





Challenges:

- 1. Covid-19 crisis and recurrent lockdown in Uganda are still interfering with transportation of the Ugandan mosquitoes to Germany.
- 2. Also, it has adverse effects on our work at FLI because of delayed consumables' delivery.

Innovative ways of working

To overcome this situation our team discussed and decided to use south German mosquitoes.

This decision was based on the fact that Germany is at medium risk for Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) spreading according to previously published risk assessment studies (Bortel et al., 2020).

Background:

Rift Valley fever virus is transmitted by different mosquito species. Both animals and humans can develop severe symptoms. The disease is common in African countries, but European countries are also at the risk of emergence (Ndiaye et al., 2016 and Lumley, et al., 2018).

Key Words:

RVFV MP-12, Culex pipiens biotype molestus and Aedes albopictus.

Contact:

Amira.Al-Hosary@fli.de

Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Südufer 10 | 17493 Greifswald - Insel Riems

Tel: +49 38351 7 4962 | Fax: +49 38351 7 1226

This document is licensed

Is Rift Valley fever virus a threat for Germany? Evaluation of the vector competence of German mosquitoes for Rift Valley fever virus

A. A. AL-Hosary a*, B.A. Tews a, C. Körsten a, M. Hausner a, U. Neumann a, O. Tauchmann a, F. Stoek b, M. Eidenb, C. Silaghia a Institute of Infectology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Südufer 10, 17493, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany b Institute of Novel and Emerging Infectious Diseases, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Südufer 10, 17493, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany

Material and Methods:

A. Vector competence studies:

306 and 207 German *Culex pipiens* biotype *molestus* and *Aedes albopictus* females mosquitoes, respectively at an age of 6-8 days old were exposed for three hours to infectious blood meal containing 10^7 TCID₅₀/ml RVFV stain MP-12 mixed with heparinized bovine blood (1:2 ratio) using cotton stick method (Fig. 1). Out of them 50.65% and 27.53% fed (Table 1). Blood fed females were kept at 28°C, 12/12 photocycle and 80% humidity. 5% glucose was supplied during the incubation period (Ndiaye et al., 2016). Blood meal was titrated twice, before and after feeding and the titers ranged from $10^6 - 10^{4.86}$ TCID₅₀/ml.

B. Salivation assay and Molecular finding: Two salivation assays were done at day 14 and day 21 post infection followed by qPCR according to Bird et al. (2007) to confirm positive occurrence of RVFV in the examined samples (Table 2, Fig. 2).

Table 1: Feeding and survival rates

Fig. 1 Blood feeding Fig. 2 Salivation using cotton-sticks

Assay.

Mosquito species	Total	Blood fed	Feeding rate %	Survival number	Survival rate%
Culex pipiens molestus	306	155	50.65	87	56.13
Aedes albopictus	207	57	27.53	13	22.80

Table 2 Infection, dissemination and transmission rates

Mosquito	Salivation	Salivated	Body	Infection	Legs &	Dissemination	Saliva	Transmission
species	day	numbers		rate %	wings	rate %		rate
Culex	14	49	13	26.53	12	24.49	8	16.33
pipiens	21	38	13	34.21	6	15.79	14	36.84
molestus								
Aedes	14	10	2	20	1	10	2	20
albopictus	21	3	1	33.33	0	0	1	33.33

















Main Finding:

- Feeding and survival rates in Culex pipiens biotype molestus are higher than in Ae. albopictus.
- Both have the ability to dissminate and transmit RVFV.
- German mosquitoes are competent vectors for RVFV and still able to transmit it 21 day post infection.

Future steps

- Continue with the vector competence studies using German and African/Ugandan mosquitoes.
- 2. Assessment of the vector competence for RVFV in German mosquitoes in case of coinfection with some viruses circulating in Germany like West Nile virus and Usutu virus.
- 3. Immunohistochemical studies on the infected mosquitoes to identify the main sites for virus dissemination in case of mono and co-infections.

References:

1. Bortel, W. et al., (2020). doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2020.EN-1801.

2. Ndiaye, E.H., *et al.* (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1383-y

3. Lumley, S., et al., (2018).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2884-7

4. Brian H. et al., (2007). doi:10.1128/JCM.00936-07.

FLI