



City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Murdoch, I. and Crabb, D. P. ORCID: 0000-0001-8754-3902 (2021). Visual function rather than visual acuity. *The Lancet Global Health*, 9(7), doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00225-4

This is the published version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/26984/>

Link to published version: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(21\)00225-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00225-4)

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

publications@city.ac.uk



Visual function rather than visual acuity

We would like to express our gratitude to Matthew Burton and colleagues for the Commission on Global Eye Health (April, 2021),¹ which highlights the magnitude of the challenge. As a plea we would like to mention the glaucomas. We feel this preventable cause of blindness has been insufficiently represented and the burden of this disease group understated because of the use of visual acuity alone to measure disability.

Glaucomas result in loss of visual function long before visual acuity is affected, with visual field loss occurring first and central acuity disappearing last. This pattern means that many people will be blind by WHO definitions (visual field loss to less than 10°) but would not have been included in the data described in the Commission if only visual acuity is considered. It is telling to consider why, despite this, glaucoma features as the second leading cause of blindness in the Commission and yet hardly features as a cause of visual impairment (there is no definition of visual impairment by visual field loss).

In 2006, Afekhide Omoti and colleagues² reported 154 patients in Nigeria with a diagnosis at first presentation of primary open-angle glaucoma. Of 119 patients presenting with subjective visual loss, 38 (25%) were blind when using visual acuity as the measure. A further 87 (57%) were blind when using field loss criteria, increasing the proportion of those blind to 82%. A study³ of visual fields from people newly diagnosed with glaucoma estimated the effect size with greater precision compared with Omoti and colleagues. This underestimate of disease burden is not unique to glaucoma, another example being onchocerciasis.⁴ Although difficult to quantify, the existence of this additional burden should be recognised.

We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

**Ian Murdoch, David Crabb*

i.murdoch@ucl.ac.uk

Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, London, UK (IM); School of Health Sciences Division of Optometry and Visual Sciences, City University, London, UK (DC)

- 1 Burton MJ, Ramke J, Marques AP, et al. The Lancet Global Health Commission on Global Eye Health: vision beyond 2020. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; **9**: 489–551.
- 2 Omoti AE, Osahon AI, Waziri-Erameh MJ. Pattern of presentation of primary open-angle glaucoma in Benin City, Nigeria. *Trop Doct* 2006; **36**: 97–100.
- 3 Jones PR, Philippin H, Makupa WU, Burton MJ, Crabb DP. Severity of visual field loss at first presentation to glaucoma clinics in England and Tanzania. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2020; **27**: 10–18.
- 4 Murdoch IE, Jones BR, Cousens S, et al. Visual field constriction as a cause of blindness or visual impairment. *Bull World Health Organ* 1997; **75**: 141–46.