RESEARCH PAPER



Heterodimerization of Arabidopsis calcium/proton exchangers contributes to regulation of guard cell dynamics and plant defense responses

Bradleigh Hocking^{1,2,*}, Simon J. Conn^{1,*,†}, Murli Manohar^{3,*,‡}, Bo Xu^{1,2,*}, Asmini Athman^{1,2}, Matthew A. Stancombe⁴, Alex R. Webb⁴, Kendal D. Hirschi^{3,#} and Matthew Gilliham^{1,2,#}

¹ Waite Research Institute and School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Glen Osmond, SA, Australia

² ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, University of Adelaide, Glen Osmond, SA, Australia

³ US Department of Agriculture/Agricultural Research Service, Children's Nutrition Research Center, Baylor College of Medicine,

Houston, TX, USA

⁴ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

* These authors contributed equally to this work

[†] Present address: Centre for Cancer Biology, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[‡] Present address: Boyce Thompson Institute, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

[#] Correspondence: matthew.gilliham@adelaide.edu.au; kendalh@bcm.edu

Received 21 December 2016; Editorial decision 30 May 2017; Accepted 2 June 2017

Editor: Ian Dodd, Lancaster University

Abstract

Arabidopsis thaliana cation exchangers (CAX1 and CAX3) are closely related tonoplast-localized calcium/proton (Ca^{2+}/H^+) antiporters that contribute to cellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis. CAX1 and CAX3 were previously shown to interact in yeast; however, the function of this complex in plants has remained elusive. Here, we demonstrate that expression of *CAX1* and *CAX3* occurs in guard cells. Additionally, *CAX1* and *CAX3* are co-expressed in mesophyll tissue in response to wounding or flg22 treatment, due to the induction of *CAX3* expression. Having shown that the transporters can be co-expressed in the same cells, we demonstrate that CAX1 and CAX3 can form homomeric and heteromeric complexes in plants. Consistent with the formation of a functional CAX1-CAX3 complex, *CAX1* and *CAX3* integrated into the yeast genome suppressed a Ca^{2+} -hypersensitive phenotype of mutants defective in vacuolar Ca^{2+} transport, and demonstrate enzyme kinetics different from those of either CAX protein expressed by itself. We demonstrate that the interactions between CAX proteins contribute to the functioning of stomata, because stomata were more closed in *cax1-1*, *cax3-1*, and *cax1-1/cax3-1* loss-of-function mutants due to an inability to buffer Ca^{2+} effectively. We hypothesize that the formation of CAX1-CAX3 complexes may occur in the mesophyll to affect intracellular Ca^{2+} signaling during defense responses.

Key words: Calcium, guard cells, homeostasis, mesophyll, protein interaction, signaling, transport.

Introduction

The tight control of calcium concentration ([Ca²⁺]) within the apoplast (cell wall) and symplast (cytosol, vacuole, and other endomembrane compartments) are critical for plant nutrition, structure, development, signaling, and physiology (Pittman

and Hirschi, 2003; White and Broadley, 2003; Hetherington and Brownlee, 2004; Pittman *et al.*, 2005; Dodd *et al.*, 2010; Conn *et al.*, 2011*b*; Gilliham *et al.*, 2011). Transporters that reside in the plant vacuolar membrane (the tonoplast) play a

[©] The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

major role in the regulation of $[Ca^{2+}]$ within the apoplastic and symplastic compartments (Pottosin and Schönknecht, 2007; Conn *et al.*, 2011*b*). The Ca²⁺/H⁺ antiporters CAX1 and CAX3 were previously identified as tonoplast-localized transporters that are important in controlling tissue Ca²⁺ homeostasis (Hirschi *et al.*, 1996; Shigaki *et al.*, 2001; Cheng *et al.*, 2005; Conn *et al.*, 2011*b*; Manohar *et al.*, 2011*a*, 2011*b*; Pittman and Hirschi, 2016). These proteins share 87% sequence similarity and 79% sequence identity, and function as low-affinity, high-capacity Ca²⁺ transporters that use the protomotive force generated by the vacuolar H⁺-ATPase and PPi-dependent H⁺ pumps to sequester Ca²⁺ from the cytosol into the vacuole (Hirschi *et al.*, 1996).

Both CAX1 and CAX3 proteins have been ascribed a functional role based on in planta expression analysis, ectopic expression, and mutant analysis in plants, and by heterologous expression in yeast (Shigaki and Hirschi, 2000; Manohar et al., 2011b). Until now, CAX1 and CAX3 expression has been shown to overlap in reproductive tissues at the organ level, but to localize differentially within the vegetative organs. CAX3 expression has been shown to localize primarily to root tips, whereas CAX1 expression is predominantly localized to leaf tissues. CAX1 regulates elemental accumulation across specific leaf cell types and subcellular compartments (Hirschi et al., 1996; Catalá et al., 2003; Conn et al., 2011b), whereas root growth in *cax3-1* plants is lower than that of wild-type or *cax1-1* plants under saline conditions, a phenotype that has been attributed to the greater inhibitory effects of Na⁺ (and Li⁺) on CAX3 Ca²⁺ transport compared with CAX1 (Cheng et al., 2003; Manohar et al., 2011b).

CAX expression in leaves appears to be variable and subject to unresolved regulatory mechanisms (Conn and Gilliham, 2010; Gilliham et al., 2011). For example, in cax1-1 plants, expression of CAX3 and CAX4 increase along with a vacuolar Ca²⁺-ATPase ACA4 (Cheng et al., 2003). It has been hypothesized that enhanced CAX3 expression complements for the loss of CAX1, as cax1-1 plants do not show the major physiological perturbations of *cax1-1/cax3-1* plants; however, it has not been shown whether CAX3 directly replaces CAXI in the mesophyll (Cheng et al., 2003; Conn et al., 2011b). Such a complex system of cross-talk among genes is proposed to account for the subtleties in phenotypes that are often associated with loss-of-function genetic studies for transport proteins (Connorton et al., 2012). In order to elucidate these compensatory changes, in the present study we designed experiments to analyze the potential interactions between CAX transporters.

Despite the existence of phenotypic differences between *cax* knockout plants, there are also notable similarities. For instance, germination in both *cax1-1* and *cax3-1* knockouts is abscisic acid (ABA) and sugar sensitive, and ethylene inhibits seedling growth of both mutants, which suggests some shared functionality of these proteins (Zhao *et al.*, 2008). Previous functional assays of CAX1 and CAX3 proteins in yeast, although insightful, have limitations because they used engineered CAX variants that lack N-terminal autoregulatory domains (Pittman and Hirschi, 2016). The functional significance of CAX1 and CAX3 interactions suggested by

genetic and yeast assays is yet to be fully understood (Zhao *et al.*, 2009*b*). Such interactions may occur in the guard cell, as expression of both *CAX1* and *CAX3* has been detected in guard cell protoplasts (Leonhardt *et al.*, 2004; Cho *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, genetic analysis has implied that a putative CAX1-CAX3 complex may influence guard cell closure and apoplastic pH (Cho *et al.*, 2012).

Here, we provide evidence that heteromeric CAX complexes have physiological roles in plants. A split luciferase assay demonstrated that CAX1 and CAX3 form homo- and heterodimers in plant tissue, and, using yeast-based functional assays, we have shown for the first time that full-length CAX1-CAX3 has distinct transport characteristics compared with homomeric truncated-deregulated CAX proteins. The functional role for a CAX1-CAX3 complex in the plant was probed with stomatal assays, and both CAX1 and CAX3 appear to be required for correct functioning of the stomata. Our results highlight that expression of CAX3 and, to a lesser extent, CAX1 is induced in the mesophyll during defense responses, and that both proteins are required in the guard cell for the control of gas exchange. The interactions between CAX1 and CAX3 identified here suggest the possibility of regulatory plasticity in tonoplast Ca²⁺ transport during signaling events.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless stated otherwise. Plant materials were Arabidopsis thaliana wild-type Columbia-0 (Col-0) and Col-0 background T-DNA insertional loss-of-function mutants cax1-1, cax3-1, and cax1-1/cax3-1 (cax1/ cax3) (Cheng et al., 2005). For soil growth, seeds were sown on zero-nutrient-containing coco-peat-based soil and supplied weekly with a defined basal nutrient solution (BNS: 2 mM NH₄NO₃, 3 mM KNO₃, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.6 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.5 mM NaCl, 50 µM NaFe(III)EDTA, 50 μM H₃BO₃, 5 μM MnCl₂, 10 μM ZnSO₄, 0.5 μM CuSO₄, 0.1 μM Na₂MoO₃, adjusted to pH 5.6 by the addition of KOH) as previously described by Conn et al. (2013). Hydroponic growth also followed the method described by Conn et al. (2013), with the following exceptions. After root emergence from modified microcentrifuge tubes containing low-nitrate germination medium at ~2 weeks, these tubes were transferred to aerated hydroponics tanks containing either BNS (2 mM Ca2+) or 300 µM sufficient but low calcium solution (SLCS) for another 3 weeks before a further Ca^{2+} treatment in BNS, modified BNS using 11 mM high Ca²⁺ Solution (HCS), or SLCS; see Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online for full solution composition. All plants were grown in a short-day growth room (9.5 h light/15.5 h dark, 110 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 19 °C). Calcium concentration measurements were performed as previously described by Cheng et al. (2002).

RNA extraction

Total RNA was extracted from shoot tissue or mesophyll protoplasts of 5–6-week-old Col-0 plants treated as indicated in the respective figure legends, using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and the DNase-treated by Turbo DNA-free kit (Ambion). Reverse transcription was used to synthesize cDNA from 2 µg RNA from each sample using SuperScript[®] III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) with Oligo(dT)₂₀ as previously described by Conn *et al.* (2011*b*).

Gene cloning and plasmid construction

PCR was used to amplify DNA fragments from Arabidopsis cDNA to clone the CAX1 and CAX3 coding sequences without start or stop codons (primers listed in Supplementary Table S2). Then, the DNA fragments were cloned into the pCR8/GW/TOPO TA cloning vector and transformed into TOP10 chemically competent Escherichia coli (Invitrogen). The genes of interest in pCR8/ GW/TOPO vectors were recombined into serial pDuEx-Bait/Prev expression vectors for a split luciferase interaction assay (Nakagawa et al., 2007), and a subsequent Cre-Lox recombinase reaction was performed to produce dual gene expression vectors for simultaneous expression of NLuc-CAX1 and CAX3-CLuc (or CLucN-CAX3-CLuc) (CreatorTM DNA Cloning Kit, Clontech). CAX1 and CAX3 promoters (2 kb region upstream of the gene start codon ATG) as described by Cheng et al. (2005) were amplified from A. thaliana (Col-0) genomic DNA. Primers incorporated EcoRI/HindIII restriction sites (Supplementary Table S2) with the amplicon subcloned into pNO::Luc vectors using T4 DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs). The CAX1 and CAX3 artificial miRNA (amiRNA) was designed to achieve CAX-specific transcript reduction. CAX1 and CAX3 amiRNA sequences were designed using Web MicroRNA Designer v2 (Schwab et al., 2006) and cloned into the pCR8/GW/ TOPO vector. Subsequently, CAX1 and CAX3 amiRNA was recombined into a 2× CaMV 35S overexpression vector (pTOOL2) and used for miRNA expression (Plett et al., 2010).

Semi-quantitative PCR

Semi-quantitative PCR (semi-qPCR) for cell-specific *CAX1* and *CAX3* expression analysis was performed on cDNA separately synthesized from RNA of 5–8-week-old Arabidopsis mesophyll and epidermal cells. RNA preparation was performed as described previously using single cell sampling (SiCSA) (Conn *et al.*, 2011*b*). Transcripts amplified were *Actin2* (At3g18780; normalization control for both epidermis and mesophyll), *CAX1* (At2g38170), and *CAX3* (At3g51860); primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Amplification for this analysis was performed using Phire Hot Start Taq DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes) with the following cycling conditions: first round: 98 °C for 1 min, then 25 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 50 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Primers were then removed using Nucleospin Extract II (Macherey-Nagel), and 1 µl of the eluate was used as the template for the second round: 98 °C for 10 s.

Semi-qPCR for *CAX1* and *CAX3* expression analysis was performed on cDNA samples reverse-transcribed from mesophyll protoplast RNA isolated from 5–6 week-old Arabidopsis leaves, following the protoplast isolation method detailed below. Transcripts amplified were *Actin2*, *CAX1*, *CAX3*, and *GC1* (At1g22690; a marker gene for guard cells) with primers listed in Supplementary Table S2. Amplification of *Actin2*, *CAX1*, *CAX3*, and *GC1* transcripts was performed using Phire Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes) with the following cycling conditions: 98 °C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 98 °C for 5 s, 56 °C for 5 s, and 72 °C for 15 s; and then 72 °C for 1 min.

In situ PCR

Leaves of 5–6-week-old Arabidopsis plants grown in short-day conditions were infiltrated with either ultrapure H₂O or 2 μ M flg22 in ultrapure H₂O and left for 12 h. Then, leaves were detached, cut into 5 mm strips, and fixed in ice-cold formalin-acetic-alcohol solution [63% (v/v) ethanol, 5% (v/v) acetic acid, 2% (v/v) formalin] and washed in 1× PBS before being embedded in 5% agarose. Embedded leaf tissue was cross-sectioned using a VT 1200 S Vibrating Microtome (Leica) into 70 μ m sections and transferred into a PCR tube. Then, the *in situ* PCR protocol of Athman *et al.* (2014) was followed using gene-specific qPCR primers as listed in Supplementary Table S2, with the following cycling conditions: 98 °C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 98 °C for 5 s, 56 °C for 5 s, and 72 °C for 15 s; and then 72 °C for 1 min.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed on 0.2 µl cDNA using an iCycler Thermal cycler equipped with an iQ multicolor optical assembly module (Bio-Rad) and using KAPA SYBR[®] FAST qPCR Kits (KAPA Biosystem), according to the following program: 95°C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 55 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s; with melt curve analysis from 52 °C to 92 °C in 0.5 °C increments. Primers for RT-qPCR analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S2. RT-qPCR result analysis followed the method described by Schmittgen and Livak (2008) using $2^{-\Delta Cr}$ to calculate gene expression level normalized to *Actin2* (At3g18780) as an internal control.

Protoplast isolation

Isolation and transformation of protoplasts was carried out according to a previously described method (Yoo *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, mesophyll protoplasts were isolated from leaf strips of 5–6-weekold *A. thaliana* by a 3-hour digestion in an enzyme solution containing 1.5% cellulase R10 and 0.4% macerozyme R10 (Yakult Pharmaceutical). Protoplasts were transformed via the polyethylene glycol 1450-mediated introduction of plasmid DNA in buffer solution. Modifications to this method included the use of one cell incubation medium, W2 [4 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 0.4 M mannitol, 15 mM KCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, and 5 mM MgCl₂, adjusted to pH 5.7 with KOH], as a replacement for both WI and W5 solutions. Protoplasts were incubated at room temperature for 0 or 24 h before harvesting for RNA extraction as indicated in the legend of Fig. 1.

Split luciferase protein–protein interaction and native promoter luciferase report assay

Direct protein-protein interactions between CAX1 and CAX3 were probed using a split luciferase complementation assay (Fujikawa and Kato, 2007). Mesophyll protoplasts were transformed with an equal amount of expression pDuEx-Bait and/or pDuEx-Prey plasmids and incubated for at least 16 hours. Native promoter reporter assays were performed using an equal amount of pNO::Luc expression plasmid fused to either the 35S, CAX1, or CAX3 promoter transformed into mesophyll protoplasts; pNO::Luc containing the promoter-free LUC gene was used as a negative control. Luciferase activity in the protein-protein interaction and promoter activity assay was detected using the ViviRen Live Cell Substrate (Promega) in a Polarstar Optima plate-reading spectrophotometer with luminescence detection capabilities (BMG Labtech). Following overnight incubation of transfected protoplasts, the ViviRen substrate was dissolved in DMSO and added to 500 µl protoplasts (2×10^5 cells ml^{-1}) to 60 μ M, mixed briefly, and aliquots of 100 μ l (equivalent to 4×10^4 cells) were dispensed into white 96-well plates, in triplicate. Luminescence was measured immediately. Peak luminescence was observed at 300 s after substrate addition (gain=4095), and data from this time point were used for further analysis.

Ca²⁺ tolerance and uptake assay in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain K667 (vcx1::hisG cnb1::LEU2 pmc1::TRP1 ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1) (Cunningham and Fink, 1996) was transformed with sCAX1 or CAX1 and CAX3 using (SC-Ura) transformation (Sherman et al., 1986). The Ca²⁺ growth tolerance assay of *S. cerevisiae* was performed as previously described by Manohar et al. (2011b). Briefly, the assay was carried out via growing yeast expressing genes of interest at 30 °C for 3 days on solid YPD medium and supplemented with the appropriate amount of CaCl₂. A vacuolar-enriched membrane fraction was prepared from yeast, following the method described by Manohar et al. (2011b). Yeast cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000× g for 5 min until the density reached an OD₆₀₀ of ~1.5.



Fig. 1. Profiling *CAX1* and *CAX3* transcript expression and promoter activity in leaf tissue and protoplasts. (A) Laser capture microdissection and qPCR of Col-0 and *cax1-1* leaf mesophyll cells. Data represent mean±SD, *n*=3 plants, performed in triple technical replicates. Gene transcript level was normalized to *Actin2* (At3g18780). Asterisks indicate undetectable transcript level. (B) Semi-qPCR of Col-0 mesophyll protoplasts after 0 or 24 h in protoplast culture. (C) Expression of *CAX* native promoter (full length and fragments)/luciferase fusions in Col-0 mesophyll protoplasts. (D) SiCSA and semi-qPCR of Col-0 and *cax1-1* grown in basal nutrient solution (BNS) and high Ca²⁺ solution (HCS).

The collected cell pellet was washed in spheroplast buffer (100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, 1.2 M sorbitol, pH 7.0) and resuspended in the same buffer plus 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 1% dextrose. Membrane vesicles of yeast cells were isolated using 1.5 units of zymolyase and incubated at 30 °C for up to 2 h. Time-dependent ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}/H^+$ transport into these endomembrane vesicles was measured as described previously by Pittman *et al.* (2005).

Western blotting analysis

Western blotting analysis was performed as previously described by Manohar *et al.* (2011*b*). A monoclonal antibody to human influenza hemagglutinin (HA) (Berkeley Antibody Co., Richmond, CA, USA) was used at a 1:1000 dilution.

Gas exchange and photosynthesis measurements

Gas exchange and photosynthesis rate of whole rosettes were measured in 5- to 8-week-old Arabidopsis plants with treatments as indicated in the corresponding figure legends, using a LI-6400 infrared gas exchange analyzer (LiCOR) equipped with an Arabidopsis whole-plant chamber. Individual plants were exposed to light intensity of ~350 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at least 30 minutes prior to the start of measurement. The rosette was allowed to acclimatize inside the Arabidopsis whole-plant chamber for at least 5 minutes before gas exchange data were recorded, with reference CO₂ concentration set at 500 µmol mol⁻¹, flow rate at 500 µmol s⁻¹, light intensity at 350 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and relative humidity at 56%. Leaf area of the whole plant was calculated using MATLAB on the basis of the image of whole plants, as described by Conn *et al.* (2013).

Guard cell aperture measurement

Stomatal aperture was measured in wild-type Col-0, cax1-1, cax3-1, and cax1/cax3 lines, as described by Conn *et al.* (2011b). Briefly, epidermal peels were prepared from 3–4-week-old seedlings, grown on 0.5× Murashige and Skoog medium, and incubated in buffer containing 5 mM KCl, 10 mM MES-KOH (pH 6.2) with or without 1 mM CaCl₂ supplement.

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation

Agrobacterium-mediated Arabidopsis seedling transformation followed the Fast Agrobacterium-mediated Seedling Transformation (FAST) method described by Li *et al.* (2009). Briefly, Arabidopsis seedlings were grown on $0.25 \times$ Murashige and Skoog medium for 5–6 weeks before being transferred into fresh $0.25 \times$ Murashige and Skoog liquid medium in a Petri dish containing an additional 100 µM acetosyringone and 0.005% (v/v) Silwet L-77, and co-cultivation with Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells at OD₆₀₀=0.5 for 2 days. Assays were then performed on these seedlings.

Data and statistical analysis

Statistical tests are described in the figure legends. All graphing and statistical analysis were performed in GraphPad Prism v6 and 7.

Results

CAX3 expression can be induced in mesophyll cells by cax1 knockout, wounding, or pathogen stress

To directly test whether it is possible for CAX3 to replace and compensate for the loss of CAX1 expression in cax1-1 plants, we examined the expression profile of CAX1 and CAX3 in mesophyll cells of A. thaliana ecotype Col-0 and cax1-1 using laser capture microdissection (LMD) qRT-PCR (Fig. 1A). We confirmed that CAX1 was expressed at high levels in the mesophyll cells of wild-type (Col-0) plants, whereas CAX3 was not detected. In contrast, CAX3 expression was significantly induced in *cax1-1* mesophyll, when *CAX1* was absent, as predicted by Conn et al. (2011b). This suggests that it is possible for CAX3 to be expressed in the mesophyll under some conditions. However, the lack of CAX3 expression detected in Col-0 mesophyll cells (Fig. 1A), contrasts with previous observations made from protoplasts, where both CAX1 and CAX3 have been detected (Leonhardt et al., 2004; Cho et al., 2012). We further explored this disparity.

Immediately following the isolation of mesophyll protoplasts, *CAX3* expression was barely detected, but the expression was significantly increased 24 h after protoplast isolation. Interestingly, *CAX1* was highly abundant at both stages, with reduced expression after 24 h (Fig. 1B). A guardcell-specific marker (GC1) (Yang *et al.*, 2008) was close to the detection limits at both time points (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that there was no or minimal guard cell contamination in our mesophyll preparation, and that *CAX3*, but not *CAX1*, showed inducible expression within mesophyll cells during the protoplasting procedure.

To assess whether these changes were due to either the stability of the mRNA or an increase in CAX promoter activity, we generated native CAX promoter::luciferase reporter constructs for transient expression in mesophyll protoplasts. To make this construct, we cloned the CAX1 and CAX3 promoter fragments, identified in Cheng et al. (2005) as reporting native expression patterns. We then inserted a promoter upstream of a luciferase protein derived from Renilla reniformis (sea pansy), and transfected the constructs into Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts. At 24 h after transfection, equivalent to the time point used in Fig. 1B, we could detect the expression of luciferase in the protoplasts driven by either the CAX1 or the CAX3 promoter (Fig. 1C), or via three sets of a truncated promoter for each CAX (F1, F2, and F3) (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Interestingly, the CAX3 promoter drove 3- to 15-fold stronger luciferase activity compared with the respective CAXI promoter (Fig. 1C). This suggests that gene transcription of CAX3 increases during protoplasting.

We then investigated whether we could induce *CAX3* expression in the mesophyll of Col-0 plants under any conditions. Using SiCSA and semi-qRT-PCR, under our standard conditions (i.e. growth in BNS), *CAX1* transcript was detected in both adaxial epidermal and palisade mesophyll cells of Col-0 leaves, whereas *CAX3* was detected only from *cax1-1* plant mesophyll (Fig. 1D). *CAX1* transcript was abundant in RNA extracted from whole leaves of Col-0 plants,

consistent with its expression in epidermis and mesophyll, while the presence of *CAX3* transcript was below the level of our assay's detection limits under our standard growth conditions (see Supplementary Fig. S2). In an attempt to overload the leaf with apoplastic Ca²⁺, to mimic the situation in *cax1/cax3* plants (Conn *et al.*, 2011*b*), we increased the concentration of Ca²⁺ in the root growth solution from 2 to 11 mM Ca²⁺ (HCS). Although both transcripts were induced by HCS (Fig. 1D; Supplementary Fig. S2), we did not observe a change in the cell-type localization of *CAX1* and *CAX3* expression under high Ca²⁺ conditions (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, we compared the leaf vacuolar and apoplastic Ca²⁺ content of Col-0, *cax1-1*, and *cax3-1*, and no significant differences were observed between the genotypes (Supplementary Fig. S3).

To determine whether the changes in CAX transcript abundance translated into increases in CAX protein levels, we used immunochemistry to measure the abundance of translational pCAX(1 or 3):CAX::HA fusions. In Col-0, the relative amount of CAX1 and CAX3 protein reflected the differences in transcript abundance, with CAX1 ~24-fold as abundant as CAX3 (Fig. 2). In *cax1-1* plants transformed with these constructs, CAX1 protein abundance was comparable to wild-type, whereas CAX3 protein abundance was increased ~17-fold compared with wild-type (Fig. 2). These results strongly indicate that the changes in transcript abundance for *CAX1* and *CAX3* in whole leaves and in protoplasts reflect changes in protein abundance. This finding encouraged us to conduct a broader analysis to determine whether there were other stimuli that alter *CAX* expression.

A survey of existing Arabidopsis expression data (eFP BAR; http://bbc.botany.utoronto.ca) identified several biotic and abiotic stresses that might regulate CAX1 and CAX3 expression. CAX3 abundance was increased in leaves/shoots by osmotic stress, salt stress, and infection with *Pseudomonas* syringae or Botrytis cinerea (Table 1). To further examine the effect of *P. syringae* on *CAX* expression, we infiltrated 5-week-old Col-0 leaves with 1 µM flg22 (as a proxy for Pseudomonas infection) or water (as a control) 12 h before performing qPCR. Consistent with the result in the eFP BAR database, CAX3 expression was increased in flg22-infiltrated leaves, whereas CAXI expression was unchanged (Fig. 3A). In addition, using in situ PCR, CAX3 was detected in the mesophyll of flg22-infiltrated leaves but not in the leaves of waterinfiltrated controls (Fig. 3B). Protoplasting induces wound responses (Ecker and Davis, 1987) and flg22 is a pathogen mimetic; both induce CAX3 expression, which implies that CAX3 has a role in plant defense responses. Using the BAR Expression Angler, we identified 236 genes strongly co-regulated with CAX3 ($r^2 > 0.75$) in Arabidopsis leaves in response to P. syringae, B. cinerea, and their corresponding elicitors, whereas there were only 16 genes co-regulated in response to abiotic stresses (Austin et al., 2016). Furthermore, microarray analysis of cax1/cax3 plants shows alteration in expression of many pathogen-related genes (see Supplementary Table S3). These findings suggest that co-expression of CAXI and CAX3 within the mesophyll occurs during defense responses



Fig. 2. Levels of CAX1 and CAX3 protein expression in Col-0 and cax1-1 plants as assessed using the FAST technique (Li et al., 2009). The following native promoter/gene/reporter fusions were constructed: pCAX1::AtCAX1::YFP::2xHA (pSIM1; GenBank accession number: HM750245.1) and pCAX3::AtCAX3::YFP::2xHA (pSIM3; GenBank accession number: HM750246.1). pSIM1 and pSIM3 proteins were predicted to have molecular weights of 81.9 and 81.6 kDa, respectively (ExPASy Compute pl/Mw tool). Arabidopsis Col-0 seedlings were co-cultivated with Agrobacterium carrying (1) pSIM3, (2) pSIM1; the cax1-1 line was transformed with (3) pSIM3 and (4) pSIM1; and (5) d35S::AtCAX3 served as a negative control. (A) Western blot of total protein extracted from the shoots of eight transformed seedlings, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, and probed with anti-HA, HRP-conjugated primary antisera (Cell Signalling Technology, CS2999), demonstrates that CAX3 compensates at the protein level for the loss of CAX1 in the A. thaliana cax1-1 T-DNA insertion line. (B) Coomassie-stained 10% SDS-PAGE gel as a loading control for the Western blot. (C) Quantification of band intensities across three biological replicates using QuantityOne software (Bio-Rad Laboratories), normalized to pSIM3-transfected Col-0 (lane 1).

and as such there is potential for a CAX1-CAX3 complex to have a physiological role.

CAX3 in guard cells affects stomatal responses

To determine whether the putative CAX1-CAX3 interaction may have functional roles in plants, we surveyed the cellular expression of CAX1 and CAX3 in leaves. CAX1 expression was detected in mesophyll cells, vascular bundles, adaxial epidermal cells, and abaxial epidermal peels (Fig. 4). The only tissue assayed in which CAX3 expression was detected was abaxial epidermal peels; these peels will contain viable stomatal guard cells, indicating that CAX1 and CAX3 are coexpressed in guard cells.

To investigate whether co-expression is required in planta for normal guard cell function, we examined the apertures of stomatal pores in Col-0, cax1-1, cax3-1, and cax1/cax3 plants. Apertures of cax1-1, cax3-1, and cax1/cax3 stomata from isolated epidermis were smaller than those of Col-0 (Fig. 5A). Moreover, none of the mutants had reduced apertures in the presence of supplemental extracellular [Ca²⁺], unlike Col-0 (Fig. 5B). Coupled with the fact that EGTA treatment opens cax1/cax3 stomata (Conn et al., 2011b), this result indicates that stomata in these epidermal peels were already partially closed in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner in both the single and double cax mutants. We previously demonstrated that while cax1/cax3 exhibits reduced leaf gas exchange as a result of higher apoplastic calcium, which causes reduced stomatal aperture (Conn et al., 2011b), apoplastic Ca²⁺ concentration was not different from wild type in *cax1-1* or *cax3-1* plants under steady-state conditions (see Supplementary Fig. 3). This is likely due to CAX1 protein, or CAX3 in the case of *cax1-1* plants, effectively buffering apoplastic Ca^{2+} in the mesophyll of the single-knockout plants.

We therefore explored whether the Ca²⁺-sensitive stomatal phenotype of cax1-1 and cax3-1 plants could be recreated in leaves of intact plants or whether it was an artifact of the epidermal peel system. In order to achieve this, we first optimized the growth of *cax1/cax3* plants to ensure that the guard cell phenotype observed in intact cax1/cax3 plants was not a consequence of the dwarf stature or delayed development of these plants when they are grown in standard BNS (2 mM Ca²⁺) growth solution (Conn *et al.*, 2011b). Previously, growing cax1/cax3 plants in low Ca²⁺ solution (LCS, 50 µM Ca²⁺) mitigated growth inhibition and increased stomatal conductance compared with growth in BNS (Conn et al., 2011b) (see Supplementary Fig. 4). However, at this low level of supplied Ca²⁺, plants developed necrotic lesions after 7 days. To overcome Ca²⁺ deficiency and optimize growth, we germinated and grew cax1/cax3 plants in an optimized solution with low but sufficient calcium to support growth comparable to that of Col-0 (SLCS, 300 μ M Ca²⁺) (Fig. 6). After 5 weeks we transferred plants from SLCS to high calcium solution (HCS, 11 mM Ca²⁺) for 1 week. While Col-0 plants did not appear to be adversely affected by this treatment and continued to develop normally, the growth of the cax1/cax3 rosette was greatly inhibited (Fig. 6A). In addition, the photosynthesis and transpiration rate of cax1/cax3 plants (per mm rosette surface

Table 1. In silico analysis of CAX1 and CAX3 expression in Col-0 under various treatments

Treatment	Time after treatment (h)	Tissue	CAX1 (fold change)	CAX3 (fold change)
Osmotic stress (300 mM mannitol)	24	Leaf	0.45	14.33
Salt (150 mM NaCl)	24	Leaf	0.71	4.57
		Root	2.06	5.98
Wounding (needle stick)	24	Leaf	1.07	2.86
Pseudomonas syringae infiltration	2, 6, 24	Leaf	0.73, 0.83 0.9	1.04, 0.56, 7.91
1 μM flg22 infiltration	1, 4	Leaf	0.62, 0.43	0.96, 0.77
Botrytis cinerea	18, 48	Leaf	1.0, 1.27	7.74, 11.68
50 µM ABA	3	Leaf	1.22	5.18
		Guard cells from epidermal peels	0.24	5.69
100 μΜ ΑΒΑ	4	Mesophyll protoplasts	0.57	1.14
		Guard cell protoplasts	1.04	2.59
10 μM ABA	3	7-day-old seedlings	0.82	5.38

This table includes expression data from a variety of experiments; as such, conditions are not standardized between experiments. Data are expressed as fold change compared with mock-treated tissue. ABA, abscisic acid. Adapted from eFP BAR; http://bbc.botany.utoronto.ca.



Fig. 3. *CAX3* expression in leaves increases upon flg22 treatment. (A) Expression level of *CAX1*, *CAX3*, and *PR1* in shoots of Arabidopsis Col-0 12 h after leaf infiltration of 1 μ M flg22. Data represent mean±SD, *n*=3 plants, performed in triple technical replicates. Gene transcript level was normalized to *Actin2* (At3g18780). Statistical analysis as determined by Student's *t* test: ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001. (B) *In situ* PCR of *CAX3* expression in wild-type leaf cross sections. 5–6-week-old Arabidopsis leaves were infiltrated with either H₂O or 1 μ M flg22 for 12 h before fixation. *CAX3* and *18S* rRNA transcripts were amplified with primers as listed in Supplementary Table S1 before staining; *18S* rRNA was used as a positive control to show the presence of cDNA in all cell types; a no reverse transcription (RT) control was included to show lack of genomic DNA contamination. Scale bars=100 μ m.

area) was lower than that of Col-0 (Fig. 6B, C). Plants grown in SLCS for 6 weeks were used to compare the effects of rootfed Ca^{2+} on gas exchange as a proxy for stomatal aperture. Both photosynthesis and rosette conductance of the single cax knockout and wild-type lines were not significantly different in SLCS, but were decreased in the *cax1/cax3* line treated with HCS for 18 hours (Supplementary Fig. 5); this difference was sustained 7 days after treatment (data not shown). We found a decrease in mean rosette conductance in cax1-1 and cax3-1 plants, although this was less significant than in cax1/cax3. When the length of exposure to HCS was reduced from 18 to 2 hours, we found that the *cax1-1*, but not *cax3-1*, plants had significantly reduced photosynthetic and rosette conductance rates compared with Col-0 (Supplementary Fig. 6). This suggests that the transporters that buffer increases in apoplastic $[Ca^{2+}]$ around mesophyll cells in *cax1-1* plants, which include CAX3, are less effective than in wild-type plants containing CAX1, but only in the short term.

CAX1 and CAX3 interact as homo- and heterodimers in planta and facilitate Ca²⁺ transport when co-expressed in yeast

Despite previous reports identifying the capacity of CAX1 and CAX3 proteins to interact in yeast and in plants under the control of ubiquitous promoters (Zhao *et al.*, 2009*a*, 2009*b*), the nature of their interactions remains largely unexplored. We sought to address this latter point by expressing full-length *CAX1* and *CAX3* using a split luciferase reporter construct in mesophyll protoplasts (Fig. 7). We found that both CAX1 and CAX3 could homodimerize and heterodimerize, and these interactions were abolished by co-transfecting with an artificial miRNA designed against one of the genes (see Supplementary Fig. 7). Furthermore, by switching the split luciferase between the N- and C-terminus, we found that the interaction was mediated by the N-termini of both proteins (head-to-head fashion) (Fig. 7; Supplementary Fig. 7).



Fig. 4. Expression profiling of *CAX1* and *CAX3* in leaf tissues. qPCR analysis of cDNA isolated from laser capture microdissected leaf cell types and tissues (leaf 8 of 6-week-old plants) of Col-0 Arabidopsis plants. Data represent mean \pm SD, *n*=3 plants, performed in triple technical replicates. Gene transcript level was normalized to *Actin2* (At3g18780). Asterisks indicate undetectable transcript level.

To gain insights into the functional relevance of the interaction between CAX1 and CAX3, we utilized yeast expression assays. Previously, it has been demonstrated that co-expression of CAX1 and CAX3 can suppress yeast vacuolar Ca²⁺ transport defects, whereas expression of either transporter individually fails to do so (Cheng et al., 2005; Manohar et al., 2011b). The negative regulatory domains within CAX1 and CAX3 prohibit the functional expression of either transporter when individually expressed in yeast cells (Cheng et al., 2005; Manohar et al., 2011b). To avoid potential artifacts arising from the plasmid-dependent CAX overexpression approaches used previously, we modified veast to integrate both CAX transporters into the genome to ensure stable expression levels. Only strains harboring both constructs conferred Ca²⁺ tolerance to yeast mutants defective in vacuolar Ca²⁺ transport (Fig. 8). Immunoblot analysis demonstrated that both CAX1 and CAX3 proteins accumulated to comparable levels in yeast cells (see Supplementary Fig. 8).

We measured transport properties by measuring ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ uptake activity in membrane vesicles isolated from yeast cells expressing integrated *CAX1-CAX3* and the plasmid-based deregulated *sCAX1*, an artificially truncated form of CAX1 with the autoinhibitory domain removed. In this system, the pH gradient across yeast vacuolar membrane vesicles was generated by activation of the vacuolar H⁺-ATPase. The vesicles of *sCAX1-* and *CAX1-CAX3*-expressing cells took up ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ from the medium in a pH- and time-dependent manner for up to 12 min (Fig. 9A). The accumulated ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ was released after the addition of the Ca²⁺ ionophore A23187. The addition of gramicidin, a protonophore that dissipates the pH



Fig. 5. Stomatal aperture and calcium responsiveness of Col-0, *cax1-1*, *cax3-1*, and *cax1/cax3* isolated epidermal strips. (A) Stomatal pore aperture, expressed as width/length ratio, in Col-0, *cax1-1*, *cax3-1*, and *cax1/cax3*, *n*=175, 173, 173, 176, respectively. (B) Change in stomatal pore apertures in adaxial epidermal peels of Col-0, *cax1-1*, *cax3-1*, and *cax1/cax3* measured with an additional 1 mM extracellular Ca²⁺ (*n*=173, 183, 146, 166), plotted as the change in aperture between each genotype with or without the addition of 1 mM Ca²⁺. Asterisks indicate significant differences within a genotype with Ca²⁺ treatment: (A) two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test, ***P*=0.0054, **P*<0.05; (B) Sidak's multiple comparisons test, *****P*<0.0001.

gradient, eliminated membrane vesicle Ca²⁺ uptake activity. Membrane vesicles of yeast cells expressing an empty vector had negligible activity (data not shown). Interestingly, *CAX1-CAX3*-expressing yeast cells demonstrated transport activity that differed from that of the deregulated *sCAX1*-expressing cells (Fig. 9A). Moreover, Michaelis–Menten kinetic analysis of the data showed that *CAX1-CAX3*-expressing cells displayed a K_m of 21.64 µM for Ca²⁺, while *sCAX1*-expressing cells demonstrated a K_m of 13.10 µM (Fig. 9B).

To analyze and compare the substrate specificity of the putative CAX1-CAX3 transporters, competition experiments were performed. This approach allowed us to determine the effect of co-expressing CAX proteins in terms of cation selectivity in comparison to the deregulated sCAX1. Initially, we measured Ca²⁺ uptake in *sCAX1*- and *CAX1*-*CAX3*-expressing cells. The pH-dependent 10 μ M ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ uptake into yeast microsomal vesicles isolated from strains



Fig. 6. Growth and gas exchange phenotypes of Col-0 and *cax1/cax3* Arabidopsis under different Ca²⁺ nutrition regimes. (A) Example images showing the typical rosette size of Col-0 and *cax1/cax3* grown for 6 weeks in sufficient but low calcium solution (SLCS) or grown for 5 weeks in SLCS followed by 1 week in high calcium solution (HCS). Transpiration (B) and photosynthesis (C) rates were determined using a LI-6400 infrared gas exchange analyzer (LiCOR) equipped with an Arabidopsis whole-plant chamber, set up according to Conn *et al.* (2011*b*). Data are presented as mean±SEM, *n*=20. *****P*<0.0001 (two-way ANOVA).



Fig. 7. Split luciferase protein–protein interaction assay to determine CAX1 and CAX3 interactions in mesophyll protoplasts (Fujikawa and Kato, 2007). Full-length CAX1 and CAX3 lacking a stop codon were recombined into split luciferase vectors with either the N-terminal (Nluc) or C-terminal (Cluc) half of luciferase fused to the N- or C-terminus of CAX1 or CAX3. Full-length Renilla luciferase was used as a positive control.

expressing empty vector (data not shown), sCAXI, and CAXI-CAX3 (Fig. 9C) was measured at a single 10 min time point. Ca²⁺ uptake determined in the absence of excess non-radioactive metal (control) was compared with Ca²⁺ uptake

determined in the presence of two concentrations ($10 \times$ and $100 \times$ excess) of various non-radioactive metals (Zhao *et al.*, 2008). Inhibition of Ca²⁺ uptake by non-radioactive Ca²⁺ was used as an internal control; as expected, excess Ca²⁺ inhibited



Fig. 8. Comparison of phenotypes of yeast cells expressing *sCAX1* and *CAX1-CAX3* transporters, showing suppression of Ca^{2+} sensitivity in yeast mutant cells that are defective in vacuolar Ca^{2+} transport. Suppression assays were performed by spotting dilutions of *CAX-*expressing yeast mutant strains and growing the cells on solid YPD medium and YPD medium containing 150 mM Ca^{2+} . This photograph was taken after 3 days of incubation at 30 °C. *sCAX1* is a truncated version of *CAX1* lacking a 36 amino acid N-terminal autoinhibitory domain. *CAX1/CAX3* indicates co-expression of both *CAX1* and *CAX3*.

Ca²⁺ uptake in both *sCAX1*- and *CAX1*-*CAX3*-expressing yeast. Non-radioactive Ca²⁺, particularly the 10× concentration, did not completely inhibit Ca²⁺ uptake, further highlighting the low Ca²⁺ affinity of the transporters. Ca²⁺ uptake by *sCAX1*-expressing cells was strongly inhibited by a 10× concentration of Cd²⁺, whereas *CAX1*-*CAX3*-mediated Ca²⁺ transport was only moderately inhibited. Interestingly, microsomes from *CAX1*-*CAX3*-expressing cells, compared with *sCAX1*-expressing cells, displayed less Ca²⁺ uptake inhibition by Li⁺ and Na⁺. These data demonstrate that the CAX1-CAX3 complex has altered Ca²⁺ affinity and transport capacity compared with the deregulated sCAX1. These observations imply that the Ca²⁺ dynamics may be different in plant cells containing the CAX1-CAX3 complex compared with cells containing only CAX1 or CAX3.

Discussion

We found that both CAX1 and CAX3 are expressed in stomatal guard cells, unlike most other leaf tissues, under standard conditions. This corroborates previous studies that have detected CAX1 and CAX3 RNA in isolated guard cell protoplast preparations (Leonhardt et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2008). These studies also found CAX3 to be expressed in the mesophyll. However, when we extracted RNA from non-protoplasted leaf tissue, using LMD or SiCSA, we could not detect CAX3 transcript in mesophyll cells (Fig. 1A). Only when protoplast incubation was extended to 24 h could CAX3 expression be detected at moderate levels in the mesophyll (Fig. 1B). The cell wall damage that occurs during protoplasting has been suggested to mimic physiological perturbations that occur in the cell wall in response to pathogen infections (Ecker and Davis, 1987). Our finding that flg22, a bacterial elicitor peptide, stimulates CAX3 expression in the mesophyll cells supports the conclusion that CAX3 expression is induced by wounding and pathogens, but is otherwise normally absent from the mesophyll (Table 1; Fig. 3). As both CAX1 and CAX3 are present in mesophyll cells in response to bacterial elicitors, and a functional CAX1-CAX3 complex can form, it is likely that the transport properties of this complex could participate in the physiological response to pathogen attack.

It is interesting to note that *cax1/cax3* plants, which have an altered capacity for Ca²⁺ secretion into mesophyll cells, had an increased apoplastic Ca²⁺ concentration, and an altered transcript profile enriched in pathogen-responsive genes (see Supplementary Table S3). For instance, *PR1* and *PR2*, in addition to many cell-wall-related genes, were upregulated in the *cax1/cax3* rosette; expression could be reduced to wild-type levels by transferring plants to a low Ca^{2+} condition (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S9) (Conn et al., 2011b). Altered Ca²⁺ compartmentation into the mesophyll and apoplast may also mimic some plant responses to pathogen infection. For example, heterologous expression of Arabidopsis sCAX1 in tomato results in upregulated expression of two pathogenrelated proteins, PR P2 precursor and PR leaf protein 4-like, homologs of PR1 and PR4 from A. thaliana (~8- and ~23fold, respectively) (De Freitas et al., 2011). Similarly, four PR genes were induced in cax1/cax3 plants, including PR1 (17fold) and PR5 (11-fold) (Conn et al., 2011b). The increased membrane leakage and blossom end rot symptoms in tomato fruits were considered to be due to the impact of enhanced vacuolar Ca²⁺ storage on Ca²⁺-signaling-related proteins and disturbed apoplastic [Ca²⁺], as well as cell wall modification (De Freitas et al., 2011). The upregulation of PR genes in sCAX1-expressing tomato and Arabidopsis cax1/cax3 lines suggests that a modification in CAX-mediated Ca²⁺ transport in cells may also occur during pathogen responses of plants (Hocking *et al.*, 2016). Variation in the intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration in a plant cell is known to be a critical step for early defense signaling pathways (Lecourieux et al., 2006).

The observation that *CAX1* and *CAX3* are expressed in guard cells (Fig. 4) suggests a role for the CAX1-CAX3 complex in stomatal function. A smaller mean stomatal aperture was found in epidermal strips of *cax1-1*, *cax3-1*, and *cax1/cax3* plants relative to Col-0 (Fig. 5A). These findings are consistent with a previous study Cho *et al.* (2012), which found significantly reduced steady-state stomatal apertures in epidermal strips in *cax1-1*, *cax3-1*, and *cax1/cax3* plants



Fig. 9. Michaelis–Menten kinetic analysis and comparison of inhibition of Ca^{2+} uptake in the presence of other metals. (A) Time course of ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ uptake into vacuolar vesicles prepared from the yeast strain K667 expressing *sCAX1* or *CAX1/CAX3*. Results are shown in the absence and presence of a protonophore (gramicidin; G) The Ca^{2+} ionophore A23187 (5 μ M) was added at 12 min and uptake was measured at 22 min. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B) Michaelis–Menten kinetic analysis of the initial rate of metal/H⁺ exchange. A preset steady-state pH gradient was generated in vacuolar-enriched vesicles from yeast cells expressing *sCAX1* and *CAX1-CAX3* by activation of the V-ATPase. Initial rates of H⁺- dependent Ca^{2+} uptake were calculated over a range of Ca^{2+} concentrations from 0 to 50 μ M. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (C) Inhibition of Ca^{2+} uptake by *sCAX1* or *CAX1-CAX3* into yeast vacuolar-enriched vesicles in the presence of other metal ions. Uncoupler-sensitive (Δ pH-dependent) uptake of 10 μ M ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ was measured in the absence (control with 100% activity, shown by the dotted line) or presence of 10× or 100× non-radioactive CaCl₂, LiCl, NaCl, NiSO₄, MnCl₂, CdCl₂, ZnCl₂, FeCl₃, or CoCl₂ after 10 min. Data are averages of at least three replications from two independent membrane preparations, and are presented as mean±SEM.

compared with wild-type. These mutants were also nonresponsive to increased Ca^{2+} concentrations, indicating that each isoform is required in guard cells for a normal response to apoplastic Ca^{2+} (Fig. 5B). We propose that the CAX1-CAX3 complex may function in modulating apoplastic Ca^{2+} signaling and is required for maintenance of normal stomatal aperture in response to changes in external Ca^{2+} concentration; this might occur through the sensing of external Ca^{2+} signals through the CAS apoplastic sensor pathway or due to misregulation of cytosolic free Ca^{2+} affecting intracellular signaling in the guard cell (Wang *et al.*, 2014).

Interestingly, however, we found no statistical difference in gas exchange rate in the single cax1-1 or cax3-1 mutants under most conditions (see Supplementary Figs S5 and S6). Previously, we have demonstrated that mesophyllic CAX1 controls leaf apoplastic [Ca²⁺] and that CAX3 could compensate for loss of CAX1 in *cax1-1* lines (Cheng *et al.*, 2005; Conn et al., 2011b). Here, we demonstrate that CAX3 expression was induced in the mesophyll cells of cax1-1 plants, substituting for CAX1 (Fig. 1). Under standard Ca²⁺ conditions, ectopic expression of CAX3 in the mesophyll in cax1-1 plants prevents excessive Ca²⁺ accumulation in the apoplast and allows the maintenance of growth rate and gas exchange in cax1-1 plants (Supplementary Figs S3-S5) (Cheng et al., 2003). However, after a 2 h pulse of high Ca^{2+} to the roots of cax1-1 plants, the gas exchange rates were reduced compared with wild-type or cax3-1 plants (Supplementary Fig. S6); this indicates that CAX3 cannot fully complement cax1-1. This reduction in gas exchange was not observed in cax1-1 plants when high Ca²⁺ was supplied over 18 h or 7 days, suggesting that the plants can adapt to cope with this Ca^{2+} load over a longer time period.

In this study, we demonstrate that integrated expression of both *CAX1* and *CAX3* can catalyze vacuolar Ca^{2+} uptake and rescue the Ca^{2+} -hypersensitive phenotype of yeast strains defective in Ca^{2+} transport (Fig. 8A). Further analysis on yeast vesicles showed that the heteromeric CAX1-CAX3 complex has similar Ca^{2+} transport properties to deregulated CAXs (Fig. 9; Supplementary Fig. S6) (Cheng *et al.*, 2005; Manohar *et al.*, 2011*b*). These yeast assays support the hypothesis that CAX3 may act as an activator of the negatively regulated CAX1 in specific plant tissues (Conn *et al.*, 2011*b*; Cho *et al.*, 2012). The transport affinity of the CAX1-CAX3 complex in yeast assays was different from that of the deregulated transporters and this finding suggests that coupling between CAX transporters could be a mechanism for increasing the range of transporter functions.

Conclusions

Evidence from non-plant studies is beginning to provide confirmation that CAX proteins are able to modulate Ca^{2+} signals (Guttery *et al.*, 2013; Melchionda *et al.*, 2016). These studies have the advantage that the CAX proteins are encoded by single genes, and therefore genetic dissection of CAX Ca^{2+} signaling is not hampered by genetic redundancy. Our study highlights that the multigene CAX families found throughout the plant kingdom may allow the formation of complex functional heteromeric complexes. In yeast-based assays, CAX1-CAX3 displayed transport properties that could not be recreated by highlevel expression of either native transporter individually. We also investigated the significance of these interactions for a variety of plant physiological responses. We found that the CAX1-CAX3 complex can occur in leaf mesophyll in response to pathogen attack. Additionally, CAX3 and the CAX1-CAX3 complex may be important in guard cells for maintenance of normal calcium responses and signaling pathways. Further work is required to determine the full extent of the signaling pathways in which CAX1-CAX3 may play a role.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Fig. S1. Profiling *CAX1* and *CAX3* promoter activity in mesophyll protoplasts.

Fig. S2. qPCR on whole-leaf RNA.

Fig. S3. Mesophyll vacuolar and leaf apoplastic Ca^{2+} concentrations.

Fig. S4. Physiological parameters affected by changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_{apo}$.

Fig. S5. Gas exchange rates for Col-0, cax1-1, cax3-1, and cax1/cax3 with and without 18 h Ca²⁺ treatment.

Fig. S6. Gas exchange rates for Col-0, cax1-1, cax3-1, and cax1/cax3 after 2 h of Ca²⁺ treatment.

Fig. S7. Split luciferase protein–protein assay determining whether CAX1 and CAX3 interact.

Fig. S8. Western blots showing relative levels of CAX1 and CAX3.

Fig. S9. Expression of *PR1* and *PR2* in 6-week-old Col-0 and *cax1/cax3* shoots.

Table S1. Contents of media used for growth studies: BNS, HCS, and SLCS.

Table S2. PCR primers used in this study.

Table S3. Pathogen-related genes that are differentially expressed in *cax1/cax3* plants compared with Col-0.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by United States Department of Agriculture and National Science Foundation (award 1557890) funds to KDH, and Australian Research Council (ARC) CE1400008 and FT130100709 awarded to MG. We also acknowledge Roger Leigh, Steve Tyerman, and Brent Kaiser, and their support from the ARC (DP0774603) under which this project was initiated. We also acknowledge Maclin Dayod for assistance with gas exchange measurements, and Charlotte Jordans and Sam Henderson for assistance with qPCR.

References

Athman A, Tanz SK, Conn VM, Jordans C, Mayo GM, Ng WW, Burton RA, Conn SJ, Gilliham M. 2014. Protocol: a fast and simple in situ PCR method for localising gene expression in plant tissue. Plant Methods **10**, 29.

Austin RS, Hiu S, Waese J, *et al.* 2016. New BAR tools for mining expression data and exploring Cis-elements in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Journal **88**, 490–504.

Catalá R, Santos E, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Martinez-Zapater JM,

Salinas J. 2003. Mutations in the Ca²⁺/H⁺ transporter CAX1 increase CBF/DREB1 expression and the cold-acclimation response in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **15**, 2940–2951.

Cheng NH, Pittman JK, Barkla BJ, Shigaki T, Hirschi KD. 2003. The *Arabidopsis* cax1 mutant exhibits impaired ion homeostasis, development, and hormonal responses and reveals interplay among vacuolar transporters. The Plant Cell **15**, 347–364.

Cheng NH, Pittman JK, Shigaki T, Hirschi KD. 2002. Characterization of CAX4, an *Arabidopsis* H⁺/cation antiporter. Plant Physiology **128**, 1245–1254.

Cheng NH, Pittman JK, Shigaki T, Lachmansingh J, LeClere S, Lahner B, Salt DE, Hirschi KD. 2005. Functional association of *Arabidopsis* CAX1 and CAX3 is required for normal growth and ion homeostasis. Plant Physiology **138**, 2048–2060.

Cho D, Villiers F, Kroniewicz L, Lee S, Seo YJ, Hirschi KD, Leonhardt N, Kwak JM. 2012. Vacuolar CAX1 and CAX3 influence auxin transport in guard cells via regulation of apoplastic pH. Plant Physiology 160, 1293–1302.

Conn S, Gilliham M. 2010. Comparative physiology of elemental distributions in plants. Annals of Botany **105**, 1081–1102.

Conn SJ, Conn V, Tyerman SD, Kaiser BN, Leigh RA, Gilliham M. 2011a. Magnesium transporters, MGT2/MRS2-1 and MGT3/MRS2-5, are important for magnesium partitioning within *Arabidopsis thaliana* mesophyll vacuoles. New Phytologist **190,** 583–594.

Conn SJ, Gilliham M, Athman A, et al. 2011b. Cell-specific vacuolar calcium storage mediated by CAX1 regulates apoplastic calcium concentration, gas exchange, and plant productivity in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **23**, 240–257.

Conn SJ, Hocking B, Dayod M, et al. 2013. Protocol: optimising hydroponic growth systems for nutritional and physiological analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and other plants. Plant Methods **9**, 4.

Connorton JM, Webster RE, Cheng N, Pittman JK. 2012. Knockout of multiple *Arabidopsis* cation/H⁺ exchangers suggests isoform-specific roles in metal stress response, germination and seed mineral nutrition. PLoS One **7**, e47455.

Cunningham KW, Fink GR. 1996. Calcineurin inhibits VCX1-dependent H⁺/Ca²⁺ exchange and induces Ca2⁺ ATPases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Molecular and Cellular Biology **16**, 2226–2237.

De Freitas ST, Padda M, Wu Q, Park S, Mitcham EJ. 2011. Dynamic alternations in cellular and molecular components during blossom-end rot development in tomatoes expressing sCAX1, a constitutively active Ca²⁺/ H⁺ antiporter from *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiology **156**, 844–855.

Dodd AN, Kudla J, Sanders D. 2010. The language of calcium signaling. Annual Review of Plant Biology **61**, 593–620.

Ecker JR, Davis RW. 1987. Plant defense genes are regulated by ethylene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **84**, 5202–5206.

Fujikawa Y, Kato N. 2007. Split luciferase complementation assay to study protein–protein interactions in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. The Plant Journal **52**, 185–195.

Gilliham M, Dayod M, Hocking BJ, Xu B, Conn SJ, Kaiser BN, Leigh RA, Tyerman SD. 2011. Calcium delivery and storage in plant leaves: exploring the link with water flow. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**, 2233–2250.

Guttery DS, Pittman JK, Frénal K, et al. 2013. The *Plasmodium berghei* Ca^{2+}/H^+ exchanger, PbCAX, is essential for tolerance to environmental Ca^{2+} during sexual development. PLoS Pathogens **9**, e1003191.

Hetherington AM, Brownlee C. 2004. The generation of Ca²⁺ signals in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology **55,** 401–427.

Hirschi KD, Zhen R-G, Cunningham KW, Rea PA, Fink GR. 1996. CAX1, an H⁺/Ca²⁺ antiporter from *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **93**, 8782–8786.

Hocking B, Tyerman SD, Burton RA, Gilliham M. 2016. Fruit calcium: transport and physiology. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 569.

Lecourieux D, Ranjeva R, Pugin A. 2006. Calcium in plant defencesignalling pathways. New Phytologist **171**, 249–269.

Leonhardt N, Kwak JM, Robert N, Waner D, Leonhardt G, Schroeder JI. 2004. Microarray expression analyses of *Arabidopsis* guard cells and isolation of a recessive abscisic acid hypersensitive protein phosphatase 2C mutant. The Plant Cell **16**, 596–615.

Li JF, Park E, von Arnim AG, Nebenführ A. 2009. The FAST technique: a simplified *Agrobacterium*-based transformation method for transient gene expression analysis in seedlings of Arabidopsis and other plant species. Plant Methods **5**, 6.

Manohar M, Shigaki T, Hirschi KD. 2011a. Plant cation/ H^+ exchangers (CAXs): biological functions and genetic manipulations. Plant Biology **13**, 561–569.

Manohar M, Shigaki T, Mei H, Park S, Marshall J, Aguilar J, Hirschi KD. 2011b. Characterization of *Arabidopsis* Ca²⁺/H⁺ exchanger CAX3. Biochemistry **50**, 6189–6195.

Melchionda M, Pittman JK, Mayor R, Patel S. 2016. Ca²⁺/H⁺ exchange by acidic organelles regulates cell migration in vivo. Journal of Cell Biology **212,** 803–813.

Nakagawa T, Suzuki T, Murata S, *et al.* 2007. Improved Gateway binary vectors: high-performance vectors for creation of fusion constructs in transgenic analysis of plants. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry **71**, 2095–2100.

Pittman J, Hirschi K. 2016. CAX-ing a wide net: cation/H⁺ transporters in metal remediation and abiotic stress signalling. Plant Biology **18**, 741–749.

Pittman JK, Hirschi KD. 2003. Don't shoot the (second) messenger: endomembrane transporters and binding proteins modulate cytosolic Ca²⁺ levels. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **6**, 257–262.

Pittman JK, Shigaki T, Hirschi KD. 2005. Evidence of differential pH regulation of the *Arabidopsis* vacuolar Ca^{2+}/H^+ antiporters CAX1 and CAX2. FEBS Letters **579**, 2648–2656.

Plett D, Safwat G, Gilliham M, Skrumsager Møller I, Roy S, Shirley N, Jacobs A, Johnson A, Tester M. 2010. Improved salinity tolerance of rice through cell type-specific expression of AtHKT1;1. PLoS One 5, e12571.

Pottosin II, Schönknecht G. 2007. Vacuolar calcium channels. Journal of Experimental Botany 58, 1559–1569.

Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C_{T} method. Nature Protocols **3**, 1101–1108.

Schwab R, Ossowski S, Riester M, Warthmann N, Weigel D. 2006. Highly specific gene silencing by artificial microRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **18**, 1121–1133.

Sherman F, Fink GR, Hicks JB. 1986. *Laboratory course manual for methods in yeast genetics*. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Shigaki T, Cheng NH, Pittman JK, Hirschi K. 2001. Structural determinants of Ca²⁺ transport in the *Arabidopsis* H⁺/Ca²⁺ antiporter CAX1. Journal of Biological Chemistry **276**, 43152–43159.

Shigaki T, Hirschi K. 2000. Characterization of CAX-like genes in plants: implications for functional diversity. Gene **257**, 291–298.

Wang WH, Chen J, Liu TW, Chen J, Han AD, Simon M, Dong XJ, He JX, Zheng HL. 2014. Regulation of the calcium-sensing receptor in both stomatal movement and photosynthetic electron transport is crucial for water use efficiency and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 223–234.

White PJ, Broadley MR. 2003. Calcium in plants. Annals of Botany 92, 487–511.

Yang Y, Costa A, Leonhardt N, Siegel RS, Schroeder JI. 2008. Isolation of a strong *Arabidopsis* guard cell promoter and its potential as a research tool. Plant Methods **4**, 6.

Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J. 2007. *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nature Protocols **2**, 1565–1572.

Zhao J, Barkla BJ, Marshall J, Pittman JK, Hirschi KD. 2008. The *Arabidopsis cax3* mutants display altered salt tolerance, pH sensitivity and reduced plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity. Planta **227**, 659–669.

Zhao J, Connorton JM, Guo Y, Li X, Shigaki T, Hirschi KD, Pittman JK. 2009a. Functional studies of split *Arabidopsis* Ca²⁺/H⁺ exchangers. Journal of Biological Chemistry **284**, 34075–34083.

Zhao J, Shigaki T, Mei H, Guo YQ, Cheng NH, Hirschi KD. 2009b. Interaction between *Arabidopsis* Ca²⁺/H⁺ exchangers CAX1 and CAX3. Journal of Biological Chemistry **284**, 4605–4615.