baets (Novák and De Baets (2009)). Its original motivation comes from fuzzy type theory, in which the main connective is fuzzy equality. An EQ -algebra consists of three binaries (meet, multiplication and a fuzzy equality) and a top element and a binary operation implication is derived from fuzzy equality. Its implication and multiplication are no more closely tied by the adjunction and so, this algebra generalizes commutative residuated lattice. These algebras are intended to develop an algebraic structure of truth-values for fuzzy type theory. EQ -algebras are interesting and important for studying and researching and residuated lattices (Galatos et al. (2007)) and BL-algebras (Chang (1958), Hájek (1998), Turunen (1999)) are particular cases of EQ -algebras. In fact, EQ -algebras generalize non-commutative residuated lattices (El-Zekey et al. (2011)).

The prefilter theory plays a fundamental role in the general development of EQ -algebras. From a logical point of view, various filters correspond to various sets of provable formulas. Some types of filters on residuated lattice based on logical algebras have been widely studied (Haveshki et al (2006), Jun et al. (2005), Zhan and Xu (2008), Van Gasse et al. (2010)) and some important results have been obtained. The notion of obstinate filter in residuated lattice is introduced in (Borumand Saeid and Pourkhatoun (2012)). For EQ -algebras, the notions of prefilters (which coincide with filters in residuated lattices) and prime prefilters were proposed and some of their properties were obtained (El- Zekey et al. (2011)). Few results for other special prefilters of EQ -algebras have been obtained in (Liu and Zhang (2014)).

In this paper, we define prefilters in EQ -algebra and characterize them by some theorems. We have shown that if F is an obstinate prefilter of an EQ -algebra E , then E/F is a chain. We hope that these prefilters open a new door into the theory of prefilters in EQ -algebras. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the basic definitions, properties and special types of EQ -algebras are reviewed. In Section 3, an obstinate prefilter of an EQ -algebra is defined and characterized. In Section 4, by defining the notion of maximal prefilters, some characteristics of them are presented. Finally, we study the relation among obstinate, prime, maximal, implicative and positive implicative prefilters.

2. Preliminaries

In this Section, we present some definitions and results about EQ -algebras that will be used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

An EQ -algebra is an algebra $\xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 0)$ which satisfies the following:

- (E₁) $(E, \wedge, 1)$ is a \wedge -semi lattice with a top element 1. We set $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \wedge b = a$,
- (E₂) $(E, \otimes, 1)$ is a monoid and \otimes is isotone in arguments w.r.t $a \leq b$,
- (E₃) $a \sim a = 1$,
- (E₄) $((a \wedge b) \sim c) \otimes (d \sim a) \leq (c \sim (d \wedge b))$,
- (E₅) $(a \sim b) \otimes (c \sim d) \leq (a \sim c) \sim (b \sim d)$,
- (E₆) $(a \wedge b \wedge c) \sim a \leq (a \wedge b) \sim a$, and
- (E₇) $a \otimes b \leq a \sim b$, for all $a, b, c \in E$.

We denote $\tilde{a} := a \sim 1$ and $a \rightarrow b := (a \wedge b) \sim a$, for all $a, b \in E$.

Theorem 2.2. (El- Zekey et al. (2011), Novák and De Baets (2009), Novák (2011))

Let $\xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ be an EQ -algebra. For all $a, b, c \in E$ we have

- (e₁) $a \sim b = b \sim a$,
- (e₂) $(a \sim b) \otimes (b \sim c) \leq (a \sim c)$,
- (e₃) $a \sim d \leq (a \wedge b) \sim (d \wedge b)$,
- (e₄) $(a \sim d) \otimes ((a \wedge b) \sim c) \leq ((d \wedge b) \sim c)$,
- (e₅) $(a \wedge b) \sim a \leq (a \wedge b \wedge c) \sim (a \wedge c)$,

- (e6) $a \otimes b \leq a \wedge b \leq a, b,$
- (e7) $b \leq \tilde{b} \leq a \rightarrow b,$
- (e8) $a \sim b \leq (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a),$
- (e9) $a \leq b$ implies $a \rightarrow b = 1, b \rightarrow a = a \sim b, \tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}, c \rightarrow a \leq c \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow c \leq a \rightarrow c,$
- (e10) If $a \leq b \leq c,$ then $a \sim c \leq a \sim b$ and $a \sim c \leq b \sim c,$
- (e11) $a \otimes (a \sim b) \leq \tilde{b},$
- (e12) $a \sim d \leq (b \rightarrow a) \sim (b \rightarrow d),$
- (e13) $a \rightarrow d \leq (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow d),$
- (e14) $b \rightarrow a \leq (a \rightarrow d) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow d),$
- (e15) $(a \rightarrow b) \otimes (c \rightarrow d) \leq (a \wedge c) \rightarrow (b \wedge d),$
- (e16) $(a \rightarrow c) \otimes (b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \wedge b) \rightarrow c,$
- (e17) $(c \rightarrow a) \otimes (c \rightarrow b) \leq c \rightarrow (a \wedge b),$ and
- (e18) $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \otimes b) \rightarrow c^4.$

Definition 2.3. (Novák (2011))

Let $\xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ be an EQ-algebra. We say that it is

- (i) *Spanned*, if it contains a bottom element 0 and $\tilde{0} = 0,$
- (ii) *Separated*, if for all $a, b \in E, a \sim b = 1$ implies $a = b,$ and
- (iii) *Semi-separated*, if for all $a \in E, a \sim 1 = 1$ implies $a = 1.$

If an EQ-algebra ξ contains a bottom element 0, we may define the unary operation on $E,$ by $\neg a = a \sim 0$ and $\neg a$ is called a negation of $a \in E.$

Theorem 2.4. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

Let $\xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ be an EQ-algebra with a bottom element 0. Then, for all $a, b, c \in E,$ we have:

- (i) $\neg 1 = \tilde{0}, \neg 0 = 1,$
- (ii) $0 \rightarrow a = 1$ and $\neg a = a \rightarrow 0,$
- (iii) $a \leq b$ implies $\neg b \leq \neg a,$
- (iv) $\neg \tilde{0} = \neg \neg 1,$
- (v) $a \otimes \neg a \leq \tilde{0},$
- (vi) $a \rightarrow b \leq \neg b \rightarrow \neg a,$
- (vii) $\neg a \otimes \tilde{0} \leq \tilde{a},$
- (viii) $\neg \tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{0} \leq \neg a,$ and
- (ix) $a \sim b \leq \neg b \sim \neg a.$

Definition 2.5. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

A nonempty subset F of an EQ-algebra ξ is called a prefilter of $E,$ whenever for all $a, b, c \in E:$

- (F₁) $1 \in F,$ and
- (F₂) $a, a \rightarrow b \in F$ implies $b \in F.$

A prefilter F of ζ is called a filter, if it satisfies the following:

$$(F_3) \ a \rightarrow b \in F \text{ implies } (a \otimes c) \rightarrow (b \otimes c) \in F, \text{ for any } a, b, c \in E.$$

A prefilter (filter) F of an EQ -algebra ζ is called proper, whenever $F \neq E$.

Theorem 2.6. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

Let F be a prefilter of an EQ -algebra $\zeta = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$. The following hold, for all $x, y, z, s, t \in E$:

- (i) if $x \in F$ and $x \leq y$, then $y \in F$,
- (ii) if $x, x \sim y \in F$, then $y \in F$,
- (iii) if $x \sim y \in F$ and $y \sim z \in F$, then $x \sim z \in F$,
- (iv) if $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and $y \rightarrow z \in F$, then $x \rightarrow z \in F$, and
- (v) if $x \sim y \in F, s \sim t \in F$, then $(x \wedge s) \sim (y \wedge t) \in F, (x \sim s) \sim (y \sim t) \in F$ and $(x \rightarrow s) \sim (y \rightarrow t) \in F$.

We denote $a \Leftrightarrow b := (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a)$ and $a \Leftrightarrow^\circ b := (a \rightarrow b) \otimes (b \rightarrow a)$, for all $a, b, c \in E$.

Theorem 2.7. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

Let F be a filter of an EQ -algebra $\zeta = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$. Then the following hold:

- (i) $a, b \in F$ implies $a \otimes b \in F$,
- (ii) $a \sim b \in F$ iff $a \Leftrightarrow b \in F$ iff $a \rightarrow b \in F$ and $b \rightarrow a \in F$ iff $a \Leftrightarrow^\circ b$, and
- (iii) if $a \sim b \in F$, then $(a \otimes c) \sim (b \otimes c) \in F$ and $(c \otimes a) \sim (c \otimes b) \in F$, for all $a, b, c \in E$.

Definition 2.8. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

A prefilter F of an EQ -algebra ζ is said to be a prime prefilter if for all

$$a, b \in E, a \rightarrow b \in F \text{ or } b \rightarrow a \in F.$$

For brevity, we need the following notations for all $a, z \in E$ and natural number n :

$$\begin{aligned} a \rightarrow^0 z &= z, \\ a \rightarrow^1 z &= a \rightarrow z, \\ a \rightarrow^2 z &= a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow z), \text{ and} \\ a \rightarrow^n z &= a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow^{n-1} z). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.9. (Nov'ak (2011))

Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq E$. A generated prefilter by X , is the smallest prefilter containing X and denoted by $\langle X \rangle$. We have

$$\langle X \rangle := \{a \in E : \exists x_i \in X \text{ and } n \geq 1 \text{ such that } x_1 \rightarrow (x_2 \rightarrow \dots (x_n \rightarrow a) \dots) = 1\}.$$

Moreover, for a prefilter F of ζ and $x \in E$,

$$F(x) := \langle \{x\} \cup F \rangle = \{a \in E \mid \exists n \geq 1 \text{ such that } x \rightarrow^n a \in F\}.$$

Definition 2.10. (Liu and Zhang (2014))

A prefilter F of an EQ -algebra ζ is called a positive implicative prefilter if it satisfies for any $x, y, z \in E$:

$$(F_4) \ x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \in F \text{ and } x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ imply } x \rightarrow z \in F.$$

Lemma 2.11. (Liu and Zhang (2014))

If F is a positive implicative prefilter of an EQ -algebra ζ , then for all $x \in E$,

$$F(x) = \{a \in E \mid x \rightarrow a \in F\}.$$

Definition 2.12. (Liu and Zhang (2014))

A nonempty subset F of E is called an implicative prefilter if it satisfies (F_1) and

$$(F_5) \ z \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \in F \text{ and } z \in F \text{ imply } x \in F, \text{ for any } x, y, z \in E.$$

Theorem 2.13. (Liu and Zhang (2014))

Each implicative prefilter of an EQ -algebra ζ is a positive implicative prefilter.

3. Obstinate prefilters (filters) in EQ -algebras

From now on, unless mentioned otherwise, $\zeta = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ will be an EQ -algebra, which will be referred to by its support set E .

Definition 3.1.

A prefilter F of ζ is called an obstinate prefilter of ζ if for all $x, y \in E$,

$$(F_6) \ x, y \notin F \text{ implies } x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ and } y \rightarrow x \in F.$$

If F is a filter and satisfies (F_6) , then F is called an obstinate filter.

Example 3.2.

(i) Let $\zeta_1 = (\{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ such that $0 < a < b, c < d < 1$. The following binary operations “ \otimes ” and “ \sim ” define an EQ-algebra (Novák and De Baets (2009)). The implication is also given as follows:

Table 1. The binary operations of ζ_1

\otimes	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0	a
b	0	0	a	a	a	b
c	0	0	a	0	a	c
d	0	0	a	a	a	d
1	0	a	b	c	d	0

\sim	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	1	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	1	d	d	d	d
b	0	d	1	d	d	d
c	0	d	d	1	d	d
d	0	d	d	d	1	1
1	0	d	d	d	1	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1
a	0	1	1	1	1	1
b	0	d	1	d	1	1
c	0	d	d	1	1	1
d	0	d	d	d	1	1
1	0	d	d	d	1	1

Then, $\{a, b, c, d, 1\}$ is an obstinate prefilter of ζ_1 while $\{1, d\}$ is not an obstinate prefilter, because $0, b \notin \{1, d\}$ and $b \rightarrow 0 = \{0\} \notin \{1, d\}$.

(ii) Let $\zeta_2 = (\{0, a, b, c, 1\}, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$, such that $0 < a, b < c < 1$. In Table 2 the binary operations “ \otimes ”, “ \sim ” and “ \rightarrow ” define an EQ-algebra on ζ_2 . Then $\{b, c, 1\}$ and $\{a, c, 1\}$ are obstinate prefilters of ζ_2 .

Theorem 3.3.

$\{1\}$ is a prefilter of ζ if and only if ζ is a semi-separated EQ-algebra.

Proof:

Let $\{1\}$ be a prefilter of ζ and $a \sim 1 = 1$ for $a \in E$. We get that $a \sim 1 \in \{1\}$ and so by Theorem 2.6 part (ii), $a = 1$. Therefore, ζ is a semi-separated EQ-algebra. Conversely, let ζ be a semi-separated

EQ -algebra and $b, b \rightarrow a \in \{1\}$. Then $1 \rightarrow a = \{1\}$, we get that $(1 \wedge a) \sim 1 = 1$ and so $a \sim 1 = 1$. Therefore, $a = 1$ and $\{1\}$ is a prefilter of ζ . \square

Since every good EQ -algebra is separated, the above lemma holds for good EQ -algebra.

Table 2. The binary operations of ζ_2

\otimes	0	a	b	c	1
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0	a	a
b	0	0	b	b	b
c	0	a	b	c	c
1	0	a	b	c	1

\sim	0	a	b	c	1
0	1	b	a	0	0
a	b	1	1	a	a
b	a	1	1	b	b
c	0	a	b	1	c
1	0	a	b	c	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	c	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
a	b	1	b	1	1
b	a	a	1	1	1
c	0	a	b	1	1
1	0	a	b	c	1

Lemma 3.4.

Let ζ be a separated EQ -algebra. Then $\{1\}$ is an obstinate prefilter of ζ if and only if E has at most two elements.

Proof:

Let $\{1\}$ be an obstinate prefilter and $x, y \in E - \{1\}$. Then $x \rightarrow y \in \{1\}$ and $y \rightarrow x \in \{1\}$, and so $(x \wedge y) \sim x = (x \wedge y) \sim y = 1$. Since ζ is a separated EQ -algebra, $x = x \wedge y = y$, thus $x = y$. Therefore, E has at most two elements. The converse is clear. \square

Lemma 3.5.

Let F be a prefilter of ζ . Then F is an obstinate prefilter of ζ if and only if $x, y \notin F$ implies $x \sim y \in F$.

Proof:

Let F be an obstinate prefilter of ζ and $x, y \notin F$. Then $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and $y \rightarrow x \in F$, and so $(x \wedge y) \sim x \in F$ and $(x \wedge y) \sim y \in F$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.6 part (iii) we get that $x \sim y \in F$. Conversely, suppose $x, y \notin F$, then $x \sim y \in F$.

Since $x \sim y \leq x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x$, by Theorem 2.6 part (i), we get that $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and $y \rightarrow x \in F$. Therefore, F is an obstinate prefilter. \square

Theorem 3.6.

Let F be a filter of ζ . Then F is an obstinate filter of ζ if and only if $a \Leftrightarrow^{\circ} b \in F$, for all $a, b \in E - F$.

Proof:

Let F be an obstinate filter of ζ and $a, b \in E - F$. Then $a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow a \in F$. By Theorem 2.7 part (i), we get that $a \Leftrightarrow^{\circ} b = (a \rightarrow b) \otimes (b \rightarrow a) \in F$. Conversely, let $a, b \in E - F$. Then $a \Leftrightarrow^{\circ} b \in F$. Since $(a \rightarrow b) \otimes (b \rightarrow a) \leq (a \rightarrow b), (b \rightarrow a)$, by Theorem 2.6 part (i), we have $(a \rightarrow b), (b \rightarrow a) \in F$ and so F is an obstinate filter of ζ . \square

Theorem 3.7.

Let bottom element $0 \in E$ and F be a proper prefilter of ζ . Then F is an obstinate prefilter of ζ if and only if $x \notin F$ implies $\neg x \in F$, for all $x \in E$.

Proof:

Let F be an obstinate prefilter and $x \notin F$. Then $\neg x = x \rightarrow 0 \in F$. Conversely, suppose $x, y \notin F$, then $\neg x, \neg y \in F$. Thus, by Theorem 2.6 part (ii), we conclude that $x \sim y \in F$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, F is an obstinate prefilter. \square

Corollary 3.8.

Let ζ contain a bottom element 0 and F be a proper prefilter of ζ . Then F is an obstinate prefilter of ζ if and only if $x \in F$ or $\neg x \in F$, for all $x \in E$. \square

Theorem 3.9.

If $a \rightarrow 0 = 0$, for all $a \in E - \{0\}$, then $F = E - \{0\}$ is the only obstinate proper prefilter of ζ .

Proof:

It is clear that by hypothesis, F is a prefilter of ζ . Now let $x, y \notin F = E - \{0\}$. Then, $x = y = 0$ and so $x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 0 \rightarrow 0 = 1 \in F$. Therefore, F is an obstinate prefilter. Suppose $F = E - \{0\}$ and G are obstinate proper prefilters and $G \neq F$. Then, there is $0 \neq a \in F$ such that $a \notin G$, and so $0 = a \rightarrow 0 \in G$ which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.10. (Extension property)

Let F be an obstinate prefilter of ζ and $F \subseteq G$. Then G is also an obstinate prefilter of ζ .

Proof:

Let F be an obstinate prefilter and $x, y \notin G$. Then, $x, y \notin F$ and so $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and $y \rightarrow x \in F$. Thus, by hypothesis $x \rightarrow y \in G$ and $y \rightarrow x \in G$, i.e. G is an obstinate prefilter. \square

Given a filter F of ζ the relation on E , $a \approx_F b$ iff $a \sim b \in F$ is a congruence relation. For $a \in E$, we denote its equivalence class w.r.t. \approx_F by $[a]_F$ (or $[a]$ for short) and the set of these equivalence classes is denoted by E/F . It is easy to see that $\langle E/F, \wedge, \otimes, \sim_F, [1] \rangle$ is an EQ-algebra. The ordering in E/F is defined using the derived meet operation in the following way:

$$[a] \leq [b] \text{ iff } [a] \wedge [b] = [a] \text{ iff } a \wedge b \approx_F a \text{ iff } a \wedge b \sim a = a \rightarrow b \in F.$$

Theorem 3.11.

Let F be an obstinate filter of ζ . Then E/F is a chain.

Proof:

Let $[a], [b] \in E/F$. If $a \in F$ or $b \in F$, then $a \rightarrow b \in F$ or $b \rightarrow a \in F$, by Theorem 2.6 part (i). Then $[a] \leq [b]$ or $[b] \leq [a]$. If $a, b \notin F$, then $a \rightarrow b \in F$ and $b \rightarrow a \in F$ and so $[a] = [b]$. Therefore, E/F is a chain. \square

Let A and B be two EQ-algebras. A function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of EQ-algebras, if it satisfies the following conditions, for every $x, y \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &= 1, \\ f(x \otimes y) &= f(x) \otimes f(y), \\ f(x \sim y) &= f(x) \sim f(y), \text{ and} \\ f(x \wedge y) &= f(x) \wedge f(y). \end{aligned}$$

We also define $\ker(f) = \{x \in A: f(x) = 1\}$.

The set of all homeomorphisms from A into B is denoted by $Hom(A, B)$.

Theorem 3.12.

Let $f \in Hom(A, B)$ and G be an obstinate prefilter of B . Then, $f^{-1}(G)$ is an obstinate prefilter of A .

Proof:

It is clear that $f^{-1}(G)$ is a prefilter of A . Let $x, y \notin f^{-1}(G)$. Then $f(x), f(y) \notin G$, since G is an obstinate prefilter $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y) \in G$ and $f(y \rightarrow x) = f(y) \rightarrow f(x) \in G$. Thus, $x \rightarrow y \in f^{-1}(G)$ and $y \rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(G)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(G)$ is an obstinate prefilter of A . \square

Proposition 3.13.

Let F be a prefilter of ζ . If $a, b \in F$, then $a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow a, a \sim b, a \wedge b \in F$.

Proof:

Let $a, b \in F$. Since $b \leq a \rightarrow b$ and $a \leq b \rightarrow a$, then by Theorem 2.6 (i), we have $a \wedge b \sim a = a \rightarrow b \in F$ and $a \wedge b \sim b = b \rightarrow a \in F$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6 part(iii), $a \sim b \in F$. Thus, $a \wedge b \sim a \in F$ and $a \in F$ imply that $a \wedge b \in F$. \square

Theorem 3.14.

Let F be an obstinate filter of a spanned EQ -algebra ζ . Then, there exists $f \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$ such that $\ker(f) = F$.

Proof:

Suppose F is an obstinate filter of ζ . f is defined as follows and it is shown that $f \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$. It is easy to check that $f(1) = 1$. We consider two arbitrary elements $x, y \in E$ in the following cases:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Case (1): $x, y \in F$

- (1a) By Theorem 2.6 part(i) we get that $x \otimes y \in F$. Hence, $f(x) = 1 = f(y)$ and $f(x \otimes y) = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \otimes y) = 1 = f(x) \otimes f(y)$.
- (1b) By Theorem 2.6 part (i), we get that $y \leq x \rightarrow y$ and so $x \rightarrow y \in F$. Thus, $f(x \rightarrow y) = 1$ and $f(x) \rightarrow f(y) = 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$.
- (1c) By Proposition 3.13, $x \wedge y \in F$, thus $f(x \wedge y) = 1$. We get that $f(x) \wedge f(y) = 1 \wedge 1 = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \wedge y) = 1 = f(x) \wedge f(y)$.
- (1d) By Proposition 3.13, $x \sim y \in F$. Thus, $f(x \sim y) = 1$. So, $f(x) = 1 = f(y)$ and $f(x \sim y) = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \sim y) = 1 = f(x) \sim f(y)$.

Case (2): $x, y \notin F$:

- (2a) By Theorem 2.6 part (i), $x \otimes y \notin F$. So $f(x \otimes y) = 0$. On the other hand, $f(x) \otimes f(y) = 0 \otimes 0 = 0$. It follows that $f(x \otimes y) = f(x) \otimes f(y)$.
- (2b) Since F is an obstinate filter, $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and so $f(x \rightarrow y) = 1$. On the other hand, $f(x) \rightarrow f(y) = 0 \rightarrow 0 = 1$. It follows that $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$. Similarly, $f(y \rightarrow x) = f(y) \rightarrow f(x)$.

(2_c) By Theorem 2.6 part (i), $x \wedge y \notin F$, and so $f(x \wedge y) = 0$. On the other hand, $f(x) \wedge f(y) = 0 \wedge 0 = 0$. It follows that $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$.

(2_d) Since F is an obstinate filter, we have $x \sim y \in F$, $f(x) = 0 = f(y)$ and $f(x \sim y) = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \sim y) = 1 = 0 \sim 0 = f(x) \sim f(y)$.

Case (3): $x \notin F, y \in F$:

(3_a) We get that $x \otimes y \notin F$. So $f(x \otimes y) = 0$. Therefore, $f(x \otimes y) = 0 = 0 \otimes 1 = f(x) \otimes f(y)$.

(3_b) We have $y \leq x \rightarrow y$, hence $x \rightarrow y \in F$. Then, $f(x \rightarrow y) = 1$. On the other hand, $f(x) \rightarrow f(y) = 0 \rightarrow 1 = 1$. It follows that $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$. By F_2 and hypothesis we have, $y \rightarrow x \notin F$. Then, $f(y \rightarrow x) = 0$. Since ζ is a spanned EQ-algebra, we get that $f(y) \rightarrow f(x) = 1 \rightarrow 0 = \tilde{0} = 0$. Therefore, $f(y \rightarrow x) = 0 = f(y) \rightarrow f(x)$.

(3_c) In this case $x \wedge y \notin F$, and so $f(x \wedge y) = 0$. On the other hand, $f(x) \wedge f(y) = 1 \wedge 0 = 0$. It follows that $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$.

(3_d) Since $x \sim y \leq y \rightarrow x \notin F$, we get that $f(x \sim y) = 0$. On the other hand, since ζ is a spanned EQ-algebra, $f(x) \sim f(y) = 0 \sim 1 = \tilde{0} = 0$. Therefore, $f(x \sim y) = 0 = f(x) \sim f(y)$.

Case (4):

$x \in F, y \notin F$: It can be proved similar to case (3). Summarizing all the above we have proven that $f \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$. It is clear that $\text{Ker}(f) = f^{-1}(1) = F$. \square

4. Maximal prefilters in EQ-algebras

Definition 4.1.

A prefilter F of ζ is called a maximal prefilter if it is proper and no proper prefilter of ζ strictly contains F ; that is, for each prefilter, $G \neq F$, if $F \subseteq G$, then $G = E$.

Theorem 4.2.

If $0 \in E$ and M is a proper prefilter of ζ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i) M is a maximal prefilter of ζ , and
- (ii) for any $x \notin M$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $x \rightarrow^n 0 \in M$.

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $x \notin M$, then $\langle M \cup \{x\} \rangle = E$, and so $0 \in \langle M \cup \{x\} \rangle$. Thus, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $x \rightarrow^n 0 \in M$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume there is a proper prefilter G such that $M \subset G$. Then, there exists $x \in G$ such that $x \notin M$. By hypothesis, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $x \rightarrow^n 0 \in M$. By Definition 2.5, we get that $0 \in G$, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.3.

Let ξ contain a bottom element 0. Then, every obstinate proper prefilter of ξ is a maximal prefilter of ξ .

Proof:

Let F be an obstinate proper prefilter of ξ , G be a prefilter of ξ and $F \subseteq G \subseteq E$. If $F \neq G$, then there is $x \in G$ such that $x \notin F$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.8, $\neg x \in F$ and we get that $\neg x \in G$. By Theorem 2.6 part (ii), we conclude that $0 \in G$. Therefore, $G = E$. \square

By the following example, we show that the converse of Proposition 4.3 may not be true.

Example 4.4.

Let $\xi = (\{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ be an EQ-algebra, with $0 < a < b < c < d < 1$. “ \rightarrow ”, “ \otimes ” and “ \sim ” defined as the following (Nov’ak and De Baets (2009)):

Table 3. The binary operations of ξ

\otimes	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0	a
b	0	0	0	0	a	b
c	0	0	0	a	a	c
d	0	0	a	a	a	d
1	0	a	b	c	d	1

\sim	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	1	c	b	a	0	0
a	c	1	b	a	a	a
b	b	b	1	b	b	b
c	a	a	b	1	c	c
d	0	a	b	c	1	d
1	0	a	b	c	d	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
a	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	b	a	1	1	1	1
c	a	a	b	1	1	1
d	0	a	b	c	1	1
1	0	a	b	c	d	1

Then, $\{c, d, 1\}$ is a maximal prefilter of ξ while it is not an obstinate prefilter, because

$0, b \notin \{c, d, 1\}$ and $b \rightarrow 0 = b \notin \{c, d, 1\}$.

Lemma 4.5.

Let F be a maximal and positive implicative prefilter of ζ . Then, F is an obstinate prefilter of ζ .

Proof:

Let $x, y \notin F$. Then $E = \langle F, y \rangle = \{z \in E \mid y \rightarrow z \in F\}$ and so $y \rightarrow x \in F$. Similarly, we can obtain $x \rightarrow y \in F$. Thus, F is an obstinate prefilter of ζ . \square

Lemma 4.6.

Every obstinate prefilter of an EQ -algebra ζ is an implicative prefilter.

Proof:

Let $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F$. Consider the following cases:

Case (1):

If $y \in F$, then $y \leq x \rightarrow y$ by Theorem 2.6 part (i) implies $x \rightarrow y \in F$. By hypothesis, we obtain $x \in F$.

Case (2):

If $x, y \notin F$, since F is an obstinate prefilter, then $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and we get that $x \in F$ by hypothesis, which is a contradiction. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Lemma 4.6 may not be true.

Example 4.7.

Let $\zeta = (\{0, a, b, 1\}, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ be a chain with Cayley Table 4. Then, ζ is an EQ -algebra (Liu and Zhang (2014)), and $\{b, 1\}$ is an implicative prefilter, while it is not an obstinate prefilter.

By Theorem 2.13 and Lemma 4.5, we have the following:

Corollary 4.8.

If F is a maximal and implicative prefilter of ζ , then F is an obstinate prefilter of ζ .

Theorem 4.9.

Every obstinate prefilter F of ζ is a prime prefilter.

Proof:

Let $a, b \in E$, $a \rightarrow b \notin F$ and $b \rightarrow a \notin F$. Then, $a \leq b \rightarrow a$ and $b \leq a \rightarrow b$, imply $a, b \notin F$. Since F is an obstinate prefilter, we get that $a \rightarrow b \in F$ and $b \rightarrow a \in F$, which is a contradiction. \square

The converse of the above theorem does not hold in general.

Example 4.10.

Consider EQ -algebra in Example 4.4. It is easy to check that $\{1, d\}$ is a prime prefilter of ζ , while it is not an obstinate prefilter, because $a, b \notin \{d, 1\}$ and $b \rightarrow a = a \notin \{d, 1\}$.

Table 4. The binary operations of ζ

\otimes	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	a	a
b	0	a	b	1
1	0	a	b	1

\sim	0	a	b	1
0	1	0	0	0
a	0	1	a	a
b	0	a	1	1
1	0	a	1	1

\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	1	1	1
a	0	1	1	1
b	0	a	1	1
1	0	a	1	1

Corollary 4.11.

Every maximal and implicative prefilter of ζ is a prime prefilter of ζ .
The prefilter $F = \{1, d\}$ in Example 4.10 is a prime prefilter while it is not a maximal prefilter.

5. Conclusion and future research

In this paper, we introduced the notions of obstinate prefilters (filters) and maximal prefilters in an EQ -algebra. We established properties of obstinate prefilters and maximal prefilters in an EQ -algebra. We proved some relationships between obstinate prefilters and the other types of prefilters in an EQ -algebra. In our future work, we will introduce the other type of prefilters and find the relation between them and the prefilters in this paper. In addition, we will find the relation of obstinate filters with congruencies.

REFERENCES

- BorumandSaeid, A. and Pourkhatoun, M. (2012). Obstinate filters in residuated lattices, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome, 55(103) No.4, 413-422.

- Chang, C.C. (1958). Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, *Trans Am Math Soc*, 88, 467-490.
- El- Zekey, M., Novák, V. and Mesiar, R. (2011). On good *EQ*-algebras, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol. 178 1-23.
- El- Zekey, M. (2010). Representable good *EQ*-algebras, 14(9) 1011-1023.
- Galatos, N., Jipsen, P., Kowalski, T., Ono, H. (2007). *Residuated Lattices. An Algebraic Glimpse at Substructural Logics*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Hájek, P. (1998). *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Haveshki, M., BorumandSaeid, A. and Eslami, E. (2006). Some types of filters in BL-algebras, *Soft Computing* 10, 657-664.
- Jun, Y.B., Xu, Y. and Zhang, X.H. (2005). Fuzzy filters of MTL-algebras, *Inform Sci* 175, 120-138.
- Liu, L. and Zhang, X. (2014). Implicative and positive implicative prefilters of EQ-algebras, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* 26, 2087-2097.
- Mohtashamnia, N. and Torkzadeh, L. The lattice of prefilters of an *EQ*-algebra, to appear (*fuzzy sets and systems*).
- Novák, V. (2005). On Fuzzy Type Theory, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* vol. 149, 235-273.
- Novák, V. (1990). On the syntactico-semantical completeness of first order fuzzy logic I, II, *Kybernetika* 26, 47-66, 134-154.
- Novák, V. (2007). EQ-algebra in progress, in: *Theoretical Advances and Applications of Fuzzy Logic and Soft Computing* (O. Casitillo, et al., eds.), *Advances in Soft Computing* 42, Springer, pp.876-884.
- Novák, V. (2011). EQ-algebra-based fuzzy type theory and its extensions. *Logic Journal of the IGPL* 19, 512-54.
- Novák, V. and De Baets, B. (2009). *EQ*-algebra, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol. 160, 2956-2978.
- Turunen, E. (1999). *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg.
- Zhan, J. M. and Xu, Y. (2008). Some types of generalized fuzzy filters of BL-algebras, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 56, 1604-1616.
- Van Gasse, B., Deschrijver, G., Cornelis, C. and Kerre, E. E. (2010). Filters of residuated lattices and triangle algebras, *Inform Sci* 180, 3006-3020.