



Title	Lower urinary tract function improves after laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy for elderly patients with pelvic organ prolapse
Author(s)	Togo, Mio; Kitta, Takeya; Kanno, Yukiko; Ouchi, Mifuka; Tokiwa, Shino; Huang, Tingwen; Moriyama, Shingo; Nomura, Jimmy; Moriya, Kimihiko; Shinohara, Nobuo
Citation	Lower urinary tract symptoms, 12(3), 260-265 https://doi.org/10.1111/luts.12313
Issue Date	2020-09
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/82773
Rights	This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article: Togo, M, Kitta, T, Kanno, Y, et al. Lower urinary tract function improves after laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy for elderly patients with pelvic organ prolapse. Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. 2020; 12: 260-265., which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/luts.12313 .
Type	article (author version)
File Information	@@Revised MS+2020+0401.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Lower urinary tract function improves after laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy for**
2 **elderly patients with pelvic organ prolapse**

3

4 Mio Togo^{1,2}, Takeya Kitta¹, Yukiko Kanno¹, Mifuka Ouchi¹, Shino Tokiwa², Tingwen
5 Huang², Shingo Moriyama², Jimmy Nomura², Kimihiko Moriya¹, Nobuo Shinohara¹

6

7 ¹ Department of Renal and Genitourinary Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine,
8 Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-8638, Japan

9 ² Urogynaecology Unit, Kameda Medical Center, 929, Higashi-cho, Kamogawa City,
10 Chiba Prefecture, 296-8602, Japan.

11

12 **Corresponding author:** Takeya Kitta, MD, PhD

13 kitta@fb3.so-net.ne.jp

14 Department of Renal and Genitourinary Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine,
15 Hokkaido University Sapporo, Japan 060-8638

16 Phone: +81-11-716-1161 (5949)

17 Fax: +81-11-706-7853

18 **Running title:** LSC is a valid option for elderly

19

20 **Conflicts of interest:**

21 Mio Togo: None declared, Takeya Kitta: None declared, Yukiko Kanno: None declared

22 Mifuka Ouchi: None declared, Shino Tokiwa: None declared, Tingwen Huang: None

23 declared

24 Shingo Moriyama: None declared, Jimmy Nomura: None declared

25 Kimihiko Moriya: None declared, Nobuo Shinohara: None declared

26

27

28 **Abstract**

29 ***Objectives***

30 Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is relatively common in the elderly population.
31 Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy (LSC) has been reported by several studies to be a less
32 invasive treatment option as compared to open sacrocolpopexy. However, almost all
33 prior reports focused on the complications, or surgical obstacles. The present study was
34 designed to examine POP patients of all ages and assess lower urinary tract function
35 before and after LSC.

36 ***Methods***

37 This retrospective study examined the results of LSC performed in POP patient.
38 Urodynamic studies were performed pre- and postoperatively in 50 patients, with the
39 subjects divided into two groups containing those less than and those older than 65
40 years of age. We performed a pressure-flow study. We examined bladder functions by
41 evaluating bladder capacity, detrusor pressure at maximum flow (PdetQmax), maximum
42 flow rate (Qmax), normal desire to void (NDV), strong desire to void, voided volume,
43 and post-void residual volume (PVR). Statistical analysis was performed using
44 Wilcoxon signed rank test. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

45 ***Results***

46 With regard to the pressure-flow study parameters, there was a significant increase in
47 the NDV and bladder capacity only in the ≥ 65 age group. After the operation, there was
48 a significant increase in the mean postoperative Q_{max} and voided volume, while there
49 was a significant decrease in the $P_{det}Q_{max}$ and PVR as compared to the preoperative
50 values only in the ≥ 65 age group.

51 ***Conclusions***

52 Results show that in elderly patients with POP, LSC might be a valid option with regard
53 to potentially regaining urinary tract function.

54

55

56 **Keywords:**

57 elderly; laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy (LSC); pelvic organ prolapse; urodynamic study

58

59 **Abbreviations:**

60 pelvic organ prolapse (POP)

61 stress urinary incontinence (SUI)

62 urgency urinary incontinence (UUI)

63 pressure flow study (PFS)

64 detrusor overactivity (DO)

65 maximal flow rate (Q_{max})

66 detrusor pressure at Q_{max} (P_{det} at Q_{max})

67 International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire Short Form (ICIQ-SF)

68 Overactive Bladder Symptom Score (OABSS)

69

70

71

72 **Introduction**

73 The number of people aged 65 and over has rapidly increased in countries worldwide in
74 recent decades. The number of elderly patients with pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is also
75 increasing, with a higher rate found in this group as compared to the general
76 population.¹ Conservative treatments include pessary use and pelvic floor muscle
77 training. However, surgical therapy is often a more effective option for patients with
78 POP. Surgical procedures include native tissue repair, tension-free vaginal mesh, and
79 laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy (LSC). These procedures can be carried out with or
80 without the placement of a synthetic mesh. However, LSC is now the more favored
81 treatment for POP due to the warnings issued by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration
82 concerning the mesh-related complications that can follow transvaginal mesh surgeries.
83 Furthermore, Richter et al. reported finding no significant difference in complication
84 rates for abdominal sacrocolpopexy between women younger or older than 70.² LSC is
85 now considered to be an effective option for women under 65 years of age by many
86 surgeons, even though others are hesitant to suggest laparoscopic surgery in elderly
87 women.³ However, studies have reported that the safety and complication rates are
88 similar between younger and elderly women who undergo surgical treatment for POP.⁴
89 In addition, studies have also reported that LSC is an effective surgical procedure, with

90 the benefit of being less invasive than other open surgeries. However, these reports did
91 not examine the lower urinary tract functional outcomes but instead primarily evaluated
92 the recovery of vaginal function, postoperative complications, or surgical failure.
93 Therefore, our current study was designed to compare lower urinary tract function
94 before and after LSC for the treatment of POP in women under and over the age of 65
95 years.

96

97 **Methods**

98 *Study design*

99 This retrospective study included 50 POP patients who underwent LSC between
100 October 2013 and July 2016 in a single tertiary center. These urodynamic studies used a
101 Laborie Delphis KT urodynamic system to evaluate the patients before and after LSC,
102 with the patients divided into groups less than and older than 65 years of age. The
103 terminology used in the current study follows the terminology guides of the
104 International Urogynecological Association (IUGA) and the International Continence
105 Society.⁵ Ethics approval was obtained (IRB number: 017-0184), and the study protocol
106 followed the Declaration of Helsinki. Certain patients who took part in the current study
107 have also participated in other studies that we previously reported.⁶

108

109 *Data collection*

110 Table 1 presents the patient characteristics. Previous clinical records were used for the
111 purpose of obtaining the current patient medical records. The data collected from these
112 records included the following: age at the time of surgery, basic characteristics of the
113 patients, body mass index (BMI) at the time of the preoperative appointment, estimated
114 blood loss, parity, operative time, and the pre- and postoperative pelvic organ prolapse
115 quantification (POP-Q) measurements. A simplified version of the scale of the
116 International Continence Society POP-Q was used to classify the stage of genital
117 prolapse. Since the outcome of patients who simultaneously underwent
118 anti-incontinence surgery could not reveal the impact of LSC on lower urinary tract
119 function, these subjects were excluded from our analysis. At 6 months after the surgery,
120 all patients underwent a follow-up, which examined the same areas as listed above. And,
121 symptom of patient's data were collected included the International Consultation on
122 Incontinence Questionnaire Short Form (ICIQ-SF) and Overactive Bladder Symptom
123 Score (OABSS). The validated Japanese versions of the ICIQ-SF and OABSS were
124 used in this study. Based on these questionnaires, stress urinary incontinence (SUI),
125 urgency urinary incontinence (UUI) was diagnosis.

126

127 *Surgical technique*

128 The LSC method has been previously reported in detail.⁶ For all patients, surgery was
129 performed by a single trained surgeon (JM). This surgeon performed posterior
130 (rectovaginal space) dissection to the level of the levator ani muscles bilaterally and the
131 perineal body in the middle, while the anterior (vesicovaginal space) dissection was
132 performed much deeper than that normally used for conventional LSC. This dissection
133 was performed to the bladder neck level. Two pieces of polypropylene mesh (Gynemesh,
134 Ethicon; Polyform, Boston Scientific) were stitched to three points of the anterior
135 vaginal wall. The two meshes were sutured together, attaching to the lateral edge of the
136 cervical stump and uterosacral ligaments bilaterally. The rectouterine pouch was
137 covered by the peritoneum. Subsequently, after determining there was an appropriate
138 level of suspension via a vaginal examination, the cranial end of the mesh was then
139 sutured to the anterior longitudinal ligament over the sacral promontory. Finally, closure
140 of the posterior parietal peritoneum was performed, which ensured there was coverage
141 of the entire length of the mesh.

142

143 *Urodynamic technique*

144 Multichannel urodynamic evaluations were performed for all 50 patients, and which
145 included a urethral pressure profile, cystometry, and a pressure flow study.⁶ The
146 preoperative pressure flow study was carried out with prolapse reduction using surgical
147 gauze. Each patient underwent a urodynamic assessment before and at 6 months after
148 the surgical procedure. With regard to the urethral sphincter function, functional profile
149 length and maximum urethral closure pressure (MUCP) were evaluated. The parameters
150 examined in order to evaluate the filling cystometry included the normal desire to void
151 (NDV), strong desire to void, and bladder capacity. In addition, we also performed a
152 pressure flow study that evaluated the maximum flow rate (Q_{max}), detrusor pressure at
153 maximum flow (P_{det} at Q_{max}), voided volume, and post-void residual volume (PVR).
154 Provocative maneuvers were carried out by cough and Valsalva after 200 ml of filling
155 and a strong desire to void. Detrusor overactivity (DO) was diagnosed if involuntary
156 detrusor contraction occurred during the filling cystometry, either spontaneously or
157 provoked.

158

159 *Statistical analysis*

160 The data were analyzed using JMP statistical software version 13.0 (SAS Institute Inc.,
161 Cary, NC, USA). Urodynamic and lower urinary tract symptoms pre- and postoperative

162 parameters for each group were compared using a Wilcoxon signed rank test. Values of

163 p less than 0.05 were considered significant.

164

165

166 **Results**

167 A total of 50 patients were included in this study, 12 of whom were younger than 65
168 years and 38 were 65 years or older. Patients in the two groups had similar
169 characteristics for BMI, estimated blood loss, operative time and parity ($p > 0.05$ for
170 each; Table 2). The occurrence of perioperative complications did not differ
171 significantly between the two groups (We have 3 patients' complications (>65 age
172 group; 1) which were bladder injury, intestinal obstruction and bleeding in excess of 100
173 mL during operation. And no patient was reported to have a vaginal mesh exposure).
174 With regard to urethral function, MUCP was significantly different between the groups
175 ($p = 0.036$) (Table 3).

176 No significant changes in the urethral sphincter function were observed pre- and
177 post-LSC in either group. Regarding the filling cystometry parameters, NDV and
178 bladder capacity were significantly increased in only the ≥ 65 age group ($p = 0.008$ and
179 0.041 , respectively). For the voiding cystometry parameters, there was a significant
180 increase in the mean postoperative Q_{max} and voided volume ($p = 0.0002$ and 0.003 ,
181 respectively) while there was a significant decrease in the P_{det} at Q_{max} and PVR after
182 the operation, as compared to the preoperative values only in the ≥ 65 age group ($p =$
183 0.029 and 0.003 , respectively). There were no significant changes observed in the

184 uroflowmetry parameters for either the pre- and post-LSC group (Table 4). Due to the
185 small number of cases, the DO frequency was not statistically analyzed. After operation,
186 there was a significant improvement in OABSS scores (from 4.0 ± 2.5 to 2.6 ± 2.6) but
187 there was no significant difference in the ICIQ-SF scores in all patients. In each group,
188 ICIQ-SF changed from 7.4 ± 2.1 to 6.6 ± 5.9 and from 7.3 ± 5.2 to 4.7 ± 5.6 for less than 65
189 and older than 65 years group, respectively. And, OABSS changed from 3.4 ± 2.3 to
190 1.4 ± 0.9 and from 4.2 ± 2.6 to 3.0 ± 2.8 for less than 65 and older than 65 years group,
191 respectively. Of the 8 patients who had SUI preoperatively (>65 age group; 5), 7
192 patients had resolution of symptoms after LSC. De novo (occult) SUI occurred in 4
193 patients after LSC. 14 patients had UII before LSC (>65 age group; 10), 9 patients had
194 resolution of symptoms after LSC. De novo UII occurred in 1 patient (>65 age) after
195 LSC.

196

197 **Discussion**

198 Our findings suggest that LSC is a valid surgical option that can improve the POP in
199 women ≥ 65 years old. There is a greater prevalence of POP in the elderly versus the
200 younger populations. Previous reports have defined “elderly” as ranging between 60
201 and 80 years old. Conversely, in the epidemiological literature, “elderly” is frequently
202 defined as being over 65 years old. In our current study, we defined “elderly patients” as
203 those who were older than 65 years of age.

204 POP is a common, bothersome, and costly problem for women. Several treatment
205 options are available, with individual patient’s circumstances dictating which option(s)
206 are the most efficacious. In the majority of cases, it is suggested that patients undergo
207 either pelvic floor muscle training or surgical treatment of POP. During the last decade,
208 LSC has become widely recommended as a treatment for POP. It has been documented
209 that exclusion of older patients from LSC is commonly done without any justification
210 other than the subject’s age.³ Furthermore, laparoscopic hysteropexy and
211 sacrocolpopexy are also avoided in elderly individuals without the presence of any
212 specific reason.⁷ Unfortunately, many previous studies, especially those that have
213 examined elderly populations, have only focused on major complications, without
214 providing any information on the functional outcomes. In addition, after

215 urogynecological surgery in elderly women, there has been limited information
216 provided on either the mortality and morbidity. The majority of these studies also did
217 not include any calculation of the risks or account for any comorbidities.

218 In the current study, perioperative complications for elderly patients were not
219 significantly increased as compared to that for younger patients. In our previous study,
220 we reported that LSC was an effective procedure in accordance with both the
221 urodynamics (UDS) and subjective data in all age groups.⁶ In the current study, we
222 focused on the factor of age when using the objective UDS data. Thus, this current
223 study is one of the first reports in the urogynecological literature that has specifically
224 examined patients before and after LSC. Since there have not been many studies that
225 have performed objective tests of the lower urinary tract, our data will be of substantial
226 benefit to both physicians and patients.

227 UDS is the general term for the study of the storage and voiding
228 function/dysfunction of the lower urinary tract. UDS is the only objective diagnostic test
229 that can clinically assess a patient's suffering with regard to lower urinary dysfunction.
230 In the current study, we examine both storage and voiding symptoms. The parameters
231 examined in order to evaluate the storage symptoms included the normal desire to void
232 (NDV), strong desire to void (SDV), and bladder capacity. The voiding phase

233 parameters, maximum flow rate (Q_{max}) and average flow rate (Q_{ave}) and pressure at
234 maximum flow ($P_{detQ_{max}}$) and voided volume (VV) and post-void residual urine
235 (PVR) represent voiding symptoms. In addition, UDS can also be used to assess
236 postoperative voiding function. In most cases, voiding function is usually recovered
237 within a few weeks of surgery. However, this temporary voiding dysfunction is directly
238 associated with the quality of life (QOL) of the patients. There are very few studies that
239 have investigated the effect on the lower urinary tract function using UDS, even though
240 there has been an increased awareness and effort in the urogynecological research field
241 to identify the risk associated with POP surgery. At the present time, it still remains
242 unknown as to the exact mechanisms that are responsible for these this temporary
243 voiding dysfunction after surgery.

244 Kitta et al. attempted to evaluate preoperative and postoperative voiding function by
245 PFS in addition to trying to assess the cause of postoperative voiding dysfunction.⁸ Lo
246 et al. reported that some risk factors such as preoperative detrusor low pressure,
247 concurrent midurethral sling insertion and diabetes mellitus were risk factors for
248 postoperative voiding dysfunction.⁹ However, their findings showed that having an age
249 ≥ 66 years was not a significant risk factor for postoperative voiding dysfunction. In the
250 current study, in ≥ 65 years age group, after LSC the voiding phase parameters, Q_{max}

251 was significantly higher and Pdet at Qmax was significantly lower compared to
252 pre-LSC. This means, even elderly patients ameliorate lower urinary tract function. In
253 addition, in <65 years age group, both Qmax and Pdet at Qmax have a change in
254 tendency pre and post LSC (p= 0.058 and 0.055, respectively). It could be a case size
255 matter. In our previous study ⁶, range of age was 49-88, after LSC the voiding phase
256 parameters, Qmax was significantly higher and Pdet at Qmax was significantly lower
257 pre-LSC. So, we did not consider elderly patients is more ameliorate lower urinary tract
258 function, but even elderly patients could also ameliorate lower urinary tract function.

259 The second role of UDS is to evaluate DO following POP surgery. Lo et al.¹⁰
260 reported that having an age ≥ 66 years was an independent risk factor for developing
261 postoperative DO following surgery for POP. Long et al.¹¹ found that women with POP
262 who underwent repair using a tension-free vaginal mesh experienced improvement of
263 their overactive bladder symptoms, and that the only significant predictor of symptom
264 relief was the preoperative DO. POP can cause bladder outlet obstruction, which has
265 been reported to be the cause of DO or overactive bladder in patients with POP.¹² In our
266 study, the frequency of DO was not statistically analyzed due to the small number of
267 cases. Finally, UDS can additionally be used to evaluate de novo stress urinary
268 incontinence (SUI), with concomitant surgery then used to correct SUI. De novo SUI is

269 a known risk of POP surgery. However, previous studies revealed that concomitant SUI
270 surgery for POP patients increases the risk of postoperative voiding dysfunction.
271 However, this remains a complex and controversial issue.¹³ In the current study, since
272 the outcome of patients who underwent a simultaneous anti-SUI surgery did not
273 represent the true impact of the LSC on urinary function, we excluded these patients
274 from our analyses. Even if the real usefulness of the preoperative UDS evaluation of
275 women scheduled for POP repair has yet to be definitively determined, the current study
276 does demonstrate that there is significant improvement of the lower urinary tract
277 function in elderly women who undergo LSC treatment for POP.

278 In this study, we assessed urethral function in addition to bladder function. Only a
279 few studies have focused on the urethral function pre- and post-LSC, with almost all
280 prior studies only focusing only on bladder function. However, continence mechanisms
281 are composed of both bladder and urethral functions. When the bladder pressure
282 exceeds the urethral pressure due to effort or exertion, or after sneezing or coughing,
283 SUI occurs. SUI is dependent upon both the urethral closure function and the pressures
284 to which it is subjected. Unfortunately, the specifics details on the closure mechanism of
285 the urethra in women have yet to be definitively elucidated. Urethral pressure
286 profilometry is used in the diagnosis of SUI. Currently, there is a lack of consensus

287 regarding urethral pressure profilometry as a diagnostic test, and thus it is mainly used
288 in specialized centers as an adjunctive technique for clinical urodynamic assessment.
289 Furthermore, there are still no clearly defined measurable criteria for the
290 characterization of urethral function.¹⁴ In the current study, after we examined the
291 functional profile length and MUCP, we determined that there were no significant
292 differences for these factors before and after LSC. We only observed a significant
293 difference between the two groups with regard to the preoperative MUCP, which was
294 related to changes caused by aging. However, when patients with more severe forms of
295 SUI, i.e., based on urodynamic testing, are compared to those exhibiting less severe
296 forms, there has been no evidence to suggest that there will be any differences between
297 the two groups after undergoing the most commonly offered surgical treatments.
298 Although LSC did not alter the urethral static closure mechanisms in our current study,
299 this does not mean that other urethral profiling methods should also be excluded. For
300 example, urethral pressure examined at a high resolution could potentially shed more
301 light on this issue. Moreover, not only the urethral static tests but also urethral dynamic
302 tests should be able to provide information about the bladder neck or proximal urethra.

303 After LSC, there was a significant improvement in OABSS scores but there was no
304 significant difference in the ICIQ-SF scores in all patients. These results correspond

305 with the results from our previous reports. However, in each less than 65 and older than
306 65 years group, there were no significant differences. It could be a case size matter.
307 Nevertheless, we focused on the objective UDS data mainly in this study. Since there
308 have not been many studies that have performed slightly invasive objective tests, our
309 data will be of substantial benefit to understand aging affects the physiology of lower
310 urinary tracts.

311 Although the original goal of LSC was to create a way to provide both a safe and
312 effective surgical treatment for POP, the effect that LSC has on urinary function needs
313 to be taken into consideration and should not be ignored. Our data indicated that the
314 lower urinary tract function significantly improved in elderly women after undergoing
315 LSC for POP. Our data could potentially provide support for the decision to consider a
316 surgical option in older patients. The limitations of our current study included both the
317 small number of patients treated and its retrospective design. Notably, we did not
318 evaluate the QOL of the patients in each of the groups examined.

319

320 In conclusion, from the perspective of lower urinary tract function, LSC could be a
321 valid option for elderly patients with POP.

322

323 **Acknowledgments:** none

324 **Conflict of interest:** none declared

325

326

327 **References**

328

- 329 1. MacLennan AH, Taylor AW, Wilson DH, Wilson D. The prevalence of pelvic
330 floor disorders and their relationship to gender, age, parity and mode of delivery.
331 *BJOG*. 2000;107(12):1460-1470.
- 332 2. Richter HE, Goode PS, Kenton K, et al. The effect of age on short-term
333 outcomes after abdominal surgery for pelvic organ prolapse. *J Am Geriatr Soc*.
334 2007;55(6):857-863.
- 335 3. American Urogynecologic Society's Guidelines Development C. Guidelines for
336 privileging and credentialing physicians for sacrocolpopexy for pelvic organ
337 prolapse. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg*. 2013;19(2):62-65.
- 338 4. Boudy AS, Thubert T, Vinchant M, Hermieu JF, Villefranche V, Deffieux X.
339 Outcomes of laparoscopic sacropexy in women over 70: A comparative study.
340 *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2016;207:178-183.
- 341 5. Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM, et al. An International Urogynecological
342 Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the
343 terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction. *Neurourol Urodyn*.
344 2010;29(1):4-20.

- 345 6. Abdullah B, Nomura J, Moriyama S, Huang T, Tokiwa S, Togo M. Clinical and
346 urodynamic assessment in patients with pelvic organ prolapse before and after
347 laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2017;28(10):1543-1549.
- 348 7. Rahmanou P, White B, Price N, Jackson S. Laparoscopic hysteropexy: 1- to
349 4-year follow-up of women postoperatively. *Int Urogynecol J*.
350 2014;25(1):131-138.
- 351 8. Kitta T, Mitsui T, Kanno Y, Chiba H, Moriya K, Nonomura K. Postoperative
352 detrusor contractility temporarily decreases in patients undergoing pelvic organ
353 prolapse surgery. *Int J Urol*. 2015;22(2):201-205.
- 354 9. Lo TS, Shailaja N, Hsieh WC, Uy-Patrimonio MC, Yusoff FM, Ibrahim R.
355 Predictors of voiding dysfunction following extensive vaginal pelvic
356 reconstructive surgery. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2017;28(4):575-582.
- 357 10. Lo TS, Nagashu S, Hsieh WC, Uy-Patrimonio MC, Yi-Hao L. Predictors for
358 detrusor overactivity following extensive vaginal pelvic reconstructive surgery.
359 *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2018;37(1):192-199.
- 360 11. Long CY, Hsu CS, Wu MP, et al. Predictors of improved overactive bladder
361 symptoms after transvaginal mesh repair for the treatment of pelvic organ
362 prolapse: predictors of improved OAB after POP repair. *Int Urogynecol J*.

- 363 2011;22(5):535-542.
- 364 12. de Boer TA, Salvatore S, Cardozo L, et al. Pelvic organ prolapse and overactive
365 bladder. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2010;29(1):30-39.
- 366 13. Lo TS, Bt Karim N, Nawawi EA, Wu PY, Nusee Z. Predictors for de novo stress
367 urinary incontinence following extensive pelvic reconstructive surgery. *Int*
368 *Urogynecol J.* 2015;26(9):1313-1319.
- 369 14. Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower
370 urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the
371 International Continence Society. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2002;21(2):167-178.
- 372

373 **Tables**

374 **Table 1**

375 Baseline patient characteristics

Variable	Value
No. of patients	50
Age (years), median (range)	67.3 (50–88)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean ± SD	24.3 ± 3.1
Parity (n)	
1	5
2	32
3	10
4	2
unknown	1
Stage of POP (n)	
1	-
2	13
3	35
4	2
Operating time (min), median	230.7 ± 39.6
Estimated blood loss (ml), median	23.4 ± 50.7
Diabetes mellitus (n)	6

376 BMI: body mass index

377

378 **Table 2**

379 Baseline patient characteristics for the two groups examined

Variable	<65 years of age group	≥65 years of age group
	Value	Value
No. of patients	12	38
Age (years), median (range)	61.5 (50–64)	68.5 (65–88)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean ± SD	23.6 ± 3.7	24.5 ± 2.9
Parity (n)		
1	2	3
2	7	25
3	1	9
4	1	1
unknown	1	-
Stage of POP (n)		
1	-	-
2	3	10
3	9	26
4	-	2
Operating time (min), median ± SD	229.0 ± 42.4	234 ± 39.2
Estimated blood loss (ml), median, mean ± SD	20.0 ± 95.9	10.0 ± 15.8
Diabetes mellitus (n)	3	3

380 BMI: body mass index

381

382 **Table 3**
 383 Urethral pressure profilometry, cystometry, and pressure flow study findings before surgery
 384

	<65 years of age group	≥65 years of age group	
	pre-LSC	pre-LSC	<i>p</i> value
Urethral function			
FPL (mm)	26.4 ± 3.8	27.9 ± 4.6	0.411
MUCP (cm H ₂ O)	56.8 ± 22.0	43.8 ± 15.3	0.036
Bladder function			
NDV (ml)	175.3 ± 98.8	156.0 ± 77.5	0.615
SDV (ml)	267.6 ± 142.0	249.6 ± 122.2	0.905
Capacity (ml)	348.2 ± 145.6	324.2 ± 128.4	0.684
Qmax (ml/s)	16.9 ± 7.0	13.7 ± 8.9	0.108
Pdet at Qmax (cm H ₂ O)	31.4 ± 14.7	30.5 ± 14.4	0.827
VV (ml)	319.5 ± 163.5	290.7 ± 143.7	0.703
PVR (ml)	42.7 ± 44.1	44.5 ± 41.7	0.537

385 Values are the mean ± SD. LSC: laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy, FPL: functional profile length,
 386 MUCP: maximum urethral closure pressure, NDV: normal desire to void, SDV: strong desire to void,
 387 VV: voided volume, PVR: post-void residual urine
 388

389 **Table 4**

390 Urodynamic observations before and after surgery

	<65 years age group			≥65 years age group		
	pre-LSC	post-LSC	<i>p</i> value	pre-LSC	post-LSC	<i>p</i> value
Urethral function						
FPL (mm)	26.4 ± 3.8	25.9 ± 3.5	0.563	27.9 ± 4.6	28.0 ± 4.4	0.896
MUCP (cm H ₂ O)	56.8 ± 22.0	57.8 ± 28.0	0.789	43.8 ± 15.3	42.4 ± 12.2	0.427
Bladder function						
NDV (ml)	175.3 ± 98.8	215.0 ± 117.9	0.573	156.0 ± 77.5	194.4 ± 95.3	0.008*
SDV (ml)	267.6 ± 142.0	318.1 ± 102.1	0.684	249.6 ± 122.2	287.1 ± 115.2	0.118
Capacity (ml)	348.2 ± 145.6	405.0 ± 109.7	0.267	324.2 ± 128.4	364.5 ± 115.8	0.041*
Qmax (ml/s)	16.9 ± 7.0	22.1 ± 6.7	0.058	13.7 ± 8.9	20.8 ± 9.7	0.0002*
Pdet at Qmax (cm H ₂ O)	31.4 ± 14.7	23.9 ± 9.8	0.055	30.5 ± 14.4	23.9 ± 12.4	0.029*
VV (ml)	319.5 ± 163.5	431.5 ± 119.0	0.173	290.7 ± 143.7	398.1 ± 123.2	0.003*
PVR (ml)	42.7 ± 44.1	23.1 ± 26.9	0.202	44.5 ± 41.7	20.0 ± 22.8	0.003*
Uroflowmetry						
VV (ml)	377.9 ± 158.0	397.4 ± 117.1	0.519	291.4 ± 123.2	337.4 ± 94.9	0.030*
Qmax (ml/s)	22.5 ± 7.8	25.4 ± 6.7	0.233	19.3 ± 10.0	21.8 ± 9.3	0.027*
Qave (ml/s)	13.0 ± 5.1	15.3 ± 3.6	0.151	11.2 ± 6.1	13.2 ± 6.0	0.002*

391

392 Values are mean ± SD. **p* < 0.05, comparing pre- and post-LSC. LSC: laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy,

393 FPL: functional profile length, MUCP: maximum urethral closure pressure, NDV: normal desire to

394 void, SDV: strong desire to void, VV: voided volume, PVR: post-void residual urine