

# Improving forage productivity for increased livestock production using biochar and green manure amendments

Amos B. Nambima Dene<sup>1,2</sup>, **Rodrigue V. Cao Diogo**<sup>1\*</sup>, Thierry D. Houehanou<sup>1,2</sup> and Birthe K. Paul<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Parakou, Faculty of Agronomy, Integrated Production Systems Innovation Lab and Sustainable Land Management (InSPIRES-SLM), PO Box 123 Parakou, Benin, [rodrigue.diogo@fa-up.bj](mailto:rodrigue.diogo@fa-up.bj)\*

<sup>2</sup> University of Parakou, Faculty of Agronomy, Laboratory of Ecology, Botany and Plant Biology, University of Parakou, Benin, <http://leb-up.org/>

<sup>3</sup> International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Nairobi, Kenya, [b.paul@cgiar.org](mailto:b.paul@cgiar.org)

## Abstract

The rapid population growth in West African cities resulted in rising demand for animal source foods. However, this high demand for animal source food is rarely met by domestic production in Benin due to several factors, including low feed availability and poor animal nutrition, especially during the dry months, and degraded soils. To overcome this situation, the effects of maize (*Zea mays*) cob biochar and green manure from *Gliricidia sepium* and *Mucuna pruriens* (2 t/ha each) and their combination (1 t/ha each) were tested on *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* agronomic performance over three months. The combination of biochar and green manure performed best with plant height increased by 57% ( $103.8 \pm 17.12$  cm) when compared to the un-amended control plots and each treatment alone two-month after planting. In addition, the number of leaves ( $22.9 \pm 5.82$ ) and the biomass determined ( $20.3 \pm 5.64$  t dry matter/ha) were highest with the combined treatment than the un-amended control plots three-months after planting. *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés*, fertilized with locally available biochar and green manure, could contribute significantly to increasing feed availability at farm level and boost meat and milk production.

**Keywords.** *Gliricidia sepium*, livestock production, *Mucuna pruriens*, organic amendments, Benin.

## Introduction

In Benin, animal production represents the second most important economic activity after agriculture, particularly in the Alibori and Borgou departments (Saka et al. 1991; De Haan, 1997; Djenontin, 2011). However, due to the deterioration of the environment, the dairy sector remains fragile and fails to meet the increasing population demand, resulting in a short-term response of imports of powdered milk at high prices (SOS Faim, 2015). Despite frequent climatic and food crises, the national livestock herd is constantly growing. This increase is due to a strong demand for milk and meat, following rising incomes, population growth and increasing urbanization (SOS Faim, 2015). According to Hamadou et al. (2005), *Brachiaria* grasses are important forage that boosts ruminants' milk and meat productions. In Benin, fodder shortage usually occurs during the dry season when transhumant herds move into the country from the Sahelian regions. To satisfy the needs of the herders and increase forage availability for animal production, the present study aimed to evaluate the performance of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés*, a very palatable plant to ruminants as affected by the (i) biochar treatment alone; (ii) green manure of *Gliricidia sepium* and *Mucuna pruriens*, and the (iii) combined effect of biochar and green manure amendments.

## Materials and methods

### Study environment

The trial was set-up at the Faculty of Agronomy, University of Parakou (Benin Republic, West Africa) located at 9°21' latitude north and 2°36' east longitude and at 350 m above sea level. Parakou is neighbored in the north by the municipality of N'Dali, in the south, east and west by the municipality of Tchaourou and covers an area of 441 km<sup>2</sup> (Monograph Parakou, 2006). The climate is tropical sub-humid with an average annual precipitation of about 1200 mm (Akoègninou et al. 2006).

### Plant materials used

*Mucuna puriens* var *utilis*, a perennial legume of the Fabaceae family that protects and retains soil moisture through its mulching capacity (ProSol, 2017) and *Gliricidia sepium*, a shrub legume belonging to the Papilionaceae (Figures 1a, b) family were used. Their biomass (leaves plus twigs less than 5 cm) were used as green manure solely or in combination.

*Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* is a perennial C<sub>4</sub> herbaceous grasses of the Poaceae family, originating from Africa. This cultivar was released by Embrapa in 2003 after 15 years evaluation in Brazil. It is a 1.5 m height grass with high biomass production, fast regrowth and late flowering (Embrapa, 2004). According to Husson et al. (2008), *Brachiaria* grasses produce a high biomass (quality fodder, Figure 1c), and can suppress weeds through their powerful and deep rooting system. They have a great ability to sequester and accumulate large amounts of soil organic carbon through their large shoots and roots biomass (Peters et al., 2012) and thus important for livestock feed production and soil improvement (Gichangi et al. 2017).



(a) *Mucuna pruriens* (b) *Gliricidia sepium* (c) *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés*  
**Figure 1.** The green legume biomass used (a and b) and applied to *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* plots (c).  
**Source:** Photo by Nambima A. (2020).

#### Biochar treatment

Biochar is the by-product of artisanal or industrial pyrolysis of plant biomass. It has a porous structure which confirms its water absorption and retention properties (Brodowski et al. 2006; Liang et al. 2006). Biochar increases soil porosity, allowing oxygen supply to the soil (Yanai et al. 2007). The biochar used in the trial was produced from corn cobs' biomass at 350°C for 5 hours (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Biochar from *Zea mays* cobs' biomass.  
**Source:** Photo by Diogo RVC. (2019).

#### Experimental design

The set-up was a randomized complete block design consisting of three blocks, each containing eight experimental units as follows:

T0: Plots of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* with no amendment; T1: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biomass of *Mucuna* at 2 t dry matter (DM/ha); T2: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biomass of *Gliricidia* 2 t DM/ha, T3: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with mixture of biomass at 2 t DM/ha (1 t/ha *Mucuna* + 1 t/ha *Gliricidia*); T4: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar at 300 kg DM/ha; T5: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar at 60 kg DM / ha + 2 t DM/ha *Mucuna*; T6: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar 60 kg DM/ha + 2 t DM/ha *Gliricidia*; T7: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar 60 kg DM/ha + 2 t DM/ha mixture of biomass (1 t/ha *Mucuna* + 1 t/ha *Gliricidia*). The biomass was incorporated into the soil 15 days after sowing.

The seeds of *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* were installed on the experimental plots of 3.6 m<sup>2</sup> according to sowing patterns of 20 by 20cm. The average number of seeds per pocket was five. The first germination started on the fourth day after sowing. The biomass of *M. puriens* and *G. sepium* were incorporated at 2 t DM/ ha on plots that received each of the treatment alone, and at 1 t DM/ha on the plots that received the combined biomasses. Biochar was applied at 300 kg DM/ha alone, but the rate of 60 kg DM/ha referring to 20% sole biochar treatment was used when combined with any of the biomass used for its effectiveness as suggested by Schmidt (2017). It was introduced at 5 cm soil depth near the *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* plant roots. Each treatment was replicated three times, totaling 24 experimental units.

### Data collection

Five plants of *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* were chosen randomly to assess plant growth per treatment. The height of the plants from the crown to the flag leaf tips was measured using a tape and the number of leaves counted every 15 days for two months. The biomass was cut at two and three months after application of the treatments in a 1 m<sup>2</sup> net plot to avoid border effects. Samples of fresh biomass were put in an oven at 105°C for 2-3 days to determine DM.

### Data analysis

Data collected were checked for homogeneity and normality assumption before F-test was performed using one-way ANOVA. The statistical analysis was performed using the R programming software.

## Results

### Effect of treatments on *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* growth and biomass

Plots that received green biomass plus biochar grew more than plots with sole green biomass and biochar treatment alone. The greatest plant height obtained was with T7 (biochar plus biomass of legumes) compared to the other treatments (Table 1, P<0.05). Likewise, the number of leaves determined increased significantly with biochar and legume treatments, Table 1, P<0.05). With regard to biomass, no significant difference was obtained between the treatment at the first pruning two months after sowing (MAS). At the second cut (3MAS), the treatment T7 performed best with significantly higher biomass compared to the other treatments (Table 1). Moreover, the treatments T3, T5 and T6 produced greater biomass compared to the control (P>0.05).

**Table 1. Effect of treatments on growth parameters (2-month after sowing, MAS) and on total biomass of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* 3MAS**

Treatment	Height (cm)	Number of leaves	1 <sup>st</sup> cut (t DM / ha, 2MAS)	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut (t DM / ha, 3MAS)	Total biomass (t DM / ha)
T0	47.1 ± 17.71 <sup>d</sup>	13.3 ± 4.69 <sup>b</sup>	2.4 ± 1.71 <sup>a</sup>	1.8 ± 0.46 <sup>d</sup>	3.9 ± 1.80 <sup>c</sup>
T1	57.1 ± 8.58 <sup>cd</sup>	15.6 ± 5.64 <sup>b</sup>	2.3 ± 0.93 <sup>a</sup>	6.4 ± 0.76 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.1 ± 2.15 <sup>bc</sup>
T2	69.5 ± 10.30 <sup>c</sup>	16.9 ± 4.77 <sup>b</sup>	2.9 ± 1.40 <sup>a</sup>	3.8 ± 0.24 <sup>cd</sup>	6.7 ± 1.41 <sup>bc</sup>
T3	86.3 ± 8.70 <sup>b</sup>	18.3 ± 5.08 <sup>ab</sup>	4.4 ± 2.84 <sup>a</sup>	8.2 ± 0.75 <sup>bc</sup>	13.6 ± 1.80 <sup>ab</sup>
T4	60.9 ± 11.50 <sup>c</sup>	15.5 ± 4.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.5 ± 1.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.6 ± 0.89 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.4 ± 0.21 <sup>bc</sup>
T5	79.3 ± 14.25 <sup>b</sup>	16.5 ± 4.21 <sup>b</sup>	2.5 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	9.1 ± 0.93 <sup>b</sup>	11.6 ± 0.79 <sup>b</sup>
T6	82.7 ± 13.13 <sup>b</sup>	17.5 ± 4.70 <sup>ab</sup>	5.0 ± 2.98 <sup>a</sup>	7.9 ± 1.60 <sup>bc</sup>	12.1 ± 1.86 <sup>b</sup>
T7	103.8 ± 17.12 <sup>a</sup>	22.9 ± 5.82 <sup>a</sup>	6.0 ± 1.55 <sup>a</sup>	14.3 ± 4.14 <sup>a</sup>	20.3 ± 5.65 <sup>a</sup>

Means in the same column followed by different letters differ significantly at 5% level.

T0: Plots of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* with no amendment; T1: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biomass of *Mucuna* at 2 t dry matter (DM / ha); T2: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biomass of *Gliricidia* 2 t DM / ha; T3: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with mixture of biomass at 2 t DM / ha (1 t / ha *Mucuna* + 1 t / ha *Gliricidia*); T4: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar at 300 kg DM / ha; T5: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar at 60 kg DM / ha + 2 t DM / ha *Mucuna*; T6: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar 60 kg DM / ha + 2 t DM / ha *Gliricidia*; T7: Plots of *Brachiaria* amended with biochar 60 kg DM / ha + 2 t DM / ha mixture of biomass (1 t / ha *Mucuna* + 1 t / ha *Gliricidia*).

## Discussion

The different growth parameters and biomass production show that the combined application of biochar and legume biomass of *G. sepium* and *M. pruriens* significantly improve the growth of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* plants. The supply of biochar in the soil generally increases the retention of water and nutrients, through the cation exchange capacity of the soil-biochar system, the permeability, the penetration of the roots deeper without counting its indirect effects on biological and chemical properties in the soil (Lehmann and Joseph, 2009; Sohi et al. 2010). The biomass produced under different treatments showed a marked difference between the amended plots and the control plots. This is explained by the nutrient content of the legume plants used which were favoured by the biochar amendment to create favourable environment for the nutrient uptake by the *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* plant. Therefore, the combination of biochar plus biomass of *G. sepium* and *M. pruriens* is recommended to improve the performance of *B. brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* fodder availability for animals. These results corroborate the findings by Sohi (2012); Kanouo (2017); Oguntunde et al. (2004) and Crane-Droesch et al. (2013) who showed that incorporating charcoal into the soil improves crop yields. However, the charcoal is not a biochar as their production processes are different. Nonetheless, they play similar role. Beyond serving as forage, our

findings confirm the importance of *G. sepium* and *M. pruriens* in the restoration and protection of soil (ProSol, 2017) and could be promoted as green amendment.

## Conclusion

The present study investigating the effect of biochar and green manure amendments of *Mucuna pruriens* and *Gliricidium sepium* shows that the green biomass of these legumes could be combined with biochar to conserve their nutrient to improve *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés* forage production and soil restoration through fertility improvement. Our findings could be useful to several actors working in the field of food intensification and animal production. This approach could be used to increase forage production that maximizes milk and meat production in Benin.

## Acknowledgements

This report is an output of the project "Assessment of benefits and adoption constraints of green manure cover crops in Benin/Ethiopia and Kenya," led by the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). The project was funded by the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ)/Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) under Contract 81218508, processing number 14.0156.1-101.00. The project was carried out as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Water, Land and Ecosystems (WLE). We thank all donors that globally support our work through their contributions to the CGIAR System.

## References

- Akoègninou A, Van der Burg WJ, Van der Maesen LJG, 2006. Flore analytique du Bénin (No. 06.2). Backhuys Publishers: Cotonou, Benin, Wageningen, The Netherlands. (<https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/281595>)
- Brodowski S, John B, Flessa H, Amelung W, 2006. Aggregate-occluded black carbon in soil. European journal of soil science, 57, 539-546. (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/gcbb.12037>).
- Center for International Cooperation in Agricultural Research for Development (CIRAD), 2002. Ministry of Foreign Affairs & Technological Research and Exchange Group (GRET), Mémento de l'Agronome. Montpellier: CIRAD; Paris: GRET. (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/gcbb.12037>).
- Crane-Droesch A, Abiven S, Jeffery S, Torn MS, 2013. Heterogeneous global crop yield response to biochar: a meta-regression analysis. Environment Restoration Letters. 8: 1–8. (<https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044049/meta>).
- De Haan LJ, 1997. Farmers and breeders in North Benin. Ecology and kinds of life Paris, Karthala. p 217. (<https://scholarlypublications.universiteitleiden.nl/access/item%3A2870788/view>).
- Djenontin JA, 2011. Dynamics of strategies and practices for the use of natural rangelands for feeding cattle herds in North Benin. "Thesis in agronomic sciences, University of Abomey Calavi, p.274. (<https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00595277/document>).
- Gichangi EM, Njarui DM, GatheruM, 2017. Plant shoots and roots biomass of *Brachiaria* grasses and their effects on soil carbon in the semi-arid tropics of Kenya. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 20(1), 65-74. (<https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/939/93950595009.pdf>)
- Embrapa, 2004. O capim-Xaraés (*Brachiaria brizantha* cv. *Xaraés*) na diversificação das pastagens de Braquiária. Doc. 149, Embrapa Gado de Corte, Campo Grande, MS. 36p. ISSN 151 7-3747
- Hamadou S, et al. 2005. Factors affecting the adoption of fodder crops in peri-urban dairy farms of Bobo-Dioulasso (Burkina Faso), *Tropicultura*, 23, 1, 29-35. ([https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45266407\\_Facteurs\\_affectant\\_l'adoption\\_des\\_cultures\\_fourrageres\\_dans\\_les\\_elevages\\_laitiers\\_peri-urbains\\_de\\_Bobo-Dioulasso\\_Burkina\\_Faso](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45266407_Facteurs_affectant_l'adoption_des_cultures_fourrageres_dans_les_elevages_laitiers_peri-urbains_de_Bobo-Dioulasso_Burkina_Faso)).
- Husson O, et al. 2008. Technical sheet of cover plants: perennial grasses (*Brachiaria* sp. *B. ruziziensis*, *B. brizantha*, *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola*). Practical manual of direct sowing in Madagascar. Volume III. Chapter 3.; pp. 20. (<https://www.supagro.fr/ress-pepites/PlantesdeCouverture/res/brachiaria.pdf>).
- Kanouo BMD, 2017. Production and use of biochar for the amendment of tropical leached red soils, PhD Thesis in Forest Sciences, 118p. (<https://corpus.ulaval.ca/jspui/handle/20.500.11794/28120>).
- Lehmann J, Joseph S, 2009. Biochar for Environmental Management. Science and Technology. Earthscan, London. pp. 13-32. (<http://www.sidalc.net/cgi-bin/wxis.exe/?IsisScript=earth.xis&method=post&formato=2&cantidad=1&expresion=mfn=015609>).

- Liang B, et al. 2006. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil science society of America Journal*, 70, 1719-1730. (<https://access.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.2136/sssaj2005.0383>).
- Monograph of the municipality of Parakou, 2006. p44. ([https://plan.gouv.bj/media/Spat\\_bj\\_Monographie%20Borgou-Alibori\\_03\\_02.pdf](https://plan.gouv.bj/media/Spat_bj_Monographie%20Borgou-Alibori_03_02.pdf)).
- Oguntunde PG, et al. 2004. Effects of charcoal production on maize yield, chemical properties and texture of soil. *Biology Fertility Soils*. 39: 295–299. (<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00374-003-0707-1>).
- Peters M, et al. 2012. Tropical forage-based systems to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. In: Hershey, C. (Ed.) *Issues in Tropical Agriculture I. Eco-Efficiency: From Vision to Reality*. CIAT, Cali, Colombia. (<http://www.ciat.cgiar.org/publications/eco>)
- ProSol 2017, Compendium of GDT measures. ([https://globalsoilweek.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Online\\_French\\_190507.pdf](https://globalsoilweek.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Online_French_190507.pdf))
- Saka SG, et al. 1991. Study of zootechnical and socio-economic data from the Pastoral Hydraulics Program. Zootechnics section, FAO, 59p. (<http://www.fao.org/3/a1250e/annexes/CountryReports/Benin.pdf>).
- Schmidt, H. P., Pandit, B. H., Cornelissen, G., & Kammann, C. I. (2017). Biochar-based fertilization with liquid nutrient enrichment: 21 field trials covering 13 crop species in Nepal. *Land Degradation & Development*, 28(8), 2324-2342.
- Sohi SP, 2012. Carbon storage with benefits. *Science* 338: 1034-1035. (<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/338/6110/1034.summary>).
- Sohi SP, Krull E, Lopez-Capel E, Bol R, 2010. A Review of biochar and its use and function in soil. *Advances in Agronomy*, 105, 47-82. (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0065211310050029>)
- SOS Faim, 2015. Challenges for pastoralists: the case of Benin in West Africa. *Farmer dynamics*, 12p (<http://www.alimenterre.org/sites/www.cfsi.asso.fr/files/908-elevage-benin.pdf>);
- Yanai Y, Toyota K, Okazani M, 2007. Effects of charcoal addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soil resulting from rewetting air-dried soil in short-term laboratory experiments. *Soil science and plant nutrition*, 553, 181-188. (<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1111/J.1747-0765.2007.00123.X>).